EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)





CERN-EP-2023-173 22 August 2023

Observation of $\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$ and $\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-$ decays

LHCb collaboration[†]

Abstract

The $\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$ and $\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-$ decays are observed for the first time using proton-proton collision data collected by the LHCb experiment at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.1 fb⁻¹. The relative branching fractions times the beauty-baryon production cross-sections are measured to be

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^0}{\Lambda_b^0}\right) \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(\Xi_b^0\right)}{\sigma\left(\Lambda_b^0\right)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-\right)} = (15.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 7.7)\%,$$
$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^-}{\Lambda_b^0}\right) \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(\Xi_b^-\right)}{\sigma\left(\Lambda_b^0\right)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-\right)} = (16.9 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 4.3)\%,$$

where the first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic, and the third due to the uncertainties on the branching fractions of relevant charm-baryon decays. The masses of Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- baryons are measured to be $m_{\Xi_b^0} = 5791.12 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.24 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ and $m_{\Xi_b^-} = 5797.02 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.29 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$, where the uncertainties are statistical, systematic, and those due to charm-hadron masses, respectively.

Submitted to Eur. Phys. J. C

(c) 2023 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. CC BY 4.0 licence.

[†]Authors are listed at the end of this paper.

1 **Introduction**

Hadrons are systems of quarks bound by the strong interaction, described at the funda-2 mental level by quantum chromodynamics (QCD). The production and decay of hadrons 3 involve the nonperturbative regime of QCD, making calculations challenging. Much 4 progress has been made in recent years in experimental and theoretical studies of beauty 5 mesons, with the aim of testing the Standard Model and searching for new physics through 6 measurements of branching fractions, CP asymmetries and rare decays [1]. However, 7 many aspects of beauty baryons are still largely unknown, due to the difficulties to produce 8 and detect them in experiments other than those operating at the Large Hadron Collider. q So far, the Λ_b^0 baryon has been more widely studied than the other beauty baryons, 10 including Ξ_b^0 and $\Xi_b^{-,1}$ Very few decay modes have been measured for $\Xi_b^{0(-)}$ baryons [2]. 11 According to the quark model, the three beauty baryons Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- (referred to as 12 H_b in the following) form an SU(3) flavour multiplet, as do the Λ_c^+ , Ξ_c^+ and Ξ_c^0 states 13 (referred to as H_c in the following). The H_b decay is dominated by the weak transition of 14 the b quark while the two light quarks serve as compact spectators [3, 4]. According to 15 heavy quark effective theory, the three decays of bottom baryons into two charm hadrons, 16 $H_b \to H_c D_s^-$, should have approximately the same partial width [5,6]. The $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-$ 17 decay has been measured to have a branching fraction (\mathcal{B}) at the percent level [7], but no measurements for $\Xi_b^{0(-)} \to \Xi_c^{+(0)} D_s^-$ decays are available. Measurements of these 18 19 decays not only test the SU(3) symmetry but also give insights into the dynamics of 20 beauty-baryon weak decays. 21

Beauty baryons of all species are abundantly produced at the LHC [8–11], allowing them to be intensively studied. This analysis presents the first observation of $\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^$ and $\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-$ decays, using data from proton-proton (*pp*) collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected by LHCb detector and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.1 fb⁻¹. The relative production rates of the decays, \mathcal{R} , defined to be

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^0}{\Lambda_b^0}\right) \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(\Xi_b^0\right)}{\sigma\left(\Lambda_b^0\right)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-\right)},\tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^-}{\Lambda_b^0}\right) \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(\Xi_b^-\right)}{\sigma\left(\Lambda_b^0\right)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-\right)},\tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^0}{\Xi_b^-}\right) \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(\Xi_b^0\right)}{\sigma\left(\Xi_b^-\right)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-\right)},\tag{3}$$

are measured, where σ denotes the production cross-section. Given the similar lifetimes of the three beauty baryons [2], if the decay widths of the three beauty-baryon decays are also similar, the variables defined in Eq. 1- 3 provide measurements of the H_b production cross-section ratios, *i.e. b*-quark fragmentation fraction ratios. Isospin symmetry assures that $\sigma(\Xi_b^0) / \sigma(\Xi_b^-) \approx 1$ to a good approximation, resulting in $\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^0}{\Xi_b^-}\right) \approx 1$ at leading order, which is tested in this analysis. The masses of the Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- baryons and the mass differences between the three beauty baryons are also measured.

¹The inclusion of charge-conjugate processes is implied throughout.

³⁴ 2 Detector, samples and analysis strategy

The LHCb detector [12,13] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity 35 range $2 < \eta < 5$, designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks. The detector 36 includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector 37 surrounding the pp interaction region, a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream 38 of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm, and three stations of silicon-39 strip detectors and straw drift tubes placed downstream of the magnet. The tracking 40 system provides a measurement of the momentum, p, of charged particles with a relative 41 uncertainty that varies from 0.5% at low momentum to 1.0% at 200 GeV/c. The momentum 42 scale is calibrated using samples of $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ and $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+$ decays collected 43 concurrently with the data samples used for this analysis [14,15]. The relative uncertainty 44 of this procedure is determined to be 3×10^{-4} using samples of other fully reconstructed 45 B, Υ , and K_S^0 -meson decays. The minimum distance of a track to a primary pp collision 46 vertex (PV), the impact parameter (IP), is measured with a resolution of $(15 + 29/p_T) \mu m$, 47 where $p_{\rm T}$ is the component of the momentum transverse to the beam, in GeV/c. Different 48 types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging 49 Cherenkov detectors. Photons, electrons and hadrons are identified by a calorimeter 50 system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic and a 51 hadronic calorimeter. 52 The data used in this analysis come from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, collected by 53 LHCb between 2016 and 2018. The total integrated luminosity is $5.1 \,\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The online 54 55

event selection of LHCb is performed by a trigger [16], which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software 56 stage, which applies a full event reconstruction. At the hardware trigger stage, events 57 are required to have a muon with high $p_{\rm T}$ or a hadron, photon or electron with high 58 transverse energy in the calorimeters. A global hardware trigger decision is required based 59 on the reconstructed candidate, the rest of the event, or a combination of both. The 60 software trigger requires a two-, three- or four-track secondary vertex with a significant 61 displacement from any primary pp interaction vertex. At least one charged particle 62 within the secondary vertex must have a transverse momentum $p_{\rm T} > 1.6 \,{\rm GeV}/c$ and be 63 inconsistent with originating from any PV. 64

Simulated decays are used to perform event selections, calculate reconstruction and selection efficiencies, and determine the invariant-mass distributions of the reconstructed signal H_b candidates. In the simulation, pp collisions are generated using PYTHIA 8 [17] with a specific LHCb configuration [13]. Decays of unstable particles are described by EVTGEN [18], in which final-state radiation is generated using PHOTOS [19]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector, and its response, are simulated using the GEANT4 [20] toolkit as described in Ref. [21].

The Λ_c^+ and Ξ_c^+ baryons are reconstructed in the $pK^-\pi^+$ final state, and the Ξ_c^0 baryon in the $pK^-K^-\pi^+$ final state. The D_s^- mesons are reconstructed by combining three charged particles identified as K^- , K^+ and π^- mesons. The H_c candidates are combined with D_s^- candidates to form the H_b candidates. The three \mathcal{R} parameters are

Table 1: Branching fractions of H_c decays [2].

Decay	Branching fraction
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$	$(6.28 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-2}$
$\Xi_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$	$(6.2 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-3}$
$\Xi_c^0 \to p K^- K^- \pi^+$	$(4.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}$

⁷⁶ defined according to

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^0}{\Lambda_b^0}\right) = \frac{N\left(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-\right) / \varepsilon\left(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-\right)}{N\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-\right) / \varepsilon\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-\right)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^- \pi^+\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_c^+ \to pK^- \pi^+\right)},\tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^-}{\Lambda_b^0}\right) = \frac{N\left(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-\right) / \varepsilon \left(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-\right)}{N\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-\right) / \varepsilon \left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-\right)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_c^0 \to pK^-K^-\pi^+\right)}, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^0}{\Xi_b^-}\right) = \frac{N\left(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-\right) / \varepsilon\left(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-\right)}{N\left(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-\right) / \varepsilon\left(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-\right)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_c^0 \to pK^- K^- \pi^+\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Xi_c^+ \to pK^- \pi^+\right)}, \quad (6)$$

⁷⁷ where N, ε , and \mathcal{B} denote the observed signal yields, the total experimental efficiencies, ⁷⁸ and the branching fractions, respectively. The world averages of branching fractions of ⁷⁹ corresponding H_c decays [2] are summarised in Table 1. The signal yields are determined ⁸⁰ using unbinned extended maximum-likelihood fits of the $H_c D_s^-$ invariant-mass distributions. ⁸¹ The efficiencies are determined using simulated signal decays, calibrated by data driven ⁸² methods.

3 Event selections and efficiencies

In order to suppress background due to random combinations of either the H_c or D_s^- , and 84 misidentification of final-state particles, a series of event selections are performed. Firstly, 85 all final-state particles are required to be separated from any PV and have $p_{\rm T} > 100 \, {\rm MeV}/c$. 86 They must also be correctly identified, with a high significance, as either a proton, kaon or 87 pion, using combined information from the tracking system and sub-detectors related to 88 particle identification (PID) [12,22]. The final states of the H_c and D_s^- candidates must 89 have a scalar sum of $p_{\rm T} > 1.8 \,\text{GeV}/c$, and at least one of them must have $p_{\rm T} > 0.5 \,\text{GeV}/c$ 90 and $p > 5 \,\text{GeV}/c$. They are additionally required to form a good vertex that is significantly 91 separated from any PV. The H_c and D_s^- candidates should have an invariant mass within 92 $\pm 25 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ of the previous world average mass value [2], and their vertices should be 93 consistent with being downstream of the H_b vertex. The H_b candidate formed by the 94 H_c and D_s^- hadrons must have a good vertex separated from its associated PV, and its 95 momentum must point back to the associated PV. The final-state particles of the H_b must 96 have a scalar sum of $p_{\rm T} > 5 \,{\rm GeV}/c$. Finally, H_b candidates with transverse momentum 97 $p_{\rm T} > 4 \,{\rm GeV}$ and rapidity 2.5 < y < 4 are retained for further analysis. 98

⁹⁹ There are backgrounds due to genuine particle decays, where a pion or kaon decay ¹⁰⁰ product is misidentified as a proton, resulting in a H_c candidate. For Λ_c^+ and Ξ_c^+ candidates, ¹⁰¹ they include $\phi \to K^+K^-$, $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$, $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ and $D^0 \to K^+K^-$ decays ¹⁰² with the K^+ meson misidentified as a proton, and $D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ decays ¹⁰³ with the π^+ meson misidentified as a proton. For Ξ_c^0 candidates, there are backgrounds ¹⁰⁴ due to $\phi \to K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ decays with the K^+ meson misidentified as a ¹⁰⁵ proton. For D_s^- candidates, the $\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$ background with the proton misidentified ¹⁰⁶ as a K^+ meson is considered. To remove these background, candidates are required ¹⁰⁷ to satisfy strict PID requirements or their invariant masses, calculated with alternative ¹⁰⁸ mass hypotheses for final states, must be outside a region around the known mass of ¹⁰⁹ the corresponding genuine particle (ϕ , D_s^+ , D^+ , D^0 , or Λ_c^+) [2]. Backgrounds due to ¹¹⁰ $D^- \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^-$ decays are also considered, and are found to be negligible.

