# EXAMPLES OF EMBEDDED DEFECTS (IN PARTICLE PHYSICS AND CONDENSED MATTER)

Nathan F. Lepora<sup>\*,1</sup> and Anne-Christine Davis<sup>†,1,2</sup>

1) Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Silver Street, Cambridge, CB3 9EW, U. K.

> 2) Theory Division, CERN, Geneva 23, CH-1211, Switzerland.

> > July 1995

#### Abstract

We present a series of examples designed to clarify the formalism of the companion paper 'Embedded Vortices'. After summarising this formalism in a prescriptive sense, we run through several examples: firstly, deriving the embedded defect spectrum for Weinberg-Salam theory, then discussing several examples designed to illustrate facets of the formalism. We then calculate the embedded defect spectrum for three physical Grand Unified Theories and conclude with a discussion of vortices formed in the superfluid <sup>3</sup>He-A phase transition.

arXiv:hep-ph/9507466v6 18 May 1998 [arXiv:hep-ph/9507466v6 18 May 1998](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9507466v6)

<sup>∗</sup> e-mail: N.F.Lepora@amtp.cam.ac.uk

<sup>†</sup> e-mail: A.C.Davis@amtp.cam.ac.uk

## 1 Introduction.

Embedded defects have received an impressive amount of interest over the last couple of years. Principally this is because the Z-string, of Weinberg-Salam theory, was recently realised to be stable for part of the parameter space [\[1\]](#page-26-0), although it proves to be unstable in the physical regime [\[2](#page-26-0), [3\]](#page-26-0); though there may be other stabilising effects  $(4, 5)$  $(4, 5)$  $(4, 5)$ . However, be it stable or unstable, it may still have important cosmological consequences — as indicated by its connection to baryon number violation [\[6\]](#page-26-0).

The standard model also admits a one-parameter family of unstable, gauge equivalentvortices: the W-strings  $|7|$  $|7|$  $|7|$ . Together, with the Z-string, these constitute a very non-trivial spectrum of vortices arising from the vacuum structure of the Weinberg-Salam theory: two gauge-inequivalent families of vortices, with one family *invariant* under the residual electromagnetic gauge group and the other a one parameter family of gauge equivalent vortices. Furthermore, only one of these families has the potential to be stable.

Embedded defects have also been specifically studied in another symmetry breaking scheme: the GUT flipped- $SU(5)$  [\[8\]](#page-26-0). One finds an eleven parameter family of gauge equivalent, unstable vortices plus another globally gauge invariant, potentially stable vortex (the V-string).

The general formalism for describing embedded defects was derived by Vachaspati, et. al. [[9\]](#page-26-0). Here was described the construction of embedded defect solutions for general Yang-Mills theories: one defines a suitable embedded subtheory of the Yang-Mills theory upon which a topological defect solution may be defined. In extending the embedded subtheory back to the full theory one loses the stabilising topological nature of the defect, but retains it as solution to the theory.

In a companion paper to this [\[10](#page-26-0)], the underlying group theory behind the formalism of [\[9](#page-26-0)] is exploited to determine the properties and spectrum of embedded defects. The purpose of this paper is to provide a list of several examples to illustrate the formalism of that companion paper. Firstly, however, we summarise the formalism derived in[[10\]](#page-26-0), so that it may be used prescriptively to determine the spectrum and stability of embedded defects.

## 2 Summary of Formalism.

For a Yang-Mills theory, the embedded defects are determined by the symmetry breaking  $G \to H$ . The symmetry breaking depends upon a scalar field  $\Phi$ , lying in a vector space  $\mathcal V$ , acted on by the D-representation of G. Denoting the Lie algebra of G by  $\mathcal G$ , the natural action of  $\mathcal G$  upon  $\Phi$  is by the derived representation d, defined by  $D(e^X) = e^{d(X)}$ .

The natural  $Gl(V)$  invariant inner product on V is the real form

$$
\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \text{Re}(\Phi^{\dagger} \Psi), \quad \Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{V}.
$$
 (1)

The general G-invariant inner product on  $\mathcal G$  is defined by the decomposition of G into mutually commuting subalgebras,  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus ... \oplus \mathcal{G}_n$ , and is of the form

$$
\langle .,.\rangle = \frac{1}{q_1^2} \{ .,.\}_1 + \ldots + \frac{1}{q_n^2} \{ .,.\}_n,\tag{2}
$$

with  $\{.\,,.\}_i$  the inner product  $\{X,Y\} = -p\text{Tr}(XY)$ , restricted to  $\mathcal{G}_i$ . This has nscales characterising all possible G-invariant inner products on  $\mathcal G$ . In a gauge theory context these scales correspond to the gauge coupling constants.

Note that the same symbol is used to denote the inner product on  $\mathcal G$  and  $\mathcal V$ ; we hope it should be clear from the context which we are using. Corresponding norms for these two inner products are denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$ . We discuss these inner products more fully in the companion paper [\[10](#page-26-0)].

A reference point  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{V}$  is arbitrary because of the degeneracy given by the vacuum manifold  $M = D(G)\Phi_0 \cong G/H$ . Where here H is the residual symmetry group, defined by the reference point  $\Phi_0$  to be  $H = \{g \in G : D(g)\Phi_0 = \Phi_0\}$ . Then H determines a reductive decomposition of  $\mathcal G$ 

$$
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M},\tag{3}
$$

with  $H$  the Lie algebra of H, such that

$$
[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}] \subseteq \mathcal{H}, \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{M}] \subseteq \mathcal{M}.
$$
 (4)

Under the adjoint action of H, defined  $\text{Ad}(h)X = hXh^{-1}$ , M decomposes into irreducible subspaces

$$
\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{M}_N. \tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>These irreducible spaces describe how the group acts on the vacuum manifold; yielding the family structure for embedded defects.

Finally, recall that the centre  $\mathcal C$  of  $\mathcal G$  is the set of elements that commute with G. Then the stability of vortices is related to the projection of C onto  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{\mathcal{M}}(X) = X + X_h,\tag{6}
$$

with  $X_h \in \mathcal{H}$  the unique element such that  $pr_{\mathcal{M}}(X) \in \mathcal{M}$ . One should note  $pr_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{C})$ consists of one-dimensional irreducible  $\mathcal{M}_i$ 's.

This structure is enough to categorise all the topological and non-topological embedded domain wall, embedded vortex and embedded monopole solutions of a Yang-Mills theory.

#### 2.1 Domain Walls

Embedded domain walls are defined elements  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ :

$$
\Phi(z) = f_{\text{DOM}}(z)\Phi_0,\tag{7a}
$$

$$
A^{\mu} = 0, \tag{7b}
$$

where  $f_{\text{DOM}}$  is a real function such that  $f_{\text{DOM}}(+\infty) = 1$ , and  $f_{\text{DOM}}(-\infty)\Phi_0 \neq \Phi_0$ belongs to the vacuum manifold.

