

BTS



Michigan State University

National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory


SCAN-9611143



CERN LIBRARIES, GENEVA

**TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS FOR CENTRAL
Au + Au COLLISIONS AT 35A MeV**

**M.J. HUANG, H. XI, W.G. LYNCH, M.B. TSANG, J.D. DINIUS,
S.J. GAFF, C.K. GELBKE, T. GLASMACHER, G.J. KUNDE,
L. MARTIN, C.P. MONTOYA, E. SCANNAPIECO,
P.M. MILAZZO, M. AZZANO, G.V. MARGAGLIOTTI, R. RUI,
G. VANNINI, N. COLONNA, L. CELANO, G. TAGLIENTE,
M.D'AGOSTINO, M. BRUNO, M.L. FIANDRI,
F. GRAMEGNA, A. FERRERO, I. IORI, A. MORONI,
F. PETRUZZELLI, and P.F. MASTINU**



MSUCL-1046

OCTOBER 1996

Temperature Measurements for Central Au+Au Collisions at 35A MeV

M.J. Huang, H. Xi, W.G. Lynch, M.B. Tsang, J.D. Dinius*, S.J. Gaff, C.K. Gelbke, T.

Glasmacher, G.J. Kunde, L. Martin[†], C.P. Montoya[‡], E. Scannapieco[§]

*National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA*

P.M. Milazzo, M. Azzano, G. V. Margagliotti, R. Rui, G. Vannini

Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Trieste, Italy

N. Colonna, L. Celano, G. Tagliente

INFN, Bari, Italy

M. D'Agostino, M. Bruno, M.L. Fiandri

Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Bologna, Italy

F. Gramegna

INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Italy

*Present address: Baker Hill, 655 W. Carmel Dr. Suite 100, Carmel IN 46032, USA.

†Present address: Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique, 44070 Nantes Cedex 03 France.

‡Present address: Merrill Lynch, World Financial Center, North Tower, New York, NY 10281,
USA.

§Present address: MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA.

A. Ferrero**, I. Iori, A. Moroni, F. Petruzzelli

Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Milano, Italy

P.F. Mastinu

Dipartimento di Fisica, Padova and INFN, Bologna, Italy

(Miniball/Multics Collaboration)

(October 11, 1996)

Abstract

The breakup temperatures for central Au+Au collisions at 35A MeV have been determined from the relative populations of excited states of ^5Li , ^4He and ^{10}B fragments and nine double ratios involving the yields of elements with $1 \leq Z \leq 6$. Unlike what is observed at significantly higher energies, all thermometers yield temperatures that are consistent within the experimental uncertainties. Extrapolation of the data to zero impact parameter yields $T_{em} = 4.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV}$, somewhat lower than the temperature assumed in Statistical Multifragmentation Model calculations which describe most of the other features of this reaction.

**Present address: CNEA, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Theoretically, there is little doubt that infinite nuclear matter undergoes a transition from a liquid to a gaseous phase and supports a mixed phase equilibrium at temperatures up to about 17 MeV. Recent experimental evidence for the onset and decline of fragment production with increasing incident energy [1,2] or deduced excitation energy [3] and the observation of short fragmentation time scales [4-7] reveal many of the necessary conditions for mixed phase equilibrium to be met in present experiments. Despite these promising indications, information about freeze-out temperature and density for bulk disintegrations is necessary to proceed with the accurate extraction of thermodynamic quantities from such collisions. Tests of the validity of the assumption of local equilibrium at freeze-out are necessary to discern non-equilibrium and dynamical effects.

Recent investigations reveal that approximately ten intermediate mass fragment (IMF's: $3 \leq Z \leq 20$) are produced in central Au+Au collisions at $E/A=35$ MeV [8]. Exceedingly flat charge distributions are observed [8] which calculations predict to be a consequence of the destabilizing Coulomb interaction [9]. Both fragment-fragment correlations and fragment kinetic energy spectra are reasonably well described by the Coulomb driven breakup of single thermalized source [6]. These observations have been reasonably well reproduced by Statistical Multifragmentation Model (SMM) calculations wherein the fragments are produced via a bulk multifragmentation at a density of $\rho_0/6 \leq \rho \leq \rho_0/3$ and a temperature of $T \approx 6$ MeV [6,10]. Tests of the validity of such models, however, are more stringent if the assumed values of the temperature, density or both can be constrained experimentally. In this paper, we provide constraints on the assumed breakup temperature of this Au+Au system via measurements of excited state populations and isotope ratios.

