EUROPEAN LABORATORY FOR PARTICLE PHYSICS (CERN)

C ER N -PPE/97-128 Septem ber 22,1997

Searches for Charginos and Neutralinos in e^+e^- Collisions p

at $S = 161$ and 172 G eV

The A LEPH Collaboration

A bstract

The data recorded by the ALEPH detector at centre-of-m ass energies of 161, 170, and 172 G eV are analysed for signals of chargino and neutralino production. N o evidence of a signal is found, although candidate events consistent w ith the expectations from Standard M odel processes are observed. Lim its at 95% C L. on the production cross sections are derived and bounds on the param eters of the M inim al Supersymm etric Standard M odel are set. The lower lim it on the m ass of the lightest chargino is 85.5 G eV = c^2 for gaugino-like charginos (= 500 G eV = c^2), and 85.0 G eV = c^2 for H iggsino-like charginos $M_2 = 500$ G eV = c^2), for heavy sneutrinos $M = 200$ G eV = c²) and tan = $\sqrt{2}$. The e ect of light sleptons on chargino and neutralino lim its is investigated. T he assum ptions ofa universalslepton m ass and a universal gaugino m ass are relaxed, allow ing less m odel-dependent lim its to be obtained.

(subm itted to Zeitschrift fur Physik)

The ALEPH Collaboration

R. Barate, D. Buskulic, D. Decamp, P. Ghez, C. Goy, J.P. Lees, A. Lucotte, M. N. Minard, J. Y. Nief, B. Pietrzyk Laboratoire de Physique des Particules (LAPP), $\mathbb{N}^2 \mathbb{P}^3$ -CNRS, 74019 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France

M P.Casado, M .Chm eissani, P.Com as, JM .Crespo, M .Del no, E. Femandez, M .Femandez-Bosm an, LLG arrido,¹⁵

A. Juste, M. Martinez, G. Merino, R. Miquel, LlM. Mir, C. Padilla, I.C. Park, A. Pascual, J.A. Perlas, I. Riu, F. Sanchez

Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autonom a de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain⁷

- A.Colaleo, D.Creanza, M.de Palma, G.Gelao, G. Laselli, G. Maggi, M. Maggi, N. Marinelli, S. Nuzzo, A. Ranieri,
- G.Raso, F.Ruggieri, G.Selvaggi, L.Silvestris, P.Tempesta, A.Tricom i, G.Zito

D ipartim ento di Fisica, INFN Sezione di Bari, 70126 Bari, Italy

X. Huang, J. Lin, Q. Ouyang, T. Wang, Y. Xie, R. Xu, S. Xue, J. Zhang, L. Zhang, W. Zhao

Institute of H igh-Energy P hysics, A cadem ia Sinica, B eijing, T he P eople's R epublic of C hina

- D. Abbaneo, R. Alemany, A.O. Bazarko, U. Becker, P. Bright-Thomas, M. Cattaneo, F. Cenutti, G. Dissertori,
- H. Drevermann, R.W. Forty, M. Frank, F. Gianotti, R. Haqelberg, J.B. Hansen, J. Harvey, P. Janot, B. Jost,
- E.Kneringer, I. Lehraus, P.M ato, A.M inten, L.M oneta, A.Pacheco, J.F.Pusztaszeri, ²⁰ F.Ran ard, G.R izzo,
- L. Rolandi, D. Rousseau, D. Schlatter, M. Schmitt, O. Schneider, W. Teessy, F. Teubert, IR. Tomalin, H. W achsmuth, $A \cdot W$ agner 21

European Laboratory for Particle Physics (CERN), 1211 Geneva 23, Sw itzerland

- Z.A pltouni, A.Barres, C.Boyer, A.Falvard, C.Ferdi, P.Gay, C.Guicheney, P.Henrard, J.Jousset, B.Michel,
- S.Monteil, J-C.Montret, D.Pallin, P.Perret, F.Podlyski, J.Proriol, P.Rosnet, J.M.Rossignol Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Universite Blaise Pascal, $\mathbb{N}^2\mathbb{P}^3$ -CNRS, Clemont-Ferrand, 63177
	- A ubiere, France
- T. Feamley, JD. Hansen, JR. Hansen, PH. Hansen, BS. Nilsson, B. Rensch, A. Waananen N iels B ohr Institute, 2100 C openhagen, D enm ark⁹
- G.Daskalakis, A.Kyriakis, C.Markou, E.Simopoulou, A.Vayaki Nuclear Research Center Demokritos (NRCD), Athens, Greece
- A.B londel, J.C.B rient, F.M achefert, A.R ouge, M.R um pf, A.Valassi, H.V ideau Laboratoire de Physique Nucleaire et des H autes Energies, E cole Polytechnique, IN²P³-CNRS, 91128 P a laiseau Cedex, France
- T.Boccali, E.Focardi, G.Parrini, K.Zachariadou D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Firenze, IN FN Sezione di Firenze, 50125 Firenze, Italy
- R.Cavanaugh, M.Corden, C.Georgiopoulos, T.Huehn, D.E.Jae Supercom puter C om putations R esearch Institute, F lorida State U niversity, T allahassee, F L 32306-4052, U SA $13;14$
- A. Antonelli, G. Bencivenni, G. Bologna, F. Bossi, P. Campana, G. Capon, D. Casper, V. Chiarella, G. Felici,
- P. Laurelli, G.M annocchi, F.M urtas, G.P.M urtas, L.Passalacqua, M.Pepe-A ltarelli

Laboratori Nazionali dell'INFN (LNF-INFN), 00044 Frascati, Italy

L.Curtis, S.J.Dorris, A.W.Halley, I.G.Knowles, J.G.Lynch, V.O'Shea, C.Raine, J.M.Scarr, K.Smith, P.Teixeira-Dias, A.S. Thompson, E.Thomson, F.Thomson, R.M. Tumbull

D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, U niversity of G lasyow, G lasyow G 12 8Q Q, U nited K ingdom¹⁰

O.Buchmuller, S.Dhamotharan, C.Geweniger, G.Graefe, P.Hanke, G.Hansper, V.Hepp, E.E.Kluge, A.Putzer, J. Som m er, K. Tittel, S. W emer, M. W unsch

Institut fur Hochenergiephysik, Universitat Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Fed. Rep. of Germany¹⁶

R. Beuselinck, D.M. Binnie, W. Cameron, P.J. Doman, M. Girone, S. Goodsir, E.B. Martin, P. Morawitz,

A.M outoussi, J.N ash, J.K. Sedgbeer, P. Spagnob, A.M. Stacey, M.D.Williams D epartm ent of P hysics, Imperial C ollege, L ondon SW 7 2B Z, U nited K ingdom 10

V M . G hete, P. G irtler, D. Kuhn, G. Rudolph

Institut fur Experim entalphysik, Universitat Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria¹⁸

A P.Betteridge, C K.Bowdery, P G.Buck, P.Colrain, G.Crawford, A J.Finch, F.Foster, G.Hughes, R W L.Jones, T. Sloan, E.P. Whelan, M.I. Williams

D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of Lancaster, Lancaster LA 1 4Y B, U nited K ingdom¹⁰

I. G iehl, C. Homann, K. Jakobs, K. K leinknecht, G. Quast, B. Renk, E. Rohne, H. G. Sander, P. van Gemmeren, C.Zeitnitz

Institut fur Physik, Universitat M ainz, 55099 M ainz, Fed. Rep. of Germ any¹⁶

J.J. Aubert, C. Benchouk, A. Bonissent, G. Bujosa, J. Carr, P. Coyle, C. Diaconu, A. Ealet, D. Fouchez, N.Konstantinidis, O.Leroy, F.Motsch, P.Payre, M.Talby, A.Sadouki, M.Thulasidas, A.Tilquin, K.Trabelsi

C entre de Physique des Particules, Faculte des Sciences de Lum iny, $\mathbb{N}^2\mathbb{P}^3$ -CNRS, 13288 M arseille, France

M.Aleppo, M.Antonelli, F.Ragusa

D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Milano e INFN Sezione di Milano, 20133 Milano, Italy.

R. Berlich, W. Blum, V. Buscher, H. Dietl, G. Ganis, C. Gotzhein, H. Kroha, G. Lutjens, G. Lutz, W. Manner, H.G.Moser, R.Richter, A.Rosado-Schlosser, S.Schael, R.Settles, H.Seywerd, R.St.Denis, H.Stenzel, W. W iedenmann, G. W olf

M ax-P lanck-Institut fur P hysik, W emer-H eisenberg-Institut, 80805 M unchen, Fed. Rep. of G em any¹⁶

J. Boucrot, O. Callot, 12 S. Chen, M. Davier, L. Du ot, J. F. Grivaz, Ph. Heusse, A. Hocker, A. Jacholkowska, D W . K in \hat{i} F. Le D iberder, J. Lefrancois, A.M. Lutz, M. Marum i, M. H. Schune, L. Serin, E. Tourne er, J. J. Veillet, I. Videau, D. Zerwas

Laboratoire de l'Accelerateur Lineaire, Universite de Paris-Sud, IN²P³-CNRS, 91405 O rsay Cedex, France

P. A zzurri, G. Bagliesi,¹² S. Bettarini, C. Bozzi, G. Calderini, V. Ciulli, R. Dell'Orso, R. Fantechi, I. Ferrante,

A. G iassi, A. G regorio, F. Ligabue, A. Lusiani, P.S. M arrocchesi, A. M essineo, F. Palla, G. Sanguinetti, A. Sciaba,

G. Sguazzoni, J. Steinberger, R. Tenchini, C. Vannini, A. Venturi, P. G. Verdini

D ipartim ento di Fisica dell'Universita, INFN Sezione di Pisa, e Scuola Nom ale Superiore, 56010 Pisa, Italy

G A . B lair, L M . B ryant, J T . C ham bers, M G . G reen, T . M edcalf, P . Perrodo, J A . Strong, J H . von W in m ersperg-Toeller

Department of Physics, Royal Holbway & Bedford New College, University of London, Surrey TW 20 OEX, United K ingdom 10

D R. Botterill, R W . C lit, T R. Edgecock, S. Haywood, P. M aley, P R. N orton, J.C. Thom pson, A E. W right Particle Physics Dept., Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 OQX, United K ingdom 10

B. B loch-D evaux, P. Colas, B. Fabbro, E. Lancon, M. C. Lem aire, E. Locci, P. Perez, J. Rander, J. F. Renardy, A.Rosowsky, A.Roussarie, J.Schwindling, A.Trabelsi, B.Vallage

CEA, DAPN IA/Service de Physique des Particules, CE-Saclay, 91191 G if-sur-Y vette Cedex, France¹⁷

SN.Black, J.H.Dann, H.Y.Kim, AM.Litke, MA.McNeil, G.Taylor

Institute for Particle Physics, University of Califomia at Santa C ruz, Santa C ruz, CA 95064, USA¹⁹

- C N . Booth, C A J. Brew, S. C artwright, F. C om bley, M S. K elly, M . Lehto, J. Reeve, L F. Thom pson Department of Physics, University of Sheeld, Sheeld S3 7RH, United Kingdom¹⁰
- K.A holderbach, A.Bohrer, S.Brandt, G.Cowan, J.Foss, C.Grupen, L.Smolik, F.Stephan Fachbereich Physik, Universitat Siegen, 57068 Siegen, Fed. Rep. of Gem any¹⁶
- M. Apollonio, L. Bosisio, R. Della Marina, G. Giannini, B. Gobbo, G. Musolino D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Trieste e IN FN Sezione di Trieste, 34127 Trieste, Italy
- J.Putz, J.Rothberg, S.W asserbaech, R.W.W illiams Experim ental E lem entary Particle Physics, University of W ashington, W A 98195 Seattle, U S A.

S.R. A m strong, E. Charles, P. E ln er, D.P.S. Ferguson, Y. Gao, S. Gonzalez, T.C. Greening, O.J. Hayes, H. Hu, S. Jin, P.A. M dN am ara III, JM. Nachtm an, J. Nielsen, W. Oreidos, Y.B. Pan, Y. Saadi, IJ. Scott, J. Walsh, Sau Lan Wu, X.Wu, JM. Yamartino, G. Zobernig

D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of W isconsin, M adison, W I 53706, U SA¹¹

 1 N ow at P rinceton U niversity, P rinceton, N J 08544, U S A.

 2 P erm anent address: K angnung N ational U niversity, K angnung, K orea.

 $3A$ lso at D ipartim ento di F isica, INFN Sezione di C atania, C atania, Italy.

 $4A$ lso Istituto di Fisica G enerale, U niversita di Torino, Torino, Italy.

 5 A lso Istituto di Cosm o-G eo sica del CNR., Torino, Italy.

 6 Supported by the C om m ission of the European C om m unities, contract ERBCHBICT 941234.

 7 Supported by CICYT, Spain.

⁸ Supported by the N ational Science Foundation of China.

⁹ Supported by the D anish N atural Science R esearch C ouncil.

