CERN/PS/BR 76-21 ¹ November 1976

 \sim

TRANSVERSE BUNCHED-BEAlt INSTABILITIES

F.J. Sacherer

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

 $\bar{\gamma}$

Lecture to be given as Part ² of the course on "Single-beam collective phenomena - Transverse" at the International School of Particle Accelerators, Ettore Majorana Centre for Scientific Culture, Erice, Sicily, 10-22 November ¹⁹⁷⁶

TRANSVERSE BUNCHED-BEAM INSTABILITIES

F.J. Sacherer

ERRATUM

Page 13, Eq. (66) should read

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

 \sim

$$
\Delta \omega = \frac{j}{4\pi Q} \frac{c}{(\gamma E_0/e)} Z_1 I
$$
 (66)

Coupling Impedance

The purpose of this section is to show how the transverse coupling impedance Z_{\pm} can be at least roughly estimated once one has some idea of where the wall currents are and what the field lines look like.

Longitudinal

The beam sees ^a uniform longitudinal electric field set up by the return currents or image currents flowing in the vacuum chamber walls. For example, for resistive walls,

$$
Z_{\parallel} = \frac{2\pi R}{2\pi b} \mathcal{R}_{\text{surf}} \tag{45}
$$

where $2\pi R$ = machine circumference, b = vacuum chamber radius, and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{surf}}$ is the surface impedance in ohms per square. In other words, Z_{μ} is the total surface resistance of a strip of length 2πR and width 2πb.

Transverse

The beam oscillates from side to side, which drives ^a differential wall current $I_{\rm w}$ that flows in opposite directions on either side of the vacuum chamber. This in turn requires a longitudinal electric field $E_{\bf z}$ that varies in strength across the aperture, and a transverse magnet field B_y as shown in Fig. 5. Energy extracted from the beam by the longitudinal electric field drives the wall currents, which set up the dipole magnetic field, which deflects the beam. The wall currents can be found by equating the power lost per unit length by the beam due to the $E_{\rm z}$ field,

$$
\int E \cdot J \, dx \, dy = -\frac{E_0 \Delta}{b} \int y \, \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial y} \, dx \, dy = \frac{E_0 \Delta}{b} I \tag{46}
$$

to the power flow into the walls, $-2I_{\text{tr}}E_0$, namely

$$
I_{\mathbf{w}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta}{b} I . \qquad (47)
$$

This current is related to the electric field at the wall E_0 by the wall impedance Z_{\parallel} . Assume that I_w is concentrated in a strip of width of about $\frac{1}{4}$ the pipe circumference on either side of the beam, as shown in Fig. 5. Then the effective impedance is $4Z_n$ and

 $V = 4I_{w}Z_{u} = 2\pi RE_{0}$,

or

$$
E_0 = 4 \frac{I_w Z_n}{2\pi R} \t\t(48)
$$

This gives the correct result for a round pipe, which has a cos ^θ current distribution. The deflecting magnetic field is (from Fig. 5)

$$
B_x = -j \frac{2\Delta}{\omega b^2} \frac{Z_u I}{2\pi R} e^{j\omega t} , \qquad (49)
$$

and when this is inserted into the definition of Z_1 ,

$$
Z_{\perp} = j \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi R} [E + v \times B]_{0} ds}{\beta I \Delta} , \qquad \text{(ohms/metre)}, \qquad (50)
$$

 $- 2 -$

one finds

$$
Z_{\perp} = \frac{2c}{b^2} \frac{Z_{\text{u}}}{\omega} , \qquad (51)
$$

where c is the speed of light (3 \times 10⁸ m/sec) and $\beta = v/c$. This convenient relation between $Z_{\perp}(\omega)$ and $Z_{\parallel}(\omega)$ is strictly valid for a round pipe with surface impedance $\mathcal{R}_{\text{surf}}$ and for frequencies sufficiently below cut-off that the fields have the simple form shown in Fig. 5. On the other hand, for perfectly conducting walls $(R_{surf} = 0)$, Z_{\perp} is capacitive,

$$
Z_{\perp} = -j \frac{RZ_0}{\beta^2 \gamma^2} \left(\frac{1}{a^2} - \frac{1}{b^2} \right),
$$
 (52)

where $Z_0 = 377$ ohms, a = beam radius, and b = pipe radius. The additional contribution due to wall resistivity can be found from (45) and (51) with