The experiment was performed by bombarding a $5\text{mg}/\text{cm}^2$ Au target with the 35A MeV Au beam of the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory at Michigan State University. Charged particles were detected in the combined Miniball-Multics array [11,12], which has a geometric acceptance of greater than 87% of 4π .

Light charged particles and IMF's were detected at $3^\circ < \theta_{lab} < 23^\circ$ in the Multics array of 44 gas-Si-CsI telescopes [11]. The position-sensitive Si detector in each Multics telescope

provided a two-dimensional angular readout with an angular resolution (0.27° for 5.8 MeV α particles) sufficient for extraction of the excited state populations of emitted fragments. The dynamic range of the electronics for the Multics array was optimized to provide maximum isotopic resolution for $1 \leq Z \leq 6$ and isotopically resolved yields could be determined for emitted 2,3H , ${}^{3,4,6}He$, ${}^{6,7,8,9}Li$, ${}^{7,9,10}Be$, ${}^{10,11,12,13}B$, and ${}^{12,13,14}C$ nuclei. Representative identification thresholds of 8.5, 5.5, 4, 8.5, 10.5, 12 and 13.5A MeV were achieved in the Multics array for p, d, t, α , 6Li , 9Be and ${}^{10}B$ nuclei, respectively. Energy calibrations accurate to 2% were obtained by irradiating the telescope with ${}^{228}Th$ and ${}^{244}Cm$ α sources and with low intensity direct beams of α , ${}^{12}C$ and ${}^{16}O$ particles at 40A MeV, 9Be at 11.4 and 15.9A MeV, ${}^{10}Be$ at 8.1 and 9.3A MeV, ${}^{10}B$ at 12.7 and 20.1A MeV, ${}^{11}B$ at 10.5 and 12A MeV and ${}^{12}B$ particles at 10A MeV. Fragments detected at ($v_{lab} > v_{cm}$) in the Multics array were used to extract temperatures.

Light charged particles and fragments with $1 \leq Z \leq 20$ were detected at $23^\circ < \theta_{lab} < 160^\circ$ by the 166 fast plastic-CsI phoswich detectors of the MSU Miniball array [10]. Following ref. [8], we assumed that the charged particle multiplicity N_C detected in Miniball array depends monotonically upon the impact parameter

$$\hat{b} = \frac{b}{b_{max}} = \left[\int_{N_C(b)}^{\infty} dN_C \cdot P(N_C) \right]^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

and assigned a mean “reduced” impact parameter, \hat{b} , to each data point using Eq. 1. Here, $P(N_C)$ is the probability distribution for the charged particle multiplicity for $N_C \geq 3$, and b_{max} is the mean impact parameter with $N_C = 3$.

In principal, temperatures can be determined by sampling either the kinetic energy distributions or the relative yields of different decay channels [13]. This latter method has the distinct advantage that it is insensitive to collective motion [14,15] and Coulomb barrier fluctuations [16], both of which induce considerable uncertainties in the energy spectra of multifragmenting systems. Temperature determinations via measurements of excited state populations or measurements of isotope yields do require corrections for secondary decay [17,18]. These corrections are more problematic for the relative isotope yields because of the

sensitivity of the isotope yields to uncertainties in the isotopic composition of the system at breakup [19-21]. These effects do not strongly influence the excited state populations [19]. To cross check results obtained from the relative isotope yields and to test the attainment of local thermal equilibrium, cross-comparisons are made between the relative excited state populations and the isotopic abundances of fragments with $3 \leq Z \leq 6$. At higher incident energies, such comparisons have revealed discrepancies between the two types of thermometers [22] that could be of non-equilibrium origin. Here, such comparisons required a broad impact parameter gate $0 \leq \hat{b} \leq 0.45$ because yields of fragments in specific highly excited states are small compared to the yields of stable nuclei. Having demonstrated that comparable temperatures are obtained by the two methods, the statistically more precise isotope ratio data are then extrapolated to $\hat{b} \approx 0$, where previous analyses support the assumption that fragment emission is dominated by a single source [6].

