



Higher-order moments of the elliptic flow distribution in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

The hydrodynamic flow-like behavior of charged hadrons in high-energy lead-lead collisions is studied through multiparticle correlations. The elliptic anisotropy values based on different orders of multiparticle cumulants, $v_2\{2k\}$, are measured up to the tenth order ($k = 5$) as functions of the collision centrality at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The data were recorded by the CMS experiment at the LHC and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 0.607 nb^{-1} . A hierarchy is observed between the coefficients, with $v_2\{2\} > v_2\{4\} \gtrsim v_2\{6\} \gtrsim v_2\{8\} \gtrsim v_2\{10\}$. Based on these results, centrality-dependent moments for the fluctuation-driven event-by-event v_2 distribution are determined, including the skewness, kurtosis and, for the first time, superskewness. Assuming a hydrodynamic expansion of the produced medium, these moments directly probe the initial-state geometry in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions.

Submitted to the Journal of High Energy Physics

1 Introduction

In high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions, a hot and dense state of strongly interacting quarks and gluons is created, the so-called quark-gluon plasma (QGP). Clear evidence for this state was obtained at the BNL RHIC [1–4]. Experiments at the CERN LHC, performed with nucleus-nucleus collisions at nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energies up to more than twenty times greater than available for the RHIC studies, confirmed the main RHIC results with much larger event samples and kinematic ranges. Unexpectedly, a similar behavior has been observed in smaller systems formed in high-multiplicity proton-proton and proton-nucleus collisions [5, 6]. One important feature of the QGP is its apparent collective hydrodynamic expansion. The initial spatial geometry of the overlap region of the colliding nuclei results in anisotropic pressure gradients that, in turn, are reflected in the azimuthal angle distribution of outgoing particles. This anisotropy has been used to perform detailed studies of the QGP formed at the LHC [7–19]. Fluctuations in nuclear densities that appear due to the fluctuations in the position of nucleons within the incident nuclei also have a significant influence on the QGP expansion [8, 12, 20–22]. The effect of these geometry fluctuations on the observed particle anisotropy can give insight into the early-stage dynamics of the collisions [23–25].

Figure 1 schematically depicts a collision of two nuclei in the transverse $X' - Y'$ plane that is perpendicular to the beam axis Z , in the laboratory frame. The impact parameter vector \mathbf{b} , which is not experimentally accessible, connects the centers of the colliding nuclei in the transverse plane. The symmetry plane of the collision, denoted $X - Y$, defined in terms of the beam direction and \mathbf{b} , is randomly oriented with respect to the $X' - Y'$ plane. In this schematic figure, ϕ denotes the azimuthal angle of one of the outgoing particles. The anisotropic flow is quantified in terms of coefficients in the Fourier expansion of the ϕ dependence of the invariant yield of particles relative to the event-plane angle Ψ_{EP} ,

$$E \frac{d^3N}{dp^3} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2N}{p_T dp_T dy} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_{EP})] \right], \quad (1)$$

where E is the energy of the particle, p_T its transverse momentum, and y its rapidity. The event-plane angle Ψ_{EP} is defined to be in the direction of maximum outgoing particle density [26] and is, on average, the same as the rotation angle of the symmetry plane with respect to the laboratory frame. The direction of Ψ_{EP} fluctuates about the symmetry plane rotation angle because of fluctuations in the initial state geometry and resolution effects arising from finite particle multiplicities. The elliptic flow harmonic v_2 is the leading term of the Fourier series expansion of the azimuthal angle distribution in the event plane frame.

Many methods have been developed to study the anisotropic expansion of the system formed in nuclear collisions [26–28]. The cumulant method was introduced in Refs. [29, 30] based on multiparticle correlations which do not require an explicit measure of the event-plane angle. In this method, v_n values can be extracted from $c_n\{2k\}$ cumulant coefficients, where n is the order of the Fourier expansion term and $2k$ is the number of particles used to determine the correlation. For example, $v_2\{2\}$, $v_2\{4\}$, and $v_2\{6\}$ are referred to as the 2-, 4-, and 6-particle cumulant based values for the elliptic flow harmonic, respectively. Nonflow, short-range correlations arising from jets and resonance decays, can be suppressed by correlating four or more particles. It is then possible to determine the central moments of the event-by-event, fluctuation-driven, v_2 distribution based on the $v_2\{2k\}$ values. The 3rd, 4th, and 5th central moments are called the skewness (s), kurtosis (κ), and superskewness (p) respectively. The skewness describes the overall asymmetry of the v_2 distribution, while the superskewness gives a measure of the asymmetry of the tails. The kurtosis describes the peakedness of the center, or equivalently, the relative contribution (heaviness) of the distribution tails. In a hydrodynamic expansion




Figure 1: A schematic view of a non-central nucleus-nucleus collision in the transverse plane.

46 the v_2 is proportional to the initial-state eccentricity ϵ_2 , and therefore ϵ_2 fluctuations produce
 47 non-Gaussian v_2 distributions [31]. Thus, the central moments can be related to the initial state
 48 geometry and reveal its detailed structure.

49 In this paper, the cumulant based values are determined using the Q-cumulant method in
 50 which one calculates multiparticle cumulants in terms of moments of Q-vectors [32]. Deter-
 51 mining the cumulant based $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) values of the v_2 distribution is a way to
 52 study the fluctuation behavior related to the initial-state geometry [30]. For Gaussian fluctu-
 53 ations, the higher central moments vanish and the $v_2\{2k\}$ values with $k > 1$ are all expected
 54 to have the same value [33]. The measured higher-order $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 2, 3, 4$) values, however,
 55 show a fine splitting [34, 35], $v_2\{4\} \gtrsim v_2\{6\} \gtrsim v_2\{8\}$, that can be attributed to a non-Gaussian
 56 behavior [31]. In Ref. [36], it is noted that the main signature of non-Gaussian fluctuations is
 57 a nonzero skewness of the v_2 distribution. This is used to suggest, as described in Ref. [36], a
 58 hydrodynamic probe based on the ratio $(v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}) / (v_2\{4\} - v_2\{6\})$. The basic premise
 59 of a hydrodynamic probe is that the observed azimuthal angle correlations that appear in the
 60 bulk medium can be related to the initial-state geometry.

61 We present for the first time a measurement of $v_2\{10\}$, derived from ten-particle cumulants,
 62 for lead-lead (PbPb) collisions at a center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV.
 63 The data used in this analysis correspond to an integrated luminosity of 0.607 nb^{-1} and were
 64 recorded with the CMS detector at the LHC. This enables the development of a new hydrody-
 65 namic probe using the $v_2\{10\}$ value and the corresponding lower-order $v_2\{2k\}$ values. Both
 66 the original and the new hydrodynamic probes should be independent of collision centrality if
 67 moments of the v_2 distribution with order higher than the skewness are negligible [36]. Cen-
 68 trality refers to the percentage of the total inelastic hadronic nucleus-nucleus cross section [37],
 69 with 0% corresponding to the maximum overlap of the colliding nuclei. This connection be-
 70 tween the centrality dependence of the hydrodynamic probes and higher order moments of the
 71 v_2 distribution is investigated by measuring both the centrality dependence itself and also by
 72 using the cumulants to extract the higher order moments directly.

73 This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and in Appendix A, we derive formulas for
 74 the $v_2\{10\}$ harmonic and its statistical uncertainty. Section 3 and Appendices B, and C present
 75 derivations of the formulas used in this analysis to calculate the hydrodynamic probe ratios. In
 76 Section 4, we introduce and derive formulas for the standardized moments (denoted as γ_i^{exp} ,
 77 $i = 1, 2, 3$) and the corrected moments (denoted as $\gamma_{i, \text{corr}}^{\text{exp}}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$) of the fluctuation-driven,
 78 event-by-event v_2 distribution. Section 5, 6, and 7 present the experimental details, results, and
 79 summary, respectively. The numerical values of the results for this analysis are tabulated in the
 80 HEPDATA record [38].

81 2 Analysis procedure

82 A version of the cumulant method, the Q-cumulant method, was introduced in Ref. [32] and
 83 is based on the flow vector Q_n , with $Q_n = \sum_{j=1}^M e^{in\phi_j}$. Here, n is the flow harmonic order, M
 84 denotes the event multiplicity, i.e., the number of analyzed tracks in the given event, and ϕ_j
 85 is the azimuthal angle of the j th track measured in the laboratory frame.

86 The $2m$ -particle azimuthal angle correlators $\langle 2m \rangle$ [32] are expressed through the flow vector
 87 Q_n with

$$\langle 2m \rangle = \langle e^{in(\phi_1 + \dots + \phi_m - \phi_{m+1} - \dots - \phi_{2m})} \rangle = \frac{(M-2m)!}{M!} \sum_{j_1 \neq \dots \neq j_{2m}=1}^M e^{in(\phi_{j_1} + \dots + \phi_{j_m} - \phi_{j_{m+1}} - \dots - \phi_{j_{2m}})}. \quad (2)$$

88 Here the summation is over all unique track indices. The weighted mean of the $2m$ -particle
 89 azimuthal angle correlator $\langle\langle 2m \rangle\rangle$ is defined as

$$\langle\langle 2m \rangle\rangle = \frac{\sum_j^{\text{events}} (W_{\langle 2m \rangle})_j \langle 2m \rangle_j}{\sum_j^{\text{events}} (W_{\langle 2m \rangle})_j}, \quad m = 1, \dots, k, \quad (3)$$

90 where the double brackets $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ denote a weighted average over all events within a given
 91 class [39]. The weight is taken as the number of distinct $2m$ -particle combinations that can be
 92 formed for an event [39]:

$$W_{\langle 2m \rangle} = \prod_{j=0}^{2m-1} (M-j) = \frac{M!}{(M-2m)!}. \quad (4)$$

93 The Q-cumulant $c_n\{2k\}$ values are multivariate polynomial functions of the $\langle\langle 2m \rangle\rangle$ values.
 94 The relationship between the $c_n\{2k\}$ values (up to the 8th order) and corresponding $\langle\langle 2m \rangle\rangle$
 95 correlations are known [32, 39]. A recursion relationship for the $c_n\{2k\}$ values [40] is given
 96 with

$$c_n\{2k\} = \langle\langle 2k \rangle\rangle - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m} \binom{k-1}{m} \langle\langle 2m \rangle\rangle c_n\{2k-2m\}. \quad (5)$$

97 In Refs. [39, 41] it is shown that

$$\langle\langle 2k \rangle\rangle = \langle v_n^{2k} \rangle. \quad (6)$$

98 Based on Eqs. (5) and (6), one finds

$$v_n = \sqrt[2k]{a_{2k}^{-1} c_n\{2k\}}, \quad (7)$$

99 where the a_{2k} coefficients can be determined using the recursion relationship

$$a_{2k} = 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{m} \binom{k-1}{m} a_{2k-2m}, \quad \text{with } a_2 = 1. \quad (8)$$

100 In the ideal case, all $2k$ -particle cumulants $c_n\{2k\}$ with $k > 1$ result in the same flow harmonic
 101 value v_n . But, non-Gaussian flow fluctuations and non-flow correlations result in a splitting
 102 of the $c_n\{2k\}$ values with $k > 1$. In this case, the $v_n\{2k\}$ values will depend on the cumulant
 103 orders as

$$v_n\{2k\} \equiv \sqrt[2k]{a_{2k}^{-1}c_n\{2k\}}, \quad (9)$$

104 Details concerning the Q-cumulant method up to the $k = 4$ order can be found in Refs. [32,
 105 39]. In the case where $k = 5$, the above equations give the relationship between the $v_n\{10\}$
 106 magnitude and the corresponding $c_n\{10\}$ value, with

$$c_n\{10\} = \langle\langle 10 \rangle\rangle - 25\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle\langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle - 100\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle + 400\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^2 + 900\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle^2 \\ - 3600\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^3 + 2880\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^5. \quad (10)$$

107 and

$$v_n\{10\} = \sqrt[10]{\frac{1}{456}c_n\{10\}}. \quad (11)$$

108 Formulas for the analytic calculations of the statistical uncertainties in the $v_n\{2k\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, 4$)
 109 magnitudes are given in Ref. [40]. Appendix A discusses the analytical calculation of the sta-
 110 tistical uncertainty for the $v_2\{10\}$ value.

111 3 Hydrodynamic probes

112 A compact formulation for the cumulant expansion is given through the formalism of generat-
 113 ing functions. The Fourier–Laplace transform of the symmetry plane elliptic harmonic vector
 114 $\mathbf{v}_2 = v_x \mathbf{e}_x + v_y \mathbf{e}_y$ is $\langle e^{\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{v}_2} \rangle$, with a vector variable $\mathbf{l} = l_x \mathbf{e}_x + l_y \mathbf{e}_y$. Here, \mathbf{e}_x is the unit vector
 115 in the direction of the impact parameter, while \mathbf{e}_y is the unit vector perpendicular to \mathbf{b} . The
 116 angle brackets $\langle \rangle$ indicate an event average that, for this analysis, involves an average over
 117 events within a centrality class. As the distribution of (v_x, v_y) is symmetric under $v_y \rightarrow -v_y$,
 118 the mean value of the x - and y -component of \mathbf{v}_2 are $\langle v_x \rangle \equiv \bar{v}_2$, and $\langle v_y \rangle = 0$. The variances
 119 are $\sigma_x^2 = \langle (v_x - \langle v_x \rangle)^2 \rangle$ and $\sigma_y^2 = \langle v_y^2 \rangle$. Higher-order central moments up to the kurtosis are
 120 defined in Ref. [42] in terms of the components of the elliptic harmonic vector. The expressions
 121 found in Ref. [42] are reproduced here, expanded to include terms related to the superskew-
 122 ness:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{30} &= \langle (v_x - \langle v_x \rangle)^3 \rangle, \\ s_{12} &= \langle (v_x - \langle v_x \rangle)v_y^2 \rangle, \\ \kappa_{40} &= \langle (v_x - \langle v_x \rangle)^4 \rangle - 3\sigma_x^4, \\ \kappa_{22} &= \langle (v_x - \langle v_x \rangle)^2 v_y^2 \rangle - \sigma_x^2 \sigma_y^2, \\ \kappa_{04} &= \langle v_y^4 \rangle - 3\sigma_y^4, \\ p_{50} &= \langle (v_x - \langle v_x \rangle)^5 \rangle - 10\sigma_x^2 s_{30}, \\ p_{32} &= \langle (v_x - \langle v_x \rangle)^3 v_y^2 \rangle - \sigma_y^2 s_{30} - 3\sigma_x^2 s_{12}, \quad \text{and} \\ p_{14} &= \langle (v_x - \langle v_x \rangle)v_y^4 \rangle - 6\sigma_y^2 s_{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

123 In Ref. [36], the generating function of the cumulant is defined as $\ln \langle e^{\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{v}_2} \rangle$. If one expands this
 124 generating function up to the 4th power in (l_x, l_y) one gets the expression in terms of central
 125 moments of the (v_x, v_y) distribution, that includes the σ , s , and κ terms [42]. By extending this
 126 expansion up to the 5th power in (l_x, l_y) , we obtain

$$\ln\langle e^{\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{v}_2}\rangle \approx l_x\bar{v}_2 + \frac{1}{2!}(l_x^2\sigma_x^2 + l_y^2\sigma_y^2) + \frac{1}{3!}(l_x^3s_{30} + 3l_xl_y^2s_{12}) \\ + \frac{1}{4!}(l_x^4\kappa_{40} + 6l_x^2l_y^2\kappa_{22} + l_y^4\kappa_{04}) + \frac{1}{5!}(l_x^5p_{50} + 10l_x^3l_y^2p_{32} + 5l_xl_y^4p_{14}). \quad (13)$$

127 One can follow the procedure for expanding the generating function of the $v_2\{2k\}$ cumu-
128 lants [36] to derive expressions for the hydrodynamic probes that include higher-order mo-
129 ments of the v_2 distribution. Instead of using Eq. (7) from Ref. [36], in this analysis Eq. (13)
130 is used. The difference is that in the earlier work the expansion is performed up to the skew-
131 ness, while the current analysis additionally covers the 4th and 5th moments. Then, for the
132 higher-order $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 2, \dots, 5$) values, we find

$$v_2\{4\} \approx \bar{v}_2 + \frac{\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2}{2\bar{v}_2} - \frac{s_{30} + s_{12}}{\bar{v}_2^2} \\ - \frac{\kappa_{40} + 2\kappa_{22} + \kappa_{04}}{4\bar{v}_2^3} - \frac{5(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{8\bar{v}_2^3} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(3s_{30} + 3s_{12})}{2\bar{v}_2^4}, \quad (14)$$

