


The Compact Muon Solenoid Experiment
Conference Report

Mailing address: CMS CERN, CH-1211 GENEVA 23, Switzerland



19 December 2024 (v3, 10 January 2025)

Non-resonant di-Higgs searches at the LHC with the CMS experiment

Simona Palluotto on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

Abstract

Investigating the production of Higgs boson pairs (HH) at the LHC provides critical insights into the self-interaction properties of the Higgs boson, representing an essential verification of the Standard Model and contributing to our understanding of the Higgs boson characteristics. This presentation highlights the latest findings from the CMS collaboration on HH production measurements. These searches include different final states and integrate data collected by the CMS experiment at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV.

Presented at *ICNFP2024 XIII International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics*

Non-resonant di-Higgs searches at the LHC with the CMS experiment

Simona Palluotto ^{1,2} * on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

¹ Università di Milano Bicocca, Milan, Italy

² INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy

Abstract: Investigating the production of Higgs boson pairs (HH) at the LHC provides critical insights into the self-interaction properties of the Higgs boson, representing an essential verification of the Standard Model and contributing to our understanding of the Higgs boson characteristics. This work highlights the latest findings from the CMS collaboration on HH production measurements. These searches include different final states and integrate data collected by the CMS experiment at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV.

Keywords: high-energy particle physics; Higgs physics; CMS; HH; Self-coupling

1. Introduction

The discovery of the Higgs boson in 2012 [1,2] marked a major achievement in the field of particle physics, confirming the existence of the Higgs field and providing a mechanism for understanding how elementary particles acquire mass. This discovery, while a cornerstone of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, raised many other questions: many of its properties remain poorly understood, particularly the self-coupling of the Higgs field, which governs the interactions between Higgs bosons.

The Higgs self-coupling is a key parameter in the Higgs potential [3], a function that describes the energy configuration of the Higgs field. Di-Higgs production, in which two Higgs bosons are produced simultaneously, is a direct way to probe the Higgs self-coupling. Although this process is rare, it provides a unique opportunity to measure the self-coupling parameter λ_{HHH} , which describes the interaction between two Higgs bosons. Theoretical models, such as those involving supersymmetry or other extensions of the SM, predict possible modifications to the Higgs self-coupling, making this a good area of investigation.

To explore these deviations, a coupling modifier κ_λ is introduced. It scales the strength of the Higgs self-interaction λ_{HHH} relative to its SM value, where $\kappa_\lambda = 1$ corresponds to the SM prediction. Any deviation from this value could indicate Beyond Standard Model (BSM) contributions. The SM calculation for this coupling gives a value of $\lambda_{HHH} \approx 0.13$.

The primary mechanism for Higgs boson pair production at the LHC is gluon fusion, with two leading-order Feynman diagrams contributing to this process, shown in Figure 1. These include a box diagram (Fig. 1a) and a triangle diagram (Fig. 1b), the latter of which is sensitive to the λ_{HHH} coupling and the top-quark Yukawa coupling, mediated via a top-quark loop. In the SM, these diagrams interfere destructively, yielding a gluon-gluon Higgs-Higgs production cross-section of 31.05 fb at 13 TeV center-of-mass energy. The next most significant production mechanism is vector-boson fusion (Fig. 1c), with a production cross section of approximately 1.73 fb at 13 TeV. The inclusive HH production cross section (around 32 fb) is thus quite small, making it difficult to measure this process at the LHC.

Searches for this process at CMS have focused on measuring the di-Higgs production cross-section using both resonant and non-resonant search strategies. Resonant searches look for potential new particles decaying into Higgs boson pairs, while non-resonant searches aim to measure the SM cross-section and put limits on κ_λ , while exploring possible

Citation: Palluotto, S. Non-resonant di-Higgs searches at the LHC with the CMS experiment. *Particles* **2024**, *1*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/>

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Published:

Copyright: © 2025 by the author. Submitted to *Particles* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).




Figure 1. The main Feynman diagrams for the production of two Higgs bosons.

deviations from it. However, significant challenges remain, including the rarity of di-Higgs production events at the LHC energy and the need for increasingly precise measurements.

This work summarizes the current efforts to probe the Higgs self-coupling through di-Higgs production, focusing on the ongoing non-resonant researches at the LHC with the CMS experiment. It will review the key channels being explored, and outline future research directions in this area.

2. The CMS detector

The analyses discussed in this work are based on data collected by the CMS experiment [4] at the LHC between 2016 and 2018, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The CMS detector is a general purpose experiment, featuring a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid, silicon trackers ($|\eta| < 2.5$), electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters ($|\eta| < 3$), forward calorimeters ($|\eta| < 5$), and muon chambers ($|\eta| < 2.4$). A two-level trigger system, including a hardware-based Level-1 trigger and a High-Level Trigger employing fast reconstruction algorithms, reduces the event rate to 1 kHz. The data are analyzed using simulations that incorporate pileup, parton shower [5–7], and detector response [8]. Sophisticated algorithms are employed to reconstruct events and identify objects [9].

