

CERN-EP-2021-154 LHCb-PAPER-2021-023 September 1, 2021

# Updated search for  $B_c^+$  decays to two charm mesons

LHCb collaboration†

#### Abstract

A data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $9\text{ fb}^{-1}$  of proton-proton collisions collected by the LHCb experiment has been analysed to search for  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$ (s)  $(\overline{D}^{(*)})^0$  decays. The decays are fully or partially reconstructed, where one or two missing neutral pions or photons from the decay of an excited charm meson are allowed. Upper limits for the branching fractions, normalised to  $B^+$ decays to final states with similar topologies, are obtained for fourteen  $B_c^+$  decay modes. For the decay  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}^0$ , an excess with a significance of 3.4 standard deviations is found.

Submitted to JHEP

© 2021 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. [CC BY 4.0 licence.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

<sup>†</sup>Authors are listed at the end of this paper.

#### 1 Introduction

Heavy-flavour states with b quarks are characterised by a relatively long lifetime and a large number of decay channels, and allow for highly sensitive studies of charge and parity  $(CP)$  symmetry violation and quantum-loop induced amplitudes. In the  $B_c^+$  meson, a  $\bar{b}$ quark is accompanied by a charm quark, c, forming a system where decays of both the beauty and the charm quark, as well as weak annihilation processes, contribute to the decay amplitude [\[1\]](#page-14-0).

Transition amplitudes between up-type quarks and down-type quarks are described by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix [\[2,](#page-14-1) [3\]](#page-14-2). Figure [1](#page-2-0) illustrates the CKM-favoured, but colour-suppressed  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$  decay (unless specified otherwise, charge conjugation is implied throughout this article) and the CKM-suppressed, but colour-favoured  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ D^0$  decay, which are expected to have similar amplitudes. This may result in a large,  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , CP asymmetry for final states that are common between  $D^0$ and  $\overline{D}{}^0$  decays. Consequently decays of  $B_c^+$  mesons to two charm mesons,  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^+$ (s)  $\bar{D}^0$ have been proposed to measure the angle  $\gamma \equiv \arg(-V_{ud}V_{ub}^*/V_{cd}V_{cb}^*)$  [\[4](#page-14-3)-7], one of the key parameters of the CKM matrix. Presently, the most precise determinations of  $\gamma$  come from measurements of the CP asymmetry in  $B^+ \to \overleftarrow{D}^0 K^+$  decays [\[8,](#page-14-5)9].

Predicted branching fractions of  $B_c^+$  decays to two charm mesons are listed in Table [1.](#page-3-0) Final-state interactions may result in an enhancement of  $B_c^+ \to D^+ \overline{D}^0$  decay rates [\[10\]](#page-14-7). Moreover, contributions from physics beyond the Standard Model could potentially affect fully hadronic  $B$  decays [\[11](#page-14-8)[–13\]](#page-14-9).

This article describes a search for fourteen  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$ (s)  $\overleftrightarrow{D}^{(*)0}$  decay channels, using proton-proton (pp) collision data collected by the LHCb experiment, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , of which  $1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  was recorded at a centre-of-mass energy integrated funniosity of 910,  $\theta$  which 110, was recorded at a centre-or-mass energy  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ ,  $2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$  and  $6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ . The data taken at 7 and 8 TeV are referred to as Run 1, and the data taken at 13 TeV as Run 2. The Run 1 data has previously been analysed and no evidence of  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$ (s)  $\overleftarrow{D}^{(*)0}$  decays was found [\[18\]](#page-15-0).

Charm mesons are reconstructed in the  $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ ,  $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$ ,  $D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ ,  $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ , and  $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$  decay modes. In the decay  $B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overline{D}^0$ , at least one of the neutral charm mesons is required to decay as  $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$ . Partially reconstructed  $B_c^+$  decays, which involve one or two excited charm mesons producing a photon or a neutral pion in their decay, are also included in the search.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1: Diagrams for the (left) CKM-favoured, colour-suppressed  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}^0$  and (right) CKM-suppressed, colour-favoured  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ D^0$  decays.

Channel	Ref. [14]	Ref. [15]	Ref. [16]	Ref. [17]
$B_c^+ \rightarrow D_*^+ \overline{D}{}^0$	$2.3 \pm 0.5$	4.8	1.7	2.1
$B_c^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D^0$	$3.0 \pm 0.5$	6.6	2.5	7.4
$B_c^+\rightarrow D^+\overline{D}{}^0$	$32 \pm 7$	53	32	33
$B_c^+ \rightarrow D^+D^0$	$0.10 \pm 0.02$	0.32	0.11	0.31
$B_c^+ \rightarrow D^{*+} \overline{D}{}^0$	$12 \pm 3$	49	17	9
$B_{\circ}^+ \rightarrow D^{*+}D^0$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	0.40	0.38	0.44

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1: Predicted branching fractions of  $B_c^+$  decays to two charm mesons, in units of  $10^{-6}$ .