Following refs. [17,18], the relative populations of particle unstable states are measured by detecting the coincident decay products. Models which describe the statistical decay of thermalized nuclear systems [13] predict that prior to the secondary decay of the excited fragments, the ratio R_{ij} of states i and j of a specific fragment should be given by:

$$R_{ij} = \frac{Y_i}{Y_j} = \frac{(2J_i + 1)}{(2J_j + 1)} e^{-(E_i^* - E_j^*)/T_{app}} \quad (2)$$

where Y_i is the measured yield, E_i^* is the excitation energy and J_i is the spin of the state i . Following techniques described in refs. [17,18], relative populations of specific states of ${}^5\text{Li}$, ${}^4\text{He}$, and ${}^{10}\text{B}$ fragments were measured and an ‘‘apparent temperature’’ T_{app} was obtained for each ratio by inverting Eq.2. The leftmost data point in the left panel of Fig.1 indicates the measured apparent temperature consistent with the ratio of the yield of ${}^5\text{Li}$ in its ($E_j^* = 16.66\text{MeV}, J_j = 3/2^+$) excited state divided by the yield in its ($E_i^* = 0\text{MeV}, J_i = 3/2^-$) ground state. The middle data point shows the measured apparent temperature corresponding to the yield of ${}^4\text{He}$ in its ($E_j^* = 20.1\text{MeV}, J_j = 0^+$) excited state divided by the yield in its ($E_i^* = 0\text{MeV}, J_i = 0^+$) ground state. Since the intrinsic relative energy resolution of the detector is 120 KeV at $E^*=7.5$ MeV, the four excited states of ${}^{10}\text{B}$

($7.43\text{MeV}, 2^-$; $7.467\text{MeV}, 1^+$; $7.478\text{MeV}, 2^+$; $7.5599\text{MeV}, 0^+$) are unresolved. The rightmost data point in the left panel shows the measured apparent temperature corresponding to the sum of the yield of ^{10}B in this group of four excited states divided by the yield in the ($4.77\text{MeV}, 3^+$) excited state. The error bars of the apparent temperature reflect both the statistical uncertainty and the uncertainty due to background subtraction.

Following Albergo *et al.* [23], chemical potential effects were removed from the comparisons of isotope yields by constructing ratios R_{Iso} of the yields of four isotopes with the following form

$$R_{Iso} = \exp(B/T_{app})/a \quad (3)$$

where $R_{Iso} = \{Y(A_1, Z_1)/Y(A_1 + 1, Z_1)\}/\{Y(A_2, Z_2)/Y(A_2 + 1, Z_2)\}$; $Y(X)$ is the yield for isotope X ; a is a constant determined by spin values and kinematics factors; $B = BE(A_1, Z_1) - BE(A_1 + 1, Z_1) - BE(A_2, Z_2) + BE(A_2 + 1, Z_2)$; and $BE(A_i, Z_i)$ is the binding energy of the i th nucleus. Following ref. [24], we restrict our investigation to thermometers with B values in excess of 10 MeV to reduce fluctuations in the temperature measurement. Table I lists the nine possible double isotope yield ratios with values of a and B computed from the relevant ground state spectroscopic information. Also listed in Table I and shown in the right hand panel in Fig.1 are the corresponding ‘‘apparent temperatures’’ obtained by inverting Eq.3. The uncertainties reflect the changes in R_{Iso} observed for different gates on the velocity of the emitted fragments in the center of mass and by considering the sensitivity of the isotopic yields to uncertainties in the precise placement of the isotope gates.

The fluctuations in the ‘‘apparent temperatures’’ from ratio to ratio, shown in Fig.1, are not a manifestation of non-equilibrium effects but instead are the direct consequences of the secondary decay of highly excited fragments whose decay feeds the measured yields. Such fluctuations can not be described by calculations of models like the SMM, which presently does not incorporate the detailed nuclear structure which governs the relevant decay branching ratios. Instead, we have used sequential decay calculations to calculate the modifications to the initial populations of excited states caused by the sequential feeding from heavier par-

ticle unstable nuclei. In these calculations, the excited states of primary emitted fragments are populated thermally, and then allowed to decay, using approximations outlined in refs. [17,18,25,26]. Unknown spins or parities of low lying discrete states were assigned randomly and the calculations were repeated to assess the sensitivities of the population probabilities and isotope ratios to these spectroscopic uncertainties. This unknown spectroscopic information contributes a 5% uncertainty to the calculated ratios. An additional 8% uncertainty stemming from the unknown isotopic composition of the emitting system at freeze-out was assessed by varying the assumed N/Z ratio of the decaying system.