Providing the vacuum manifold is connected this solution is unstable; suffering from a short range instability in the scalar field. Solutions within connected parts of the vacuum manifold are gauge equivalent.

#### 2.2 Vortices

Embedded vortices are defined by pairs  $(\Phi_0, X) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$
\Phi(r,\theta) = f_{\rm NO}(X;r)D(e^{\theta X})\Phi_0,\tag{8a}
$$

$$
\underline{A}(r,\theta) = \frac{g_{\rm NO}(X;r)}{r} X \hat{\underline{\theta}}.\tag{8b}
$$

Here  $f_{\text{NO}}$  and  $g_{\text{NO}}$  are the Nielsen-Olesen profile functions for the vortex [\[13\]](#page-26-0) and we describe their dependence upon X in the appendix of the companion paper  $[10]$ . <span id="page-4-0"></span>The vortex generator X has the constraints  $\ddagger$ 

$$
X \in \mathcal{M}_i,\tag{9a}
$$

$$
D(e^{2\pi X})\Phi_0 = \Phi_0.
$$
\n(9b)

The winding number of such a vortex is given by  $\| X \| / \| X^{\min} \|$ , where  $X^{\min}$ is a non-trivial minimal generator in the same  $\mathcal{M}_i$  as X obeying the above two conditions.

Family structure originates from the gauge equivalence of vortices defined by equal norm generators in the same  $\mathcal{M}_i$ .

Vortex stability subdivides into two types: dynamical and topological. Furthermore, there are two types of topological stability: Abelian, from  $U(1) \rightarrow 1$ symmetry breaking; and non-Abelian, which is otherwise. In [[10](#page-26-0)] we show that Abelian topological and dynamical stability relate to  $pr_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{C})$ : Abelian topological stability corresponds to a trivial projection, whilst dynamical stability corresponds to a non-trivial projection.

Generally, only generators  $X \in \mathcal{M}_i$  define embedded vortices. However, if the coupling constants  $\{q_k\}$  take critical values, such that between, say,  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and  $\mathcal{M}_j$ ,

$$
\frac{\|d(X_i)\Phi_0\|}{\|X_i\|} = \frac{\|d(X_j)\Phi_0\|}{\|X_j\|}, \ \ X_i \in \mathcal{M}_i, \ X_j \in \mathcal{M}_j,\tag{10}
$$

then one has extra *combination* embedded vortices defined by generators in  $\mathcal{M}_i \oplus \mathcal{M}_j$ .

#### 2.3 Monopoles

Embedded monopoles are defined by triplets  $(\Phi_0, X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ :

$$
\underline{\Phi}(\underline{r}) = f_{\text{mon}}(r)\underline{\hat{r}},\tag{11a}
$$

$$
A_a^{\mu}(\underline{r}) = \frac{g_{\text{mon}}(r)}{r} \epsilon_{\mu ab} X_b, \qquad (11b)
$$

where  $X_3 = [X_1, X_2]$ , and we are treating  $\underline{\Phi}$  as a vector within in its embedded subtheory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> there are some complications when the rank (see prenote of [\[10](#page-26-0)]) of  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is greater than one we shall generally indicate when such happens in the text.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Monopole generators have the following restrictions [\[10\]](#page-26-0):

(i) The pair  $(X_1, X_2) \in \mathcal{M}_i \times \mathcal{M}_i$ , and are properly normalised so that, for  $i = \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$
\exp(2\pi X_i)\Phi_0 = \Phi_0.
$$
\n(12)

(ii) The pair  $(X_1, X_2)$  consists of two members of an orthogonal basis of an  $su(2) \subset$  $\mathcal{G}$ , thus

$$
||X_1|| = ||X_2||, \quad \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = 0,
$$
\n(13)

and

$$
[X_1, [X_1, X_2]] \propto X_2, \quad [X_2, [X_1, X_2]] \propto X_1. \tag{14}
$$

(iii) the embedded  $SU(2)$  is such that  $SU(2) \cap H = U(1)$ , thus

$$
[X_1, X_2] \in \mathcal{H}.\tag{15}
$$

The winding number of the monopole is given by  $|| X_1 || / || X_1^{\text{min}} ||$ , where  $X_1^{\text{min}}$ is the minimal generator in the same  $\mathcal{M}_i$  as  $X_1$  obeying the above conditions.

Monopoles also have a family structure, depending upon which  $\mathcal{M}_i$  they are defined from.

## 3 Defects in the Weinberg-Salam Theory

To illustrate our results we rederive the existence and properties of the W and Zstrings[[1](#page-26-0), [7](#page-26-0)] for Weinberg-Salam theory. One should note that it is the simplest example that illustrates our formalism.

The isospin-hypercharge gauge symmetry  $G = SU(2)_I \times U(1)_Y$ , acts fundamentally on a two-dimensional complex scalar field  $\Phi$ . As a basis we take the  $SU(2)$ isospin generators to be  $X^a = \frac{i}{2}$  $\frac{i}{2}\sigma^a$ , with  $\sigma^a$  the Pauli spin matrices, and the  $U(1)_{Y}$ hypercharge generator to be  $X^0 = \frac{i}{2}$  $\frac{i}{2}$ **1**<sub>2</sub>. Then these generators act fundamentally upon the scalar field Φ

$$
d(\alpha^i X^i + \alpha^0 X^0) = \alpha^i X^i + \alpha^0 X^0.
$$
\n(16)

The inner product on  $su(2)<sub>I</sub> \oplus u(1)<sub>Y</sub>$  may be written

$$
\langle X, Y \rangle = -\frac{1}{g^2} \left\{ 2 \text{Tr} XY + (\cot^2 \theta_w - 1) \text{Tr} X \text{Tr} Y \right\},\tag{17}
$$

with  $g$  and  $g'$  the isospin and hypercharge gauge coupling constants. The Weinberg angle  $\theta_w = \tan^{-1}(g'/g)$ .

Choosing a suitable reference point in the vacuum manifold

$$
\Phi_0 = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},\tag{18}
$$

the gauge groups breaks to

$$
H = U(1)_Q = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}
$$

with  $\omega \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Then H defines the decomposition  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ , where

$$
\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\beta\cos 2\theta_w & \gamma \\ -\gamma^* & i\beta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{20}
$$

with  $\alpha, \beta$  real and  $\gamma$  complex. The star denotes complex conjugation.

Under  $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$ ,  $\mathcal M$  is reducible to  $\mathcal M = \mathcal M_1 \oplus \mathcal M_2$ , where

$$
\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\beta \cos 2\theta_w & 0 \\ 0 & i\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma \\ -\gamma^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (21)

The centre of  $su(2)_I \oplus u(1)_Y$ , which is  $\mathcal{C} = u(1)_Y$ , projects non-trivially onto  $\mathcal{M}_1$ under the inner product [\(17\)](#page-5-0).