 10 Supported by the UK Particle Physics and A stronom y R esearch C ouncil.

¹¹ Supported by the USD epartment of Energy, grant $DEFG$ 0295 ER 40896.

 12 A lso at CERN, 1211 G eneva 23, Sw itzerland.

¹³ Supported by the U S D epartm ent of E nergy, contract $DEFG 05-92ER 40742$.

 14 Supported by the USD epartm ent of Energy, contract DE + C05-85ER 250000.

¹⁵Pem anent address: Universitat de Barcelona, 08208 Barcelona, Spain.

 16 Supported by the Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung, W issenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, Fed. Rep. of G em any.

 17 Supported by the D irection des Sciences de la M atiere, C E A.

 18 Supported by Fonds zur Forderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung, Austria.

¹⁹ Supported by the USD epartment of Energy, grant DE + G03-92ER 40689.

 20 N ow at School of 0 perations Research and Industrial Engireering, Comell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-3801, USA.

 21 N ow at Schweizerischer Bankverein, Basel, Switzerland.

1 Introduction

In 1996, a new regim e in e^+e collisions was entered when LEP energies reached and exceeded the W pair production threshold. Data were collected with the <code>ALEPH</code> detector at $\overline{}$ s = 161:3 G eV w pair production direstion. D at we use configure with the ALLPH detector at $s = 101.3$ GeV (10.8 pb $\frac{1}{s}$), $\frac{1}{s} = 170.3$ G eV (1.1 pb $\frac{1}{s}$) and $\frac{1}{s} = 172.3$ G eV (9.6 pb $\frac{1}{s}$). The increased centre ofm ass energiesm otivate the direct search for new physics, in particular for particles predicted by supersym m etric theories.

Supersym m etry (SU SY) [\[1\]](#page-30-0) requires the num ber of degrees of freedom associated w ith the ferm ionic and bosonic elds of the theory to be the sam e. T his is achieved by augm enting the ordinary eld m ultiplets w ith additional elds diering by a half unit of spin. T he resulting particle spectrum contains several new states: gauginos, associated with the ordinary gauge bosons; H iggsinos w ith the H iggs bosons; sleptons, sneutrinos and squarks w ith the ordinary m atter ferm ions. H ere, searches for supersym m etric partners of the gauge and H iggs bosons are reported, while searches at these energies for sleptons $[2]$, stops $[3]$ $[3]$ and H iggs bosons $[4]$ have been reported previously. Searches sim ilar to those discussed here have been reported by the O PA L collaboration [\[5](#page-30-0)].

The M inim alSupersym m etric Standard M odel (M SSM) is the supersym m etric extension of the Standard M odelw ith m in in al eld content. Two doublets of complex scalar elds are introduced to give m ass to the up-like and dow n-like ferm ionsvia the H iggs m echanism . T he ratio ofthe two vacuum expectation values is denoted tan and the H iggsm assterm is . Soft SU SY breaking term s lift the m ass degeneracy of ordinary particles and their SU SY partners. The scale of these term s should not exceed 1 TeV = \vec{c} in order for supersym m etry to rem ain a solution of the naturalness problem . These SU SY breaking term sare: gaugino m asses M₁, M₂ and M₃, associated to the U (1), SU (2) and SU (3) gauge groups, respectively; and m assterm sm \pm and trilinear couplings A \pm for the various sferm ions. The partners of the photon, Z and neutral H iggs bosons m ix to form four m ass eigenstates called neutralinos, ; $0;$ ∞ , ∞ , in order of increasing m ass. Sim ilarly, charged gauginos $(\bar{\mathbb{M}}^+)$ and H iggsinos $(\bar{\mathbb{H}}^+)$ form charginos, and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$. O rdinary particles and supersymm etric particles are distinguished by their R -parity, a m ultiplicative quantum num ber, w hich is assum ed to be conserved to ensure lepton and baryon num ber conservation. A s a consequence, supersym m etric particles are produced in pairs and decay to the Lightest Supersym m etric Particle (LSP), assum ed here to be the lightest neutralino, which is weakly interacting and does not decay, escaping detection.

The large num ber of free param eters in the M SSM can be reduced by m aking certain theoretical assum ptions. First, the gaugino m asses m ay be assum ed to unify at the GUT scale, leading, at the electroweak scale, to the relation: $M_1 = \frac{5}{3}$ $\frac{5}{3}$ tan² _W M₂. Second, the m asses of the sleptons m ight also unify at the GUT scale with value m $_0$. Their m asses at the electroweak scale are derived using the renorm alization group equations [\[6\]](#page-30-0), and are an increasing function ofm $_0$. These assum ptions are m ade for m any of the results presented here. A speciale ort is m ade to interpret the results w ithin a larger fram ework, relaxing the gaugino m ass and/or the scalar m ass uni cation assum ptions.

G iven the large value of the top quark m ass $[7]$, the \infrared quasi xed point scenario" $[8]$ favours low (tan 1 3) or high (tan 30) values of tan . The various selections are optim ised for a value of tan equal to 1 , typical of the low tan solution. Unless otherwise specied, 1 $3)$ or h igh (tan the results are presented for that same value of tan . H igh tan values tend to give stronger constraints.

W ith uni cation of gaugino m ass term s, in the region w here M $_2$ j j the lightest chargino and neutralino have large H iggsino com ponents; this is referred to as the \H iggsino" region. H ere, the lightest neutralino is generally close in m ass to the lightest chargino and to the second lightest neutralino. Sim ilarly, the region where j j M_2 is referred to as the \gaugino" region; here, M ' M = 2. In both regions, M \circ > M . In the region of sm all negative and low M $_2$, one of the two lightest neutralinos has large gaugino com ponents, while the other has large H iggsino com ponents. The chargino has sizeable gaugino and H iggsino com ponents. This region will be referred to as the \m ixed" region.

At LEP, charginos are pair produced by virtual photon or Z exchange in the s channel, and sneutrino exchange in the tchannel $[9]$ $[9]$. The s and tchannels interfere destructively, so that low sneutrino m asses lead to sm aller cross sections. N eutralinos are produced by s-channel Z exchange and t-channel selectron exchange [\[10](#page-30-0)]. H ere, the s and t channels interfere constructively for m ost of the param eter space. A s a consequence, cross sections are usually higher if selectrons are light.

C harginos decay to a neutralino and a lepton-neutrino or quark-antiquark pair. If all sferm ions are heavy (large m_0), the decay proceeds m ainly through the exchange of a virtual W. The dom inant nalstate topologies for chargino pair production are then hadronic events w ith m issing energy carried away by the two neutralino LSP 's, called here the four-jet topology (4J), or events w ith hadrons,an isolated lepton and m issing energy (2JL topology). A coplanar lepton pairs (A L topology) are also produced, but at a m uch lower rate. The second lightest neutralino 0 decays to a neutralino and a ferm ion-antiferm ion pair. If all sferm ions are heavy, the decay proceeds m ainly through the exchange of a virtual Z. The m ain nal state resulting from 0 production therefore consists of acoplanar jets (AJ) , due to the sm all Z leptonic branching ratio. (The nal state is invisible.)

Selections for the 4J, 2JL and AJ topologies are designed for chargino and neutralino m asses close to the kinem atic lim it for $+$ or $-$ ⁰production and are optim ised for decays dom inated by $W * (Z*)$ exchange and for various M ranges. Here M is the m ass dierence between the lightest neutralino and the chargino or the second lightest neutralino. The signal properties, and hence the background com position and signi cance, change dram atically w ith the m ass dierence: for low M , the phase space for decay is sm alland the signaltopology resembles that of e $^+$ e $\,$! $\,$ e $^+$ e $\,$ ff events, while for very large M , as is the case for m assless neutralinos, the signal for chargino production is m ore W W -like. Sm allm ass dierences are typicalofthe H iggsino region w hile large m ass dierences correspond to the gaugino and m ixed regions.

W hen sleptons are light, leptonic chargino and neutralino decays are enhanced, due to the increased in uence of slepton exchange diagram s. Since no signal for squarks has been found at the Tevatron [\[11](#page-30-0)], their m asses should be heavy enough to m ake any in uence on chargino and neutralino decays negligible. On the contrary, sleptons are expected to be lighter due to sm aller radiative corrections to their m asses; hence light slepton e ects on the leptonic branching ratios of charginos and neutralinos can not be neglected. The dom inant topologies are then acoplanar lepton pairs, and the selections are based on those designed for the slepton searches $[2]$. W hen sleptons are light enough, two-body decays such as \cdot ! l~ or \cdot l \cdot open up, the latter leading to an invisible nalstate.

In the H iggsino region, 0 production is the only relevant neutralino process. In the m ixed region, the heavier neutralinos ∞ and ∞ can also be produced. C om plex topologies arising from cascade and radiative decays are covered by a few dedicated searches.

In the various selections, the cuts are adjusted according to the \N_{95} prescription" [\[12](#page-30-0)]. The optim alcom prom ise between signaleciency and background levelisobtained w hen the expected 95% C L. upper lim it on the cross section is m in inised based on M onte C arlo simulations; this optim um changes, i.e., the cuts becom e tighter, as the integrated lum inosity increases. T he

! hadrons background is handled dierently, due to the diculties to model this process and to simulate the detector response at the level of accuracy im posed by its large cross section. In this case, m ore severe criteria are applied than would result from the N $_{95}$ procedure, as explained in Section 32. For any given chargino and neutralino m ass com bination (or any choice of M₂, and tan) and for any given leptonic branching ratio (or any choice of m_0), an optim alcom bination of the various selections is chosen, again according to the N $_{95}$ prescription, counting events that pass any ofthe com bined selections to determ ine eciencies and contam inations.

In the speci c case of large slepton m asses, the selection e ciency for chargino pair production depends m ostly on the chargino and neutralino m asses. Therefore, results can be presented not only in the M SSM param eter space but also in term s of lim its on the chargino pair production cross section as a function ofthese two m asses in a fairly generalway. A sim ilar statem ent holds for \int^0 production (neglecting possible \int^0 ! decays at this stage). To take into account in a consistent way the other neutralino production channels, such as $e^+ e^{-}$! 0^0 , and also to cope w ith lowerm $_0$ values, the validity of the M SSM w ith all the uni cation conditions mentioned earlier is assum ed. Because of possible large m ixing in the stau sector, three-body decays involving taus can dom inate over those involving other lepton avours, and the two-body decay \cdot \cdot m ay open up before $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ l~. Attention is given to those possibilities. The dependence of the results on the assum ption of universality of scalar m asses and gaugino m asses is investigated.

T he outline of this paper is as follow s: after a brief description of the A LEPH detector in Section 2 , the various selections are detailed in Section 3 , the results and their interpretation are presented in Section [4](#page-22-0) and conclusions are draw n in Section [5.](#page-29-0)

2 The ALEPH Detector

The ALEPH detector is described in detail in $[13]$ and its perform ances in $[14]$; only the features m ost relevant for the chargino and neutralino analyses are given here.

The detector is required to be fully operational. At least one of the m a pr triggers for supersym m etry searches (total energy triggers, single charged electrom agnetic and single m uon triggers [\[13](#page-30-0)]) is required to be red.

C harged particle tracks are m easured by a silicon vertex detector, a drift cham ber and a large time projection chamber (TPC), immersed in a 1.5 Tesla magnetic eld provided by a superconducting m agnet. A m om entum resolution up to P $_T = P_T = 6$ 10⁴ P_T + 0:005 (P_T in G eV =c) can be achieved.

The electrom agnetic calorim eter (ECAL), a sandw ich of lead sheets and proportional tubes, is located inside the coil. H ighly granular transverse and longitudinal m easurem ents of electrom agnetic showers are provided by projective towers, which are segmented longitudinally in three storeys. The achieved energy resolution is $E=E'$ 18% = $E + 0.009$ (E in GeV). The ECAL angular coverage extends down to within 10 from the beam axis.

The iron return yoke is instrum ented as a hadron calorim eter (HCAL) consisting of projective tow ers giving a m easurem ent of the show er energy. The pattern of red stream er tubes provides a two-dim ensional view of the energy deposit, which is useful for identifying m uons. The HCAL covers polar angles down to $= 8$. Stream er cham bers outside of the $H CAL$ (\m uon cham bers") are used to tag penetrating charged particles.

T he calorim etric coverage is extended dow n to polar angles of 24 m rad by the lum inosity calorim eters LCAL and SICAL. The low -angle acceptance of SICAL, below 34 m rad, is shadowed by a shielding m ask installed in 1996 to reduce the potentially higherm achine background at LEP2.

A s the m ain signal for the processes searched here is m issing energy, a good herm iticity of the detector is essential. The ECAL and HCAL cracks are not aligned, so there are no acceptance holes in A LEPH at large polar angles. T he H C A L covers the gap between the EC A L and the LC A L so particles originating from the interaction point passing through this gap are detected. T he LC A L consists of two halfm odules on each side of the detector, with a sm all vertical inactive region. This crack is partially covered by H C A L.

