

# The fate of the type I non-BPS D7-brane

Oscar Loaiza-Brito <sup>1</sup>, Angel M. Uranga

*Theory Division, CERN*

*CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

## Abstract

We describe the fate of the Type I non-BPS D7-brane, which is tachyonic but carries a non-trivial K-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge. It decays to topologically non-trivial gauge field configurations on the background D9-branes. In the uncompactified theory the decay proceeds to infinity, while with a transverse torus the decay reaches a final state, a toron gauge configuration with vanishing Chern classes but non-trivial  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge. A similar behaviour is obtained for the type I non-BPS D8-brane, and other related systems. We construct explicit examples of type IIB orientifolds with non-BPS D7-branes, which are hence non-supersymmetric, but for which supersymmetry is restored upon condensation of the tachyon.

We also report on the interesting structure of non-BPS states of type IIA theory in the presence of an O6-plane, their M-theory lifts, the relation between string theory K-theory and M-theory cohomology, and its interplay with NS-NS charged objects. We discuss several new effects, including: i) transmutation between NS-NS and RR torsion charges, ii) non-BPS states classified by K-theory but not by cohomology in string theory, but whose lift to M-theory is cohomological.

CERN-TH/2000-094

April 2001

---

<sup>1</sup>On leave from Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y Estudios Avanzados del IPN (CINVESTAV-IPN), México D.F., México, e-mail: oloaiza@fis.cinvestav.mx

# 1 Introduction

Type I string theory contains certain D-branes with conserved K-theory charges, but which are nevertheless tachyonic. Specifically, type I non-BPS  $\widehat{D7}$ - and  $\widehat{D8}$ -branes<sup>1</sup> carry non-trivial K-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charges, but contain world-volume tachyons arising from strings stretching between the non-BPS brane and the background D9-branes [1]. Given their non-trivial conserved charges, such states must decay not to the vacuum, but to some other state with their same quantum numbers. In this note we give a detailed picture of this decay, intending to clarify some confusion in the literature. We would like to mention however that some of the points have been anticipated in [2, 3].

Intuitively, one expects these branes to decay to gauge field configurations on the D9-brane world-volume, associated to topologically non-trivial bundles in the correct K-theory class. In fact, in Section 2 we argue that the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane in the uncompactified theory is unstable against dissolving as a monopole-antimonopole pair in the D9-brane gauge group. The tachyon has a runaway behaviour and does not reach a minimum for any finite characteristic size of the gauge field lump. Hence the decay continues to infinity, leading to an infinitely extended and diluted gauge configuration. If the space transverse to the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane is compactified on a two-torus, the decay reaches a final state, which we characterize in detail as a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  toron of  $SO(32)$  gauge theory. We also describe a T-dual picture in which the decay process is very intuitive, and corresponds to recombining intersecting D-branes into smooth ones, in the spirit of e.g. [4, 5].

In Section 3 we analyze the decay of the  $\widehat{D8}$ -brane, whose story is similar. It decays to a ‘kink’ configuration in the D9-brane gauge group, which in a non-compact setup does not reach any final state but becomes infinitely extended and diluted. In compact space it reaches a final state described by a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  Wilson line on the D9-branes. In Section 4 we describe related systems of D-branes and O-planes.

In Section 5 we extend on an independent topic, originally motivated by the above systems. We consider the system of an O6-plane with no overlapping D6-branes. By the previous analysis this theory contains stable non-BPS  $\widehat{D4}$ - and  $\widehat{D5}$ -branes, carrying  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charges. In discussing the M-theory lift of these and other stable non-BPS states in the configuration, we find multiple interesting issues concerning the nature of NS-NS and RR charges in the IIA theory, and its M-theory origin. In particular, we find that certain non-BPS states carrying torsion NS-NS and RR charges are topologically equivalent once lifted to M-theory. We also find non-BPS states in IIA theory whose charge is classified by K-theory but not by cohomology, but whose M-theory lift corresponds

---

<sup>1</sup>We use a bar to denote antibranes, and a hat to denote non-BPS branes

to M-branes wrapped on torsion cohomology cycles in the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. This result is relevant in understanding the appearance of K-theory in string theory as derived from M-theory, and we explain why it suggests an extension of those in [6]. This section is self-contained, and the reader interested only in these aspects is advised to proceed directly to it.

Finally, in the appendix we construct an explicit type IIB orientifold with  $\widehat{D7}$ -branes, where a proper understanding of the tachyon is quite essential, since supersymmetry is restored upon condensation of the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane tachyon.

## 2 The fate of the type I non-BPS D7-brane

### 2.1 Construction

As described in [7], the type I non-BPS  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane is constructed as a pair of one D7- and one  $\overline{D7}$ -brane in type IIB, exchanged by the action of world-sheet parity  $\Omega$ . In the world-volume of the  $7\overline{7}$  pair, before the  $\Omega$  projection, the 8d spectrum is as follows: In the  $77$  sector we have a  $U(1)$  gauge boson, one complex scalar, and fermions in the  $4 + \overline{4}$  of the  $SO(6)$  Lorentz little group; the  $\overline{77}$  sector leads to an analogous piece; the  $7\overline{7} + \overline{77}$  sector provides one complex tachyon and two fermions in the  $4 + \overline{4}$ , all with with  $U(1)^2$  charge  $(+1, -1)$ . The  $\Omega$  action exchanges the  $77$  and  $\overline{77}$  sectors, leaving a group  $U(1)$ , a complex scalar and vector-like fermions, and maps the  $7\overline{7} + \overline{77}$  to itself, projecting out the tachyon and keeping just one set of fermions.

Hence the type I  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane would appear to be tachyon-free. However, RR tadpole cancellation in type I requires the presence of a background of 32 D9-branes, the role of which for the stability of the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane was noticed in [1] (see also [8]). In fact, the  $79 + 9\overline{7}$  sector gives a complex tachyon field, and one chiral fermion, while the  $\overline{79} + 9\overline{7}$  sector gives their  $\Omega$  image.

Despite the instability associated to this tachyon, the D7-brane is unable to decay to the vacuum. This follows from the fact that it carries a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge corresponding to the non-trivial K-theory class  $x$  in  $\mathbf{KO}(\mathbf{S}^2) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ . In fact, as proposed in [7] and checked in [9], the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge can be detected by a  $-1$  sign picked up by a  $\widehat{D0}$ -brane probe moving around the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane in the transverse two-plane. Since the  $\widehat{D0}$ -brane transforms as a  $SO(32)$  spinor [10], this implies that the K-theory class associated to the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane corresponds to a topologically non-trivial bundle with asymptotic monodromy in the non-trivial element of  $\Pi_1(SO(32)) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ . This property in fact characterizes the non-trivial class  $x$  in  $\mathbf{KO}(\mathbf{S}^2) = \mathbf{Z}_2$

A different way to detect the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge of the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane is to introduce a D5-brane probe intersecting the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane over a four-dimensional space [11]. The intersection leads to a single four-dimensional Weyl fermion doublet of the D5-brane  $SU(2)$  gauge group. The  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  global gauge anomaly [12] from this 4d fermion is a reflection of the non-trivial  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge of the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane <sup>2</sup>. In fact, the appearance of such fermion implies that the K-theory class of the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane corresponds to a bundle with an odd number of fermion zero modes of the (real) Dirac operator. By the index theorem [14], this characterizes the K-theory class of the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane as the non-trivial class  $x$  in  $\mathbf{KO}(\mathbf{S}^2) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ .

Hence the type I  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane carries a topological charge, endowing it with quantum numbers different from those of the vacuum, to which it cannot decay. It must instead decay to some state carrying the same  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  (and no other) charge. Since, from the viewpoint of the parent type IIB theory, the tachyon triggering the decay arises from open strings stretching between the D9- and D7-branes (the  $\overline{79}+9\overline{7}$  sector giving merely its  $\Omega$  image), one is led to suspect that the decay will be roughly speaking a  $\Omega$ -invariant version of the much studied tachyon condensation in the  $\text{D}p\text{-D}(p+2)$  system (see e.g. [15]). In fact, our analysis below will make this analogy quite precise, and establish that the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane dissolves as a non-trivial gauge field configuration on the D9-brane gauge group. Much as in the  $\text{D}p\text{-D}(p+2)$  system, for non-compact transverse space the tachyon condensation does not reach an endpoint and leads to infinitely extended and diluted gauge configurations. For compact transverse space, however, the tachyon reaches a minimum and the condensation reaches an endpoint configuration.

