CERN-TH/2001-014 MPI-PhT/2000-52 Bicocca-FT-01-02 A pril 2001

$O(a)$ im proved tw isted m ass lattice QCD

Roberto Frezzotti^k, Stefan Sint^b and Peter Weisz^o

^a Universita di Milano-Bicocca, Dipartim ento di Fisica, Piazza della Scienza 3, I{20126 M ilano, Italy

^b CERN, Theory D ivision, CH {1211 G eneva 23, Sw itzerland

^c M ax-P lanck-Institut fur Physik, Fohringer R ing 6, D {80805 M unchen, G em any

A bstract

Lattice QCD with W ilson quarks and a chirally twisted m ass term (tm QCD) has been introduced in refs. [1,2]. We here apply Sym anzik's improvement program m e to this theory and list the counterterm s w hich arise at rst order in the lattice spacing a. Based on the generalised transfer matrix, we de ne the tm QCD Schrodinger functional and use it to derive renorm alized on-shell correlation functions. By studying their continuum approach in perturbation theory we then determ ine the new 0 (a) counterterm s of the action and of a few quark bilinear operators to one-loop order.

1 Introduction

In ref. $[2]$ $[2]$ tw isted m ass lattice Q C D (tm Q C D) has been introduced as a solution to the problem of unphysical ferm ion zero m odes w hich plague standard lattice Q C D w ith quarks of the W ilson type. W e w ill assum e that the reader is fam iliar w ith the m otivation of this approach, and refer to $[1]$ for an introduction. Them ain topic of the present paper is the application of Sym anizik's im provem entprogram m e to tm QCD . W e introduce the set-up in the sim plest case of two m ass-degenerate quarks, and study the im proved action and the im proved com posite elds w hich appear in the PC AC and PC VC relations.

O ur strategy follow s closely refs. $[3,4,5]$: in section 2 we go through the structure of the O (a) in proved theory. We then de ne the Schrodinger functional for $\tan Q$ C D, and use it to derive suitable on-shell correlation functions (sect.3). T he perturbation expansion is then carried out along the lines of ref. [\[5](#page-29-0)], and the new O (a) im provem ent coe cients are obtained at the treelevel in sect. 4 and to one-loop order in sect. 5. A few details have been delegated to appendices. A ppendix A describes how the tw isted m ass term can be incorporated in Luscher's construction of the transfer m atrix [\[6](#page-29-0)], and A ppendix B contains the analytic expressions for the coecients used in the analysis of the one-loop calculation.

2 R enorm alized and O (a) im proved tm Q C D

The renorm alization procedure for tw isted m ass lattice QCD w ith W ilson quarks has already been discussed in ref. [\[2](#page-29-0)]. H ere we apply Sym anzik's im provem ent program m e to rst order in the lattice spacing a. The procedure is standard and the details of its application to lattice QCD with N_f m ass degenerate W ilson quarks can be found in ref. [\[3\]](#page-29-0).

O ur starting point is the unim proved tm QCD lattice action for a doublet ofm ass degenerate quarks,

$$
S[U; ;] = S_G[U] + S_F[U; ;];
$$
 (2.1)

w ith the standard W ilson gauge action and the ferm ionic part

$$
S_{F}[U; j] = a^{4} \qquad (x) D + m_0 + i_{q 5}^{3} \qquad (x): \qquad (2.2)
$$

T he m assless W ilson-D irac operator is given by

$$
D = \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{ccc} X & n & 0 \\ (r + r) & ar & r \end{array}
$$
 (2.3)

w here the forward and backward covariant lattice derivatives in direction are denoted by r and r respectively. As $\tan QCD$ w ith vanishing tw isted m ass param eter q reduces to standard lattice Q C D we expect that im provem ent is achieved by using the standard O (a) im proved theory and adding the appropriate O (a) counterterm s w hich are proportional to (powers of) q , and which are allowed by the lattice sym m etries. The procedure hence consists in a straightforw ard extension of ref. [\[3](#page-29-0)], and we take over notation and conventions from this reference w ithout further notice.

2.1 R enorm alized O (a) im proved param eters

Follow ing ref. [\[3\]](#page-29-0) we assum e that a m ass-independent renorm alization schem e has been chosen, and we take the sam e steps as done there for standard lattice Q C D . A t $q = 0$ the Sheikholeslam i-W ohlert term [\[7](#page-29-0)] suces to improve the action, up to a rescaling of the bare param eters by term s proportional to the subtracted bare m ass m $_{q}$ = m $_{0}$ m $_{c}$ [\[3](#page-29-0)]. At non-vanishing $_{q}$ we nd that im proved bare param eters are of the form

$$
g_0^2 = g_0^2 (1 + b_0 am_q); \qquad (2.4)
$$

$$
m_{q} = m_{q}(1 + b_{m} \text{ am }_{q}) + \tilde{B}_{m} \text{ a } \frac{2}{q}; \qquad (2.5)
$$

$$
e_q = q(1 + b \text{ am }_q);
$$
 (2.6)

i.e. there exist two new counterterm s w ith coecients b and B_m . The renorm alized O (a) im proved m ass and coupling constant are then proportionalto these param eters, viz.

$$
g_{R}^{2} = g_{0}^{2} Z_{g} (g_{0}^{2} ; a); \qquad (2.7)
$$

$$
m_{R} = m_{q} Z_{m} (g_{0}^{2} ; a); \qquad (2.8)
$$

$$
E_{R} = e_{q}Z \t(g_{0}^{2};a): \t(2.9)
$$

The ratio of the appropriately renorm alized m ass param eters determ ines the angle which is involved in the physical interpretation of the theory $[2]$. We will discuss below the general 0 (a) im proved de nition of . Here we note that the case of particular interest, $=$ =2, corresponds to $m_R = 0$, which im plies $m_q = 0$ (a) [\[2](#page-29-0)]. In this case all the usual b-coe cients multiply term s of 0 (a^2) and are thus negligible in the spirit of 0 (a) im provem ent. O ne then rem ainsw ith a single coe cient $~\tilde{B}_m$, w hich com pares favorably to the situation in standard lattice QCD where two coe cients, b_m and b_q , are required.

2.2 Renorm alized 0 (a) im proved com posite elds

We assume that composite elds are renormalized in a mass-independent scheme, and such that the tm QCD W and identities are respected $[2]$. Attention will be restricted to the quark bilinear operators which appear in the PCAC and PCVC relations. M oreover, we only consider the rst two avour com ponents, and thus avoid the renorm alization of power divergent operators such as the iso-singlet scalar density $[2]$. As explained in ref. $[2]$, the third avour component of the PCAC and PCVC relations can be inferred in the continuum $\lim_{t \to \infty}$ by assuming the restoration of the physical isospin sym m etry. The 0 (a) in proved currents and pseudo-scalar density with indices a;b 2 f1;2q are then param eterised as follows,

$$
(A_R)^{a} = Z_A (1 + b_A am_q) A^{a} + c_A a^{\alpha} P^{a} + a_q B_A^{a} m^{3ab} V^{b};
$$
 (2.10)

$$
(V_R)^a
$$
 = $Z_V (1 + b_V am_q) V^a + c_V a^{\alpha} T^a + a_q B_V$ **"³ab** ; (2.11)

$$
(P_R)^a = Z_P (1 + b_P am_q) P^a:
$$
 (2.12)

Here we have chosen the bare operators which are local on the lattice, with the conventions of ref. $[3]$. While this is the simplest choice, we also recall the de nition of the point-split vector current,

$$
\mathcal{F}^{a}(x) = \frac{1}{2}^{n} (x) (1) \frac{1}{2} U(x;) (x + a^*)
$$

+ $(x + a^*) (1) \frac{1}{2} U(x;)^{1} (x) ;$ (2.13)

which is obtained through a vector variation of the action. This current is protected against renom alization, and the PCVC relation

$$
\mathbf{C} \quad \nabla^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \quad \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{u}^3 \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}); \tag{2.14}
$$

is an exact lattice identity, with the local pseudo-scalar density and the backward derivative ℓ in -direction [2]. This in plies the identity Z $Z_P = 1$ in any renom alization scheme which respects the PCVC relation.

2.3 An alternative de nition of the improved vector current

An alternative renom alized in proved current can be obtained from the pointsplit current (2.13) . For this it is convenient to start from the symmetrized version

$$
V^{a}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}^{a}(x) + \mathcal{P}^{a}(x \quad a^{\wedge}) \quad ; \tag{2.15}
$$

which behaves under space-time re ections in the same way as the local vector current. The counterterm structure then is the same as in eq. (2.11) , i.e. one nds

$$
(V_{R})^{a} = Z_{V} (1 + b_{V} am_{q}) V^{a} + c_{V} a C T^{a} + b_{V} a_{q} T^{3ab} A^{b}
$$
 (2.16)

where we have again restricted the indices a,b to the rst two components. Onemay now easily show that

$$
Z_V = 1;
$$
 $b_V = 0:$ (2.17)

To see this we rst note that at $\sigma = 0$ the vector charge of this current is given by

$$
Q_{V}^{a}(t) = \frac{1}{2}Z_{V}(1 + b_{V}am_{q})[Q_{V}^{a}(t) + Q_{V}^{a}(t - a)], \qquad (2.18)
$$

w ith

$$
Q_V^a(x_0) = a^3 \bigotimes_x^X \mathfrak{F}_0^a(x)
$$
 (2.19)

At $q = 0$, correlation functions of the charge are x_0 -independent¹, and the 0 (a) in proved charge algebra for Q_{V}^{a} and the exact charge algebra for Q_{V}^{a} together imply that the whole renom alization factor in eq. (2.18) must be unity. A s this holds independently of m_q , one arrives at the conclusion (2.17).

