*EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH*

*CERN PS 88-23 (LP)*

7 JUNE *1388*

# *MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS OF COLLECTIVE EFFECTS IN THE LEP ELECTRON POSITRON ACCUMULATOR (EPA) S. Bartalucci, J.F. Bottollier-Depois, D. Brandt, J.P. Delahaye, A. Hofmann, K. Hübner, J.P. Potier*

## *Summary*

*The evolution of the measured transverse mode spectra as <sup>a</sup> function of the e\* beam intensity at 500 MeV for different chromaticities is described. Mode crossing is seen but does not result in beam loss. An explanation for this is advanced. A comparison with mode spectra computed from a Q = <sup>1</sup> resonator model of the ring impedance and taking into account the bunch lengthening is presented. Not only the transverse low- frequency impedance* <sup>∣</sup>Z<sup>∣</sup> <sup>=</sup> *2.4 MQ/m can be derived but also the incoherent synchrotron frequency and the longitudinal impedance can be estimated. Bunch lengthening up to <sup>a</sup> factor 2.5 at <sup>a</sup> bunch intensity of* 1.5 X *10 was measured at 500 MeV for e\* and <sup>e</sup> . The data are compatible with <sup>a</sup> Q=I resonator model with the low- frequency impedance* <sup>∣</sup>Z∕r<sup>∣</sup> <sup>=</sup> *21* Ω. A rough *measurement of the energy spread provides evidence for <sup>a</sup> blow-up. The increase of impedance compared to earlier measurements is entirely explained by the addition of kickers.*

Paper presented at EPAC, European Particle Accelerator Conference, Rome June 7-11, <sup>1988</sup>

**S. Bartalucci, J.F. Bottollier-Depois, D. Brandt, J.P. Delahaye, A. Hofnann, K, Hübner, JP Potier CERN, l?'l Geneva 23, Switzerland**

#### **Summary**

**The evolution of the Beasured transverse mode spectra as <sup>a</sup> function of the <sup>e</sup> beam intensity at 500 MeV for different chromaticities is described. Mode crossing is seen but does not result in beam loss. An explanation for this is advanced <sup>A</sup> comparison with mode spectra computed from <sup>a</sup> <sup>Q</sup> <sup>=</sup> <sup>1</sup> resonator model of the ring impedance and taking into account the bunch lengthening is presented. Not only the transverse lowfrequency impedance <sup>∣</sup>Z<sup>∣</sup> » 2.4 MQ/m can be derived but also the incoherent synchrotron frequency and the longitudinal impedance can be estimated. Bunch lengthening up** to **a** factor 2.5 at a bunch intensity of 1.5  $\boldsymbol{x}$  10<sup>1</sup> **was measured at 500 MeV for e and e . The data are compatible with <sup>a</sup> <sup>Q</sup> <sup>=</sup> <sup>1</sup> resonator model with the low- frequency impedance <sup>∣</sup>Z∕r<sup>∣</sup> - <sup>21</sup> Q. <sup>A</sup> rough measurement of the energy spread provides evidence for <sup>a</sup> blow-up. The increase of impedance compared to earlier measurements is entirely explained by the addition of kickers.**

#### **Introduction**

**The LEP pre-inɔeetor [I), composed of two linacs of 200 and 600 MeV (LIL) and an Electron Positron Accumulator (EPA), has been commissioned in 1986 with electrons (2) and in 1987 with positrons (3).**

**The first measurements with electrons (4) revealed <sup>a</sup> substantial transverse blow-up generated by ions trapped in the beam potential and <sup>a</sup> strong bunch lengthening due to the longitudinal impedance mainly contributed by the kickers The predicted vertical mode-coupling instability has not been observed though the single bunch tune was found to be shifted by several synchrotron tunes at the highest intensity.**

**Beas, studies on both electron and positron beams were performed last year at an energy of 500 MeV in order tc :**

- **compare beam parameters and performance obtained with both kinds of particles,**
- **measure the effect on the electron beam of the increased impedance due to additional tickers required for positron operation and due to the modified ion clearing system (5],**
- **analyse with positrons the transverse higher order modes and their variation with the beam intensity.**