## 2.2 The non-compact case

We have argued that the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane is unstable against decay to a topologically non-trivial gauge bundle on the D9-branes, characterized by the K-theory class  $x$  associated to the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge. Indeed, it is easy to describe  $SO(32)$  gauge configurations on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  carrying such charge. Before going into details, let us emphasize that such configurations are however not solutions of the equations of motion. A scaling argument [7] shows that any such lump configuration can lower its energy by increasing its characteristic size. Hence, the gauge field lumps tend dynamically to become infinitely

---

<sup>2</sup>In the non-compact context, this anomaly is presumably cancelled by a (K-theoretic) anomaly inflow mechanism, implicit in [13]. Hence there is no inconsistency in the configuration, corresponding to the fact that D-brane charge need not cancel in non-compact space.

extended and diluted <sup>3</sup>. However, it is interesting to explore the properties of the topological class of such configurations.

In order to describe a simple example, let us split the group  $SO(32)$  as  $SO(30) \times SO(2)$ , and embed a non-trivial gauge background on the  $SO(2)$  factor, of the form

$$F = f(x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $f(x_1, x_2)$  is a function with compact support in a subset  $\Sigma$  or  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

We should require proper Dirac quantization for fields in the adjoint of  $SO(32)$ . This representation decomposes as  $\mathbf{496} = \mathbf{435}_0 + \mathbf{30}_{+1} + \mathbf{30}_{-1} + \mathbf{1}_0$ , with subindices denoting the  $SO(2)$  charge. Hence, Dirac quantization for this representation requires the integral of  $f$  to be  $2\pi$  times an integer. Choosing the minimum Dirac quantum

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = 2\pi, \quad (2.2)$$

quantization is obeyed for the  $SO(32)$  adjoint, but not for the  $SO(32)$  spinor representation. Fields in this representation carry charge  $q = \pm 1/2$  under the  $SO(2)$  subgroup, hence their asymptotic holonomy is

$$\exp(q \oint_{\partial\Sigma} A) = \exp(q \int_{\Sigma} F) = \exp(q 2\pi i \sigma_2) = -\mathbf{1}_2 \quad (2.3)$$

Hence  $\widehat{D0}$ -branes pick up a  $-1$  phase in going around the gauge field lump (2.1), which therefore carries the correct K-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge. Notice that, regarding the  $SO(2)$  subgroup as arising from two D9-branes (related by  $\Omega$ ), the above gauge background can be seen as a unit Dirac monopole in one D9-brane (we denote it D9<sub>+</sub>) and an antimonopole in another (D9<sub>-</sub>), both being exchanged by  $\Omega$ .

It is also easy to detect the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  topological charge by introducing a D5-brane probe, spanning the directions  $x^1, x^2$ . The 4d Weyl fermions in doublets of the D5-brane  $SU(2)$  arise from zero modes in the Kaluza-Klein reduction of the 6d fermions in the 59+95 sector, in the presence of the gauge field background. Clearly, strings stretched between D5-branes and the 30 D9-branes with no gauge flux lead to no contribution to the 4d global gauge anomaly. However, fermions zero modes arise from strings stretched between the D5-branes and the D9<sub>+</sub>-brane (while strings stretched between the D5- and the D9<sub>-</sub>-brane are merely their  $\Omega$  image). The number of fermion zero modes is given by the index of the (complex) Dirac operator coupled to the monopole

---

<sup>3</sup>The absence of a tachyon vacuum manifold allows to avoid the argument in [8]. For more detailed discussion of the relation, in this example, between the classification of states by K-theory classes vs. homotopy classes of the vacuum manifold, see [3].

background. By the index theorem, this number is 1 for the minimum quantum (2.2), reproducing the correct 4d Weyl fermion global anomaly. The above discussion can be regarded as a rudimentary computation of the mod two index of the real Dirac operator of the  $SO(32)$  gauge configuration.

Notice that for any such configuration, strings stretched between the 30 D9-branes with no background, and the D9<sub>+</sub>- or the D9<sub>-</sub>-brane lead to additional tachyons, whose condensation would further break the gauge group. Such strings behave as charged particles in a magnetic field, and so are localized in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . The negative squared masses of the tachyons are related to the gauge field strength at such points. Hence, as the flux becomes more dilute the masses get less and less tachyonic. This argument also supports that the system become more and more stable as the gauge lump expands.

## 2.3 Toroidal transverse space

The situation would be better understood by taking the space transverse to the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane to be a  $\mathbf{T}^2$ , since the size of the gauge field lump would have an upper bound, and we may expect a well-defined final state. Notice that there is a subtlety in trying to do so: As discussed in [11], consistency of the configuration requires cancellation of the K-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge in the compact space, so we have to include at least two  $\widehat{D7}$ -branes. The total configuration hence has the same quantum numbers as the vacuum, to which it could annihilate. However, for our present purposes we may consider one of the  $\widehat{D7}$ -branes as spectator, and ignore it in the analysis of the decay of the other. We will be interested in describing the decay in this setup <sup>4</sup>.

### 2.3.1 A T-dual picture

One advantage in making the transverse space compact is the possibility of using T-dual pictures to describe the decay process. Considering for simplicity a square two-torus, and vanishing NS-NS B-field, we may T-dualize along one direction in the torus to get type I' theory, as depicted in Fig 1. The O9-plane becomes two O8-planes, and the D9-branes become D8-branes, all depicted horizontally in the picture. The type IIB D7- $\overline{D7}$  pair becomes a pair of oppositely oriented vertical D8-branes, which are exchanged by

---

<sup>4</sup>A more suitable system to address the compact setup would be the (isomorphic in other respects) decay of a non-BPS D( $p - 2$ )-brane in the presence of D $p$ -branes on top of an O $p$ -plane, See section 4. The existence of this non-BPS brane, its non-trivial  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge, and its tachyon in the  $p$ -( $p - 2$ ) sector, are shown in the same way as for the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane. For lower  $p$  we may consistently compactify without bothering about tadpole cancellation conditions.




Figure 1: Schematic picture of the decay of the type I  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane in the type I and T-dual type I' pictures

the orientifold action  $\Omega R$ , where  $R$  is a reflection in the vertical direction. Some useful references for the T-duality relation and what follows are [16, 4, 5].

The process of dissolving the  $D7\text{-}\overline{D7}$  pair in the D9-branes corresponds in the T-dual to recombining the intersecting vertical and horizontal D8-branes into a smooth one, as shown in the figure (see e.g. [4, 5]). The gauge group on the D8-branes is broken to a subgroup of  $SO(32)$ , corresponding to the fact that the magnetic flux breaks the D9-brane group to a subgroup. In particular the above  $SO(2)$  monopole-antimonopole background corresponds to recombining two horizontal D8-branes with the vertical ones, in a  $\Omega R$  invariant fashion.

This picture makes it clear that, in the non-compact context (large volume limit of the original torus, large complex structure of the T-dual torus), the process of recombination does not reach a final state, but goes on to infinity, since it is always possible to lower the length of the recombined D-branes by straightening them.

The  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge carried by the configuration is not so manifest in terms of moving around (the T-dual of) a  $\widehat{D0}$ -brane. However, it is easily detected by introducing the T-dual of a D5-brane probe, which is a pair of horizontal D4-branes. The 4d Weyl fermion arises from the intersection between the D4-branes and the recombined D8-branes.

Finally, this picture makes manifest the evolution of the spectrum of the configuration as the recombination proceeds. In particular, the tachyons arising from the remaining intersections have negative squared masses proportional to the intersection angles. Hence, it is obvious they become less and less tachyonic as the configuration relaxes by extending and diluting, as discussed above.

