

CA

IUCAA - 11/96

March 96

Classification of Galactic Collisions




SV 9621

By

K.S.V.S. Narasimhan, K.S. Sastry and Saleh Mohammed Alladin

*To be published in
The Bulletin of the Astronomical Society of India*



Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics

An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission

Preprint Request (Please quote the preprint number)
email : preprn@iucaa.ernet.in
Fax : 0212-350760

Post : IUCAA, Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411 007, India

CLASSIFICATION OF GALACTIC COLLISIONS

K.S.V.S.Narasimhan, K.S.Sastry* and Saleh Mohammed Alladin

IUCAA, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411 007

Abstract

We propose a classification scheme for galactic collisions based on the fractional changes in the binding energy and the orbital energy. We give examples of actual observed pairs of interacting galaxies for the various types of collision proposed in the scheme.

1. Introduction

Though originally thought to be exciting but rare phenomenon, galaxy collisions have, over the years, been considered to play an important role in the formation and evolution of galaxies. In galactic collisions translational energy, E , of galaxies is generally transferred to the energy, U , of constituent stars. In this paper we propose a classification scheme for galactic collisions based on this energy transfer and give examples of the various types from observed pairs of interacting galaxies.

2. Earlier Work

Zwicky (1959) proposed a classification of temporary multiple galaxies based on the increasing order of the intensity of tidal effects resulting from galactic encounters, as follows :

*Permanent address : Department of Astronomy, Osmania University,
Hyderabad - 500 007.

(i) Galaxies which may encounter each other without any significant effect on each other, with only an insignificant rearrangement within them; (ii) galaxies which may encounter each other at very close range resulting in the loss of energy from the orbital motion (translational energy) of the galaxies and corresponding gain in the internal degrees of freedom and (iii) galaxies which may collide with each other head-on or nearly head-on resulting in considerable disruption of the galaxies or even tidal capture leading to merger.

Heggie (1975) has classified encounters between a binary star and a single star. He has summarised the results of the encounters in a classification scheme based on changes in energy, which in our notation is as follows :

- Case (i) : $\frac{\Delta U}{|U|} < 0$: De-excitation of the binary
- Case (ii) : $0 < \frac{\Delta U}{|U|} < 1$; $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} < 1$: Excitation of the binary
- Case (iii) : $0 < \frac{\Delta U}{|U|} < 1$; $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} \geq 1$: Resonance, Single star captured
- Case (iv) : $\frac{\Delta U}{|U|} \geq 1$; $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} < 1$: Ionisation, binary disrupts
- Case (v) : $\frac{\Delta U}{|U|} \geq 1$; $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} \geq 1$: Exchange

Here $\frac{\Delta U}{|U|}$ and $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E}$ are fractional changes in the binding energy of the binary and the total translational energy of the system.

A quantitative classification based on Zwicky's qualitative classification was given by Sastry and Alladin (1977, 1979) who classified galactic collisions according to increasing intensity of tidal effects into types A, B, C and D as follows :

Type A : Change in size and mass of the galaxy both negligible

$$\left(\frac{\Delta R}{R} < 0.1 ; \frac{\Delta M}{M} < 0.01 ; \frac{\Delta U}{|U|} < 1 \right)$$

Type B : Significant change in size or in mass or in both

$$\left(\frac{\Delta R}{R} \geq 0.1 \text{ and/or } \frac{\Delta M}{M} \geq 0.01 ; \frac{\Delta U}{|U|} < 1 \right)$$

Type C : Test galaxy captured by the field galaxy

$$\left(\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} \geq 1 \right)$$

Type D : Test galaxy disrupted by the field galaxy

$$\left(\frac{\Delta U}{|U|} \geq 1 \right)$$

Sastry and Alladin's classification was used by Miller (1983) in his study of galaxy clusters.

3. Present Classification Scheme

In this paper we present a classification scheme of galaxy collisions based entirely on energy changes which is analogous to that proposed by Heggie for stellar encounters but with minor modification. Case (i) rarely happens in galactic encounters. It occurs under very special conditions (Byrd et al 1986). We modify Cases (i) and (ii) in Heggie to

Case (i) : $\frac{\Delta U}{|U|} < 0.1 ; \frac{|\Delta E|}{E} < 1$: A type collision: Tidal effects
small

Case (ii) : $0.1 \leq \frac{\Delta U}{|U|} < 1 ; \frac{|\Delta E|}{E} < 1$: B type collision: Tidal effects
moderate

Many interesting features such as bridges, tails and rings are formed in B type collisions. Cases (iii), (iv) and (v) remain the same as in Heggie. These correspond to capture without disruption (C type), disruption without capture (D type) and disruption with capture (CD type) in the case of two galaxies.