The inform ation from the tracking detectors and the calorim eters is combined by an energy ow algorithm described in [\[14](#page-30-0)]. For each event, a list of energy ow objects (charged particles, photons, neutral hadrons, clusters in the lum inosity calorim eters) is provided. The analyses presented here are based on these objects such that, for example, the visible m ass is the invariant m ass of all objects and the P_T is the component transverse to the beam axis of the m om entum sum of all objects.

Lepton identi cation is described in [\[14\]](#page-30-0). Electrons are identi ed using their speci c ionisation in the TPC (dE=dx) and the transverse and longitudinal shower shapes in ECAL. Muons are separated from hadrons by their characteristic penetrating pattern in HCAL and the presence of hits in the m uon cham bers.

3 Searches for C harginos and N eutralinos

T he various selections for chargino and neutralino searches are presented in this section. T he chargino analyses in the 4J and 2JL topologies are designed for four M regions: very low (VL) for M $'$ 5 G eV = c², low (L) for M $'$ 10 G eV = c², high (H)(M $'$ M $+$ = 2) and very high (V H) (M \prime 80 G eV = c²). Two neutralino analyses in the A J topology (A J-L and A J-H) are designed for M sm aller than or greater than 30 G eV = c^2 . A dditional selections address the acoplanar lepton pair topology and the m ore com plex nal states encountered in the neutralino searches.

The 4J-VH and 2JL-VH chargino selections are optimised separately for $\frac{p}{s}$ = 161 G eV and p - The 40-V n and 2011-V n diaryino selections are optimised separately for s - Torrig ev and
S = 172 GeV, due to a large increase in the W W cross section, which is the most dicult background for this range of M . In the other chargino and neutralino selections, a single set of cuts is applied for the two energies; this does not degrade the perform ance of the analyses at either energy. A lthough the selections can be optim ised for a given M , in general they are not optim alw hen M ischanged by a sm allam ount.In orderto m aintain a sm ooth transition between the various M regions in the chargino analysis (VH, H, L, VL) , each selection is optim ised on a signal containing an adm ixture of the nearby M con gurations, typically weighting by 50% a con guration at the m idpoint of the M range, M, and 25% each at $M = M$ 20 GeV = c^2 . The optim isation procedure is perform ed by m eans of a program that varies all cuts sim ultaneously, in order to take correlations between variables into account.

In the follow ing, the various M onte C arlo sam ples used for selection optim isation are described rst. The speci c criteria applied to reject the ladrons background are addressed next. The searches for charginos are then described, followed by the searches for neutralinos. The com binations of selections applied in the various cases are presented, and the corresponding search e ciencies are given. A discussion of the system atic errors follow s.

3.1 M onte C arlo Sam ple

The M onte C arlo generator D FG T [\[15\]](#page-30-0) is used to simulate the chargino events. This generator takes into account the spin correlation in the production and decay of charginos. The nal states are interfaced forthe hadronisation processto JET SET 7.4 [\[16](#page-30-0)]and initialstate radiation is included.

A nother w idely used generator for supersym m etry is SU SY G EN [\[17\]](#page-30-0) w hich sim ulates the production and decays of charginos, neutralinos, sleptons and squarks, including cascade decays. It isused for neutralino production and as a cross-check for chargino production. Final state radiation is taken into account using PHOTOS [\[18](#page-30-0)].

D ilepton Standard M odel processes are simulated with KORALZ [\[19](#page-31-0)] for $+$ and $+$ and UN IBAB $[20]$ for Bhabha production. KORA LW $[21]$ is used to generate W -pair events. Leptonic two-photon events are generated with PHO T 02 $[22]$. PY T H IA $[23]$ is used to generate

 ! hadrons events. T his generator is restricted to \untagged" events where the outgoing electrons are nearly unde ected. The PYTHIA sample is complem ented by events generated with PHOT02 where an electron is required to be de ected by at least 5 m rad. The events are generated w ith an invariant m ass cut of 3:5 G eV = c^2 . A ll other processes (qq , ZZ, W e and Zee) are generated using PYTH IA, w ith an invariantm ass cut for the resonance of 0.2 G eV = c^2 for ZZ and We and $2 G eV = c^2$ for Zee. Here, \sum " also includes Z and production. Sam ples corresponding to at least 20 tim es the integrated lum inosity of the data are generated, except for ! hadrons. This process is simulated w ith three tim es the integrated lum inosity of the data, only for 161 G eV I insprocess is sin traced what three can es the integrated in the because of the slow dependence of the event properties on 5 .

3.2 Repection of ! hadrons

The ! hadrons background is particularly im portant for the VL and L m ass dierence regions but m ay also contam inate other analyses due to its large cross-section (13 nb). First the strategy and the variables used for the rejection of this background are presented, then the speci c cuts for each chargino and neutralino selection are discussed.

3.2.1 Strategy

Transversem om entum is them ost naturalquantity to reject this background. For an idealdetector covering the solid angle above 34 m rad w ith unlim ited precision, requiring the event transverse $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ in the $\frac{1}{2}$ multiple $\frac{1}{2}$ is should reject all the $\frac{1}{2}$ hadrons events. Unfortunately, the m easurem ent of the visible system is not ideal and uctuations can induce $\frac{\text{ake}}{\text{r}}$; hence rejection of events w ith som e energy at low angle is needed. However, the energy veto m ay be rendered ine ective by inactive regions, such as the vertical LCA L crack; new variables, discussed in the next section, are therefore needed to detect these situations. Furtherm ore, the M onte C arlo prediction for this background su ers from detector simulation problem s and from inaccuracy in the simulation of the underlying physics.

D ue to these potential problem s, energy-based quantities are used in conjunction w ith quantities based on direction m easurem ents to ensure a better rejection of events. A ny event that is rejected by only one cut is still counted as a fraction of an event in the background estim ation, the exact value depending on how far away the cut variable for this event is from the cut value, taking into account the distribution of the variable as well as its sensitivity to reconstruction problem s.

3.2.2 V ariables

D ue to the sim ple kinem atics of events, it is possible to reconstruct the four-m om entum of the outgoing de ected electron (positron) from the properties of the event, assum ing that the outgoing positron (electron) is not de ected at all $(= 0)$. The scattering angle $_{\text{scat}}$ is de ned to be the m in in um polar angle of the de ected particle for the two hypotheses. The pointing angle point is the m inimum angle between the calculated de ected particle and any energy ow object. In events, for large enough $_{\text{scat}}$, the outgoing particle should be visible. Even if only a fraction of its energy is detected, e.g. due to cracks, $_{\text{point}}$ should be sm all, as the reconstructed particle w ill \point" to the energy deposits.

The acoplanarity $($) is the azim uthalangle between the m om entum sum of particles in each hem isphere of an event, de ned by a plane perpendicular to the thrust axis. Due to the large m issing m om entum along the beam axis in events, the transverse acoplanarity is introduced. It is calculated by rst projecting the event onto the plane perpendicular to the beam axis, calculating the two-dim ensional thrust axis and dividing the event into two hem ispheres by a plane perpendicular to this thrust axis. The transverse acoplanarity T_{I} is the angle between the two hem isphere m om entum sum s.

Since the visible energy is small in events, the kinem atics of the event can be distorted by m inor reconstruction problem s. In particular, fake neutral hadrons are created w hen the energy deposit of a charged particle in the calorim eters is not associated w ith its reconstructed track. To cope with such events, the fraction of the visible energy carried by neutral hadrons $(F_{N H} = E_{N H} = E_{\text{vis}})$ as well as the P_T of the event excluding neutral hadrons from its calculation $(\mathbb{P}^{\;\text{N H}}_{\;\text{T}}$) are used.

3.2.3 C om m on cuts

M onte C arlo events are generated with an invariant m ass of the hadronic system greater than 3:5 G eV = c^2 ; thus, in all analyses, the visible m ass is required to be greater than 4 G eV = c^2 .

W ith the required set of triggers, trigger ine ciency is not negligible for events nor for signal events in the very low M region, but is sm all for events that would have been selected by any of the selections described hereafter. The m ost important triggers for events rely on the energy m easurem ent in ECAL. It has been checked that the M onte C arlo reproduces well the energy distribution except for the threshold behaviour; in order to avoid that potentially dangerous region, higher trigger thresholds are applied o ine on data and M onte C arlo events.

The cuts designed to elim inate the land rons background for the very high, high and low m ass dierence chargino analyses and for neutralino analyses are detailed here and sum m arised in Table [1](#page-12-0). Specic analyses, described in Section [3.3,](#page-11-0) are designed in the very low M case, where the m ain background is : hadrons.

3.2.4 R ejection of ! hadrons in the chargino selections

Four Jet Topology (4J) For the 4J-V H and 4J-H selections, the num ber of good charged tracks is required to exceed six. The event thrustm ust not exceed 0.9. The P_T is required to be larger than $5\frac{p}{s}$ or $7\frac{p}{s}$ if the azim uthal angle of the m issing m om entum $\frac{m}{s}$ is w ithin 15 of the vertical plane. A lthough the actual size of the LCA L vertical crack is much sm aller than 15, the angular region that de nes it m ust take into account the resolution on the m issing m om entum direction. T he transverse acoplanarity m ust be less than 175 . T he energy detected w ithin 12 of the beam axis, E_{12} , must be lower than $5⁸$ is and $\frac{1}{3}$ scat must be greater than 15 or $\frac{1}{3}$ point must be greater than 5 . The m issing m om entum is required to point at least 18.2 away from the beam axis (j \cos _{m iss}j< 0:95). To reject events w ith fake neutral hadrons, F_{NH} must be lower than 30%. This cut is relaxed to 45% if $P_N^{\overline{N}H}$ is greater than $3\frac{p}{s}$. Finally, to avoid large angle tagged events, the energy of the m ost energetic lepton of the event m ust not exceed 20% $\overline{}$ s.

For the 4J-L chargino analysis, at least four good tracks must be reconstructed. A llcuts de ned above are applied, w ith the exception of the thrust cut. In addition, E_{12} m ust be zero and the point cut is tightened to 10. Finally, the energy in a 30 azim uthalwedge around the direction of point out is usualited to 10. F hang, the energy in a 50 the m issing m om entum (E_N^{30}) m ust not exceed 1:5% s.

Two Jets and Lepton Topology (2JL) The rejection of the background in the 2JL selections is easier due to the presence of an identi ed lepton. An electron (m uon) of at least 2 G eV (2.5 G eV) m ust be identied. The num ber of charged tracks (including the lepton) m ust be at least three and $_T$ lower than 175. $_{\text{scat}}$ m ust be greater than 15 or $_{\text{point}}$ greater than 5. The neutral hadron energy fraction is required to be less than 45%. In the 2JL-V H and 2JL-H selections, the P_T and E_{12} cuts are the same as for the 4J-H channel. In the 2JL-H selection, the energy of the m ost energetic lepton m ust be less than 20% s. This cut would greatly reduce the e ciency for the 2JL-V H selection, and is relaxed to 30% is, in addition, the m issing m ass M $_{\text{m}}$ is is required to be greater than 25% $^{\circ}$ s. In the 2JL-L analysis, the P_T m ust exceed 2:5% $^{\circ}$ s, E $_{12}$ m ust be zero and jcos $_{\text{m}}$ iss^{j<} 0.95. The energy in a cone of 30 around the m ost energetic lepton in the event (E³⁰) is calculated, and E^{30} or E^{30}_w m ust be lower than $1\degree^P$ s.

N o events from the various ! hadrons M onte C arlo sam ples survive the 4J and 2JL selection cuts and none are rejected by only one cut. For the double rejection requirem ent, the P_T cut is reinforced by the T at and by the $F_{N H}$ and $P_T^{N H}$ cuts in the case of fake neutral hadrons. The E_{12} and pointing ($_{scat}$ and $_{point}$) cuts reinforce each other (see Table [1\)](#page-12-0).

3.2.5 Repection of ! hadrons in the neutralino AJ selections

In the neutralino AJ selections, the cuts on jcos $_m$ issj E₁₂, E_', point and scat are the same as in the 4J-H analysis. The neutral hadron energy is required to be less than 45% of the visible energy. In the AJ-L analysis, at least four good tracks m ust be reconstructed in the event and the P_T is m die A0-1 analysis, actes with your darks in ust be reconstructed in the event and die F_T is
required to exceed 3% $\frac{p}{s}$ (4:5% $\frac{p}{s}$ if $\frac{p}{s}$ is w ithin 15 of the vertical plane). The transverse acoplanarity is required to be sm aller than 120. E_{12} and E_w^{30} must be equal to zero. The P_T is required to be larger than 40% of the visible energy, and $F_{N H}$ less than 30% unless $P_{T}^{N H}$ is greater than 1.8 ^{s \overline{s} . In the AJ-H analysis, the num ber of good tracks must be larger than six and the} acoplanarity and transverse acoplanarity m ust be sm aller than 175 . The P_T is required to exceed $\frac{1}{5}$ $\frac{1}{5}$ (7:5% $\frac{1}{5}$ if $\frac{1}{m}$ iss is w ithin 15 of the vertical plane) and also to be larger than 20% of the

visible energy. The cuts on $F_{N H}$ and E_{12} are the same as in the 4J-H analysis. Finally the fraction of visible energy within 30 of the beam axis E_{30} is required to be less than 70%, and E_w^{30} less than $7:5%$ $\frac{p}{s}$.