These calculations were performed for initial temperatures ranging from 2 MeV to 6 MeV and the agreement between theory and experiment was assessed by calculating corresponding values for the reduced χ^2 using the expression,

$$\chi_{\nu}^2(T_{em}) = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \frac{[R_{expt,i} - R_{calc,i}(T_{em})]^2}{\sigma_{expt,i}^2 + \sigma_{calc,i}^2} \quad (4)$$

independently for the isotope ratios and for the excited state populations. Here the $\sigma_{expt,i}$ and $\sigma_{calc,i}$ are the experimental and theoretical uncertainties and the summation runs over the relevant excited state populations or isotope ratios. The solid and dashed lines in the upper panel of Fig.2 show the χ_{ν}^2 values for isotope ratios and excited state populations for $0 \leq \hat{b} \leq 0.45$. The calculated χ_{ν}^2 curves are asymmetric reflecting a gradual reduction of the sensitivity of $R_{calc}(T_{em})$ to T_{em} with increasing temperature [26]. From the shape and minima of the calculated curves, best fit values of $4.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}$ and $4.2 \pm 0.6 \text{ MeV}$ are determined for the isotope ratios and excited state populations, respectively; this indicates that the two thermometers provide equivalent information at $E/A=35 \text{ MeV}$, in contrast to the results reported [22] at significantly higher energies. The best fit values for the calculated ‘‘apparent temperatures’’, shown as the open points in Fig.1, well reproduce the experimental data.

This good agreement implies that the ensemble of emitted particles are well described by the assumption of local thermal equilibrium provided that T_{em} is not strongly impact parameter dependent. To investigate this impact parameter dependence using the higher

statistical precision of the isotope ratio data, we analyzed the apparent isotope temperatures as a function of \hat{b} for gates on \hat{b} of $\hat{b} \approx 0.08, 0.16, 0.25, 0.35, 0.45 \pm 0.05$, found an approximately linear dependence of R_{Iso} upon \hat{b} and obtained the approximate values in Table I for $\hat{b} \approx 0$ via straight line extrapolation.

The minimum in the corresponding χ^2_ν function for $\hat{b} \approx 0$, shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2, provides a temperature of $T_{em} = 4.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV}$, which is similar to the result at $0 \leq \hat{b} \leq 0.45$. This indicates a weak impact parameter dependence of T_{em} consistent with, but not requiring, dominant emission by a central participant source formed by the overlap of projectile and target nuclei. Significant differences between an ideal measurement at zero impact parameter and the present data at $\hat{b} \approx 0$ are rendered unlikely by this weak impact parameter dependence even though impact parameter scales become imprecise at small impact parameters. Finally, the extracted value $T_{em} = 4.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV}$ at $\hat{b} \approx 0$ is comparable to values obtained for other multifragmenting systems but remains about 1.5 MeV lower than the value required by SMM calculations which reproduce the other observables for this system [6,10]. While this discrepancy may reflect deficiencies in the thermodynamical assumptions of the Statistical Multifragmentation Model (SMM), it could also reflect the neglect of additional cooling mechanisms such as the Fermi breakup assumed by the SMM [10] or the emission of particles by the entire system prior to thermal freeze-out [24]. These issues must be addressed by future experimental and theoretical investigations.

In summary, we have measured breakup temperatures for Au+Au reactions at 35A MeV. Temperatures extracted from isotope ratios and excited state populations are virtually the same for a broad impact parameter gate of $0 \leq \hat{b} \leq 0.45$ consistent with the attainment of local thermal equilibrium. Extrapolating these measurements to $\hat{b} \approx 0$ yields a breakup temperature of $4.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV}$, which is somewhat lower than that assumed by SMM calculations which reproduce well the other experimental observables for this reaction.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-95-28844 and PHY-93-14131.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.F. Peaslee et al., Phys. Rev. **C49**, R2271 (1994).
- [2] M.B. Tsang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, (1993) 1502.
- [3] C.A. Ogilvie et al., Phys. Rev. Lett **67**, 1214 (1991).
- [4] E. Cornell et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1475 (1995).
- [5] Roy A. Lacey et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1224 (1993).
- [6] M. D'Agostino et al., Phys. Lett. B **368**, 259 (1996)
M. D'Agostino et al., Phys. Lett. B **371**, 175 (1996)
- [7] O. Lopez et al., Phys. Lett. B **315**, 34 (1993).
- [8] M. D'Agostino et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4373 (1995).
- [9] J. Pan and S. DasGupta, Phys. Rev. **C51**, 1384 (1995).
- [10] J.P. Bondorf, A.S. Botvina, A.S. Iljinov, I.N. Mishustin and K. Sneppen, Phys. Rep. **257**, (1995) 133.
A.S. Botvina et al., Nucl. Phys. **A475**, 663 (1987)
- [11] I. Iori et. al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. **A325**, 458 (1993);
M. Bruno et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. Phys. Res. **A311**, 189 (1992);
N. Colonna et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. **A321**, 529 (1992);
P.F. Mastinu et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. **A338**, 419 (1994).
- [12] R.T. De Souza, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. Phys. Res. **A295**, 109 (1990).
- [13] D.J. Morrissey, W. Benenson, W.A. Friedman, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **44**, 27 (1994)
and references therein.
- [14] W.C. Hsi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3367 (1994).
- [15] M.B. Tsang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1967 (1984).