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\text{surf}} = (1 + j)\frac{\rho}{\delta} \qquad \text{(thick-wall)}, \qquad (53)
$$

where ρ is the resistivity (ohm-m) and δ is the skin depth. At sufficiently low frequencies, δ > wall thickness ℓ , and we should use

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\text{surf}} = \frac{\rho}{\ell} \qquad \text{(thin-wall)} \tag{54}
$$

provided the impedance of any alternate current paths outside the vacuum chamber is sufficiently high that all currents flow through the walls. Since ber is sufficiently high that all currents
 $\delta \propto \omega^{-1/2}$, Z_⊥ has the form shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. ⁶ Real part of resistive-wall impedance

^A simple case where Eq. (51) does not work is shown in Fig. 7, namely a high impedance interruption in the vacuum chamber, for example a ceramic tube with a resistive coating. The longitudinal impedance Z_{μ} is increased, but not the transverse since the differential currents can avoid the high impedance region as shown. The transverse impedance is increased only at very high frequencies where the wavelength becomes comparable to the interruption length or pipe circumference, or in other words, where the added inductance due to the more circuitous path begins to contribute. Roughly speaking, this occurs around the pipe cut-off frequency, typically between ¹ and ² GHz.

Fig. ⁷ Interruption in vacuum chamber

Figure ⁸ shows an actual measurement of the resistive part of the impedance for the PS over a frequency range up to 2 GHz. An effective Z_{II}/n computed from Eq. (51) is plotted instead of the less familiar Z_{\perp} . The measurement technique $^{\rm 6)}$ uses the beam and will be described later. The actual impedance is much larger than the resistive-wall value, which is not too surprising considering the large number of interruptions in the vacuum chamber for PU electrodes, septum tanks, ferrite kicker magnets, bellows, vacuum manifolds, etc.

Fig. 8 Measured impedance of the PS $^{\mathfrak{6)}}$

In short, any structure that supports ^a longitudinal electric field that varies across the aperture, or equivalently allows wall current loops that produce a dipole magnetic field, will increase Z_1 .

Modes of Oscillation

Zero chromaticity

$$
\xi = \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{Q} / \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{p} = 0 \quad ,
$$

so all particles have the same betatron frequency Q. The bunch can oscillate up and down as a rigid unit, the so-called rigid-bunch mode (m ⁼ 0). The signal on. a position monitor has the form

$$
\Delta\text{-signal} \propto p_0(t)e^{j2\pi kQ} = \text{max} \quad e^{j2\pi kQ}
$$

on the $k^{\hbox{th}}$ revolution, or summed over many revolutions,

For the $m = 1$ mode, the front of the bunch moves up while the back moves down, with the centre stationary,

$$
\Delta\text{-signal} \propto p_1(t)e^{j2\pi kQ} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{v} = e^{j2\pi kQ}
$$

or summed over several revolutions

$$
\Delta - S/GNAL = \bigoplus_{i} \bigotimes_{i} \bigotimes_{i} t
$$

In the synchrotron phase space of Fig. 9, the particles are arranged around the synchrotron orbit so that their transverse dipole moment ^p is up near the front of the bunch and down near the back, as shown. After half ^a betatron oscillation, the signs are reversed. On ^a slower time-scale, the oscillating dipoles are carried around the synchrotron orbit, but note that the net dipole moment near the bunch centre $\theta = 0$ is always zero owing to cancellation between +∆p∕p and -∆p∕p particles. If ^φ is the synchrotron phase along an orbit, the mode patterns are described by $e^{jm\phi}$.

For the third mode, $m = 2$

and there are two nodes,

$$
\Delta\text{-signal} \propto p_2(t)e^{j2\pi kQ} = \bigoplus_{i} \bigoplus_{i} \bigoplus_{i} \bigoplus_{i} t
$$

and so on.