A further relation is obtained by noting that the PCVC relation between the renorm alized 0 (a) in proved elds,

$$
(\mathfrak{C} \ \ (\mathcal{V}_{R})^{a} = 2 \ \ _{R} \ ^{n3ab} (\mathcal{P}_{R})^{b}; \tag{2.20}
$$

w ith the symmetric derivative $\mathfrak{C} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{C} + \mathfrak{C})$ must hold up to 0 (a²) corrections. Then, using the identity

$$
\mathfrak{C} \ V^{\mathfrak{a}}(x) = \mathfrak{G} \qquad \mathfrak{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}(x) + \frac{1}{4} a^{2} \mathfrak{G} \ \mathfrak{G} \ \mathfrak{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}(x) \quad ; \tag{2.21}
$$

one obtains the relation

$$
Z_P Z_m Z_A^{-1} \delta_V = (b + b_P): \qquad (2.22)
$$

The scale-independent combination of renorm alization constants multiplying \tilde{B}_l is determ ined by axialW ard identities [8], so that eq. (2.22) can be considered a relation between improvement coe cients.

 1 i.e. as long as the time ordering of the space-time argum ents in the given correlation function rem ains unchanged.

2.4 O (a) im proved de nition of the angle

The physical interpretation of the correlation functions in tm Q C D depends on the angle \prime , which is dened through

$$
\tan = \frac{R}{m_R}:
$$
 (2.23)

In this equation R and m R are the O (a) im proved renorm alized m ass param e-tersw hich appear in the PCAC and PCVC relations [\[2\]](#page-29-0). Up to term sofO (a^2) we then nd

$$
\frac{R}{m_R} = \frac{q[1 + (b - b_m)am_q]}{Z_P Z_m [m_q + B_m a_q^2]} = \frac{q[1 + (b + b_p - b_k)am_q]}{Z_A [m + B_m a_q^2 Z_V^{-1}]};
$$
(2.24)

H ere,m denotes a bare m ass w hich is obtained from som e m atrix elem ent of the PCAC relation involving the unrenorm alized axial current $A^1 + c_A \odot P^1$ and the localdensity P 1 . G iven m , the criticalm ass m $_{\rm c}$, and the nite renorm alization constants Z_A , Z_V and $Z_P Z_m$, the determ ination of the O (a) im proved angle requires the know ledge of two (com binations of) im provem ent coecients, which m ay be chosen to be b b_m and \tilde{B}_m , orb + b_P b_A and \tilde{B}_A . A specialcase is again $=$ $=$ 2 , w hich is obtained for vanishing denom inators in eq. (2.24). For this it is su cient to know either \tilde{D}_A or \tilde{D}_m , and the nite renorm alization constants Z_A or $Z_P Z_m$ are then not needed.

2.5 R edundancy of im provem ent coe cients

H aving introduced all O (a) counterterm s allowed by the lattice symmetries, it is guaranteed that there exists a choice for the im provem ent coecients such that O (a) lattice artefacts in on-shell correlation functions are completely elim inated. W e now want to show that there is in fact a redundancy in the set of the new counterterm s introduced so far, i.e. the counterterm s are not unam biguously determ ined by the requirem ent of on-shell in provem ent alone. To see this we consider the renorm alized 2-point functions

$$
G_A(x \t y) = (A_R)^1_0(x) (P_R)^1(y) ;
$$
 (2.25)

$$
G_V(x \t y) = (V_R)^2 (x) (P_R)^1 (y) ;
$$
 (2.26)

of the renorm alized $O(a)$ in proved elds de ned in subsect. 2.2. We assume that a quark m ass independent renorm alization schem e has been chosen, and w ith the proper choice for the im provem ent coe cients one nds,

$$
G_X(x) = \lim_{a \downarrow 0} G_X(x) + O(a^2); \qquad X = A_i V;
$$
 (2.27)

provided that x is kept non-zero in physical units. If the new im provem ent coe cients $~\mathcal{E}_h$; b ; $~\mathcal{E}_A$ and $~\mathcal{E}_V$ were all necessary any change of O (1) in these coecients would introduce uncancelled O (a) artefacts in eq.([2.27\)](#page-5-0). Varying the coecients $~\mathfrak{L}_n$! $~\mathfrak{L}_n$ + $~\mathfrak{L}_m$, b ! b + b and $~\mathfrak{L}_A$! $~\mathfrak{L}_A$ + $~\mathfrak{L}_A$ in the correlation function $G_A(x)$, we nd that the correlation function itself changes according to

$$
G_{A}(x) = \begin{array}{cc} & h \\ a_{R}Z_{P} & \tilde{B}_{m}Z_{P}Z_{m} \\ a_{R}Z_{P} & h \frac{1}{\theta m_{R}}G_{A}(x) \\ a_{R}Z_{P}Z_{m} & h \frac{1}{\theta m_{R}}G_{R}(x) \\ & h \tilde{B}_{R}Z_{A}Z_{V}^{1}G_{V}(x); \end{array}
$$
\n
$$
(2.28)
$$

where term s of 0 (a²) have been neglected. In the derivation of this equation one has to be careful to correctly take into account the counterterm s proportional to b and \tilde{B}_m . First of all we notice that changing an O (a) counterterm can only induce changes of O (a) in the correlation function. For instance, the equation

$$
G_A(x)_{b : b + b} = G_A(x) + b \frac{\theta}{\theta b} G_A(x) + O(a^2);
$$
 (2.29)

holds even for nite changes b . Second, when taking the continuum limit the bare m ass param eters becom e functions of the im provem ent coe cients such that the renorm alized $O(a)$ in proved m asses are xed . For instance one has

$$
{q} = Z{P_R} (1 b Z_m^{-1} am_R) + O (a^2);
$$
 (2.30)

and a straightforward application of the chain rule leads to

$$
\frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{A}}}(x) = \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{B}}}\quad \frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{A}}}(x) = a_{\mathbb{R}}m_{\mathbb{R}}Z_{\mathbb{P}}Z_{\mathbb{m}}^{-1}\frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{A}}}(x); \qquad (2.31)
$$

w here we have used eq. (2.30) and neglected term s of 0 (a 2). Proceeding in the sam e way for the variation w ith respect to E_{m} , and changing to renorm alized param eters $q = Z_{P-R} + O(a)$, $m_q = Z_m^{-1}m_R + O(a)$ eventually leads to eq.(2.28).

At this point we recall eq. (3.13) of ref. [\[2](#page-29-0)], w hich expresses the reparam eterization invariance w ith respect to changes of the angle \therefore In term s of the above correlation functions one $nds, up to cuto$ e ects,

$$
\frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathfrak{G}}\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{A}}\left(x\right) \qquad \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}\qquad \mathfrak{g}\frac{\mathfrak{G}}{\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{R}}}\qquad \mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{A}}\left(x\right)=\mathfrak{G}_{\mathbb{V}}\left(x\right):\qquad (2.32)
$$

As a consequence not all the term s in eq. (2.28) are independent, and the requirem ent that G $_A(x)$ be of order a^2 entails only two conditions,

$$
\tilde{B}_m + b (Z_P Z_m)^2 = 0; \qquad (2.33)
$$

$$
\tilde{B}_m \qquad \tilde{B}_A \ (Z_P Z_m Z_V)^{-1} Z_A = 0: \qquad (2.34)
$$

This m akes precise the redundancy or over-completeness of the counterterm s alluded to above. The same procedure applies to $G_V(x)$, and we conclude that the requirem ent of on-shell O (a) im provem ent only determ ines the combinations of in provem ent coe cients $\tilde{B}_m + b (Z_P Z_m)^2$, \tilde{B}_m $\tilde{B}_V (Z_P Z_m Z_A)^{-1} Z_V$, and ζ_n ζ_n (Z_PZ_m Z_V) 1 Z_A. We emphasize that this redundancy is a generic feature of tm QCD, and not linked to special choices for the elds or correlation functions. In particular we note that the third component of the axial variation of any composite eld has the correct quantum numbers to appear as an 0 (a $_{\alpha}$) counterterm to itself.

In conclusion, 0 (a) in proved tm QCD as de ned here constitutes a oneparam eter fam ily of im proved theories. In view of practical applications it is m ost convenient to choose \mathfrak{h}_n as the free parameter and set it to some num erical value. For reasons to becom e clear in section 4 our preferred choice is $\zeta_m = \frac{1}{2}$. However, in the following we will keep all coe cients as unknowns and only m ake a choice at the very end. In order to de ne on-shell correlation functions which are readily accessible to perturbation theory we will rstde ne the Schrodinger functional for tm QCD. It is then straightforward to extend the techniques of refs. $[4,5]$ to tm QCD and study the continuum approach of correlation functions derived from the Schrodinger functional.

The Schrodinger functional for tm QCD 3

This section follows closely Section 5 of ref. [3] and ref. [4]. The reader will be assum ed fam iliar w ith these references, and we w ill refer to equations there by using the pre x I and II, respectively.