This classification scheme is simpler than that earlier proposed by Sastry and Alladin (1977). The changes in mass and dimension are simply related to ΔU as indicated by Dekel et al (1980). Hence it is not necessary to include them as additional parameters.

4. Equations For Energy Changes

We give the basic equations used in the present classification scheme, for estimating energy changes. These equations have been derived under impulsive approximation (Spitzer, 1958) using polytropic or Plummer model for galaxies. Impulsive approximation gives reasonable estimates even for low velocity, highly penetrating collisions (Aguilar and white, 1985).

For a perturber of mass M_1 moving in a relative orbit of eccentricity, e , about a test galaxy of mass M_2 , the change in the internal energy, ΔU_2 , of the test galaxy is given by (Alladin and Narasimhan, 1982; Narasimhan and Alladin, 1983):

$$\Delta U_2 = \left[\frac{\pi}{1+e} \right]^2 \frac{G^2 M_1^2 M_2}{p^4 v_p^2} (R_{rms2})^2; e \leq 1 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Delta U_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{G M_1^2 M_2}{p^3 (M_1 + M_2)} \langle e_i^2 \rangle (R_{rms2})^2; e > 1 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Where } v_p = \frac{G(M_1 + M_2)}{p} (1+e)$$

v_p being the velocity at the minimum separation p of the galaxies and R_{rms} is the root mean square radius of the galaxy. The fractional change in the binding energy, $\Delta U_2/|U_2|$ is given by

$$\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} = \frac{2}{\beta_n} \frac{\pi^2}{(1+e)^3} \frac{M_{12}^2}{1+M_{12}} \left(\frac{R_{rms2}}{p} \right)^3; e \leq 1 \quad (3)$$

and

$$\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} = \frac{\langle e_i^2 \rangle}{\beta_n} \frac{M_{12}^2}{1+M_{12}} \left(\frac{R_{rms2}}{p} \right)^3; e > 1 \quad (4)$$

Where β_n varies from 0.5 to 0.4 in a polytropic model as polytropic index n increases from 0 to 4 and $M_{12} = M_1/M_2$.

The change in the orbital energy is obtained from

$$\Delta E = -(\Delta U_1 + \Delta U_2) \quad (5)$$

In a hyperbolic encounter, the criterion for capture is $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} \geq 1$ or $E_f < 0$ where E_f , the final orbital energy at the end of the encounter, has been obtained from :

$$E_f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{G M_1 M_2}{M_1 + M_2} v_p^2 + \frac{G M_1 M_2}{p} - \frac{(\Delta U_1 + \Delta U_2)}{2} \quad (6)$$

For Plummer model galaxies of unequal mass, we have for a head-on collision (Ahmed 1979).

$$\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} = \frac{64}{3\pi} \frac{GM_1^2 \alpha_2}{M_2 V_p^2 \alpha_1^2} B(\alpha_{12}) ; \alpha_{12} = \alpha_1 / \alpha_2 \quad (7)$$

and

$$\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} = \frac{\alpha_{12}^2 + M_{12}}{2(1 + M_{12})} \frac{B}{A^2} \left(\frac{V_{esc}}{V_p} \right)^4 \quad (8)$$

Where α is scale length and V_{esc} is the escape velocity of the galaxies. A and B are functions of α_{12} . Namboodiri et al (1987) define a dimensionless parameter \mathcal{V} given by

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{\pi^2}{(1+e)^3} \frac{M_{12}^2}{1+M_{12}} \left(\frac{R_2}{p} \right)^3 ; e \leq 1, p \approx (R_1 + R_2) \quad (9)$$

They find that bridges and tails are formed in the disk galaxy when $0.1 \leq \mathcal{V} \leq 0.7$

The fractional change in the binding energy of a disk galaxy (D) due to a head-on collision with a spherical galaxy (S) is given by (Chatterjee 1984)

$$\frac{\Delta U}{|U|} = \frac{GM_S^2 R_D}{V^2 M_D R_S^2} \beta_D \quad (10)$$

Where β_D is a function of the galaxy models, the ratio of radii R_S/R_D and the inclination of the disk to the relative orbital motion of the galaxies. Chatterjee finds that ring galaxies are formed when $0.4 \leq \frac{\Delta U}{|U|} \leq 1.8$ and $\frac{\Delta U}{|U|} \geq 2$ implies complete disruption.

