

AC DESIGN GROUPSummary Record of the International Meeting held
on 7th September 1983

Present: B.Autin, M.Bell, R.Billinge, V.Chohan, M.Conte, D.Fiander, Z.Guo, W.Hardt, M.Harold, H.Horisberger, C.Hojvat, C.D.Johnson (Chairman part 1), E.Jones (Chairman part 2), H.Koziol, S.Maury, M.Martini, S.van der Meer, F.Pedersen, J.C.Schnuriger, R.Sherwood, P.Sievers, A.Sullivan, C.Taylor, L.Thorndahl, H.Umstätter, F.Völker, B.Williams, E.J.N.Wilson (Chairman part 3), A.Wrulich

* * * * *

This meeting was divided into three parts: 1) presentation and discussion of certain outstanding sections of the first partial draft of the AC Design Report, 2) a brief presentation of material for the stochastic cooling chapters, 3) an overall review of the work to date.

Part 1) B.Williams presented A0-size drawings of the most recent versions of the lattices 83-08 and 83-08d. A.Wrulich explained his recent work on the stability of lattice 83-08 with a distributed sextupole scheme including sextupole elements in: the ends of dipoles, the profiles of wide quadrupoles, the missing-magnet straight sections. He also included the sextupoles resulting from interference between the quadrupoles and the end-fields of dipoles. The stability is worse than that found for a previous lattice, 83-03. Z.Guo has also been looking into various sextupole schemes and even the most favorable would be difficult to implement in practice. Finding an acceptable multipole scheme remains a first priority task.

W.Hardt, pursuing our earlier decision to keep lattice 83-08d warm, has refined it further. It now has a satisfactory working point, symmetry in the bending sections, zero dispersion regions at 3,6,9,& 12 o'clock, greater separation between quadrupoles and dipoles, smaller apertures and the maximum quadrupole strength is less than in 83-08. However, the space for cooling structures is reduced unless one uses some of the non-zero dispersion straight-sections. For the Design Report injection and transfer schemes have been worked out for 83-08 only, although W.Hardt has suggested an interesting solution for transfer in which both kicker and septum are placed in non-zero dispersion regions.

Part 2) C.Taylor and L.Thorndahl described very recent laboratory work, which has enabled them to make some preliminary comparisons between wide-band and stagger-tuned cooling systems for the AC. Both solutions are feasible and at present the choice between them is not clear since the stagger-tune solution, although using cheaper power needs more of it. Further work is needed before a clear choice can be made.

E.Jones explained the space and cost-comparisons for cooling structures in various lattices that has been made by S.Milner. The cheapest solution is that for 83-08d as it involves the minimum number of tanks, but gives only 70% of the cooling electrode length of that for 83-08. The optimum solution will appear only after a thorough comparison of all the detailed requirements for space in the machine.

Part 3) E.J.N.Wilson gave a resumé of the Design Study to date and then R.Billinge explained the financial position. Additional funds will be required to permit construction of even the reduced budget AC in Hall 193. Also the AC must be constructed and run-in with the minimum interference to the low-energy antiproton programme.

Despite the heavy work load due to the forthcoming AA ME studies at the start of Period 4, a deadline of the beginning of October was set for the final version of the Design Report. A second full editorial meeting will be held on the 28th September.

C.D.Johnson, B.Williams.

CIRCUMFERENCE = 182.43284

2 HALF SUPERPERIODS

STRAIGHT MAGNETS

ALL VALUES AT EXIT OF ELEMENTS

XX

Table with 12 columns: NU, ELEM, L(M), ANG(ME), R(1/H2), DELTA(H), RETAH(M), ALPHAV, ALPHAH, MUW/2PI, MUH/2PI, ALPHAP(H), ALPHAP'. Rows include INITIAL, 1 LO, 2 SD, 3 L1, 4 L2, 5 L3, 6 GF1, 7 GF2, 8 L1, 9 L2, 10 L1, 11 OD1, 12 SD, 13 L2, 14 L3, 15 L1, 16 GF1, 17 GF2, 18 L1, 19 L2, 20 L1, 21 OD1, 22 SD, 23 L2, 24 L3, 25 L1, 26 GF1, 27 GF2, 28 L1, 29 L2, 30 L1, 31 OD1, 32 SD, 33 L2, 34 L3, 35 L1, 36 GF1, 37 GF2, 38 L1, 39 L2, 40 L1, 41 OD1, 42 SD, 43 L2, 44 L3, 45 L1, 46 GF1, 47 GF2, 48 L1, 49 L2, 50 L1, 51 OD1, 52 SD, 53 L2, 54 L3, 55 L1, 56 GF1, 57 GF2, 58 L1, 59 L2, 60 L1, 61 OD1, 62 SD, 63 L2, 64 L3, 65 L1, 66 GF1, 67 GF2, 68 L1, 69 L2, 70 L1, 71 OD1, 72 SD, 73 L2, 74 L3, 75 L1, 76 GF1, 77 GF2, 78 L1, 79 L2, 80 L1, 81 OD1, 82 SD, 83 L2, 84 L3, 85 L1, 86 GF1, 87 GF2, 88 L1, 89 L2, 90 L1, 91 OD1, 92 SD, 93 L2, 94 L3, 95 L1, 96 GF1, 97 GF2, 98 L1, 99 L2, 100 L1, 101 OD1, 102 SD, 103 L2, 104 L3.

