

04 November 2022 (v3, 16 November 2022)

RPC based tracking system at CERN GIF++ facility

Kevin Mota Amarilo for the CMS Collaboration

Abstract

With the HL-LHC upgrade of the LHC machine, an increase of the instantaneous luminosity by a factor of five is expected and the current detection systems need to be validated for such working conditions to ensure stable data taking. At the CERN Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF++) many muon detectors undergo such studies, but the high gamma background can pose a challenge to the muon trigger system which is exposed to many fake hits from the gamma background. A tracking system using RPCs is implemented to clean the fake hits, taking profit of the high muon efficiency of these chambers. This work will present the tracking system configuration, used detector analysis algorithm and results.

Presented at *RPC2022 XVI Workshop of Resistive Plate Chamber and Related Detector at Cern in Sept. 2022*

RPC based tracking system at CERN GIF++ facility

K. Mota Amarilo^d, A. Samalan^a, M. Tytgat^a, M. El Sawy^b, G.A. Alves^c, F. Marujo^c, E.A. Coelho^c, E.M. Da Costa^d, H. Nogima^d, A. Santoro^d, S. Fonseca De Souza^d, D. De Jesus Damiao^d, M. Thiel^d, M. Barroso Ferreira Filho^d, A. Aleksandrov^e, R. Hadjiiska^e, P. Iaydjiev^e, M. Rodozov^e, M. Shopova^e, G. Soultanov^e, A. Dimitrov^f, L. Litov^f, B. Pavlov^f, P. Petkov^f, A. Petrov^f, E. Shumka^f, S.J. Qian^g, H. Kou^{h,i}, Z.-A. Liu^{h,i}, J. Zhao^{h,i}, J. Song^{h,i}, Q. Hou^{h,i}, W. Diao^{h,i}, P. Cao^{h,i}, C. Avila^j, D. Barbosa^j, A. Cabrera^j, A. Florez^j, J. Fraga^j, J. Reyes^j, Y. Assran^{k,1}, M.A. Mahmoud^m, Y. Mohammed^m, I. Crotty^m, I. Laktineh^p, G. Grenier^p, M. Gouzevitch^p, L. Mirabito^p, K. Shchablo^p, I. Bagaturia^q, I. Lomidze^q, Z. Tsamalaidze^q, V. Amoozegar^r, B. Boghrati^{r,s}, M. Ebraimi^r, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi^r, E. Zareian^r, M. Abbrescia^t, G. Iaselli^t, G. Pugliese^t, F. Loddo^t, N. De Filippis^t, R. Aly^{t,o}, D. Ramos^t, W. Elmetenawee^t, S. Leszki^t, I. Margjeka^t, D. Paesani^t, L. Benussi^u, S. Bianco^u, D. Piccolo^u, S. Meola^u, S. Buontempo^v, F. Carnevali^v, L. Lista^v, P. Paolucci^v, F. Fienga^w, A. Braghieri^x, P. Salvini^x, P. Montagna^y, C. Riccardi^y, P. Vitulo^y, E. Asilar^z, J. Choi^z, T.J. Kim^z, S.Y. Choi^{aa}, B. Hong^{aa}, K.S. Lee^{aa}, H.Y. Oh^{aa}, J. Goh^{ab}, I. Yu^{ac}, C. Uribe Estrada^{ad}, I. Pedraza^{ad}, H. Castilla-Valdez^{ae}, A. Sanchez-Hernandez^{ae}, R. L. Fernandez^{ae}, M. Ramirez-Garcia^{af}, E. Vazquez^{af}, M. A. Shah^{af}, N. Zaganidis^{af}, A. Radi^{ag,n}, H. Hoorani^{ah}, S. Muhammad^{ah}, A. Ahmad^{ah}, I. Asghar^{ah}, W.A. Khan^{ah}, J. Eysermans^{ai}, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo^{aj}, on behalf of the CMS Muon Group *^aGhent University Dept. of Physics and Astronomy Proeftuinstraat 86 B-9000 Ghent Belgium. ^bUniversit Libre de Bruxelles Avenue Franklin Roosevelt 50-1050 Bruxelles Belgium. ^cCentro Brasileiro Pesquisas Fisicas R. Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150 - Urca Rio de Janeiro - RJ 22290-180 Brazil.*

^dDep. de Fisica Nuclear e Altas Energias Instituto de Fisica Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro Rua Sao Francisco Xavier 524 BR - Rio de Janeiro 20559-900 RJ Brazil.