The plus and minus signs in the previous drawings need not refer to the dipole moment, but can also describe the transverse quadrupolar or sextupolar distortions of the group of particles at that point on the synchrotron orbit. The mode patterns would appear the same provided the pick-up monitor was sensitive to quadrupolar or higher "throbbing beam" type signals. More simply, the plus and minus signs could describe an excess or deficiency of charge, and then the modes are the usual longitudinal modes of instability, ^m ⁼ ¹ for centre-of-mass oscillations, ^m ⁼ ² for the longitudinal quadrupole mode, and so on, as described in the lectures on longitudinal instabilities.

The main thing to note about these modes is that they are standing-wave patterns, with any two parts of the bunch moving either in-phase or 180° outof-phase. As ^a consequence, the wake field laid down by the head of the bunch cannot drive the tail on the same revolution, since ^a 90° out-of—phase component is required for instability. Thus ^a wake that decays within one revolution does not cause instability. However, if the wake lasts more than one revolution, there can be instability if the bunch arrives back with the correct 90° out-of-phase oscillation. This depends on whether the Q-value is

above or below a $\frac{1}{2}$ integer, and on the sign of the wake field. For resistivewall wakes, single-bunch instability occurs for Q-values above ^a ^⅛ integer.

If many bunches are present, coupled motion of the different bunches is possible with one bunch driving the next, and so on. For ^M bunches, ^M coupled-bunch modes are possible, with ^a phase shift of 2πn∕M between bunches for coupled-bunch mode n, as shown in Fig. 10. Now, about half the modes are unstable for any choice of Q-value.

Fig. ¹⁰ Coupled-bunch modes for eight bunches

Non-zero chromaticity

With finite chromaticity, the betatron frequency Q varies around a synchrotron orbit. Assume the chromaticity ξ is positive, and that we are below transition energy so that the particles move clockwise around the synchrotron orbit as shown in the following diagram. ^A particle at the front of the bunch has the same betatron frequency as the synchronous particle, and we assume it has the same phase also. As the particle moves back along the synchrotron orbit, its phase gradually slips behind that of the synchronous particle (ΔQ < 0) until it reaches the back of the bunch. Then as it moves forward again $(\Delta Q > 0)$, its phase advances and returns to the starting value when the particle regains the front of the bunch. The phase along the bunch is indicated schematically

in Fig. ¹¹ by the length of the arrows (down for phase lag) rather than the plus or minus signs of the previous drawings.

If we now place particles around the orbit and arrange their betatron phase initially to be as indicated in Fig. 11, the pattern remains stationary, and the given phase relationship along the bunch is preserved in time. For example, as ^a particle leaves the back of the bunch, another always arrives with the correct accumulated phase shift to replace it. Thus the front and back of the bunch oscillate with ^a phase difference, which reduces to rigidbunch oscillation only in the limit of zero chromaticity.

The total phase shift between head and tail is usually denoted by χ . It can be computed as follows. The betatron frequency depends on the momentum deviation

$$
\Delta Q = \xi \ Q \ \frac{\Delta p}{p} \ , \tag{55}
$$

which in turn is related to the deviation in revolution times,

$$
\frac{\Delta p}{p} = \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\Delta T}{T} \quad , \tag{56}
$$

where T is the revolution period, $\eta = 1/\gamma_T^2 - 1/\gamma^2$, and gamma transition γ_T is usually about $\gamma_T \simeq Q_H$. We can specify the location of the particle within

Fig. ¹¹ Betatron phase around a synchrotron orbit

- ⁸ -

the bunch by giving its time delay ^τ from the head of the bunch. This time delay changes by the amount ∆T per revolution, or

$$
\frac{d\tau}{dk} = \Delta T
$$

The accumulated betatron phase shift is

$$
\chi = 2\pi \int \Delta Q \, dk
$$

= $\frac{2\pi}{T} \frac{\xi}{\eta} Q \int \frac{d\tau}{dk} dk$
= $\frac{\xi}{\eta} Q \omega_0 \tau$, (57)

where ω_0 = 2π/T. Thus the phase varies linearly along the bunch and attains its maximum value at the tail where τ = bunch length τ_L .