#### **Comparison of electron and PositrQn equilibrium beam parameters**

**Transverse beam profiles for both kinds of particles have been recorded using <sup>a</sup> diode array camera to observe the synchrotron light (6) emitted in <sup>a</sup> bending magnet where the** dispersion is negligible  $(D_x = 0; \beta_x = 7.6$  **m**, **βy= 11.8 m). The equilibrium emittances are deduced by fitting <sup>a</sup> Gaussian distribution to the data (Fig. 1). For vanishing currents, they are very similar for electrons and positrons but 25X larger than the theoretical figures. The emittance ratio is <sup>e</sup><sup>y</sup>∕<sup>ε</sup><sup>χ</sup> <sup>~</sup> 0.15. In**

**case of <sup>a</sup> positron beam, independently of the number of bunches, or with one single bunch of electrons, the vertical emittance is fairly constant as <sup>a</sup> function of the beam intensity, whereas the horizontal emittance is sligthly increasing On the other hand, both transverse emittances of <sup>a</sup> multibunch electron beam are strongly blown-up. This effect has been attributed to the ions created in the residual gas and trapped in the beam potential (5). Thanks to the improved clearing electrodes, the blow-up of <sup>a</sup> 4. IO1<sup>1</sup> electron beam in <sup>8</sup> bunches is reduced to <sup>a</sup> factor 1.3 horizontally and 6.3 vertically; the figures of last year were <sup>3</sup> and <sup>23</sup> respectively (<sup>4</sup> J.**



**Fig. 1: Electron and Positron Transverse Equilibrium Emittances as <sup>a</sup> Function of the charge per bunch**

**The analysis of the same kind of profiles in the horizontal plane generated in another bending magnet where the dispersion function is large and the betatron contribution small**  $(D_x \times 1.8 \text{ m}, \beta_x \times 1.95 \text{ m})$  allows to **extract the relative energy spread of the equilibrium beam. Preliminary Beasuroments with positrons yielded <sup>a</sup> Bomentum spread coBpatible with the theoretical figure**  $(\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}/\mathbf{E} = 5.1 \text{ 10}^{-4})$  but the increase with current above **the longitudinal turbulence threshold seems to be smaller than predicted.**

**The equilibrium bunch length has been deduced from beam observation with <sup>a</sup> wide band pick-up monitor (6). and recorded for both kinds of particles as <sup>a</sup> function of the charge per bunch for various r.f. cavity voltages (Fig. 2). As in previous measurements with electrons (4), the bunch lengths for vanishing currents are found to be 15X larger than expected. Using normalized coordinates, all data above the longitudinal turbulence threshold, independently of r.f. voltage, are well fitted for both kinds of particles by <sup>a</sup> straight line which**



**Fig 2: Electron and Positron Bunch Lengthening as <sup>a</sup> Function of the Charge per Bunch**

**has <sup>a</sup> slope related to the longitudinal beam coupling impedance (7). Taking the mean value between electron and positron measurements** the **absolute value**  $|Z/r|_0 = 21Q$ **of the impedance of an equivalent broad-band resonator in the limit of zero frequency is deduced. This is 1.5 times larger than the corresponding impedance found last year with the same method, confirming the dominant impedance contribution of the injection and extraction kickers which have been increased in number in the meantime by the same ratio.**

#### **Transverse Mpde Behqviour**

**Fig. <sup>3</sup> shows typical spectra of <sup>a</sup> single positron bunch at different bunch intensities. The bunch is excited vertically by <sup>a</sup> swept frequency. Observation (8) at <sup>1</sup> MHz of higher order transverse modes at low inten-**



**Fig 3: Spectrum Analysis of Higher Order Transverse Modes for Various Beam Intensities and <sup>a</sup> Vertical Chromaticity Q' <sup>=</sup> ♦ <sup>2</sup>**

**sity (Fig 3a) has been made possible by working with <sup>a</sup> positive chromaticity. The frequency shift of the modes with intensity is clearly visible especially the shift of mode <sup>m</sup> <sup>=</sup> <sup>O</sup> towards the mode <sup>m</sup> <sup>=</sup> -1 (Fig. 3b) which then merge into <sup>a</sup> single one (Fig 3c). At <sup>a</sup> very high intensity, the higher order modes <sup>m</sup> <sup>=</sup> - <sup>2</sup> and - <sup>3</sup> become coupled (Fig. 3d). The mode signals are magnified when the frequency difference between modes has sufficiently decreased to enable an energy transfer from one mode to the other**