The first class of embedded vortices are defined from elements  $X \in \mathcal{M}_1$  such that  $e^{2\pi X} = 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \text{pr}_{\mathcal{M}}(u(1)_Y)$  these vortices are stable in the coupling constant limit  $g \to 0$ . From Eq. [\(8](#page-3-0)) one immediately writes down the solution as:

$$
\Phi(r,\theta) = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} f_{\text{NO}}^Z(r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{in\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (22a)
$$

$$
\underline{A}(r,\theta) = \frac{g_{\text{NO}}^Z(r)}{r} \begin{pmatrix} -in\cos 2\theta_w & 0\\ 0 & in \end{pmatrix} \hat{\underline{\theta}},\tag{22b}
$$

where  $n$  is the winding number of the vortex. Note that this vortex is also invariant under global transformations of the residual gauge symmetry. These solutions are Z-strings.

The second class of embedded vortices are defined from elements  $X\in\mathcal{M}_2$  such that  $e^{2\pi X} = 1$ . From Eq. [\(8](#page-3-0)) one immediately writes down the solution as:

$$
\Phi(r,\theta) = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} f_{\text{NO}}^W(r) \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\delta} \sin n\theta \\ \cos n\theta \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(23a)

$$
d(\underline{A}(r,\theta)) = \frac{g_{\text{NO}}^W(r)}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n e^{i\delta} \\ -n e^{-i\delta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{\underline{\theta}}, \tag{23b}
$$

with  $e^{i\delta} = \gamma/|\gamma|$  and n the winding number of the vortex. All the isolated solutions of the same winding number in this one-parameter family are gauge equivalent. Furthermore, the anti-vortex is gauge equivalent to the vortex, so isolated solutions are parameterised by the positive winding number only. These solutions are Wstrings.

The above generators in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  satisfy the condition  $|| d(X)\Phi_0 || / || \Phi_0 || = n$ of the Appendix in the companion paper [\[10\]](#page-26-0). Thus, profile functions for the Z and W-strings are related (first stated in[[11](#page-26-0)])

$$
f_{\rm NO}^Z(\lambda; r) = f_{\rm NO}^W(\frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2}; \kappa r), \tag{24a}
$$

$$
g_{\rm NO}^Z(\lambda; r) = g_{\rm NO}^W(\frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2}; \kappa r), \tag{24b}
$$

where  $\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{g^2 + g'^2}{a^2}}$  $\frac{+g}{g^2}$  and  $\lambda$  is the quartic scalar self coupling.

## 4 The Model  $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ .

We give here an example a model that admits as a solution an unstable globally gauge invariant vortex. In addition it is a nice example of a model admitting nontopological embedded monopoles.

The gauge group is  $G = SU(3)$ , acting fundamentally on a three-dimensional complex scalar field. Denoting the generators by  $\{X^a : a = 1 \cdots 8\}$ , the derived representation acts as:

$$
d(\alpha^i X^i) = \alpha^i X^i,\tag{25}
$$

A Landau potential is sufficient to break the symmetry, because  $\mathcal M$  is of the same dimension as the maximal sphere contained within  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Hence, the vacuum manifold is isomorphic to a five-sphere, with  $G$  transitive over it.

Taking the reference point in the vacuum manifold to be

$$
\Phi_0 = v \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{26}
$$

the gauge group breaks to  $H = SU(2)$ ,

$$
H = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} SU(2) & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & 1 \end{array}\right) \subset G. \tag{27}
$$

At the reference point  $\Phi_0$ , G decomposes under  $\text{Ad}_G(H)$  into irreducible subspaces of the form  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ , where

$$
\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2i\gamma \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ -a^* & -b^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (28)
$$

with  $\gamma$  real and a, b complex.

The first class of vortex solutions are classified by  $X \in \mathcal{M}_1$ . They are given by

$$
\Phi(r,\theta) = v f_{\rm NO}(X_1; r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ e^{in\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (29a)
$$

$$
\underline{A}(r,\theta) = \frac{g_{\rm NO}(X_1;r)}{r} \begin{pmatrix} -in/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -in/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & in \end{pmatrix} \hat{\underline{\theta}}.\tag{29b}
$$

The integer  $n$  is the winding number of the vortex. These solutions have no semilocal limit and are therefore always unstable.

The second class of vortex solutions are those classified by  $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ . They are a three-parameter family of gauge equivalent, unstable solutions.

The vortex winding number in both classes  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is  $|| d(X)\Phi_0 || / || \Phi_0 ||$ . From the Appendix of the companion paper [\[10](#page-26-0)], profile functions for both classes coincide with each other and the Abelian-Higgs model.

Non-topological embedded monopole solutions are present in this model.The solutions are specified by a gauge equivalent class of generators  $(X, Y) \in M_2 \times M_2$ , such that  $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$  and  $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{H}$ . A class of such generators is

$$
X = \mathrm{Ad}(h) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad Y = \mathrm{Ad}(h) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \tag{30}
$$

with

$$
[X,Y] = \text{Ad}(h) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{31}
$$

where  $h$  is some element in  $H$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between elements in  $H$  and the choice of embedded monopole. It should be noted that elements of the form

$$
X' = \mathrm{Ad}(h) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad Y' = \mathrm{Ad}(h) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \tag{32}
$$

do not define monopole solutions because  $[X', Y'] \notin \mathcal{H}$ . Anti-monopoles are defined in the above form but with one of the generators negative.

In conclusion, there is a two-parameter family of unstable embedded monopole solutions of the form defined in Eq. [\(11](#page-4-0)).

## 5 The Model  $U(1) \times U(1) \rightarrow 1$ .

This model is presented to illustrate combination vortices. By 'combination vortices' we mean vortices that are generated by elements that are not in any of the irreducible spaces  $\mathcal{M}_i$ ; the vortex generators being instead *between* the spaces.

In section (2), we said that such combination vortices are solutions providing the coupling constants take a critical set of values. We illustrate this principle by explicitly finding such solutions in the model  $U(1) \times U(1) \rightarrow 1$ .

The gauge group is  $G = U(1)_X \times U(1)_Y$ , with elements

$$
g(\theta,\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \in G,
$$
 (33)

and  $\theta, \varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Generators of  $U(1)_X$  and  $U(1)_Y$  are

$$
X = \left(\begin{array}{cc} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad Y = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{array}\right). \tag{34}
$$

The group G acts fundamentally on a two-dimensional complex scalar field  $\Phi =$  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)^\top$ 

$$
D(g(\theta,\varphi)) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (35)

The inner product on  $\mathcal G$  is of the form

$$
\langle X, Y \rangle = -\text{Tr}(XQ^{-1}Y), \quad \text{with} \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & q_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{36}
$$

where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are the coupling constants for the respective parts of  $G$ .