Denition of the Schrodinger functional 3.1

To de ne the Schrodinger functional for twisted m ass lattice QCD , it is convenient to follow refs. [9,10]. The Schrodinger functional is thus obtained as the integralkemel of som e integer power T = a of the transferm atrix. Its Euclidean representation is given by

$$
Z \tZ \t\big[\tP, {}^{0};C^{0}; \t; C] = D[U D[D] D[] e^{SU; i}]; \t(3.1)
$$

and is thus considered as a functional of the elds at Euclidean times 0 and T . From the structure of the transfer m atrix it follows that the boundary conditions for all ebds are the same as in the standard fram ework. In particular, the quark elds satisfy,

$$
P_{+}
$$
 $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{0=0} =$; $P_{\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{0=1} = 0}$; $P_{+} \dot{\mathbf{j}}_{0=1} = 0$; (3.2)

w ith the usual projectors $P = \frac{1}{2}(1 \ 0)$. The gauge eld boundary conditions are as in eqs.(I.4.1){(I.4.2) and will not be repeated here.

The action in eq. (3.1) ,

$$
S[U; j] = S_G[U] + S_F[U; j]; \qquad (3.3)
$$

splits into the gauge part $(I.4.5)$ and the quark action, which assumes the same form as on the in nite lattice (2.2) . Note that we adopt the same conventions as in subsect. 4.2 of [3], in particular the quark and antiquark elds are extended to all times by \padding" with zeros, and the covariant derivatives in the nite space-time volume now contain the additional phase factors related to $_{k}$, (k = 1;2;3).

Renorm alization and O (a) im provem ent 3.2

Renom alizability of the tm QCD Schrodinger functional could be veried abng the lines of ref. [11]. However, this is not necessary as any new counterterm is expected to be proportional to the twisted m ass param eter and is therefore at least of m ass dim ension 4. O ne therefore expects the Schrodinger functional to be nite after renom alization of the m ass parameters and the gauge coupling as in in nite volume [2], and by scaling the quark and antiquark boundary elds with a common renormalization constant [11]. This expectation will be con mm ed in the course of the perturbative calculation.

The structure of the new counterterm sat 0 (a) is again determ ined by the sym m etries. These are the same as in in nite space-time volume, except for those which exchange spatial and tem poral directions. The improved action,

 $S_{imp}U;$;]= $S[U;$;]+ $S_{V}[U;$;]+ $S_{G,b}[U]$ + $S_{F,b}[U;$;]; (3.4)

has the same structure as in the standard fram ework, in particular, S_v and

 $S_{3, b}$ are as given in eqs. (15.3) and (15.6). The symmetries allow for two new fem ionic boundary counterterm s,

$$
0 = i_q \t 5^3 P \t : \t (3.5)
$$

The equations of motion do not lead to a further reduction and the action w ith the ferm ionic boundary counterterm s at 0 (a) is then given by

$$
S_{F \nmid D} [U; ;] = a4 \binom{X \nmid n}{(e_{s} \nmid 1) \Phi_{s}(x) + \Phi_{s}^{0}(x)} + (e_{t} \nmid 1) \Phi_{t}(x) \Phi_{t}^{0}(x) + (B_{1} \nmid 1) \Phi_{1}(x) + \Phi_{1}^{0}(x) + (B_{2} \nmid 1) \Phi_{2}(x) + \Phi_{2}^{0}(x) ; \qquad (3.6)
$$

Here, we have chosen lattice operators as follows,

$$
\oint_{1}(x) = i_{q} (x) 5^{3} (x)_{x_{0}=a}; \qquad (3.7)
$$

$$
\hat{\Phi}_1^0(x) = i_q (x) 5^{3} (x)_{x_0=T} a;
$$
 (3.8)

$$
\oint_{2}(x) = i_q (x) 5^{3} (x); \qquad (3.9)
$$

$$
\oint_{2}^{0} (x) = i_{q} {}^{0} (x) {}_{5} {}^{3} {}^{0} (x); \qquad (3.10)
$$

and the expressions for the lattice operators $\phi_{s,t}$ and $\phi_{s,t}^0$ are given in eqs. (15.21){ (I524). Note that the improvement coe cients are the same for both boundaries, as the counterterm s are related by a time re ection combined with a avour exchange.

3.3 D irac equation and classical solutions

For Euclidean times $0 < x_0 < T$ the lattice D irac operator and its adjoint are fom ally de ned through

$$
\frac{S_{\text{im pr}}}{(x)} = (D + D + m_0 + i_q 5^3) (x); \qquad (3.11)
$$

$$
\frac{S_{\text{im pr}}}{(x)} = (x)(D^{y} + D^{y} + m_0 + i_{q 5}^{3}); \qquad (3.12)
$$

where $D = D_v + D_b$ is the sum of the volume and the boundary 0 (a) counterterm s. Eq. $(II2.3)$ for the volum e counterterm s rem ains valid, whereas for the boundary counterterm s one obtains

$$
D_{b} (x) = (e_{t} 1) \frac{1}{a} x_{0,a} (x) U (x a \hat{0}; 0)^{1} P_{+} (x a \hat{0})
$$

+ $x_{0} \pi a (x) U (x; 0) P (x + a \hat{0})$
+ $(B_{1} 1) [x_{0,a} + x_{0} \pi a] i q 5^{3} (x);$ (3.13)

We observe that the net e ect of the additional counterterm consists in the replacement σ ! δ_1 σ close to the boundaries. A lithough a boundary 0 (a) e ect is unlikely to have a m a pr im pact, we note that the presence of this counterterm with a general coe cient δ_1 invalidates the argum ent by which zero modes of the W ilson-D irac operator are absent in twisted mass lattice QCD. To circum vent this problem we rem ark that the counterterm may also be in plen ented by explicit insertions into the correlation functions. As every insertion comes with a power of a, a single insertion will be su cient in most cases, yielding a result that is equivalent up to term s of 0 (a^2).

G iven the D irac operator, the propagator is now de ned through

$$
(D + D + m_0 + i_{q 5} {}^{3})S(x; y) = a_1 {}^{4}{}_{xy}; \qquad 0 < x_0 < T;
$$
 (3.14)

and the boundary conditions

$$
P_+ S(x; y)_{X_0=0} = P S(x; y)_{X_0=T} = 0
$$
 (3.15)

Boundary conditions in the second argum ent follow from the conjugation prop $exty,$

$$
S(x; y)^{y} = 5^{-1} S(y; x) 5^{-1}; \qquad (3.16)
$$

which is the usual one up to an exchange of the avour components.

As in the standard fram ework $[11,4]$, it is useful to consider the classical solutions of the D irac equation,

$$
D + D + m_0 + i_{q 5}^3 c_1(x) = 0;
$$
 (3.17)

$$
_{cl}(x) Dy + Dy + m0 + iq 53 = 0: (3.18)
$$

Here, the time argum ent is restricted to $0 < x_0 < T$, while at the boundaries the classical solutions are required to satisfy the inhomogeneous boundary conditions (3.2). It is not di cult to obtain the explicit expressions,

$$
c_{1}(x) = c_{t}a^{3} \n\begin{bmatrix}\nX & \text{if } 0 \\
Y & \text{if } 0 \\
Y & \text{if } 0\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nX & \text{if } 0 \\
Y & \text{if } 0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
+ S (x; y)U (y; 0)P \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
Y & \text{if } 0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
c_{1}(x) = c_{t}a^{3} \n\begin{bmatrix}\nX & \text{if } 0 \\
Y & \text{if } 0\n\end{bmatrix} \n\begin{bmatrix}\nY & \text{if } 0 \\
Y & \text{if } 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (y; x) \n\begin{bmatrix}\nY & \text{if } 0 \\
Y & \text{if } 0\n\end{bmatrix} \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (y; x) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
Y & \text{if } 0\n\end{bmatrix} \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (y; x) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (y; x) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (y; x) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (x; y) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (x; y) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (x; y) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (x; y) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix} S (x; y) \n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \text{if } 0 \\
0 &
$$

which are again valid for $0 < x_0 < T$. Note that these expressions are exactly the same as in ref. [4], except that the quark propagator here is the solution of $eq. (3.14)$.

3.4 Q uark functional integral and basic 2-point functions

W e shalluse the sam e form alism for the quark functional integral as described in subsect. II.2.3. M ost of the equations can be taken over literally, in particular, eq. (II.2.21) holds again. The presence of the twisted m ass term m erely leads to a m odi cation of the improved action of the classical elds, $[eq. (II.2.22)]$, which is now given by

$$
S_{F,\text{im pr}}[U; cl; cl] = a^{3} \t B_{2}a_{q} (x)i_{5}^{3} (x) + {}^{0}(x)i_{5}^{3} (x)
$$

+ e_{s}a (x) $k_{2}^{1}(r_{k} + r_{k}) (x)$
+ ${}^{0}(x) k_{2}^{1}(r_{k} + r_{k}) (x)$

$$
e_{t} (x)U (x a0; 0) cl(x)_{x_{0}=a}
$$

+ ${}^{0}(x)U (x; 0) {1}_{c1}(x)_{x_{0}=T a} (3.21)$

The quark action is a quadratic form in the G rassm ann elds, and the functional integral can be solved explicitly. Therefore, in a xed gauge eld background any ferm ionic correlation function can be expressed in term s of the basic two-point functions. Besides the propagator already introduced above,

(x) (y)
$$
_{F}
$$
 = S(x;y); (3.22)

we note that the boundary-to-volum e correlators can be w ritten in a convenient way using the classical solutions,

(x) (y)
$$
\int_{F}
$$
 = $\frac{cl(y)}{(x)}$; (3.23)

(x) (y)
$$
\int_{F}
$$
 = $\frac{cl(x)}{(y)}$; (3.24)

$$
^{0}(x)
$$
 (y) $_{F} = -\frac{cl(y)}{0(x)};$ (3.25)

(x)
$$
{}^{0}(y)_{F} = -\frac{cl(x)}{0(y)}
$$
: (3.26)