133

$$v_2\{6\} \approx \bar{v}_2 + \frac{\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2}{2\bar{v}_2} - \frac{\frac{2}{3}s_{30} + s_{12}}{\bar{v}_2^2} \\ + \frac{\kappa_{40} - \kappa_{04}}{4\bar{v}_2^3} - \frac{5(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{8\bar{v}_2^3} + \frac{p_{50} + 2p_{32} + p_{14}}{4\bar{v}_2^4} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(4s_{30} + 15s_{12})}{6\bar{v}_2^4}, \quad (15)$$

134

$$v_2\{8\} \approx \bar{v}_2 + \frac{\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2}{2\bar{v}_2} - \frac{\frac{7}{11}s_{30} + s_{12}}{\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{\frac{31}{33}\kappa_{40} + \frac{2}{11}\kappa_{22} - \kappa_{04}}{4\bar{v}_2^3} - \frac{5(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{8\bar{v}_2^3} \\ + \frac{\frac{5}{3}p_{50} + \frac{14}{3}p_{32} + 3p_{14}}{11\bar{v}_2^4} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(13s_{30} + 57s_{12})}{22\bar{v}_2^4}, \quad \text{and} \quad (16)$$

135

$$v_2\{10\} \approx \bar{v}_2 + \frac{\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2}{2\bar{v}_2} - \frac{\frac{12}{19}s_{30} + s_{12}}{\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{\frac{53}{57}\kappa_{40} + \frac{4}{19}\kappa_{22} - \kappa_{04}}{4\bar{v}_2^3} - \frac{5(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{8\bar{v}_2^3} \\ + \frac{\frac{163}{60}p_{50} + \frac{47}{6}p_{32} + \frac{21}{4}p_{14}}{19\bar{v}_2^4} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(11s_{30} + \frac{99}{2}s_{12})}{19\bar{v}_2^4}. \quad (17)$$

136 If one neglects the 5th-order moments p , as well as the terms with the multiplier $(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)$, then
137 the hydrodynamic probe proposed with Eq. (14) in Ref. [36] is given as

$$\frac{v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}}{v_2\{4\} - v_2\{6\}} \approx \frac{1}{11} - \frac{4\kappa_{40}}{11[2\bar{v}_2s_{30} + 3(\kappa_{40} + \kappa_{22})]}. \quad (18)$$

138 Here, one can see that neglecting the kurtosis κ moment, with respect to the skewness, would
139 reduce the right-hand side of Eq. (18) to a constant value of $1/11$. Appendix C shows the
140 relation that corresponds to Eq. (18) if none of the terms in Eqs. (14)–(16) are neglected.

141 The approximation given by Eq. (18) can be tested by expressing the right-hand side in terms
142 of the measurable quantities $v_2\{2k\}$, with $k = 2, 3$, and 4. Based on Taylor expansion of the
143 $v_2\{2k\}^2$ values, as shown in Appendix B, one finds

$$h_1 = \frac{v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}}{v_2\{4\} - v_2\{6\}} \approx h_1^{\text{Taylor}} = \frac{1}{11} - \frac{1}{11} \frac{v_2\{4\}^2 - 12v_2\{6\}^2 + 11v_2\{8\}^2}{v_2\{4\}^2 - v_2\{6\}^2} \quad (19)$$

144 if terms with $(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)$ are neglected.

145 In the above relation, h_1 denotes the hydrodynamic probe and h_1^{Taylor} denotes the corresponding
146 Taylor expansion of this probe as expressed in terms of the measured $v_2\{2k\}$ values.

147 By employing the $v_2\{10\}$ harmonic, we define a new hydrodynamic probe h_2 as

$$\frac{v_2\{8\} - v_2\{10\}}{v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}} \approx \frac{3}{19} + \frac{88p_{50}}{95[4s_{30}\bar{v}_2^2 - 2\bar{v}_2(\kappa_{40} - 3\kappa_{22}) - (13p_{50} + 10p_{32} - 3p_{14}) - 2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(5s_{30} - 6s_{12})]}. \quad (20)$$

148 In the derivation of Eq. (20), none of the terms in Eqs. (15)–(17) have been neglected. If one
149 neglects the 5th p moment, then the right-hand side of the Eq. (20) will reduce to the constant
150 value of $3/19$. A deviation from this constant value indicates that the p moment cannot be
151 neglected.

152 Again, as in the case with the derivation of the Eq. (19), one can express the right-hand side of
153 Eq. (20) through the second power of the higher-order $v_2\{2k\}$ terms, with $k = 3, 4, 5$ (as shown
154 in Appendix B):

$$\frac{v_2\{8\} - v_2\{10\}}{v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}} \approx \frac{3}{19} - \frac{1}{19} \frac{3v_2\{6\}^2 - 22v_2\{8\}^2 + 19v_2\{10\}^2}{v_2\{6\}^2 - v_2\{8\}^2 + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)s_{30}}{33\bar{v}_2^3}}. \quad (21)$$

155 If one neglects the term with the multiplier $(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)$, Eq. (21) reduces to

$$h_2 = \frac{v_2\{8\} - v_2\{10\}}{v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}} \approx h_2^{\text{Taylor}} = \frac{3}{19} - \frac{1}{19} \frac{3v_2\{6\}^2 - 22v_2\{8\}^2 + 19v_2\{10\}^2}{v_2\{6\}^2 - v_2\{8\}^2}, \quad (22)$$

156 where h_2 denotes the new hydrodynamic probe and h_2^{Taylor} denotes the Taylor expansion of this
157 probe, as expressed in terms of the measured $v_2\{2k\}$ values.

158 In Section 6, it will be shown that the term proportional to the asymmetry of the fluctuations
159 $(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)$ is negligible. The same conclusion is found in Ref. [36].

160 4 The standardized and corrected moments

161 The standardized skewness, γ_1 , and standardized kurtosis, γ_2 , are defined as

$$\gamma_1 \equiv \frac{s_{30}}{\sigma_x^3}, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2 \equiv \frac{\kappa_{40}}{\sigma_x^4}. \quad (23)$$

162 The corresponding expressions given in terms of the measured $v_2\{2k\}$ values, are derived in
163 Ref. [42] as

$$\gamma_1^{\text{exp}} = -2^{3/2} \frac{v_2\{4\}^3 - v_2\{6\}^3}{(v_2\{2\}^2 - v_2\{4\}^2)^{3/2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad (24)$$

$$\gamma_2^{\text{exp}} = -\frac{3v_2\{4\}^4 - 12v_2\{6\}^4 + 11v_2\{8\}^4}{2(v_2\{2\}^2 - v_2\{4\}^2)^2}.$$

164 The standardized superskewness, γ_3 , is defined as

$$\gamma_3 \equiv \frac{p_{50}}{\sigma_x^5}. \quad (25)$$

165 In order to express the standardized superskewness through the measured $v_2\{2k\}$ values with
 166 $k = 1, \dots, 5$, one can use the expansion of the fifth power of the $v_2\{2k\}$ value (as discussed in
 167 Appendix B). The resulting expression for the standardized superskewness, expressed in terms
 168 of measurable quantities, is

$$\gamma_3^{\text{exp}} = 6\sqrt{2} \frac{3v_2\{6\}^5 - 22v_2\{8\}^5 + 19v_2\{10\}^5}{(v_2\{2\}^2 - v_2\{4\}^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (26)$$

169 The standardized moments, γ_i^{exp} ($i = 1, 2, 3$), have contributions from higher-order moments
 170 of the v_2 distribution that are not negligible (as shown by Eq. (13) in Ref. [42]). For example,
 171 if higher-order moments are considered, then for the standardized skewness we obtain addi-
 172 tional terms O_N and O_D , with

$$\gamma_1^{\text{exp}} = -2^{3/2} \frac{v_2\{4\}^3 - v_2\{6\}^3}{(v_2\{2\}^2 - v_2\{4\}^2)^{3/2}} \approx -2^{3/2} \frac{-s_{30} - O_N}{(2\sigma_x^2 + O_D)^{3/2}}, \quad (27)$$

173 where

$$\begin{aligned} O_N &= \frac{3(\kappa_{40} + \kappa_{22})}{2\bar{v}_2} - \frac{3(p_{50} + 2p_{32} + p_{14})}{4\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{3(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(s_{30} - 2s_{12})}{2\bar{v}_2^2} + \dots, \quad \text{and} \\ O_D &= \frac{2}{\bar{v}_2}(s_{30} + s_{12}) + \frac{\kappa_{40} + 2\kappa_{22} + \kappa_{04}}{2\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{\bar{v}_2^2} - \frac{2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(s_{30} - s_{12})}{\bar{v}_2^3} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

174 Corrected moments, which are free of contributions from higher-order moments, can be de-
 175 fined if

$$s_{12} \approx \frac{s_{30}}{3}, \quad \kappa_{22} \approx \frac{\kappa_{40}}{3}, \quad \text{and} \quad p_{32} \approx p_{14} \approx \frac{p_{50}}{5}. \quad (29)$$

176 These conditions are satisfied with the elliptic power distribution [43] if the eccentricity param-
 177 eter ϵ_0 in this model satisfies the condition $\epsilon_0 \leq 0.15$ and a linear flow response is assumed.
 178 Mathematical details that support the validity of the conditions given by Eq. (29) are presented
 179 in Appendix D. With these conditions, the corrected moments can be expressed in terms of the
 180 measured $v_n\{2k\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, 5$) values. As an example, the corrected skewness can be expressed
 181 as

$$\gamma_{1,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}} = -2^{3/2} \frac{187v_2\{8\}^3 - 16v_2\{6\}^3 - 171v_2\{10\}^3}{(v_2\{2\}^2 - 40v_2\{6\}^2 + 495v_2\{8\}^2 - 456v_2\{10\}^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (30)$$

182 If one replaces the powers that appear in Eq. (30) with the expansions given in Appendix B,
 183 one finds

$$\gamma_{1,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}} \approx -2^{3/2} \frac{-s_{30} - \bar{O}_N}{(2\sigma_x^2 + \bar{O}_D)^{3/2}} \approx \frac{s_{30}}{\sigma_x^3} \equiv \gamma_1, \quad (31)$$

184 where \bar{O}_N and \bar{O}_D are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{O}_N &= \frac{3(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(s_{30} - 2s_{12})}{2\bar{v}_2^2} + \dots, \quad \text{and} \\ \bar{O}_D &= -\frac{2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(s_{30} - s_{12})}{\bar{v}_2^3} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

185 We note that the contribution from the term proportional to $(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)$ as well as the contribu-
 186 tions from moments of order higher than 5 are negligible.

187 The same correction procedure can also be applied to the standardized kurtosis and the stan-
188 dardized superskewness. The corrected form of these moments are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{2,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}} &= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{v_2\{4\}^4 + 24v_2\{6\}^4 - 253v_2\{8\}^4 + 228v_2\{10\}^4}{(v_2\{2\}^2 - 40v_2\{6\}^2 + 495v_2\{8\}^2 - 456v_2\{10\}^2)^2}, \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma_{3,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}} &= 6\sqrt{2} \frac{3v_2\{6\}^5 - 22v_2\{8\}^5 + 19v_2\{10\}^5}{(v_2\{2\}^2 - 40v_2\{6\}^2 + 495v_2\{8\}^2 - 456v_2\{10\}^2)^{5/2}}.\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

189 5 CMS detector, event selection, and systematic uncertainties

190 The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diame-
191 ter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip
192 tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintilla-
193 tor hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward
194 calorimeters (HF), made of steel and quartz-fibres, extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage pro-
195 vided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors
196 embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of
197 the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant
198 kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [44].

199 Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level (L1), composed
200 of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors
201 to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz [45]. The second level, known as the high-level
202 trigger (HLT), consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction
203 software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to about 5 to 8 kHz before
204 data storage [46].

205 The data analyzed in this paper, before applying the selection described below, consist of
206 4.27×10^9 minimum bias lead-lead (PbPb) collision events at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV, collected in 2018
207 with an integrated luminosity of 0.607 nb^{-1} [47, 48]. The minimum bias events are triggered
208 by requiring signals above readout thresholds of 3 GeV in each of the two HF calorimeters [46].
209 Further selections are applied to reject events from beam-gas interactions and nonhadronic col-
210 lisions [49]. The events are also required to have at least one reconstructed primary vertex
211 (based on two or more tracks) within a distance ($|z_{\text{vtx}}|$) of 15 cm from the nominal interaction
212 point along the beam axis. If multiple vertices are found in an event then the primary vertex is
213 selected as the one with the highest track multiplicity in the event. The total energy deposited
214 in both HF calorimeters is used for determination of the event centrality [50].

215 Only tracks that satisfy the high-purity selection criteria described in Ref. [49] are used in the
216 analysis. In addition, a reconstructed track is only considered as a candidate track from the
217 primary vertex if the separation along the beam axis between the track and the vertex (d_z), and
218 the track-vertex impact parameter measured transverse to the beam (d_{xy}), are both less than
219 three times their respective uncertainties σ_z and σ_{xy} . The relative uncertainty in the transverse
220 momentum (σ_{p_T}/p_T) measurement is required to be less than 10%. In addition, tracks must
221 have 11 or more hits (n_{hits}) along their trajectory in the pixel and strip tracking detectors com-
222 bined. The relative χ^2 of the track fit ($\chi^2/N_{\text{dof}}/N_{\text{layers}}$) is required to be less than 0.18, where
223 N_{dof} is the number of degrees of freedom during the track fitting and N_{layers} is the number of
224 tracking layers used.

225 Each reconstructed track is weighted by the inverse of the efficiency factor $w(\eta, p_T, \text{centrality})$
226 calculated based on Monte Carlo HYDJET 1.9 [51]. The efficiency factor accounts for the recon-

227 construction efficiency ϵ and the fraction of misreconstructed tracks $f_{\text{misrec}}(\eta, p_T, \text{centrality})$, with
 228 $w = \epsilon / (1 - f_{\text{misrec}})$ [52]. The response of the CMS detector to the generated events is simulated
 229 with GEANT4 [53].

230 The systematic uncertainties are determined by varying the vertex selection, the track selection,
 231 the centrality determination, and the efficiency correction. For each of the indicated sources of
 232 systematic uncertainty, an absolute difference between results from the varied and nominal
 233 values are used to obtain the uncertainty. The vertex selection uncertainty is found by per-
 234 forming the analysis with $|z_{\text{vtx}}| < 3$ cm and with $3 < |z_{\text{vtx}}| < 15$ cm. The uncertainty related to
 235 the track selection is determined by comparing tight ($d_z/\sigma_z < 2$, $d_{xy}/\sigma_{xy} < 2$, $\sigma_{p_T}/p_T < 0.05$
 236 and $\chi^2/N_{\text{dof}}/N_{\text{layers}} < 0.15$) criterion and loose ($d_z/\sigma_z < 5$, $d_{xy}/\sigma_{xy} < 5$, $\sigma_{p_T}/p_T < 0.15$ and
 237 $\chi^2/N_{\text{dof}}/N_{\text{layers}} < 0.18$) criterion for tracks with the results obtained with the nominal val-
 238 ues. The finite HF energy resolution results in small migration of events across the centrality
 239 bin boundaries. The centrality calibration is varied to calculate the effect of the minimum bias
 240 event selection efficiency of the HF calorimeters [54]. Differences in the p_T spectra between the
 241 data and the Monte Carlo simulation can affect the efficiency factor. To estimate the systematic
 242 uncertainty arising from these differences, the efficiency factor w is varied by $\pm 5\%$. The final
 243 systematic uncertainty is taken as a quadratic sum of the four indicated sources, with the track
 244 selection found to have the dominant contribution.

245 6 Results

246 It was previously shown in Ref. [36] that the presence of non-Gaussian fluctuations in the
 247 initial-state energy density leads to a fine splitting between the higher-order $v_n\{2k\}$ cumulants.
 248 The $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, 5$) values are presented in Fig. 2 as functions of centrality in PbPb colli-
 249 sions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. These results are obtained from charged particles with $|\eta| < 2.4$ and
 250 $0.5 < p_T < 3.0$ GeV/c. The statistical uncertainties are about two orders of magnitude smaller
 251 than the systematic ones. A clear splitting between the $v_2\{2\}$ and higher-orders cumulant
 252 based $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 2, \dots, 5$) values is visible. The difference is attributed to flow fluctuations,
 253 with $v_2\{2\}^2 \approx v_2\{2k\}^2 + 2\sigma_v^2$ for $k > 1$ [36, 55], where σ_v^2 is the v_2 variance. From Fig. 2, it
 254 is clear that the flow fluctuations become larger going to more peripheral collisions (higher
 255 centrality percentages).