Further event selections are performed using a gradient-boosted decision tree 111 (BDTG) [23] algorithm to reduce combinatorial backgrounds. Due to the similarity 112 between the topologies of the three $H_b \to H_c D_s^-$ decays, and to benefit from a cancellation 113 of systematic uncertainties related to the BDTG selection in the \mathcal{R} measurements, the 114 BDTG classifier is trained with the Ξ_b^0 samples and is applied to all the three decay modes. 115 The BDTG algorithm is trained to distinguish simulated $\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$ decays from the 116 candidates in the high mass sideband $(m(\Xi_h^0) > 5950 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2)$ of data, which are repre-117 sentative of the background. The BDTG classifier combines seventeen variables, including 118 kinematic, topological and PID information, to get a single discriminating response. The 119 optimal requirement on the BDTG response is determined by maximising the figure of 120 merit $F \equiv S/\sqrt{S+B}$, where S (B) is the expected number of signal (background) yield in 121 the signal region of data with BDTG response greater than a given value. The signal region 122 is defined to be $\pm 30 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ around the previous world average of H_b mass [2], which is 123 about three times the experimental resolution. The value of S is calculated as the product 124 of the BDTG efficiency for the signal and the signal yield before the BDTG requirement, 125 which is obtained by fitting to Ξ_b^0 invariant-mass distribution in data. Similarly, B is 126 calculated as the background retention rate multiplied by the estimated background in the 127 signal region without the BDTG requirement. The background retention rate is evaluated 128 with the data in the high-mass sideband data, and the number of background candidates 129 in the signal region is estimated with a fit to Ξ_b^0 invariant-mass distribution in the high 130 invariant-mass sideband region of the data, with a subsequent extrapolation to the signal 131 mass region. The optimal BDTG requirement corresponds to a signal efficiency of about 132 95% with respect to other selection requirements for all three H_b decay modes. 133

The total efficiency is calculated as the product of efficiencies of detector acceptance, 134 reconstruction, and selection. It is estimated using the simulated signal decays. These 135 samples are calibrated such that the shapes of several key distributions match those 136 of the data: the PID response, H_b kinematics, total charged-track multiplicity and H_c 137 resonant structures. The $D_s^- \to K^+ K^- \pi^-$ decay is simulated using measured Dalitz 138 compositions [24], thus no corrections are applied. The PID efficiencies for the different 139 particle species are measured using charm hadron samples in data [22]. The large sample of 140 $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-$ decays is used to correct for the transverse momentum, pseudorapidity, and 141 charged-track multiplicity distributions of the three H_b decay modes. Further corrections 142 are made to align the shapes of the charged-track multiplicity distributions in the data 143 and simulation for Ξ_b decays. The H_c Dalitz distribution is compared between the data 144 and simulation; a weight-based correction is applied to improve the agreement. The 145 track-finding efficiency in simulation is found to be slightly different from that in data, and 146 this difference is corrected as a function of the momentum and pseudorapidity of final-state 147 particles [25]. The correction factors are generally obtained in bins of relevant variables 148 apart from that for the Ξ_c^0 Dalitz distribution, where the large number of dimensions 149 implies a limited number of candidates per bin. An unbinned multivariate algorithm 150 is therefore used [26]. The ratios of efficiencies between Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 , and Ξ_b^- decays are 151

152 determined to be

$$\frac{\varepsilon(\Xi_b^0)}{\varepsilon(\Lambda_b^0)} = 1.101 \pm 0.010,$$
$$\frac{\varepsilon(\Xi_b^-)}{\varepsilon(\Lambda_b^0)} = 0.515 \pm 0.005,$$
$$\frac{\varepsilon(\Xi_b^0)}{\varepsilon(\Xi_b^-)} = 2.138 \pm 0.017,$$

where the uncertainties are statistical only. The Λ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0 decays have a similar efficiency, while the smaller Ξ_b^- efficiency is due to one more final-state particle.

¹⁵⁵ 4 Signal yield determination and mass measurements

To obtain the yields of signal H_b decays, an extended maximum likelihood fit is performed to the Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 , and Ξ_b^- invariant-mass spectra. A kinematic refit [27] is applied to the H_b decays to improve the mass resolution, constraining the D_s^- and H_c masses to their previously measured values [2] and the H_b momentum to point back to its PV. The fitted mass region is 5450 - 5800 MeV/ c^2 , 5600 - 6100 MeV/ c^2 , and 5600 - 6000 MeV/ c^2 for the Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 , and Ξ_b^- decays, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 1, three components are identified in each H_b mass spectrum. The 162 signal component is parameterised using the sum of a Gaussian and a double-sided Crystal 163 Ball function (DSCB) [28] sharing a common mean. The common mean and the average 164 resolution of the Gaussian and the DSCB distribution are parameters that vary freely in 165 the fit, while the other parameters have values fixed to those obtained from simulation. 166 The contribution of combinatorial backgrounds in the mass spectrum is modelled using 167 a second order polynomial, with all parameters varying freely. The peaking structure 168 in the low invariant-mass region corresponds to partially reconstructed $H_b \to H_c D_s^- X$ 169 decays where X is an undetected particle. Distributions from data in the low mass region 170 are found to be consistent with the $H_b \to H_c D_s^{*-}$, $D_s^{*-} \to D_s^- \gamma$ sequential decay, where 171 the γ is not reconstructed. The subsequent $H_c D_s^-$ invariant-mass distribution depends 172 on the D_s^{*-} helicity projection, for which three possibilities, helicities of ± 1 and 0, are 173 allowed. The mass distributions for helicities of +1 and -1 are identical. Samples are 174 generated with helicities of 1 and 0, and corresponding $H_c D_s^-$ invariant-mass distributions 175 are obtained. The distributions convoluted with experimental resolutions are used to fit 176 data. The fraction of the component with a helicity of 0 varies freely in the fit. 177

Figure 1 shows the H_b invariant-mass distributions superimposed by the fit results. The signal yields for Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- decays are $(2.609 \pm 0.017) \times 10^4$, 462 ± 29 , and 175 ± 14 , respectively. The masses for Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- baryons are measured to be $m_{\Lambda_b^0} = 5619.34 \pm 0.06 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$, $m_{\Xi_b^0} = 5791.12 \pm 0.60 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$, and $m_{\Xi_b^-} = 5797.02 \pm 0.63 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$, respectively, where the uncertainties are statistical only.

183 4.1 Non-dicharm background

The sample of $H_b \to H_c D_s$ decays is polluted by decays with a single charm hadron (one-charm) or charmless decays that have the same final-state particles but without the



Figure 1: Invariant-mass distributions of (top left) Λ_b^0 , (top right) Ξ_b^0 , and (bottom) Ξ_b^- decays. The data are overlaid on the fit results.

intermediate H_c or D_s^- hadron, referred to as non-dicharm decays. For these peaking 186 background contributions, their H_b invariant-mass distributions are signal-like, but the 187 H_c or D_s^- invariant-mass distributions are flat. The distributions of non-dicharm compo-188 nents in the H_c or D_s^- invariant-mass distribution are found to be approximately linear. 189 Therefore, the H_b signal yields in the H_c and D_s^- sideband regions are extrapolated to 190 the signal region ($\pm 25 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ around the previously measured H_c and D_s^- masses [2]) to 191 estimate the contamination of non-dicharm background in the signal region. Details of the 192 estimation are shown in Appendix A. The fractions of non-dicharm decays are measured 193 to be $(5.70 \pm 0.13)\%$, $(8.39 \pm 1.75)\%$ and $(6.44 \pm 1.48)\%$ for Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- decays, respec-194 tively. These background contributions are subtracted from the total signal yield obtained 195 from the fit. The non-dicharm contamination is dominated by the $H_b \to H_c(K^+K^-\pi^-)$ 196 component. 197

¹⁹⁸ 5 Systematic uncertainties

¹⁹⁹ 5.1 Uncertainties on the branching fraction

Measurements of the ratios of branching fractions are affected by a number of systematic uncertainties. Apart from those due to the input charm-decay branching fractions, they are generally related to either the signal yields or the efficiencies. Due to the similar topologies of the three H_b decays, many sources of systematic uncertainties are either cancelled or largely suppressed in ratios of the branching fractions. The remaining systematic uncertainties are outlined below and summarised in Table 2.

²⁰⁶ 5.1.1 Systematic uncertainties on the signal yield

The fit results are affected by the imperfect modelling of the signal, the combinatorial 207 background and the partially reconstructed background. Variations of the signal model 208 are studied by modifying the fixed parameters that are obtained from simulation. For the 209 background modelling, a polynomial of third order is used instead of one of second order. 210 In order to study the impact of the modelling of the partially reconstructed background in 211 the signal yield, the lower edge of the fit range is increased to 5575, 5740, and 5750 MeV/ c^2 212 for the Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 , and Ξ_b^- decay modes, respectively, excluding partially reconstructed 213 background. Alternative fits to data with these alternate approaches are performed. The 214 largest deviation of the H_b signal yield in these alternative fits from the nominal result 215 is taken as the systematic uncertainty on the signal yield due to the modelling of the fit 216 components, which is at the level of 2%. 217

The uncertainty on the fraction of non-dicharm background discussed in Section 4.1 originates from the limited size of the data sample and possible nonlinearity of the H_c and D_s^- background invariant-mass distributions. The effect is studied by using alternative regions of sideband data to calculate the non-dicharm yield, and the difference with respect to the nominal results is quoted as the systematic uncertainty, which is found to be at the subpercent level.

224 5.1.2 Systematic uncertainties on the efficiency

As efficiencies are studied using simulation samples, the systematic uncertainty on efficiencies arises due to the limited size of simulation samples and imperfect simulations. The uncertainty due to the limited simulation sample size is 1.0% for the three H_b efficiency ratios.