A llM onte C arlo \quad ! hadrons events are elim inated by at least two cuts in the A J-H analysis. In the A J-L analysis, the ! hadrons background is estimated to be ' 20 fb from the distance to the cut values of a few singly cut events.

3.3 C hargino selections

T here are three topologies for chargino searches: a totally hadronic topology (4J), a topology w ith a lepton and jets (2JL) and a topology of two acoplanar leptons (AL), not necessarily of the sam e avour. Several analyses are em ployed in each topology to provide sensitivity over a large range of m ass dierences (very high, high, low and very low M). The criteria for the rejection of the

! hadrons background have been described in the previous Section.

Four-Jet Topology (4J) The m ost im portant backgrounds for 4J-VH and 4J-H are $q\bar{q}$ and W W production. The m issing transverse m om entum P_T , the transverse im balance $P_T = E_{vis}$ (see Fig. [1](#page-32-0)a), the visible m ass M $_{\text{vis}}$ and the acoplanarity are used to reduce these backgrounds. No explicit reconstruction of four jets is m ade but the event should be spherical (using the thrust or the inverse-boost: InvB = $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ (1= $\frac{2}{1}$ + 1= $\frac{2}{2}$), where $\frac{1}{1}$ = E_i=m_i for each hem isphere of the event). The m issing m om entum should be isolated, as quanti ed by E_{w}^{30} (see Fig. [1](#page-32-0)b). A veto on a high energy lepton reduces the W W background w hen one of the W $'s$ decays to $e()$ and an upper cut on the m issing m ass reduces the W W background when one of the W \prime s decays to . To further reduce this background, a tau jet is searched for using the JADE algorithm with a y_{cut} of 0.001. The W m ass \mathbb{M}_W) is computed as the m ass of the hadronic system excluding the tau jet and $_{23}$ is de ned as the sm allest angle between the tau jet and the other jets. In the 4J-H and 4J-L analyses, the rem aining qq radiative return background is reduced by vetoing events with an isolated photon w ith energy greater than 10 G eV. A photon is isolated if no particle is detected in a cone of 30 half angle around its direction, excluding an inner cone of 5 half angle. The cuts for the 4J-V H, 4J-H and 4J-L selections are listed in Table [2.](#page-14-0)

Two Jets and Lepton Topology (2JL) The characteristic signature of the 2JL channel is the presence of an energetic isolated lepton (see Fig[.1c](#page-32-0)). H ere, lepton refers to e or , w here electrons are identi ed using ECAL inform ation. Cuts on the m issing m ass and the hadronic m ass, W $_{\text{had}}$, (the m ass of the event, excluding the m ost energetic identi ed lepton) and E_w^{30} reduce the qq and W W backgrounds. The $2JL + J$ selection is sensitive to the $+$ and $+$ $+$ backgrounds, which are reduced by m eans of the hadronic m ass and the acoplanarity. The cuts are listed in Table [2](#page-14-0).

V ery Low M Selections The selections for the very low mass dierence chargino signalare designed to reject the background, m ostly | hadrons and $!$ $+$ \cdot In both 4J and 2JL selections, variables sim ilar to those used for the other chargino analyses are em ployed, such as the m issing transverse m om entum , the transverse m balance and the transverse acoplanarity. N o energy should be detected at low angle. In the spirit of Section 3.2 , energy-based variables are

Neutralino - A J						
M range	H	L				
$M_{\rm\ vis}$	$>$ 4 G eV / c^2 and trigger conditions					
$N_{\rm ch}$		4				
P_T $f_1^P \overline{s} / f_2^P \overline{s}^Y$		$f_1 = 5\%$; $f_2 = 7.5\%$ $f_1 = 3\%$; $f_2 = 4.5\%$				
$P_T = E_{vis}$	>20 %	> 40 %				
$\mathbb T$	< 170	< 120				
	$\frac{2}{5}$ + $\frac{170}{5}$ + $\frac{170}{5}$					
E_{12}	$= 0$					
$_{\text{scat}}$ > 1 Or $_{\text{point}}$ > \mathfrak{D}	$_1 = 15$; $_2 = 5$					
$j\infty s$ _{m iss} j		< 0:95				
$\rm F_{N~H}$		< 45%				
$F_{N H}$ < f_1 or $P_T^{N H}$ > f_2						
	$f_2 = 3\frac{1}{6}$ S					
E .	$\frac{1}{20\% \frac{f_2 = 1.8\%}{5}}$					
E_w^{30}	< 7:5% \overline{s}	$= 0$				
E_{30} = E_{vis}	< 70 %					

Table 1: Selection cuts against | hadrons. The ^y indicates that the cut is applied when the azim uthalangle of the m issing m om entum is within 15 of the vertical plane.

com plem ented by direction-based variables such as joos $_{m}$ issj scat and $_{\text{point}}$. Events with fake neutral hadrons are rem oved with F_{NH} and $P_{T}^{\overline{N}H}$, as can be seen in Table 2. As the m ain potential background in the 2JL-VL selection com es from events with a m isidenti ed hadron, cuts to identify leptons are tighter than in the other 2JL analyses and also use the dE =dx information from the TPC to identify signal electrons which typically have lower m om entum than those targeted by the L, H, and VH selections. The m om entum is required to be in excess of 1 G eV = c for electrons and 2:5 GeV = c for m uons. The estimated land radions contamination is \prime 60 fb in 4J-V L and negligible in 2JL-VL.

A coplanar Leptons Topology (AL) The acoplanar lepton selection is similar to the ALEPH selectron and sm uon selections $[2]$, with the exception that no lepton identi cation is required and events w ith four tracks are accepted, where three tracks are hypothesized as arising from a tau decay if their invariant m ass is lower than 1.5 GeV = c^2 . The cuts against W W background, specically the requirem ent on the energy of the tracks \mathbb{E}_{y_1} and \mathbb{E}_{y_2} , where $\mathbb{E}_{y_2} \leq \mathbb{E}_{y_1}$, are optimised for the two chargino decay scenarios leading to an acoplanar lepton signature: $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \text{'} & \text{ (three-body} \\ \text{decay: A L-3) and & \text{!} & \text{e'} & \text{(two-body decay: A L-2).} & \text{Typical cut values for } s = 172 \text{ GeV are} \end{array}$ E_{γ} < 30 GeV and E_{γ} < 26 GeV for the AL-3 selection. Sim ilar values of these cuts are applied M_{\sim} < 20 G eV = c^{2} . in the AL-2 selection when M

For two body decays, the irreducible background from W pair production is subtracted. The optim isation of the upper cut on the energy of the leptons in the two-body decay selection takes this into account, and the cut applied depends on the m ass di erence between the chargino and sneutrino. The selection for small mass di erences described in Ref. [2] is also applied in the analysis of the : e 'topology (AL-VL), requiring a pair of identi ed leptons, not necessarily of the same avor. This analysis is also applied to the data taken at $\frac{p}{s} = 130$ and 136 GeV, giving
similar e ciencies and background as at $\frac{p}{s} = 161$ and 172 GeV.

The background level and typicale ciency for each of the selections described above are listed in Table 4. To optim ally search for a given chargino signal, some of the selections are combined as described in Section 3.5.

3.4 Neutralino selections

The neutralino analysis is optim ised according to the various production and decay topologies in the di erent regions of the M SSM parameter space. The neutralino topologies can be summarised as follows. In the H iggsino region the only accessible channel is \degree production and the signature consists of acoplanar jets. In the m ixed region, heavier neutralinos (ω ; ω) are also produced and give rise to cascade decays. For large slepton m asses (large m₀) the nal states are m ainly multihadronic. For light slepton m asses (sm all m $_0$) the leptonic branching ratios are enhanced, which gives rise to events containing several leptons in the nal state. Furtherm ore, there are parameter con gurations (in the m ixed region for low tan , when the 0 m ass di erence is sm all) for which the branching ratio for \degree ! is large; therefore the events often contain isolated photons.

In other regions, neutralino decays to a neutral H iggs boson (h) m ay play a role, depending on the assumptionsm ade for the H iggs sector. The e ciency for $\frac{1}{2}$! h is greater than the e ciency

p _ p
Table 2: Cutvalues for the chargino analyses. The V H selections are divided into cuts used at ^p = 161 GeV and [1](#page-12-0)72 GeV. Cuts against | hadrons are listed in Table 1 for the L, H, and VH selections; all cuts for the VL selections are listed here.

for $\frac{1}{2}$! Z, in part due to the $\overline{}$ decays of the Z. Conservatively, the branching ratios of decays to H iggs bosons are set to zero.

Four di erent analyses are used to cope w ith the various decay m odes throughout the param eter space. The e ciencies and expected background levels for these analyses are summ arised in Table 4.

A coplanar Jets Topology (AJ) Two analyses are employed for this topology: the AJ-H analysis, optimised for large m ass dierences between and $\,^0$, and the AJ-L analysis, optimised to complement the AJ-H analysis for small mass dierences ($M < 30$ GeV = c²). In both cases, the dom inant backgrounds after the cuts against the background (see Table 1) are W W , Z and We production. These backgrounds are rejected by placing a cut on the event thrust and on the visible m ass (see Fig. 1d). The optimum cut on the visible m ass can be parametrised with the sim ple form $M_{vis} < M + 5$ G eV = c² throughout the full H iggsino region. A s the AJ-H selection is applied to regions of the param eter space with large m ass di erences, the visible m ass cut is less e ective to reduce the background and cuts on the event acoplanarity are introduced. A ll selections are sum m arised in Table 3.

Four Jets with Photons (4J-) In the mixed region, 0 and 00 can be produced with large cross sections. For large slepton m asses, the cascade decays give rise to multi-hadronic nal states sim ilar to 4J chargino events. The 4J-H chargino analysis is used, with a visible mass cut reoptim ised for the neutralino search.

In this region, the radiative decay of the \degree can be large, especially when the m ass di erence between the $\frac{0}{1}$ and the is small, giving rise to hadronic nal states with an isolated photon. A dedicated analysis has been designed for this topology: starting from the anti- cuts of the 4JH chargino analysis, an energetic isolated photon is required. To suppress the Z and $q\overline{q}$ backgrounds, the acoplanarity should be sm aller than 160 and the m issing m om entum isolated \mathbb{E}_{w}^{30} < 7.5% $\frac{1}{5}$. A variable cut on the visible m ass is also imposed depending on the signal con guration. The background is about 36 fb (20 fb) at 172 GeV (161 GeV) for any cut on the visible m ass, and is reduced to less than 5 fb when the visible m ass is required to be sm aller than 70 GeV. For example, when \degree \degree production dom inates and with a branching ratio for \degree ! of 60%, the e ciency of both the 4J- analysis and the 4J-H analysis is about 15%, giving a total e ciency of 30% with about 40 fb of background at $\frac{1}{s}$ = 172 G eV. The cuts are sum m arised in Table 3.

A coplanar Leptons Topology (AL-) W hen sleptons are light, the production of 0 or 0 pairs followed by the decay $0!$ \cdots , $0!$ \cdots has a sizeable rate and leads to nal states containing two acoplanar leptons with the same avour and m issing energy. This topology resembles the production of slepton pairs, therefore similar selections to those described in Ref. [2] are used, except that the cuts against the (dom inant) W W background are optim ised for the neutralino searches as a function of the m ass di erence between the produced neutralinos. The W W background is reduced by requiring that the two leptons in the nal state be of the same avour, and by placing a cut on the m aximum m om entum of both leptons.

Table 3: Cuts for neutralino analyses; cuts against are listed in Tabl[e 1](#page-12-0). The positions of the cuts for variables with a ^y depend on the point in the SU SY param eter space; typical values are given for illustration (M = 40 G eV = c 2 for A J \pm , M \pm 30 G eV = c 2 for A J \pm , tan = $\frac{15}{2}$, given in initialization (ii) = 40 G ev = c in A_0 -1, ii) = 50 G ev = c in A_0 -1,
M $_2$ = 50 G eV = c², = 68 G eV = c², m $_0$ = 75 G eV = c² and \overline{S} = 172 G eV for M L).