256 In Fig. 2, the ordering and a fine splitting between the $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 2, \dots, 5$) values are not
 257 clearly visible. The $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, 5$) values need to satisfy the ordering relationship $v_2\{2k\} >$
 258 $v_2\{2(k+1)\}$ in order to define the hydrodynamic probes given by Eqs. (19) and (22). The split-
 259 ting between the higher-order cumulant based $v_2\{2k\}$ values $v_2\{4\} \gtrsim v_2\{6\} \gtrsim v_2\{8\} \gtrsim v_2\{10\}$
 260 becomes much finer than the splitting with respect to the lowest-order cumulant based $v_2\{2\}$
 261 value. Figure 3 shows the corresponding relative differences $(v_2\{2k\} - v_2\{10\})/v_2\{10\}$ ($k =$
 262 $1, \dots, 4$) as functions of centrality. The magnitudes of these differences are plotted using a log-
 263 arithmic scale. The fine splitting between cumulants of different orders as well as the expected
 264 hydrodynamic ordering of the splittings is clearly seen. The relative difference between adja-
 265 cent $v_2\{2k\} - v_2\{10\}$ values decreases by about an order of magnitude for each increment in
 266 k .

267 The measured cumulants of different orders are used to calculate the hydrodynamic probes
 268 given by the left-hand sides of Eqs. (19) and (22). Figure 4 displays these distributions with
 269 closed symbols. Open symbols in the same figure show the right-hand sides of Eqs. (19) and
 270 (22). These are also constructed using the measured $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, 5$) cumulants.

271 As a consequence of the low multiplicities involved in the calculation of the cumulant based




Figure 2: The $v_2\{2k\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, 5$) values as functions of centrality in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The vertical sizes of the open boxes denote the systematic uncertainties. Statistical uncertainties are negligible compared to the marker size. Points are plotted at the center of the respective centrality ranges. The markers are displaced horizontally for better visibility.




Figure 3: The relative differences $(v_2\{2k\} - v_2\{10\})/v_2\{10\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, 4$) as functions of centrality in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The vertical sizes of the open boxes denote the systematic uncertainties. Statistical uncertainties are negligible compared to the marker size. Points are plotted at the center of the respective centrality ranges.

272 $v_2\{2k\}$ values, statistical and systematic uncertainties quickly increase as peripheral collisions
 273 are approached. Also, in the region of very central collisions, because of the small magni-
 274 tudes of the cumulant based $v_2\{2k\}$ values, the statistical and systematic uncertainties are
 275 larger and, therefore, statistical and systematic uncertainties of the hydrodynamic probes are
 276 increased. The magnitudes of the statistical uncertainties of the h_2 distribution are larger with
 277 respect to those corresponding to the h_1 distribution because higher-order cumulants are in-
 278 volved. After the 0–5% centrality bin, where the measurement uncertainties are large, the
 279 h_1 and h_2 values show an increasing trend going to more peripheral events. Based on an
 280 event-by-event measurement of the v_2 distribution, it was reported in Ref. [34] that the h_1
 281 has a value of 0.143 ± 0.008 (stat) ± 0.014 (syst) for 20–25% central events, and increases to
 282 0.185 ± 0.005 (stat) ± 0.012 (syst) as the centrality increases to 55–60%. Using the Q-cumulant
 283 method, Ref. [35] compares the measured $v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}$ and $\frac{1}{11}(v_2\{4\} - v_2\{6\})$ distributions.




Figure 4: The $h_1 = (v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}) / (v_2\{4\} - v_2\{6\})$ (closed blue circles) and the $h_2 = (v_2\{8\} - v_2\{10\}) / (v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\})$ (closed red squares) hydrodynamic probes as functions of centrality in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. The distributions depicted with the open circles and squares represent the corresponding Taylor expansions given by h_1^{Taylor} and h_2^{Taylor} , respectively. The horizontal blue (red) line represents a constant value of $1/11$ ($3/19$). The bars (the vertical sizes of the open boxes) denote the statistical (systematic) uncertainties. Points are plotted at the center of the respective centrality ranges.

284 Taking into consideration differences in acceptance, the current analysis is consistent with the
 285 results of previous analyses [34, 35], although with smaller uncertainties. There is a very good
 286 agreement between the distributions obtained by the expressions given on left-hand and right-
 287 hand sides of Eq. (19) and Eq. (22) in Fig. 4. This indicates the importance of including higher-
 288 order terms in the Taylor expansion of the v_2 generating function.




Figure 5: The ratios between the hydrodynamic probes and their Taylor expansions. The ratios are plotted as functions of centrality in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. The vertical sizes of the open boxes denote systematic uncertainties. Statistical uncertainties are negligible compared to the marker size. Points are plotted at the center of the respective centrality ranges.

289 Figure 5 shows ratios between the left- and right-hand sides of the hydrodynamic probes given
 290 by Eq. (19) (left plot) and Eq. (22) (right plot) as functions of centrality. The two sides differ
 291 by less than 1.3% and 0.3% in the cases of Eqs. (19) and (22), respectively. Thus, based on

292 Eqs. (C.2) and (21), which differ from the Eqs. (19) and (22) only by the term that is proportional
 293 to $(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)$, one can conclude that the contribution of this term is quite small and can be
 294 neglected.




Figure 6: The magnitudes of the measured (closed circles) standardized skewness γ_1^{exp} (upper), standardized kurtosis γ_2^{exp} (middle), and standardized superskewness γ_3^{exp} (lower) as functions of centrality in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The magnitudes of the corrected skewness $\gamma_{1,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}}$ (upper), corrected kurtosis $\gamma_{2,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}}$ (middle), and corrected superskewness $\gamma_{3,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}}$ (lower) are presented with the open circles. The bars (the vertical sizes of the open boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties. Points are plotted at the center of the respective centrality ranges.

295 Figure 6 displays the distributions of the measured standardized skewness γ_1^{exp} , standardized
 296 kurtosis γ_2^{exp} , and standardized superskewness γ_3^{exp} as functions of centrality. The distribu-
 297 tions of the corrected skewness $\gamma_{1,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}}$ (upper), corrected kurtosis $\gamma_{2,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}}$ (middle), and cor-
 298 rected superskewness $\gamma_{3,\text{corr}}^{\text{exp}}$ (lower) are presented with the open blue circles. The systematic
 299 uncertainties are generally larger than the statistical ones. The values of the corrected moments

300 in the first analyzed centrality bin (5–10%) are not shown because of the very large systematic
301 uncertainties for this centrality range.

302 Although with different acceptance and differences in the applied methodology, the obtained
303 results for the experimentally measured standardized skewness are in a fair agreement with
304 the results obtained in Ref. [34]. The γ_1^{exp} is negative over the entire analyzed centrality range.
305 This is a consequence of the $v_{2,x}$ distribution having a long tail on the low $v_{2,x}$ side (as shown
306 in Fig. 1 of Ref. [36]). The $v_{2,y}$ distribution is symmetric and thus its skewness is equal to zero.
307 The γ_1^{exp} had been predicted to become more negative as the centrality percentile increases [36].
308 This measurement confirms the prediction.

309 Similarly to the case of the skewness, although with different acceptance and a difference in
310 the applied methodology to obtain the $v_2\{2k\}$ values, the γ_2^{exp} values are in reasonable agree-
311 ment with the results presented in Ref. [42]. The γ_2^{exp} values are positive over nearly the entire
312 analyzed centrality range. The exception is the centrality range of 10–20%. The sign of γ_2^{exp}
313 is driven by the mean eccentricity ϵ_0 , and is predicted to be negative for $\epsilon_0 < 0.28$ and posi-
314 tive for $\epsilon_0 > 0.29$ [42]. Our results are qualitatively in an agreement with this prediction. If
315 the v_2 values were to reflect a purely linear response to the initial-state eccentricity ϵ_2 , the cor-
316 responding kurtosis would equal the kurtosis of the initial ϵ_2 fluctuations. Although a fully
317 linear response is not expected, calculations predict that deviations from a Gaussian behavior
318 for the skewness and kurtosis, although significantly reduced by the hydrodynamic evolution,
319 are still influenced by the early stage hydrodynamics [36, 56].

320 Except for collisions with centrality less than 25%, where it is either positive or vanishes, the
321 γ_3^{exp} moment is negative, with its absolute magnitude increasing towards more peripheral col-
322 lisions. This is the first measurement of this moment of the v_2 distribution. Without the super-
323 skewness, it would be impossible to describe the centrality dependence observed for the new
324 hydrodynamic probe h_2 .

325 In addition to the experimental results for the standardized skewness, kurtosis, and superskew-
326 ness, the corresponding corrected moments are also presented. Except for the superskewness,
327 the corrected skewness and kurtosis have larger slopes with respect to the standardized ones.
328 The corrected moments give additional constraints on models of the initial-state geometry.

329 7 Summary

330 The elliptic anisotropy values based on different orders of multiparticle cumulants, $v_2\{2k\}$
331 ($1 \leq k \leq 5$), are determined as functions of centrality in lead-lead collisions at a center-of-
332 mass energy per nucleon pair of $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV, with an integrated luminosity of 0.607 nb^{-1} .
333 The $v_2\{10\}$ value is determined for the first time. A fine splitting is observed between the
334 harmonic values based on different cumulant orders, with $v_2\{4\} \gtrsim v_2\{6\} \gtrsim v_2\{8\} \gtrsim v_2\{10\}$.
335 The ordering of the $v_2\{2k\}$ values is consistent with a hydrodynamic evolution of the quark-
336 gluon plasma (QGP). This splitting is attributed to a non-Gaussian behavior in the event-by-
337 event fluctuations of the v_2 distribution, leading to nonzero values of the skewness, kurtosis,
338 and superskewness. The splitting becomes finer as the k value increases, with the difference
339 between the adjacent $v_2\{2k\}$ values decreasing by about an order of magnitude for each in-
340 crement. The standardized magnitude of the v_2 moments are presented, together with their
341 corrected values, where contributions from higher-order moments (up to the 5th moment)
342 are removed. The large data set of lead-lead collisions collected by the CMS experiment en-
343 ables a precise measurement of the hydrodynamic probe h_1 as a function of centrality, where
344 $h_1 = (v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}) / (v_2\{4\} - v_2\{6\})$. A strong centrality dependence is observed, with

values slowly increasing going to more peripheral collisions. This contrasts with an earlier hydrodynamic expectation that had taken the skewness of the initial-state geometry as the main source of non-Gaussian fluctuations. In that case, the ratio was not expected to depend on centrality. Based on the first $v_2\{10\}$ measurements, a new hydrodynamic probe is introduced that gives an even more precise measure of the initial-state geometry assuming a hydrodynamic evolution of the QGP. The new probe, h_2 , defined as $h_2 = (v_2\{8\} - v_2\{10\}) / (v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\})$, is also found to have a centrality dependence, with a shape similar to the h_1 results. The centrality dependence of both ratios can be understood in terms of the evolving shape of the interaction region with centrality. Based on these results, centrality-dependent moments for the fluctuation-driven event-by-event v_2 distribution are determined, including the skewness, kurtosis and, for the first time, superskewness. The results provide basic input for a precision test of models that assume a hydrodynamic expansion of the QGP.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science – EOS" – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP,

392 the NKFIH research grants K 124845, K 124850, K 128713, K 128786, K 129058, K 131991,
393 K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64
394 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research
395 Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, funded by the
396 EU NexGeneration program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Educa-
397 tion and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus
398 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a
399 Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program
400 by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF “a way of mak-
401 ing Europe”, and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de
402 Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Princi-
403 pado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advance-
404 ment Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Man-
405 agement Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation,
406 grant B37G660013 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMi-
407 cro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation
408 (USA).

References

- 409
- 410 [1] BRAHMS Collaboration, “Quark gluon plasma and color glass condensate at RHIC? the
411 perspective from the BRAHMS experiment”, *Nucl. Phys. A* **757** (2005) 1,
412 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.130, arXiv:nucl-ex/0410020.
- 413 [2] PHOBOS Collaboration, “The PHOBOS perspective on discoveries at RHIC”, *Nucl. Phys.*
414 *A* **757** (2005) 28, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.084,
415 arXiv:nucl-ex/0410022.
- 416 [3] STAR Collaboration, “Experimental and theoretical challenges in the search for the quark
417 gluon plasma: The STAR collaboration’s critical assessment of the evidence from RHIC
418 collisions”, *Nucl. Phys. A* **757** (2005) 102,
419 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085, arXiv:nucl-ex/0501009.
- 420 [4] PHENIX Collaboration, “Formation of dense partonic matter in relativistic
421 nucleus-nucleus collisions at RHIC: Experimental evaluation by the PHENIX
422 collaboration”, *Nucl. Phys. A* **757** (2005) 184,
423 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.086, arXiv:nucl-ex/0410003.
- 424 [5] CMS Collaboration, “Evidence for collectivity in pp collisions at the LHC”, *Phys. Lett. B*
425 **765** (2017) 193, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.12.009, arXiv:1606.06198.
- 426 [6] CMS Collaboration, “Multiplicity and transverse momentum dependence of two- and
427 four-particle correlations in pPb and PbPb collisions”, *Phys. Lett. B* **724** (2013) 213,
428 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.06.028, arXiv:1305.0609.
- 429 [7] ALICE Collaboration, “Elliptic flow of charged particles in Pb-Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV”,
430 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 252302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.252302,
431 arXiv:1011.3914.
- 432 [8] ALICE Collaboration, “Higher harmonic anisotropic flow measurements of charged
433 particles in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 032301,
434 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.032301, arXiv:1105.3865.

- 435 [9] ALICE Collaboration, "Elliptic flow of identified hadrons in Pb-Pb collisions at
436 $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV", *JHEP* **06** (2015) 190, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2015)190,
437 arXiv:1405.4632.
- 438 [10] ALICE Collaboration, "Anisotropic flow of charged particles in Pb-Pb collisions at
439 $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 132302,
440 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.132302, arXiv:1602.01119.
- 441 [11] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurement of the pseudorapidity and transverse momentum
442 dependence of the elliptic flow of charged particles in lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$
443 TeV with the ATLAS detector", *Phys. Lett. B* **707** (2012) 330,
444 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.12.056, arXiv:1108.6018.
- 445 [12] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy for charged particle
446 production in $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV lead-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector", *Phys.*
447 *Rev. C* **86** (2012) 014907, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014907, arXiv:1203.3087.
- 448 [13] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurement of the distributions of event-by-event flow
449 harmonics in lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the
450 LHC", *JHEP* **11** (2013) 183, doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2013)183, arXiv:1305.2942.
- 451 [14] CMS Collaboration, "Centrality dependence of dihadron correlations and azimuthal
452 anisotropy harmonics in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012)
453 2012, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2012-3, arXiv:1201.3158.
- 454 [15] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the elliptic anisotropy of charged particles
455 produced in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. C* **87** (2013) 014902,
456 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.87.014902, arXiv:1204.1409.
- 457 [16] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of higher-order harmonic azimuthal anisotropy in
458 PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. C* **89** (2014) 044906,
459 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.89.044906, arXiv:1310.8651.
- 460 [17] CMS Collaboration, "Studies of azimuthal dihadron correlations in ultra-central PbPb
461 collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV", *JHEP* **02** (2014) 088,
462 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)088, arXiv:1312.1845.
- 463 [18] CMS Collaboration, "Evidence for transverse momentum and pseudorapidity dependent
464 event plane fluctuations in PbPb and pPb collisions", *Phys. Rev. C* **92** (2015) 034911,
465 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.92.034911, arXiv:1503.01692.
- 466 [19] CMS Collaboration, "Principal-component analysis of two-particle azimuthal
467 correlations in PbPb and pPb collisions at CMS", *Phys. Rev. C* **96** (2017) 064902,
468 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.96.064902, arXiv:1708.07113.
- 469 [20] PHOBOS Collaboration, "System size, energy, pseudorapidity, and centrality dependence
470 of elliptic flow", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 242302,
471 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.242302, arXiv:nucl-ex/0610037.
- 472 [21] PHOBOS Collaboration, "Importance of correlations and fluctuations on the initial
473 source eccentricity in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions", *Phys. Rev. C* **77** (2008)
474 014906, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.77.014906, arXiv:0711.3724.