The explicit expressions in term s of the quark propagator can be easily obtained from eqs. (3.19) and (3.20) , and coincide w ith those given in ref. [\[4\]](#page-29-0). T he boundary-to-boundary correlators can be w ritten as follow s,

$$
(x) ^0(y) _F = e_t P U (x a \hat{0}; 0) (x) ^0(y) _F _{x_0 = a}; (3.27)
$$

$$
^{0}(x)
$$
 (y) $_{F}$ = $e_{t}P_{+}U(x;0)^{-1}$ (x) (y) $_{F}$ $_{x_{0}=T}$ a (3.28)

The correlators of two boundary quark elds at the same boundary receive additional contributions due to the new boundary counterterm s, viz.

$$
(x) (y)_{F} = c_{E}^{2} P U (x a \hat{\theta}; 0) S (x; y) U (y a \hat{\theta}; 0) {^{1}P_{+}}_{x_{0} = y_{0} = a}
$$

\nh
\nP $c_{S k} {1 \over 2} (r_{k} + r_{k}) + \tilde{B}_{2} i_{q} 5 {^{3} a} {^{2} x_{y}}; (3.29)$

$$
{}^{0}(\mathbf{x}) {}^{0}(\mathbf{y})_{F} = c_{t}^{2} P_{+} U (\mathbf{x}; 0) {}^{1}S (\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) U (\mathbf{y}; 0) P_{x_{0} = y_{0} = T a}
$$
\nh\n
$$
P_{+} c_{s} k \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r}{}_{k} + \mathbf{r}{}_{k}) + \mathbf{E}_{2} i_{q} 5 {}^{3} a {}^{2} x_{y} : (3.30)
$$

 W e nally note that the conjugation property [\(3.16\)](#page-10-0) in plies,

(x)
$$
(y)_{F}^{Y}
$$
 = 5^{1} $(y) (x)_{F} 5^{1}$; (3.31)

$$
(x) ^0(y) ^{\frac{y}{F}} = 5 ^{1} {0 (y) (x) _F 5} ^1;
$$
 (3.32)

(x)
$$
(y)_{F}^{y}
$$
 = 5^{1} $(y) (x)_{F} 5^{1}$; (3.33)

and analogous equations for the rem aining 2-point functions.

3.5 SF C orrelation functions

W ith this set-up of the SF we now de ne a few on-shell correlation functions involving the composite $ekls$ of Sect. 2. W ith the boundary source \vee

$$
O^{a} = a^{6} \qquad (y) 5^{\frac{1}{2} a} (z); \qquad (3.34)
$$

we de ne the correlation functions

$$
f_A^{ab}(x_0) = hA_0^a(x)0^b i;
$$
 (3.35)

$$
\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) = \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{i}; \qquad (3.36)
$$

$$
f_V^{ab}(x_0) = W_0^a(x) 0^b i:
$$
 (3.37)

In the follow ing we restrict the isospin indices to a;b 2 $f1;2g$. It is convenient to de ne them atrix $[12,13]$,

$$
H(x) = a^3 \frac{X}{y} \frac{cl(x)}{(y)}:
$$
 (3.38)

Its herm itian conjugate m atrix is given by

$$
H (x)Y = a3 {x \n31 - c1(x) \n51}/{(y)}
$$
 (3.39)

and the correlation functions can be expressed in term s of $H(x)$, viz.

$$
f_X^{ab}(x_0) = \begin{array}{c} D & n \\ \frac{1}{4} tr \ H (x)^{y} 5 x \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} 0 E & & \\ H (x) & \end{array}
$$
 (3.40)

As in ref. [4] the bracket h $_G$ mi eans an average over the gauge elds with the e ective gauge action,

$$
S_e
$$
 [U] = S_G [U] + $S_{G,b}$ [U] h det D + D + m₀ + i_{q 5}³; (3.41)

and the trace is over avour, D irac and colour indices. The gam m a structures are $x = 0.5$; 5 ; 0 , where X stands for A; P and V respectively.

3.6 Reducing the avour structure

In order to carry out the avour traces we introduce the avour projectors

$$
Q = \frac{1}{2}(1 \quad {}^{3}): \quad (3.42)
$$

Inserting the avour decomposition,

$$
H(x) = H_+(x)Q_+ + H(x)Q;
$$
 (3.43)

into the expression eq. (3.40) leads to

$$
f_X^{ab}(x_0) = \begin{array}{ccc} X & D & D & D \\ \text{tr} f Q_i^{-1} a_{Q_j}^{-b} a_{g_{\frac{1}{4}}}^{1} \text{tr} H_i(x)^{y} s_{X} H_j(x) & G \end{array}
$$
 (3.44)

Since we restrict the indices a and b to values in f1;2q this expression further simplies leading to

$$
f_X^{ab}(x_0) = \n\begin{array}{ccc}\nX & D & n & 0 \\
trfQ_i & \hline\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{ccc}\nR & \hline\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{ccc}\nX & 0 \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{ccc}\nX & 0 \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{ccc}\nX & 0 \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$
\n(3.45)

In order to simplify the expressions further, we now study the behaviour under a parity transform ation combined with the exchange α ! α . Notice that the parity transform ation also transform s the background elds, in particular it in plies $_k$! $_k$ (k = 1;2;3). On the m atrices H (x) this transform at ion acts according to

$$
H(x) ! \t0H(x); \t(3.46)
$$

where $x = (x_0; x)$ is the parity transform ed space-time argument, and we recall that H (x) depend in plicitly on the background gauge eld. A fler

averaging over the gauge elds and due to parity invariance of the e ective gauge action (3.41) one then nds

D n
or H
$$
(x)^{y}
$$
 ₅ _xH (x) _G = (X) tr H $(x)^{y}$ ₅ _xH (x) _G ; (3.47)

where the sign factor depends on whether $_X$ commutes ($(X) = 1$) or anticommutes ($(X) = 1$) with 0. U sing this result in eq. (3.45) it follows that

$$
f_A^{12}(x_0) = f_P^{12}(x_0) = f_V^{11}(x_0) = 0;
$$
 (3.48)

Furtherm ore, the exact $U(1)$ avour symmetry in plies that

$$
f_X^{22}(x_0) = f_X^{11}(x_0); \t f_X^{21}(x_0) = f_X^{12}(x_0); \t (3.49)
$$

so that we m ay restrict attention to the following non-vanishing correlation functions:

$$
f_A^{11}(x_0) = \frac{D}{\frac{1}{2}} \text{tr } H_+(x)^{y} {}_0H_+(x) \qquad \qquad (3.50)
$$

$$
f_P^{11}(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \, H_+(x)^{y} H_+(x) \bigg|_G^2; \tag{3.51}
$$

$$
f_V^{12}(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \, H_+(x)^{y} \, 0.5H_+(x) \, G. \tag{3.52}
$$

N ote that $eq. (3.47)$ has allowed to elim inate the dependence upon the second avour component $H(x)$. This is convenient both for perturbative calculations and in the fram ework of num erical simulations.

4 O (a) im provem ent of the free theory

We determ ine the improvement coe cients in the free theory, which is obtained by setting all gauge links to unity. In this context correlation functions of quark and antiquark elds are suitable on-shell quantities which ought to be in proved. We m ay therefore consider the improvement of the one-particle energies, the quark propagator and basic 2-point functions in the Schrodinger functional, in addition to the SF correlation functions introduced in section 3.

4.1 The free quark propagator

All correlation functions in the SF are obtainable from the quark propagator, which can be computed using standard methods [4]. We set the standard in provem ent coe cients to their known values [4],

$$
e_t = e_s = 1; \t\t(4.1)
$$

and com pute the propagator assum ing $\mathfrak{b}_1 = 1$. A s discussed in sect. 3, any other value can be obtained by insertion of the corresponding boundary counterterm. The propagator can be w ritten in the form

$$
S(x; y) = D^y + m_0 i_{q 5}^3 G(x; y);
$$
 (4.2)

where $G(x; y)$ is given by

$$
G(x; y) = L \xrightarrow{3} e^{ip(x y)} [G_{+} (p; x_{0}; y_{0})P_{+} + G (p; x_{0}; y_{0})P]
$$
 (4.3)

w ith the functions

$$
G_{+} (p \cdot x_{0} \cdot y_{0}) = N (p^{+}) M (p^{+}) e^{! (p^{+})(x_{0} y_{0}) T)} e^{! (p^{+})(x_{0} + y_{0} T)} \n+ M_{+} (p^{+}) e^{! (p^{+})(x_{0} y_{0}) T)} e^{! (p^{+})(x_{0} + y_{0} T)} \n+ M_{+} (p^{+}) e^{! (p^{+})(x_{0} y_{0}) T} e^{! (p^{+})(x_{0} + y_{0} T)} \n+ (4.4)
$$

$$
G (p \, ; x_0 \, ; y_0) = G_+ (p \, ; T \, x_0 \, ; T \, y_0): \tag{4.5}
$$

Here, M $(p^+) = M (p^+)$ $\dot{p}_0^+ (II.3.17)$, with M (p) as de ned in eq. (II.3.6) and $p^+ = p + =L$. Furtherm ore, we recall that in the above form ulae it is understood that $p_0 = p_0^+ = i! (p^*)$, where for given spatialm om entum q the energy $!$ (q) is obtained as the solution of the equation

$$
\sinh\frac{h_a}{2} : (q) \stackrel{i}{=} \frac{a}{2} \frac{\left(q^2 + \frac{2}{q} + (m_0 + \frac{1}{2}aq^2)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + a(m_0 + \frac{1}{2}aq^2)} : \quad (4.6)
$$