### 2.3.2 The final configuration

In the compact context the decay process reaches a final state (equivalently the tachyon potential has a minimum), whose description and properties we study in this section.

In the type I' picture it is clear that any intersection implies the existence of a tachyon triggering recombination. The system does not stabilize until all branes are aligned in the horizontal direction. This agrees with that configuration being the minimum energy state with total homology class  $(32, 0)$  in  $\mathbf{T}^2$ . The original  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge is not homological, but rather K-theoretical, and not too manifest in this picture. Because of this we describe the final state in the original type I picture.

In terms of the original picture, the final state is a D9-brane gauge field configuration, with vanishing Chern classes, and with a topological  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge, characterized by  $-1$  holonomies in the spinor representation. There is a simple gauge field configuration satisfying those requirements, a  $SO(32)$  toron.

Consider decomposing  $SO(32)$  as  $SO(29) \times SO(3)$ . Since  $SO(3) \simeq SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ , one can construct a set of two Wilson lines which commute in  $SO(3)$  but anticommute in  $SU(2)$ . In the two-dimensional representation of  $SU(2)$  we can take

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

which satisfy  $PQ = -QP$ . In the three-dimensional representation, the vector of  $SO(3)$ , these matrices descend to the (commuting) matrices

$$\gamma_1 = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1) \quad ; \quad \gamma_2 = \text{diag}(-1, 1, -1) \quad (2.5)$$

This construction is equivalent to that of  $SU(2)$  toron configurations in [17].

We propose this configuration as the final state for the decay of the type I  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane. In fact, the connection is flat and all Chern classes vanish. However, the corresponding bundle is non-trivial, and carries the correct  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge, as we now discuss <sup>5</sup>.

For instance, a  $\widehat{D0}$ -brane transforms as a  $SO(32)$  spinor, hence as a doublet under the above  $SU(2)$ . In the presence of such gauge background, it suffers a holonomy  $PQP^{-1}Q^{-1} = -1$  when carried along the square surrounding the unit cell in  $\mathbf{T}^2$ . This characterizes the existence of the correct  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge in the configuration.

It is also easy to detect the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge by introducing a D5-brane probe wrapped on  $\mathbf{T}^2$ . The spectrum in the 59+95 spectrum, in the absence of gauge background, consists of half-hypermultiplets in the representation  $(\mathbf{2}; \mathbf{32})$ , i.e. an even number of doublets under the D5-brane  $SU(2)$ . In the presence of Wilson lines, they decompose

---

<sup>5</sup>The  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge of the Wilson lines (2.5) was already noticed in [2].



as half-hypermultiplets in the  $(\mathbf{2}; \mathbf{29}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{2}; \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$ . The former are untouched, but the latter are projected out by the matrices (2.5). We are hence left with an odd number of Weyl fermions doublets of  $SU(2)$ , whose contribution to the global gauge anomaly reflects the non-trivial  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge of the gauge bundle.

Let us conclude this section by pointing out that the final state is unique, up to gauge transformations. Another interesting property is that the final state in the decay of the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane is supersymmetric, and obviously free of tachyons. This is consistent with its being the minimum energy configuration in its topological class. This remark is important in certain applications, like model building. This is illustrated in the appendix, where we construct a type IIB non-supersymmetric orientifold with  $\widehat{D7}$ -branes, with supersymmetry restored upon tachyon condensation.

### 3 The fate of the type I non-BPS D8-brane

In this section we would like to discuss the decay of the  $\widehat{D8}$ -brane. Since it is analogous to the decay of the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane in some respects, our treatment is more sketchy.

The type I  $\widehat{D8}$ -brane can be described in open string theory by imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions in one direction, say  $x^9$ , and endowing worldsheet boundaries with an additional fermion degree of freedom [7]. In the 88 sector, after the  $\Omega$  projection one obtains a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  ( $\simeq O(1)$ ) gauge group, one real scalar field, and one 9d fermion. In the 89+98 sector, one obtains a real tachyon and one 9d fermion.

Hence, the  $\widehat{D8}$ -brane is unstable against condensation of the 89 tachyon. However, the state carries a non-trivial K-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge, and cannot decay to the vacuum. The topological  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge can be detected, as proposed in [7] and checked in [9], by moving a non-BPS D-instanton across the  $\widehat{D8}$ -brane, since its amplitude picks up a  $-1$  sign in the process. This characterizes the K-theory class of the  $\widehat{D8}$ -brane to be the non-trivial class  $y$  in  $\mathbf{KO}(\mathbf{S}^1) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ .

An alternative way to detect the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge is to consider a stack of  $n$  type I D1-branes wrapped along  $x^9$ . The intersection between the D1- and the  $\widehat{D8}$ -branes supports one 1d fermion, leading to a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  global gauge anomaly in the  $O(n)$  gauge group. The existence of this fermion zero mode characterizes the K-theory class of the  $\widehat{D8}$ -brane as the class  $y$  in  $\mathbf{KO}(\mathbf{S}^1) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ .

The  $\widehat{D8}$ -brane therefore decays not to the vacuum, but to a non-trivial gauge field configuration on the D9-branes, with non-trivial profile only along  $x^9$ . By a scaling argument, such gauge field lumps are not static, but dynamically tend to become infinitely extended and diluted. The tachyon hence does not have a minimum, but

rather a runaway behaviour. However, it is interesting to consider intermediate steps in its condensation.

The gauge configurations in this class are characterized by being pure gauge in the two asymptotic regions  $x^9 \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ,  $A = g dg^{-1}$ , with  $g(x^9 \rightarrow \pm\infty)$  in different connected components of the perturbative D9-brane gauge group  $O(32)$ . The gauge field at infinity is associated to the non-trivial class in  $\Pi_0(O(32))$ . By using the spectral flow of the Dirac operator and the index theorem [18], this property ensures that the type I D-instanton amplitude picks up a  $-1$  factor in crossing the gauge field lump (see [9] for details). The  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge can also be made manifest by introducing a D1-brane stretched along  $x^9$ . By an argument isomorphic to that in the first reference of [10], or using the index theorem, there is generically one normalizable fermion zero mode in the 19+91 sector, leading to one 1d fermion and hence to a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  global gauge anomaly.

The decay is more tractable by compactifying the transverse space on a circle  $\mathbf{S}^1$ . Again, this is possible only if one considers an even number of  $\widehat{\text{D8}}$ -branes, all of which but one are considered as spectators in what follows. (Alternatively, one may consider the (isomorphic in other respects) decay of a non-BPS  $\text{D}(p-1)$ -brane in the presence of an  $Op$ -plane and  $Dp$ -branes, see Section 4).

In such configuration, the decay of the  $\widehat{\text{D8}}$ -brane does reach a final state, which is simply a D9-brane gauge field configuration given by a non-trivial  $O(32)$   $\mathbf{Z}_2$  Wilson line, of the form

$$\gamma = \text{diag}(-1, \mathbf{1}_{31}) \tag{3.1}$$

It is straightforward to check that this configuration carries the correct  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge <sup>6</sup>. The spectrum of strings stretching between a D-instanton and the D9-branes contains fermion degrees of freedom in the vector of  $O(32)$ . Hence, in going around the circle, the D-instanton amplitude picks up a  $-1$  factor, reflecting the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge carried by the gauge configuration. Similarly, if we introduce a D1-brane wrapped along the  $\mathbf{S}^1$ , the 19+91 spectrum contains an odd number of one-dimensional fermions, leading to a 1d global gauge anomaly which reflects the background  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge.

Hence, very much as for the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane, the decay of the  $\widehat{\text{D8}}$ -brane proceeds to infinity in the non-compact configuration, but reaches a supersymmetric final state in the compact case, described as a simple D9-brane gauge background.

## 4 Some related systems

---

<sup>6</sup>The  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge of this Wilson line was observed in [2].