DP/P CUSHU(H) U(H) UPRIME(H) RETAHMAX(H) CUSHU(V) Q(V) QPRIME(V) RETAHMAX(V) XMAX(H) GAMMA TR. 0.0000 -2.4869 5.4200 0.0000 17.0348 -2.2185 5.4300 0.0000 12.6256 3.9475 4.8872

DP/P = 0.0000 AVERAGE X = 0.0000 AVERAGE ALPHA = 1.2156 R.F. GAMMA TR. = 4.8872

XX

TIME = 1.102 SECONDS *** 7000 SCOPE 2.1.4 LVI 234V07L4 04/01/83 30/07/83 R3211

SYS DEVICES 844/ 4/P F 810/10/P FLS=200K FLL=1740K HXS=150K MXL=400K MXB=600R

HIJHM.SS CPU SECONDS BRIGTH CERN NOS/RL 1.5 577 14A-7 0 FRI 12.14 MFA 730
17.53.47 0000.004 MFA- X7GULIH
17.53.47 0000.005 MFA- -X7GUL
17.53.47 0000.005 JOB- -ACCOUNT,CPU,PS,*****
17.53.48 0000.014 USR- CPU#1 = DIV COST BALANCE 71685.00 FR\$. 43 PERCENT OF BUDGET
17.53.48 0000.025 JOB- -ATTACH,L,7000LIBRARY,IDLARGLIB
17.53.48 0000.025 MFA- F25= CYCLE #10 ATTACHED FROM SIB=SYSTEM
17.53.48 0000.025 JOB- -LIBRARY
17.53.48 0000.025 MFA- -FIND,A,AGSHART1200, ID=IS490MART.
17.53.49 0000.065 USR- FIND = CYCLE 511 ATTACHED
17.53.49 0000.065 JOB- -FIND,R,AGSHARTIRIN, ID=IS490MART, CY=2.
17.53.49 0000.065 MFA- FIND = CYCLE 2 ATTACHED
17.53.50 0000.065 USR- -FIND,C,PSAUS, ID=IS17ORISS.
17.53.50 0000.065 MFA- FIND = CYCLE 511 ATTACHED
17.53.50 0000.065 JOB- -LOAD,C.
17.53.50 0000.065 MFA- -LOAD,A.
17.53.50 0000.065 JOB- -B.
17.53.51 0000.185 MFA- LD314 = RING DUPLICATE DECK US.COG
17.53.51 0000.185 MFA- LD314 = HPROL DUPLICATE DECK OS.COG
17.53.51 0000.185 MFA- LD314 = PLUTU DUPLICATE DECK OS.COG
17.53.51 0000.235 MFA- LD314 = HIGGLE DUPLICATE DECK OS.COG
17.53.51 0000.235 MFA- LD314 = HATRYX DUPLICATE DECK OS.COG
17.53.51 0000.247 MFA- LD314 = BETATR DUPLICATE DECK OS.COG
17.53.51 0000.248 MFA- LD314 = HEMAGS DUPLICATE DECK OS.COG
17.53.51 0000.278 MFA- LD314 = QRBITE DUPLICATE DECK OS.COG
17.53.54 0000.812 MFA- LD610 = 129 TABLE MOVES, FLS REQUIRED TO LOAD = 0025330 UU.COG
17.53.54 0000.812 MFA- LD602 = LOADER NON-FATAL ERROR FLAG SET OS.EXP
17.53.54 0000.813 USR- FORTRAN LIBRARY 460 26/03/81
17.53.54 0000.925 USR- STOP

***** 106 CP SECONDS EXECUTION TIME *****
RM773 = MAXIMUM ACTIVE FILES 1
RM771 = OPEN/CLOSE CALLS 304
RM772 = DATA TRANSFER CALLS 445
RM773 = CONTROL/POSITIONING CALLS 58
RM774 = BM DATA TRANSFER CALLS 80
RM775 = BM CONTROL/POSITIONING CALLS 70
RM776 = QUEUE MANAGER CALLS
RM777 = RECALL CALLS
LCH 12.006 KWS
LCH 6.445 KWS
L/I 0.005 MW
KMS 0.274 MWS
USER 0.088 SFC
JOB 0.931 SFC
UTL 250.755 KW

***** COST OF JOB IN SFR 3.00 *****
***** SC050 = 003016 SC/LC SHAPS *****

NEW VERSION OF INDO/IE ON MFB

AS PART OF THE PREPARATION FOR THE INSTALLATION OF THE NEW CDC COMPUTERS IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO UPGRADE THE NOS/RL SYSTEM USED ON THE FRONT-END. AS A FIRST STEP IT IS PLANNED TO INTRODUCE LEVEL 577 OF INDO/IE ON MFB ONLY AT J.R.O.U. ON WEDNESDAY 20TH JULY. DEPENDENT PROCEDURES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN RECOMPILED AS RECOMMENDED IN THE LAST COMPUTER NEWSLETTER WILL NOT WORK WITH THE NEW SYSTEM.

THE NOS/RL PRODUCT SET (FTH, FTHS, LTC) IS ALSO UPGRADED TO LEVEL 577 IN THE NEW SYSTEM BUT IS EXPECTED TO BE COMPATIBLE BETWEEN THE CURRENT AND NEW LEVELS. PLEASE REPORT ANY PROBLEMS TO THE PEO (4952).