M ultileptons Topology (M L) This analysis is used to cover the region of the param eter space w here sleptons are light and w here also the heaviest neutralinos are produced (for instance, the region with m $_0$ = 75 G eV = c^2 , M $_2$ < 130 G eV = c^2 , tan = $\overline{2}$). In this case, several leptons can be present in the nal state. For example, the production of 0^{0} pairs, followed by the decays 0 ! \cdot \cdot , \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot , gives rise to at least three charged leptons in the event. The follow ing selections are designed to select these topologies w ith high e ciency. Cuts against the two-photon background sim ilar to those discussed for 4J-H in Section [3.2](#page-8-0) are applied, but the cut on the m inim um num ber of charged tracks, the thrust and on the m axim um energy of the leading lepton are rem oved. Instead, at least two m uons or two electrons are required (after rem oval of photon conversions). The leptons are ordered in energy, where E $_{1}$ > E $_{2}$. The energies of the two leading leptons are required to be larger than 5 G eV. C uts on the m axim um energy of these leptons and on them axim um visible energy in the nalstate are also applied to reject W W and other backgrounds. These cuts are optim ised as a function of the m asses of the neutralinos. If an isolated photon w ith $E > 10$ G eV is present in the nalstate, as one would expect from the decay 0 !, then the cut on the m axim um energy of the second lepton is rem oved; this cut is directed against W W events w hich usually do not contain isolated photons.T he M L selection is sum m arised in Table 3.

3.5 C om bination of Selections

Them any dierent selections developed for the chargino and neutralino searchesm ust be combined so that the analysis is sensitive to all possible topologies for a large range of M and decay branching ratios, w ithout allow ing excessive background from selections that contribute little to the e ciency for a particular signal conquation. The selections are complied according to the N $_{95}$

Neutralino						
A J-H	22	fb	18	⅏	38%	
AJ-L	35	fb	42	fc	24%	
$4J -$		fb		fb	15%	
M L	52	fb	51	fb	10%	
$A L -$	h	fb		fc	10%	

Table 4: E ciencies at $p = 172$ GeV and background for chargino and neutralino analyses. The chargino e ciencies are determ ined considering only the topology for which that selection was optim ised, for = 500 G eV = $\&$ and tan = $\frac{1}{2}$, varying M ₁ independently of M ₂ to obtain the various $(M \t; M)$ listed com binations. For the 2JL analyses, e ciencies are calculated for events with $' = e$;. For the AL-2 analysis, the m inim um and m axim um background is given. The background for the neutralino selections is determ ined at the points given in Tables [3](#page-16-0).

prescription, by summing the signale ciency and background expectations. The global analysis em ploys the combination of selections which, for a given choice of the relevant param eters, m in in ises the average expected lim it on the production cross section. A lihough there are discontinuities in the e ciency, the average expected lim it is continuous.

3.5.1 Combination of chargino selections

In the chargino analysis the relevant parameters are the chargino mass, M , and the leptonic branching ratio. \C rosse ciency" between selections is not negligible as some selections are sensitive to a topology other than that for which they were optimised; for example, the 4J selections are e cient for the 2JL topology when the lepton is a tau. There is also some overlap am ong the selections in the expected background.

For the typical case in the chargino analysis where the dom inant decay is through a virtual W , only 10% of the signal events will have the topology of acoplanar leptons, while 44% will have a 2JL topology, and 46% will occur in the 4J topology. The selection for acoplanar leptons (AL-3) has irreducible background from W pair production, and so the average expected lim it is not optimal when the acoplanar leptons selection is included.

W hen the branching ratio of ! 1 is greater than 60%, assuming equal branching ratios to e, , and leptons, a better lim it is expected when the $A L - 3$ selection is applied with the $4J - 1$, 2JL-H and 2JL-L selections. If stau m ixing is allowed, an increase in the number of decays with leptons will be observed. The hadronic tau decays are more e ciently selected by the 4J selections, so a higher branching ratio to leptons is required before the AL-3 selection is included. Two-body $\frac{1}{2}$, can also occur when stau m ixing is considered, and the same combination of decays, selections is applied for the resulting topology.

For two-body decays to sneutrinos, \cdot \cdot , the AL-2 and AL-VL selections are applied to optim ise the expected lim it as a function of M M $M \sim$) and M ; the AL-VL selection is applied when $M < 8$ G eV = c^2 , for all M

The optim isation for charginos is performed with the integrated lum inosity at 172 GeV, nding the optim al combination of selections for a chargino with m ass of 85 GeV = c^2 , as the chargino lim it is determ ined by that data set in m ost cases. The optimal combinations of selections for the 161 G eV data are then found for an 80 G eV = c^2 chargino by taking the expected 172 G eV results into account. Consequently, not all selections are used in the analysis of the 161 G eV data.

The combinations of selections applied to the data in the chargino analysis and the expected background estimates are summarised in Table 5.

3.5.2 C om bination of neutralino selections

In the neutralino analysis the optim al combination depends on the region of the MSSM parameter space since the production processes and decay m odes vary throughout this space.

In the H iggsino region the dom inant topology is acoplanar jets, irrespective ofm $_0$ and tan , and a combination of the AJ-H and AJ-L analyses is used for $M < 30$ GeV = c². For $M > 30$ GeV = c² the AJH analysis alone is used.

	Charginos					
	172 G eV					
	(G $eV = c^2$) М	Combinations	\mathbb{E} ba			
$\mathbf{1}$	< 10	$4J+VL$, $2JL+VL$, $2JL+L$	81			
2	10 50	$4J\text{-}L$, $2JL\text{-}L$, $4J\text{-}H$, $2JL\text{-}H$	53			
3	50	94				
4	aШ	101				
	161 G eV					
	(G $eV = c^2$) Combinations М					
$\mathbf{1}$	< 10	$2JL + L$, $4J + L$, $2JL + L$	23			
\mathcal{D}	60 10 $4J\pm$, $4J\pm$, $2J\pm$ \pm		34			
3	$2JL + I$, $4J + V$ H, $2JL + V$ H 60					
4	all	$4J\pm$, $2J\pm$ H , $A\pm$ -3	90			

Table 5: Com binations of selections used to set lim its with the 161 and 172 G eV data, for three-body decays of charginos. For com binations listed in Rows $1-3$, W branching ratios are assum ed, and the com binations in Row 4 are applied when the branching ratio of \qquad ! ' is greater than 60%. Background estim ations for the com binations are also given.

N eutralinos							
			172 G eV		161 G eV		
	R egion	Combinations	(දි	$(f\!D)$ bα	(දි	bd (fb	
	Higgsino: M \leq 30 G eV = c 2	AJ-L, AJ-H	39	46	40	41	
\mathcal{L}	H iggsino: $M > 30$ G eV = $c2$	A J H	38	18	41	22	
3	m ixed high $Br(0!$	$4JH$, $4J-$	30	38	30	30	
4	m ixed:low m $_0$	$A J H$, ML, $A L -$	23	108	23	95	

Table 6: Com binations of selections used to set lim its with the 161 and 172 G eV data, for the neutralino searches. The param eters for e ciency and background m easurem ents are the sam e as in Table [3.](#page-16-0)

In them ixed region, 00 and 00 production is kinem atically accessible and, in addition, the 0 has a large radiative branching fraction w hen ≤ 0 . Thus for large slepton m asses $(m_0 - 200 \text{ GeV} = c^2)$, w here the neutralinos give rise m ainly to hadronic nal states, a combination of the 4J-H chargino analysis w ith the 4J- analysis gives the best sensitivity. For sm all slepton m asses (m $_0$ = 75 G eV = c^2 , for exam ple), the leptonic branching ratios are enhanced and the $A J-H$ analysis is com bined w ith the A L- and M L analyses over them ixed and gaugino regions. Since in these regions the neutralino production processes and the leptonic and hadronic branching ratios change rapidly as a function of the param eters, the com bination of the A J-H , A L- and M L selections allow s for a robust analysis and for a stable signale ciency.

The combinations of selections, e ciencies, and background m easurem ents for the neutralino searches are sum m arised in Table 6.

3.6 E ciency Param etrisation

The e ciency of the chargino selections at its simplest level is governed by the visible m ass of the event. This is highly correlated with M , which, when sleptons are heavy, is equivalent to the m aximum invariant m ass Q² of the ferm ion pair from the decay of the virtual W. However, the eld content of the charginos and neutralinos can a ect the selection e ciency independently of $\mathbb M$ and M . The gaugino and H iggsino components for both the and play a role in the decay am plitude. The CP eigenvalues (em bedded in the neutralino m ass m atrix) can in uence the di erential decay rate d = dQ 2 [9]. A side from discrete di erences in CP eigenvalues, the $\bar{\mathbb{W}}$ + and $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^+$ components in the decay amplitude depend on the model parameters in dierent ways, show ing up as signi cant changes in d =dQ 2 , even for constant M ;M and dom inantly gaugino-like charginos and neutralinos. These e ects have the largest in pact on the e ciency when 50 G eV = c^2 , and can lead to dierences in the e ciency of up to 30% (relative) in some M_{\odot} $CARS$

For the selections described here, the e-ciencies are derived separately for $tan = \frac{p}{2}$ and 35. The e ciencies are 5% lower for the latter, when M 40 50 GeV. These dierences are veried with both DFGT and SUSYGEN.

To m ap out the dependence of the e ciency as a function of M , is xed to a large value, and tan is xed to $\frac{1}{2}$ and 35. A fler nding M $_2$ for a given M $\,$, M $_1$ is varied (thereby violating the standard gaugino m ass uni cation relation) to obtain the full range of M α (hence, M). M any points in M are generated using the full detector simulation, with a statistical error of 1% for each M point.

Thee ciencies are param etrised as functions of M in the three ranges of M given in Table 5. They are also param etrised for the three chargino decay topologies: $\Q Q$ ", when both charginos decay to qq^0 , $Q L''$, when one chargino decays to ' ('= e; ;) and the other to $q\dot{q}$, and LL'' , when both charginos decay to \cdot . This is done to allow for variations of the leptonic branching ratio, $Br($ $!$ ').

Separate param etrisations are obtained for $\frac{p-1}{s}$ = 161 and 172 G eV. A corrective factor (one dependence at red $\overset{p-}{s}$. Corrections are applied for for each M range) is derived for the M increases in the relative fraction of chargino decays to taus in proportion to all leptons. Corrections are also applied for the system atic reduction in e ciency as discussed in Section 3.7.

The e ciencies as a function of M and $Br($ \cdot $)$ for the chargino analysis are shown in Fig. 2. The e ciency is shown as a function of M for the combinations in rows 1 3_{of} Table 5. E ciencies are shown separately for the QQ " and QL " signal topologies. The e ciency for the \LL" topology is essentially zero for these combinations of selections. The e ciencies for the di erent topologies are combined according to the appropriate branching ratios to give an overalle ciency; here, W branching ratios are applied. A lso shown is the e ciency as a function of Br(\cdot ') under the assum ption of equal branching ratios to e, and , and assuming 100% , which gives the most conservative e ciency if stau m ixing is allowed. The branching ratio to sudden increase in the e ciency at large $Br($ \cdot $)$ is due to the e ect of including the AL-3 selection.

The selection e ciencies for AJ and two-body chargino decays (\cdot ' \sim and \cdot ' \sim) are param etrised similarly. The e ciency for the AJ analysis is shown in Fig. 3 as a function of M for dierent values of the MSSM parameters (m_0, \tan, n) and $\text{for}^p \cdot s = 161$ GeV. For this analysis, in

the H iggsino region, the e ciency depends only on the m ass di erence between the two neutralinos, i.e., on the visible energy in the nal state, and not on other parameters of the theory. In this region, independent of the other model parameters, the only kinem atically accessible process is production and the dom inant decay of the 0 is 0 ! Z. The e ciency improves at large M due to the larger visible energy in the nal state, and drops at $M = 30$ GeV = c^2 because of the change in the combination of selections.

3.7 Studies of System atic E ects

The most important system atic e ects for the chargino and neutralino analyses are those which a ect the signale ciency, including m odelling of the signal process and detector.

The requirem ent that no energy be reconstructed within 12 of the beam axis introduces an ine ciency due to beam -associated and detector background not simulated by M onte C arlo, as it depends on the beam conditions during data taking. This loss is measured from events triggered at random beam crossings to be 4.1% in the 161 GeV data and 2.4% in the 172 GeV data. The e ciency for the relevant selections is reduced accordingly.

To check the simulation of the detector response to events which are kinem atically similar to the signal events, a sam ple of events from LEP 1 is selected. These events have an isolated energetic photon from nal state radiation, which is removed from the analysis of the rest of the event, leaving an acoplanar hadronic system with m issing energy and visible m ass similar to signal events. K inem atic quantities such as thrust, transverse m om entum, acoplanarity, isolation of the m issing m om entum vector, and the neutral hadronic energy fraction are well reproduced by the M onte Carlo. The simulation of kinem atic quantities for low visible m ass system s is tested by comparison events, and good agreem ent is found.

