The Ouadrupole Magnets for the LHC Injection Transfer Lines

I, Chertok, S, Chumakov, I, Churkin, O, Golubenko, V, Meiidzade, S, Mikhailov, A, Steshov, A. Sukhanov, B. Sukhina

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics BINP, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

K.M. Schirm, M. Giesch, W. Kalbreier, G. Kouba, E. Weisse CERN, SL Division, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Abstract — Two injection transfer lines, each about 2.8 km long, are being built to transfer protons at 450 GeV from the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) to the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). A total of 180 quadrupole magnets are required; they are produced in the framework of the contribution of the Russian Federation to the construction of the LHC. The classical quadrupoles, built from laminated steel cores and copper coils, have a core length of 1.4 m, an inscribed diameter of 32 mm and a strength of 53.5 T/m at a current of 530 A. The total weight of one magnet is 1.1 ton. For obtaining the required field quality at the small inscribed diameter, great care in the stamping of the laminations and the assembly of quadrants is necessary. Special instruments have been developed to measure, with a precision of some um, the variations of the pole gaps over the full length of the magnet and correlate them to the obtained field distribution. The design has been developed in a collaboration between BINP and CERN. Fabrication and the magnetic measurements are done at BINP and should be finished at the end of the year 2000.

I. INTRODUCTION

The magnet system for the LHC Injection transfer lines^{1,2} will consist of a large number of recuperated magnets from then closed facilities and of newly constructed magnets³ of three different types. Two of them, the main dipoles MBI and the main quadrupoles MOI have been designed in a collaboration between BINP and CERN and are now fabricated and measured at BINP Novosibirsk. An evaluation of the total costs of construction and operation comparing super-conducting and classical technology lead to a clear advantage of warm magnets, keeping in mind, that the transfer lines will be used only during short periods per day for filling the LHC. Table 1 is summarizing the main parameters of the MQI quadrupole.

II. DESIGN

The MQI quadrupole is built from four quadrants made of laminated low-carbon steel sheet of 1 mm thickness, massive end-plates (30 mm) and water cooled copper coils. Its ratio of length to half-aperture is large (87.5) . Stiffness is obtained by a welded construction using angular plates of 140 mm x 140 mm. The coils are operated electrically and hydraulically in series. One of the basic design aims was a minimization of the physical size of the magnet. It was obtained by extending a good field region Δ Gdz/G₀dz < 2*10³ over x,y = ±20 mm,

Manuscript received September 27, 1999

Table 1: MOI main parameters

including an area outside the inscribed circle of 16 mm radius between the poles. Thus, in places where a larger aperture is needed elliptic vacuum chambers will be used.

The pole profile has been chosen such that all influence of end-fields on the integral of the gradient is exclusively corrected in the end regions by an optimized end chamfer. The gradient profile inside the magnet will then be flat up-to the limits of the good field region. A different approach would be to include some compensation of end-field effects in the 2-D-profile. This concept was also analyzed, but because of some non-flatness of the gradient profile off-axis at x>10 mm it was finally not realized. A plot of the gradient distribution from 2-D calculations (MERMAID; OPERA -2D) for the pole profile chosen is shown in fig. 1.

The pole shape consists of the hyperbolic part, tangent to the hyperbola and then a parallel straight section for the convenience of assembly and control of the assembly precision. For the first order correction of end-fields a chamfer was developed on the pre-series magnets MQI001 and MQI002 in view of obtaining maximum homogeneity of the integrated gradient within the specified good field region. For a simple 45° flat end chamfer the optimum length was reached at around 8.5 mm.

Fig. 1: Flatness of the gradient profile in the x-y plane from 2-Dcalculations.

Beam optics calculations of the transfer lines have shown that the sextupole component, *i.e.* the linear component of the Δ Gdz (x) distribution, has to be carefully limited for avoiding an emittance blow-up of the 450 GeV proton beam. Thus the requirements for the integrated gradient distribution were refined as it is shown in Fig.2 by dotted and dashed lines, the "allowance cone". The dashed lines stand for less strict limitations of the field quality at $x, y > 10$ mm, whereas the field closer to the magnetic center has to obey smaller limits.

Fig.2: The "allowance cone" for the integrated gradient distribution and measured curves before (x) and after (1) end- tip corrections at nominal carrent (530A).

III. DETAILS OF THE PRODUCTION

A. Coil production

The MQI coils consist of 11 turns of high-purity (>99.95%) OF copper (Outokumpu) bars of 10.5 mm x 10.5 mm section including a central cooling channel of 6 mm diameter. The turn-to-turn insulation by glass-fiber tape of 0.15 mm is applied in two layers, the ground insulation has 1.5 mm thickness. Assembled coils are impregnated with an epoxy compound under 3 har pressure. Every coil has to be tested after 6 hours in water with a voltage up-to 4 kV AC and 2.5 kV DC in successive cycles.