## 4.1 Lower-dimensional $Op$ -planes

A system analogous to the above ones is that of lower-dimensional non-BPS  $\widehat{Dq}$ -branes embedded within a set of  $Dp$ -branes, on top of a negatively charged  $Op$ -plane <sup>7</sup>. The analysis of the open string spectrum is isomorphic to the above, the only difference being that the number of  $Dp$ -branes is unconstrained. For configurations with a non-vanishing number of  $Dp$ -branes there exist non-BPS  $D(p-1)$ - and  $D(p-2)$ -branes which carry a topological  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge, but contain tachyons in the mixed sector.

As above, one is led to propose that the  $Dq$ -brane dissolves into a gauge field configuration on the orthogonal gauge group on the  $Dp$ -branes, which dynamically becomes infinitely extended and diluted. In fact this is correct in general, save for an interesting subtlety for configuration with too few  $Dp$ -branes.

Consider for instance a  $D(p-2)$ -brane in presence of a single  $Dp$ -brane on top of an  $Op$ -plane. There is a  $p(p-2)$  tachyon triggering a decay of the  $D(p-2)$ -brane to a gauge background. However, a single  $Dp$ -brane is unable to carry a bundle with the required  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge. The way out of the paradox is to realize that the topological class of the configuration is defined up to brane-antibrane nucleation/annihilation. The system hence nucleates additional  $Dp\text{-}\overline{Dp}$  pairs <sup>8</sup>, leading to an enhanced gauge group  $SO(n+1) \times SO(n)$ . Keeping the gauge bundle on the  $\overline{Dp}$ -branes trivial for simplicity, we may now embed a gauge configuration on the  $Dp$ -brane group, carrying the correct  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge.

The system presents an interesting energetic balance. Nucleation of  $Dp\text{-}\overline{Dp}$  pairs is energetically convenient as long as the  $p\bar{p}$  tachyons lie close to their minimum, namely the bundles on branes and antibranes do not differ much. This prevents the gauge field lump to become infinitely extended and diluted, since this would lead to a too large uncancelled  $Dp\text{-}\overline{Dp}$  pair tension. Instead, the gauge field lump stabilizes at a finite size, which may be estimated as follows. Consider compactifying the two DN directions in the problem in a square  $\mathbf{T}^2$ , with equal radii  $R$ , and nucleate e.g. two  $Dp\text{-}\overline{Dp}$  branes wrapped on it. For any  $R$ , a point-like  $D(p-2)$ -brane is tachyonic and tends to dissolve in the  $Dp$ -branes. The opposite situation, with a uniform gauge lump, given by a  $SO(3)$   $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -toron, is free of  $p\text{-}\bar{p}$  tachyons for small enough  $R$ , due to the effect of the Wilson lines. However, for  $R$  larger than the critical value  $R_c = \sqrt{2\alpha'}$ , a tachyon develops, suggesting that a uniformly extended lump of size larger than  $R_c$  is

<sup>7</sup>The system may contain additional non-BPS states associated to branes extending away from the  $Op$ -plane. We do not study them in this section, but will play a role in Section 5.3.

<sup>8</sup>In general, it is allowed to nucleate higher-dimensional branes extending away from the  $Op$ -plane. To simplify the discussion we restrict to  $Dp\text{-}\overline{Dp}$  pairs.

not stable. We take this as evidence for the stability of a configuration of branes and antibranes, with a gauge lump of characteristic size  $\sqrt{2\alpha'}$ , as a result of two competing effects: decreasing the lump size results in energetic cost for the lump itself, while increasing its size results in energetic cost from the brane-antibrane tensions.

## 4.2 The $USp(32)$ theory

As another interesting system of D-branes and O-planes, we consider the possible existence of unstable but non-trivially charged branes in  $USp(32)$  type I theory [30]. Recall this theory is obtained by modding out type IIB theory by  $\Omega$ , but with an O9-plane with positive RR charge. The RR tadpole is subsequently cancelled by introducing 32  $\overline{D9}$ -branes, leading to a gauge group  $USp(32)$ . D-brane charges are classified by  $\mathbf{KSp}(\mathbf{S}^n)$  ( $\simeq \mathbf{KO}(\mathbf{S}^{n+4})$  from Bott periodicity), and lead to  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued charges for D1, D5 and D9-branes, and  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -valued charges for  $\widehat{D3}$ ,  $\widehat{D4}$ -branes. The latter are easily constructed in string theory (see e.g. [9]): the  $\widehat{D3}$ -brane is obtained as a type IIB D3- $\overline{D3}$  pair, exchanged by  $\Omega$ ; the  $\widehat{D4}$ -brane is constructed by imposing Dirichlet conditions in 5 dimensions, and adding a fermion degree of freedom to the worldsheet boundary. The objects are tachyon-free even when the 32 background  $\overline{D9}$ -branes are taken into account, and hence are fully stable.

However, such objects can be destabilized in situations where they encounter D5-branes. These are not present in the ten-dimensional background, but however may arise in compactifications of the theory (see [31] for examples), where they may be required for RR tadpole cancellation. Noticing that the gauge group on D5-branes in orthogonal, the analysis of the  $\widehat{D3}/D5$  or  $\widehat{D4}/D5$  systems is analogous to that in previous sections. Specifically, strings in mixed sectors produce tachyons triggering the decay of the D3-brane (resp. D4-brane) into a codimension two (resp. one) gauge lump in the D5-brane group. Generalization of these statements to lower dimensional  $O_p$ -planes is straightforward.

## 5 Non-BPS states and cohomology in M-theory

In this section we report on the interesting structure of non-BPS states of type IIA theory in the presence of an O6-plane. From the above analysis, the theory in the absence of D6-branes (case on which we center henceforth) contains fully stable non-BPS states constructed as non-BPS  $\widehat{D4}$ - and  $\widehat{D5}$ -branes within the O6-plane. Namely, they can be constructed as bundles on virtual D6- $\overline{D6}$  pairs, associated to the non-trivial classes in  $\mathbf{KO}(\mathbf{S}^2) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{KO}(\mathbf{S}^1) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ . Our initial motivation was to find the

M-theory lifts of such states, which must exist given their stability. In answering this question we will however be drawn to more general, deeper, and in fact more interesting issues, related to the interplay between K-theory in string theory and cohomology in M-theory, and hence to the nature of RR and NS-NS charges in string theory. Given this more general interest, it is convenient to start by reviewing some general results on the topic.

The notion of K-theory as a classification tool for RR charges in string theory is natural, since the objects charged under RR fields, D-branes, are naturally endowed with gauge bundles. Branes of M-theory, however, are not, and K-theory does not seem to arise in M-theory in the same natural way. Until a more complete framework is provided (or until it is convincingly shown that it is not required), the simplest proposal is that charge under the M-theory  $p$ -forms is classified by cohomology. This proposal has passed a first non-trivial check in the beautiful computation in [6], where, for a large class of configurations, the zero mode piece of the partition function for RR fields of type IIA on a manifold  $\mathbf{X}_{10}$ , topologically classified by K-theory, was recovered from the zero mode piece of the partition function for  $p$ -form fields of M-theory on  $\mathbf{X}_{10} \times \mathbf{S}^1$ , as classified by cohomology.

It is important to emphasize that in the configurations under study in [6], in the string theory side the set of charges provided by K-theory is a *subset* of those provided by cohomology. Namely, there exist cohomology classes which do not correspond to the Chern character of any bundle, or K-theory class of bundles. In the M-theory computation, the starting set of charges is given by cohomology, but the (properly defined) *CGG* phase in M-theory leads, upon reduction, to ‘projecting out’ the cohomology classes not corresponding to K-theory classes of the IIA string configuration.

It is natural to try to extend our understanding of the relation between K-theory in string theory and its M-theory lift. There are several possible avenues to do so, and we would like to argue that the study of IIA theory in the presence of an O6-plane explores some. First, it would be interesting to derive K-theory from M-theory on spacetimes which are  $\mathbf{S}^1$  bundles over a 10d spacetime, rather than a Cartesian product. A simple example of this type is provided by the M-theory lift of the O6-plane, which corresponds to an Atiyah-Hitchin manifold. A computation along the lines of [6] may be possible, but is beyond the scope of this note. Second, it is natural to wonder about the M-theory origin of stable non-BPS states in IIA theory, with charge classified by K-theory but not by cohomology. In Section 5.3 we will describe one such state in IIA theory with an O6-plane, and show its M-theory lift corresponds to an M-brane with torsion cohomological charge. Finally, it would be interesting to explore the role of NS-NS

charged objects in the classification of topological charges in string theory. In Section 5.2 we will show that lifting to M-theory sometimes leads to topological equivalences of torsion NS-NS and RR charges, suggesting the existence of some underlying structure unifying their description.