3. Di-Higgs searches


3.1. Key non-Resonant Channels

The sensitivity to non-resonant di-Higgs production is driven by three channels, namely $HH \rightarrow bbbb$, $HH \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$, and $HH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$. These channels are particularly effective due to their relatively large branching ratios and clean experimental signature, which allow for better separation from background processes, making them ideal for probing the Higgs self-coupling.


- **$HH \rightarrow 4b$ channel:** This channel, where both Higgs bosons decay to bottom quarks, has a large branching ratio of 33%. However, it is limited by significant background from multi-jet and $t\bar{t}$ processes. Advanced techniques such as DeepJet [10] b-tagging and fitting methods allowed to reduce the background and place the 95% CL limits on κ_λ between -2.3 and 9.4 [11], as shown in Fig. 2a.
- **$HH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$ channel:** With a smaller branching ratio of 0.26%, this channel benefits from a cleaner signature, thanks to the excellent mass resolution of the diphoton system. Techniques like Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) and Boosted Decision Trees (BDTs) are used to suppress the background. The 95% CL limits for this channel are between -3.3 and 8.5 [12], as shown in Fig. 2b.
- **$HH \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$ channel:** With a branching ratio of 7.3%, this channel is sensitive but faces background from DY , $t\bar{t}$, and QCD multi-jet events. The limits on κ_λ in this channel are observed to be between -1.7 and 8.7 at 95% CL [13], as shown in Fig. 2c.

3.2. Less sensitive searches

Rarer decay channels have also been explored to extend the reach of the search:

(a) $4b$ channel [11](b) $bb\gamma\gamma$ channel [12](c) $bb\tau\tau$ channel [13]**Figure 2.** Cross-section dependence on κ_λ for the key non-resonant di-Higgs production channels.

- $HH \rightarrow \tau\tau\gamma\gamma$ channel:** This channel, with a very small branching ratio of 0.027%, combines the clean diphoton signature with the relatively larger branching ratio of Higgs boson to tau decays. Backgrounds are modeled using analytic fits, and a double Crystal Ball function is used to describe the signal. A simultaneous maximum likelihood fit is performed to the $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions in the analysis categories. The observed 95% CL constraints on κ_λ exclude values outside the interval $[-13, 18]$, as shown in Fig. 3a. These results are complementary to those found in alternative final states, such as the search with two bottom quarks and two photons, where the observed upper limit was 7.7 times the SM prediction. The inclusion of the $\tau\tau\gamma\gamma$ channel further strengthens the overall search sensitivity and provides valuable constraints in combination with other final states studied by CMS [14].
- $HH \rightarrow bbVV$ channel:** Involving the decay of one Higgs to bottom quarks and the other to vector bosons, this channel benefits from a branching ratio of 13%. Despite challenges from QCD multi-jet background processes, the use of novel event classification methods, such as the Particle Transformer [15], provides promising limits on the κ_{2V} parameter. Specifically, the analysis utilizes a binned maximum likelihood fit to the observed m_{bb} distributions, performed simultaneously in both the signal and background dominated regions. The signal shapes are interpolated using a linear combination of simulations with varying couplings, allowing to constrain the κ_{2V} parameter more precisely. These results show that the κ_{2V} coupling modifier is constrained within the ranges $[-0.04, 2.05]$ at 95% CL, as shown in Fig. 3b. This focus on κ_{2V} , rather than κ_λ , arises because this channel is more sensitive to the vector boson-Higgs coupling, which is modulated by κ_{2V} , making it a key parameter to probe in the context of this analysis [16].

(a) Dependence on κ_λ in the $\tau\tau\gamma\gamma$ channel [14](b) Dependence on κ_{2V} in the $bbVV$ channel [16]**Figure 3.** Expected and observed 95% CL upper limits on the nonresonant HH production cross section.

3.3. Run 2 combined results

The combination of results of several channels from Run 2 is shown in Fig. 4. It provides an upper limit 95% C.L. of 2.5 times the SM prediction, a quite improved result compared to what was expected from early Run2 results [17], when scaled with luminosity. This is largely due to the implementation of advanced machine learning techniques, such as jet tagging, tau identification, and more efficient triggers.




Figure 4. (a) Limits on Higgs boson pair production. The observed and expected limits on the ratio of the measured cross-section to the Standard Model prediction ($\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}}$) are shown for individual final states and their combination. (b) Limits on the di-Higgs production cross section for different values of κ_λ are also shown [17].

By combining single Higgs and di-Higgs measurements [18], tighter constraints were placed on the Higgs self-coupling modifier κ_λ . The results suggest that κ_λ is consistent with the SM value of 1.

4. Discussion

The study of Higgs self-coupling is a cornerstone of understanding the Higgs potential and the fundamental interactions of the SM. The results obtained during Run 2 provide valuable constraints on the Higgs self-coupling parameter, κ_λ . The combination of different search channels, despite their varying challenges, has led to a consistent picture that is compatible with the SM, with no significant deviations [17].