In terms of the bunching factor $B =$ bunch length/bunch separation and the number of bunches Μ,

$$
\chi = \frac{\xi}{\eta} \frac{2\pi Q}{M} B \quad . \tag{58}
$$

This is plotted as a function of energy or γ in Fig. 12 for the "natural" machine chromaticity $\xi = -1$. In general, χ can be several radians, and approaches infinity at transition energy.

Fig. ¹² Head-tail phase shift χ versus energy

The same linear phase-shift along the bunch occurs for the higher modes $m \neq 0$, but the amplitude of oscillation is given by the standing-wave pattern $p_m(t)$. The PU signal has the form (Fig. 13)

$$
\Delta\text{-signal} \propto p_m(t)e^{j\omega_{\xi}t+j2\pi kQ} , \qquad (59)
$$

where

$$
\omega_{\xi} = \frac{\chi}{\tau_{L}} = \frac{\xi}{\eta} Q \omega_{0}
$$

gives the frequency (radians/sec) of the wiggles along the bunch $(f_{\xi} = \omega_{\xi}/2\pi$ in Hz). The number of nodes still determine the head-tail mode number m.

Fig. ¹³ Δ-signal of a single bunch on separate revolutions, and with six revolutions superimposed. Vertical axis is difference signal from position monitor, horizontal axis is time, and $Q = 4.833$.

Figure 14 shows some head-tail modes observed in the PS Booster⁶⁾ for different values of χ.

Frequency domain

The Fourier transform of the signals (59) gives the frequency components. The standing-wave patterns $p_m(t)$ can be taken to be sinusoidal,

$$
P_{m}(t) = \begin{cases} \cos (m+1)\pi \frac{t}{\tau_{L}} & m = 0, 2, 4, ... \\ \sin (m+1)\pi \frac{t}{\tau_{L}} & m = 1, 3, 5, ... \end{cases}
$$
(60)

The Fourier transform is $\tilde{p}_{m}(\omega)$, and for the "power spectrum" $h_{m}(\omega) = |\tilde{p}_{m}(\omega)|^{2}$, we find

$$
h_{m}(\omega) = (m+1)^{2} \frac{T_{L}^{2}}{2\pi^{4}} \frac{1 \pm \cos \pi y}{\left[y^{2} - (m+1)^{2}\right]^{2}},
$$
\n(61)

Fig. ¹⁴ A single bunch seen on about ²⁰ consecutive revolutions. Vertical axis: ∆PU signal. Horizontal axis: time (50 nsec div.). $a-b-c-d$: with wide-band PU (bandwidth \simeq ≈ 150 MHz) ^e : with normal PU (bandwidth ≈⁴⁰ MHz, and baseline restitution circuit acting).

where $y = \omega \tau_1 / \pi$ and the plus sign occurs for m even, the minus sign for m odd. The different spectra are drawn in Fig. ¹⁵ for both positive and negative frequency components. The spectrum for mode zero falls off at about $f = 1/\tau_{L}$, as one would expect. The higher modes are sine waves with a duration of $\tau_{\tt L}^{}$ seconds so the spectra have widths of about $1/\tau$ Hz and are centred on the frequency of the sine wave, namely (m+l)/2 $\tau_{\rm L}$ for mode m. This mode has m nodes, and therefore m + 1 half wavelengths or $(m+1)/2$ full wavelengths in a time of τ_L seconds.

The spectrum is symmetric because $p_m(t)$ is a standing wave, i.e.

$$
\cos \omega t = \frac{1}{2} (e^{j\omega t} + e^{-j\omega t})
$$

Fig. 15 Frequency spectrum for modes 0 to 3 with $\chi = 0$

For finite chromaticity, the travelling-wave component $e^{j\omega_{\xi}t}$ is also present. and the spectrum is shifted,

$$
h_{m} = h_{m}(\omega - \omega_{\xi}) ,
$$

toward positive or negative frequencies depending on the sign of $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$. This is ζ> easy to see with a spectrum analyser.