- 475 [22] B. Alver and G. Roland, "Collision geometry fluctuations and triangular flow in
476 heavy-ion collisions", *Phys. Rev. C* **81** (2010) 054905,
477 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.82.039903, arXiv:1003.0194.
- 478 [23] R. S. Bhalerao, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, "Determining initial-state fluctuations from
479 flow measurements in heavy-ion collisions", *Phys. Rev. C* **84** (2011) 034910,
480 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.84.034910, arXiv:1104.4740.
- 481 [24] E. Retinskaya, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, "Constraining models of initial conditions
482 with elliptic and triangular flow data", *Phys. Rev. C* **89** (2014) 014902,
483 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.89.014902, arXiv:1311.5339.
- 484 [25] G. Giacalone, J. Noronha-Hostler, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, "Relative flow fluctuations as a
485 probe of initial state fluctuations", *Phys. Rev. C* **95** (2017) 054910,
486 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.054910, arXiv:1702.01730.
- 487 [26] S. Voloshin and Y. Zhang, "Flow study in relativistic nuclear collisions by Fourier
488 expansion of azimuthal particle distributions", *Z. Phys. C* **70** (1996) 665,
489 doi:10.1007/s002880050141, arXiv:hep-ph/9407282.
- 490 [27] J.-Y. Ollitrault, "Determination of the reaction plane in ultrarelativistic nuclear
491 collisions", *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 1132, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.48.1132,
492 arXiv:hep-ph/9303247.
- 493 [28] A. M. Poskanzer and S. A. Voloshin, "Methods for analyzing anisotropic flow in
494 relativistic nuclear collisions", *Phys. Rev. C* **58** (1998) 1671,
495 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.58.1671, arXiv:nucl-ex/9805001.
- 496 [29] N. Borghini, P. M. Dinh, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, "A new method for measuring azimuthal
497 distributions in nucleus-nucleus collisions", *Phys. Rev. C* **63** (2001) 054906,
498 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.63.054906, arXiv:nucl-th/0007063.
- 499 [30] N. Borghini, P. M. Dinh, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, "Flow analysis from multiparticle azimuthal
500 correlations", *Phys. Rev. C* **64** (2001) 054901, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.64.054901,
501 arXiv:nucl-th/0105040.
- 502 [31] L. Yan, J.-Y. Ollitrault, and A. M. Poskanzer, "Azimuthal anisotropy distributions in
503 high-energy collisions", *Phys. Lett. B* **742** (2015) 290,
504 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.01.039, arXiv:1408.0921.
- 505 [32] A. Bilandzic, R. Snellings, and S. Voloshin, "Flow analysis with cumulants: Direct
506 calculations", *Phys. Rev. C* **83** (2011) 044913, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.83.044913,
507 arXiv:1010.0233.
- 508 [33] S. A. Voloshin, A. M. Poskanzer, A. Tang, and G. Wang, "Elliptic flow in the Gaussian
509 model of eccentricity fluctuations", *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 537,
510 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.11.043, arXiv:0708.0800.
- 511 [34] CMS Collaboration, "Non-Gaussian elliptic-flow fluctuations in PbPb collisions at
512 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* **789** (2019) 643,
513 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.11.063, arXiv:1711.05594.
- 514 [35] ALICE Collaboration, "Energy dependence and fluctuations of anisotropic flow in Pb-Pb
515 collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ and 2.76 TeV", *JHEP* **07** (2018) 103,
516 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2018)103, arXiv:1804.02944.

- 517 [36] G. Giacalone, L. Yan, J. Noronha-Hostler, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, “Skewness of elliptic flow
518 fluctuations”, *Phys. Rev. C* **95** (2017) 014913, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.014913,
519 arXiv:1608.01823.
- 520 [37] M. L. Miller, K. Reygers, S. J. Sanders, and P. Steinberg, “Glauber modeling in high
521 energy nuclear collisions”, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **57** (2007) 205,
522 doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.57.090506.123020, arXiv:nucl-ex/0701025.
- 523 [38] HEPData record for this analysis, 2023. doi:10.17182/hepdata.132638.
- 524 [39] A. Bilandzic, “Anisotropic flow measurements in ALICE at the Large Hadron Collider”.
525 PhD thesis, Utrecht University, 2012. CERN-THESIS-2012-018,
526 <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1432210>.
- 527 [40] L. Nadder, J. Milosevic, and F. Wang, “Statistical uncertainties of the $v_n\{2k\}$ harmonics
528 from Q cumulants”, *Phys. Rev. C* **104** (2021) 034906,
529 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.104.034906, arXiv:2104.00588.
- 530 [41] S. Wang et al., “Measurement of collective flow in heavy ion collisions using particle pair
531 correlations”, *Phys. Rev. C* **44** (1991) 1091, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.44.1091.
- 532 [42] R. S. Bhalerao, G. Giacalone, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, “Kurtosis of elliptic flow fluctuations”,
533 *Phys. Rev. C* **99** (2019) 014907, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.99.014907,
534 arXiv:1811.00837.
- 535 [43] L. Yan, J.-Y. Ollitrault, and A. M. Poskanzer, “Eccentricity distributions in
536 nucleus-nucleus collisions”, *Phys. Rev. C* **90** (2014) 024903,
537 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.90.024903, arXiv:1405.6595.
- 538 [44] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004,
539 doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- 540 [45] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions
541 at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P10017, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017,
542 arXiv:2006.10165.
- 543 [46] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P01020,
544 doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- 545 [47] CMS Collaboration, “Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at
546 $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 800,
547 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09538-2, arXiv:2104.01927.
- 548 [48] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement using nucleus-nucleus collisions at
549 $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=5.02$ TeV in 2018”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-18-001,
550 2022.
- 551 [49] CMS Collaboration, “Charged-particle nuclear modification factors in PbPb and pPb
552 collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=5.02$ TeV”, *JHEP* **04** (2017) 039,
553 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2017)039, arXiv:1611.01664.
- 554 [50] CMS Collaboration, “Observation and studies of jet quenching in PbPb collisions at
555 nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. C* **84** (2011)
556 024906, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.84.024906, arXiv:1102.1957.

- 557 [51] I. P. Lokhtin and A. M. Snigirev, “A model of jet quenching in ultrarelativistic heavy ion
558 collisions and high- p_T hadron spectra at RHIC”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **45** (2006) 211,
559 doi:10.1140/epjc/s2005-02426-3, arXiv:hep-ph/0506189.
- 560 [52] CMS Collaboration, “Description and performance of track and primary-vertex
561 reconstruction with the CMS tracker”, *JINST* **9** (2014) P10009,
562 doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009, arXiv:1405.6569.
- 563 [53] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4—a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506**
564 (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- 565 [54] CMS Collaboration, “Constraints on the initial state of Pb-Pb collisions via measurements
566 of Z-boson yields and azimuthal anisotropy at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**
567 (2021) 102002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.102002, arXiv:2103.14089.
- 568 [55] CMS Collaboration, “Strange hadron collectivity in pPb and PbPb collisions”, *JHEP* **05**
569 (2023) 007, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2023)007, arXiv:2205.00080.
- 570 [56] N. Abbasi, D. Allahbakhshi, A. Davody, and S. F. Taghavi, “Standardized cumulants of
571 flow harmonic fluctuations”, *Phys. Rev. C* **98** (2018) 024906,
572 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.98.024906, arXiv:1704.06295.

573 **A Analytic calculation of $v_n\{10\}$ statistical uncertainty**

574 The statistical uncertainty in $v_n\{10\}$ (given by Eq. (11)) can be expressed analytically using the
 575 procedure described in Ref. [40]. Based on the experimental $\langle\langle 2m \rangle\rangle$ azimuthal correlations, the
 576 $v_n\{10\}$ statistical uncertainty is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 s^2[v_n\{10\}]4560^2(v_n\{10\})^{18} = & A^2\sigma_{\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle}^2 + B^2\sigma_{\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle}^2 + C^2\sigma_{\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle}^2 + D^2\sigma_{\langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle}^2 + \sigma_{\langle\langle 10 \rangle\rangle}^2 \\
 & + 2AB\sigma_{\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle} + 2AC\sigma_{\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle} + 2AD\sigma_{\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle} \\
 & + 2A\sigma_{\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 10 \rangle\rangle} + 2BC\sigma_{\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle} + 2BD\sigma_{\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle} \\
 & + 2B\sigma_{\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 10 \rangle\rangle} + 2CD\sigma_{\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle} + 2C\sigma_{\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 10 \rangle\rangle} \\
 & + 2D\sigma_{\langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 10 \rangle\rangle},
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

577 where $\sigma_{\langle\langle 2k \rangle\rangle}^2$, $k = 1, \dots, 5$ and $\sigma_{\langle\langle 2k \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 2l \rangle\rangle}$, with $k = 1, \dots, 4$ and $l = 2, \dots, 5$ are corresponding
 578 variances and covariances, respectively. The coefficients A , B , C , and D are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= 14400\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^4 - 10800\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^2\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle + 800\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle + 900\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle^2 - 25\langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle, \\
 B &= 1800\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle - 3600\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^3 - 100\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle, \\
 C &= 400\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^2 - 100\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle, \quad \text{and} \\
 D &= -25\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

579 **B Central moment expansions of $v_2\{2k\}^2$, $v_2\{2k\}^3$, $v_2\{2k\}^4$, and** 580 **$v_2\{2k\}^5$**

581 To obtain expressions for the hydrodynamic probes and the standardized and corrected mo-
 582 ments in terms of the measured $v_2\{2k\}$ values, it is necessary to express different powers of
 583 the cumulant $v_2\{2k\}$ values in terms of the central moments. This appendix shows the needed
 584 expressions for $v_2\{2k\}^2$, $v_2\{2k\}^3$, $v_2\{2k\}^4$, and $v_2\{2k\}^5$. The expressions only extend to the fifth
 585 central moments.

586 For $v_2\{2k\}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_2\{4\}^2 &\approx \bar{v}_2^2 + (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \frac{2s_{30} + 2s_{12}}{\bar{v}_2} - \frac{\kappa_{40} + 2\kappa_{22} + \kappa_{04}}{2\bar{v}_2^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(s_{30} + s_{12})}{\bar{v}_2^3}, \\
v_2\{6\}^2 &\approx \bar{v}_2^2 + (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \frac{4s_{30} + 6s_{12}}{3\bar{v}_2} + \frac{\kappa_{40} - \kappa_{04}}{2\bar{v}_2^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{p_{50} + 2p_{32} + p_{14}}{2\bar{v}_2^3} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(\frac{2}{3}s_{30} + 4s_{12})}{\bar{v}_2^3}, \\
v_2\{8\}^2 &\approx \bar{v}_2^2 + (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \frac{14s_{30} + 22s_{12}}{11\bar{v}_2} + \frac{\frac{31}{33}\kappa_{40} + \frac{2}{11}\kappa_{22} - \kappa_{04}}{2\bar{v}_2^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{10p_{50} + 28p_{32} + 18p_{14}}{33\bar{v}_2^3} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(6s_{30} + 46s_{12})}{11\bar{v}_2^3}, \quad \text{and} \\
v_2\{10\}^2 &\approx \bar{v}_2^2 + (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \frac{24s_{30} + 38s_{12}}{19\bar{v}_2} + \frac{\frac{53}{19}\kappa_{40} + \frac{12}{19}\kappa_{22} - 3\kappa_{04}}{6\bar{v}_2^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{\frac{163}{30}p_{50} + \frac{47}{3}p_{32} + \frac{21}{2}p_{14}}{19\bar{v}_2^3} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(10s_{30} + 80s_{12})}{19\bar{v}_2^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

587 For $v_2\{2k\}^3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_2\{4\}^3 &\approx \bar{v}_2^3 + \frac{3\bar{v}_2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)}{2} - (3s_{30} + 3s_{12}) - \frac{3\kappa_{40} + 6\kappa_{22} + 3\kappa_{04}}{4\bar{v}_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{9(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{8\bar{v}_2} + \frac{3(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(s_{30} + s_{12})}{2\bar{v}_2^2}, \\
v_2\{6\}^3 &\approx \bar{v}_2^3 + \frac{3\bar{v}_2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)}{2} - (2s_{30} + 3s_{12}) - \frac{-3\kappa_{40} + 3\kappa_{04}}{4\bar{v}_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{9(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{8\bar{v}_2} + \frac{3p_{50} + 6p_{32} + 3p_{14}}{4\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{9(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)s_{12}}{2\bar{v}_2^2}, \\
v_2\{8\}^3 &\approx \bar{v}_2^3 + \frac{3\bar{v}_2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)}{2} - \left(\frac{21}{11}s_{30} + 3s_{12}\right) - \frac{-\frac{31}{11}\kappa_{40} - \frac{6}{11}\kappa_{22} + 3\kappa_{04}}{4\bar{v}_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{9(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{8\bar{v}_2} + \frac{\frac{20}{11}p_{50} + \frac{56}{11}p_{32} + \frac{36}{11}p_{14}}{4\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(\frac{-3}{11}s_{30} + \frac{105}{11}s_{12})}{2\bar{v}_2^2}, \quad \text{and} \\
v_2\{10\}^3 &\approx \bar{v}_2^3 + \frac{3\bar{v}_2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)}{2} - \left(\frac{36}{19}s_{30} + 3s_{12}\right) - \frac{-\frac{53}{19}\kappa_{40} - \frac{12}{19}\kappa_{22} + 3\kappa_{04}}{4\bar{v}_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{9(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2}{8\bar{v}_2} + \frac{\frac{163}{95}p_{50} + \frac{94}{19}p_{32} + \frac{63}{19}p_{14}}{4\bar{v}_2^2} + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(\frac{-6}{19}s_{30} + \frac{183}{19}s_{12})}{2\bar{v}_2^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

588 For $v_2\{2k\}^4$:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_2\{4\}^4 &\approx \bar{v}_2^4 + 2\bar{v}_2^2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - 4\bar{v}_2(s_{30} + s_{12}) - (\kappa_{40} + 2\kappa_{22} + \kappa_{04}) \\
&\quad - (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2, \\
v_2\{6\}^4 &\approx \bar{v}_2^4 + 2\bar{v}_2^2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - 4\bar{v}_2\left(\frac{2}{3}s_{30} + s_{12}\right) + (\kappa_{40} - \kappa_{04}) \\
&\quad - (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2 + \frac{p_{50} + 2p_{32} + p_{14}}{\bar{v}_2} - \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)\left(\frac{4}{3}s_{30} - 4s_{12}\right)}{\bar{v}_2}, \\
v_2\{8\}^4 &\approx \bar{v}_2^4 + 2\bar{v}_2^2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \bar{v}_2\left(\frac{28}{11}s_{30} + 4s_{12}\right) + \left(\frac{31}{30}\kappa_{40} + \frac{2}{11}\kappa_{22} - \kappa_{04}\right) \\
&\quad - (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2 + \frac{\frac{20}{33}p_{50} + \frac{56}{33}p_{32} + \frac{12}{11}p_{14}}{\bar{v}_2} - \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)\left(\frac{16}{11}s_{30} - \frac{48}{11}s_{12}\right)}{\bar{v}_2}, \quad \text{and} \\
v_2\{10\}^4 &\approx \bar{v}_2^4 + 2\bar{v}_2^2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \bar{v}_2\left(\frac{48}{19}s_{30} + 4s_{12}\right) + \left(\frac{53}{57}\kappa_{40} + \frac{4}{19}\kappa_{22} - \kappa_{04}\right) \\
&\quad - (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2 + \frac{\frac{163}{285}p_{50} + \frac{94}{57}p_{32} + \frac{21}{19}p_{14}}{\bar{v}_2} - \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)\left(\frac{28}{19}s_{30} - \frac{84}{19}s_{12}\right)}{\bar{v}_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