To break G to triviality, the parameters of the scalar potential must be chosen correctly. The general, renormalisable, gauge invariant scalar potential for this theory is

$$
V(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \lambda_1(\phi_1^* \phi_1 - v_1^2)^2 + \lambda_2(\phi_2^* \phi_2 - v_2^2)^2 + \lambda_3 \phi_1^* \phi_1 \phi_2^* \phi_2.
$$
 (37)

For some range of  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, v_1, v_2)$  (the range being unimportant to our arguments) this is minimised by a two-torus of values, then  $G$  breaks to triviality.

Without loss of generality the scalar field reference point is chosen to be

$$
\Phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} v'_1 \\ v'_2 \end{pmatrix},\tag{38}
$$

where unless  $v_1^2 = v_2^2$ , the primed vevs  $v_1'$ ,  $v_2'$  are unequal to  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Then the group G breaks to the trivial group  $H = 1$ . Under the adjoint action of H, the Lie algebra of G splits into

$$
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2,\tag{39}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{M}_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} ia & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \mathcal{M}_2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ib \end{array} \right), \tag{40}
$$

and a, b real.

The topology of the vacuum manifold is non-trivial, hence vortex solutions that are generated by elements in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  or  $\mathcal{M}_2$  are topologically stable. These vortices are well defined and are stationary solutions of the Lagrangian.

It is interesting to consider the existence of vortices generated by elements in the whole of  $\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ , and not just vortices generated in either of these two spaces separately. Combination vortices may exist when the coupling constants are such that Eq. [\(10\)](#page-4-0) is satisfied. Substitution of the generators X and Y into Eq. [\(10](#page-4-0)) yields the condition that combination vortices exist for

$$
\frac{\|d(X)\|}{\|X\|} = \frac{\|d(Y)\|}{\|Y\|} \Rightarrow q_1^2 = q_2^2.
$$
\n(41)

When  $q_1 = q_2$ , the Lie algebra elements that generate closed geodesics are of the form

$$
Z = \delta X + \epsilon Y,\tag{42}
$$

providing there exists  $\omega > 0$  with  $D(e^{Z\omega})\Phi_0 = \Phi_0$ . Since the coupling constants are equal Z generates a  $U(1)$ -subgroup of G. Relating this back to the geometry of a torus the constraint on non-zero  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  is

$$
\frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \in \mathbf{Q},\tag{43}
$$

the rational numbers. One can interpret the effect of the scaling as 'twisting' directions in the tangent space to the vacuum manifold relative to directions in the Lie algebra. This twisting only happens between the irreducible subspaces of  $M$ .

However, not all of these geodesics define embedded vortices. One also needs to satisfy cond. (2) in the companion paper[[10](#page-26-0)],

$$
\langle \Psi, \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi} \rangle = 0,\tag{44}
$$

where  $\Psi \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{emb}}^{\perp}$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{emb}}$ . Trivial substitution yields

$$
\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda, \ v_1^2 = v_2^2 = v^2, \text{ and } \epsilon = \delta.
$$
\n(45)

This is the only combination vortex.

## 6 Embedded Defects in Realistic GUT models

We now gives some examples of the embedded defect spectrum in some realistic GUT models. The examples here are certainly not meant to be exhaustive, merely just a few of the simplest examples.

#### 6.1 Georgi-Glashow  $SU(5)$

The gauge group is  $G = SU(5)$  [\[14](#page-26-0)], acting on a twenty-four dimensional scalar field Φ by the adjoint action. For scalar vacuum,

$$
\Phi_0 = v \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{1}_3 & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \vdots & -\mathbf{1}_2 \end{array} \right), \tag{46}
$$

G breaks to  $H = SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_I \times U(1)_Y$ ,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc} SU(3)_0 & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \vdots & SU(2)_I \end{array}\right) \times \left(\begin{array}{cccc} e^{\frac{2}{3}i\theta} \mathbf{1}_3 & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \vdots & e^{-i\theta} \mathbf{1}_2 \end{array}\right) \subset SU(5). \tag{47}
$$

To find the embedded defect spectrum one determines the reduction of  $\mathcal G$  into  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}$  and finds the irreducible spaces of M under the adjoint action of H. The space  $\mathcal M$  is

$$
\mathcal{M} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{0}_3 & \vdots & \underline{A} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -\underline{A}^{\dagger} & \vdots & \mathbf{0}_2 \end{array} \right),\tag{48}
$$

with  $\underline{A}$  a two-by-three complex matrix. This is irreducible under the adjoint action  $of H.$ 

Thus the defect spectrum of the model is: monopoles, which can be confirmed to be topologically stable; and a family of unstable Lepto-quark strings. The family of lepto-quark strings is complicated by  $\mathcal M$  containing two distinct (non-proportional) commuting generators.

#### 6.2 Flipped- $SU(5)$

For a more detailed discussion of embedded defects and their properties in flipped- $SU(5)$ ,see [[8\]](#page-26-0).

Thegauge group is  $G = SU(5) \times \widetilde{U(1)}$  [[15](#page-26-0)], and acts upon a complex ten dimensional scalar field (which we conveniently represent as a five by five, complex antisymmetric matrix) by the 10-antisymmetric representation. Denoting the generators of  $SU(5)$  as  $X^a$  and  $\widetilde{U(1)}$  as  $\widetilde{X}$ , the derived representation acts upon the scalar field as

$$
d(\alpha^i X^i + \alpha^0 \widetilde{X}) = \alpha^i (X^i \Phi + \Phi X^{i\top}) + \alpha^0 \widetilde{X} \Phi.
$$
 (49)

The inner product upon  $su(5) \oplus u(1)$  is of the form:

$$
\langle X, Y \rangle = -\frac{1}{g^2} \left\{ \text{Tr}XY + \frac{1}{5} (\cot^2 \Theta - 1) \text{Tr} X \text{Tr} Y \right\},\tag{50}
$$

where g and  $\tilde{g}$  are the  $SU(5)$  and  $\widetilde{U(1)}$  coupling constants. The GUT mixing angle is  $\tan \Theta = \tilde{g}/g$ 

For the following discussion it is necessary to explicitly know the following generators

$$
X^{15} = ig\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{1}_3 & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \vdots & -\mathbf{1}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{X} = i\widetilde{g}\mathbf{1}_5. \tag{51}
$$

These generators are normalised with respect to (50).