However, the results also highlight the current limitations of the experimental sensitivity. The background processes, particularly from QCD multi-jet events, and the small branching ratios of some of the decay channels, remain significant challenges. Nevertheless, the application of advanced machine learning techniques, such as DNNs and BDTs, has significantly improved the ability to distinguish signal from background, allowing us to achieve limits close to few times the SM expectation.

Looking ahead, the ongoing Run 3 and the upcoming High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) offer exciting prospects. With new triggers [19] designed specifically for di-Higgs production, as shown in Fig. 5, and improvements in tau identification via the DeepTau [20] algorithm, an even greater sensitivity is expected to be achieved. These improvements, combined with the increased data volume, will bring us closer to the goal of a 5σ discovery of di-Higgs production.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the study of Higgs self-coupling remains one of the most exciting and important areas of particle physics. The results from Run 2 have provided strong constraints on the Higgs potential, in line with the SM. However, the full potential of this search is yet




Figure 5. Trigger efficiency as a function of the invariant mass m_{HH} for the simulated SM HH production ($\kappa_\chi = 1$) with two different final states: $HH \rightarrow 4b$ (left) and $HH \rightarrow 2b2\tau_{had}$ (right). (a) For the $4b$ process, the efficiency is shown for Run 2 (black), Run 3 2022 (blue), and Run 3 2023 (orange) triggers, with an overall efficiency of 82%, improved by 57% compared to Run 2 and 20% compared to 2022. (b) For the $2b2\tau_{had}$ process, the efficiency is shown for Run 3 hadronic τ triggers (blue), Run 3 2023 HH trigger (orange), and a combination of both (green). By combining the two triggers with the missing transverse energy trigger, the resulting trigger efficiency is 58% [19].

to be realized. The anticipated upgrades in Run 3, along with the new data we will get with the HL-LHC, will offer an opportunity to explore the Higgs sector more deeply.

References

1. CMS Collaboration. Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC. *Physics Letters B* **2012**, 716, 30–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021>.
2. ATLAS Collaboration. Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. *Physics Letters B* **2012**, 716, 1–29. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020>.
3. Higgs, P. Broken symmetries and the masses of gauge bosons. *Physics Letters* **1964**, 12, 132–133. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9163\(64\)91136-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9163(64)91136-9).
4. CMS Collaboration. The CMS detector at the CERN LHC. *Journal of Instrumentation* **2008**, 3, S08004. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
5. J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F.M.O.M.; Stelzer, T. MadGraph 5: Going Beyond. *JHEP* **2011**, 06, 128. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2011\)128](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128).
6. Nason, P. A New Method for Combining NLO QCD with Parton Shower Simulations. *JHEP* **2004**, 11, 040. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040>.
7. T. Sjostrand, S.M.; Skands, P. PYTHIA 8: A new generation of event generators. *Computer Physics Communications* **2008**, 178, 852–867. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036>.
8. et al., S.A. GEANT4: A simulation toolkit. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A* **2003**, 506, 250–303. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
9. CMS Collaboration. Particle Flow Reconstruction and Calorimeter Performance in the CMS Experiment. *J. Instrum.* **2017**, 12, P10003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003>.
10. Collaboration, C. DeepJet: A deep learning approach to b tagging at the LHC. *J. Instrum.* **2019**, 14, P08007. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/14/08/P08007>.
11. CMS Collaboration. Search for Higgs Boson Pair Production in the Four b Quark Final State in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2022**, 129, 081802. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.081802>.
12. CMS Collaboration. Search for nonresonant Higgs boson pair production in final states with two bottom quarks and two photons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2021**, 257, 257. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2021\)257](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)257).
13. CMS Collaboration. Search for nonresonant Higgs boson pair production in final state with two bottom quarks and two tau leptons in proton-proton collisions at $s=13$ TeV. *Physics Letters B* **2023**, 842, 137531. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137531>.
14. Search for the nonresonant and resonant production of a Higgs boson in association with an additional scalar boson in the $\gamma\gamma\tau\tau$ final state. Technical report, CERN, Geneva, 2024.
15. CMS Collaboration. Particle Transformer: A Deep Learning Approach for Particle Reconstruction at the LHC. *JHEP* **2021**, 11, 205. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2021\)205](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2021)205).

16. Search for highly energetic double Higgs boson production in the two bottom quark and two vector boson all-hadronic final state. Technical report, CERN, Geneva, 2024.
17. CMS Collaboration. A portrait of the Higgs boson by the CMS experiment ten years after the discovery. *Nature* **2022**, *607*, 60–68. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04892-x>.
18. Constraints on the Higgs boson self-coupling with combination of single and double Higgs boson production. Technical report, CERN, Geneva, 2023.
19. Novel strategy targeting HH and HHH production at High Level Trigger in Run 3 **2023**.
20. CMS Collaboration. DeepTau: A Deep Learning Approach to Hadronic Tau Identification at the LHC. *J. Instrum.* **2019**, *14*, P06023. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/14/06/P06023>.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.