The hardware trigger is approximately modeled in the simulation. The trigger efficiency in measured in the data [29], and the difference between data and simulation is assigned as a systematic uncertainty. This systematic uncertainty is found to be approximately cancelled among the three H_b decay modes, resulting in a relative difference of less than 1.5% between data and simulation on the efficiency ratios of the two H_b decay modes. A common value of 1.5% is quoted as the relative systematic uncertainty of the hardware trigger on the relative branching fraction.

The estimation of the reconstruction efficiency is affected by the model of detector 236 material in simulation which affects the description of interaction between the final-state 237 particles and the material. It leads to a relative uncertainty of 1.2% between Ξ_b^- and 238 the other two H_b decays due to one additional kaon in the Ξ_b^- decay [30]. Moreover, the 239 estimation of the track-finding efficiency in data and simulation is subjected to uncertainties 240 related to the detector occupancy and limited sizes of the calibration samples [25]. The 241 former gives a relative value of 0.8% per track, while the latter results in an uncertainty of 242 around 0.1% on the efficiency ratios. In total the uncertainty on the ratio of reconstruction 243 efficiency is about 1.6% between Ξ_b^- and Λ_b^0 decays, and between Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- decays. It is below 0.1% for the efficiency ratio between Ξ_b^0 and Λ_b^0 decays. 244 245

Source	$\mathcal{R}\left(rac{arpi_b^0}{arLambda_b^0} ight)$	$\mathcal{R}\left(rac{arpi_b^-}{\Lambda_b^0} ight)$	$\mathcal{R}\left(rac{arepsilon_b^0}{arepsilon_b^-} ight)$
Imperfect modelling of invariant-mass fit	2.7%	1.3%	3.4%
Fraction of non-dicharm background	2.0%	1.6%	2.5%
Limited simulation sample size	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%
Trigger efficiency	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Reconstruction efficiency	0.1%	1.6%	1.7%
Corrections to simulations	1.3%	4.3%	4.3%
Total	3.8%	5.4%	6.5%

Table 2: Systematic uncertainties on the relative branching fraction measurements. Results are given as relative uncertainties.

Corrections to simulation samples to match data to the distributions of final-state 246 particle PID responses, H_b kinematics, charged-track multiplicity and H_c Dalitz distri-247 butions are subject to uncertainties. Uncertainties on the corrections of PID responses 248 are evaluated using alternative corrections and measuring the relative change of efficien-249 cies [22], which is found to be negligible. The uncertainty on corrections of H_b kinematics 250 is studied with pseudoexperiments. For each pesudoexperiment, the correction factor in 251 each transverse momentum and rapidity of the H_b baryon is varied following a Gaussian 252 distribution constructed from the nominal value and its uncertainty. The new correction 253 factors are used to calculate the efficiency. The width of the efficiency distribution among 254 a set of pseudoexperiments is taken as the systematic uncertainty. Similar studies are 255 performed for corrections of the charge-track multiplicity and Λ_c^+ , Ξ_c^+ Dalitz distributions. 256 The uncertainty of the unbinned correction to the Ξ_c^0 Dalitz distribution is studied by 257 varying the configurations of the algorithm [26]. In total the uncertainty on the efficiency 258 ratio originating from corrections to simulation samples is about 4.3% between Ξ_b^- and Λ_b^0 , 4.3% between Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- , and 1.3% between Ξ_b^0 and Λ_b^0 . 259 260

²⁶¹ 5.2 Uncertainties on the H_b mass measurements

The uncertainties on the mass and mass difference measurements come from the invariantmass fit model, the momentum scale calibration, and the uncertainties on the Ξ_c and $D_s^$ masses [2]. They are summarised in Table 3 and Table 4.

The H_b mass determined from the fit to the invariant-mass distribution is affected by 265 the imperfect modelling of the signal, the combinatorial background and the partially 266 reconstructed background. Variations of the model for each fit component are studied in 267 the same way as for the determination of the uncertainties on the signal yield described in 268 Sec. 5.1.1. The largest variation of the mass obtained in these alternative fits compared 269 to the nominal one is considered as the systematic uncertainty, which is 0.02, 0.19 and 270 $0.09 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ for $m_{A_b^0}$, $m_{\Xi_b^0}$ and $m_{\Xi_b^-}$, respectively. The larger uncertainty for $m_{\Xi_b^0}$ is due 271 to the higher background level. 272

Due to effects such as an imperfect alignment of the tracking system and the uncertainty on the magnetic field, the measured track momenta need to be calibrated to correct for possible biases. The calibration is performed using the masses of known hadrons [31, 32] with a precision of 0.03%. The uncertainty is propagated to the H_b mass measurement

Source	$\frac{m_{A_b^0}}{[\text{MeV}/c^2]}$	$\frac{m_{\Xi_b^0}}{[\text{MeV}/c^2]}$	$\frac{m_{\Xi_b^-}}{[\text{MeV}/c^2]}$
Mass fit model	0.02	0.19	0.09
Momentum scale calibration	0.44	0.41	0.48
Uncertainties on the H_c and D_s^- masses	0.16	0.24	0.29

Table 3: Systematic uncertainties for the H_b mass measurements.

Table 4: Systematic uncertainties for the H_b mass-difference measurements.

Source	$\frac{m_{\varXi^0_b} - m_{\Lambda^0_b}}{[\text{MeV}/c^2]}$	$\begin{array}{c} m_{\Xi_b^-} - m_{A_b^0} \\ [\mathrm{MeV}\!/c^2] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} m_{\varXi_b^-} - m_{\varXi_b^0} \\ [\text{MeV}/c^2] \end{array}$
Mass fit model	0.19	0.09	0.21
Momentum scale calibration	0.03	0.04	0.07
Uncertainties on the H_c mass	0.27	0.31	0.23

by varying the calibration by ± 1 standard deviation. Half of the difference between the two corresponding new H_b masses is taken as the systematic uncertainty. The result, about $0.4 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, approximately scales with the energy release of the decay as $(m(H_b) - m(H_c) - m(D_s^-)) \times 0.03\%$. The uncertainty due to momentum scale calibration is assumed to be fully correlated for the three H_b masses.

As mentioned in Sec. 4, the H_b invariant mass is calculated with the D_s^- and H_c masses constrained to their previous world averages [2]. The systematic uncertainty due to the H_c and D_s^- masses is 0.16, 0.24, and 0.29 MeV/ c^2 for the Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 , and Ξ_b^- mass measurement, respectively. When measuring the mass difference between two different H_b states, the uncertainty on the D_s^- mass is cancelled. The remaining uncertainty on the H_c mass varies between 0.23 and 0.31 MeV/ c^2 depending on mass difference.

288 6 Results

Using the results presented in the previous sections, the H_b masses and mass differences are measured to be

$$\begin{split} m_{A_b^0} &= 5619.34 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.16 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2, \\ m_{\Xi_b^0} &= 5791.12 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.24 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2, \\ m_{\Xi_b^-} &= 5797.02 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.29 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2, \\ m_{\Xi_b^0} - m_{A_b^0} &= 171.78 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.27 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2, \\ m_{\Xi_b^-} - m_{A_b^0} &= 177.68 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.31 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2, \\ m_{\Xi_b^-} - m_{\Xi_b^0} &= 5.90 \pm 0.87 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2, \end{split}$$

where the first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic, and the third due to those on masses of Λ_c^+ , Ξ_c^+ , Ξ_c^0 , and D_s^- hadrons. The measurements are consistent with previous world averages [2], and comparisons are shown in Table 5 and Fig 2.

The relative production rates of the three $H_b \to H_c D_s$ decays, given in Eq. 1- 3, are



Figure 2: Comparison of measured (red) b baryon masses with (blue) the PDG values [2]. The mass of Λ_b^0 is shifted upward by 175 MeV/ c^2 to reduce the range of this plot. The inner (outer) error bar is for the statistical (total) uncertainty.

Table 5: Measured H_b masses and mass differences and the previous world averages [2].

	This analysis [MeV/ c^2]	Previous world average [MeV/ c^2]
$m_{\Lambda_{h}^{0}}$	5619.34 ± 0.47	5619.60 ± 0.17
$m_{\Xi_{h}^{0}}$	5791.1 ± 0.8	5791.9 ± 0.5
$m_{\Xi_{L}^{-}}$	5797.0 ± 0.8	5797.0 ± 0.6
$m_{\Xi_h^0} - m_{\Lambda_h^0}$	171.8 ± 0.7	172.5 ± 0.4
$m_{\Xi_{L}^{-}} - m_{A_{h}^{0}}$	177.7 ± 0.7	177.46 ± 0.31
$m_{\Xi_{h}^{-}} - m_{\Xi_{h}^{0}}$	5.9 ± 0.9	5.9 ± 0.6

²⁹⁵ measured to be

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^0}{\Lambda_b^0}\right) = (15.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 7.7)\%,$$
$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^-}{\Lambda_b^0}\right) = (16.9 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 4.3)\%,$$
$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_b^0}{\Xi_b^-}\right) = (93.6 \pm 9.6 \pm 6.1 \pm 51.0)\%,$$

where the first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic, and the third due to those on the branching fractions of Λ_c^+ , Ξ_c^+ , and Ξ_c^0 decays. Figure 3 shows the measured \mathcal{R} values. The results are consistent with the SU(3) flavour symmetry and predictions of phenomenological models [33, 34].

300 7 Summary

In this analysis, the dicharm decays of Ξ_b baryons $\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$ and $\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-$ are observed for the first time, using proton-proton collision data collected by the LHCb experiment at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.1 fb⁻¹. The masses of the Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- baryons are measured through these two decays, and are consistent with known values [2]. These measurements will



Figure 3: Measured \mathcal{R} values. The inner (outer) error bar is for the statistical (total) uncertainty.

- $_{306}$ improve the world averages. The relative branching fractions of these two decays are also
- $_{307}$ measured. The results are consistent with SU(3) flavour symmetry and several predictions
- for relative production rates and decay branching fractions of b baryons [6, 33-35].

Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the 310 excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the 311 LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: 312 CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 313 (France); BMBF, DFG and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW 314 and NCN (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MICINN (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); 315 NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE NP and NSF (USA). We acknowledge 316 the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY 317 (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), 318 CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), Polish WLCG (Poland) and 319 NERSC (USA). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source 320 software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received 321 support from ARC and ARDC (Australia): Minciencias (Colombia): AvH Foundation 322 (Germany); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, ERC and NextGenerationEU 323 (European Union); A*MIDEX, ANR, IPhU and Labex P2IO, and Région Auvergne-324 Rhône-Alpes (France); Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, 325 CAS CCEPP, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Sci. & 326 Tech. Program of Guangzhou (China); GVA, XuntaGal, GENCAT, Inditex, InTalent and 327 Prog. Atracción Talento, CM (Spain); SRC (Sweden); the Leverhulme Trust, the Royal 328 Society and UKRI (United Kingdom). 329

330 Appendices

³³¹ A Non-dicharm contribution

³³² Three distinct sources of non-dicharm backgrounds are considered:

• The $H_b \to (pK^-(K^-)\pi^+)(K^+K^-\pi^+)$ decay with neither the H_c nor the D_s^- hadrons.