For a vacuum given by

$$
\Phi_0 = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_3 & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \vdots & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{52}
$$

one breaks  $SU(5) \times U(1)$  to the standard model  $H = SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_I \times U(1)_Y$ , provided that the parameters of the potential satisfy  $\eta^2$ ,  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and  $(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) > 0$ . The V and hypercharge fields are given by

$$
V_{\mu} = \cos \Theta A_{\mu}^{15} - \sin \Theta \tilde{A}_{\mu}, \qquad X_V = \cos \Theta X^{15} - \sin \Theta \tilde{X}, \tag{53a}
$$

$$
Y_{\mu} = \sin \Theta A_{\mu}^{15} + \cos \Theta \tilde{A}_{\mu}, \qquad X_{Y} = \sin \Theta X^{15} + \cos \Theta \tilde{X}.
$$
 (53b)

Then  $d(\mathcal{H})\Phi_{\text{vac}}=0$ . The isospin and colour symmetry groups are

$$
\begin{pmatrix} SU(3)_C & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \vdots & SU(2)_I \end{pmatrix} \subset SU(5). \tag{54}
$$

To find the embedded defect spectrum one determines the reduction of  $\mathcal G$  into  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}$  and finds the irreducible spaces of M under Ad(H): which is  $\mathcal{M} =$  $\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$  such that

$$
\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathbf{R} X_V, \qquad \mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_3 & \vdots & \underline{A} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -\underline{A}^{\dagger} & \vdots & \mathbf{0}_2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{55}
$$

The first space  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is the projection of  $u(1)$  onto  $\mathcal{M}$ . This is important for the stability of vortex solutions defined from it. Such vortices are stable in the limit  $\Theta_{\text{GUT}} \to \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then, by continuity, also in a region around  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

The second space  $\mathcal{M}_2$  generates a family of unstable Lepto-quark strings and non-topological monopoles. The family of lepto-quark strings is complicated by  $\mathcal M$ containing two distinct (non-proportional) commuting generators.

## **6.3** Pati-Salam  $SU(4) \times SU(4) \rightarrow SU(3)_0 \times SU(2)_I \times U(1)_Y$

Pati and Salam emphasised a series of models of the form  $G = G^S \times G^W$ , where  $G^S$ and  $G^W$  are identical strong and weak groups related by some discrete symmetry [\[16](#page-26-0)]. The above model is the simplest one of this form. The model is actually  $SU(4) \times$  $SU(4)<sub>L</sub> \times [SU(4) \times SU(4)]<sub>R</sub>$  ('L' and 'R' denoting the separate couplings to left and right-handed fermions) to accommodate parity violation in weak interactions. For simplicity we shall only consider half of the model.

The gauge group  $G = SU(4)^S \times SU(4)^W$ , breaking to  $H = (SU(3) \times U(1))^S \times$  $SU(2)^W$ ,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nSU(3)_C & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\mathbf{0} & \vdots & U(1)_Y\n\end{pmatrix}_S \times \begin{pmatrix}\nSU(2)_I & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\mathbf{0} & \vdots & \mathbf{1}_2\n\end{pmatrix}_W \subset SU(4)^S \times SU(4)^W.
$$
\n(56)

Writing  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ , the irreducible spaces of  $\mathcal{M}$  under  $\text{Ad}(H)$  are  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus$  $\mathcal{M}_2 \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{M}},$  where,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is a collection of four irreducible spaces, with

$$
\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_3 & \vdots & \underline{A} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -\underline{A}^{\dagger} & \vdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S, \qquad \mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_2 & \vdots & B \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -B^{\dagger} & \vdots & \mathbf{0}_2 \end{pmatrix}_W,
$$
\nand\n
$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_2 & \vdots & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & \vdots & \mathbf{0}_2 \end{pmatrix}_W \oplus \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha \mathbf{1}_2 & \vdots & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & \vdots & -i\alpha \mathbf{1}_2 \end{pmatrix}_W, \qquad (57)
$$

where  $\underline{A}$  is a complex three dimensional vector,  $B$  and  $C$  are complex two by two matrices, with C anti-hermitian, and  $\alpha$  is a real number.

Each of the above spaces gives rise to their respective embedded defects. Firstly,  $\mathcal{M}_1$  gives rise to topologically stable monopoles and a five parameter family of unstable vortices. Secondly,  $\mathcal{M}_2$  gives rise to non-topological unstable monopoles and an seven parameter family of unstable vortices. Thirdly,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , which is a collection of four irreducible spaces, admits globally gauge invariant unstable vortices. In addition,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  has combination vortex solutions between the four irreducible spaces that it consists of.

## 7 Vortices in the <sup>3</sup>He-A Phase Transition

We wish to show here that our results on the classification of vortices for general gauge theories are also relevant for condensed matter systems. As an example we choose the <sup>3</sup>He-A phase transition, though we expect the general onus of our results to be applicable to other situations having a similar nature.

Superfluid <sup>3</sup>He has global symmetries of spin  $(SO(3)_S)$  rotations), angular rotations  $(SO(3)<sub>L</sub>)$  and a phase (associated with particle number conservation). It has several phase transitions corresponding to different patterns of breaking this symmetry. We concentrate here on the A-phase transition.

Condensed matter systems, such as <sup>3</sup>He, have added complications above that of gauge theories, meaning that we cannot just naively apply the approach used in the rest of this paper. This complication originates through the order parameter being a vector under spatial rotations, not a scalar as in conventional gauge theories. The upshot being that extra terms are admitted in the Lagrangian that are not present in a conventional gauge theory. These terms couple derivatives of components with different angular momentum quantum numbers and are so not invariant under  $SO(3)<sub>L</sub>$ rotations in the conventional sense — thus spoiling the  $SO(3)<sub>L</sub>$  invariance. The general effect of this is to complicate the spectrum of vortex solutions, and their actual form and interaction.

Our tactic to investigate the effect of these extra non-invariant  $SO(3)<sub>L</sub>$  terms is to firstly examine the <sup>3</sup>He-A phase transitions without inclusion of these terms so that we may use the techniques of embedded vortices used in the rest of this paper, and then to see how these terms affect the solutions.

## 7.1 The <sup>3</sup>He-A Phase Transition

The full symmetry group of liquid <sup>3</sup>He is

$$
G_{3He} = SO(3)_S \times SO(3)_L \times U(1)_N, \tag{58}
$$

which acts on the two group-index order parameter  $A_{\alpha j}$  by the  $\text{fund.}_{S} \otimes \text{fund.}_{L,N}$ representation of  $G_{3He}$ . Denoting

$$
A_{\alpha j} = \Delta_0 d_\alpha \Psi_j,\tag{59}
$$

with unit vector  $d_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\Psi_j = (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 + i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2)/\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{C}^3$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1.\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2.\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = 1$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1.\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = 0$ . The quantity  $\Delta_0$  is a real number unimportant for the present discussion.

Then  $G_{3He}$  acts on  $A_{\alpha j}$  fundamentally:

$$
D((g_S, g_L, g_N))_{\alpha j\beta k} A_{\beta k} = \Delta_0(g_S \mathbf{d})_{\alpha} (g_L g_N \Psi)_j.
$$
 (60)

In addition  $G_{3He}$  is a global symmetry of the field theory.