589 Finally, for $v_2\{2k\}^5$:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_2\{4\}^5 &\approx \bar{v}_2^5 + \frac{5}{2}\bar{v}_2^3(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \bar{v}_2^2(5s_{30} + 5s_{12}) - \frac{5}{4}\bar{v}_2(\kappa_{40} + 2\kappa_{22} + \kappa_{04}) \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{8}\bar{v}_2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2 - (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)\left(\frac{5}{2}s_{30} + \frac{5}{2}s_{12}\right), \\
v_2\{6\}^5 &\approx \bar{v}_2^5 + \frac{5}{2}\bar{v}_2^3(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \bar{v}_2^2\left(\frac{10}{3}s_{30} + 5s_{12}\right) + \frac{5}{4}\bar{v}_2(\kappa_{40} - \kappa_{04}) \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{8}\bar{v}_2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2 + \frac{5}{4}(p_{50} + 2p_{32} + p_{14}) - (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)\left(\frac{10}{3}s_{30} - \frac{5}{2}s_{12}\right), \\
v_2\{8\}^5 &\approx \bar{v}_2^5 + \frac{5}{2}\bar{v}_2^3(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \bar{v}_2^2\left(\frac{35}{11}s_{30} + 5s_{12}\right) + \bar{v}_2\left(\frac{155}{132}\kappa_{40} + \frac{5}{22}\kappa_{22} - \frac{5}{4}\kappa_{04}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{8}\bar{v}_2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2 + \left(\frac{25}{33}p_{50} + \frac{70}{33}p_{32} + \frac{15}{11}p_{14}\right) - (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)\left(\frac{75}{22}s_{30} - \frac{65}{22}s_{12}\right), \quad \text{and} \\
v_2\{10\}^5 &\approx \bar{v}_2^5 + \frac{5}{2}\bar{v}_2^3(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2) - \bar{v}_2^2\left(\frac{60}{19}s_{30} + 5s_{12}\right) + \bar{v}_2\left(\frac{265}{228}\kappa_{40} + \frac{5}{19}\kappa_{22} - \frac{5}{4}\kappa_{04}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{8}\bar{v}_2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)^2 + \left(\frac{163}{228}p_{50} + \frac{235}{114}p_{32} + \frac{105}{76}p_{14}\right) - (\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)\left(\frac{65}{19}s_{30} - \frac{115}{38}s_{12}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

590 C Expanding the h_1 hydrodynamic probe to include terms up to 591 the fifth central moment

592 The hydrodynamic probe, h_1 , was introduced in Ref. [36], but in this earlier reference its Taylor
593 expansion was only shown to the 3rd moment of the (v_x, v_y) distribution. If none of the terms
594 from Eqs. (14)–(16) are neglected, the right-hand side of Eq. (18) becomes

$$\frac{v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}}{v_2\{4\} - v_2\{6\}} \approx \frac{1}{11} \left(1 - \frac{4\kappa_{40} + \frac{8(p_{50} + p_{32})}{\bar{v}_2}}{2\bar{v}_2 s_{30} + 3(\kappa_{40} + \kappa_{22}) + \frac{3(p_{50} + 2p_{32} + p_{14}) - 2(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)(5s_{30} - 6s_{12})}{2\bar{v}_2}} \right). \tag{C.1}$$

595 Without neglecting any terms in Eq. (B.1), the full relationship corresponding to Eq. (19) is

$$\frac{v_2\{6\} - v_2\{8\}}{v_2\{4\} - v_2\{6\}} \approx \frac{1}{11} - \frac{1}{11} \frac{v_2\{4\}^2 - 12v_2\{6\}^2 + 11v_2\{8\}^2}{v_2\{4\}^2 - v_2\{6\}^2 + \frac{(\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_x^2)s_{30}}{3\bar{v}_2^2}}. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

596 D Validity of the conditions given by Eq. (29)

597 Moments of the elliptic-power distribution are obtained in Ref. [43]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \epsilon_{n,x}^{2k} \epsilon_{n,y}^{2l} \rangle &= X_{2k} {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(k + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha + 1, \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, 1 + l + k + \alpha; \epsilon_0^2\right), \quad \text{and} \\ \langle \epsilon_{n,x}^{2k+1} \epsilon_{n,y}^{2l} \rangle &= \epsilon_0 X_{2k+1} {}_3\tilde{F}_2\left(k + \frac{3}{2}, \alpha + 1, \alpha + \frac{3}{2}; \frac{3}{2}, 2 + l + k + \alpha; \epsilon_0^2\right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1})$$

598 where

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2k} &= \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} (1 - \epsilon_0^2)^{\alpha+1/2} \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \text{and} \\ X_{2k+1} &= \frac{\alpha(1 + 2\alpha)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (1 - \epsilon_0^2)^{\alpha+1/2} \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma\left(k + \frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.2})$$


599 and ${}_3\tilde{F}_2$ is the regularized hypergeometric function. For the case when the ellipticity parameter
600 tends to zero, $\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$, the upper formulas give the following ratios between moments of the
601 initial anisotropy distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \epsilon_{n,x}^1 \epsilon_{n,y}^2 \rangle}{\langle \epsilon_{n,x}^3 \epsilon_{n,y}^0 \rangle} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{3}, \\ \frac{\langle \epsilon_{n,x}^2 \epsilon_{n,y}^2 \rangle}{\langle \epsilon_{n,x}^4 \epsilon_{n,y}^0 \rangle} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{\langle \epsilon_{n,x}^1 \epsilon_{n,y}^4 \rangle}{\langle \epsilon_{n,x}^5 \epsilon_{n,y}^0 \rangle} &= \frac{\langle \epsilon_{n,x}^3 \epsilon_{n,y}^2 \rangle}{\langle \epsilon_{n,x}^5 \epsilon_{n,y}^0 \rangle} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{5}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.3})$$




















602 By assuming linear response between the flow and the initial anisotropy, the relations given
603 by Eq. (D.3) are also well satisfied for the corresponding moments of the v_n distribution. Fur-
604 thermore, these relations are considerably transferred to relations between the corresponding
605 central moments of the v_n distribution given by Eq. (29). We tested these conditions numer-
606 ically and found that they are satisfied to a reasonable degree in the range of the eccentricity
607 parameter $\epsilon_0 \leq 0.15$.

E The CMS Collaboration




609 Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

610 A. Tumasyan 













611 Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

612 W. Adam , J.W. Andrejkovic, T. Bergauer , S. Chatterjee , K. Damanakis , M. Dragicevic , A. Escalante Del Valle , P.S. Hussain , M. Jeitler¹ , N. Krammer , L. Lechner ,
614 D. Liko , I. Mikulec , P. Paulitsch, F.M. Pitters, J. Schieck¹ , R. Schöfbeck , D. Schwarz ,
615 M. Sonawane , S. Templ , W. Waltenberger , C.-E. Wulz¹ 
















616 Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

617 M.R. Darwish² , T. Janssen , T. Kello³, H. Rejeb Sfar, P. Van Mechelen 

618 Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

619 E.S. Bols , J. D'Hondt , A. De Moor , M. Delcourt , H. El Faham , S. Lowette ,
620 S. Moortgat , A. Morton , D. Müller , A.R. Sahasransu , S. Tavernier , W. Van Doninck,
621 D. Vannerom 
















622 Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

623 B. Clerbaux , G. De Lentdecker , L. Favart , D. Hohov , J. Jaramillo , K. Lee ,
624 M. Mahdavihorrani , I. Makarenko , A. Malara , S. Paredes , L. Pétré , N. Postiau,
625 L. Thomas , M. Vanden Bemden , C. Vander Velde , P. Vanlaer 






626 Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

627 D. Dobur , J. Knolle , L. Lambrecht , G. Mestdach, C. Rendón, A. Samalan, K. Skovpen ,
628 M. Tytgat , N. Van Den Bossche , B. Vermassen, L. Wezenbeek 





















629 Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

630 A. Benecke , G. Bruno , F. Bury , C. Caputo , P. David , C. Delaere , I.S. Donertas ,
631 A. Giammanco , K. Jaffel , Sa. Jain , V. Lemaitre, K. Mondal , A. Taliercio , T.T. Tran ,
632 P. Vischia , S. Wertz 








633 Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

634 G.A. Alves , E. Coelho , C. Hensel , A. Moraes , P. Rebello Teles 








635 Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

636 W.L. Aldá Júnior , M. Alves Gallo Pereira , M. Barroso Ferreira Filho , H. Brandao Malbouisson , W. Carvalho , J. Chinellato⁴, E.M. Da Costa , G.G. Da Silveira⁵ ,
638 D. De Jesus Damiao , V. Dos Santos Sousa , S. Fonseca De Souza , J. Martins⁶ ,
639 C. Mora Herrera , K. Mota Amarilo , L. Mundim , H. Nogima , A. Santoro ,
640 S.M. Silva Do Amaral , A. Sznajder , M. Thiel , A. Vilela Pereira 

641 Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

642 C.A. Bernardes⁵ , L. Calligaris , T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei , E.M. Gregores ,
643 P.G. Mercadante , S.F. Novaes , Sandra S. Padula 

644 Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

646 A. Aleksandrov , G. Antchev , R. Hadjiiska , P. Iaydjiev , M. Misheva , M. Rodozov,
647 M. Shopova , G. Sultanov 

648 University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

649 A. Dimitrov , T. Ivanov , L. Litov , B. Pavlov , P. Petkov , A. Petrov , E. Shumka 





650 Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

651 S. Thakur 







652 **Beihang University, Beijing, China**


653 T. Cheng , T. Javaid⁷ , M. Mittal , L. Yuan 

654 **Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China**

655 M. Ahmad , G. Bauer⁸, Z. Hu , S. Lezki , K. Yi^{8,9} 

656 **Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China**

657 G.M. Chen⁷ , H.S. Chen⁷ , M. Chen⁷ , F. Iemmi , C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor , H. Liao , Z.-

658 A. Liu¹⁰ , V. Milosevic , F. Monti , R. Sharma , J. Tao , J. Thomas-Wilsker , J. Wang ,

659 H. Zhang , J. Zhao 

660 **State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China**

661 A. Agapitos , Y. An , Y. Ban , A. Levin , C. Li , Q. Li , X. Lyu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian ,

662 X. Sun , D. Wang , J. Xiao , H. Yang




663 **Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China**

664 M. Lu , Z. You 




665 **University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China**

666 N. Lu 





667 **Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam
668 Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China**

669 X. Gao³ , D. Leggat, H. Okawa , Y. Zhang 

670 **Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China**

671 Z. Lin , C. Lu , M. Xiao 


672 **Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia**

673 C. Avila , D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera , C. Florez , J. Fraga 



674 **Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia**

675 J. Mejia Guisao , F. Ramirez , M. Rodriguez , J.D. Ruiz Alvarez 

676 **University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval
677 Architecture, Split, Croatia**



678 D. Giljanovic , N. Godinovic , D. Lelas , I. Puljak 

679 **University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia**

680 Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac , T. Sculac 

681 **Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia**

682 V. Brigljevic , B.K. Chitroda , D. Ferencek , S. Mishra , M. Roguljic ,




683 A. Starodumov¹¹ , T. Susa 

684 **University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus**

685 A. Attikis , K. Christoforou , M. Kolosova , S. Konstantinou , J. Mousa , C. Nicolaou,

686 F. Ptochos , P.A. Razis , H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka , A. Stepennov 

687 **Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic**

688 M. Finger , M. Finger Jr. , A. Kveton 

689 **Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador**

690 E. Ayala 

691 **Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador**

692 E. Carrera Jarrin 











693 **Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian**
694 **Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt**

695 S. Elgammal¹², A. Ellithi Kamel¹³

696 **Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt**

697 M.A. Mahmoud , Y. Mohammed 

















698 **National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia**

699 S. Bhowmik , R.K. Dewanjee , K. Ehataht , M. Kadastik, T. Lange , S. Nandan ,
700 C. Nielsen , J. Pata , M. Raidal , L. Tani , C. Veelken 

701 **Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland**

702 P. Eerola , H. Kirschenmann , K. Osterberg , M. Voutilainen 
















703 **Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland**

704 S. Bharthuar , E. Brücken , F. Garcia , J. Havukainen , M.S. Kim , R. Kinnunen,
705 T. Lampén , K. Lassila-Perini , S. Lehti , T. Lindén , M. Lotti, L. Martikainen ,
706 M. Myllymäki , J. Ott , M.m. Rantanen , H. Siikonen , E. Tuominen , J. Tuominiemi 





























707 **Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland**

708 P. Luukka , H. Petrow , T. Tuuva












709 **IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France**

710 C. Amendola , M. Besancon , F. Couderc , M. Dejardin , D. Denegri, J.L. Faure,
711 F. Ferri , S. Ganjour , P. Gras , G. Hamel de Monchenault , V. Lohezic , J. Malcles ,
712 J. Rander, A. Rosowsky , M.Ö. Sahin , A. Savoy-Navarro¹⁴ , P. Simkina , M. Titov 


















713 **Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique**
714 **de Paris, Palaiseau, France**

715 C. Baldenegro Barrera , F. Beaudette , A. Buchot Perraguin , P. Busson , A. Cappati ,
716 C. Charlot , F. Damas , O. Davignon , B. Diab , G. Falmagne , B.A. Fontana Santos
717 Alves , S. Ghosh , R. Granier de Cassagnac , A. Hakimi , B. Harikrishnan ,
718 G. Liu , J. Motta , M. Nguyen , C. Ochando , L. Portales , R. Salerno , U. Sarkar ,
719 J.B. Sauvan , Y. Sirois , A. Tarabini , E. Vernazza , A. Zabi , A. Zghiche 




720 **Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France**

721 J.-L. Agram¹⁵ , J. Andrea , D. Apparú , D. Bloch , G. Bourgatte , J.-M. Brom ,
722 E.C. Chabert , C. Collard , D. Darej, U. Goerlach , C. Grimault, A.-C. Le Bihan ,
723 P. Van Hove 


724 **Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France**

725 S. Beauceron , B. Blancon , G. Boudoul , A. Carle, N. Chanon , J. Choi , D. Contardo ,
726 P. Depasse , C. Dozen¹⁶ , H. El Mamouni, J. Fay , S. Gascon , M. Gouzevitch ,
727 G. Grenier , B. Ille , I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier , L. Mirabito, S. Perries, L. Torterotot ,
728 M. Vander Donckt , P. Verdier , S. Viret



























729 **Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia**

730 D. Chokheli , I. Lomidze , Z. Tsamalaidze¹¹ 

731 **RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany**

732 V. Botta , L. Feld , K. Klein , M. Lipinski , D. Meuser , A. Pauls , N. Röwert ,
733 M. Teroerde 









































































734 **RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany**

735 S. Diekmann , A. Dodonova , N. Eich , D. Eliseev , M. Erdmann , P. Fackeldey ,
 736 D. Fasanella , B. Fischer , T. Hebbeker , K. Hoepfner , F. Ivone , M.y. Lee ,
 737 L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer , A. Meyer , S. Mondal , S. Mukherjee , D. Noll ,
 738 A. Novak , F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov , Y. Rath, W. Redjeb , H. Reithler ,
 739 A. Schmidt , S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma , A. Stein , F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo¹⁷ ,
 740 L. Vigilante, S. Wiedenbeck , S. Zaleski








































741 **RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany**

742 C. Dziwok , G. Flügge , W. Haj Ahmad¹⁸ , O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress , A. Nowack ,
 743 O. Pooth , A. Stahl , T. Ziemons , A. Zotz 
































744 **Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany**

745 H. Aarup Petersen , M. Aldaya Martin , P. Asmuss, S. Baxter , M. Bayatmakou ,
 746 O. Behnke , A. Bermúdez Martínez , S. Bhattacharya , A.A. Bin Anuar , F. Blekman¹⁹ ,
 747 K. Borrás²⁰ , D. Brunner , A. Campbell , A. Cardini , C. Cheng, F. Colombina ,
 748 S. Consuegra Rodríguez , G. Correia Silva , M. De Silva , L. Didukh , G. Eckerlin,
 749 D. Eckstein , L.I. Estevez Banos , O. Filatov , E. Gallo¹⁹ , A. Geiser , A. Giraldi ,
 750 G. Greau, A. Grohsjean , V. Guglielmi , M. Guthoff , A. Jafari²¹ , N.Z. Jomhari ,
 751 B. Kaech , M. Kasemann , H. Kaveh , C. Kleinwort , R. Kogler , M. Komm ,
 752 D. Krücker , W. Lange, D. Leyva Pernia , K. Lipka²² , W. Lohmann²³ , R. Mankel ,
 753 I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann , M. Mendizabal Morentin , J. Metwally, A.B. Meyer , G. Milella ,
 754 M. Mormile , A. Mussgiller , A. Nürnberg , Y. Otariid, D. Pérez Adán , A. Raspereza ,
 755 B. Ribeiro Lopes , J. Rübenach, A. Saggio , A. Saibel , M. Savitskyi , M. Scham^{24,20} ,
 756 V. Scheurer, S. Schnake²⁰ , P. Schütze , C. Schwanenberger¹⁹ , M. Shchedrolosiev ,
 757 R.E. Sosa Ricardo , D. Stafford, N. Tonon[†] , M. Van De Klundert , F. Vazzoler ,
 758 A. Ventura Barroso , R. Walsh , D. Walter , Q. Wang , Y. Wen , K. Wichmann,
 759 L. Wiens²⁰ , C. Wissing , S. Wuchterl , Y. Yang , A. Zimmermann Castro Santos 