^eBulgarian Academy of Sciences Inst. for Nucl. Res. and Nucl. Energy Tzarigradsko shaussee Boulevard 72 BG-1784 Sofia Bulgaria.

^fFaculty of Physics University of Sofia 5 James Bourchier Boulevard BG-1164 Sofia Bulgaria.

^gSchool of Physics Peking University Beijing 100871 China.

^hState Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing 100049 China.

ⁱUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences No.19(A) Yuquan Road Shijingshan District Beijing 100049 China.

^jUniversidad de Los Andes Carrera 1 no. 18A - 12 Bogot Colombia

^kEgyptian Network for High Energy Physics Academy of Scientific Research and Technology 101 Kasr El-Einy St. Cairo Egypt.

^lSuez University Elsalam City Suez - Cairo Road Suez 43522 Egypt.

^mCenter for High Energy Physics(CHEP-FU) Faculty of Science Fayoum University 63514 El-Fayoum Egypt.

ⁿDepartment of Physics Faculty of Science Ain Shams University Cairo Egypt.

^oPhysics Department Faculty of Science Helwan University Ain Helwan 11795 Cairo Egypt.

^pUniv Lyon Univ Claude Bernard Lyon 1 CNRS-IN2P3 IP2I Lyon UMR 5822 F-69622 Villeurbanne France.

^qGeorgian Technical University 77 Kostava Str. Tbilisi 0175 Georgia.

^rSchool of Particles and Accelerators Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM) P.O. Box 19395-5531 Tehran Iran.

^sSchool of Engineering Damghan University Damghan 3671641167 Iran.

t INFN Sezione di Bari Via Orabona 4 IT-70126 Bari Italy.

u INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati (LNF) Via Enrico Fermi 40 IT-00044 Frascati Italy.

v INFN Sezione di Napoli Complesso Univ. Monte S. Angelo Via Cintia IT-80126 Napoli Italy.

^wDipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e delle Tecnologie dell'Informazione - Universit Degli Studi di Napoli Federico II IT-80126 Napoli Italy.

x INFN Sezione di Pavia Via Bassi 6 IT-Pavia Italy.

y INFN Sezione di Pavia and University of Pavia Via Bassi 6 IT-Pavia Italy.

^zHanyang University 222 Wangsimni-ro Sageun-dong Seongdong-gu Seoul Republic of Korea.

aaKorea University Department of Physics 145 Anam-ro Seongbuk-gu Seoul 02841 Republic of Korea.

abKyung Hee University 26 Kyungheedae-ro Dongdaemun-gu Seoul 02447 Republic of Korea.

acSungkyunkwan University 2066 Seobu-ro Jangan-gu Suwon Gyeonggi-do 16419 Republic of Korea.

adBenemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla Puebla Mexico.

aeCinvestav Av. Instituto Politcnico Nacional No. 2508 Colonia San Pedro Zacatenco CP 07360 Ciudad de Mexico D.F. Mexico.

afUniversidad Iberoamericana Mexico City Mexico.

agSultan Qaboos University Al Khoudh Muscat 123 Oman.

ahNational Centre for Physics Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad Pakistan.

aiMassachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Ave Cambridge MA 02139 United States.

ajIII. Physikalisches Institut (A) RWTH Aachen University Sommerfeldstrasse D-52056 Aachen Germany.