5. Classification of some Observed Pairs of Galaxies

In this section we classify pairs of interacting galaxies according to the scheme given in Section 3 using the observed data and the equations given in Section 4.

(i) NGC 1587 - 1588 (=K99) is a pair of elliptical galaxies showing off-centering of inner versus outer isophotes (Bonfanti et al 1995), U-shaped velocity profiles and an enhancement in the velocity dispersion between the galaxies (Combes et al 1995). All these are attributed to tidal interaction.

From the simulations of Borne (1988), we adopt $M_1 = 1.95 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$, $M_2 = 0.65 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. We take $V_p \approx 390$ km/sec, allowing for projection effects, $p=20$ kpc. Fish's law (Alladin et al 1975) yields $R_1 = 14$ kpc, $R_2 = 8$ kpc, $R_{rms1} = 2.8$ kpc and $R_{rms2} = 1.6$ kpc. This gives $e = 1.25$ and $\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} = 0.03$ and $E_f > 0$ implying that the orbit remains hyperbolic after the collision. We designate this collision as of Type A.

(ii) NGC 2672 - 2673 (=K175 = Arp 167) is again another pair of isolated elliptical galaxies showing similar characteristics of interaction. We use the collision parameters as given in Balcells et al (1989). With $M_1 = 4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$, $M_2 = 4 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, $R_1 = 20$ kpc, $R_2 = 6.3$ kpc, $R_{rms1} = 4$ kpc, $R_{rms2} = 1.26$ kpc, $V_p = 540$ km/sec and $p = 16$ kpc, we obtain $e = 1.1$, $\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} = 0.01$ and $E_f > 0$. This is also a collision of Type A.

(iii) NGC 4782 - 4783 (3C 278) is a loose pair of interacting galaxies. Madejsky and Bien (1993) have presented a high velocity and deeply penetrating hyperbolic encounter model which agrees with the observed data.

Following Borne et al (1988), we chose $M_1 = 8.1 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, $M_2 = 5.6 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ and using Fish's law we obtain $R_{rms1} = 6$ kpc and $R_{rms2} = 5$ kpc. Taking $V_p = 960$ Km/sec and $p = 17$ kpc as in Madejsky and Bien (1993), we get $\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} = 0.1$ indicating that the collision is of Type B.

(iv) NGC 5194 - 5195 (=M51 = VV1) is the Whirlpool nebula. From Toomre's (1972) model, we adopt $M_1 = 13.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, $M_2 = 4.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, $p = 25$ kpc and $e = 0.8$. Taking $R_1 = 15$ kpc, $R_2 = 10$ kpc (typical values for radii), we find $\mathcal{V} = 0.25$, $\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} = 0.15$. $e = 0.8$ implies that a capture has already taken place. The collision is of Type C.

(v) A0035 (=NGC 4650 A = AM 064 - 0741)

A0035 is known as Cartwheel galaxy. The collision parameters are given in Chatterjee (1984). He finds that $0.8 \leq \frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} \leq 1.8$. We classify this collision as of Type D.

(vi) Arp 141 (=VV 123) pair : The peculiar nature of this interacting pair was attributed by Burbidge and Burbidge (1959) to the disruption of a spiral galaxy by an elliptical galaxy in a close encounter. Following Sandage (1963), we take $R_2 = 8$ kpc and $p = 4.6$ kpc. We assume for the elliptical galaxy a typical mass $M_1 = 3 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$. Fish's law then gives $R_1 = 55$ kpc, $M_2 = 8 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$. In the absence of information about the relative velocity of the pair, we assume crudely the differential velocity

of 3000 km/sec of the system as V_p . These collision parameters give $e = 1.68$ and $\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} \approx 3$. This means that the spiral is getting disrupted in a hyperbolic encounter with the elliptical. We classify the collision as of Type D. Alternatively, since $p \ll R_1$, we may regard the collision as nearly head-on and from the treatment given in Ahmed (1979) we get $\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} \approx 1.5$ and $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} \ll 1$. Hence in this case also the collision is of type D. We note also that Chatterjee's (1984) criterion for the formation of ring galaxies is satisfied. This may possibly explain the ring structure observed in the pair.