Our analysis is far from systematic, in particular given the uncertainty of the correct framework to carry it out. We expect that our observations concerning the O6-plane are helpful in finding it.

## 5.1 Non-BPS states in IIA theory with an O6-plane

Let us consider IIA theory with a negatively charged O6-plane, and no D6-branes. As argued above, the theory contains certain non-BPS  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charged states, constructed from  $\widehat{\text{D4}}$ - and  $\widehat{\text{D5}}$ -branes within the O6-plane. A unified way to describe these and other states is to consider branes wrapping non-trivial cycles in the transverse space  $\mathbf{R}^3/\mathbf{Z}_2$ . Since we are interested in compact cycles, states are classified by the (co)homology of  $\mathbf{RP}_2$ , given by (see e.g. [24])

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
H^0(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z} & H^0(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{0} & H_0(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z} & H_0(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{Z}_2 \\
H^1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{0} & H^1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{Z}_2 & H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2 & H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{0} \\
H^2(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2 & H^2(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{Z} & H_2(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{0} & H_2(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{Z}
\end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}$  is the twisted bundle of integers, whose cohomology classifies periods of forms which change sign in moving around the non-contractible path in  $\mathbf{RP}_2$ .

Two comments are in order: First, D( $p+n$ )-branes wrapped on  $n$ -cycles in projective spaces have been extensively used [2, 20] to classify the possible fluxes giving different kinds of  $Op$ -planes (see e.g. [25, 26, 24, 2, 20]). Here we are interested in wrapping D( $q+n$ )-branes on  $n$ -cycles to obtain  $q$ -brane states within  $Op$ -planes, for  $q < p$ . Second, the classification given by homology should be refined by using K-theory, as done for fluxes in [20]. We will not attempt to do so in the present discussion, so our analysis may miss certain subtle features (like correlations between charges)<sup>9</sup>.

The orientifold projection flips the sign of the IIA RR 1-, 5- and 9-forms, and of the NS-NS 2-form, hence D0-, D4-, D8-branes and fundamental strings (denoted F1's) may wrap cycles with non-trivial twisted cohomology. The RR 3- and 7-forms, and the NS-NS 6-form are invariant, hence D2-, D6- and NS5-brane wrappings are classified by usual homology classes.

---

<sup>9</sup>v2. We would like to thank O. Bergman for pointing out that the 1-brane charges below do not T-dualize to charges of stable objects in type I theory, hence should not correspond to conserved K-theory charges in the O6-plane (despite being non-trivial in homology). Therefore a disappearance of homology classes in going to K-theory seems to be at work, as in [6, 20].

This construction provides an alternative description for some of the states we know. For instance, a D4-brane wrapped on the non-trivial class in  $H_0(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$  corresponds to the  $\widehat{\mathbf{D4}}$ -brane directly constructed above. Similarly, a D6-brane wrapped on the non-trivial class in  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$  would correspond to the  $\widehat{\mathbf{D5}}$ -brane. We would like to emphasize that the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charges of these states define non-trivial cohomology classes, as opposed to the  $\widehat{\mathbf{D7}}$ - and  $\widehat{\mathbf{D8}}$ -branes in Sections 2, 3. This difference does not contradict T-duality (which would apply in a compact context), this being related to the fact that T-duality in general acts not within cohomology but in derived categories (roughly speaking, K-theory) [21].

Instead of providing a complete classification, we would like to center on  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charged objects with tractable M-theory lifts. So we restrict our attention for instance to:

- a ‘RR’ 4-brane obtained by wrapping a D4-brane on the non-trivial class in  $H_0(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$
- a ‘NS-NS’ 4-brane given by an NS5-brane on the non-trivial class in  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ .
- a ‘RR’ 1-brane obtained by wrapping a D2-brane on the non-trivial class in  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ ,
- a ‘NS-NS’ 1-brane given by an F1 on the non-trivial class in  $H_0(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$

The M-theory lift of these states is discussed in next subsection.

## 5.2 Non-BPS states in M-theory and NSNS/RR charge transmutation

The M-theory lift of the background O6-plane is given [19] by an Atiyah-Hitchin manifold  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$ , introduced in [22] (see [23] for diverse applications in string theory). To describe the topology of  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$ , consider an  $\mathbf{S}^1$  bundle over  $\mathbf{S}^2$ , with Euler class  $-4$ , modded out by a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  action exchanging antipodal points in  $\mathbf{S}^2$  and flipping the coordinate in  $\mathbf{S}^1$ . This gives a circle bundle over  $\mathbf{RP}_2$ .  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$  is topologically an  $\mathbf{R}^2$  bundle over  $\mathbf{RP}_2$ , where the subbundle given by the angular  $\mathbf{S}^1$  is the above one [19]. This space is schematically depicted in figure 2a.

When  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$  is endowed with a hyperkahler metric, the  $\mathbf{S}^1$  fiber asymptotes to a constant radius, while the  $\mathbf{RP}_2$  grows along the radial direction in the 2-plane fiber. The geometry is roughly that of a  $\mathbf{S}^1$  fibration over  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , modded out by  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ , as depicted in figure 2b. M-theory on  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$  reduces, in the limit of small fiber radius, to type IIA




Figure 2: Schematic picture of  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ , a) as a topological space, b) when endowed with a hyperkahler metric.

theory with an O6-plane (and without D6-branes). The  $\mathbf{RP}_2$  within  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$  coincides with the  $\mathbf{RP}_2$  within  $\mathbf{R}^3/\mathbf{Z}_2$  used above in the IIA configuration.

This information is enough to discuss the M-brane wrapping possibilities. In fact, for the purpose of discussing the lifts of the above states we just need to know that, since  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$  is homotopic to  $\mathbf{RP}_2$ ,  $H_1(\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$  with generator e.g. the non-contractible 1-cycle in  $\mathbf{RP}_2$ .

This leads to an interesting result. Since a D4-brane lifts to an M5-brane wrapped on a 1-cycle, it follows that both the NS-NS and the RR 4-branes above correspond to an M5-brane wrapped on the non-trivial class in  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ . This nicely reproduces the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge of the objects, but implies a more dramatic consequence. In fact, since there is only one available 1-cycle, the NS-NS and RR 4-branes have an identical M-theory lift, and hence are topologically indistinguishable. This is sharp contrast with the string theory viewpoint, where they would seem to excite different kinds of fields, NS-NS and RR respectively. However, notice that the fields excited are pure torsion, so there is no contradiction with familiar intuition about conservation of NS-NS and RR charge. Similarly, the NS-NS and the RR 1-brane correspond to a M2-brane on a 1-cycle.

The situation is perhaps more intuitively grasped as follows. The RR D4-brane is nothing but the familiar  $\widehat{\text{D4}}$ -brane within the O6-plane, analogous to the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane within the O9-plane in section 2. As such one may understand it as a  $\text{D4}-\overline{\text{D4}}$  pair exchanged by the orientifold action. The pair can be moved away from the O6-plane in a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  symmetric fashion, leading to a very simple M-theory lift. It corresponds to two M5-branes wrapped with opposite orientations on the  $\mathbf{S}^1$  fiber of  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ , and sitting at opposite points on the base  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . The topology of the resulting cycle is shown in figure 3a. The corresponding 1-cycle is a representative of the non-trivial class in






Figure 3: M-theory lift of the RR and NS-NS 4-branes as M5-brane in non-trivial 1-cycles in  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ .

$$H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

On the other hand, the NS-NS 4-brane is a NS5-brane wrapped on the non-contractible 1-cycle in the  $\mathbf{RP}_2$  within  $\mathbf{R}^3/\mathbf{Z}_2$ . It lifts in the obvious fashion to an M5-brane wrapped on the non-trivial cycle in the  $\mathbf{RP}_2$  within  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ , providing just another representative of the same non-trivial class in  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ . This cycle is shown in figure 3b.