Abstract

With the HL-LHC upgrade of the LHC machine, an increase of the instantaneous luminosity by a factor of five is expected and the current detection systems need to be validated for such working conditions to ensure stable data taking. At the CERN Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF++) many muon detectors undergo such studies, but the high gamma background can pose a challenge to the muon trigger system which is exposed to many fake hits from the gamma background. A tracking system using RPCs is implemented to clean the fake hits, taking profit of the high muon efficiency of these chambers. This work will present the tracking system configuration, used detector analysis algorithm and results.

Keywords: Resistive plate chambers, CMS Experiment, gaseous detectors, HL-LHC *PACS:* 0000, 1111 *2000 MSC:* 0000, 1111 *Preprint submitted to Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A* November 16, 2022

1. Introduction

The Resistive Plate Chambers (RPC) act as muon triggering detector in the Muon System of the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment [1]. With the coming upgrade of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) machine, the High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) [2], many detector systems are preparing to improve their capabilities. In particular, the CMS RPC project has two important planned activities – the replacement of the offdetector electronics (called link system) and the extension of the RPC coverage from $|\eta| = 1.9$ to 2.4 with the new improved RPC (iRPC) detectors [3].

To study the performance and stability of RPCs in the HL-LHC conditions, tests are taking place in the CERN Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF++), where a high energy particle beam (normally muons) and photons from a gamma source (13 TBq, $137Cs$) are combined [4]. The maximum expected background rate for the RPCs in the current CMS Muon system is 600 Hz/cm^2 and for the new iRPCs it goes up to 2 kHz/cm² (including the safety factor of three) [3]. Such high rates can pose a challenge to the measurement, as the fake hits can bias the data-taking.

All results shown in this work were recorded during GIF++ test beam in October 2021.

2. Experimental Setup

Figure 1 shows a representation of the setup in GIF++. There are two trolleys where the RPC detectors are placed for irradiation. In addition to the RPCs, two scintillators are placed on each trolley to trigger on the muon beam. The data acquisition is performed using a CAEN Time-to-Digital Converter (TDC) module of type V1190A where the frontend electronics of the chambers are connected. A V1718 VME controller module is responsible for the communication between the TDC and the computer where the data are stored. To host the VME controller and the TDC a 6U VME 6021 crate is used.

Figure 1: Experimental setup at GIF++.

In the trolley farther from the gamma source, there are two tracking RPC chambers with their strip planes oriented perpendicular to each other to allow measurement in two directions. The chambers used are the following:

- Tracking chambers: Referred to as GT1 and GT2, these are double gap chambers made of 2 mm thick High Pressure Laminate (HPL, also known as bakelite). They are equipped with a strip plane with 32 strips of 1.45 cm pitch. The signals are read with the CMS FrontEnd electronics with threshold set to 150 fC.
- Test Chamber: Referred to as KODEL-C, this is also a double gap chamber made of HPL with 1.4 mm thickness. It is equipped with a strip plane with 32 strips of 1.95 cm pitch and custom FrontEnd electronics with threshold set to 75 fC.

All the chambers are flushed with the CMS RPC standard gas mixture (95.2% freon $(C_2H_2F_4)$, 4.5% isobutane (iC_4H_{10}) , and 0.3% sulphur hexafluoride (SF_6)) with a relative humidity of ≈40%. The tracking chambers are always powered on with the high voltage (HV) working point (WP) applied to their electrodes.

3. Tracking algorithm

To enable the tracking analysis, at least one hit is required in both tracking chambers inside the muon time window defined by a Gaussian fit, as indicated in Fig. 2. A 2D hit profile is made to check their alignment with the muon beam, as shown in Fig. 3. The hit profile is also determined for the test chamber and it is used for alignment between the chambers.

Figure 2: Hits time profile of the tracking chamber GT2. The time window where the hits are accepted in the analysis is represented in the red hatched box.

The tracking algorithm relies on the assumption that the beam is perpendicular to the strip plane, therefore it is only needed to extrapolate the position of the hit from the tracking to the test chamber and check for a matching hit. For every event, the following steps are taken:

1. Perform the clusterization of the hits in the tracking chambers, where events with more than one cluster are rejected.

Email address: kevin.amarilo@cern.ch (K. Mota Amarilo)

Figure 3: 2D hit profile of the strips signals for the hits in the muon time window.