The actual spectrum is a line spectrum within the envelope $h_{\text{m}}(\omega = \omega_{\xi})$. For a single bunch, the frequencies

$$
\omega_p = (p + Q)\omega_0 , \qquad -\infty < p < \infty , \qquad (62)
$$

occur where ^ρ is any integer positive or negative. For ^M equally spaced bunches, only every Mth line occurs. For coupled-bunch mode n, the frequencies are

$$
\omega_{\mathbf{p}} = (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{Q})\omega_0 \quad , \qquad \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k} \mathbf{M} \quad , \tag{63}
$$

where k is any integer positive or negative, and the mode number ⁿ is positive or zero. ^A typical spectrum for ^a machine with five long bunches (PS Booster) and $Q = 4.2$ is shown in Fig. 16 for the different possible coupled-bunch modes n. The envelope is drawn for head-tail mode $m = 0$ and is shifted towards positive frequences (f_{ζ} = 2 f_0). For shorter bunches, the spectrum would extend much further in frequency.

We can now recognize the different head-tail modes in either time or frequency domain.

Growth Rates

First consider ^a coasting beam. The equation of motion for a single particle

$$
\ddot{x} + Q^2 \omega_0^2 x = -j \frac{e\beta}{\gamma m_0} \frac{Z_{\perp} I}{2\pi R} x \tag{64}
$$

follows immediately from the definition (50) of Z_{\perp} . The RHS of (64) causes the frequency shift

$$
\Delta \omega = \frac{j}{2Q\omega_0} \frac{e\beta}{\gamma m_0} \frac{Z_{\perp} I}{2\pi R}
$$
 (coasting beam) \t(65)
= U + (1-j)V

and growth-rate

$$
\frac{1}{\tau} = -\text{Im } \Delta \omega ,
$$

 T ^{- Im Δω,
where the time dependence e^{jωt} has been assumed^{*)}. The impedance Z is evalu-} ated at the frequency $\omega = (p + Q)\omega_0 + \Delta\omega$ for the mode with $|p|$ wavelengths around the machine circumference $2\pi R$. Here $\beta = v/c$ and I is the d.c. current. Equation (65) can also be written as

$$
\Delta \omega = \frac{j}{4\pi} \frac{c}{(E_0/e)} Z_{\perp} I \quad , \tag{66}
$$

*) To compare with papers using $e^{-i\omega t}$, replace j with -i in all formulae.

where $E_0/e = 0.938 \times 10^9$ V for protons $(0.511 \times 10^6$ V for electrons), $c = 3 \times 10^8$ m/sec, Z_u in ohms/m and I in amperes.

Note that the reactive part of $Z_{\perp}(\omega)$ produces a real frequency shift $\Delta \omega$ while the resistive part Re $Z_1(\omega)$ causes instability for negative resistance and damping for positive resistance. Negative resistance occurs for negative frequencies $[$ see Eq. (51)], and therefore only coasting-beam modes with ^p < -Q can be unstable.

For the bunched beam, the growth-rate involves a sum over the bunch spectrum. In fact, the power spectrum $\frac{1}{m}(\omega) = \left| \widetilde{p}^{}_{m}(\omega) \right|^{2}$ enters because $Z_{\perp}(\omega)\tilde{p}_{m}(\omega)$ gives the deflecting field, which must be integrated over the bunch to get the total force, so

$$
\Delta\omega_{\rm m} \propto \sum Z_{\perp}(\omega) |\tilde{p}_{\perp}(\omega)|^2.
$$

The final result can be written as

$$
\Delta\omega_{\rm m} = \frac{1}{1+m} \frac{j}{2Q\omega_0} \frac{e\beta}{\gamma m_0} \frac{I_0}{L} \frac{\sum Z_{\perp}(\omega)h_{\rm m}(\omega-\omega_{\xi})}{\sum h_{\rm m}(\omega-\omega_{\xi})}, \qquad (67)
$$

where the summations are over the mode spectra (62) for a single bunch, or (63) for ^M bunches. This expression is very similar to the coasting-beam formula (65) except that I/2πR is replaced by the current in one bunch I_0 = = I/M divided by the bunch length L (metres). The factor $(l+m)^{-1}$ in front indicates that the higher modes are more difficult to drive.

Equation (67) is the general result. In the limit of short-range wakes or broadband $Z_{\perp}(\omega)$, it reduces to the classic head-tail effect, while in the opposite limit of long-range or narrowband $Z_1(\omega)$, it reduces to the multiturn or coupled-bunch type of instability.