 F inally, using again the notation of ref. $[4]$ $[4]$, the norm alization factor is given by

$$
N (p^{+}) = \sum_{p^{+} \in \mathcal{P}} N (p^{+}) R (p^{+}) e^{(p^{+})T} \bigg|^{0} , \qquad (4.7)
$$

4.2 Im provem ent conditions and results

In the free quark theory, the quark energy ! is a suitable on-shell quantity. At zero spatialm om entum it coincides w ith the pole m ass, w hich is related to the bare m asses through

$$
\cosh \operatorname{am}_{p} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}a^{2} (\operatorname{m}_{0}^{2} + \frac{2}{q}) = (1 + \operatorname{am}_{0}) : \tag{4.8}
$$

Up to term s of 0 (a²) one then \overline{m} at the level \overline{m} c = 0 at tree level)

$$
m_p^2 = m_q^2 + \frac{2}{q} (1 - am_q) + O(a^2); \qquad (4.9)
$$

Replacing the bare m asses by the renormalized 0 (a) in proved m ass parameters and requiring the absence of 0 (a) artifacts one obtains

$$
b_m = \frac{1}{2}; \qquad b + b_m + \frac{1}{2} = 0; \qquad (4.10)
$$

and the same condition is obtained from the 0 (a) improved energy at nite spatialm om entum. O nem ay wonder whether it is possible to get an additional condition by considering the in provem ent of the quark propagator itself. This is not so, for the reasons given in subsection 2.5 . A s an illustration we consider the quark propagator (4.2) in the lim it of in nite time extent T with the lim it taken at $xed x_0$ T=2 and y_0 T=2. This elim inates the boundaries both at $x_0 = 0$ and $x_0 = T$, so that one is left with the improvement of the m ass param eters, and of the quark and antiquark elds, viz.

$$
R = 1 + b \text{ am } 0 + \text{D} \text{ ia } q \text{ s}^3 \qquad (4.11)
$$

$$
R = 1 + b \text{ am } 0 + \text{D} \text{ ia } q = 5^3 \tag{4.12}
$$

Requiring the quark propagator to be 0 (a) in proved we nd the usual result of the untwisted theory, $b = b = \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$
\delta = \delta
$$
; 2\delta δ_m $\frac{1}{2} = 0$; 2\delta + b = 0; (4.13)

ie. 3 equations for 4 ∞ e cients. Sim ilarly, by studying the SF correlation functions of the in proved quark bilinear elds we nd the standard results of the untwisted theory, $c_A = c_V = 0$ and $2b = b_A = b_V = b_P = 1$, and the follow ing conditions involving the new coe cients,

$$
\delta_1 \quad \frac{1}{2} (\delta_n + \frac{1}{2}) = 1; \tag{4.14}
$$

$$
b + b_{m} + \frac{1}{2} = 0; \qquad (4.15)
$$

$$
\mathfrak{B}_{A} \qquad (\mathfrak{B}_{n} + \frac{1}{2}) = 0; \qquad (4.16)
$$

$$
\tilde{B}_V \qquad (\tilde{B}_m + \frac{1}{2}) = 0: \qquad (4.17)
$$

Furtherm ore, from the O (a) in provem ent of the basic 2-point functions we also obtain

$$
\mathfrak{L}_2 = 1: \tag{4.18}
$$

The fact that \tilde{D} and \tilde{D} are not determ ined independently is again due to the invariance of the continuum theory under axial rotations of the elds and a compensating change in the m ass parameters. Hence our ndings in the free theory are completely in line with the general expectation expressed in subsect. 2.5. Choosing ζ_n as the free parameter and setting it to $\frac{1}{2}$ leads to b = \mathfrak{B}_A = \mathfrak{B}_V = 0 and \mathfrak{B}_1 = 1, while e.g. for \mathfrak{B}_m = 0 the tree level value $\mathcal{B}_1 = 5=4$ is som ew hat inconvenient.

5 The one-loop com putation

We now want to expand the correlation functions to one-loop order. We work w ith vanishing boundary values C_k and C_k^0 . The gauge xing procedure then is the same as in ref. [4] and will not be described here. In the following we only describe those aspects that are new and otherw ise assum e the reader to be fam iliar with refs. $[4,5]$.

Renorm alized am plitudes 5.1

Once the avour traces have been taken, the one-loop calculation at xed lattice size is alm ost identical to the standard case $[4,5]$. In order to take the continuum lim it at xed physical space-time volume, we then keep m_R, R, X₀ and T xed in units of L. Here the renom alized m ass parameters are de ned in a m ass-independent renorm alization scheme which m ay rem ain unspecied for the m om ent.

To rst order of perturbation theory the substitutions for the coupling constant and the quark m ass then am ount to

$$
g_0^2 = g_R^2 + O(g_R^4); \qquad (5.1)
$$

$$
m_0 = m_0^{(0)} + g_R^2 m_0^{(1)} + O(g_R^4); \qquad (5.2)
$$

$$
q = q^{(0)} + q_{R}^{2} q^{(1)} + O(q_{R}^{4}); \qquad (5.3)
$$

where the precise form of the coe cients

$$
m_0^{(0)} = \frac{1}{a} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p \frac{1}{1} & 2am_R & a^2 \frac{2}{R} \end{bmatrix};
$$
 (5.4)

$$
m_0^{(1)} = m_c^{(1)} \t Z_m^{(1)} m_R + b_m^{(1)} a m_0^{(0)}2
$$

+
$$
a_R^{2} b_m^{(1)} + Z^{(1)} + b^{(1)} a m_0^{(0)} \t 1 a m_0^{(0)} \t (5.5)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}\n(0) & = & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\text{(1)} & = & \text{(0)} & \text{(1)} \\
\text{(2)} & \text{(3)} & \text{(4)} \\
\text{(4)} & \text{(5)} & \text{(6)} \\
\text{(5)} & \text{(6)} & \text{(6)} \\
\text{(6)} & \text{(6)} & \text{(6)} \\
\text{(7)} & \text{(8)} & \text{(9)} \\
\text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} \\
\text{(2)} & \text{(2)} & \text{(2)} \\
\text{(3)} & \text{(3)} & \text{(4)} \\
\text{(5)} & \text{(5)} & \text{(5)} \\
\text{(5)} & \text{(5)} & \text{(5)}
$$

is a direct consequence of the de nitions m ade in subsect. 2.1, and already includes the tree-level results obtained in the preceding section with the particular choice $\tilde{p}_n^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}$.

The renorm alized correlation functions,

$$
[f_V^{12}(x_0)]_k = Z_V (1 + b_V am_q)Z^2 (1 + b am_q)^2
$$

\nn
\n
$$
f_V^{12}(x_0) + b_V a_q f_A^{11}(x_0) ;
$$
\n(5.8)

$$
[f_P^{11}(x_0)]_k = Z_P (1 + b_P am_q)Z^2 (1 + b am_q)^2 f_P^{11}(x_0); \qquad (5.9)
$$

$$
[f_A^{11}(x_0)]_k = Z_A (1 + b_A am_q)Z^2 (1 + b am_q)^2
$$

\nn
\n
$$
f_A^{11}(x_0) + c_A aC_0 f_P^{11}(x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad f_V^{12}(x_0) \quad ; \quad (5.10)
$$

have a well-de ned perturbation expansion in the renorm alized coupling q_R , w ith coe cients that are com putable functions of a=L. For instance the expansion of $[f_V^{12}]_k$ reads

$$
[f_V^{12}(x_0)]_k = f_V^{12}(x_0)^{(0)} + g_R^{2} f_V^{12}(x_0)^{(1)} + m_0^{(1)} \frac{\theta}{\theta m_0} f_V^{12}(x_0)^{(0)} + Z_V^{(1)} + 2Z^{(1)} + am_R b_V^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} f_V^{12}(x_0)^{(0)} + q \frac{\theta}{\theta q} f_V^{12}(x_0)^{(0)} + a_R \zeta_V^{(1)} f_A^{11}(x_0)^{(0)} ;
$$
(5.11)

w here term s of order a^{2} and $\mathrm{g}^{4}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{R}}}$ have been neglected , and it is understood that the correlation functions are evaluated at $m_0 = m_0^{(0)}$ and $q = \frac{0}{q}$.