• The $H_b \to (pK^-(K^-)\pi^+)D_s^-$ decay without the H_c baryon.

• The $H_b \to H_c(K^+K^-\pi^+)$ decay without the D_s^- meson.

Figure 4 shows the two-dimensional H_c versus D_s^- invariant-mass distribution in the signal region and the H_c and/or D_s^- sideband regions. There are four regions illustrated in Fig 4:

• The region 1 lies in the H_c and D_s^- sideband region.

• The region 2 lies in the H_c signal and D_s^- sideband region.

- The region 3 lies in the H_c sideband and D_s^- signal region.
- The region 4 lies in the H_c and D_s^- signal region.

The H_b signal yields in the H_c and/or D_s^- sideband regions are estimated by simultaneous fitting to the H_b invariant-mass spectra in these four regions. The fit model is similar as the one mentioned in Sec. 4. Figures 5, 6, and 7 show the Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^0 , and Ξ_b^- invariant-mass distributions in the H_c and/or D_s^- sideband regions superimposed by the fit results, respectively.



Figure 4: Distributions of the H_c mass versus the D_s^- mass with the regions 1–4 indicated. The regions illustrate the signal region and the H_c and/or D_s^- sideband regions.



Figure 5: Invariant-mass distributions of Λ_b^0 candidates in the (top left) region 1, (top right) region 2, and (bottom) region 3.



Figure 6: Invariant-mass distributions of Λ_b^0 candidates in the (top left) region 1, (top right) region 2, and (bottom) region 3.



Figure 7: Invariant-mass distributions of Λ_b^0 candidates in the (top left) region 1, (top right) region 2, and (bottom) region 3.

347 **References**

- [1] S. Chen et al., Heavy flavour physics and CP violation at LHCb: a ten-year review,
 Front. Phys. 18 (2023) 44601, arXiv:2111.14360.
- [2] Particle Data Group, R. L. Workman *et al.*, *Review of particle physics*, Prog. Theor.
 Exp. Phys. **2022** (2022) 083C01.
- [3] A. Lenz, Lifetimes and heavy quark expansion, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015)
 1543005, arXiv:1405.3601.
- [4] M. Neubert, B decays and the heavy quark expansion, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy
 Phys. 15 (1998) 239, arXiv:hep-ph/9702375.
- ³⁵⁶ [5] M. Neubert, *Heavy quark symmetry*, Phys. Rept. **245** (1994) 259,
 ³⁵⁷ arXiv:hep-ph/9306320.
- [6] C.-K. Chua, Color-allowed bottom baryon to s-wave and p-wave charmed baryon nonleptonic decays, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) 034025, arXiv:1905.00153.
- [7] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Study of beauty hadron decays into pairs of charm
 hadrons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 202001, arXiv:1403.3606.
- [8] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of b hadron production fractions in
 7 TeV pp collisions, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 032008, arXiv:1111.2357.
- [9] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Study of the kinematic dependences of Λ_b^0 production in pp collisions and a measurement of the $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-$ branching fraction, JHEP **08** (2014) 143, arXiv:1405.6842.
- [10] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of b-hadron fractions in 13 TeV pp collisions, Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) 031102(R), arXiv:1902.06794.
- [11] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the mass and production rate of Ξ_b^- baryons, Phys. Rev. **D99** (2019) 052006, arXiv:1901.07075.
- [12] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *LHCb detector performance*, Int. J. Mod. Phys.
 A30 (2015) 1530022, arXiv:1412.6352.
- ³⁷³ [13] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *The LHCb detector at the LHC*, JINST **3** ³⁷⁴ (2008) S08005.
- ³⁷⁵ [14] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the Λ_b^0 , Ξ_b^- and Ω_b^- baryon masses, ³⁷⁶ Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 182001, arXiv:1302.1072.
- ³⁷⁷ [15] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Precision measurement of D meson mass differ-*³⁷⁸ *ences*, JHEP **06** (2013) 065, arXiv:1304.6865.
- [16] R. Aaij et al., Performance of the LHCb trigger and full real-time reconstruction in Run 2 of the LHC, JINST 14 (2019) P04013, arXiv:1812.10790.

- [17] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput.
 Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820.
- [18] D. J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, Nucl. Instrum. Meth.
 A462 (2001) 152.
- [19] N. Davidson, T. Przedzinski, and Z. Was, PHOTOS interface in C++: Technical and physics documentation, Comp. Phys. Comm. 199 (2016) 86, arXiv:1011.0937.
- [20] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison et al., Geant4 developments and applications, IEEE
 Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53 (2006) 270.
- [21] LHCb collaboration, M. Clemencic et al., The LHCb simulation application, Gauss:
 Design, evolution and experience, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 331 (2011) 032023.
- [22] R. Aaij et al., Selection and processing of calibration samples to measure the particle
 identification performance of the LHCb experiment in Run 2, Eur. Phys. J. Tech.
 Instr. 6 (2019) 1, arXiv:1803.00824.
- ³⁹⁴ [23] Y. Freund and R. E. Schapire, A decision-theoretic generalization of on-line learning ³⁹⁵ and an application to boosting, J. Comput. Syst. Sci. **55** (1997) 119.
- ³⁹⁶ [24] R. H. Dalitz, On the analysis of τ -meson data and the nature of the τ -meson, Phil. ³⁹⁷ Mag. Ser. 7 44 (1953) 1068.
- [25] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the track reconstruction efficiency
 at LHCb, JINST 10 (2015) P02007, arXiv:1408.1251.
- [26] A. Rogozhnikov, Reweighting with boosted decision trees, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 762
 (2016) 012036, arXiv:1608.05806, https://github.com/arogozhnikov/hep_ml.
- [27] W. D. Hulsbergen, Decay chain fitting with a Kalman filter, Nucl. Instrum. Meth.
 A552 (2005) 566, arXiv:physics/0503191.
- [28] T. Skwarnicki, A study of the radiative cascade transitions between the Upsilon-prime
 and Upsilon resonances, PhD thesis, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, 1986,
 DESY-F31-86-02.
- [29] C. Abellan Beteta et al., Calibration and performance of the LHCb calorimeters in Run 1 and 2 at the LHC, arXiv:2008.11556, submitted to JINST.
- [30] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the track reconstruction efficiency
 at LHCb, JINST 10 (2015) P02007, arXiv:1408.1251.
- [31] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of b-hadron masses*, Phys. Lett.
 B708 (2012) 241, arXiv:1112.4896.
- ⁴¹³ [32] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Precision measurement of D meson mass differ-*⁴¹⁴ *ences*, JHEP **06** (2013) 065, arXiv:1304.6865.
- ⁴¹⁵ [33] Y. K. Hsiao, P. Y. Lin, L. W. Luo, and C. Q. Geng, *Fragmentation fractions of* ⁴¹⁶ *two-body b-baryon decays*, Phys. Lett. B **751** (2015) 127, arXiv:1510.01808.

- ⁴¹⁷ [34] H.-Y. Jiang and F.-S. Yu, Fragmentation-fraction ratio f_{Ξ_b}/f_{Λ_b} in b- and c-baryon ⁴¹⁸ decays, Eur. Phys. J. C **78** (2018) 224, arXiv:1802.02948.
- [35] Y.-S. Li and X. Liu, Restudy of the color-allowed two-body nonleptonic decays of
 bottom baryons Ξb and Ωb supported by hadron spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022)
 013003, arXiv:2112.02481.

LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij³³, A.S.W. Abdelmotteleb⁵², C. Abellan Beteta⁴⁶, F. Abudinén⁵², 422 T. Ackernley⁵⁶ , B. Adeva⁴² , M. Adinolfi⁵⁰ , P. Adlarson⁷⁸ , H. Afsharnia¹⁰ 423 C. Agapopoulou⁴⁴, C.A. Aidala⁷⁹, Z. Ajaltouni¹⁰, S. Akar⁶¹, K. Akiba³³, 424 P. Albicocco²⁴, J. Albrecht¹⁶, F. Alessio⁴⁴, M. Alexander⁵⁵, A. Alfonso Albero⁴¹, 425 Z. Aliouche⁵⁸, P. Alvarez Cartelle⁵¹, R. Amalric¹⁴, S. Amato², J.L. Amey⁵⁰, 426 Y. Amhis^{12,44}, L. An⁵, L. Anderlini²³, M. Andersson⁴⁶, A. Andreianov³⁹, 427 P. Andreola⁴⁶ , M. Andreotti²² , D. Andreou⁶⁴ , D. Ao⁶ , F. Archilli^{32,v} 428 S. Arguedas Cuendis⁸ (D), A. Artamonov³⁹ (D), M. Artuso⁶⁴ (D), E. Aslanides¹¹ (D), M. Atzeni⁶⁰ (D), 429 B. Audurier¹³ (D, D. Bacher⁵⁹ (D, I. Bachiller Perea⁹ (D, S. Bachmann¹⁸ (D, M. Bachmayer⁴⁵ (D, 430 J.J. Back⁵² D, A. Bailly-revre¹⁴, P. Baladron Rodriguez⁴² D, V. Balagura¹³ D, 431 W. Baldini^{22,44} , J. Baptista de Souza Leite¹ , M. Barbetti^{23,m} , I. R. Barbosa⁶⁶ , 432 R.J. Barlow⁵⁸ (D, S. Barsuk¹² (D, W. Barter⁵⁴ (D, M. Bartolini⁵¹ (D, F. Baryshnikov³⁹ (D, 433 J.M. Basels¹⁵ \bigcirc , G. Bassi^{30,s} \bigcirc , B. Batsukh⁴ \bigcirc , A. Battig¹⁶ \bigcirc , A. Bay⁴⁵ \bigcirc , A. Beck⁵² \bigcirc , 434 M. Becker¹⁶ \bigcirc , F. Bedeschi³⁰ \bigcirc , I.B. Bediaga¹ \bigcirc , A. Beiter⁶⁴, S. Belin⁴² \bigcirc , V. Bellee⁴⁶ \bigcirc , 435 K. Belous³⁹ (D), I. Belov²⁵ (D), I. Belyaev³⁹ (D), G. Benane¹¹ (D), G. Bencivenni²⁴ (D), 436 E. Ben-Haim¹⁴, A. Berezhnoy³⁹, R. Bernet⁴⁶, S. Bernet Andres⁴⁰, D. Berninghoff¹⁸, 437 H.C. Bernstein⁶⁴, C. Bertella⁵⁸, A. Bertolin²⁹, C. Betancourt⁴⁶, F. Betti⁵⁴, J. 438 Bex⁵¹ (b), Ia. Bezshyiko⁴⁶ (b), J. Bhom³⁶ (b), L. Bian⁷⁰ (b), M.S. Bieker¹⁶ (b), N.V. Biesuz²² (b), 439 P. Billoir¹⁴ D, A. Biolchini³³ D, M. Birch⁵⁷ D, F.C.R. Bishop⁵¹ D, A. Bitadze⁵⁸ D, 440 A. Bizzeti D, M.P. Blago⁵¹ D, T. Blake⁵² D, F. Blanc⁴⁵ D, J.E. Blank¹⁶ D, S. Blusk⁶⁴ D, 441 D. Bobulska⁵⁵ (D, V. Bocharnikov³⁹ (D, J.A. Boelhauve¹⁶ (D, O. Boente Garcia¹³ (D, 442 T. Boettcher⁶¹, A. Bohare⁵⁴, A. Boldyrev³⁹, C.S. Bolognani⁷⁶, R. Bolzonella^{22,l} 443 N. Bondar³⁹, F. Borgato^{29,44}, S. Borghi⁵⁸, M. Borsato¹⁸, J.T. Borsuk³⁶, 444 S.A. Bouchiba⁴⁵ D, T.J.V. Bowcock⁵⁶ D, A. Boyer⁴⁴ D, C. Bozzi²² D, M.J. Bradley⁵⁷, 445 S. Braun⁶² , A. Brea Rodriguez⁴² , N. Breer¹⁶ , J. Brodzicka³⁶ , A. Brossa Gonzalo⁴² , 446 J. Brown⁵⁶ D. Brundu²⁸ D, A. Buonaura⁴⁶ D, L. Buonincontri²⁹ D, A.T. Burke⁵⁸ D, 447 C. Burr⁴⁴, A. Bursche⁶⁸, A. Butkevich³⁹, J.S. Butter³³, J. Buytaert⁴⁴, 448 W. Byczynski⁴⁴, S. Cadeddu²⁸, H. Cai⁷⁰, R. Calabrese^{22,1}, L. Calefice¹⁶, S. Cali²⁴, 449 M. Calvi^{27,p}, M. Calvo Gomez⁴⁰, J. Cambon Bouzas⁴², P. Campana²⁴, 450 D.H. Campora Perez⁷⁶, A.F. Campoverde Quezada⁶, S. Capelli^{27,p}, L. Capriotti²², 451 A. Carbone^{21,j} D, L. Carcedo Salgado⁴² D, R. Cardinale^{25,n} D, A. Cardini²⁸ D, P. Carniti^{27,p} D, 452 L. Carus¹⁸, A. Casais Vidal⁴², R. Caspary¹⁸, G. Casse⁵⁶, M. Cattaneo⁴⁴, 453 G. Cavallero²² , V. Cavallini^{22,l} , S. Celani⁴⁵ , J. Cerasoli¹¹ , D. Cervenkov⁵⁹ , S. 454 Cesare^{26,o}, A.J. Chadwick⁵⁶, I. Chahrour⁷⁹, M.G. Chapman⁵⁰, M. Charles¹⁴, 455 Ph. Charpentier⁴⁴ , C.A. Chavez Barajas⁵⁶ , M. Chefdeville⁹ , C. Chen¹¹ , S. Chen⁴ , 456 A. Chernov³⁶ , S. Chernyshenko⁴⁸ , V. Chobanova^{42,z} , S. Cholak⁴⁵ , M. Chrzaszcz³⁶ , 457 A. Chubykin³⁹, V. Chulikov³⁹, P. Ciambrone²⁴, M.F. Cicala⁵², X. Cid Vidal⁴², 458 G. Ciezarek⁴⁴, P. Cifra⁴⁴, P.E.L. Clarke⁵⁴, M. Clemencic⁴⁴, H.V. Cliff⁵¹, 459 J. Closier⁴⁴, J.L. Cobbledick⁵⁸, C. Cocha Toapaxi¹⁸, V. Coco⁴⁴, J. Cogan¹¹, 460 E. Cogneras¹⁰ (D, L. Cojocariu³⁸ (D, P. Collins⁴⁴ (D, T. Colombo⁴⁴ (D, A. Comerma-Montells⁴¹ (D, 461 L. Congedo²⁰ D, A. Contu²⁸ D, N. Cooke⁵⁵ D, I. Corredoira ⁴² D, A. Correia¹⁴ D, G. Corti⁴⁴ D, 462 J.J. Cottee Meldrum⁵⁰, B. Couturier⁴⁴, D.C. Craik⁴⁶, M. Cruz Torres^{1,h}, R. Currie⁵⁴, 463 C.L. Da Silva⁶³ (D, S. Dadabaev³⁹ (D, L. Dai⁶⁷ (D, X. Dai⁵ (D, E. Dall'Occo¹⁶ (D, J. Dalseno⁴² (D, 464 C. D'Ambrosio⁴⁴ , J. Daniel¹⁰ , A. Danilina³⁹ , P. d'Argent²⁰ , A. Davidson⁵² 465 J.E. Davies⁵⁸ (D), A. Davis⁵⁸ (D), O. De Aguiar Francisco⁵⁸ (D), C. De Angelis^{28,k}, J. de Boer³³ (D), 466 K. De Bruyn⁷⁵, S. De Capua⁵⁸, M. De Cian¹⁸, U. De Freitas Carneiro Da Graca^{1,b}, 467 E. De Lucia²⁴ (D), J.M. De Miranda¹ (D), L. De Paula² (D), M. De Serio^{20,i} (D), D. De Simone⁴⁶ (D), 468

P. De Simone²⁴, F. De Vellis¹⁶, J.A. de Vries⁷⁶, C.T. Dean⁶³, F. Debernardis^{20,i},

D. Decamp⁹, V. Dedu¹¹, L. Del Buono¹⁴, B. Delaney⁶⁰, H.-P. Dembinski¹⁶, 470 J. Deng⁷ $(D, V. Denvsenko^{46} (D, O. Deschamps^{10} (D, F. Dettori^{28,k} (D, B. Dev^{73} (D, C)))$ 471 P. Di Nezza²⁴ , I. Diachkov³⁹ , S. Didenko³⁹ , S. Ding⁶⁴ , V. Dobishuk⁴⁸ , A. D. 472 Docheva⁵⁵, A. Dolmatov³⁹, C. Dong³, A.M. Donohoe¹⁹, F. Dordei²⁸, 473 A.C. dos Reis¹, L. Douglas⁵⁵, A.G. Downes⁹, W. Duan⁶⁸, P. Duda⁷⁷, 474 M.W. Dudek³⁶ (D), L. Dufour⁴⁴ (D), V. Duk⁷⁴ (D), P. Durante⁴⁴ (D), M. M. Duras⁷⁷ (D), 475 J.M. Durham⁶³ D, D. Dutta⁵⁸ D, A. Dziurda³⁶ D, A. Dzyuba³⁹ D, S. Easo^{53,44} D, 476 E. Eckstein⁷², U. Egede⁶⁵, A. Egorychev³⁹, V. Egorychev³⁹, C. Eirea Orro⁴², 477 S. Eisenhardt⁵⁴ , E. Ejopu⁵⁸ , S. Ek-In⁴⁵ , L. Eklund⁷⁸ , M. Elashri⁶¹ , 478 J. Ellbracht¹⁶ (D, S. Ely⁵⁷ (D, A. Ene³⁸ (D, E. Epple⁶¹ (D, S. Escher¹⁵ (D, J. Eschle⁴⁶ (D, 479 S. Esen⁴⁶ (D, T. Evans⁵⁸ (D, F. Fabiano^{28,k,44} (D, L.N. Falcao¹ (D, Y. Fan⁶ (D, B. Fang^{70,12} (D, 480 L. Fantini^{74,r} (D, M). Faria⁴⁵ (D, K). Farmer⁵⁴ (D, S). Farry⁵⁶ (D, D). Fazzini^{27,p} (D, K). 481 L. Felkowski⁷⁷ \bigcirc , M. Feng^{4,6} \bigcirc , M. Feo⁴⁴ \bigcirc , M. Fernandez Gomez⁴² \bigcirc , A.D. Fernez⁶² \bigcirc , 482 F. Ferrari²¹, L. Ferreira Lopes⁴⁵, F. Ferreira Rodrigues², S. Ferreres Sole³³, 483 M. Ferrillo⁴⁶ (\bigcirc , M. Ferro-Luzzi⁴⁴ (\bigcirc , S. Filippov³⁹ (\bigcirc , R.A. Fini²⁰ (\bigcirc , M. Fiorini^{22,l} (\bigcirc), 484 M. Firlej³⁵ D, K.M. Fischer⁵⁹ D, D.S. Fitzgerald⁷⁹ D, C. Fitzpatrick⁵⁸ D, T. Fiutowski³⁵ D, 485 F. Fleuret¹³ D, M. Fontana²¹ D, F. Fontanelli^{25,n} D, L. F. Foreman⁵⁸ D, R. Forty⁴⁴ D, 486 D. Foulds-Holt⁵¹, M. Franco Sevilla⁶², M. Frank⁴⁴, E. Franzoso^{22,l}, G. Frau¹⁸, 487 C. Frei⁴⁴, D.A. Friday⁵⁸, L. Frontini^{26,0}, J. Fu⁶, Q. Fuehring¹⁶, Y. Fujii⁶⁵, 488 T. Fulghesu¹⁴ , E. Gabriel³³ , G. Galati^{20,i} , M.D. Galati³³ , A. Gallas Torreira⁴² 489 D. Galli^{21,j} , S. Gambetta^{54,44} , M. Gandelman² , P. Gandini²⁶ , H. Gao⁶ , 490 R. Gao⁵⁹ (b), Y. Gao⁷ (b), Y. Gao⁵ (b), Y. Gao⁷, M. Garau^{28,k} (b), L.M. Garcia Martin⁴⁵ (b), 491 P. Garcia Moreno⁴¹ D. J. García Pardiñas⁴⁴ D. B. Garcia Plana⁴², F.A. Garcia Rosales¹³ D. 492 L. Garrido⁴¹ D, C. Gaspar⁴⁴ D, R.E. Geertsema³³ D, L.L. Gerken¹⁶ D, E. Gersabeck⁵⁸ D, 493 M. Gersabeck⁵⁸, T. Gershon⁵², Z. Ghorbanimoghaddam⁵⁰, L. Giambastiani²⁹, F. I. 494 Giasemis^{14, f} $(D, V. Gibson^{51} (D, H.K. Giemza^{37} (D, A.L. Gilman^{59} (D, M. Giovannetti²⁴ (D,$ 495 A. Gioventù⁴² (D, P. Gironella Gironell⁴¹ (D, C. Giugliano^{22,l} (D, M.A. Giza³⁶ (D, K. Gizdov⁵⁴ (D, 496 E.L. Gkougkousis⁴⁴ , F.C. Glaser^{12,18} , V.V. Gligorov¹⁴ , C. Göbel⁶⁶ , E. Golobardes⁴⁰ 497 D. Golubkov³⁹ (D), A. Golutvin^{57,39,44} (D), A. Gomes^{1,2,c,a,†} (D), S. Gomez Fernandez⁴¹ (D), 498 F. Goncalves Abrantes⁵⁹, M. Goncerz³⁶, G. Gong³, J. A. Gooding¹⁶, I.V. Gorelov³⁹, 499 C. Gotti²⁷, J.P. Grabowski⁷², L.A. Granado Cardoso⁴⁴, E. Graugés⁴¹, 500 E. Graverini⁴⁵ D, L. Grazette⁵² D, G. Graziani D, A. T. Grecu³⁸ D, L.M. Greeven³³ D, 501 N.A. Grieser⁶¹ D, L. Grillo⁵⁵ D, S. Gromov³⁹ D, C. Gu¹³ D, M. Guarise²² D, M. Guittiere¹² D, 502 V. Guliaeva³⁹ , P. A. Günther¹⁸ , A.-K. Guseinov³⁹ , E. Gushchin³⁹ , Y. Guz^{5,39,44} , 503 T. Gys⁴⁴ D, T. Hadavizadeh⁶⁵ D, C. Hadjivasiliou⁶² D, G. Haefeli⁴⁵ D, C. Haen⁴⁴ D, 504 J. Haimberger⁴⁴, S.C. Haines⁵¹, M. Hajheidari⁴⁴, T. Halewood-leagas⁵⁶, 505 M.M. Halvorsen⁴⁴, P.M. Hamilton⁶², J. Hammerich⁵⁶, Q. Han⁷, X. Han¹⁸, 506 S. Hansmann-Menzemer¹⁸, L. Hao⁶, N. Harnew⁵⁹, T. Harrison⁵⁶, M. Hartmann¹², 507 C. Hasse⁴⁴ (\bigcirc , M. Hatch⁴⁴ (\bigcirc , J. He^{6,e} (\bigcirc , K. Heijhoff³³ (\bigcirc , F. Hemmer⁴⁴ (\bigcirc , C. Henderson⁶¹ (\bigcirc , 508 R.D.L. Henderson^{65,52}, A.M. Hennequin⁴⁴, K. Hennessy⁵⁶, L. Henry⁴⁵, J. Herd⁵⁷, 509 J. Heuel¹⁵ D, A. Hicheur² D, D. Hill⁴⁵ D, M. Hilton⁵⁸ D, S.E. Hollitt¹⁶ D, J. Horswill⁵⁸ D, 510 R. Hou⁷ (D, Y. Hou⁹ (D, N. Howarth⁵⁶, J. Hu¹⁸, J. Hu⁶⁸ (D, W. Hu⁵ (D, X. Hu³ (D, W. Huang⁶ (D, 511 X. Huang⁷⁰, W. Hulsbergen³³, R.J. Hunter⁵², M. Hushchyn³⁹, D. Hutchcroft⁵⁶, 512 P. Ibis¹⁶ (D), M. Idzik³⁵ (D), D. Ilin³⁹ (D), P. Ilten⁶¹ (D), A. Inglessi³⁹ (D), A. Iniukhin³⁹ (D), 513 A. Ishteev³⁹ (D, K. Ivshin³⁹ (D, R. Jacobsson⁴⁴ (D, H. Jage¹⁵ (D, S.J. Jaimes Elles^{43,71} (D, 514 S. Jakobsen⁴⁴ , E. Jans³³ , B.K. Jashal⁴³ , A. Jawaherv⁶² , V. Jevtic¹⁶ , E. Jiang⁶² 515 X. Jiang^{4,6} (D, Y). Jiang⁶ (D, Y). J. Jiang⁵ (D, M). John⁵⁹ (D, D). Johnson⁴⁹ (D, C). R. Jones⁵¹ (D, C). 516 T.P. Jones⁵² , S. Joshi³⁷ , B. Jost⁴⁴ , N. Jurik⁴⁴ , I. Juszczak³⁶ , D. Kaminaris⁴⁵ , 517 S. Kandybei⁴⁷ D, Y. Kang³ D, M. Karacson⁴⁴ D, D. Karpenkov³⁹ D, M. Karpov³⁹ D, A. M. 518