It is not difficult to directly show that the two 1-cycles are in fact homologous, and therefore the above two states carry the same charge. Hence, a single homology class in M-theory allows to (un)wind torsion objects in the M-theory circle, smoothly interpolating between branes with torsion charge under NS-NS and RR fields in the weakly coupled IIA string theory limit.

This result suggests the existence of an underlying framework in string theory, describing the NS-NS and RR topological sectors and avoiding redundancies like the one above. Such framework must go beyond the familiar K-theory, which is not suitable to incorporate NS-NS charge. It is remarkable that M-theory cohomology achieves this unified description, and we hope that it should reduce to a sensible formalism in the weakly coupled string theory regime.

### 5.3 Non-BPS states in M-theory and cohomology

Another issue we would like to address is the M-theory origin of string theory states with RR charge classified by K-theory but not by cohomology. The O6-plane configuration provides us with a simple string background with such charges. The question is hence how M-theory manages to incorporate these charges, i.e. is M-theory cohomology still enough to take them into account or do they require a more sophisticated framework? In the following we show one example where M-theory cohomology is still sufficient




Figure 4: Schematic picture of the M-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charged configuration of an M2-brane in a torsion 3-cycle in  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ .

to take these states into account. The question of whether this remains true for more general states and configurations remains open, and clearly deserves further study.

The state we would like to study is not in the class considered above. In intuitive terms, objects with too non-trivial transverse space tend to carry RR charges described by cohomology. We therefore try to construct our purely K-theoretic state as extended in directions transverse to the O6-plane.

Consider a pair of (euclidean) D2-,  $\overline{\text{D2}}$ -branes, wrapped on the three dimensions transverse to the O6-plane. This system is similar (and related by T-duality) to a  $\widehat{\text{D}(-1)}$ -brane on an O9-plane. In fact, the orientifold projection exchanges the D2- and the  $\overline{\text{D2}}$ -branes, removing their tachyon. More accurately, the tachyon is projected out in the intersection with the O6-plane, but survives in the bulk of the D2- $\overline{\text{D2}}$  pair. Hence, it may trigger asymptotic annihilation of the objects, but not a complete one, since the state carries non-trivial K-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge. In this section, however, we shall not be interested in the stability of the objects, rather in their non-trivial charges. The  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge of the above object is easily seen not to correspond to any cohomology class. If the RR  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge were cohomological, the fact that asymptotic annihilation is possible indicates that the cohomology class has compact support and should correspond to a D-brane wrapped on a compact cycle in  $\mathbf{RP}_2$ . However, there is no wrapped D-brane candidate for it (for instance, a (euclidean) D0-brane cannot wrap a 1-cycle, since  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}})$  is trivial), hence the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge is not cohomological.

This state has an interesting and tractable M-theory lift. To describe it, we use a different description of  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ . As discussed in [22, 19], in a suitable complex structure  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$  can be described by the equation

$$y^2 = x^2 v - 4x \tag{5.1}$$

In order to compare with the geometry in the string theory configuration, it is conve-

nient to describe its asymptotic behaviour by

$$st = u^{-4} \tag{5.2}$$

modded out by the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  action  $s \leftrightarrow t, u \rightarrow -u$ . Expression (5.1) is obtained by defining the invariant variables

$$y = (s - t)u \quad , \quad x = s + t + 2u^{-2} \quad , \quad v = u^2. \tag{5.3}$$

The fact that the change of variables is singular means that the description (5.2) is valid only asymptotically, for large  $u$ . In this regime, the exponential corrections in the core of  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$  can be ignored, and the geometry reduces to a Taub-NUT space with ‘wrong sign’ charge equal to  $-4$ . The  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  action corresponds to the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  reflection implied by the O6-plane (roughly speaking, one may take  $u = x^8 + ix^9$ , and  $s/t \simeq e^{x^7 + ix^{10}}$ ). It is also interesting to observe that the  $\mathbf{C}^*$  action in  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$ ,  $(x, y, v) \rightarrow (\lambda^2 x, \lambda y, \lambda^{-2} v)$ , whose  $U(1)$  piece is a subgroup of the  $SO(3)$  rotational symmetry [19], arises from a  $\mathbf{C}^*$  action  $(s, t, u) \rightarrow (\lambda^2 s, \lambda^2 t, \lambda^{-1} u)$ , whose  $U(1)$  subgroup is a rotation in the string theory background. Also, the  $\mathbf{C}^*$  action  $(s, t, u) \rightarrow (\lambda s, \lambda^{-1} t, u)$ , associated to the  $U(1)$  rotation in the asymptotic circle in ‘Taub-NUT’ does not descend to  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$ , which does not have isometries in the circle fiber.

From the viewpoint of M-theory, the  $D2-\overline{D2}$  configuration corresponds, far from the core in  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$ , to an M2-brane wrapped on oppositely oriented pieces of 3-cycle, located at definite positions in the M-theory circle. In the description (5.2), one such 3-cycle is given by the real condition

$$s/t = \overline{s}/\overline{t} \tag{5.4}$$

It describes a 3-cycle which spans the  $\mathbf{R}^3$  base of the ‘Taub-NUT’, and is invariant under the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  action. Moreover, it intersects twice the asymptotic M-theory circle (given by the  $U(1)$  orbit  $(s, t) \rightarrow (e^{i\alpha} s, e^{-i\alpha} t)$ ), hence the M2-brane looks like a  $D2-\overline{D2}$  pair in the string theory limit. A schematic picture of these asymptotics is given in figure 4a.

The two pieces of 3-cycle are accidentally located at opposite points in the asymptotic  $\mathbf{S}^1$ . However, there is no obstruction to approaching them in a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  invariant fashion, and one may be tempted to claim that full annihilation follows. In fact, asymptotic annihilation is possible (as explained above from the string theory viewpoint), but the twisting of the geometry in the core of  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$  makes full annihilation impossible. In order to see that, we need to extend the 3-cycle (5.4) to the interior of  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{AH}}$ . A suitable 3-cycle in (5.1), asymptoting to (5.4), is given by

$$y^2 v = \overline{y}^2 \overline{v} \tag{5.5}$$

The 3-cycle (5.5) is moreover invariant under the  $\mathbf{C}^*$  related to the  $SO(3)$  rotational symmetry of the configuration. An oversimplified picture of this cycle is shown in figure 4b.

In terms of variables in the asymptotic ‘Taub-NUT’, this reads

$$s/t + t/s = \bar{s}/\bar{t} + \bar{t}/\bar{s} \quad (5.6)$$

For  $|s|$  much larger than  $|t|$ , this reduces to  $s/t \in \mathbf{R}$ , and viceversa, leading to correct asymptotic behaviour. However, in the near core region, the 3-cycle (5.5) ends up winding around the  $\mathbf{S}^1$  fiber in  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ . This wrapping provides the M2-brane a non-trivial charge, which prevents annihilation in the near core region.

Hence, the 3-cycle is non-trivial, and can be seen to be 2-torsion (two M2-branes on the 3-cycle can annihilate to nothing by rotating them in the  $\mathbf{R}^2$  fibers over  $\mathbf{RP}_2$  in  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ , more manifest in figure 4b). Hence the purely K-theoretical RR charge for the string theory non-BPS state arises from a purely cohomological charge in M-theory.

We conclude the discussion by mentioning that, after asymptotic annihilation in the M-theory configuration, we are left with an M2-brane wrapped on a compact 3-cycle in  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{AH}}$ . This should be given by the  $\mathbf{S}^1$  fibration over  $\mathbf{RP}_2$  mentioned at the beginning of Section 5.2. In the string theory limit the M2-brane therefore reduces to a fundamental string wrapped on the  $\mathbf{RP}_2$  within  $\mathbf{R}^3/\mathbf{Z}_2$  (this is possible since  $H_2(\mathbf{RP}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ ). Hence, this provides another example of transmutation between RR and NS-NS torsion charges. Interestingly enough, the charge in this case is not cohomological (but purely K-theoretical) when interpreted as a RR torsion charge, but is cohomological when interpreted as NS-NS charge.