- [16] L.G. Moretto, Nucl. Phys. **A247**, 211 (1975).
- [17] T.K. Nayak et al., Phys. Rev. **C45**, 132 (1992).
- [18] F. Zhu et al., Phys. Rev. **C52**, 784 (1995).
- [19] H. Xi, to be published.
- [20] Horst Müller, Brian D. Serot, Phys. Rev. **C52**, 2072 (1995).
- [21] G.J. Kunde et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (in press)
- [22] J. Pochodzalla et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1040 (1995).
V. Serfling, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Frankfurt, (1996);
J. Pochodzalla, Proceeding of First Catania Relativistic Ion Studies, Acicastello, Italy,
May 27-31, (1996).
- [23] S. Albergo et al., Nuovo Cimento **89**, 1 (1985).
- [24] M.B. Tsang et al., NSCL preprint 1035 (1996).
- [25] M.B. Tsang et al., Phys. Rev. **C53**, R1057 (1996).
- [26] H. Xi, W.G. Lynch, M.B. Tsang, W.A. Friedman, Phys. Rev. C (in press).

FIGURES


FIG. 1. Apparent temperatures obtained from relative populations of excited states for ${}^5\text{Li}$, ${}^4\text{He}$ and ${}^{10}\text{B}$ nuclei using Eq.2 (left panel) and from isotope ratios using Eq.3 (right panel). (See also Table I). The closed points are the data and the open points are the predictions of sequential decay calculations.

FIG. 2. Results of the least squares analysis (Eq.4) for the relative populations of excited states of ${}^5\text{Li}$, ${}^4\text{He}$ and ${}^{10}\text{B}$ nuclei, (dashed line) and for the nine isotope double yield ratios (solid lines) at $\hat{b} < 0.45$ (top panel) and $\hat{b} \approx 0$ (bottom panel).


TABLES

TABLE I. List of isotope ratio thermometers with $B > 10\text{MeV}$ and the corresponding measured apparent temperatures. The uncertainties in T_{app} are larger for $\hat{b} \approx 0$ than for the broad impact parameter gate $0 \leq \hat{b} \leq 0.45$ reflecting uncertainties in the extrapolation to $\hat{b} \approx 0$.

Isotope Ratio	a	B	$T_{app}(\hat{b} < 0.45)$	$T_{app}(\hat{b} \approx 0)$
		(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)
$^{13,14}\text{C}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	0.72	12.39	4.04 ± 0.10	4.04 ± 0.16
$^{6,7}\text{Li}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	2.18	13.32	4.51 ± 0.02	4.64 ± 0.05
$^{9,10}\text{Be}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	0.38	13.76	7.00 ± 0.24	7.8 ± 10.9
$^{2,3}\text{H}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	1.59	14.29	4.21 ± 0.01	4.42 ± 0.04
$^{12,13}\text{C}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	2.94	15.62	4.00 ± 0.05	4.15 ± 0.08
$^{11,12}\text{B}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	1.95	15.69	3.48 ± 0.02	3.47 ± 0.03
$^{8,9}\text{Li}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	1.24	16.51	3.71 ± 0.02	3.79 ± 0.07
$^{10,11}\text{B}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	1.11	17.20	4.02 ± 0.03	4.20 ± 0.08
$^{7,8}\text{Li}/^{3,4}\text{He}$	1.98	18.54	3.94 ± 0.01	4.04 ± 0.03



1.2.1






Fig. 2