B. Quadrants

The magnetic properties of the steel laminations are specified by minimum values of the induction B(H) like: $B(500 \text{ A/m}) \ge 1.38 \text{ T}$; B(1000 A/m) $\ge 1.5 \text{ T}$ and B(10000 Λ/m) ≥ 1.81 T. All laminations for one magnet are stamped from the same roll of steel sheet. The steel sheet of 1 mm thickness and a surface insulation by blue steaming is provided by the Verkh-Isetsky Metallurgical Plant (Ekaterinburg; Russia), the stamping of laminations is subcontracted to ZVI (Moscow; Russia). The chemical analysis and measurements of the induction are performed by the steel supplier. Control measurements of magnetic properties are done at ZVI and on a CERN permeameter set-up⁴ in St. Petersburg, where steel sheet from the same production (the initial rolls have been divided 1/3:2/3 between MQI and MBI steel) is used for the MBI magnet half-core production. Every quadrant is assembled from about 1350 laminations of 1 mm thickness whilst keeping the total weight within $\pm 0.1\%$. The stacking factor is exceeding 0.98. Before assembly the laminations are mixed carefully at BINP for distributing the spread in the magnetic behavior equally over the four quadrants. Two solid end-plates of 30 mm thickness, machined to a precision of 50 um and equipped with removable end-tips (16 mm), are included in each quadrant. The angular plates are finally fixed by argon arc welding.

C. Assembly

Assembled quadrants are equipped with coils and fixed on a solid assembly frame under use of spacers in the pole gaps. The whole construction is then, after alignment including some pre-stress, fixed by welding on the tie-plates along the sides in a specific welding sequence. Coil ends are brazed on afterwards. The sag after assembly is less than 0.2 mm, twist < 1 mrad. After some leakage and insulation tests of the coils the spacers can be removed from the gaps and the magnet is ready for geometry and magnetic field measurements.

D. Production schedule

The initial production rate of **five** magnets per month, after construction and evahiation **of** the two prc-scrics MQI, has been realized without major difficulties. All tooling is now wady to incrcslsc thc ratc lo lhc full production **speed** of **LO** units per month. **As** almost halP of **Lhc** coils arc produced and tested by September 1999 already, it scems realistic to expect Ihc lcrmination of Ihc fabrication in Dcccmbcr 2000, as it was initially scheduled.

Fig.3: MQI front view with section.

Iv. **MAGNETIC AND MECHANICAL MEASUREMENTS**

A. Mechanical measurements

The precision of the nsscmbly of Ihc **four** quadrants **is** conirollcd by an instrument, shown in **fig. 4,** for measuring the four pole gaps $GI - G4$ in one cycle. It has been dcvclopcd at CERN and produced in industry. Its **body** consists of three de-coupled $(\pm 2^{\circ})$ cylindrical parts, a guiding hcadcr plus vcrtical and horizontal sensor bodies. **The** measurement is based on four pairs of pins. They translate any dcviation from *the* nominal gap of 9. I8 mm as calibrated in a reference block into an angular move fed into the inductivc transducers. Readout of the four analog channcls (tilt measurement via inclinometers is **an** option) and thc position **os** derived from the driving ropc in **1 mm** stcps arc displaycd on a laptop computer using **NITM LubView** software. The resolution is better than five microns.

We have compared gap height measurements obtained by this instrnment to **CU~VCS** from **a** scnsor intcgraicd in thc magnetic measurement bench (1 gap per cycle), dcvclopcd **hy BINP**, and we observe exactly the same mechanical profile with about the same rcsolution.

B. Magnetic measurements

All magnets are measured at 530 A DC corresponding to the nominal gradient **of** *53* 5 T/m. In addition, measurernents at other field levels are done selectively. We use an array of 8 Hall probes lined up at an equal distance of 5 mm on an aluminum carriage perpendicular to the magnetic axis. The spacing is measured with $5-7 \mu m$ accuracy. The Hall probes arc calibralcd **vs.** NMR **prolies** and havc a long term nccoracy within 2×10^4 over the range of 0.5 \div 2 T. Vertical mapping is obtaincd by 90" rotation **of** the sledgc. **Thc** Ha11 prube **array js** moved along *the* inagncl **axis** in one cycle by mcnns or a drive inechanism including a stcpping motor, redncer and high accuracy 1.2 m long screw. The probe positioning along the axis is precise within 0.1 mm. For one gradient profile each MQI magnet is measured two times. In the first cycle the Hall probe array is displaced in the middle plane by 2.5 mm in **one** dircction, pcrpcndicular **to** the magnetic axis, **in** the second **by** thc samc dislance in opposiie direction. This **way,** every measiiremcnl position is covered by **two** different probes, and it is possible to eliminate individual features of each Hall probe (temperature drift, speed of aging, different calibration curvc ctc.). The accuracy of the fidd mcasurcmcnt and the probe spacing allow us to calculate the integral gradient distribution (absolute) with a total accuracy of $\pm1\times10^{-3}$.