The field theory is described by the Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}[A_{\alpha j}] = \mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}}[A_{\alpha j}] + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}[A_{\alpha j}], \tag{61}
$$

with  $\mathcal{L}_{sym}$  having  $G_{3He}$  global symmetry and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  representing the extra vector type couplings of the order parameter. We may write

$$
\mathcal{L}_{sym}[A_{\alpha j}] = \gamma \partial_i A_{\alpha j}^* \partial_i A_{\alpha j} - V[A_{\alpha j}], \qquad (62)
$$

with V some Landau-type potential invariant under  $G_{3He}$ . The vector-type couplings we write

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[A_{\alpha j}] = \gamma_1 \partial_i A^{\star}_{\alpha i} \partial_j A_{\alpha j} + \gamma_2 \partial_i A^{\star}_{\alpha j} \partial_j A_{\alpha i},\tag{63}
$$

which are explicitly not  $SO(3)<sub>L</sub>$  invariant. By partial integration of the *action* integral, this may be rewritten as

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[A_{\alpha j}] = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\partial_i A_{\alpha i}^* \partial_j A_{\alpha j} = \widetilde{\gamma} \partial_i A_{\alpha i}^* \partial_j A_{\alpha j}.
$$
\n(64)

The A-phase is reached through symmetry breaking with a vacuum of the form

$$
A_0 = \Delta_0 \mathbf{d}_0 \mathbf{\Psi}_0, \text{ where } \mathbf{d}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{\Psi}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{65}
$$

so that the residual symmetry group is

$$
H_A = U(1)_{S_3} \times U(1)_{L-N} \times \mathbf{Z}_2, \tag{66}
$$

where

$$
U(1)_{S_3} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ 0 & -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{Bmatrix}_{S} : \alpha \in [0, 2\pi) \begin{Bmatrix} 67a \\ 0 & 67a \end{Bmatrix}
$$
(67a)  

$$
U(1)_{L-N} = \begin{Bmatrix} e^{-i\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{L} : \alpha \in [0, 2\pi) \begin{Bmatrix} 67b \end{Bmatrix}
$$
(67b)  

$$
\mathbf{Z}_2 = \begin{Bmatrix} 1_S \times 1_L, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{S} \times \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{L} \end{Bmatrix}
$$
(67c)

It should be noted that the  $\{L, N\}$  part of the group is similar to the Weinberg-Salam theory at  $\Theta_w = \pi/4$ , but taking the limit in which (both) of the coupling constants become zero. However, note that  $SO(3)<sub>L</sub>$  is not simply connected; this has important stabilising effects on the vortices [\[17\]](#page-26-0).

Writing  $\mathcal{G}_{3He} = \mathcal{H}_A \oplus \mathcal{M}$ , the irreducible spaces of  $\mathcal M$  under the adjoint action of  $H_A$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2 \oplus \mathcal{M}_3$ , with

$$
\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \beta \\ -\alpha & -\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}_L, \qquad \mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma & \delta \\ -\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ -\delta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_S,
$$
  
and 
$$
\mathcal{M}_3 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}_L,
$$
 (68)

and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon$  are real numbers.

## 7.2 Vortices in the  $SO(3)_L$  Symmetric Theory

We firstly analyse the theory when  $\tilde{\gamma} = 0$ , so that the Lagrangian is  $SO(3)<sub>L</sub>$  symmetric. In this regime the techniques of embedded vortices are applicable.

#### 7.2.1 Embedded Vortices

The first class of generators,  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , give a one parameter family of gauge equivalent global vortices, with profiles of the form

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \bar{f}(n/\sqrt{2};r)\mathbf{d}_0 \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha/2 + i \sin \alpha/2 \cos n\theta \\ -\sin \alpha/2 + i \cos \alpha/2 \cos n\theta \\ -i \sin n\theta \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (69)

Here *n* is the winding of the vortex,  $\alpha$  labels the family member, and  $\bar{f}$  is defined below. These are the disgyration vortices of <sup>3</sup>He.

The second class of generators,  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , give a one parameter family of gauge equivalent global vortices, with profiles of the form

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \bar{f}(n;r) \begin{pmatrix} \cos n\theta \\ -\cos \alpha \sin n\theta \\ \sin \alpha \sin n\theta \end{pmatrix} \Psi_0.
$$
 (70)

Here n is the winding of the vortex, and  $\alpha$  labels the family member. These are the, so called, spin vortices.

The third class of generators,  $\mathcal{M}_3$ , give a gauge invariant global vortex, with a profile of the form

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \bar{f}(n;r) \mathbf{d}_0 e^{in\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (71)

Here n is the winding of the vortex, and  $\alpha$  labels the family member. These vortices are the, so called, singular-line vortices.

The profile functions depend upon the embedded vortex considered, generated by  $X_{\text{emb}}$  say, and are minima of the Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}[f] = \frac{\gamma \Delta_0^2}{2} \left(\frac{df}{dr}\right)^2 + \frac{\gamma f^2}{2r^2} \|X_{\text{emb}} A_0\|^2 - V[f(r)],\tag{72}
$$

where  $V$  is the potential, which is independent of the defect considered. Writing  $\parallel X_{\rm emb}A_0\parallel = n\parallel A_0\parallel$  we refer to the solutions as  $\bar{f}(n;r).$ 

#### 7.2.2 Combination Vortices

Because the symmetries  $G_{3He}$  are global there are combination vortex solutions between the three families of generators. The most general combination embedded vortex is generated by a combination of generators from each of the three classes — this is the spin - singular line - disgyration combination vortex. Because of the way we shall determine such vortices we firstly discuss the singular line -disgyration combination.

One obtains a discrete spectrum of singular line-disgyration combination embedded vortices. Solutions are of the form

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 f(X; r) \mathbf{d}_0 \exp(X\theta) \Psi_0,
$$
\n(73a)

with 
$$
X = \frac{a}{2} \left( i \mathbf{1}_3 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_L \right) + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_L.
$$
 (73b)

Then some algebra yields

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \bar{f}(p;r) \mathbf{d}_0 e^{ia\theta/2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta s + \frac{ia}{2s} \sin \theta s \\ -\frac{a}{2s} \sin \theta s + \frac{i}{s^2} (b^2 + \frac{a^2}{4} \cos \theta s) \\ -\frac{b}{s} \sin \theta s + \frac{iab}{2s^2} (\cos \theta s - 1) \end{pmatrix},
$$
(74)

where  $s = \sqrt{a^2/4 + b^2}$  and  $p = \sqrt{(7m^2 + n^2)/2}$ . Using the single valuedness constraint that  $A(r, 2\pi) = A(r, 0)$  gives the following discrete spectrum of values for a and b:

$$
a = 2m, \quad b = \pm \sqrt{n^2 - m^2}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$
 (75)

It seems that the singular line vortex and the disgyration may not be continuously deformed into one another, since if this was to be the case then the spectrum of combination vortices should be continuous. We obtain a discrete spectrum. For them to be continuously deformable into one another we need solutions that are not of the embedded type.