**The measured shift of the modes +1 to -4 is summarised in Fig. <sup>4</sup> as <sup>a</sup> function of the charge per bunch and compared with the real shift calculated by the computer program MOSES (9]. The ring impedance is approximated by <sup>a</sup> <sup>Q</sup> ɪ <sup>1</sup> resonator with <sup>a</sup> transverse shunt impedance of <sup>R</sup>j <sup>=</sup> 2.5 MQ/m; the latter is obtained by fitting the calculated to the measured shift of mode <sup>m</sup> <sup>=</sup> O. The modes <sup>m</sup> <sup>≡</sup> O, -1, -2 and -3 show <sup>a</sup> fair agreement between measurements and simulation over the whole intensity range, but the measured shift of the mode m≡\*l is significantly different from the simulation, which remains unexplained**



**Fig <sup>4</sup> : Shift with the intensity of the Theoretical Modes of <sup>a</sup> Positron Single Bunch Beam**

The **coupling** of the **modes**  $m = 0$  and  $m = -1$  is ob**served without any sign of instability above <sup>a</sup> threshold <sup>1</sup> <sup>O</sup> of 6.10 particles as expected from simulation. The absence of instability is also in agreement with the simulation which shows that the growth rate of the instability deduced from the imaginary part of the mode frequency shift is always smaller than the damping rate by the synchrotron radiation. This has been observed in all machines with long bunches because in this case the real part of the effective impedance driving the instability is small in the low frequency range where the spectra of modes <sup>O</sup> and -1 lie.**

**At higher currents, the modes <sup>m</sup> <sup>=</sup> <sup>O</sup> and <sup>m</sup> <sup>=</sup> -1 remain coupled and Undistinguishable up to the highest bunch intensity observed (2.<sup>B</sup> ɪ IO11) which is 4.5 times the mode coupling threshold.**

#### **Transverse ¾∏d Longitudinal Beam Coupling Impedance**

**Not only the transverse bea· coupling impedance <sup>Z</sup> , but also the <sup>T</sup> eff duced from the shift longitudinal one, can of the transverse nodes <sup>Δ</sup>** *f* **be de-**

**The frequencies of the possible transverse are given by:**

$$
f(m) = f_{pmn} = (n + pk + Q_T) f_0 + m f_s
$$

**where <sup>k</sup> is the number of bunches and fɑ the revolution frequency. Introducing the variation of the transverse tune ŋɪ and of the synchrotron frequency f<sup>j</sup> with the charge per bunch <sup>Δ</sup> (N/k) yields two**

$$
\Delta f (m) = \Delta f \frac{m}{T} + \Delta f \frac{m}{L}
$$

$$
\Delta f_T^m = f_0 \Delta Q_T^{\{m\}} = \frac{-3.82 \cdot 10^{-12}}{\sqrt{|m| + 1}} j f_0^{\{m\}} = \frac{Z + \text{eff}}{r_L} \Delta \left[ \frac{N}{k} \right]
$$

$$
\Delta f_L^{m} = m \Delta f_s = -5.48 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ mj} \left(\frac{\alpha_{p}e}{E}\right)^{1/2} \frac{R}{\tau_L^{3} \left(\text{hV} \mid \cos \varphi\right)^{1/2}} \left|\frac{z_L}{r}\right| \Delta \left[\frac{N}{k}\right]
$$

**where τ^is the total bunch length. Transverse and longitudinal effective impedances pertaining to <sup>a</sup> mode <sup>m</sup> are related to the sum and the difference of the frequency shift of the modes ɪ m.**

$$
Z_{T}^{m} = f f = \frac{\sqrt{(\vert m \vert + 1)^{1}} \tau_{L}}{7.64 \cdot 10^{12} \tau_{0} \beta_{T} e} \left[ \frac{\Delta f(\vert m \vert + \Delta f(\vert - m)}{\Delta \cdot (N/k)} \right]
$$
  

$$
\left| \frac{Z_{L}}{r} \right| = \frac{\tau_{L}^{3} \left( hV \vert \cos \varphi \vert \right)^{1/2}}{1.1 \cdot 10^{-2} R} \left[ \frac{E}{e \alpha_{p}} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \frac{\Delta f(m = +1) - \Delta f(m = -1)}{\Delta \cdot (N/k)} \right]
$$

**which shows <sup>a</sup> strong dependence on the bunch length.** The beta function  $\beta_{\tau}$  has to be taken at the location of **the impedance**