The cluster barycentres are defined as the mean position in the cluster;

- 2. Perform the clusterization for the test chambers and calculate the clusters' barycentres;
- 3. Form a perpendicular track starting from the tracking cluster barycentre;
- 4. Check for a match in any cluster on the test chamber.

Figure 4 shows an example of event where the analysis was performed and a matching hit was found.

Figure 4: Example of one event in which the hit on tracking chamber was matched on the test chamber. The strips in red represent the hits and the point in black is the cluster barycentre.

4. Performance of the Tracking Algorithm

Efficiency curves of the test chambers were used to evaluate the validity of the tracking in rejecting the fake hits caused by

the gammas. On Fig. 5 the efficiency curves are compared for three gamma background conditions. There are three curves in each plot. The black curve is calculated without the tracking, taking into consideration all the hits in the muon time window. For the blue one, only the hits that passed the tracking criteria were considered. Finally, for the red curve, the HV was recalculated to remove the voltage drop caused by the resistance of the electrodes - this correction decouples the shift to the right of the curve on higher cluster rates, caused by the increase of the current. Therefore, HV*gas* is the effective HV applied to the gas volume.

As expected, in Fig. 5a, where the data were taken in a run with source OFF, the three curves are equivalent since the cluster rate (CLR) and the currents are low. in Fig. 5b with CLR \approx 648 Hz/cm², a shift to the right on the tracking corrected curve is observed, and it is much more evident in Fig. 5c with CLR ≈ 1.8 kHz/cm². The increase of the maximum efficiency on the raw efficiency curve from the Fig. 5b to 5c indicates high gamma contamination.

In Fig. 6a, it is possible to see the curves with tracking and resistance correction. The shift to the right is caused by the reduction of the gas gain at higher rates, while the drop in the maximum efficiency is caused by the dead-time of the electronics. In Fig. 6b, no resistance correction is applied, so the main reason for the shift is the voltage drop over the electrode's resistance, coupled with the reasons mentioned before.

5. Conclusion

The implementation of the tracking system was motivated by the necessity to test the CMS RPC chambers at the conditions of the HL-LHC. The results show that the tracking system performs very well to remove fake hits from the gamma background, even at rates as high as 2 kHz/cm². The test chamber performed very well and showed increase on the working point of ≈650 V with efficiency loss of ≈ 7.5%, using the custom electronics with threshold of 75 fC. This system is currently being used for the ageing studies of the CMS RPC system.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our colleagues from CERN Gamma Irradiation facility. We would also like to acknowledge the enduring support for the Upgrade of the CMS detector and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES and FAPERJ (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSFG (Georgia); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); PAEC (Pakistan); DOE and NSF (USA).

Figure 5: Efficiencies and their sigmoid fits measured at three photon fluxes with measured at the working-point high voltages gamma cluster rates of 1.804 kHz (a), 0.645 kHz (b), and 1.48 Hz (c), respectively. The curve in black is the efficiency calculated with all hits inside the muon window, the blue one is with applied tracking correction and the one in red – with tracking and resistance correction.

Figure 6: Efficiency curves for various gamma background rates. In (a) the HV applied is corrected only by pressure and temperature (W_{eff}) , while in (b) the HV is also corrected by the resistance of the gaps (HV*gas*)

.

References

- [1] CMS Collaboration, The CMS Experiment at the CERN LHC, JINST 3 (2008) S08004. doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [2] O. Aberle, et al., High-Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC): Technical design report, CERN Yellow Reports: Monographs, CERN, Geneva, 2020. doi:10.23731/CYRM-2020-0010. URL https://cds.cern.ch/record/2749422
- [3] CMS Collaboration, The Phase-2 Upgrade of the CMS Muon Detectors, Tech. rep., CERN, Geneva (2017).
	- URL https://cds.cern.ch/record/2283189
- [4] R. Guida, GIF++: The new CERN irradiation facility to test large-area detectors for HL-LHC, in: 2015 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference (NSS/MIC), IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–4.