The spin - singular line - disgyration combination vortex can be constructed from the above form. Since the generators for spin vortices commute with the generators for singular line - disgyration combination vortices, the form of solution is a spin vortex combined with a singular line - disgyration combination, *i.e.* 

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \quad \bar{f}(\sqrt{(7m^2 + 2j^2 + n^2)/2}; r) \begin{pmatrix} \cos j\theta \\ -\cos \alpha \sin j\theta \\ -\sin \alpha \sin j\theta \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
e^{ia\theta/2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta s + \frac{ia}{2s} \sin \theta s \\ -\frac{a}{2s} \sin \theta s + \frac{i}{s^2} (b^2 + \frac{a^2}{4} \cos \theta s) \\ -\frac{b}{s} \sin \theta s + \frac{iab}{2s^2} (\cos \theta s - 1) \end{pmatrix},
$$
(76)

with  $a$  and  $b$  as above and  $j$  an integer. Again the spectrum is discrete.

In particular, we shall need to know the form of the spin - singular line combination embedded vortex, which is:

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 f(\sqrt{(j^2 + n^2)}; r) \begin{pmatrix} \cos j\theta \\ -\cos \alpha \sin j\theta \\ -\sin \alpha \sin j\theta \end{pmatrix} e^{in\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (77)

#### 7.2.3 Stability of the Embedded Vortices

The topology of the vacuum manifold contains loops which are incontractible and thus gives classes of stable vortices. With each of the families of embedded (and combination) vortices an element of the homotopy group may be associated  $\S$  which

 $\S$ more precisely, with the family *and* the winding number, but we shall only be considering unit winding number vortices

tells one whether that family of vortices is topologically stable or unstable.

The vacuum manifold looks like

$$
\frac{SO(3)_S \times SO(3)_L \times U(1)_N}{U(1)_{S_3} \times U(1)_{L-N} \times \mathbf{Z}_2} = \frac{S_S^{(2)} \times S_{L,N}^{(3)}/\mathbf{Z}_2}{\mathbf{Z}_2}.
$$
(78)

Here  $S^{(n)}$  is an n-sphere. This vacuum manifold contains *three* inequivalent families of incontractible loops. Firstly, those contained within just  $S_{L,N}^{(3)}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Secondly, those going from the identity, through  $S_S^{(2)}$  $S_S^{(2)}$  into  $S_{L,N}^{(3)}/\mathbf{Z}_2$  by the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  factor, and then back to the identity. Thirdly, there are combination of the first two types. The classes of the first homotopy group of the vacuum manifold are thus

$$
\pi_1\left(\frac{SO(3)_S \times SO(3)_L \times U(1)_N}{U(1)_{S_3} \times U(1)_{L-N} \times \mathbf{Z}_2}\right) = \mathbf{Z}_4.
$$
\n(79)

This gives rise to three different topological charges for the vortices, the charge labelling the family from which they originate. Technically, the  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  arises from two separate  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  contributions, and then we can label the charge  $(p, q)$ , with  $p, q = 0, 1$ ; however, a more convenient notation (which will be better contextualised in the conclusions) is to assign a single index to these as in [\[17](#page-26-0)],  $\nu: (0,0) = 0, (1,0) =$  $1/2$ ,  $(0, 1) = 1$ ,  $(1, 1) = 3/2 = -1/2$ .

The  $\nu = 1/2$  stable vortices are half-quantum spin - (singular line - disgyration) combinations — where one makes use of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  mixing of the spin and angular groups for stability. Considering the spin - singular line combination above (Eq. (74)), the stable half-quantum spin-singular line combination vortex corresponds to  $j = n = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ :

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \bar{f}(1/\sqrt{2};r) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta/2\\ -\cos \alpha \sin \theta/2\\ -\sin \alpha \sin \theta/2 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\theta/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ i\\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
(80)

Of course, there are also half-quantum spin - disgyration vortices, and combinations in between. These all have topological charge  $\nu = 1/2$ .

The  $\nu = 1$  stable vortices are some of the singular line (Eq. (68)) and disgyration embedded vortices (Eq. (66)), also including the combination vortices (Eq. (71)) indetween. These all have the form above. The winding number  $n = 1$  vortices are the only stable solutions. Odd-n vortices may decay to these, also having topological charge  $\nu = 1$ ; even-*n* decay to the vacuum, having topological charge  $\nu = 0$ .

Finally, the  $\nu = 3/2$  vortices are combinations of the  $\nu = 1/2$  and  $\nu = 1$  vortices.

### 7.3 Vortex Spectra of the Full <sup>3</sup>He Theory

We wish to find the embedded vortex spectrum of the full <sup>3</sup>He theory, when one is including terms which are not invariant under spatial rotations of the Lagrangian. Our tactic is to see which of the above embedded vortex solutions remain solutions in the full theory. This is facilitated by investigating how the profile equations are modified by inclusion of terms that are not invariant under  $SO(3)<sub>L</sub>$  — if the profile equations make sense, for instance they must only be radially dependent, then one can say that those embedded vortices remain solutions to the theory.

Providently, it transpires that only those embedded vortices which are topologically stable remain solutions to the full <sup>3</sup>He Lagrangian with inclusion of terms that are not rotationally symmetric.

#### 7.3.1 Singular-Line Vortices

The singular-line vortex has a profile of the form (from Eq. (68))

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 f(n;r) \mathbf{d}_0 e^{in\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (81)

where  $n$  is the winding number of the vortex. Substitution into the full Lagrangian (Eq. (58)) yields the profile equation to be

$$
\mathcal{L}[f] + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[f] = (2\gamma + \widetilde{\gamma})\Delta_0^2 \left( \left(\frac{df}{dr}\right)^2 + \frac{n^2f^2}{r^2} \right) - 2\widetilde{\gamma}\Delta_0^2 \frac{nf}{r} \frac{df}{dr} - V[f(r)]. \tag{82}
$$

Since the extra term  $nf'$  is least dominant asymptotically we may conclude the the singular line *Ansatz* is still a solution to the full Lagrangian, but with a slightly modified profile function.

#### 7.3.2 Spin Vortices

Vortices embedded solely in the spin sector (with profiles given by Eq. (67)) are solutions to the full Lagrangian because the embedded defect formalism is applicable to symmetry-invariant parts of the Lagrangian — which the spin sector is.

This observation is backed up within the mathematics; one may show that for the spin vortex Ansatz

$$
\partial_i A_{\alpha i}^* \partial_j A_{\alpha j} = \partial_i A_{\alpha j}^* \partial_i A_{\alpha j}.
$$
\n(83)

Thus the terms of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  that are not invariant under spatial rotations become equivalent to the kinetic terms of the symmetric <sup>3</sup>He Lagrangian for spin vortices.