(vii) NGC 2444 - 2445 (=Arp 143 = VV 117) is an interacting pair of elliptical (E4) and spiral (Sc) galaxies. Following Narasimhan and Alladin (1985), we adopt $M_1 = 1.4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, $M_2 = 9 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, $e = 0.3$, $p = 12$ kpc and representing the galaxies by polytropes of indices $n_1 = 4$ and $n_2 = 3$ respectively, we get $\frac{\Delta U_2}{|U_2|} = 1.6$ and $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} = 1.8$. Thus $\frac{\Delta U}{|U|} > 1$, $\frac{|\Delta E|}{E} > 1$. We classify this collision as as Type CD. The spiral will merge with the elliptical with considerable disruption.

5. Conclusion

We have classified galaxies in increasing order of tidal effects; A: small tidal effect; B: moderate tidal effect; C: capture without disruption; D: disruption without capture and CD: both capture and disruption. The tidal effects are estimated

from energy changes. At least one example of each collision type is given.

Acknowledgement

We thank Professor J.V. Narlikar, Director, IUCAA, Pune for his encouragement and for providing facilities for working at IUCAA. SMA also thanks UGC, New Delhi for financial support for this project.

References

- Aguilar, L.A., and White, S.D.M.: 1985, *Astrophys. J.* 245, 374.
- Ahmed, F.: 1979, *Astrophys.Sp.Sci.* 60, 293.
- Alladin, S.M. and Narasimhan, K.S.V.S.: 1982, *Phys.Rep.* 92, 339.
- Alladin, S.M., Potdar, A., and Sastry, K.S.: 1975, *IAU Symp.* 69, 167.
- Balcells, M., Borne, K.D., and Hoessel, J.G.: 1989, *Astrophys. J.* 236, 655.
- Bonfanti, P.R., Rampazzo, R., Combes, F., Prugniel, P. and Sulentic, J.W.: 1995, *Astron. Astrophys.* 297, 28.
- Borne, K.D.: 1988, *Astrophys. J.* 330, 61.
- Borne, K.D., Balcells, M. and Hoessel, J.G.: 1988, *Astrophys.J.* 333, 567.
- Burbidge E.M. and Burbidge, G.R.: 1959, *Astrophys.J.* 130, 12.
- Byrd, G.C., Saarinen, S., and Valtonen, M.J.: 1986a, *Mon.Not. R.Astr.Soc.* 220, 619.
- Chatterjee, T.K.: 1984, *Astrophys.Sp.Sci.* 106, 309.

Combes, F., Rampazzo, R., Bonfanti, P.R., Prugniel, P. and Sulentic, J.W.: 1995, *Astron. Astrophys*, 297, 37.

Dekel, A., Lecar, M; and Shaham, J.: 1980, *Astrophys. J.* 241, 946.

Heggie, D.C.: 1975, *Mon.Not.R.Astr.Soc.* 173, 729.

Madejsky, R., and Bien, R.: 1993, *Astron. Astrophys.* 220, 383.

Miller, G.E.: 1983, *Astrophys. J.* 268, 495.

Namboodiri, P.M.S., Kochhar, R.K.; and Alladin, S.M.: 1987, *Bull. Astron. Soc. India*, 15, 186.

Narasimhan, K.S.V.S, and Alladin, S.M.: 1983, *Bull. Astron Soc. India*, 11, 221.

Narasimhan, K.S.V.S, and Alladin, S.M.: 1985, *Bull. Astro Soc. India*, 13, 395.

Sandage, A.: 1963, *Astrophys. J.* 183, 863.

Sastry, K.S. and Alladin, S.M.: 1977, *Astrophys. Sp. Sci*, 46, 285.

Sastry, K.S. and Alladin, S.M.: 1979, *Astrophys. Sp.Sci*, 63, 203.

Spitzer, L.: 1958, *Astrophys. J.* 127, 17.

Toomre, A. and Toomre, J.: 1972, *Astrophys, J.* 178, 623.

Zwicky, F.: 1959, *Hand buch der Physik*, 53, (Springer-Verlag), p.374.