V. RESULTS AND CORRELATION OF THE MEASUREMENTS

The relation bctwecn mcchanical tolerances in the fabricetion and **the** magnetic ficld profile obtaincd is a key issue in **the** MQI produclion. Jt was thcrefore intcrcsting to find out how much information could be obtained from the simple **and** fast mechanical tracing of **the** pole **gaps.** Thc z resolved measurements of the first two pre-series magnets MQIOOl/OOZ **have** been evaluated in this rcspccl and wc obtained **a** good corrclation **of** mechanics versus magnetic field in the inner region $z = 30 - 110$ cm. After the correction of some measurement offsct a correlation factor $\mu \sim 9$ km / $10³$ in $\Delta G/G$ was determined for the best fit. A very similar factor was obtained also by 2D calculations. Thus even very small variations of the gap of the order of ten microns result in significant variations of the gradient in the order of $10³$ in the regions outside the inscribed diameter where the sensitivity is a maximum.

In the serics production, howcvcr, wc have **lo** copc with additional pararnclcrs likc a Iargcr sprcad **in** thc niagnctic properties of the laminations due to much bigger melts, leading eventually to small magnetic differences in the quadrants at constant stacking factor. This effect is field dependant and results in an additional sextupole component as the poles are saturating. A modified steel mixing based on the results of the steel acceptance measurements is now applied systematically. It turned out in the series production that the mechanical assembly variations are too big for rcspccling Ihc magnclic lolcranccs without *n* local trimming of the integrated gradient. As the measured curves in fig.2 indicate, the MOI magnet needs individual correction in

Fig. 4: Mechanical measurement of four pole gaps simultaneously.

addition to the 45° flat chamfer for meeting these requirements. Therefore all MQI magnets have been brought to specifications after the first magnetic measurement by individually correcting the pole tips. This correction method has been developed on the basis of 3D calculations. To adjust the profile of the integral gradient, an additional 59° flat cut on the end tip while simultaneously slightly varying the chamfer length is best suited. The geometry of the cut is shown in Fig.5. This linear correction reduces the value of Gdz in the concerned gap while practically not affecting the gradient distribution in the other three gaps.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The MQI quadrupole magnet production is well underway with more than half of the coils already produced and successfully tested. Two pre-series magnets have been constructed and intensively evaluated in view of the mechanical and magnetic tolerances. Another 10 magnets have been measured before a clear view concerning the pole profile, assembly tolerances and the end-tips machining was obtained. All 27 magnets produced by now fulfill the specifications. But it seems not possible so far to avoid individual end-shim corrections and the related additional magnetic measurements. Nevertheless, the project is advancing well and the MQI production should be terminated at the end of year 2000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank H. Grabner, P. Dahlen from CERN and Polycontrol - CH-2572 Mörigen, Switzerland for the development of the instrument for gap height measurements.

Fig.5: Individual correction of the integrated gradient by a 59° symmetric cut of width h, 15 mm deep, in the end tips on both sides of the magnet.

REFERENCES

- The LHC study group, "The Large Hadron Collider Conceptual $[1] % \centering \includegraphics[width=0.9\columnwidth]{figures/fig_10.pdf} \caption{The figure shows the number of times of the two times, and the number of times, respectively. The left shows the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times, are the number of times, and the number of times$ Design"; CERN/AC/95-05 (LHC) 1995
- A. Hilaire, V. Mertens and E. Weisse; "Beam Transfer to and $[2]$ Injection into LHC"; LHC Project Report 208
- A. Hilaire, V. Mertens and E. Weisse; "The Magnet System of the $[3] \centering% \includegraphics[width=1\textwidth]{Figures/PQ1.png} \caption{The 3D maps of the top of the top of the top of the top of the right. The left is the number of the top of the right.} \label{fig5}$ LHC Injection Transfer Lines TI2 and TI8"; LHC Project Note 128
- K.N. Henrichsen; Proc. MT2, Oxford 1967; p.735 $[4]$