Follow ing ref. [\[4](#page-29-0)] we now $\text{set }x_0 = T=2$ and scale all dimensionful quantities in units of L. W ith the param eters $z_m = m_R L$, $z = R L$ and $z = T = L$ we then consider the dim ensionless functions,

$$
h_A \t; \t; z_n \t; z \t; \t; a=L \t) = [f_A^{\text{11}}(x_0)]_{x_0 = T = 2}; \t\t(5.12)
$$

$$
h_V
$$
 ($\mathbf{i}z_n \mathbf{i}z \mathbf{j} \mathbf{i}a=L$) = $[\mathbf{f}_V^{12}(\mathbf{x}_0)]_{k} \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{T} = 2\mathbf{i}$ (5.13)

$$
h_P
$$
 (\mathbf{z}_n ; \mathbf{z} ; $\mathbf{z}_q = L$) = $[f_P^{\text{11}}(x_0)]_{x_{0} = T = 2}$; (5.14)

$$
h_{dA} (; z_{n} ; z ; ; a = L) = L C_{0} [f_{A}^{11}(x_{0})]_{x_{0} = T = 2}; \qquad (5.15)
$$

$$
h_{\text{dV}}\left(\begin{array}{cc} ;z_{n} \ ;z_{n} \ ;\ z_{n} \ ;\ z_{n-1} \end{array}\right)\ =\ L\mathfrak{C}_{0}\left[f_{\text{V}}^{12}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]_{x_{0}=\tau=2};\tag{5.16}
$$

O ne then infers,

$$
h_{A} = v_{0} + g_{R}^{2} v_{1} + e_{t}^{(1)} v_{2} + am_{0}^{(1)} v_{3} + c_{A}^{(1)} v_{4} + a_{q}^{(1)} v_{5}
$$

$$
+ z B_{1}^{(1)} v_{6} - \frac{a}{L} z B_{A}^{(1)} q_{0}
$$

$$
+ z_{A}^{(1)} + 2Z^{(1)} + \frac{a}{L} z_{m} B_{A}^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} v_{0} ; (5.17)
$$

$$
h_V = q_0 + g_R^2 q_1 + e_t^{(1)} q_2 + am_0^{(1)} q_3 + a q_1^{(1)} q_5
$$

+ $z B_1^{(1)} q_6 + \frac{a}{L} z B_V^{(1)} v_0$
+ $Z_V^{(1)} + 2Z^{(1)} + \frac{a}{L} z_m B_V^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} q_0 ; (5.18)$

$$
h_{P} = u_{0} + g_{R}^{2} u_{1} + e_{t}^{(1)} u_{2} + am_{0}^{(1)} u_{3} + a_{q}^{(1)} u_{5} + z B_{1}^{(1)} u_{6}
$$

$$
+ Z_{P}^{(1)} + 2Z^{(1)} + \frac{a}{L} z_{m} B_{P}^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} u_{0} ; (5.19)
$$

$$
h_{dA} = w_0 + g_R^2 w_1 + e_t^{(1)} w_2 + am_0^{(1)} w_3 + c_A^{(1)} w_4 + a_1^{(1)} w_5
$$

+ $z B_1^{(1)} w_6 \frac{a}{L} z B_A^{(1)} r_0$
+ $Z_A^{(1)} + 2Z^{(1)} + \frac{a}{L} z_m b_A^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} w_0$; (5.20)

$$
h_{\text{d}V} = r_0 + g_R^2 r_1 + e_t^{(1)} r_2 + \text{ am }_0^{(1)} r_3 + \text{ a }_q^{(1)} r_5
$$

+ $z B_1^{(1)} r_6 + \frac{a}{L} z B_V^{(1)} w_0$
+ $Z_V^{(1)} + 2Z^{(1)} + \frac{a}{L} z_m B_V^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} r_0$: (5.21)

Since we are neglecting term s of order a^2 , the expansions,

$$
m_0^{(1)} = m_c^{(1)} Z_m^{(1)} \frac{Z_m^{(1)}}{L} \frac{az_m^2}{L^2} z_m^{(1)} + b_m^{(1)} \frac{az^2}{L^2} z^{(1)} + \beta_m^{(1)}; (5.22)
$$
\n
$$
m_0^{(1)} = \frac{z}{L} \frac{h}{Z^{(1)} + b^{(1)} \frac{az_m}{L}} (5.23)
$$

m ay be inserted in Eqs. (5.17)-(5.21). A ll the coe cients v $_i$;:::; r_i are still functions of $;$; $;$ $*$ and z . A nalytic expressions can be derived for those

coe cients involving the tree level correlation functions or the 0 (a) countertem s. Their asymptotic expansions for $a=L$! 0 are collected in Appendix B. The coe cients v_1 ;::; r_1 are only obtained num erically and de nite choices for the param eters had to be m ade. We generated num erical data for $= 0$ and $= 0.5$ for both T = L and T = 2L and various com binations of the m ass param eters z_m and $z \neq 0$ with values between 0 and 1.5. W ith these param eter choices the Feynm an diagram swere then evaluated num erically in 64 bit precision arithm etic for a sequence of lattice sizes ranging from $L = a = 4$ to L=a = 32 (and in some cases to L=a = 36).

5.2 A nalysis and results

The renom alization constants are determ ined by requiring the renom alized am plitudes to be nite in the continuum lim it, and by the requirem ent that the tm QCD W ard identities be satis ed [2]. A linear divergence is cancelled in all am plitudes by inserting the usual one-loop coe cient am $_0^{(1)}$, or equivalently a series which converges to this coe cient in the lim it $a=L$! 0 [4]. We choose the lattice m in im al-subtraction scheme to renormalize the pseudoscalar density and the quark boundary elds, and the one-loop coe cients are then given by [w ith $C_F = (N^2 \t 1)=2N$],

$$
Z_P^{(1)} = \frac{6C_F}{16^2} \ln(L=a); \qquad 2Z^{(1)} = Z_P^{(1)};
$$
 (5.24)

The current renom alization constants, and the renom alization of the standard and tw isted m ass param eters are determ ined by the W ard identities. For the one-loop coe cients we expect $[14,15,2]$,

$$
Z_A^{(1)} = 0.087344(2) C_F ; \t\t(5.25)
$$

$$
Z_V^{(1)} = 0.097072(2) C_F ; \t(5.26)
$$

$$
Z_{m}^{(1)} = Z_{P}^{(1)} \quad 0.019458(1) C_{F}; \qquad (5.27)
$$

$$
Z^{(1)} = Z_P^{(1)}:
$$
 (5.28)

W ith our data we were able to compute the one-loop coe cients of the combinations $Z_m Z_p = Z_A$ and $Z_Z_p = Z_V$, as well as the logarithm ically divergent parts of all one-loop coe cients. Com plete consistency with the above expectations was found, and we shall adopt these results in the following.

The corresponding coe cients in other schemes di er from those above by a-independent term s. W ith the renorm alization constants chosen in this way we nd e.g. for the combination of separately diverging term s appearing in the curly bracket of (5.19)

$$
u_1 + am_c^{(1)}u_3 + (Z_P^{(1)} + 2Z^{(1)})u_0 Z_m^{(1)}z_m u_3^{(-1)} Z^{(1)}z u_5^{(-1)}
$$

= $U_0 + U_1 \frac{a}{L} + O(a^2 = L^2);$ (5.29)

where U_i are functions of ; ; and z, and $u_i^{(-1)}$ are coe cients of L=a in the expansion of u_i for L=a ! 1. Evidently similar equations hold for the other functions $v_1; q_1; w_1; r_1$. It is important to note that we expect no term s involving $(a=L)$ $h(L=a)$ on the right hand side of (5.29) because we have in posed tree level in proven ent, and this was indeed seen in our data analysis. M oreover there are no term s $Z_m^{(1)}a=L$ or $Z^{(1)}a=L$ on the left hand side above because of Eq. (B 23); thus the coe cient U $_1$ is (contrary to U_0) independent of the renom alization scheme. Estimates for the coe cients U_1, V_1, \ldots were obtained for the various data sequences using the methods described in [16].

Now the improvement coe cients are determined by dem anding that the renom alized am plitudes approach the continuum lim it with corrections of 0 ($a^2=L^2$). For the cancellation of the 0 (a) term s the following equations should be satis ed (for unde ned notation see Appendix B):

In these equations all term s involving in provem ent coe cients which are necessary also in the untwisted theory, have been collected in the term sU_1 ;:: on the right hand sides and they are specied in equations $(B.45)$ - $(B.49)$. The num erical values of these in provem ent coe cients, obtained in previous analyses

[\[4,5](#page-29-0)],are:

$$
e_{t}^{(1)} = 0.01346(1) C_{F} ; \qquad (5.35)
$$

$$
C_A^{(1)} = 0.005680(2) C_F ; \t(5.36)
$$

$$
b^{(1)} = 0.06738(4) C_F ; \t\t(5.37)
$$

$$
b_m^{(1)} = 0.07217(2) C_F ; \t\t(5.38)
$$

$$
b_A^{(1)} = 0.11414(4) C_F ; \t(5.39)
$$

$$
b_V^{(1)} = 0.11492(4) C_F ; \t(5.40)
$$

$$
b_{P}^{(1)} = 0.11484(4) C_{F} : \t\t(5.41)
$$

Before we proceed w ith the num erical analysis of equations [\(5.30\)](#page-21-0)-[\(5.34](#page-21-0)), it is essential to note that using the identities (B 38)-(B .42) they can be rew ritten as

z h z^m b ⁰(1)v (1) ⁵ ⁺ [~]^b 0(1) A q (0) 0 ~b 0(1) ¹ v (1) 6 i = V¹ + V¹ ; (5.42)

$$
\frac{h}{z} \quad \frac{1}{z_m} \quad b^{(1)} q_5^{(-1)} \quad b_0^{(1)} v_0^{(0)} \quad b_1^{(1)} q_6^{(1)} = 0_1 + 0_1 ; \quad (5.43)
$$

h
z
$$
z_m b^{(1)} u_5^{(-1)}
$$
 $b_1^{(1)} u_6^{(1)} = U_1 + U_1$; (5.44)

$$
\frac{h}{z} z_{m} b^{(1)} w_{5}^{(-1)} + B_{A}^{(1)} r_{0}^{(0)} R_{1}^{(1)} w_{6}^{(1)} = W_{1} + W_{1}; \qquad (5.45)
$$

$$
z \, z_{m} b^{0(1)} r_{5}^{(1)} \, b_{V}^{0(1)} w_{0}^{(0)} \, b_{1}^{0(1)} r_{6}^{(1)} = R_{1} + R_{1} ; \qquad (5.46)
$$

where the prim ed coe cients appearing here are de ned through

$$
b^{(1)} = b^{(1)} + \tilde{p}_m^{(1)} ; \qquad (5.47)
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_1^{(1)} = \mathcal{B}_1^{(1)} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_m^{(1)} ; \tag{5.48}
$$

$$
B_A^{(1)} = B_A^{(1)} B_B^{(1)}; \qquad (5.49)
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_V^{(1)} = \mathcal{B}_V^{(1)} \quad \mathcal{B}_m^{(1)}: \tag{5.50}
$$

In other words, from our equations we can only obtain inform ation on four linearly independent com binations of the new im provem ent coe cients appearing in the tw isted theory. T his was in fact to be anticipated from our general discussion in subsect. 2.5, where we argued that we are free to chose for example the coecient $~\tilde{B}_m^{(1)}$ as we please.