The states we have obtained are new non-BPS states in M-theory<sup>10</sup>. As discussed, they extend the results in [6] on the relation between cohomology in M-theory and K-theory in string theory. We expect further study of more involved configurations to sharpen this correspondence. For instance, type IIA theory with a positively charged O6-plane admits diverse stable non-BPS states. Their M-theory lift is most intriguing, since the O6<sup>+</sup>-plane lifts to a mysterious frozen  $D_4$  singularity [28]. Such states would presumably shed light into the nature of M-theory brane charges in such background (see [29] for a recent discussion). Clearly, the task of understanding the nature of the M-theory 3-form, the framework that classifies its topological sectors, and its relation to NS-NS, RR charges and to K-theory in string theory will require much more work. We hope our results are helpful in this quest.

---

<sup>10</sup>See e.g. [27] for other approaches to non-BPS physics in M-theory

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank R. Hernández, K. Landsteiner, E. López, M. Gaberdiel, M. García Pérez and K. S. Narain for useful discussions. A. M. U. thanks the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory for hospitality during completion of this work, and M. González for kind encouragement and support. O. L.-B. thanks the TH-division at CERN for hospitality and Hugo García-Compeán for great support and very useful suggestions. The work of O. L.-B. is supported by a doctoral CONACyT fellowship (México) under the program “Estancias en el extranjero para becarios nacionales (beca-crédito mixta), 2000-2001”, 119267.

## A Appendix: Susy restoration in a type IIB orientifold

In this subsection we show that understanding of the decay of the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane can improve our understanding of certain compactifications of type I theory. In particular certain seemingly non-supersymmetric compactifications involving  $\widehat{D7}$ -branes have restored supersymmetry once the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane tachyons relax to their minimum.

A toy version would be to consider type I on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  with one  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane *and* toron wilson lines turned on the D9-branes. The system breaks supersymmetry, but the  $\widehat{D7}$ -brane is unstable against decay to a second toron which ‘unwinds’ the first. The final model is the standard toroidal compactification of type I, with unbroken supersymmetry.

The model we present is more involved, and certainly more interesting. In particular, after the decay of the  $\widehat{D7}$ -branes, the final configuration is supersymmetric, but has a non-trivial distribution of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charges, arising from different sources, which nevertheless add up to zero (as required from K-theory RR charge cancellation [11]).

Our starting point is the  $\Omega$  orientifold of type IIB compactified on  $\mathbf{T}^4/\mathbf{Z}_2$ , constructed in [32, 33]<sup>11</sup>. The model contains 32 D9-branes, and 32 D5-branes, as counted in the covering space. We consider an initial configuration with trivial Wilson lines on the D9-branes, leading to a  $U(16)$  gauge group, and D5-branes e.g. distributed among the 16  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  fixed points, yielding a product gauge group with total rank 16.

An important observation, as discussed in [34], is that there are certain constraints on the distribution of D5-branes among the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  fixed points. In particular, for any four fixed points lying in a 2-plane, the total number of D5-branes at such points must be  $0 \bmod 4$ . In [11], this constraint was shown to arise from cancellation of the K-theory

---

<sup>11</sup>We hope no confusion arises between the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  orbifold group and the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  K-theory charges.

$\mathbf{Z}_2$  charges, by showing that configurations not satisfying the condition in [34] lead to global gauge anomalies on the world-volume of D5-brane probes.

This argument suggests that configurations violating the condition in [34] may be rendered consistent by introducing type I  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -branes cancelling the K-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge. In the following we present such an example, designed so that the set of  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -branes required is relatively simple. Consider a square  $\mathbf{T}^4$  with radii  $R_i$ , and denote  $N_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4}$  the number of D5-branes sitting at the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  fixed point with coordinates  $x_i = m_i \pi R_i$  (hence,  $m_i = 0, 1$ ). Consider distributing 20 D5-branes as

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{0000} &= 2 & ; & & N_{0100} &= 0 & ; & & N_{1000} &= 0 & ; & & N_{0100} &= 0 \\
N_{0001} &= 0 & ; & & N_{0101} &= 2 & ; & & N_{1001} &= 2 & ; & & N_{0101} &= 2 \\
N_{0010} &= 0 & ; & & N_{0110} &= 2 & ; & & N_{1010} &= 2 & ; & & N_{0110} &= 2 \\
N_{0011} &= 0 & ; & & N_{0111} &= 2 & ; & & N_{1011} &= 2 & ; & & N_{0111} &= 2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

while the remaining 12 are located e.g. in the bulk in a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  invariant fashion. The distribution (A.1) violates the condition in [34], for instance along the 2-plane defined by  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ . The non-cancellation of the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge is reflected as a global gauge anomaly on a D5-brane probe located at  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ , which contains an odd number of  $SU(2)$  doublet Weyl fermions [11].

The full K-theory charge may be cancelled by introducing one  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane located at a generic point in the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane and wrapped on  $(x_3, x_4)$ , and one  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane at a generic point in the  $(x_3, x_4)$  plane and wrapped on  $(x_1, x_2)$ <sup>12</sup>. Any D5-brane probe on which the background D5-branes induce a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  anomaly intersects exactly one  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane, inducing a cancelling anomaly. Conversely, D5-brane probes without anomaly from the background D5-branes do not get any fermions from the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -branes.

The resulting configuration is hence consistent, and seemingly non-supersymmetric due to the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -branes. An amusing feature is that supersymmetry is broken due to the necessity of cancelling the (K-theoretical piece of) RR tadpoles, in a spirit similar to models with branes and antibranes, or non-BPS branes, in the literature [30, 31, 35].

However, the configuration is not stable, and we should study the final state once the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane tachyons relax to their minimum. Since the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -configuration is relatively simple, so is the answer. The final, tachyon-free and stable, configuration has the same distribution of D5-branes among the fixed points, but it has non-trivial Wilson lines turned on the D9-branes. Their gauge group is  $U(16)$ , and the Wilson lines  $\gamma_i$  along  $x_i$  can be taken

$$\gamma_1 = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1; 1, 1, 1; \mathbf{1}_{10}) \quad ; \quad \gamma_3 = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1; 1, -1, -1; \mathbf{1}_{10}) \tag{A.2}$$

---

<sup>12</sup>Notice that these  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -branes in  $\mathbf{T}^4/\mathbf{Z}_2$  are orbifold invariant pairs of  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -branes in the double covering space.

$$\gamma_2 = \text{diag}(-1, 1, -1; 1, 1, 1; \mathbf{1}_{10}) \quad ; \quad \gamma_4 = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1; -1, 1, -1; \mathbf{1}_{10}) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

They correspond to two independent torons in the  $\mathbf{T}^2$ 's transverse to the original  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -branes. Notice that, regarded in the parent  $SO(32)$ , the Wilson lines (say)  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  define *two* torons exchanged by the orbifold group. This simply reflects that a single  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane in the quotient can be regarded as two  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -branes in  $\mathbf{T}^4$ , exchanged by the orbifold group.

It is again possible to check that the K-theory  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge of the configuration properly cancels, for instance by introducing D5-brane probes wrapped on different 2-planes. Any such probe on which the background D5-branes induce an anomaly, contains a compensating anomaly from fermions in the 59+95 sectors.

Notice that the final configuration still violates the condition in [34]. However, such condition was derived only in the absence of D9-brane Wilson lines, and does not directly apply to our final model. Instead, we have checked the consistency of the configuration by the introduction of D-brane probes, as suggested in [11]. Our analysis hence shows how to generalize the condition, and find new models consistent with K-theory charge cancellation. In the final model cancellation of the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  charge is quite non-trivial, with two kinds of sources (the distribution of background D5-branes, and the toron D9-brane Wilson lines) cancelling each other.