The identi cation of electrons and muons has been compared in data and M onte Carlo. The electron identi cation e ciency for the $2JL - VH$, H , and L has a system atic uncertainty of 0.6% per electron. The selection for the 2JL-VL analysis has a system atic uncertainty associated with the electron identi cation e ciency at low m om enta due to the simulation of the calorim etric and $dE = dx$ estimators of 3:7%, with an additional correction of 3:9% (relative) applied to the eciency. System atic uncertainties in the muon identication lead to an error of 0:7% in the 2JL-VH, H, and L selections, $1:4$ ⁸ in the $2JL + L$ selection.

The M onte C arlo program used for simulating the chargino signal, DFGT, has been compared to SUSY GEN, and good agreem ent found for kinem atic variables and signal e ciencies predicted by the two program s. The e ects of the spin of the charginos is evident in the angular distribution of the leptons; however, this has an insignicante ect on the overalle ciency. The DFGT program does not include a simulation of nal state radiation. The e ect of this on the selection e ciency varies from ' 1% for high M to 3.5% for very low M . Signal e ciency measurements are corrected for this e ect.

The measurem ent of the lum inosity and beam energy can introduce an error in the derivation of an upper lim it on the signal. The uncertainty on the measurem ent of the integrated lum inosity recorded by the detector is less than 1% including statistical and system atic uncertainties. The beam energy is known to within 30 M eV [24], causing a negligible uncertainty in the results of this analysis.

System atic errors are taken into account in the derivation of the results for the chargino and neutralino analyses by m eans of the m ethod detailed in [\[25\]](#page-31-0). In addition to the system atic uncertainties, statistical errors from the M onte C arlo statistics and the lum inosity m easurem ent, which have uncertainties of < 1% each are taken into account.

4 R esults

4.1 E vents selected in the data

In the 21 pb 1 data taken at $P = 161$ 172 G eV, 9.5 events are expected from background in the chargino selections and 5.7 in the neutralino selections. There is som e overlap in the background expectations for the chargino and neutralino analyses, leading to a total of 13 events expected. A total of 15 events is observed in the data, w ith some events selected by both the chargino and neutralino analyses, and several events selected by other A LEPH searches for supersym m etry $[2,3]$. A sum m ary of the events selected by each analysis, along w ith a Standard M odel hypothesis for each candidate, is given in Table [7.](#page-23-0) The num bers of events expected and observed by the various com binations of selections is given in Table [8.](#page-23-0)

In the chargino analysis, a total of 3.7 events are expected to be selected by the 4J and 2JL analyses in the 161 and 172 G eV data set, and ve events are observed. Two events are selected by the 4J-VL analysis in the 172 GeV data set; both are consistent with background. One of these events has m issing m om entum pointing to the vertical LCAL crack; an undetected electron from a tagged event is a possible explanation. The other event has an energy deposit in the H C A L w hich, possibly due to incorrect reconstruction, is not associated to a track, giving the event \extra" transverse m om entum . T wo events are selected by the 2JL-V H and 4J-V H selections, one each in the 161 and 172 G eV data; both are compatible with W W production. One event can be interpreted as W \mathbb{W} ! $q\bar{q}$, where the tau decays hadronically. Due to a nuclear interaction in the ITC /TPC wall, the kinem atics of the event are m ism easured. The other event can also be interpreted as W W ! $\overline{q}q$, where the tau decays to an electron and neutrinos. A possible m ism easurem ent of the energy of a low-angle jet due to cracks in the detector allow s this event to be selected. The kinem atics of the event selected by the 4J-L analysis in the 161 G eV data suggest its origin as the four-ferm ion process $ZZ = 1 - 7 + 1$ where the taus decay to and a_1 . This event is also selected by the searches for neutralinos, stops, and staus.

In the AL-3 selection, three events are observed in the 172 G eV data, while 1.6 are expected in the entire data set. O ne of these events is also selected by the sm uon search, and is com patible w ith W W or Z production. The other two events are consistent with W W ! , with one-prong tau decays. T he events are not selected by the slepton searches because the tracks are not both identi ed as leptons. In the $A L-2$ selection, the sam e three events are selected by the high m ass dierence analysis, w hile 3.8 events are expected from the 161 and 172 G eV data set. Five events, com patible w ith background processes, are selected by the $A L -V L$ analysis, w hile two are expected, as described in R ef.[\[2](#page-30-0)].

In the neutralino analyses, two events are selected by the $A J - L$ and $A J - H$ analyses, while 1.9 are expected. Both events are am ong those selected by the chargino analysis. O ne event is observed in the data by the combination of the 4J-H and the 4J- analyses, while 0.8 are expected. This candidate is selected by the 4J-H analysis only for the neutralino case, where the optim isation

	Selection		
S(GeV)	C harqino	N eutralino	H ypothesis
161	$4J + H$		W W
	ALVL		۱۱
		$AL-ML$	W W ; Z
	4J-L	A J-L	$ZZ = 1$
172	2JL-V H		W W
	ALVL		۱۱
	$A L - 3A L - 2$	МL	W W ; Z
		4J H	W W
	4J-V L		qq
	ALVL		$\overline{}$
	AL-3,AL-2		W W
	AL-3,AL-2		W W
	4J-V L	A J-L	
	ALVL		۱١
	ALVL		۱١

Table 7: Candidate events selected by the chargino and neutralino analyses in the 161 and 172 G eV data.

Chargino				N eutralino		
C om bination		N_{exp}	N_{obs}	C om bination	N _{exp}	$\rm N$ $_{\rm obs}$
		1.1	3	H iggsino: 1 2	1.9	\mathcal{P}
W	2	0.9		m ixed: 3	0.8	
	3	1.5	\mathcal{P}	m ixed: 4	3.0	
	١١.	5.8				
tota '		9.5	13	ntai	5.7	

Table 8: N um bers of background events expected and observed by the chargino and neutralino analyses in the 161 and 172 G eV data. In the chargino column, the $\setminus W$ " com binations correspond to rows 1 3 of Table [5](#page-19-0), and the neutralino com binations correspond to those given in Table [6](#page-19-0). \setminus (')" refers to the totalnum ber of events from AL-3,AL-2, and AL-VL selections (see Table [4\)](#page-17-0).

procedure leads to a visible m ass cut above 70 G eV = c^2 , in contrast to the chargino search. This event has a visible m ass of 70:3 G eV = c^2 and show s a large charged track multiplicity. There is a clear sign of an isolated m inim um ionising particle at low angle, w ith a m uon-like digital pattern in the H C A L and one hit in each m uon cham ber layer. N o charged track is reconstructed because the particle is at a very low angle: only two TPC hits are recorded. This favours the W W interpretation of this event, w ith a leptonic decay of one W.

Finally, two events are selected while three are expected in the combination of the AJ-H, AL and M L analyses optim ised for the neutralino search for sm all m $_0$ in the m ixed region. One of them is com m on to the chargino candidate sam ple. T he other one is a slepton candidate and is described in detail in $Ref. [2]$ $Ref. [2]$ $Ref. [2]$.

The num ber of events selected in the data, their distribution am ong the selections and their

properties do not suggest a signal for supersymm etry. Therefore, lim its are set on the production of charginos and neutralinos, and constraints placed on the param eters of the M SSM. The candidate events are taken into account in deriving the lim its in the regions of (M, J, M) and (M, \circ, M) in which the analyses that select each candidate are applied. For the combinations using the $A L-2$ selection only, the W W background is subtracted $[26]$ from the AL-2 selection.

Lim its on the production cross section 4.2

Upper lim its on sparticle production cross sections can be derived from the results of these searches. Unless sleptons are light, W exchange dom inates the decay of charginos, so the process e^+e ! $^+$! W W de nes the signal topology used to set upper lim its on the cross section in the plane of M and M , shown in Fig. 4. The e ciencies used in the derivation of this limit are calculated for = 500 G eV = $\frac{c}{c}$; tan = $\frac{c}{2}$, using the techniques described in Section 3.6. The features of the contours of constant cross section re ect discontinuities in the number of candidates at points where the combinations of selections change and where the additional lum inosity from data taken at lower energies applies. The integrated lum inosities taken at centre-of-m ass energies of 130, 136 [27], 161, and 170 G eV are scaled by the ratio of cross sections in the gaugino region $($ = 500 G eV = \hat{c} ; tan = $\frac{1}{2}$) to those at 172 G eV, and included w ith the data taken at 172 G eV to derive this lim it. The ratio of cross sections is slightly larger in the gaugino region than in the H iggsino region; however, the result di ers by less than 10%.

Sim ilarly, the neutralino AJH and AJ-L searches can be used to derive an upper lim it on the cross section for \degree production, where the decay \degree ! Z is assumed. The resulting cross section lim it for the range of $(M \circ jM)$ relevant in the Higgsino region is shown in Fig. 5. Values of M > 40 G eV = c² have not been considered because for the given lum inosity, the cross sections are too low to allow this region to be useful as a constraint.

Interpretation in the M SSM 4.3

The constraints that the results of the chargino and neutralino searches can place on the param eters of the MSSM are explored in this section. First, limits are derived assuming that sleptons are heavy. In this case, charginos and neutralinos decay with W and Z branching ratios, respectively. Next, sleptons are allowed to be light, and the resulting changes to the cross section and decay branching ratios are explored. Stau m ixing can potentially a ect the lim its derived from the searches, as the decays of charginos and neutralinos are modied by the presence of a light stau. These e ects have been investigated, and the resulting lim its are only slightly modi ed from previous cases. A ssum ptions comm only made in SUSY GUT's are then relaxed, and exclusion lim its independent of requirem ents of a universal slepton m ass are derived. The assumption of a universal gaugino m ass is also relaxed, and nally, lim its w ithout assum ptions on a universal scalar or a universal gaugino m ass are given.

Lim its are derived using param etrisations for the e ciencies, as described in Section 3.6, and the slight variations in e ciency due to eld content are checked with the full M SSM simulation.

4.3.1 Standard scenario: heavy sleptons

C hargino and neutralino m asses and cross sections are determined by the param eters and M $_2$, for given values of tan and m_0 . Lim its on the production of charginos and neutralinos constrain these param eters, as depicted in Fig. [6](#page-37-0) for the given values of tan and for M $_{\sim}$ = 200 G eV = c^2 . At this value of $M \sim$, the decay branching ratios are una ected, but the cross section is reduced w ith respect to its asym ptotic value. W hen sleptons are heavy, detailed assum ptionsm ade on the relations am ong their m asses are unim portant.)

In the gaugino region, the chargino production cross section is high, and selection e ciency is high since M $'$ M = 2 (see Fig. [2\)](#page-33-0). A s a result, charginos are excluded nearly to the kinem atic lim it. The lim it on the chargino m ass is $85.5 \text{ GeV} = c^2$ for = 500 G eV = Ca and tan = $\frac{c}{2}$. In the H iggsino region, the cross section is lower and M is sm all, leading to a lower selection e ciency due to the diculties of rejecting background, and a slightly weaker lim it $M > 85$ G eV = c^2 for M > 10 G eV = c², corresponding to M $_2$ < 550 G eV = c²). The additional gain from the search for 0 production, which is most powerful in the H iggsino and m ixed regions for low tan , is also shown. For high tan , the result from the chargino searches is similar, and the exclusion reaches the kinem atic lim it; no additional exclusion is gained from the neutralino search. In the follow ing, the discussion will be concentrated on the low tan case.

The im pact of the neutralino search is seen m ore clearly in Fig. [7](#page-38-0). The lim it on the chargino m ass as a function of M₂ is derived using the chargino and neutralino analyses separately. For lower M $_2$, charginos are excluded nearly to the kinem atic lim it by the chargino search alone. The neutralino analysis allow sexclusion beyond the kinem atic lim it for chargino production. For higher M $_2$, M becom es sm all, leading to a lower selection e ciency. The abrupt reduction in the lim it from the chargino search at M $_2$ 550 G eV = $\stackrel{\frown}{c}$ is due to the increase in the num ber of candidates to be taken into account for $M < 10$ G eV = c^2 .

C harginos and neutralinos constitute independent signals in the H iggsino region. The selection criteria developed for the chargino signaldo not augm ent signicantly the neutralino acceptance, and vice versa. To obtain a com bined lim it, expected signals and numbers of candidates are sum m ed, extending the exclusion in the H iggsino region, as shown in Fig. 7. This is m ost evident in the deep H iggsino region, w here the com bination of chargino and neutralino analyses sets a lim it on the chargino m ass above 79 G eV = c^2 , for M $_2$ 1200 G eV = \dot{c} (corresponding to M 5 G eV = c^2). This im proves the lim it of 72 G eV = c^2 set by the chargino search alone.