Since our equations are over-determ ined and also having generated such a large selection of data sets, we had m any ways to proceed to determ ine the coecients b $^{(1)}$; $B_1^{(1)}$; $B_A^{(1)}$ $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{A}}^{0(1)}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{V}}^{0(1)}$ $\mathbb{V}_{\nabla}^{(1)}$, and a m ultitude of consistency checks on the results. We rst note that if we consider the linear combination of am plitudes h_{dA} 2 z_m h_P and h_{dV} + 2z h_P associated w ith the PCAC and PCVC relations respectively we obtain

$$
2z^{2}u_{0}^{(0)}\delta_{A}^{(1)} = W_{1} + W_{1} \t 2z_{m} \t U_{1} + U_{1} ; \t (5.51)
$$

$$
2z z_m u_0^{(0)} b^{(1)} + B_V^{(1)} = R_1 + R_1 + 2z U_1 + U_1 : (5.52)
$$

W ith know ledge of the right hand sides, each equation determ ines a particular linear com bination of im provem ent coe cients. In these equations the boundary ∞ e cient $\bm{\mathtt{B}}^{(1)}_1$ does not appear as expected. On the other hand the coe cient $\delta_1^{0(1)}$ is all that appears on the left hand sides of Eqs. [\(5.44\)](#page-22-0),[\(5.46](#page-22-0)) for the data sets w ith $z_m = 0$.

By solving simultaneously the three equations (5.42) , (5.44) and (5.45) for one data set with $z_m \;$ \in 0,we could obtain the three coecients 2 b $^{0(1)}$; $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{A}}^{0(1)}$ $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{b}_1^{0(1)}$ (and of course analogously for the equations involving the vector current). We also extracted the two coe-cients b $^{\text{(1)}}$; $\ddot{\text{b}}_1^{\text{(1)}}$ by solving just Eq. [\(5.44\)](#page-22-0) for two dierent data sets (of which at least one has $z_m \div 0$).

Unfortunately due to rounding errors, the one-loop cuto eects like U₁ were rarely determ ined better than to w ithin a few percent. The consequence of this was that m any routes of analyses described above and when applied to various (com binations of) data sets, led to results for the im provem ent coecients w ith very large errors. N evertheless there rem ained suciently m any analyses w hich delivered useful results w ith relatively sm all errors, and in these cases all results were consistent w ith each other and w ith our follow ing \best estim ates":

$$
b^{(1)} = 0.103(3) C_F ; \t\t(5.53)
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}^{(1)} = 0.035(2) C_{F} ; \qquad (5.54)
$$

$$
E_{A}^{(01)} = 0.086(4) C_{F} ; \qquad (5.55)
$$

$$
E_V^{(01)} = 0.074(3) C_F : \t\t(5.56)
$$

A s one practical choice for applications in num erical simulations we advocate $\delta_{m} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ to all orders of perturbation theory, which would result in setting $\tilde{b}_n^{(1)} = 0$ in the above equations.

²Particularly good results were obtained e.g. with the data set $z_m = 0$; $z = 0.5$; = 0, where we in fact had data up to $L=a = 36$.

6 C onclusions

In this paper we have introduced the set-up of $O(a)$ in proved tw isted m ass lattice QCD in its simplest form w ith two m ass-degenerate quarks. In perturbation theory to one-loop order we have veri ed that 0 (a) in provem ent works out as expected. We have identifed the new counterterm s and com puted their coecients at the tree-level and to one-loop order. In practice perturbative estim ates m ay be satisfactory, as tm Q C D has been prim arily designed to explore the chiral region of QCD , w here the contribution of the new counterterm s should be sm all anyway. This expectation is con mm ed by a non-perturbative scaling test in a physically sm all volum e, w hich em ploys the perturbative values of the new im provem ent coe cients reported here [[19\].](#page-30-0) H owever, a non-perturbative determ ination of some of the new coe cients is certainly desirable and m ay be possible along the lines of ref. [\[8\]](#page-29-0).

An interesting aspect of $O(a)$ in proved tm QCD is the absence of any new counterterm corresponding to a rescaling of the bare coupling q_0 . This singles out the choice for the angle $=$ = $=2$ for which the physical quark m ass is entirely de ned in term s of the tw isted m ass param eter. A quark m ass dependent rescaling of g_0 is hence com pletely avoided, and one m ay hope that this eases the chiral extrapolation or interpolation of num erical simulation data. Furtherm ore, using the over-com pleteness of the counterterm s (cf. subsect. 2.5) to x δ_m exactly, no tuning is necessary to obtain = =2 up to 0 (a^2) e ects, provided the standard critical m ass m $_c$ and the standard im provem ent coe cients of the m assless theory c $_{\rm sw}$ and $_{\rm Ca}$ are known. We also note that, at $=$ =2, both sides of the exact PCVC relation are autom atically renorm alized and O (a) im proved. This can be exploited for an O (a) in proved determ ination of F [\[20](#page-30-0)], as the vector current at $=$ =2 is physically interpreted as the axial current [\[2](#page-29-0)].

In the future one m ay w ish to extend the fram ework of O (a) im proved $tm QCD$ to include the heavier quarks in the way suggested in ref. [\[2\]](#page-29-0). The analysis of 0 (a) counterterm s still rem ains to be done, but we do not expect any new conceptualproblem s here.

Finally, we have de ned the Schrodinger functional for $tmQCD$, based on the appropriate generalisation of Luscher's transfer m atrix construction for $\tan QCD$. We expect that the Schrodinger functional will be useful in the determ ination of hadronic m atrix elem ents along the lines of refs. [\[17,18\]](#page-29-0), and work in this direction is currently in progress [\[20,21](#page-30-0)].

This work is part of the ALPHA collaboration research program m e. W e are gratefulto PA.G rassifor discussions and his collaboration in the tm QCD

project. Thanks also go to M . Luscher, R . Som m er and A . V ladikas for usefulcom m ents and discussions. S. Sint acknow ledges partial support by the European C om m ission under grant N o. FM B IC T 972442.

A T he transfer m atrix for tw isted m ass lattice Q C D

In this appendix we brie
y indicate the generalization ofthe transfer m atrix construction for tw isted m ass lattice QCD with $c_{sw} = 0$. We use the original notation of ref. $[6]$ $[6]$ w ith the conventions of ref. $[10]$ $[10]$. The transfer m atrix as an operator in Fock space and as an integral kemelw ith respect to the gauge elds has the structure

$$
T_0[U, U^0] = \hat{T}_F^Y(U)K_0[U, U^0]\hat{T}_F(U^0); \qquad (A.1)
$$

w ith pure gauge kemel K $_0$ and the ferm ionic part

$$
\hat{T_F}\left(U\ \right)=\ \det(2\ B\)^{1=4}\exp(\ ^{\wedge Y}\!P\ C\ ^{\wedge})\exp(\ ^{\wedge Y}\ _{0}\!M\ ^{\wedge})\text{:}\qquad \quad \ \ \left(A\ \mathcal{Q}\right)
$$

H ere, the operators $\hat{i}(x)$ are canonical (i is a shorthand for colour, spin and avour indices) viz.

$$
f^i(x); \gamma^y(y) = ij a^3 xy;
$$
 (A.3)

and B and C are m atrix representations of the dierence operators

B = 1 6
$$
a^2
$$
 $\sum_{k=1}^{X^3} r_k r_k$; (A.4)

$$
C = a \t k^{\frac{1}{2}} (r_k + r_k) + i a_{q} 5^{3}
$$
 (A.5)

A s in the standard case the positivity of the transfer m atrix hinges on the positivity of the m atrix B, which is guaranteed for jj jj < 1=6. This is the standard bound w hich also ensures that the m atrix M ,

$$
M = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2} B \qquad 1 \qquad ; \qquad (A.6)
$$

is well-de ned. No restriction applies to the twisted m ass parameter, except that q m ust be real for the transfer m atrix $(A 1)$ to reproduce the twisted m ass lattice Q C D action.

B A nalytic expressions for expansion coe cients

In this appendix we provide explicit analytic expressions for the tree-level am plitudes and the counterterm s appearing in eqs. [\(5.17](#page-19-0)){[\(5.21](#page-19-0)) w hich are needed to com pute the one-loop am plitudes up to term s of 0 (a²). We have checked that the analytic expressions correctly reproduce the num erical values obtained by directly program m ing the correlation functions and counterterm insertions.