⁵¹⁹ Kauniskangas⁴⁵, J.W. Kautz⁶¹, F. Keizer⁴⁴, D.M. Keller⁶⁴, M. Kenzie⁵¹,

T. Ketel³³, B. Khanji⁶⁴, A. Kharisova³⁹, S. Kholodenko³⁰, G. Khreich¹², 520 T. Kirn¹⁵ \bigcirc , V.S. Kirsebom⁴⁵ \bigcirc , O. Kitouni⁶⁰ \bigcirc , S. Klaver³⁴ \bigcirc , N. Kleijne^{30,s} \bigcirc , 521 K. Klimaszewski³⁷ , M.R. Kmiec³⁷ , S. Koliiev⁴⁸ , L. Kolk¹⁶ , A. Konoplyannikov³⁹ , 522 P. Kopciewicz^{35,44}, R. Kopecna¹⁸, P. Koppenburg³³, M. Korolev³⁹, I. Kostiuk³³, 523 O. Kot⁴⁸, S. Kotriakhova, A. Kozachuk³⁹, P. Kravchenko³⁹, L. Kravchuk³⁹, 524 M. Kreps⁵², S. Kretzschmar¹⁵, P. Krokovny³⁹, W. Krupa⁶⁴, W. Krzemien³⁷, 525 J. Kubat¹⁸, S. Kubis⁷⁷, W. Kucewicz³⁶, M. Kucharczyk³⁶, V. Kudryavtsev³⁹, 526 E. Kulikova³⁹, A. Kupsc⁷⁸, B. K. Kutsenko¹¹, D. Lacarrere⁴⁴, G. Lafferty⁵⁸, 527 A. Lai²⁸ (b), A. Lampis^{28,k} (b), D. Lancierini⁴⁶ (b), C. Landesa Gomez⁴² (b), J.J. Lane⁶⁵ (b), 528 R. Lane⁵⁰, C. Langenbruch¹⁸, J. Langer¹⁶, O. Lantwin³⁹, T. Latham⁵², 529 F. Lazzari^{30,t} (D, C). Lazzeroni⁴⁹ (D, R). Le Gac¹¹ (D, S.H). Lee⁷⁹ (D, R). Lefevre¹⁰ (D, A). Leflat³⁹ (D, R). 530 S. Legotin³⁹ \bigcirc , O. Leroy¹¹ \bigcirc , T. Lesiak³⁶ \bigcirc , B. Leverington¹⁸ \bigcirc , A. Li³ \bigcirc , H. Li⁶⁸ \bigcirc , 531 K. Li⁷ D, L. Li⁵⁸ D, P. Li⁴⁴ D, P.-R. Li⁶⁹ D, S. Li⁷ D, T. Li⁴ D, T. Li⁶⁸ D, Y. Li⁷, Y. Li⁴ D, 532 Z. Li⁶⁴ $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{Z}, \operatorname{Lian}^{3} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{X}, \operatorname{Liang}^{64} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{Lin}^{6} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{T}, \operatorname{Lin}^{53} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{R}, \operatorname{Lindner}^{44} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{V}, \operatorname{Lisovskyi}^{45} (\mathbf{D}, \operatorname{Lin}^{64} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{X}, \operatorname{Liang}^{64} (\mathbf{D}, \operatorname{Lin}^{64} (\mathbf{$ 533 R. Litvinov^{28,k} (D, G. Liu⁶⁸ (D, H. Liu⁶ (D, K. Liu⁶⁹ (D, Q. Liu⁶ (D, S. Liu^{4,6} (D, Y. Liu⁵⁴ (D, 534 Y. Liu⁶⁹, A. Lobo Salvia⁴¹, A. Loi²⁸, J. Lomba Castro⁴², T. Long⁵¹, I. Longstaff⁵⁵, 535 J.H. Lopes² , A. Lopez Huertas⁴¹ , S. López Soliño⁴² , G.H. Lovell⁵¹ , Y. Lu^{4,d} 536 C. Lucarelli^{23,m} $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{Lucchesi}^{29,q} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Luchuk}^{39} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{Lucio} \mathbf{Martinez}^{76} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Luchuk}^{39} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{Lucio} \mathbf{Martinez}^{76} (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}$ 537 V. Lukashenko^{33,48} (D), Y. Luo³ (D), A. Lupato²⁹ (D), E. Luppi^{22,l} (D), K. Lynch¹⁹ (D), X.-R. Lyu⁶ (D), 538 G. M. Ma³ , R. Ma⁶ , S. Maccolini¹⁶ , F. Machefert¹² , F. Maciuc³⁸ , I. Mackay⁵⁹ , 539 L.R. Madhan Mohan⁵¹ (D), M. M. Madurai⁴⁹ (D), A. Maevskiy³⁹ (D), D. Magdalinski³³ (D), 540 D. Maisuzenko³⁹, M.W. Majewski³⁵, J.J. Malczewski³⁶, S. Malde⁵⁹, B. Malecki^{36,44}, 541 L. Malentacca⁴⁴, A. Malinin³⁹, T. Maltsev³⁹, G. Manca^{28,k}, G. Mancinelli¹¹, 542 C. Mancuso^{26,12,0} (D, R. Manera Escalero⁴¹, D. Manuzzi²¹ (D, D. Marangotto^{26,0} (D, 543 J.F. Marchand⁹ (D), U. Marconi²¹ (D), S. Mariani⁴⁴ (D), C. Marin Benito^{41,44} (D), J. Marks¹⁸ (D), 544 A.M. Marshall⁵⁰, P.J. Marshall⁵⁶, G. Martelli^{74,r}, G. Martellotti³¹, L. Martinazzoli⁴⁴, 545 M. Martinelli^{27,p}, D. Martinez Santos⁴², F. Martinez Vidal⁴³, A. Massafferri¹, 546 M. Materok¹⁵ (D, R. Matev⁴⁴ (D, A. Mathad⁴⁶ (D, V. Matiunin³⁹ (D, C. Matteuzzi^{64,27} (D, 547 K.R. Mattioli¹³, A. Mauri⁵⁷, E. Maurice¹³, J. Mauricio⁴¹, M. Mazurek⁴⁴, 548 M. McCann⁵⁷, L. Mcconnell¹⁹, T.H. McGrath⁵⁸, N.T. McHugh⁵⁵, A. McNab⁵⁸, 549 R. McNulty¹⁹ , B. Meadows⁶¹ , G. Meier¹⁶ , D. Melnychuk³⁷ , M. Merk^{33,76} 550 A. Merli^{26,o} (b), L. Meyer Garcia² (b), D. Miao^{4,6} (b), H. Miao⁶ (b), M. Mikhasenko^{72,g} (b), 551 D.A. Milanes⁷¹ \bigcirc , A. Minotti^{27,p} \bigcirc , E. Minucci⁶⁴ \bigcirc , T. Miralles¹⁰ \bigcirc , S.E. Mitchell⁵⁴ \bigcirc , 552 B. Mitreska¹⁶, D.S. Mitzel¹⁶, A. Modak⁵³, A. Mödden ¹⁶, R.A. Mohammed⁵⁹, 553 R.D. Moise¹⁵ \bigcirc , S. Mokhnenko³⁹ \bigcirc , T. Mombächer⁴⁴ \bigcirc , M. Monk^{52,65} \bigcirc , I.A. Monroy⁷¹ \bigcirc , 554 S. Monteil¹⁰, A. Morcillo Gomez⁴², G. Morello²⁴, M.J. Morello^{30,s}, 555 M.P. Morgenthaler¹⁸, J. Moron³⁵, A.B. Morris⁴⁴, A.G. Morris¹¹, R. Mountain⁶⁴, 556 H. Mu^3 (D), Z. M. Mu^5 (D), E. Muhammad⁵² (D), F. Muheim⁵⁴ (D), M. Mulder⁷⁵ (D), K. Müller⁴⁶ (D), 557 F. Mũnoz-Rojas⁸ (D), R. Murta⁵⁷ (D), P. Naik⁵⁶ (D), T. Nakada⁴⁵ (D), R. Nandakumar⁵³ (D), 558 T. Nanut⁴⁴ D, I. Nasteva² D, M. Needham⁵⁴ D, N. Neri^{26,0} D, S. Neubert⁷² D, N. Neufeld⁴⁴ D, 559 P. Neustroev³⁹, R. Newcombe⁵⁷, J. Nicolini^{16,12}, D. Nicotra⁷⁶, E.M. Niel⁴⁵, 560 N. Nikitin³⁹, P. Nogga⁷², N.S. Nolte⁶⁰, C. Normand^{9,k,28}, J. Novoa Fernandez⁴², 561 G. Nowak⁶¹, C. Nunez⁷⁹, H. N. Nur⁵⁵, A. Oblakowska-Mucha³⁵, V. Obraztsov³⁹, 562 T. Oeser¹⁵ D, S. Okamura^{22,l,44} D, R. Oldeman^{28,k} D, F. Oliva⁵⁴ D, M. Olocco¹⁶ D, 563 C.J.G. Onderwater⁷⁶, R.H. O'Neil⁵⁴, J.M. Otalora Goicochea², T. Ovsiannikova³⁹, 564 P. Owen⁴⁶ D, A. Oyanguren⁴³ D, O. Ozcelik⁵⁴ D, K.O. Padeken⁷² D, B. Pagare⁵² D, 565 P.R. Pais¹⁸ (D), T. Pajero⁵⁹ (D), A. Palano²⁰ (D), M. Palutan²⁴ (D), G. Panshin³⁹ (D), L. Paolucci⁵² (D), 566 A. Papanestis⁵³, M. Pappagallo^{20,i}, L.L. Pappalardo^{22,l}, C. Pappenheimer⁶¹, 567 C. Parkes^{58,44} (D, B. Passalacqua^{22,l} (D, G. Passaleva²³ (D, D. Passaro^{30,s} (D, A. Pastore²⁰ (D, 568