760 **University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany**

761 A. Albrecht , S. Albrecht , M. Antonello , S. Bein , L. Benato , M. Bonanomi ,
 762 P. Connor , K. De Leo , M. Eich, K. El Morabit , F. Feindt, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers ,
 763 E. Garutti , M. Hajheidari, J. Haller , A. Hinzmann , H.R. Jabusch , G. Kasiyczka ,
 764 P. Keicher, R. Klanner , W. Korcari , T. Kramer , V. Kutzner , F. Labe , J. Lange ,
 765 A. Lobanov , C. Matthies , A. Mehta , L. Moureaux , M. Mrowietz, A. Nigamova ,
 766 Y. Nissan, A. Paasch , K.J. Pena Rodriguez , T. Quadfasel , M. Rieger , O. Rieger,
 767 D. Savoii , J. Schindler , P. Schleper , M. Schröder , J. Schwandt , M. Sommerhalder ,
 768 H. Stadie , G. Steinbrück , A. Tews, M. Wolf 








769 **Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany**

770 S. Brommer , M. Burkart, E. Butz , R. Caspart , T. Chwalek , A. Dierlamm ,
 771 A. Droll, N. Faltermann , M. Giffels , J.O. Gosewisch, A. Gottmann , F. Hartmann²⁵ ,
 772 M. Horzela , U. Husemann , M. Klute , R. Koppenhöfer , M. Link, A. Lintuluoto ,
 773 S. Maier , S. Mitra , Th. Müller , M. Neukum, M. Oh , G. Quast , K. Rabbertz ,
 774 J. Rauser, M. Schnepf, I. Shvetsov , H.J. Simonis , N. Trevisani , R. Ulrich , J. van der Lin-
 775 den , R.F. Von Cube , M. Wassmer , S. Wieland , R. Wolf , S. Wozniewski , S. Wunsch,
 776 X. Zuo 




777 **Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi,
 778 Greece**

779 G. Anagnostou, P. Assiouras , G. Daskalakis , A. Kyriakis, A. Stakia 

780 **National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece**

781 M. Diamantopoulou, D. Karasavvas, P. Kontaxakis , A. Manousakis-Katsikakis , A. Pana-
782 giotou, I. Papavergou , N. Saoulidou , K. Theofilatos , E. Tziaferi , K. Vellidis ,
783 I. Zisopoulos 






784 **National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece**

785 G. Bakas , T. Chatzistavrou, K. Kousouris , I. Papakrivopoulos , G. Tsipolitis,
786 A. Zacharopoulou

787 **University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece**

788 K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou , C. Foudas, P. Gianneios , C. Kamtsikis,
789 P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas , P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou , N. Manthos , I. Papadopoulos ,
790 J. Strologas 

791 **HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary**

792 M. Bartók²⁶ , G. Bencze, C. Hajdu , D. Horvath^{27,28} , F. Sikler , V. Veszpremi 


793 **MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University,
794 Budapest, Hungary**

795 M. Csanád , K. Farkas , M.M.A. Gadallah²⁹ , S. Lökös³⁰ , P. Major , K. Mandal ,
796 G. Pásztor , A.J. Rádl³¹ , O. Surányi , G.I. Veres 

797 **Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary**

798 N. Beni , S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²⁶ , J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi, D. Teyssier 


















799 **Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary**

800 P. Raics, B. Ujvari³² 

801 **Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary**

802 T. Csorgo³¹ , F. Nemes³¹ , T. Novak 

803 **Panjab University, Chandigarh, India**

804 J. Babbar , S. Bansal , S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar , G. Chaudhary , S. Chauhan ,
805 N. Dhingra³³ , R. Gupta, A. Kaur , A. Kaur , H. Kaur , M. Kaur , S. Kumar ,
806 P. Kumari , M. Meena , K. Sandeep , T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh³⁴ , A. Singla ,
807 A. K. Viridi 

808 **University of Delhi, Delhi, India**

809 A. Ahmed , A. Bhardwaj , A. Chhetri , B.C. Choudhary , A. Kumar , M. Naimud-
810 din , K. Ranjan , S. Saumya 


811 **Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India**

812 S. Baradia , S. Barman³⁵ , S. Bhattacharya , D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta , S. Dutta,
813 B. Gomber³⁶ , M. Maity³⁵, P. Palit , G. Saha , B. Sahu , S. Sarkar




814 **Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India**

815 P.K. Behera , S.C. Behera , S. Chatterjee , P. Kalbhor , J.R. Komaragiri³⁷ ,
816 D. Kumar³⁷ , A. Muhammad , L. Panwar³⁷ , R. Pradhan , P.R. Pujahari , N.R. Saha ,
817 A. Sharma , A.K. Sikdar , S. Verma 

818 **Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India**

819 K. Naskar³⁸ 






820 **Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India**

821 T. Aziz, I. Das , S. Dugad, M. Kumar , G.B. Mohanty , P. Suryadevara

822 **Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India**

823 S. Banerjee , R. Chudasama , M. Guchait , S. Karmakar , S. Kumar , G. Majumder ,
824 K. Mazumdar , S. Mukherjee , A. Thachayath 

825 **National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National**
826 **Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India**

827 S. Bahinipati³⁹ , A.K. Das , C. Kar , P. Mal , T. Mishra , V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu⁴⁰ , A. Nayak⁴⁰ , P. Saha , S.K. Swain , D. Vats⁴⁰ 

829 **Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India**

830 A. Alpana , S. Dube , B. Kansal , A. Laha , S. Pandey , A. Rastogi , S. Sharma 

831 **Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran**

832 H. Bakhshiansohi^{41,42} , E. Khazaie⁴² , M. Zeinali⁴³ 
































833 **Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran**

834 S. Chenarani⁴⁴ , S.M. Etesami , M. Khakzad , M. Mohammadi Najafabadi 




























835 **University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland**

836 M. Grunewald 

837 **INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy**

838 M. Abbrescia^{a,b} , R. Aly^{a,b,45} , C. Aruta^{a,b} , A. Colaleo^a , D. Creanza^{a,c} ,
839 N. De Filippis^{a,c} , M. De Palma^{a,b} , A. Di Florio^{a,b} , W. Elmetenawee^{a,b} ,
840 F. Errico^{a,b} , L. Fiore^a , G. Iaselli^{a,c} , G. Maggi^{a,c} , M. Maggi^a , I. Margjeka^{a,b} ,
841 V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b} , S. My^{a,b} , S. Nuzzo^{a,b} , A. Pellecchia^{a,b} , A. Pompili^{a,b} ,
842 G. Pugliese^{a,c} , R. Radogna^a , D. Ramos^a , A. Ranieri^a , G. Selvaggi^{a,b} ,
843 L. Silvestris^a , F.M. Simone^{a,b} , Ü. Sözbilir^a , A. Stamerra^a , R. Venditti^a ,
844 P. Verwilligen^a 


















845 **INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy**

846 G. Abbiendi^a , C. Battilana^{a,b} , D. Bonacorsi^{a,b} , L. Borgonovi^a , L. Brigliadori^a,
847 R. Campanini^{a,b} , P. Capiluppi^{a,b} , A. Castro^{a,b} , F.R. Cavallo^a , M. Cuffiani^{a,b} ,
848 G.M. Dallavalle^a , T. Diotallevi^{a,b} , F. Fabbri^a , A. Fanfani^{a,b} , P. Giacomelli^a ,
849 L. Giommi^{a,b} , C. Grandi^a , L. Guiducci^{a,b} , S. Lo Meo^{a,46} , L. Lunerti^{a,b} ,
850 S. Marcellini^a , G. Masetti^a , F.L. Navarria^{a,b} , A. Perrotta^a , F. Primavera^{a,b} ,
851 A.M. Rossi^{a,b} , T. Rovelli^{a,b} , G.P. Siroli^{a,b} 

852 **INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy**

853 S. Costa^{a,b,47} , A. Di Mattia^a , R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b,47} , C. Tuve^{a,b} 

854 **INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy**

855 G. Barbagli^a , G. Bardelli^{a,b} , B. Camaiani^{a,b} , A. Cassese^a , R. Ceccarelli^{a,b} ,
856 V. Ciulli^{a,b} , C. Civinini^a , R. D'Alessandro^{a,b} , E. Focardi^{a,b} , G. Latino^{a,b} ,
857 P. Lenzi^{a,b} , M. Lizzo^{a,b} , M. Meschini^a , S. Paoletti^a , R. Seidita^{a,b} , G. Sguazzoni^a ,
858 L. Viliani^a 

859 **INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy**




860 L. Benussi , S. Bianco , S. Meola⁴⁸ , D. Piccolo 

861 **INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy**










862 M. Bozzo^{a,b} , P. Chatagnon^a , F. Ferro^a , E. Robutti^a , S. Tosi^{a,b} 

863 **INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy**
























864 A. Benaglia^a , G. Boldrini^a , F. Brivio^{a,b} , F. Cetorelli^{a,b} , F. De Guio^{a,b} ,
865 M.E. Dinardo^{a,b} , P. Dini^a , S. Gennai^a , A. Ghezzi^{a,b} , P. Govoni^{a,b} , L. Guzzi^{a,b} 

866 M.T. Lucchini^{a,b} , M. Malberti^a , S. Malvezzi^a , A. Massironi^a , D. Menasce^a ,
867 L. Moroni^a , M. Paganoni^{a,b} , D. Pedrini^a , B.S. Pinolini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b} , N. Redaelli^a ,
868 T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} , D. Zuolo^{a,b} 



869 **INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy; Università della**
870 **Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy; Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM)^d, Napoli, Italy**

871 S. Buontempo^a , F. Carnevali^{a,b}, N. Cavallo^{a,c} , A. De Iorio^{a,b} , F. Fabozzi^{a,c} ,
872 A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b} , L. Lista^{a,b,49} , P. Paolucci^{a,25} , B. Rossi^a , C. Sciacca^{a,b} 















873 **INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy; Università di Trento^c,**
874 **Trento, Italy**

875 P. Azzi^a , N. Bacchetta^{a,50} , P. Bortignon^a , A. Bragagnolo^{a,b} , R. Carlin^{a,b} ,
876 P. Checchia^a , T. Dorigo^a , F. Gasparini^{a,b} , U. Gasparini^{a,b} , G. Grosso^a,
877 L. Layer^{a,51}, E. Lusiani^a , M. Margoni^{a,b} , A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b} , M. Michelotto^a ,
878 F. Montecassiano^a , J. Pazzini^{a,b} , P. Ronchese^{a,b} , R. Rossin^{a,b} , F. Simonetto^{a,b} ,
879 G. Strong^a , M. Tosi^{a,b} , H. Yarar^{a,b}, M. Zanetti^{a,b} , P. Zotto^{a,b} , A. Zucchetta^{a,b} 




































880 **INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy**

881 S. Abu Zeid^{a,52} , C. Aimè^{a,b} , A. Braghieri^a , S. Calzaferri^{a,b} , D. Fiorina^{a,b} ,
882 P. Montagna^{a,b} , V. Re^a , C. Riccardi^{a,b} , P. Salvini^a , I. Vai^a , P. Vitulo^{a,b} 


















883 **INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy**

884 P. Asenov^{a,53} , G.M. Bilei^a , D. Ciangottini^{a,b} , L. Fanò^{a,b} , M. Magherini^{a,b} ,
885 G. Mantovani^{a,b}, V. Mariani^{a,b} , M. Menichelli^a , F. Moscatelli^{a,53} , A. Piccinelli^{a,b} ,
886 M. Presilla^{a,b} , A. Rossi^{a,b} , A. Santocchia^{a,b} , D. Spiga^a , T. Tedeschi^{a,b} 







































887 **INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy;**
888 **Università di Siena^d, Siena, Italy**

889 P. Azzurri^a , G. Bagliesi^a , V. Bertacchi^{a,c} , R. Bhattacharya^a , L. Bianchini^{a,b} ,
890 T. Boccali^a , E. Bossini^{a,b} , D. Bruschini^{a,c} , R. Castaldi^a , M.A. Ciocci^{a,b} ,
891 V. D'Amante^{a,d} , R. Dell'Orso^a , M.R. Di Domenico^{a,d} , S. Donato^a , A. Giassi^a ,
892 F. Ligabue^{a,c} , G. Mandorli^{a,c} , D. Matos Figueiredo^a , A. Messineo^{a,b} , M. Musich^{a,b} ,
893 F. Palla^a , S. Parolia^a , G. Ramirez-Sanchez^{a,c} , A. Rizzi^{a,b} , G. Rolandi^{a,c} ,
894 S. Roy Chowdhury^a , T. Sarkar^a , A. Scribano^a , N. Shafiei^{a,b} , P. Spagnolo^a ,
895 R. Tenchini^a , G. Tonelli^{a,b} , N. Turini^{a,d} , A. Venturi^a , P.G. Verdini^a 

896 **INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Roma, Italy**





































































































897 P. Barria^a , M. Campana^{a,b} , F. Cavallari^a , D. Del Re^{a,b} , E. Di Marco^a ,
898 M. Diemoz^a , E. Longo^{a,b} , P. Meridiani^a , G. Organtini^{a,b} , F. Pandolfi^a ,
899 R. Paramatti^{a,b} , C. Quaranta^{a,b} , S. Rahatlou^{a,b} , C. Rovelli^a , F. Santanastasio^{a,b} ,
900 L. Soffi^a , R. Tramontano^{a,b} 

901 **INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte**
902 **Orientale^c, Novara, Italy**

903 N. Amapane^{a,b} , R. Arcidiacono^{a,c} , S. Argiro^{a,b} , M. Arneodo^{a,c} , N. Bartosik^a ,
904 R. Bellan^{a,b} , A. Bellora^{a,b} , C. Biino^a , N. Cartiglia^a , M. Costa^{a,b} , R. Covarelli^{a,b} ,
905 N. Demaria^a , M. Grippo^{a,b} , B. Kiani^{a,b} , F. Legger^a , C. Mariotti^a , S. Maselli^a ,
906 A. Mecca^{a,b} , E. Migliore^{a,b} , E. Monteil^{a,b} , M. Monteno^a , R. Mulargia^a ,
907 M.M. Obertino^{a,b} , G. Ortona^a , L. Pacher^{a,b} , N. Pastrone^a , M. Pelliccioni^a ,
908 M. Ruspa^{a,c} , K. Shchelina^a , F. Siviero^{a,b} , V. Sola^{a,b} , A. Solano^{a,b} , D. Soldi^{a,b} ,
909 A. Staiano^a , M. Tornago^{a,b} , D. Trocino^a , G. Umoret^{a,b} , A. Vagnerini^{a,b} 

910 **INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy**

- 911 S. Belforte^a , V. Candelise^{a,b} , M. Casarsa^a , F. Cossutti^a , A. Da Rold^{a,b} ,
912 G. Della Ricca^{a,b} , G. Sorrentino^{a,b} 
- 913 **Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea**
914 S. Dogra , C. Huh , B. Kim , D.H. Kim , G.N. Kim , J. Kim , J. Lee , S.W. Lee ,
915 C.S. Moon , Y.D. Oh , S.I. Pak , M.S. Ryu , S. Sekmen , Y.C. Yang 
- 916 **Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea**
917 **Korea**
918 H. Kim , D.H. Moon 
- 919 **Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea**
920 E. Asilar , T.J. Kim , J. Park 
- 921 **Korea University, Seoul, Korea**
922 S. Choi , S. Han , B. Hong , K. Lee , K.S. Lee , J. Lim , J. Park , S.K. Park , J. Yoo 
- 923 **Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea**
924 J. Goh 
- 925 **Sejong University, Seoul, Korea**
926 H. S. Kim , Y. Kim , S. Lee 
- 927 **Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea**
928 J. Almond , J.H. Bhyun , J. Choi , S. Jeon , J. Kim , J.S. Kim , S. Ko , H. Kwon , H. Lee ,
929 S. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , H. Seo , U.K. Yang , I. Yoon 
- 930 **University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea**
931 W. Jang , D.Y. Kang , Y. Kang , D. Kim , S. Kim , B. Ko , J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , J.A. Merlin ,
932 I.C. Park , Y. Roh , D. Song , I.J. Watson , S. Yang 
- 933 **Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea**
934 S. Ha , H.D. Yoo 
- 935 **Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea**
936 M. Choi , M.R. Kim , H. Lee , Y. Lee , Y. Lee , I. Yu 
- 937 **College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait**
938 **Dasman, Kuwait**
939 T. Beyrouthy , Y. Maghrbi 
- 940 **Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia**
941 K. Dreimanis , G. Pikurs , A. Potrebko , M. Seidel , V. Veckalns⁵⁴ 
- 942 **Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania**
943 M. Ambrozaitis , A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira , A. Juodagalvis , A. Rinkevicius ,
944 G. Tamulaitis 
- 945 **National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia**
946 N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , S.Y. Hoh⁵⁵ , I. Yusuff⁵⁵ , Z. Zolkapli 
- 947 **Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico**
948 J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , H.A. Encinas Acosta , L.G. Gallegos Maríñez ,
949 M. León Coello , J.A. Murillo Quijada , A. Sehrawat , L. Valencia Palomo 
- 950 **Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico**
951 G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , I. Heredia-De La Cruz⁵⁶ , R. Lopez-Fernandez 