First we de ne

$$
! = \frac{q}{z_m^2 + 3^2 + z^2}; \qquad (B.1)
$$

$$
\infty = \cosh(! \t), \t\t (B.2)
$$

$$
si = sinh(!'); \qquad (B.3)
$$

$$
= \quad 1 \text{ si} + z_{\text{m}} \text{ co} ; \tag{B.5}
$$

where = T=L. Then we have $u_0 = u_0^{(0)} + O (a^2 = L^2)$ etc. with

 $u_0^{(0)} = \frac{N!}{N!}$; (B.6)

$$
v_0^{(0)} = \frac{N (3^2 + z^2 + z_m)}{2}; \qquad (B.7)
$$

$$
q_0^{(0)} = \frac{N z (z_m + 1)}{2}; \qquad (B.8)
$$

$$
w_0^{(0)} = 2z_m u_0^{(0)}; \t\t(B.9)
$$

$$
r_0^{(0)} = 2z u_0^{(0)}:
$$
 (B.10)

For the boundary term swe de ne

$$
\hat{r} = z_m + \frac{2(3^{2} + z^{2})\sin}{\hat{r}}, \qquad (B.11)
$$

and then $u_2 = au_2^{(1)} = L + O(a^2 = L^2)$ etc. with

$$
u_2^{(1)} = 2\hat{r}u_0^{(0)};
$$
 (B.12)

$$
v_2^{(1)} = 2fv_0^{(0)} \frac{4N!(3^2 + z^2)(z_m + \cdot)}{3}; \qquad (B.13)
$$

$$
q_2^{(1)} = 2\hat{r}q_0^{(0)} \frac{4N! z (3^2 + z^2 + z_m)}{3}
$$
; (B.14)

$$
w_2^{(1)} = 2fw_0^{(0)}; \t\t (B.15)
$$

$$
r_2^{(1)} = 2fr_0^{(0)}:
$$
 (B.16)

Sim ilarly, $u_6 = au_6^{(1)} = L + O (a^2 = L^2)$ etc. with

$$
u_6^{(1)} = \frac{2z \sin^{(0)}}{u_0}; \qquad (B.17)
$$

$$
v_6^{(1)} = \frac{2z \sin 0}{v_0} + \frac{2N \cdot 2 (z_m + 1)}{3}; \qquad (B.18)
$$

$$
q_6^{(1)} = \frac{2z \sin(0)}{q_0^{(0)} + \frac{2N! \cdot 1 + z^2(1 \cdot \infty)}{3}; \qquad (B.19)
$$

$$
w_6^{(1)} = \frac{2z \sin}{w_0^{(0)}}; \qquad (B.20)
$$

$$
r_6^{(1)} = \frac{2z \sin}{r_0^{(0)}};
$$
 (B.21)

For the derivatives w ith respect to the m ass param eters we have,

$$
u_i = (L = a)u_i^{(1)} + u_i^{(0)} + O (a=L); \quad (i = 3;5)
$$
 (B.22)

w ith

$$
u_3^{(0)} = z_m u_3^{(-1)}; \quad u_5^{(0)} = z u_3^{(-1)}; \quad (B.23)
$$

and analogous equations hold in all other cases. Dening

$$
X = \frac{(1 + z_m)}{!}
$$
; (B.24)

$$
Y = \frac{(1 + z_m)}{!}; \t\t (B.25)
$$

$$
X' = \frac{z (- + \infty)}{!}; \qquad (B.26)
$$

$$
\Upsilon = \frac{z (- + \sin)}{!}; \qquad (B.27)
$$

one has

$$
u_3^{(-1)} = \frac{X u_0^{(0)}}{!} + \frac{N z_m}{!} ; \qquad (B.28)
$$

$$
v_3^{(-1)} = \frac{2X v_0^{(0)}}{2} \frac{N (+ z_m Y)}{2}; \qquad (B.29)
$$

$$
q_3^{(-1)} = \frac{2X q_0^{(0)}}{2} \frac{N z (1 Y)}{2}
$$
; (B.30)

$$
w_3^{(-1)} = 2(z_m u_3^{(-1)} + u_0^{(0)});
$$
 (B.31)

$$
r_3^{(-1)} = 2z u_3^{(-1)};
$$
 (B.32)

and

$$
u_5^{(-1)} = \frac{X u_0^{(0)}}{!} + \frac{N z}{!} ; \qquad (B.33)
$$

$$
v_5^{(-1)} = \frac{2X'v_0^{(0)}}{2} \frac{N(2z + z_m Y)}{2}; \qquad (B.34)
$$

$$
q_5^{(-1)} = \frac{2Xq_0^{(0)}}{2} + \frac{N (z Y - z_m + 1)}{2}
$$
; (B.35)

$$
w_5^{(-1)} = 2z_m u_5^{(-1)};
$$
 (B.36)

$$
r_5^{(-1)} = 2z u_5^{(-1)} 2u_0^{(0)}:
$$
 (B.37)

N ote the identities

$$
0 = 2z u_3^{(-1)} 2z_m u_5^{(-1)} u_6^{(1)};
$$
 (B.38)

$$
0 = 2z \, v_3^{(-1)} \, 2z_m \, v_5^{(-1)} \, v_6^{(1)} + 2q_0^{(0)} \, ; \tag{B.39}
$$
\n
$$
0 = 2z \, c^{(-1)} \, 2z \, c^{(-1)} \, \alpha^{(1)} \, 2z^{(0)} \, . \tag{B.40}
$$

$$
0 = 2z \, q_3^{(-1)} \, 2z_m \, q_5^{(-1)} \, q_6^{(1)} \, 2v_0^{(0)}; \qquad (B.40)
$$

$$
0 = 2z \, w_3^{(-1)} \, 2z_m \, w_5^{(-1)} \, w_6^{(1)} + 2r_0^{(0)}; \qquad (B.41)
$$

$$
0 = 2z \, r_3^{(1)} \, 2z_m \, r_5^{(1)} \, r_6^{(1)} \, 2w_0^{(0)} \, : \qquad (B.42)
$$

The remaining ∞ e cients to be specied are v $_4 = \text{av}_4^{(1)} = L + O \text{ (a}^2 = L^2)$ and $w_4 = aw_4^{(1)} = L + O (a^2 = L^2) w \text{ if }$

$$
v_4^{(1)} = \frac{2N!^2}{2}; \t\t (B.43)
$$

$$
w_4^{(1)} = \frac{4N!^3}{\cdot} : \qquad (B.44)
$$

Finally we specify the term $s \cup_1$;:: appearing on the right hand side of equations [\(5.30\)](#page-21-0){[\(5.34\)](#page-21-0):

$$
V_1 = e_L^{(1)} v_2^{(1)} z_m^2 b_m^{(1)} v_3^{(-1)} + z_m \left[b_A^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} b_0^{(0)} + c_A^{(1)} v_4 \right]; \quad (B.45)
$$

$$
Q_1 = e_t^{(1)} q_2^{(1)} z_m^2 b_m^{(1)} q_3^{(1)} + z_m b_v^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} b_0^{(0)};
$$
 (B.46)

$$
U_1 = e_L^{(1)} u_2^{(1)} z_m^2 b_m^{(1)} u_3^{(1)} + z_m b_P^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} u_0^{(0)} ; \qquad (B.47)
$$

$$
W_1 = e_L^{(1)} w_2^{(1)} z_m^{2} b_m^{(1)} w_3^{(1)} + z_m b_A^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} w_0^{(0)} + c_A^{(1)} w_4 ; (B.48)
$$

\n
$$
R_1 = e_L^{(1)} r_2^{(1)} z_m^{2} b_m^{(1)} r_3^{(1)} + z_m b_V^{(1)} + 2b^{(1)} b_C^{(0)} ;
$$
 (B.49)

R eferences

- [1] R . Frezzotti, P.A . G rassi, S. Sint and P. W eisz, N ucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 83 (2000) 941, hep-lat/9909003
- [2] R . Frezzotti, P.A . G rassi, S. Sint and P. W eisz, \Lattice Q C D w ith a chirally twisted m ass term ", hep-lat/0101001
- [3] M. Luscher, S. Sint, R. Som m er and P. W eisz, Nucl. Phys. B 478 (1996) 365
- [4] M . Luscher and P. W eisz, Nucl. Phys. B 479 (1996) 429
- [5] S.Sintand P.W eisz,N ucl.Phys.B502 (1997) 251;N ucl.Phys.B (Proc. Suppl.)63 (1998) 856
- [6] M.Luscher, Commun. Math. Phys. 54, 283 (1977)
- [7] B.Sheikholeslam iand R.W ohlert, Nucl. Phys. B259 (1985) 572
- [8] M . Guagnelliet al. [A LPHA C ollaboration], Nucl. Phys. B595 (2001) 44
- [9] M . Luscher, R . N arayanan, P. W eisz and U . W ol, N ucl. Phys. B384 (1992) 168
- [10] S. Sint, Nucl. Phys. B 421 (1994) 135
- [11] S. Sint, Nucl. Phys. B 451 (1995) 416
- [12] M. Luscher, S. Sint, R. Sommer, P. Weisz and U. Wol, Nucl. Phys. B491 (1997) 323
- [13] M .Luscher,S.Sint,R .Som m erand H .W ittig,N ucl.Phys.B491 (1997) 344
- [14] E.G abrielli, G.M artinelli, C.P ittori, G.H eatlie and C.T.Sachrajda, N ucl.Phys.B362 (1991) 475
- [15] S. Sint, private notes (1996)
- [16] M . Luscher and P.W eisz, Nucl. Phys. B 266 (1986) 309
- [17] J.H eitger, Nucl. Phys. B 557 (1999) 309
- [18] M.Guagnelli, J.H eitger, R.Sommer and H.Wittig, Nucl.Phys.B560 (1999) 465
- [19] M.D ella M orte, R.Frezzotti, J.H eitger and S.Sint, hep-lat/0010091 and in preparation
- [20] M . D ella M orte, R . Frezzotti and J. H eitger, work in progress
- [21] A LPHA collaboration and R om e \Tor Vergata", work in progress