Figure 17 shows an example with broadband $Z_1(\omega)$. The spectrum is drawn for head-tail mode $m = 0$ with positive phase-shift χ , so we are above transition with $\xi > 0$ or below transition with $\xi < 0$. The phase shift $\chi = 3 \times 2\pi$ radians corresponds to three oscillations along the bunch. The resistive part of $Z_{\perp}(\omega)$ is drawn in for a resistive-wall type impedance. Clearly mode m = 0 is stable in this case. In fact, as long as the discrete nature of the spectrum can be ignored, mode m = 0 is stable for χ positive and unstable for χ negative for any type of impedance. However, if the spectrum overlaps the narrowband region of Ζ_(ω) near zero frequency, an individual spectrum line can dominate, especially one very near zero frequency.

For Fig. 17, $Z_{\perp}(\omega)$ is sufficiently smooth that it can be removed from the summation in Eq. (67), and we find

$$
\Delta\omega_0 = \frac{j}{2Q\omega_0} \frac{e\beta}{\gamma m_0} \frac{Z_{\perp}(\omega_{\xi})I}{2\pi RB} , \qquad (68)
$$

which is just the coasting-beam result (65) for the frequency ω_{ξ} with a bunching factor included (B = ML/2πR, I = MI₀). If ω_{ε} can be varied, by changing the chromaticity for example, the impedance Re $Z_{\perp}(\omega_{\mathcal{F}})$ can be deduced by measuring the growth-rate, Im $\Delta\omega_0$. This is how the impedance Fig. 8 of the PS was measured $^{\circ}$. For the PS, the spectrum $\bm{{\rm h}}_{\,0}\left({\bf{\omega}}\right)$ has a width of \sim ±100 MHz and f_F can be varied from zero to \sim 2 GHz by working on a magnetic flat-top near transition energy. Fortunately, only mode ^m ⁼ ⁰ grows, so the measurements are easy to interpret.

In the opposite limit of a narrowband impedance, where only a single frequency ω_n contributes to the summation in Eq. (67),

$$
\Delta\omega_{\rm m} = \frac{1}{1+m} \frac{j}{2Q\omega_0} \frac{e\beta}{\gamma m_0} \frac{IZ_{-}}{2\pi R} F'_{m}(\chi^{-}\omega_{n} \tau_{L}) , \qquad (69)
$$

where the form factor

$$
F'_{m} = \frac{1}{B} \frac{h_{m}(\omega_{\xi})}{\sum h_{m}(\omega)}
$$

is plotted in Fig. 18. This is again the coasting-beam result (65), but reduced by the factors F^{I}_{m} and $(1 + m)^{-1}$. Figure 19 shows an example. For independent bunch motion, multiply (69) by 1∕M.

^A less obvious case is the resistive-wall impedance shown in Fig. 20, but here also only a single line contributes to the long-range part of the wake, namely the line $\omega_n = (n + Q)\omega_0$ nearest the origin, in the narrowband

Fig. 20 The frequencies $\omega_{\bf n}$ = (n + Q) $\omega_{\bf 0}$ drawn for mode m = 0 and Q just below an integer

region of ^Z . This contribution is destabilizing for Q-values just below an integer n, and stabilizing above. In the limit $\xi = 0$ and for the thick-wall impedance (53), the multiturn effect (69) reduces to the usual Courant and Sessler rigid-bunch formula⁷). However, ξ is rarely zero, and often the frequency $|n - Q|f_0$ is sufficiently small that the thin-wall impedance (54) applies with consequently larger growth-rates. This occurs for the PS Booster⁶⁾. In this case, head-tail modes ¹ and ² are driven by the long-range part of the resistive-wall wake (see Fig. 21). The photos in Fig. ¹⁴ show how the instability can be shifted from mode to mode by varying the chromaticity, and Fig. ²² shows the strong dependence of growth-rate on Q-value for Q-values just below an integer. The results agree with the thin-wall impedance expected for low frequencies $|n - Q|f_0$.