**Taking into account τ,≈ 3.5 <sup>σ</sup>j, valid for <sup>a</sup> Gaussian distribution (10), the impedances deduced from the measured mode frequency shifts become**

$$
2^0
$$
 = 2.4 MQ/m  $2^0$   $L^{r}$  = 21 Q

**being not far from the impedance model derived from longitudinal impedance measurements of the kickers (4)**

$$
z_{T}^{0}
$$
 = 2.9 MQ/m  
Z<sub>l</sub> r = 21 Q

**and very consistent with the transverse impedance derived from MOSES simulations (Zθ .<sup>t</sup> \* 2.5 MQ/m) and <sup>T</sup> eff with the longitudinal impedance derived from bunch length measurements**  $\left(\frac{2}{L}\right)$  **21 Q**.

### **Incoherent Synchrotron Frequency**

**me The variation of the incoherent frequency ∆f<sup>j</sup> with current can also be directly deduced from the variation of the longitudinal contribution to the transverse mode shift, namely:**

$$
\Delta f \frac{\text{inc}}{\text{s}} / \Delta \quad (N/k) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta f \left[ (\text{m=+1}) - \Delta f \ (\text{m= -1}) \right]
$$

**This variation compared with the variation of the frequency** of the **second synchrotron side** band  $\Delta f_{\epsilon,2}$ **around the 20th harmonic of the r.f. frequency yields the relation**

$$
\Delta f_{s2} / 2 = 0.29 \Delta f_s^{inc}
$$
.

#### **Conclusion**

**Analysis of the transverse modes is <sup>a</sup> very powerful tool to deduce not only transverse but also longitudinal parameters such as impedances and incoherent synchrotron frequencies. The impedances are consistent both with the impedance model based on kickers measurements and with values derived from bunch length measurements if the ratio of total bunch length to the standard deviation is 3.5 and not <sup>4</sup> as usually assumed**

**Electron and positron beam parameters and performances are very similar except for <sup>a</sup> transverse blow-up with electrons which is induced by the ions trapped in the beam potential. This blow-up has been strongly reduced by putting clearing electrodes closer to the beam and by increasing the voltage The electrodes are made from ceramic with metallic coating making <sup>a</sup> negligible contribution to the ring impedance**

#### *Acknowledgements*

**It is <sup>a</sup> pleasure to thank MP Level who pointed out the influence on the transverse mode behaviour of the variation with current of the synchrotron frequency and T. Suzuki who performed the simulations with the computer program MOSES.**

## **References**

- **(I) The LEP Injector Study Group The LEP injector chain, CERN-LEP/TH/83-29**
- **[2] J.H.B. Madsen, S. Battisti, <sup>D</sup> Blechschmidt, J.P. Delahaye <sup>B</sup> Frammery, K. Hubner. <sup>H</sup> Kugler, A. Krusche, A. Poncet,J.P. Potier, <sup>A</sup> Riche, Proc. IEEE Part Acc.Conf., Washington DC, (1987) <sup>298</sup>**
- **(3) J.H.B. Madsen et al.; "Status report on the LEP Pre-Injector (LPI) and the Proton Synchrotron (PS) as <sup>a</sup> Lepton Accelerator"; this conference**
- **(4) S. Bartalucci, J.F. Bottollier, <sup>R</sup> Cappi, F. Caspers, J.P. Delahaye, <sup>B</sup> Frammery, K. Hubner, A. Krusche, A. Poncet, L. Rivkin, Proc. IEEE Part Acc. Conf , Washington DC, (19Θ7) 1234**
- **(5) A. Poncet, J.P. Delahaye, J.C. Godot, K. Hubner, "EPA Beam Vacuum Interaction and Ion Clearing System"; this conference.**
- **(6) S. Battisti, J.F. Bottollier, <sup>B</sup> Frammery, B. Szeless, M. van Rooy, Proc. IEEE Part Acc Conf., Washington DC, (1987) 570**
- **(7) J. Le Duff, M.P. Level, PC. Marin, EM. Sommer, H. Zyngier, Proc. Int. Conf, on High En Acc., Geneva. 7-11 July (1980) 566**
- **[θ) D. Brandt. JP Delahaye. <sup>A</sup> Hofmann. LEP Note 595 ( 1987 ) .**
- **(9) Y. H. Chin: Users' Guide for new MOSES; CERN Divisional report LEP/TH/88-05**
- **(IO) A. Hofmann, paper in préparât.un.**