The final configuration is supersymmetric. Restoration of supersymmetry after tachyon condensation was not obvious from the initial model. Hence this example illustrates the importance of treating the  $\widehat{\text{D7}}$ -brane decay properly. We hope the remarks in this paper help in applying this understanding in less academic examples.

## References

- [1] M. Frau, L. Gallot, A. Lerda, P. Strigazzi, ‘Stable non-BPS D-branes in type I string theory’, Nucl. Phys. B564 (2000) 60, hep-th/9903123.
- [2] Y. Hyakutake, Y. Imamura, S. Sugimoto, ‘Orientifold planes, type I Wilson lines and non-BPS D-branes’, JHEP 0008 (2000) 043, hep-th/0007012.
- [3] O. Bergman, ‘Tachyon condensation in unstable type I D-brane systems’, JHEP 0011 (2000) 015, hep-th/0009252.
- [4] G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibáñez, R. Rabadán, A. M. Uranga, ‘D = 4 chiral string compactifications from intersecting branes’, hep-th/0011073; ‘Intersecting brane worlds’, JHEP 0102 (2001) 047, hep-ph/0011132.

- [5] R. Blumenhagen, V. Braun, R. Helling, ‘Bound states of D(2p) D0 systems and supersymmetric p cycles’, hep-th/0012157
- [6] D. -E. Diaconescu, G. Moore, E. Witten, ‘E(8) gauge theory, and a derivation of K theory from M theory’, hep-th/0005090; ‘A Derivation of K theory from M theory’, hep-th/0005091.
- [7] E. Witten, ‘D-branes and K theory’, JHEP 9812 (1998) 019, hep-th/9810188.
- [8] J. H. Schwarz, ‘Remarks on non-BPS D-branes’, Class. Quant. Grav. 17 (2000) 1245, hep-th/9908091; ‘TASI lectures on non-BPS D-brane systems’. hep-th/9908144.
- [9] S. Gukov, ‘K theory, reality, and orientifolds’, Commun. Math. Phys. 210 (2000) 621, hep-th/9901042.
- [10] A. Sen, ‘SO(32) spinors of type I and other solitons on brane-antibrane pair’, JHEP 9809 (1998) 023, hep-th/9808141; ‘Type I D-particle and its interactions’, JHEP 9810 (1998) 021, hep-th/9809111.
- [11] A. M. Uranga, ‘D-brane probes, RR tadpole cancellation and K theory charge’, hep-th/0011048.
- [12] E. Witten, ‘An  $SU(2)$  anomaly’, Phys. Lett. B117 (1982) 324.
- [13] D. S. Freed, M. J. Hopkins, ‘On Ramond-Ramond fields and K theory’, JHEP 0005 (2000) 044, hep-th/0002027.
- [14] M. F. Atiyah, I. M. Singer, ‘The Index of elliptic operators. 4,5 Annals Math. 93 (1971) 119.
- [15] E. Gava, K. S. Narain, M. H. Sarmadi, ‘On the bound states of p-branes and (p+2)-branes’, Nucl. Phys. B504 (1997) 214, hep-th/9704006.  
P. Kraus, A. Rajaraman, S. Shenker, ‘Tachyon condensation in noncommutative gauge theory’, hep-th/0010016.
- [16] R. Blumenhagen, L. Görlich, B. Körs, D. Lüst, ‘Noncommutative compactifications of type I strings on tori with magnetic background flux’, JHEP 0010 (2000) 006, hep-th/0007024.
- [17] G. ’t Hooft, ‘A property of electric and magnetic flux in nonabelian gauge theories’, Nucl. Phys. B153 (1979) 141; G. ’t Hooft, ‘Some twisted self-dual solutions for the Yang-Mills equations on a hypertorus’, Commun. Math. Phys. 81 (1981) 267.



- [18] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, I. M. Singer, ‘Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry’, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 77 (1975) 43; 78 (1976) 405; 79 (1980)71.
- [19] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, ‘Gauge dynamics and compactification to three-dimensions’, hep-th/9607163.
- [20] O. Bergman, E. Gimon, B. Kol, ‘Strings on orbifold lines’, hep-th/0102095; O. Bergman, E. Gimon, S. Sugimoto, ‘Orientifolds, RR torsion, and K-theory’, hep-th/0103183.
- [21] K. Hori, ‘D-branes, T duality, and index theory’, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 3 (1999) 281, hep-th/9902102. E. Sharpe, ‘D-branes, derived categories, and Grothendieck groups’, *Nucl. Phys. B*561 (1999) 433, hep-th/9902116.
- [22] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, ‘The geometry and dynamics of magnetic monopoles’, Princeton Univ. Press 1988.
- [23] A. Hanany, B. Pioline, ‘(Anti-)instantons and the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold’, *JHEP* 0007 (2000) 001, hep-th/0005160.
- [24] A. Hanany, B. Kol, ‘On orientifolds, discrete torsion, branes and M theory’, *JHEP* 0006 (2000) 013, hep-th/0003025.
- [25] E. Witten, ‘Baryons and branes in anti-de Sitter space’, *JHEP* 9807 (1998) 006, hep-th/9805112.
- [26] K. Hori, ‘Consistency condition for five-brane in M theory on  $\mathbf{R}^5/\mathbf{Z}_2$  orbifold’, *Nucl. Phys. B*539 (1999) 35, hep-th/9805141; E. G. Gimon, ‘On the M theory interpretation of orientifold planes’, hep-th/9806226; S. Sethi, ‘A Relation between N=8 gauge theories in three-dimensions’, *JHEP* 9811 (1998) 003, hep-th/9809162; A. M. Uranga, ‘Comments on nonsupersymmetric orientifolds at strong coupling’, *JHEP* 0002 (2000) 041, hep-th/9912145.
- [27] P. Yi, ‘Membranes from five-branes and fundamental strings from Dp-branes’, *Nucl. Phys. B*550(1999)214, hep-th/9901159; L. Houart, Y. Lozano, ‘Type II branes from brane-anti-brane in M theory’, *Nucl. Phys. B*575 (2000) 195, hep-th/9910266; K. Intriligator, M. Kleban, J. Kumar, ‘Comments on unstable branes’, *JHEP* 0102(2001)023, hep-th/0101010.

- [28] K. Landsteiner, E. López, ‘New curves from branes’, Nucl. Phys. B516 (1998) 273, hep-th/9708118.
- [29] J. de Boer, R. Dijkgraaf, K. Hori, A. Keurentjes, J. Morgan, D. R. Morrison, S. Sethi, ‘Triples, fluxes, and strings’, hep-th/0103170.
- [30] S. Sugimoto, ‘Anomaly cancellations in type I D9 - anti-D9 system and the USp(32) string theory’, Prog. Theor. Phys. 102 (1999) 685, hep-th/9905159.
- [31] I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, A. Sagnotti, ‘Brane supersymmetry breaking’, Phys. Lett. B464 (1999) 38, hep-th/9908023;  
G. Aldazabal, A. M. Uranga, ‘Tachyon-free non-supersymmetric type IIB orientifolds via brane-antibrane systems’, JHEP 9910 (1999) 024. hep-th/9908072.
- [32] M. Bianchi, A. Sagnotti, ‘On the systematics of open string theories’, Phys. Lett. B247 (1990) 517; ‘Twist symmetry and open string Wilson lines’, Nucl. Phys. B361 (1991) 519.
- [33] E. Gimon, J. Polchinski, ‘Consistency conditions for orientifolds and D manifolds’, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996) 1667, hep-th/9601038.
- [34] M. Berkooz, R. G. Leigh, J. Polchinski, J. H. Schwarz, N. Seiberg, E. Witten, ‘Anomalies, dualities, and topology of D = 6 N=1 superstring vacua’, Nucl. Phys. B475 (1996) 115, hep-th/9605184.
- [35] C. Angelantonj, R. Blumenhagen, M. R. Gaberdiel, ‘Asymmetric orientifolds, brane supersymmetry breaking and non-BPS branes’, hep-th/0006033;  
R. Rabadán, A. M. Uranga, ‘Type IIB orientifolds without untwisted tadpoles, and non-BPS D-branes’, hep-th/0009135.