Now consider only the near-field part of $Z_{\alpha}(\omega)$. This is equivalent to replacing the discrete line spectrum by a continuous spectrum. As an example, the growth-rates of modes ^O and ² for a resistive-wall impedance are sketched

Fig. ²¹ Frequency spectrum for modes O, 1, and 2 drawn for $\chi = 2\pi$

Fig. ²² e-folding time versus ^Q on ⁷⁰ MeV flat top normalized to $I = 100$ mA

Fig. 23

in Fig. 23. As pointed out above, mode 0 is stable for positive χ , but it can be seen that mode 2 is unstable for small positive χ , and the reason is evident from Fig. 23a. For χ sufficiently large, both modes have the same stability character as the coasting beam. The exact result for the thick-wall impedance (53) and sinusoidal modes is shown in Fig. 24, and the growth-rate is found from

$$
\Delta\omega_{m} = \frac{j}{1+m} \frac{e\beta I}{2Q\omega_{0} \gamma m_{0} 2\pi R} \times \left[\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{MB}} |Z_{\perp}(\omega_{0})| F_{m}(\chi) + Z_{\perp}(\omega_{n}) F'_{m}(\chi - \omega_{n} \tau_{L})\right].
$$
 (70)

On the other hand, for more realistic-type impedances such as that measured in the PS (Fig. 8), the higher-order head-tail modes may be stable for ^χ positive. From Fig. ²⁵ it can be seen that in this case the broad positive part of the spectrum contributes more than the narrow region of negative frequencies near the origin.

Fig. 24

Fig. 25

Stabilization

^A sufficient spread in bunch frequencies prevents the coupled-bunch type of instability. ^A rule-of-thumb criterion for decoupled motion is that the r.m.s. spread in bunch frequencies should exceed the growth-rate. The spread may arise from a difference in bunch populations via the coherent Laslette Q-shift.

Octupoles cure either single-bunch or coupled-bunch instability if they produce enough frequency spread within ^a bunch to prevent its coherent motion, that is provided the spread in betatron frequencies exceeds the frequency shift $\Delta \omega_{\text{m}}^{\text{}}$

| full-spread at half-height of $Q\omega_0$ | > $|\Delta\omega_{\text{m}}|$. (71)

Sextupoles or changes in machine chromaticity change the phase-shift χ , but do not contribute to Landau damping. For the long-range resistive-wall instability observed in the PS Booster, increasing χ shifts the instability to higher-order modes which have slower growth-rates. The opposite occurs for the PS or electron storage rings, namely the growth-rate increases as χ is made more negative.

An electronic feedback system can also be used (Fig. 26). Its action on the beam can be described in terms of an effective impedance ^Z , which depends on the distance between pick-up and deflector, and the electronic gain and time delays. The effect on ^a given mode can be seen from Eq. (65) for coasting beams or Eq. (67) for bunched beams.

Fig. 26

Historical note

The original work on transverse bunched-beam instability was done by Courant and Sessler $^{\text{7)}}$, who treated a rigid bunch driven by resistive-wall wake fields. A few years later, $\texttt{Pellegrini}^\texttt{8)}$ and $\texttt{Sands}^\texttt{9)}$ pointed out the very important effect of finite chromaticity, which results in non-rigid bunch oscillation. Most of the material for this lecture was drawn from Ref. 10, which combined and extended the two previous theories. At the present time, the theory appears to be in reasonably good shape in the sense that given any transverse coupling impedance, its effect on the beam can be quickly computed. What are missing are measurements and calculations of $Z(\omega)$.

REFERENCES

- ó) J. Gareyte and F. Sacherer, Head-tail type instabilities in the PS and Booster, Proc. 9th Internat. Conf. on High-Energy Accelerators, Stanford, 1974 (CONF 740522, US Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., 1974), p. 341.
- 7) E.D. Courant and A.M. Sessler, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 37, 1579 (1966).
- 8) C. Pellegrini, Nuovo Cimento 64A, ⁴⁷⁷ (1969).
- 9) M. Sands, SLAC-TN-69/8 and 69/10.
- 10) F. Sacherer, Transverse bunched-beam instability -- theory, Proc. 9th Internat. Conf, on High-Energy Accelerators, Stanford, 1974 (CONF 740522, US Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., 1974), p. 347.