At the \Supersym m etric Lim it", w here tan = 1 and M $_2$ = $= 0$, both and $_2$ have m ass $'$ M $_W$. The two lightest neutralinos are nearly m assless, and \int^0 decays to with 100% branching ratio. Production of heavier neutralinos is also kinem atically possible, with M α ' M α ' M $_{\rm Z}$. This process was accessible at $\frac{p}{s} = 130$ 136 G eV, and was used to exclude the Supersym m etric Lim it [\[28\]](#page-31-0). At $\sqrt{5}$ > 2M $_W$, direct exclusion of this region using chargino searches also is possible. Since the m ass dierence between the charginos and neutralinos is ' 80 G eV = c^2 , the search is dicult due to the W W background, but the eciency of the 2JL-V H and 4J-V H selections allows this point to be excluded. The optim allim it is expected when only the data taken at $\overline{}$ s = 172 G eV is included. No background is subtracted in the derivation of this $\text{lin } \pm$. The upper $\text{lin } \pm$ on the $\frac{1}{2}$ in the derivation of this in the dependence of the cross section is $>$ 2:9 pb in this region. This lim it is derived for m $_0$ = 200 G eV = c^2 .

4.3.2 E ects of light sleptons

The e ect of low slepton m asses is signi cant in both the production and decay of charginos and neutralinos, as explained in Section [1](#page-4-0). Here lim its are derived from the chargino and neutralino searches when sleptons are light and the particular role played by staus is clari ed. D irect searches for sleptons can also play a role in the chargino and neutralino lim its in this scenario. A general exclusion w ill be treated fully in a forthcom ing publication; for prelim inary results, see R ef. $[30]$.

Light sleptons, nom inal stau m ixing

The $\text{Im } \pm$ on the chargino m ass throughout the gaugino region is evaluated as a function of for severalvalues of m_0 and for tan $n = 2$, as shown in Fig.[8](#page-39-0)a. This lim it is derived from the chargino analysis assum ing a universal scalar m ass m $_0$ for the sleptons. The overall reduction in the lim it for decreasing m₀ is due to the dim inished cross section. A s charginos becom e m ore gaugino-like (i.e., as j j increases), the leptonic branching ratio increases, and the selection for acoplanar leptons is applied to retain e ciency; the sharp change in the lim it is due to the change in e ciency and num ber of candidates. Stau m ixing, discussed further below, is calculated w ith $A = 0$ G eV = c^2 (at the electroweak scale).

The evaluation of low m_0 e ects is extended to the (γ M₂) plane, as shown in Fig.[8b](#page-39-0). The reduction of the lim it in the gaugino region, as seen in the previous plot, is also evident in the m ixed region, where a \valley" opens up for M_2 . There is a m odest im provem ent in the excluded region from charginos as m₀ increases from 200 G eV = c^2 to 1000 G eV = c^2 . In the H iggsino region, light scalars have little e ect on the exclusion obtained w ith the chargino and neutralino searches, and all lim its are sim ilar to the high m $_0$ results.

In contrast to chargino production, the neutralino cross section increases signi cantly as $m₀$ is reduced below 100 G eV = c^2 . The enhancem ent of the leptonic branching ratios m otivates the com bination of the acoplanar jet analysis w ith the m ulti-leptonic analyses. The results cover the chargino valley and slightly im prove the LEP 1 lim it $[29]$ (not show n). In the gaugino region w here only 0 and 0 0 are produced, the larger cross section for m $_{0}$ = 75 G eV = c^{2} allow s the derivation of lim its w hich are alm ost as constraining as the chargino lim its. The lim its from neutralino searches for $m_0 = 75$ G eV = c^2 are expressed as a lim it on the chargino m ass in F ig.[8a](#page-39-0).

In chargino production and decay for low m_0 , the relevant physical quantity is the m ass of the sneutrino, as this determ ines the reduction in cross section and enhancem ent of the leptonic branching ratio. Therefore, the lim it on the chargino m ass can be m eaningfully expressed as a function of the sneutrino m ass.

A s seen in Fig. [9](#page-40-0) for two points in the gaugino region, when M $_{\sim}$ 150 G eV = σ , there is little e ect due to the sneutrino m ass; this is sim ilar to the case under which the lim its in Fig. [6](#page-37-0) are derived. The cross section decreases as $M \sim$ decreases, and the leptonic branching ratio increases, necessitating a change in selections to include the A L-3 selection. In the near gaugino region $($ = 80 G eV = \hat{c}), light sleptons have less of an e ect, and the leptonic branching ratio does not increase above 50%. The lim it in this case is lower than for $=$ 500 G eV = \acute{c} due to the lower cross section.

W hen $M \sim M$, two-body decays \cdot ' \sim tend to dom inate. The AL-2 and AL-VL selections are used to derive the lim it in this region. W hen the m ass di erence is too sm all, the

leptons do not have enough energy to pass the selection, and no exclusion is obtained. T his is the \corridor" visible at low M \sim in Fig. [9](#page-40-0), which extends to the LEP 1 lim it of 45 G eV = c^2 on the chargino m ass. The dierences in the corridor for these two values of are due to the eects of stau m ixing, discussed in the follow ing subsection. The features of the contour re ect the accounting for candidates as a function of M . A lso shown is the lim it from the slepton search $[2]$, which excludes the corridor $\frac{w}{p}$ here no lim it can be obtained from the chargino search. The slepton lim it is derived for tan $= \frac{1}{2}$ and $= 80$ G eV = $\frac{2}{3}$, and is much weaker for high tan ; thus, a general exclusion of this region is dicult.

E ects of stau m ixing

D ue to the relatively high m ass of the tau lepton, m ixing between the left- and right-handed staus can occur, m odulated by the o-diagonal term in the stau m ass m atrix, $M (A + tan)$. The lightest stau, e_1 , can be signi cantly lighter than the other sleptons and sneutrinos, causing an increase in the branching ratios of charginos and neutralinos to nal states with taus. The decay am plitude also depends on the eld content of the chargino or neutralino, and is m ost enhanced in the gaugino region. Thus, the e ects of stau m ixing are m ost evident for gaugino-like charginos and neutralinos.

To study the e ects of stau $\min_{p=0}$, a point in the deep gaugino region is chosen, specically = 500 G eV = c , for tan = $\sqrt{2}$. A low value of tan is chosen since for high tan in the gaugino region, the stau m ass can become unphysical M_{Θ}^2 < 0), for low m $_0$. The lim it on the chargino m ass as a function of sneutrino m ass (still assum ing a universal slepton m ass) is show n in Fig. [10](#page-40-0) for three values of the tri-linear coupling term, $A : A = 0$ and $A = 1$ TeV $=\mathcal{C}$.

For high M \sim , there is little eect from stau m ixing, and the results are as discussed previously. A sM \sim decreases, the slepton m asses also decrease, w ith a com paratively larger im pact of the m ass splitting in the stau sector. However, even if the detailed behaviour of the chargino m ass lim it as a function of M $_{\sim}$ is a ected by the precise value of A , the global features observed for A = 0 rem ain. The dierences shown in Fig.[10](#page-40-0) are due to the m ass of e_1 and its coupling to the chargino. D ecays of ! γ can occur concurrently with ! '~ or ! ', with branching ratios w hich depend on the degree of m ixing, causing changes in the details of the $\text{lim } \pm$.

For A = 0 , ! γ decays can occur when ! '~ is kinem atically forbidden, and the e ects are only noticeable in the lim it near the corridor. For $A = +1$ TeV = c^2 , the e_1 is heavier than for A = 0, and there are few ! γ decays. A larger dierence is observed for A = 1 TeV = c^2 , as the e_1 is light and \cdot \cdot \cdot decays occur w ith a high branching ratio. This allow s the corridor to be excluded but weakens the exclusion for lower M \sim .

The e ects of stau m ixing depend on the m ixing param eters and eld content of the chargino. The m ost conservative lim it is found by using the low est e ciency. W hen the chargino decays to three-body nal states, this is obtained when the highest possible branching ratio to nal states w ith taus occurs, thereby m axim ising the im pact of stau m ixing. This is achieved by varying M $_{\rm eq}$
and the m ixing angle to obtain the highest branching ratio for three-body decays to μ in thout and the m ixing angle to obtain the highest branching ratio for three-body decays to relying on constraints on A). This lim it is derived for $=$ 500 G eV = $\frac{2}{3}$, w here a branching ratio of 85% for ! for all sneutrino m asses is obtained, $for M \rightarrow M$. Since the chargino selections have good sensitivity for nal states with taus (see Fig. [2\)](#page-33-0), the lim it in this \m axim al im pact" case is not very dierent from the other exam ples. T he result is show n as the hatched region in Fig.[10](#page-40-0).

The lim it on the chargino m ass when the requirem ent of three-body decays is released is shown in F ig. 11, as a function of the e_1 m ass for a series of sneutrino m asses. The transition from threebody () to two-body ϵ_1) nal states is m arked by the diagonal line; the bound falls by a sm all am ount due to the increase of the nal states with taus from 85% to 100%. A llow ing for \therefore γ decays degrades the limit by only a few GeV = c² as long as M_e M > 10 GeV = c². As before, the m ixing angle has been varied to obtain the lowest bound on the chargino m ass. Generally this means that the e_1 has a high left-stau component, leading to 100% $\frac{1}{2}$ γ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ decays. W hen \cdot \sim decays are possible, the m ost conservative scenario is allowing decays to dom inate, as this opens up the corridor discussed previously, and the lim it on the chargino m ass is M = M $_{\sim}$ (as shown in Fig. 11, for M $_{\sim}$ = 60 G eV = c²). This corresponds to requiring the e₁ to have a m axim al right-stau com ponent. Lim its from direct searches for staus can be invoked in that case, as shown in Fig 11 ($\gamma_{\rm R}$ "). A lso shown is the lim it for staus which decouple from the $Z(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{n}})$, which gives the most conservative lim it from the stau search.

4.3.3 N on-universal scalar m asses

Interpretation of search results often rely on assumptions according to a model. The assumption of a universals lepton m ass at the GUT scale was m ade in the discussion of stau m ixing e ects for specic values of A $(0; 1 \text{ TeV} = \hat{c})$. However, in chargino decays, the sneutrinos and left sleptons are m ost relevant; the pure right sleptons do not play an important role. Therefore, theoretical constraints relating the m asses of the left and right sleptons and sneutrinos can be dropped, retaining simply $M_{\pi}^2 = M_{\pi}^2$ M_W^2 cos2, which is guaranteed by gauge invariance. Equalm asses among slepton generations are assumed.

In this fram ework, the results previously derived from the chargino search are still valid apart from the stau m ixing e ects. The lim it in the \m axim al impact" case is valid w ithout requiring assum ptions on a universal scalar m ass, as the m ost conservative lim it is found independently of the m ass of the right-stau. The requirem ent of three-body decays is retained for the \m axim al in pact" de nition, for convenience in generalising the result. As shown in Fig. 11, there is little change in the lim it when two-body decays are allowed.

4.3.4 N on-universal gaugino m asses

If the uni cation relation between M₁ and M₂ is assumed, them ass dierence between the chargino and lightest neutralino depends on the parameters of the MSSM : in the gaugino region, M is $'$ M =2; for low negative and M₂, M can be higher; in the Higgsino region M becomes very small. Fig. 12a shows the lim it on the chargino mass as a function of M throughout the range of M which can be attained in the gaugino and H iggsino region, for heavy sleptons $M = 200 \text{ GeV} = \hat{c}$).

If the gauge uni cation condition is relaxed, the tight correspondence between the and m asses in the gaugino region can be broken. Varying M₁ and M₂ independently, the lim it on the chargino m ass is displayed as a function of M in Fig. 12a, for tan $=$ $\frac{1}{2}$. The two hatched bands show the spread in the lim it as is varied between 500 and 80 GeV $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$, with one band calculated assum ing heavy sleptons and the other by m axim ising the impact of stau m ixing (for three-body decays) as de ned in Section 4.3.3. The plot shows the range $0.01 <$ < 10 which is required to attain the entire range of M, where is dened by = M $_1 = (\frac{5}{3} \tan^2 w M_2)$. (This range of is larger than is expected in typical SU SY GUT's.) The reach of the search into the region of very high M is show n ; for a nearly m assless neutralino, the lim it on the chargino m ass is 82 G eV = c^2 . These lim its change little w ith tan , and are valid for high m $_0$ (200 G eV = c^2).

An excluded region in the (M, M) plane can be derived for a series of sneutrino m asses, as shown in Fig.[12](#page-42-0)b. In addition to dropping gaugino m ass uni cation, scalar m ass uni cation is relaxed, and them axim um im pact of stau m ixing requiring three-body decays is taken into account.

5 C onclusion

The data recorded w ith the A LEPH detector at centre-of-m ass energies of 161, 170, and 172 G eV have been exam ined for signals of chargino and neutralino production. Selections sensitive to topologies arising from chargino production were developed for a wide range of m ass dierence between the chargino and lightest neutralino, and especially for very high and very low M. A dditional selections were developed for topologies arising from chargino and heavier neutralino decays when sleptons are light. In all of the chargino analyses, 9.5 events were expected, and in the neutralino analyses, 5.7 events were expected, with some overlap between the background expectations, giving a total of 13 events expected. A total of 15 candidate events is observed in the data. These events are consistent w ith Standard M odelprocesses, giving no evidence of a signal.