- 952 C.A. Mondragon Herrera, D.A. Perez Navarro , A. Sánchez Hernández 
- 953 **Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**
- 954 C. Oropeza Barrera , F. Vazquez Valencia 
- 955 **Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico**
- 956 I. Pedraza , H.A. Salazar Ibarguen , C. Uribe Estrada 
- 957 **University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro**
- 958 I. Bubanja, J. Mijuskovic⁵⁷ , N. Raicevic 
- 959 **National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan**
- 960 A. Ahmad , M.I. Asghar, A. Awais , M.I.M. Awan, M. Gul , H.R. Hoorani ,
- 961 W.A. Khan , M. Shoaib , M. Waqas 
- 962 **AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland**
- 963 V. Avati, L. Grzanka , M. Malawski 
- 965 **National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland**
- 966 H. Bialkowska , M. Bluj , B. Boimska , M. Górski , M. Kazana , M. Szeleper ,
- 967 P. Zalewski 
- 968 **Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland**
- 969 K. Bunkowski , K. Doroba , A. Kalinowski , M. Konecki , J. Krolikowski 
- 970 **Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal**
- 971 M. Araujo , P. Bargassa , D. Bastos , A. Boletti , P. Faccioli , M. Gallinaro , J. Hollar ,
- 972 N. Leonardo , T. Niknejad , M. Pisano , J. Seixas , J. Varela 
- 973 **VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia**
- 974 P. Adzic⁵⁸ , M. Dordevic , P. Milenovic , J. Milosevic , L. Nadder 
- 975 **Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain**
- 976 M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre , A. Álvarez Fernández , M. Barrio Luna,
- 978 Cristina F. Bedoya , C.A. Carrillo Montoya , M. Cepeda , M. Cerrada , N. Colino ,
- 979 B. De La Cruz , A. Delgado Peris , D. Fernández Del Val , J.P. Fernández Ramos ,
- 980 J. Flix , M.C. Fouz , O. Gonzalez Lopez , S. Goy Lopez , J.M. Hernandez ,
- 981 M.I. Josa , J. León Holgado , D. Moran , C. Perez Dengra , A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo ,
- 982 J. Puerta Pelayo , I. Redondo , D.D. Redondo Ferrero , L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas ,
- 983 J. Sastre , L. Urda Gómez , J. Vazquez Escobar , C. Willmott
- 984 **Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain**
- 985 J.F. de Trocóniz 
- 986 **Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain**
- 987 B. Alvarez Gonzalez , J. Cuevas , J. Fernandez Menendez , S. Folgueras , I. Gonzalez Caballero ,
- 989 J.R. González Fernández , E. Palencia Cortezon , C. Ramón Álvarez ,
- 990 V. Rodríguez Bouza , A. Soto Rodríguez , A. Trapote , C. Vico Villalba 
- 991 **Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain**
- 992 J.A. Brochero Cifuentes , I.J. Cabrillo , A. Calderon , J. Duarte Campderros , M. Fernandez ,
- 993 C. Fernandez Madrazo , A. García Alonso, G. Gomez , C. Lasiosa García ,
- 994 C. Martinez Rivero , P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol , F. Matorras , P. Matorras Cuevas 

995 J. Piedra Gomez , C. Prieels, L. Scodellaro , I. Vila , J.M. Vizan Garcia 

996 **University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka**

997 M.K. Jayananda , B. Kailasapathy⁵⁹ , D.U.J. Sonnadara , D.D.C. Wickramarathna 

998 **University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka**

999 W.G.D. Dharmaratna , K. Liyanage , N. Perera , N. Wickramage 





































1000 **CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland**

1001 D. Abbaneo , J. Alimena , E. Auffray , G. Auzinger , J. Baechler, P. Baillon[†],
1002 D. Barney , J. Bendavid , M. Bianco , B. Bilin , A. Bocci , E. Brondolin , C. Caillol ,
1003 T. Camporesi , G. Cerminara , N. Chernyavskaya , S.S. Chhibra , S. Choudhury,
1004 M. Cipriani , L. Cristella , D. d'Enterria , A. Dabrowski , A. David , A. De Roeck ,
1005 M.M. Defranchis , M. Deile , M. Dobson , M. Dünser , N. Dupont, F. Fallavollita⁶⁰,
1006 A. Florent , L. Forthomme , G. Franzoni , W. Funk , S. Ghosh , S. Giani, D. Gigi,
1007 K. Gill , F. Glege , L. Gouskos , E. Govorkova , M. Haranko , J. Hegeman ,
1008 V. Innocente , T. James , P. Janot , J. Kaspar , J. Kieseler , N. Kratochwil ,
1009 S. Laurila , P. Lecoq , E. Leutgeb , C. Lourenço , B. Maier , L. Malgeri ,
1010 M. Mannelli , A.C. Marini , F. Meijers , S. Mersi , E. Meschi , F. Moortgat ,
1011 M. Mulders , S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo , E. Perez, M. Peruzzi , A. Petrilli ,
1012 G. Petrucciani , A. Pfeiffer , M. Pierini , D. Piparo , M. Pitt , H. Qu , T. Quast,
1013 D. Rabady , A. Racz, G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Rovere , H. Sakulin , J. Salfeld-Nebgen ,
1014 S. Scarfi , M. Selvaggi , A. Sharma , P. Silva , P. Sphicas⁶¹ , A.G. Stahl Leiton ,
1015 S. Summers , K. Tatar , V.R. Tavolaro , D. Treille , P. Tropea , A. Tsirou, J. Wanczyk⁶² ,
1016 K.A. Wozniak , W.D. Zeuner
























1017 **Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland**

1018 L. Caminada⁶³ , A. Ebrahimi , W. Erdmann , R. Horisberger , Q. Ingram ,
1019 H.C. Kaestli , D. Kotlinski , C. Lange , M. Missiroli⁶³ , L. Noehte⁶³ , T. Rohe 

1020 **ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland**

1021 T.K. Aarrestad , K. Androsov⁶² , M. Backhaus , P. Berger, A. Calandri , K. Datta ,
1022 A. De Cosa , G. Dissertori , M. Dittmar, M. Donegà , F. Eble , M. Galli , K. Gedia ,
1023 F. Glessgen , T.A. Gómez Espinosa , C. Grab , D. Hits , W. Lustermann , A.-M. Lyon ,
1024 R.A. Manzoni , L. Marchese , C. Martin Perez , A. Mascellani⁶² , F. Nessi-Tedaldi ,
1025 J. Niedziela , F. Pauss , V. Perovic , S. Pigazzini , M.G. Ratti , M. Reichmann ,
1026 C. Reissel , T. Reitenspiess , B. Ristic , F. Riti , D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra ,
1027 J. Steggemann⁶² , D. Valsecchi²⁵ , R. Wallny 











1028 **Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland**

1029 C. AMSLER⁶⁴ , P. Bäertschi , C. Botta , D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli , K. Cormier ,
1030 A. De Wit , R. Del Burgo, J.K. Heikkilä , M. Huwiler , W. Jin , A. Jofre-
1031 hei , B. Kilminster , S. Leontsinis , S.P. Liechi , A. Macchiolo , P. Meiring ,
1032 V.M. Mikuni , U. Molinatti , I. Neutelings , A. Reimers , P. Robmann, S. Sanchez Cruz ,
1033 K. Schweiger , M. Senger , Y. Takahashi 

1034 **National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan**




1035 C. Adloff⁶⁵, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, P.K. Rout , P.C. Tiwari³⁷ , S.S. Yu 

1036 **National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan**





















1037 L. Ceard, Y. Chao , K.F. Chen , P.s. Chen, H. Cheng , W.-S. Hou , R. Khurana, G. Kole ,
1038 Y.y. Li , R.-S. Lu , E. Paganis , A. Psallidas, A. Steen , H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan , P.r. Yu

1039 **High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,**



1040 **Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand**

1041 C. Asawatangtrakuldee , N. Srimanobhas , V. Wachirapusanand 

1042 **Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey**

1043 D. Agyel , F. Boran , Z.S. Demiroglu , F. Dolek , I. Dumanoglu⁶⁶ , E. Eskut ,
1044 Y. Guler⁶⁷ , E. Gurpinar Guler⁶⁷ , C. Isik , O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu , U. Kiminsu ,
1045 G. Onengut , K. Ozdemir⁶⁸ , A. Polatoz , A.E. Simsek , B. Tali⁶⁹ , U.G. Tok ,
1046 S. Turkcapar , E. Uslan , I.S. Zorbakir 





1047 **Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey**

1048 G. Karapinar⁷⁰, K. Ocalan⁷¹ , M. Yalvac⁷² 



1049 **Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey**

1050 B. Akgun , I.O. Atakisi , E. Gülmez , M. Kaya⁷³ , O. Kaya⁷⁴ , S. Tekten⁷⁵ 


1051 **Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey**

1052 A. Cakir , K. Cankocak⁶⁶ , Y. Komurcu , S. Sen⁶⁶ 

1053 **Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey**

1054 O. Aydilek , S. Cerci⁶⁹ , B. Hacisahinoglu , I. Hos⁷⁶ , B. Isildak⁷⁷ , B. Kaynak ,
1055 S. Ozkorucuklu , C. Simsek , D. Sunar Cerci⁶⁹ 
















1056 **Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine**

1057 B. Grynyov 



















1059 **National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine**

1060 L. Levchuk 



































1061 **University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom**

1062 D. Anthony , E. Bhal , J.J. Brooke , A. Bundock , E. Clement , D. Cussans ,
1063 H. Flacher , M. Glowacki, J. Goldstein , H.F. Heath , L. Kreczko , B. Krikler ,
1064 S. Paramesvaran , S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith , N. Stylianou⁷⁸ , K. Walkingshaw Pass,
1065 R. White 

1066 **Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom**

1067 A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell , A. Belyaev⁷⁹ , C. Brew , R.M. Brown , D.J.A. Cockerill ,
1068 C. Cooke , K.V. Ellis, K. Harder , S. Harper , M.-L. Holmberg⁸⁰ , Sh. Jain , J. Linacre ,
1069 K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold , E. Olaiya, D. Petyt , T. Reis , G. Salvi , T. Schuh,
1070 C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous , I.R. Tomalin , T. Williams 

1071 **Imperial College, London, United Kingdom**

1072 R. Bainbridge , P. Bloch , S. Bonomally, J. Borg , C.E. Brown , O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio,
1073 V. Cepaitis , G.S. Chahal⁸¹ , D. Colling , J.S. Dancu, P. Dauncey , G. Davies , J. Davies,
1074 M. Della Negra , S. Fayer, G. Fedi , G. Hall , M.H. Hassanshahi , A. Howard, G. Iles ,
1075 J. Langford , L. Lyons , A.-M. Magnan , S. Malik, A. Martelli , M. Mieskolainen ,
1076 D.G. Monk , J. Nash⁸² , M. Pesaresi, B.C. Radburn-Smith , D.M. Raymond, A. Richards,
1077 A. Rose , E. Scott , C. Seez , R. Shukla , A. Tapper , K. Uchida , G.P. Uttley ,
1078 L.H. Vage, T. Virdee²⁵ , M. Vojinovic , N. Wardle , S.N. Webb , D. Winterbottom 

1079 **Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom**





1080 K. Coldham, J.E. Cole , A. Khan, P. Kyberd , I.D. Reid 

1081 **Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA**

1082 S. Abdullin , A. Brinkerhoff , B. Caraway , J. Dittmann , K. Hatakeyama , A.R. Kanu-

1083 ganti , B. McMaster , M. Saunders , S. Sawant , C. Sutantawibul , M. Toms ,
1084 J. Wilson 

















1085 **Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA**

1086 R. Bartek , A. Dominguez , R. Uniyal , A.M. Vargas Hernandez 



















1087 **The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA**

1088 S.I. Cooper , D. Di Croce , S.V. Gleyzer , C. Henderson , C.U. Perez , P. Rumerio⁸³ ,
1089 C. West 


















1090 **Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA**

1091 A. Akpınar , A. Albert , D. Arcaro , C. Cosby , Z. Demiragli , C. Erice ,
1092 E. Fontanesi , D. Gastler , S. May , J. Rohlf , K. Salyer , D. Sperka , D. Spitzbart ,
1093 I. Suarez , A. Tsatsos , S. Yuan 















1094 **Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA**

1095 G. Benelli , B. Burkle , X. Coubez²⁰, D. Cutts , M. Hadley , U. Heintz , J.M. Hogan⁸⁴ ,
1096 T. Kwon , G. Landsberg , K.T. Lau , D. Li , J. Luo , M. Narain , N. Pervan ,
1097 S. Sagir⁸⁵ , F. Simpson , E. Usai , W.Y. Wong, X. Yan , D. Yu , W. Zhang

1098 **University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA**

1099 J. Bonilla , C. Brainerd , R. Breedon , M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez , M. Chertok ,
1100 J. Conway , P.T. Cox , R. Erbacher , G. Haza , F. Jensen , O. Kukral , G. Mocellin ,
1101 M. Mulhearn , D. Pellett , B. Regnery , Y. Yao , F. Zhang 
























1102 **University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA**

1103 M. Bachtis , R. Cousins , A. Datta , D. Hamilton , J. Hauser , M. Ignatenko ,
1104 M.A. Iqbal , T. Lam , E. Manca , W.A. Nash , S. Regnard , D. Saltzberg , B. Stone ,
1105 V. Valuev 





















1106 **University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA**

1107 R. Clare , J.W. Gary , M. Gordon, G. Hanson , G. Karapostoli , O.R. Long ,
1108 N. Manganelli , W. Si , S. Wimpenny 

1109 **University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA**

1110 J.G. Branson , P. Chang , S. Cittolin , S. Cooperstein , D. Diaz , J. Duarte ,
1111 R. Gerosa , L. Giannini , J. Guiang , R. Kansal , V. Krutelyov , R. Lee , J. Letts ,
1112 M. Masciovecchio , F. Mokhtar , M. Pieri , B.V. Sathia Narayanan , V. Sharma ,
1113 M. Tadel , E. Vourliotis , F. Würthwein , Y. Xiang , A. Yagil 

1114 **University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA**

1116 N. Amin, C. Campagnari , M. Citron , G. Collura , A. Dorsett , V. Dutta ,
1117 J. Incandela , M. Kilpatrick , J. Kim , A.J. Li , P. Masterson , H. Mei , M. Oshiro ,
1118 M. Quinnan , J. Richman , U. Sarica , R. Schmitz , F. Setti , J. Sheplock , P. Siddireddy,
1119 D. Stuart , S. Wang 













1120 **California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA**

1121 A. Bornheim , O. Cerri, I. Dutta , A. Latorre, J.M. Lawhorn , J. Mao , H.B. Newman ,
1122 T. Q. Nguyen , M. Spiropulu , J.R. Vlimant , C. Wang , S. Xie , R.Y. Zhu 


















1123 **Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA**

1124 J. Alison , S. An , M.B. Andrews , P. Bryant , T. Ferguson , A. Harilal , C. Liu ,
1125 T. Mudholkar , S. Murthy , M. Paulini , A. Roberts , A. Sanchez , W. Terrill 






































































1126 **University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA**

1127 J.P. Cumalat , W.T. Ford , A. Hassani , G. Karathanasis , E. MacDonald, F. Marini ,
1128 A. Perloff , C. Savard , N. Schonbeck , K. Stenson , K.A. Ulmer , S.R. Wagner ,
1129 N. Zipper 



















1130 **Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA**

1131 J. Alexander , S. Bright-Thonney , X. Chen , D.J. Cranshaw , J. Fan , X. Fan ,
1132 D. Gadkari , S. Hogan , J. Monroy , J.R. Patterson , D. Quach , J. Reichert ,
1133 M. Reid , A. Ryd , J. Thom , P. Wittich , R. Zou 