⁵⁶⁹ M. Patel⁵⁷ , J. Patoc⁵⁹ , C. Patrignani^{21,j} , C.J. Pawley⁷⁶ , A. Pellegrino³³ ,

- M. Pepe Altarelli²⁴, S. Perazzini²¹, D. Pereima³⁹, A. Pereiro Castro⁴², P. Perret¹⁰, 570 A. Perro⁴⁴ (D), K. Petridis⁵⁰ (D), A. Petrolini^{25,n} (D), S. Petrucci⁵⁴ (D), H. Pham⁶⁴ (D), L. Pica^{30,s} (D), 571 M. Piccini⁷⁴ , B. Pietrzyk⁹ , G. Pietrzyk¹² , D. Pinci³¹ , F. Pisani⁴⁴ 572 M. Pizzichemi^{27,p}, V. Placinta³⁸, M. Plo Casasus⁴², F. Polci^{14,44}, M. Poli Lener²⁴, 573 A. Poluektov¹¹, N. Polukhina³⁹, I. Polyakov⁴⁴, E. Polycarpo², S. Ponce⁴⁴, 574 D. Popov⁶ D, S. Poslavskii³⁹ D, K. Prasanth³⁶ D, L. Promberger¹⁸ D, C. Prouve⁴² D, 575 V. Pugatch⁴⁸ $(b, V. Puill^{12}$ $(b, G. Punzi^{30,t}$ $(b, H.R. Qi^3$ $(b, W. Qian^6$ $(b, N. Qin^3$ $(b, S. Qu^3$ (b, S)576 R. Quagliani⁴⁵ , B. Rachwal³⁵ , J.H. Rademacker⁵⁰ , M. Rama³⁰ , M. 577 Ramírez García⁷⁹ (D), M. Ramos Pernas⁵² (D), M.S. Rangel² (D), F. Ratnikov³⁹ (D), G. Raven³⁴ (D), 578 M. Rebollo De Miguel⁴³, F. Redi⁴⁴, J. Reich⁵⁰, F. Reiss⁵⁸, Z. Ren³, 579 P.K. Resmi⁵⁹ (D), R. Ribatti^{30,s} (D), G. R. Ricart^{13,80} (D), D. Riccardi^{30,s} (D), S. Ricciardi⁵³ (D), 580 K. Richardson⁶⁰, M. Richardson-Slipper⁵⁴, K. Rinnert⁵⁶, P. Robbe¹², 581 G. Robertson⁵⁴ \bigcirc , E. Rodrigues^{56,44} \bigcirc , E. Rodriguez Fernandez⁴² \bigcirc , 582 J.A. Rodriguez Lopez⁷¹, E. Rodriguez Rodriguez⁴², A. Rogovskiy⁵³, D.L. Rolf⁴⁴, 583 A. Rollings⁵⁹, P. Roloff⁴⁴, V. Romanovskiy³⁹, M. Romero Lamas⁴², 584 A. Romero Vidal⁴², G. Romolini²², F. Ronchetti⁴⁵, M. Rotondo²⁴, M.S. Rudolph⁶⁴, 585 T. Ruf⁴⁴ , R.A. Ruiz Fernandez⁴², J. Ruiz Vidal⁴³, A. Ryzhikov³⁹, J. Ryzka³⁵, 586 J.J. Saborido Silva⁴² D, N. Sagidova³⁹ D, N. Sahoo⁴⁹ D, B. Saitta^{28,k} D, M. Salomoni⁴⁴ D, 587 C. Sanchez Gras³³, I. Sanderswood⁴³, R. Santacesaria³¹, C. Santamarina Rios⁴², 588 M. Santimaria²⁴, L. Santoro¹, E. Santovetti³², D. Saranin³⁹, G. Sarpis⁵⁴, 589 M. Sarpis⁷² (D, A. Sarti³¹ (D, C. Satriano^{31,u} (D, A. Satta³² (D, M. Saur⁵ (D, D. Savrina³⁹ (D, 590 H. Sazak¹⁰ (b), L.G. Scantlebury Smead⁵⁹ (b), A. Scarabotto¹⁴ (b), S. Schael¹⁵ (b), S. Scherl⁵⁶ (b), A. 591 M. Schertz⁷³, M. Schiller⁵⁵, H. Schindler⁴⁴, M. Schmelling¹⁷, B. Schmidt⁴⁴, 592 S. Schmitt¹⁵, O. Schneider⁴⁵, A. Schopper⁴⁴, N. Schulte¹⁶, S. Schulte⁴⁵, 593 M.H. Schune¹², R. Schwemmer⁴⁴, G. Schwering¹⁵, B. Sciascia²⁴, A. Sciuccati⁴⁴, 594 S. Sellam⁴² $(D, A. Semennikov^{39} (D, M. Senghi Soares^{34} (D, A. Sergi^{25,n} (D, N. Serra^{46,44} (D, N. S$ 595 L. Sestini²⁹, A. Seuthe¹⁶, Y. Shang⁵, D.M. Shangase⁷⁹, M. Shapkin³⁹, 596 I. Shchemerov³⁹, L. Shchutska⁴⁵, T. Shears⁵⁶, L. Shekhtman³⁹, Z. Shen⁵, 597 S. Sheng^{4,6} , V. Shevchenko³⁹ , B. Shi⁶ , E.B. Shields^{27,p} , Y. Shimizu¹² 598 E. Shmanin³⁹, R. Shorkin³⁹, J.D. Shupperd⁶⁴, B.G. Siddi^{22,l}, R. Silva Coutinho⁶⁴, 599 G. Simi²⁹ \bigcirc , S. Simone^{20,i} \bigcirc , M. Singla⁶⁵ \bigcirc , N. Skidmore⁵⁸ \bigcirc , R. Skuza¹⁸ \bigcirc , 600 T. Skwarnicki⁶⁴, M.W. Slater⁴⁹, J.C. Smallwood⁵⁹, J.G. Smeaton⁵¹, E. Smith⁶⁰, 601 K. Smith⁶³, M. Smith⁵⁷, K. Snoch³³, L. Soares Lavra⁵⁴, M.D. Sokoloff⁶¹, 602 F.J.P. Soler⁵⁵ (D), A. Solomin^{39,50} (D), A. Solovev³⁹ (D), I. Solovyev³⁹ (D), R. Song⁶⁵ (D), Y. Song⁴⁵ (D), 603 Y. Song³, Y. S. Song⁵, F.L. Souza De Almeida², B. Souza De Paula², 604 E. Spadaro Norella^{26,0}, E. Spedicato²¹, J.G. Speer¹⁶, E. Spiridenkov³⁹, P. Spradlin⁵⁵, 605 V. Sriskaran⁴⁴, F. Stagni⁴⁴, M. Stahl⁴⁴, S. Stahl⁴⁴, S. Stahl⁴⁴, S. Stanislaus⁵⁹, E.N. Stein⁴⁴, 606 O. Steinkamp⁴⁶, O. Stenyakin³⁹, H. Stevens¹⁶, D. Strekalina³⁹, Y. Su⁶, F. Suljik⁵⁹, 607 J. Sun²⁸ (D, L. Sun⁷⁰ (D, Y. Sun⁶² (D, P.N. Swallow⁴⁹ (D, K. Swientek³⁵ (D, F. Swystun⁵² (D, 608 A. Szabelski³⁷ (D, T. Szumlak³⁵ (D, M. Szymanski⁴⁴ (D, Y. Tan³ (D, S. Taneja⁵⁸ (D, M.D. Tat⁵⁹ (D, 609 A. Terentev⁴⁶ (D, F. Terzuoli^{30,w} (D, F. Teubert⁴⁴ (D, E. Thomas⁴⁴ (D, D.J.D. Thompson⁴⁹ (D, 610 H. Tilquin⁵⁷ , V. Tisserand¹⁰, S. T'Jampens⁹, M. Tobin⁴, L. Tomassetti^{22,l}, 611 G. Tonani^{26,0} , X. Tong⁵ , D. Torres Machado¹ , L. Toscano¹⁶ , D.Y. Tou³ 612 C. Trippl⁴⁵ (D), G. Tuci¹⁸ (D), N. Tuning³³ (D), L.H. Uecker¹⁸ (D), A. Ukleja³⁷ (D), 613 D.J. Unverzagt¹⁸, E. Ursov³⁹, A. Usachov³⁴, A. Ustyuzhanin³⁹, U. Uwer¹⁸, 614 V. Vagnoni²¹ , A. Valassi⁴⁴ , G. Valenti²¹ , N. Valls Canudas⁴⁰ , M. Van Dijk⁴⁵ 615 H. Van Hecke⁶³ \bigcirc , E. van Herwijnen⁵⁷ \bigcirc , C.B. Van Hulse^{42,y} \bigcirc , R. Van Laak⁴⁵ \bigcirc , 616 M. van Veghel³³ , R. Vazquez Gomez⁴¹ , P. Vazquez Regueiro⁴² , C. Vázquez Sierra⁴² 617 S. Vecchi²² D, J.J. Velthuis⁵⁰ D, M. Veltri^{23,x} D, A. Venkateswaran⁴⁵ D, M. Vesterinen⁵² D, 618
- ⁶¹⁹ D. Vieira⁶¹, M. Vieites Diaz⁴⁴, X. Vilasis-Cardona⁴⁰, E. Vilella Figueras⁵⁶,