#### 7.3.3 Disgyration Vortices

The embedded disgyration vortex has a profile of the form in Eq. (66); to simplify the matter we shall consider the family member with  $\alpha = 0$  (without loss of generality)

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 f(n;r) \mathbf{d}_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \cos n\theta \\ -i \sin n\theta \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (84)

where *n* is the winding of the vortex. Substitution into the full Lagrangian  $(Eq. (58))$ yields terms that are not invariant under spatial rotations

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[f] = \widetilde{\gamma}\Delta_0^2 \left( \left( \cos \theta \frac{df}{dr} \right)^2 + \left( \cos n\theta \sin \theta \frac{df}{dr} - \frac{nf}{r} \cos \theta \sin n\theta \right)^2 \right). \tag{85}
$$

Since the profile function  $f(r)$  is independent of  $\theta$ , and the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{sym}[f]+\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[f]$ that describes  $f(r)$  is not rotationally symmetric, we conclude that the embedded disgyration vortices do not remain a solution when non-spatially rotationally symmetric terms are added to the Lagrangian.

#### 7.3.4 Combination Vortices

In general only combinations of embedded vortices that individually remain solutions when non-spatially symmetric terms are added to the Lagrangian remain solutions. Thus the only combination embedded vortices that are solutions to the full Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{sym} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  are the *combination spin-singular line vortices*.

#### 7.4 Conclusions

We conclude, by comparing the results of sec. (7.3.3) with sec. (7.2.3), that embedded vortices that are solutions when terms rotationally non-symmetric terms are added to the Lagrangian,

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}[A_{\alpha j}] = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \partial_i A_{\alpha i}^* \partial_j A_{\alpha j} = \tilde{\gamma} \partial_i A_{\alpha i}^* \partial_j A_{\alpha j},\tag{86}
$$

are those vortices that are topologically stable, or higher winding number counterparts of those vortices. The topologically stable embedded vortices are labelled by their topological charge  $\nu$  [\[17](#page-26-0)] and take the following forms.

Firstly, the half-quantum spin-singular line combination vortex, which has topological charge  $\nu = 1/2$  and looks like

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \bar{f}(1/\sqrt{2};r) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta/2\\ -\cos \alpha \sin \theta/2\\ -\sin \alpha \sin \theta/2 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\theta/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ i\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (87)

Secondly, the singular line vortex, which has topological charge  $\nu = 1$  and looks like

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \bar{f}(1;r)\mathbf{d}_0 e^{in\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\i\\0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (88)

Thirdly and finally, the combination of the above two vortices, which has topological charge  $\nu = 3/2$  and looks like

$$
A(r,\theta) = \Delta_0 \bar{f}(\sqrt{5/2};r) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta/2\\ -\cos \alpha \sin \theta/2\\ -\sin \alpha \sin \theta/2 \end{pmatrix} e^{i3\theta/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ i\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (89)

This vortex winds around the singular line part one and a half times and around the spin part half a time.

One should note that from the above spectrum a new meaning for the topological charge  $\nu$  may be interpreted: as the winding number of the singular line part of the vortex.

Another, final, observation that we would like to make is that upon addition of spatial non-rotationally symmetric terms to the Lagrangian the only embedded vortices that remain solutions to the theory are those which contain no angular dependence of those spatially associated components of the order parameter (i.e. non are generated by any part of  $SO(3)<sub>L</sub>$ ). With hindsight, this may be expected to be

the case, but it is pleasing to see it coming through in the mathematics. This leads one to wonder (or conjecture, perhaps) if a similar phenomena happens in other cases where the spatial rotation group acts non-trivially upon the order parameter.

## Conclusions

We conclude by summarising our main results:

- 1. In section (2) we summarised the formalism of the companion paper 'Embedded Vortices' [\[10](#page-26-0)].
- 2. In section (3) we rederived the embedded defect spectrum of the Weinberg-Salam model. Our results are in agreement with other methods.
- 3. In section (4) we derived the embedded defect spectrum of the model  $SU(3) \rightarrow$  $SU(2)$ , finding: embedded monopoles, gauge invariant unstable vortices and a family of unstable vortices.
- 4. In section (5) we illustrated 'combination vortices' by the model  $U(1)\times U(1) \rightarrow$ 1. This illustrates how such objects may only be solutions in certain limits of the coupling constants, and the form of their spectrum when such solutions have been found.
- 5. In section (6), we examined the embedded defect spectrum for three realistic GUT models, namely: Georgi-Glashow  $SU(5)$ ; Flipped- $SU(5)$ ; and Pati-Salam  $SU^4(4)$ .
- 6. Finally, in section (7), we illustrated how our formalism may also be used in some condensed matter contexts — using the specific example of vortices in <sup>3</sup>He-A. This also illustrated combination vortices and some of their stability properties.

## Acknowledgements.

This work is supported partly by PPARC partly by the European Commission under the Human Capital and Mobility programme. One of us (NFL) acknowledges <span id="page-26-0"></span>EPSRC for a research studentship. We wish to thank T. Kibble, N. Turok, T. Vachaspati and G. Volovik for interesting discussions related to this work.

## References

- [1] T. Vachaspati. Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 1977 (1992), ibid 69, 216 (1992).
- [2] M. James, L. Perivolaropoulos and T. Vachaspati. Phys. Rev. D 46 5232 (1992).
- [3] W. Perkins. Phys. Rev. D 47 5224 (1993).
- [4] R. Holman, S. Hsu, T. Vachaspati and R. Watkins. Phys. Rev. D 46 5352 (1992).
- [5] T. Vachaspati and R. Watkins. Phys. Lett. B 318 163 (1992).
- [6] T. Vachaspati and G. B. Field. Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 373 (1994). R. Brandenberger and A. C. Davis. Phys. Lett. B 349 131 (1994).
- [7] T. Vachaspati and M. Barriola. Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 1867 (1992).
- [8] A.C Davis and N.F. Lepora. Phys. Rev. D 52 7265 (1995).
- [9] M. Barriola, T. Vachaspati and M. Bucher. Phys. Rev. D 50 2819 (1994).
- [10] N. F. Lepora and A. C. Davis. Report DAMTP-95-06. [hep-ph/9507457](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9507457). To be published in Phys. Rev. D.
- [11] S. W. MacDowell and O. Tornkvist. Mod. Phys. Lett. A10 1065 (1995).
- [12] R. Brandt and F. Neri. *Nucl. Phys.* **B161** 253 (1979).
- [13] N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen. Nucl. Phys. B61 45 (1973).
- [14] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow. Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** 438 (1974).
- [15] S. Barr. *Phys. Lett.* **112B** 219 (1982).
- [16] J. C. Pati and A. Salam. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **31** 661 (1973).
- [17] G. E. Volovik and T. Vachaspati. Report CWRU-P13-95, Oct 1995. [cond-mat/9510065](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9510065).