1134 **Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA**

1135 M. Albrow , M. Alyari , G. Apollinari , A. Apresyan , L.A.T. Bauerdick , D. Berry ,
1136 J. Berryhill , P.C. Bhat , K. Burkett , J.N. Butler , A. Canepa , G.B. Cerati ,
1137 H.W.K. Cheung , F. Chlebana , K.F. Di Petrillo , J. Dickinson , V.D. Elvira , Y. Feng ,
1138 J. Freeman , A. Gandrakota , Z. Gece , L. Gray , D. Green, S. Grünendahl ,
1139 D. Guerrero , O. Gutsche , R.M. Harris , R. Heller , T.C. Herwig , J. Hirschauer ,
1140 L. Horyn , B. Jayatilaka , S. Jindariani , M. Johnson , U. Joshi , T. Klijnsma ,
1141 B. Klima , K.H.M. Kwok , S. Lammel , D. Lincoln , R. Lipton , T. Liu , C. Madrid ,
1142 K. Maeshima , C. Mantilla , D. Mason , P. McBride , P. Merkel , S. Mrenna ,
1143 S. Nahn , J. Ngadiuba , D. Noonan , V. Papadimitriou , N. Pastika , K. Pedro ,
1144 C. Pena⁸⁶ , F. Ravera , A. Reinsvold Hall⁸⁷ , L. Ristori , E. Sexton-Kennedy ,
1145 N. Smith , A. Soha , L. Spiegel , J. Strait , L. Taylor , S. Tkaczyk , N.V. Tran ,
1146 L. Uplegger , E.W. Vaandering , I. Zoi 







1147 **University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA**

1148 P. Avery , D. Bourilkov , L. Cadamuro , V. Cherepanov , R.D. Field, M. Kim,
1149 E. Koenig , J. Konigsberg , A. Korytov , E. Kuznetsova⁸⁸ , K.H. Lo, K. Matchev ,
1150 N. Menendez , G. Mitselmakher , A. Muthirakalayil Madhu , N. Rawal , D. Rosen-
1151 zweig , S. Rosenzweig , K. Shi , J. Wang , Z. Wu 



















1152 **Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA**

1153 T. Adams , A. Askew , N. Bower , R. Habibullah , V. Hagopian , T. Kolberg ,
1154 G. Martinez, H. Prosper , O. Viazlo , M. Wulansatiti , R. Yohay , J. Zhang












1155 **Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA**

1156 M.M. Baarmand , S. Butalla , T. Elkafrawy⁵² , M. Hohmann , R. Kumar Verma ,
1157 M. Rahmani, F. Yumiceva 











1158 **University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, USA, Chicago, USA**

1159 M.R. Adams , H. Becerril Gonzalez , R. Cavanaugh , S. Dittmer , O. Evdokimov ,
1160 C.E. Gerber , D.J. Hofman , D. S. Lemos , A.H. Merrit , C. Mills , G. Oh , T. Roy ,
1161 S. Rudrabhatla , M.B. Tonjes , N. Varelas , X. Wang , Z. Ye , J. Yoo 







1162 **The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA**

















1163 M. Alhousseini , K. Dilsiz⁸⁹ , L. Emediato , G. Karaman , O.K. Köseyan , J.-P. Merlo,
1164 A. Mestvirishvili⁹⁰ , J. Nachtman , O. Neogi, H. Ogul⁹¹ , Y. Onel , A. Penzo , C. Snyder,
1165 E. Tiras⁹² 

1166 **Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA**






1167 O. Amram , B. Blumenfeld , L. Corcodilos , J. Davis , A.V. Gritsan , S. Kyriacou ,
1168 P. Maksimovic , J. Roskes , S. Sekhar , M. Swartz , T.Á. Vámi 

1169 **The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA**

1170 A. Abreu , L.F. Alcerro Alcerro , J. Anguiano , P. Baringer , A. Bean , Z. Flowers 

1171 T. Isidori , J. King , G. Krintiras , M. Lazarovits , C. Le Mahieu , C. Lindsey,
1172 J. Marquez , N. Minafra , M. Murray , M. Nickel , C. Rogan , C. Royon ,
1173 R. Salvatico , S. Sanders , C. Smith , Q. Wang , G. Wilson 
















1174 **Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA**

1175 B. Allmond , S. Duric, A. Ivanov , K. Kaadze , A. Kalogeropoulos , D. Kim,
1176 Y. Maravin , T. Mitchell, A. Modak, K. Nam, D. Roy 























1177 **Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA**

1178 F. Rebassoo , D. Wright 















1179 **University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA**

1180 E. Adams , A. Baden , O. Baron, A. Belloni , A. Bethani , S.C. Eno , N.J. Hadley ,
1181 S. Jabeen , R.G. Kellogg , T. Koeth , Y. Lai , S. Lascio , A.C. Mignerey , S. Nabili ,
1182 C. Palmer , C. Papageorgakis , L. Wang , K. Wong 

1183 **Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA**

1184 D. Abercrombie, W. Busza , I.A. Cali , Y. Chen , M. D'Alfonso , J. Eysermans ,
1185 C. Freer , G. Gomez-Ceballos , M. Goncharov, P. Harris, M. Hu , D. Kovalskyi ,
1186 J. Krupa , Y.-J. Lee , K. Long , C. Mironov , C. Paus , D. Rankin , C. Roland ,
1187 G. Roland , Z. Shi , G.S.F. Stephans , J. Wang, Z. Wang , B. Wyslouch , T. J. Yang 














1188 **University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA**

1189 R.M. Chatterjee, B. Crossman , A. Evans , J. Hiltbrand , B.M. Joshi , C. Kapsiak ,
1190 M. Krohn , Y. Kubota , J. Mans , M. Revering , R. Rusack , R. Saradhy ,
1191 N. Schroeder , N. Strobbe , M.A. Wadud 



1192 **University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA**

1193 L.M. Cremaldi 




















1194 **University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA**

1195 K. Bloom , M. Bryson, D.R. Claes , C. Fangmeier , L. Finco , F. Golf , C. Joo ,
1196 R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko , I. Reed , J.E. Siado , G.R. Snow[†], W. Tabb ,
1197 A. Wightman , F. Yan , A.G. Zecchinelli 

1198 **State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA**

1199 G. Agarwal , H. Bandyopadhyay , L. Hay , I. Iashvili , A. Kharchilava , C. McLean ,
1200 M. Morris , D. Nguyen , J. Pekkanen , S. Rappoccio , A. Williams 





















1201 **Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA**

1202 G. Alverson , E. Barberis , Y. Haddad , Y. Han , A. Krishna , J. Li , J. Lidrych ,
1203 G. Madigan , B. Marzocchi , D.M. Morse , V. Nguyen , T. Orimoto , A. Parker ,
1204 L. Skinnari , A. Tishelman-Charny , T. Wamorkar , B. Wang , A. Wisecarver ,
1205 D. Wood 










1206 **Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA**

1207 S. Bhattacharya , J. Bueghly, Z. Chen , A. Gilbert , K.A. Hahn , Y. Liu , N. Odell ,
1208 M.H. Schmitt , M. Velasco

















1209 **University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA**

1210 R. Band , R. Bucci, M. Cremonesi, A. Das , R. Goldouzian , M. Hildreth ,
1211 K. Hurtado Anampa , C. Jessop , K. Lannon , J. Lawrence , N. Loukas , L. Lutton ,
1212 J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley , C. Mcgrady , K. Mohrman ,
1213 C. Moore , Y. Musienko¹¹ , R. Ruchti , A. Townsend , M. Wayne , H. Yockey,
1214 M. Zarucki , L. Zygala 

1215 The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

1216 B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan , L.S. Durkin , B. Francis , C. Hill , M. Joyce , A. Lesauvage ,
1217 M. Nunez Ornelas , K. Wei, B.L. Winer , B. R. Yates 




















1218 Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

1219 F.M. Addesa , P. Das , G. Dezoort , P. Elmer , A. Frankenthal , B. Greenberg ,
1220 N. Haubrich , S. Higginbotham , G. Kopp , S. Kwan , D. Lange , D. Marlow ,
1221 I. Ojalvo , J. Olsen , D. Stickland , C. Tully 

1222 University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

1223 S. Malik , S. Norberg















1224 Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

1225 A.S. Bakshi , V.E. Barnes , R. Chawla , S. Das , L. Gutay, M. Jones , A.W. Jung ,
1226 D. Kondratyev , A.M. Koshy, M. Liu , G. Negro , N. Neumeister , G. Paspalaki ,
1227 S. Piperov , A. Purohit , J.F. Schulte , M. Stojanovic¹⁴ , J. Thieman , F. Wang ,
1228 R. Xiao , W. Xie 













1229 Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

1230 J. Dolen , N. Parashar 

1231 Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

1232 D. Acosta , A. Baty , T. Carnahan , S. Dildick , K.M. Ecklund , P.J. Fernández Man-
1233 teca , S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts , A. Kumar , W. Li , B.P. Padley , R. Redjimi,
1234 J. Rotter , S. Yang , E. Yigitbasi , L. Zhang⁹³, Y. Zhang 

















1235 University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

1236 A. Bodek , P. de Barbaro , R. Demina , J.L. Dulemba , C. Fallon, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-
1237 Bellido , O. Hindrichs , A. Khukhunaishvili , P. Parygin , E. Popova , E. Ranken ,
1238 R. Taus , G.P. Van Onsem 

1239 The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA

1240 K. Goulianos 

1241 Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

1242 B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou , Y. Gershtein , E. Halkiadakis , A. Hart , M. Heindl ,
1243 D. Jaroslowski , O. Karacheban²³ , I. Laflotte , A. Lath , R. Montalvo, K. Nash,
1244 M. Osherson , H. Routray , S. Salur , S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar , R. Stone ,
1245 S.A. Thayil , S. Thomas, H. Wang 

1246 University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

1247 H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy , S. Fiorendi , T. Holmes , E. Nibigira , S. Spanier 










1248 Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

1249 O. Bouhali⁹⁴ , M. Dalchenko , A. Delgado , R. Eusebi , J. Gilmore , T. Huang ,
1250 T. Kamon⁹⁵ , H. Kim , S. Luo , S. Malhotra, R. Mueller , D. Overton , D. Rathjens ,
1251 A. Safonov 

1252 Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

1253 N. Akchurin , J. Damgov , V. Hegde , K. Lamichhane , S.W. Lee , T. Mengke,
1254 S. Muthumuni , T. Peltola , I. Volobouev , A. Whitbeck 

1255 Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

1256 E. Appelt , S. Greene, A. Gurrola , W. Johns , A. Melo , F. Romeo , P. Sheldon ,
1257 S. Tuo , J. Velkovska , J. Viinikainen 






















1258 **University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA**

1259 B. Cardwell , B. Cox , G. Cummings , J. Hakala , R. Hirosky , A. Ledovskoy ,
1260 A. Li , C. Neu , C.E. Perez Lara , B. Tannenwald 

1261 **Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA**

1262 P.E. Karchin , N. Poudyal 

1263 **University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA**

1264 S. Banerjee , K. Black , T. Bose , S. Dasu , I. De Bruyn , P. Everaerts , C. Galloni,
1265 H. He , M. Herndon , A. Herve , C.K. Koraka , A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger , R. Loveless ,
1266 J. Madhusudanan Sreekala , A. Mallampalli , A. Mohammadi , S. Mondal, G. Parida ,
1267 D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang , V. Sharma , W.H. Smith , D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi ,
1268 W. Vetens 

1269 **Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation
1270 agreement with CERN**

1271 S. Afanasiev , V. Andreev , Yu. Andreev , T. Aushev , M. Azarkin , A. Babaev ,
1272 A. Belyaev , V. Blinov⁹⁶, E. Boos , V. Borshch , D. Budkouski , V. Chekhovsky,
1273 R. Chistov⁹⁶ , M. Danilov⁹⁶ , A. Demiyarov , A. Dermenev , T. Dimova⁹⁶ ,
1274 I. Dreminev , V. Epshteyn , A. Ershov , G. Gavrillov , V. Gavrillov , S. Gninenko ,
1275 V. Golovtcov , N. Golubev , I. Golutvin , I. Gorbunov , A. Gribushin , Y. Ivanov ,
1276 V. Kachanov , L. Kardapoltsev⁹⁶ , V. Karjavine , A. Karneyev , L. Khein, V. Kim⁹⁶ ,
1277 M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov , M. Kirsanov , O. Kodolova⁹⁷ , D. Konstantinov ,
1278 V. Korenkov , V. Korotkikh, A. Kozyrev⁹⁶ , N. Krasnikov , A. Lanev , P. Levchenko ,
1279 A. Litomin, N. Lychkovskaya , V. Makarenko , A. Malakhov , V. Matveev⁹⁶ ,
1280 V. Murzin , A. Nikitenko⁹⁸ , S. Obraztsov , I. Ovtin⁹⁶ , V. Palichik , V. Perelygin ,
1281 S. Petrushanko , S. Polikarpov⁹⁶ , V. Popov, O. Radchenko⁹⁶ , M. Savina , V. Savrin ,
1282 D. Selivanova , V. Shalaev , S. Shmatov , S. Shulha , Y. Skovpen⁹⁶ , S. Slabospitskii ,
1283 V. Smirnov , A. Snigirev , D. Sosnov , V. Sulimov , E. Tcherniaev , A. Terkulov ,
1284 O. Teryaev , I. Tlisova , A. Toropin , L. Uvarov , A. Uzunian , I. Vardanyan ,
1285 E. Vlasov , A. Vorobyev, N. Voytishin , B.S. Yuldashev⁹⁹, A. Zarubin , I. Zhizhin ,
1286 A. Zhokin 

1287 †: Deceased

1288 ¹Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

1289 ²Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for
1290 Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt

1291 ³Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

1292 ⁴Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

1293 ⁵Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

1294 ⁶Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil

1295 ⁷Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

1296 ⁸Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

1297 ⁹Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

1298 ¹⁰Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

1299 ¹¹Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with
1300 CERN

1301 ¹²Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

1302 ¹³Now at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

1303 ¹⁴Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

1304 ¹⁵Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

- 1305 ¹⁶Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- 1306 ¹⁷Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil
- 1307 ¹⁸Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 1308 ¹⁹Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- 1309 ²⁰Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- 1310 ²¹Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 1311 ²²Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany
- 1312 ²³Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 1313 ²⁴Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany
- 1314 ²⁵Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 1315 ²⁶Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- 1316 ²⁷Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 1317 ²⁸Now at Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- 1318 ²⁹Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt
- 1319 ³⁰Also at Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary
- 1320 ³¹Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- 1321 ³²Also at Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- 1322 ³³Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India
- 1323 ³⁴Also at UPES - University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India
- 1324 ³⁵Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 1325 ³⁶Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- 1326 ³⁷Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India
- 1327 ³⁸Also at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Mumbai, India
- 1328 ³⁹Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India
- 1329 ⁴⁰Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- 1330 ⁴¹Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
- 1331 ⁴²Now at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 1332 ⁴³Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- 1333 ⁴⁴Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran,
1334 Behshahr, Iran
- 1335 ⁴⁵Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
- 1336 ⁴⁶Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic
1337 Development, Bologna, Italy
- 1338 ⁴⁷Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- 1339 ⁴⁸Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy
- 1340 ⁴⁹Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- 1341 ⁵⁰Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA
- 1342 ⁵¹Also at Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- 1343 ⁵²Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 1344 ⁵³Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
- 1345 ⁵⁴Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia
- 1346 ⁵⁵Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti
1347 Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- 1348 ⁵⁶Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- 1349 ⁵⁷Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 1350 ⁵⁸Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 1351 ⁵⁹Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
- 1352 ⁶⁰Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- 1353 ⁶¹Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

- 1354 ⁶²Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
1355 ⁶³Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
1356 ⁶⁴Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
1357 ⁶⁵Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-
1358 Vieux, France
1359 ⁶⁶Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin,
1360 Turkey
1361 ⁶⁷Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
1362 ⁶⁸Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
1363 ⁶⁹Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
1364 ⁷⁰Also at Istanbul Gedik University, Istanbul, Turkey
1365 ⁷¹Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey
1366 ⁷²Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
1367 ⁷³Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
1368 ⁷⁴Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
1369 ⁷⁵Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
1370 ⁷⁶Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
1371 ⁷⁷Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
1372 ⁷⁸Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
1373 ⁷⁹Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United
1374 Kingdom
1375 ⁸⁰Also at University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
1376 ⁸¹Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
1377 ⁸²Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
1378 ⁸³Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
1379 ⁸⁴Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA
1380 ⁸⁵Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
1381 ⁸⁶Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
1382 ⁸⁷Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA
1383 ⁸⁸Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA
1384 ⁸⁹Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
1385 ⁹⁰Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
1386 ⁹¹Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
1387 ⁹²Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey
1388 ⁹³Also at Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam
1389 Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China
1390 ⁹⁴Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
1391 ⁹⁵Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea
1392 ⁹⁶Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement
1393 with CERN
1394 ⁹⁷Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
1395 ⁹⁸Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
1396 ⁹⁹Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent,
1397 Uzbekistan
1398