Lim its at 95% C L. on the production of charginos and neutralinos have been derived, and bounds placed on the param eters of the M SSM. The diversity of topological selections and wide range of sensitivity allow interpretation under a variety of model assum ptions. A ssum ing uni cation of gaugino m asses and that sleptons are heavy, lim its are set on the chargino m ass, for tan $=$ 2 . The lim it is 85:5 G eV = c^2 in the gaugino region (= 500 G eV = c^2), and 85:0 G eV = c^2 in the H iggsino region π $_2$ = 500 G eV = c^2). The addition of the neutralino bounds allow s the exclusion of charginos beyond the kinem atic lim it for chargino pair production, for m oderate M $_2$ and low tan . The combination of chargino and neutralino searches extends the exclusion in the extrem e H iggsino region, giving a lower $\lim_{p \to \infty}$ on the chargino m ass of 79 G eV =c² for M 5 G eV =c² M_2 < 1200 G eV = c²), and tan = 2.

The search results have been interpreted also in the case of light sleptons. The neutralino search gives powerfulexclusion lim its in the m ixed and gaugino region. The eect of stau m ixing has been investigated in detail,and lim its derived undervariousconditions. A lim ithasbeen obtained w ith few assum ptions about the slepton sector by m axim ising the im pact of stau m ixing.

The gaugino m ass uni cation condition has been relaxed, and lim its derived for a w ide range of M , corresponding to extrem e violations of the gaugino m ass relation. In addition, scalar m ass uni cation relations have been released, and lim its derived for a range of sneutrino m asses, w hich are independent of assum ptions on the m ass relations am ong sleptons and gauginos at the GUT scale, requiring only that M \sim M $_{\sim}$;M $_{\odot}$.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e thank and congratulate our colleagues in the accelerator divisions for the successful startup of LEP2. W e gratefully acknow ledge the support of the engineers and technicians in our hom e institutions. Those of us from non-m em ber states thank CERN for its hospitality and support.

R eferences

- [1] H P . N illes, Phys. Rep. 110 (1984) 1; H E.Haber and G L.Kane, Phys.Rep. 117 (1985) 76; M.Chen, C.D ionisi, M.M artinez and X.Tata, Phys.Rep. 159 (1988) 201; R.Barbieri, Riv.Nuovo Cim.11 No.4 (1988) 1.
- $[2]$ ALEPH Collaboration, CERN PPE/97-056, to be published in Phys. Lett. B.
- β] ALEPH Collaboration, CERN PPE/97-084, to be published in Phys. Lett. B.
- [4] ALEPH Collaboration, CERN PPE/97-071, to be published in Phys. Lett. B.
- [5] OPAL Collaboration, CERN PPE/97-083, subm itted to Z. Phys. C.
- [6] J.Ellis and F.Zwimer, Nucl. Phys. B 338 (1990) 317; L.E. Ibanez and C. Lopez, ibid. B 233 (1984) 511; K. Inoue, A.Kakuto, H.Kom atsu and S.Takeshita, Prog.Theor.Phys. 68 (1982) 927; ibid. 71 (1984) 413.
- [7] CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 2626; D0 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 1197; DOCollaboration, FERM ILAB-PUB-97/172-E, hep-ex/9706014.
- [8] W A. Bardeen et al., Phys. Lett. B 320 (1994) 110.
- [9] A. Bartl, H. Fraas and W. Ma erotto, Z. Phys. C 30 (1986) 441; A. Bartl, H. Fraas and W. Ma protto, Z. Phys. C 41 (1988) 475; A. Bartl, H. Fraas, W. Ma erotto and B. Mosslacher, Z. Phys. C 55 (1992) 257.
- [10] A.Bartl, H.Fraas and W.Ma protto, Nucl.Phys.B278 (1986) 1; S. Ambrosanio and B. Mele, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 3900.
- [11] DOCollaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 618; CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 2006; D0 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 2222; CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev.D 56 (1997) R1357.
- [12] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 384 (1996) 427.
- [13] ALEPH Collaboration, Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 294 (1990) 121.
- [14] ALEPH Collaboration, Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 360 (1995) 481.
- [15] C.D ionisi, K.Fujii, S.G iagu and T.T sukam oto, in Physics at LEP2, Eds: G.A ltarelli, T. Spstrand, F. Zwimer, CERN Report 96{01, Volume 2 (1996) 337.
- [16] T. Spstrand, Comp. Phys. Comm. 82 (1994) 74.
- [17] S. Katsanevas and S. Melachroinos, in Physics at LEP2, Eds: G. A Ltarelli, T. Spstrand, F.Zwimer, CERN Report 96{01, Volume 2 (1996) 328.
- [18] E.Barberio and Z.W as, Comp. Phys. Comm. 79 (1994) 291.
- [19] S. Jadach and Z.W as, Comp. Phys. Comm. 36 (1985) 191.
- [20] H.Anlaufet al., Comp. Phys. Comm. 79 (1994) 466.
- [21] M. Skrzypek, S. Jadach, W. Placzek and Z. Was, Comp. Phys. Comm. 94 (1996) 216.
- [22] JA M. Venn aseren in Proceedings of the IV th international W orkshop on G am m a G am m a Interactions, Eds G. Cochard, and P. Kessler, Springer Verlag, 1980; ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 313 (1993) 509.
- [23] T. S pstrand, C om p. P hys. C om m. 82 (1994) 74; ibid., CERN-TH 7112/93 (1993, revised August 1994).
- [24] LEP Energy Working Group, LEP Energy Group/97-01; http://www.cem.ch/LEPECAL/reports/reports.html.
- [25] R.D. Cousins and W.L. Highland, Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 320 (1992) 331-335.
- [26] R.M. Bamett et al., (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 1.
- [27] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 373 (1996) 246.
- [28] ALEPH Collaboration, Z.Phys.C 72 (1996) 549-559.
- [29] OPAL Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 377 (1996) 273.
- [30] ALEPH Collaboration, \Update of the M ass L in it for the Lightest Neutralino", contribution to the International Europhysics C on ference on H igh E nergy P hysics, Jerusalem, Israel, 19 - 26 Aug. 1997, Ref. no. 594.

Figure 1: Distributions after \qquad ! hadrons rejection cuts, for $\frac{p}{s}$ = 172 GeV, of a) P $_{\textrm{T}}$ =E $_{\textrm{vis}}$ for the 4J-VH selection, b) E_w^{30} for the 4J-H selection, c) E, for the 2JL-L selection and d) M $_{\text{vis}}$ for the AJ-H selection. Points with error bars represent the data; the solid histogram is the background M onte Carlo; the shaded histogram represents a signal con guration typical of each analysis (M M (G eV = c²)) = a) 85-05 b) 85-45 c) 85-65 and d) (M \circ M) = 95-60. Background M C and signal are norm a signal to the integrated lum inosity of the data. Signal cross-sections were determ ined for tan = $=$ $\sqrt{2}$ and for large slepton and sneutrino m asses. The location of the cut is indicated with an arrow.

Figure 2: Param etrised selection e ciency for the chargino selections listed in Table [5](#page-19-0). a) The r guie z. Falam eurosa selection e clency for the chargino selections fisted in Table 3. a) The ciency is plotted as a function of M, for M = 85 G eV = c^2 at \overline{S} = 172 G eV, calculated for $\frac{C}{p}$ = 500 G eV = $\frac{C}{c}$ and tan = 2, using the combinations for W branching ratios. E ciencies are plotted for m ixed $(\mathcal{Q} L'')$ and hadronic $(\mathcal{Q} Q'')$ topologies, and com bined assum ing W branching ratios, $Br($! ' $)= 0:33$ (\W "). b) The e ciency is plotted as a function of Br(! '), assum ing equal lepton avours $(\n\cdot = e; ; ")$ and that all leptons are taus $(\n\cdot = ")$, for $M = 40$ G eV = c^2 .

Figure 3: The $\,$ 0 selection e ciency for AJ selections in the Higgsino region and expected r guile 5. The selection e clency ion as selections in the miggs ind region pland expected.
Background as a function of the m ass di erence between the two neutralinos, for S = 161 GeV. La complimation as a minicipal of the mass of element convention the ovolution of the ciencies are similar at $\frac{p}{s}$ = 172 GeV. The di erent symbols refer to di erent values of the m odel param eters.

Figure 4: The 95% C.L. upper lim it on the cross section for chargino pair production, in the $(M \t ; M)$ plane. D ata taken at lower centre-of-m ass energies (130, 136, 161, and 170 G eV) are included by scaling the lum inosity by the ratio of the cross section at that energy to the cross section Included by scaling the limit inosity by the ratio of the cross
at $\frac{p}{s} = 172$ GeV, for $s = 500$ GeV = c and tan $s = 172$ 2. W branching ratios are assum ed in the chargino decay.

Figure 5: The 95% C.L. upper lim it on the cross section for $\overline{0}$ production, in the (M_0, M_1) plane, for the neutralino m ass ranges relevant in the H iggsino region (M < 40 G eV = c²). The region where $M > 40$ G eV = c^2 has not been investigated. D ata taken at lower centre-of-m ass energies (130, 136, 161, and 170 G eV) are included by scaling the lum inosity by the ratio of the energies (150, 150, 161, and 170 G ev , are included by standing the limit inosity by the facto of the cross section at $\frac{p}{s}$ = 172 G eV . Z branching ratios are assumed in the 0 decay.

Figure 6: Excluded region in the ((N_2) plane, for a) tan = $\overline{2}$ and b) tan = 35, and $M_{\rm e}$ = 200 G eV = c^2 . The light grey region was obtained at LEP 1.5 [\[27](#page-31-0)]. The dark line shows the kinem atic lim it for chargino production at $\sqrt{5}$ = 172:3 G eV . The slanted hatched region shows the region excluded by the chargino search, and the horizontal hatched region, by the neutralino search.

Figure 7: The lim it on the chargino m ass as a function of M $_2$, from the chargino search (labelled), from the neutralino search (labelled $\frac{0}{N}$, and from the combination of chargino and neutralino), from the neutralino search (labelled β , and from the com bination of chargino and neutralino rches. This lim it is derived for tan $=$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and m₀ = 200 G eV =c². searches. This lim it is derived for tan

Figure $8: a$) The lim it on the chargino m ass as a function of for tan p 2, for several values of m₀. The excluded chargino m ass from the neutralino search for m₀ = 75 G eV = c^2 is shown as a dashed curve. The chargino exclusion is independent of when $>$ 60 G eV = c , as can be seen in b), where the exclusion in the ($;M_2$) plane for several values of m₀ is shown. The dark curves indicate lim its from the chargino searches, and the hatched area is the exclusion from the neutralino analysis, for m $_0$ = 75 G eV = c². These lim its are derived for tan = $\frac{1}{2}$.

Figure 9: The lim it on the chargino m ass as a function of sneutrino m ass in the gaugino region, for tan $\overline{2}$ and \overline{A} = 0. The lim it from selectron and sm uon searches for tan = 2 and 80 G eV = $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$ is also indicated.

Figure 10: The lim it on the chargino m ass as a function of sneutrino m ass, for = 500 G eV = ϵ , tan = $\frac{2}{2}$, and various A $\,$. First, a universal scalar m ass is assumed, and the lim it is derived for A = 0 (solid curve), A = + 1 TeV = c^2 (dashed curve) and A = 1 TeV = c^2 (dot-dash curve). Second, the assum ption of a universalm $_0$ is dropped, and the im pact of stau m ixing is m axim ised (for three-body chargino decays), shown as the hatched region. The shaded region at low $M \sim$ is theoretically forbidden for $A = 1$ TeV = \hat{c} .

Figure 11: The lim it on the chargino m ass as a function of stau m ass, for several values of the sneutrino m ass, for = 500 G eV = \textdegree and tan = \textdegree $\overline{2}$. The lim its from direct searches for staus [\[2](#page-30-0)] are also indicated, where the area labelled $\gamma_{\rm R}$ is excluded for pure right-staus, and the area labelled γ_{min} is the m ost conservative lim it. The light shaded triangular region in the upper left corner corresponds to M \rightarrow M $_{\odot}$ and is not considered here.

Figure 12: a) The lim it on the chargino m ass as a function of M, for M \sim 200 G eV = $\stackrel{\sim}{\epsilon}$. The thick solid curves indicate the lim it in the H iggsino and gaugino regions, assum ing gaugino m ass uni cation. The hatched regions re
ect the spread in the lim its if gaugino m ass uni cation is relaxed, as is varied from 80 to 500 G eV $=$ c, for heavy sleptons, and m axim ising the im pact of stau m ixing. b) The lim it in the (M, M) plane, relaxing gauge uni cation relations for the gaugino and slepton m asses, for several values of M $\scriptstyle\sim$. The dashed curve indicates the lim it if the gaugino m ass uni cation relation is assumed. The inaccessible region for very bw M can not be attained by relaxing the gauge uni cation relation.