- A. Villa²¹ , P. Vincent¹⁴ , F.C. Volle¹² , D. vom Bruch¹¹ , V. Vorobyev³⁹, 620
- N. Voropaev³⁹ D, K. Vos⁷⁶ D, C. Vrahas⁵⁴ D, J. Walsh³⁰ D, E.J. Walton⁶⁵ D, G. Wan⁵ D, 621
- C. Wang¹⁸ (b, G. Wang⁷ (b, J. Wang⁵ (b), J. Wang⁴ (b), J. Wang³ (b), J. Wang⁷⁰ (b), M. Wang²⁶ (b), 622
- N. W. Wang⁶ , R. Wang⁵⁰ , X. Wang⁶⁸ , Y. Wang⁷ , Z. Wang⁴⁶ , Z. Wang³ 623
- Z. Wang⁶ (D, J.A. Ward^{52,65} (D, N.K. Watson⁴⁹ (D, D. Websdale⁵⁷ (D, Y. Wei⁵ (D, 624
- B.D.C. Westhenry⁵⁰, D.J. White⁵⁸, M. Whitehead⁵⁵, A.R. Wiederhold⁵², 625
- D. Wiedner¹⁶, G. Wilkinson⁵⁹, M.K. Wilkinson⁶¹, I. Williams⁵¹, M. Williams⁶⁰, 626
- M.R.J. Williams⁵⁴ , R. Williams⁵¹ , F.F. Wilson⁵³ , W. Wislicki³⁷ , M. Witek³⁶ 627
- L. Witola¹⁸ , C.P. Wong⁶³ , G. Wormser¹² , S.A. Wotton⁵¹ , H. Wu⁶⁴ , J. Wu⁷ 628
- Y. Wu⁵ D, K. Wyllie⁴⁴ D, S. Xian⁶⁸, Z. Xiang⁴ D, Y. Xie⁷ D, A. Xu³⁰ D, J. Xu⁶ D, L. Xu³ D, 629
- L. Xu³ D, M. Xu⁵² D, Z. Xu¹⁰ D, Z. Xu⁶ D, Z. Xu⁴ D, D. Yang³ D, S. Yang⁶ D, X. Yang⁵ D, 630
- Y. Yang^{25,n} (D), Z. Yang⁵ (D), Z. Yang⁶² (D), V. Yeroshenko¹² (D), H. Yeung⁵⁸ (D), H. Yin⁷ (D), C. Y. Yu⁵ (D), J. Yu⁶⁷ (D), X. Yuan⁴ (D), E. Zaffaroni⁴⁵ (D), M. Zavertyaev¹⁷ (D), M. Zdybal³⁶ (D), 631
- 632
- M. Zeng³ (b), C. Zhang⁵ (b), D. Zhang⁷ (b), J. Zhang⁶ (b), L. Zhang³ (b), S. Zhang⁶⁷ (b), 633
- S. Zhang⁵ , Y. Zhang⁵ , Y. Zhang⁵ , Y. Z. Zhang³ , Y. Zhao¹⁸ , A. Zharkova³⁹ , 634
- A. Zhelezov¹⁸ , X. Z. Zheng³ , Y. Zheng⁶ , T. Zhou⁵ , X. Zhou⁷ , Y. Zhou⁶ 635
- V. Zhovkovska¹² D, L. Z. Zhu⁶ D, X. Zhu³ D, X. Zhu⁷ D, Z. Zhu⁶ D, V. Zhukov^{15,39} D, 636
- J. Zhuo⁴³ \bigcirc , Q. Zou^{4,6} \bigcirc , S. Zucchelli^{21,j} \bigcirc , D. Zuliani²⁹ \bigcirc , G. Zunica⁵⁸ \bigcirc . 637
- ¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 638
- ² Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 639
- ³Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China 640
- ⁴Institute Of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Beijing, China 641
- ⁵School of Physics State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, 642 China 643
- ⁶University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China 644
- ⁷Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China 645
- ⁸Consejo Nacional de Rectores (CONARE), San Jose, Costa Rica 646
- ⁹Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France 647
- ¹⁰ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France 648
- ¹¹Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France 649
- ¹² Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France 650
- ¹³Laboratoire Leprince-Rinquet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 651
- Palaiseau, France 652
- ¹⁴LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France 653
- ¹⁵I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany 654
- ¹⁶Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany 655
- ¹⁷Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany 656
- ¹⁸ Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany 657
- ¹⁹School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland 658
- ²⁰INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy 659
- ²¹INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy 660
- ²²INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italu 661
- ²³INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy 662
- ²⁴INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy 663
- ²⁵INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy 664
- ²⁶INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy 665
- ²⁷ INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy 666
- ²⁸INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy 667
- ²⁹ Università degli Studi di Padova, Università e INFN, Padova, Padova, Italy 668
- ³⁰INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy 669
- ³¹INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy 670
- ³²INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy 671
- ³³Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands 672

- ⁶⁷³ ³⁴Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam,
- $_{
 m 674}$ Netherlands
- ³⁵AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science,
- 676 Kraków, Poland
- ³⁶Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland
- ⁶⁷⁸ ³⁷National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland
- ⁶⁷⁹ ³⁸Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania
- 39 Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
- ⁴⁰DS4DS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain
- ⁴¹ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- ⁴²Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (IGFAE), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela,
- 684 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
- ⁴³Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia CSIC, Valencia, Spain
- ⁴⁴European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland
- ⁴⁵Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁴⁶Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland
- ⁴⁷NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine
- ⁴⁸Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine
- ⁴⁹ University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁰H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
- ⁵¹Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- ⁵²Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
- ⁶⁹⁵ ⁵³STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁵School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁶Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁹ ⁵⁷Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁰⁰ ⁵⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
- ⁵⁹Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁰² ⁶⁰Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States
- ⁷⁰³ ⁶¹ University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States
- ⁷⁰⁴ ⁶² University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States
- ⁶³Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, NM, United States
- ⁷⁰⁶ ⁶⁴Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States
- ⁶⁵School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia, associated to ⁵²
- ⁷⁰⁸ ⁶⁶Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to ²
- ⁷⁰⁹ ⁶⁷ School of Physics and Electronics, Hunan University, Changsha City, China, associated to ⁷
- ⁶⁸ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of
- 711 Quantum Matter, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China,

 $_{712}$ associated to 3

- ⁶⁹Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, associated to ⁴
- ⁷¹⁴ ⁷⁰School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, associated to ³
- ⁷¹Departamento de Fisica, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, associated to ¹⁴
- ⁷¹⁶ ⁷² Universität Bonn Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany, associated to ¹⁸
- ⁷¹⁷ ⁷³ Eotvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary, associated to ⁴⁴
- ⁷¹⁸ ⁷⁴ INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy, associated to ²²
- ⁷¹⁹ ⁷⁵ Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, associated to ³³
- ⁷⁶ Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands, associated to ³³
- ⁷²¹ ⁷⁷ Tadeusz Kosciuszko Cracow University of Technology, Cracow, Poland, associated to ³⁶
- ⁷²² ⁷⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, associated to ⁵⁵
- ⁷²³ ⁷⁹ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, associated to ⁶⁴
- ⁸⁰Departement de Physique Nucleaire (SPhN), Gif-Sur-Yvette, France
- ⁷²⁵ ^a Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil
- ⁷²⁶ ^bCentro Federal de Educação Tecnológica Celso Suckow da Fonseca, Rio De Janeiro, Brazil
- ⁷²⁷ ^cUniversidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil
- ⁷²⁸ ^dCentral South U., Changsha, China

- ⁷²⁹ ^eHangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou, China
- ⁷³⁰ ^fLIP6, Sorbonne Universite, Paris, France
- 731 ^gExcellence Cluster ORIGINS, Munich, Germany
- ⁷³² ^h Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Tegucigalpa, Honduras
- ⁷³³ ⁱ Università di Bari, Bari, Italy
- ⁷³⁴ ^j Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- 735 ^k Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy
- 736 ^l Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
- ⁷³⁷ ^mUniversità di Firenze, Firenze, Italy
- ⁷³⁸ ⁿ Università di Genova, Genova, Italy
- ⁷³⁹ ^o Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy
- ⁷⁴⁰ ^pUniversità di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy
- 741 ^q Università di Padova, Padova, Italy
- ⁷⁴² ^r Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
- ⁷⁴³ ^sScuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy
- ⁷⁴⁴ ^t Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- ⁷⁴⁵ ^u Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy
- ⁷⁴⁶ ^v Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
- ⁷⁴⁷ ^w Università di Siena, Siena, Italy
- ⁷⁴⁸ ^x Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy
- 749 ^y Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Spain
- 750 ^z Universidade da Coruña, Coruña, Spain
- 751 $^{\dagger}Deceased$