These decays manifest themselves as relatively narrow structures in the mass distributions of the reconstructed final states below the  $B_c^+$  mass.

The branching fractions,  $\mathcal{B}$ , of  $B_c^+$  decays to fully reconstructed final states are measured relative to high-yield  $B^+ \to D^{(*)+}_{(s)} \overline{D}{}^0$  normalisation modes,

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
R(D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^{0}) \equiv \frac{f_c}{f_u} \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^{0})} = \frac{N(B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^{0})}{\varepsilon (B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^{0})} \frac{\varepsilon (B^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^{0})}{N(B^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^{0})}, \quad (1)
$$

where  $f_c/f_u$  is the ratio of the  $B_c^+$  to  $B^+$  fragmentation fraction, N denotes the measured  $B_{\alpha}^+$  $\epsilon$  yields, and  $\varepsilon$  represents the detection efficiencies. The value of  $f_c/(f_u+f_d)\cdot\mathcal{B}(B_c^+\to J/\psi\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu)$  has been measured at centre-of-mass energies of 7 and 13 TeV [\[19\]](#page-15-2). Under the assumption of equal production from hadronisation of  $B^+$  and  $B^0$ , For the value of  $f_c/f_u$  is found to be 0.73% at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV and 0.76% at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV  $f_u = f_d$ , the value of  $f_c/f_u$  is found to be 0.73% at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV and 0.76% at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with relative uncertainties of approximately 25%, dominated by the uncertainty on the predicted value of  $\mathcal{B}(B_c^+\to J/\psi\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu)$ . Earlier measurements of  $f_c/f_u$  at 7 and 8 TeV using fully reconstructed  $B_c^+$  decays found compatible values [\[20,](#page-15-3) [21\]](#page-15-4).

The invariant-mass distributions of partially reconstructed  $B_c^+ \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{*+}$ (s)  $\overline{D}^{0}$  and  $B_c^+ \rightarrow D_{(s}^+$ (s)  $(\overline{D}^{\lambda_{*0}})$  decays overlap, so the sum of their branching fractions is measured as

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
R'(D_{(s)}^{+}\overline{D}^{0}) = \frac{f_c}{f_u} \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^{+} \to D_{(s)}^{*+}\overline{D}^{0}) + \mathcal{B}(B_c^{+} \to D_{(s)}^{+}\overline{D}^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to D_{(s)}^{+}\overline{D}^{0})}
$$
  
= 
$$
\left[ \frac{N(B_c^{+} \to D_{(s)}^{*+}\overline{D}^{0})}{\varepsilon(B_c^{+} \to (D_{(s)}^{*+} \to D_{(s)}^{+}X^{0})\overline{D}^{0})} + \frac{N(B_c^{+} \to D_{(s)}^{+}\overline{D}^{0})}{\varepsilon(B_c^{+} \to D_{(s)}^{+}\overline{D}^{0})} \right] \frac{\varepsilon(B^{+} \to D_{(s)}^{+}\overline{D}^{0})}{N(B^{+} \to D_{(s)}^{+}\overline{D}^{0})},
$$
(2)

where  $X^0$  represents a neutral pion or a photon.

Decays of  $B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overline{D}^{*0}$  with a fully reconstructed  $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$  decay, and one missing neutral pion or photon from the  $\overleftrightarrow{D}^{*0}$  meson decay, results in measurements of

$$
R'(D^{*+} \overleftarrow{D}^{0}) \equiv \frac{f_c}{f_u} \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overleftarrow{D}^{*0})}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to D^{*+} \overrightarrow{D}^{0})} = \frac{N(B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overleftarrow{D}^{*0})}{\varepsilon (B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overrightarrow{D}^{0})} \frac{\varepsilon (B^+ \to D^{*+} \overrightarrow{D}^{0})}{N(B^+ \to D^{*+} \overrightarrow{D}^{0})}.
$$
 (3)

The  $B_c^+ \rightarrow D_s^{*+}$  $(\overline{D}^{\dagger})^{*0}$  and  $B_c^+ \to D^{*+}(\overline{D}^{\dagger})^{*0}$  decays can also be observed when both excited charm mesons decay with either a photon or a neutral pion and neither of the two neutral particles are reconstructed. In such cases, the ratio  $R''$  is measured:

$$
R''(D_{(s)}^{+}\overleftrightarrow{D}^{0}) = \frac{f_c}{f_u} \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{*+}\overleftrightarrow{D}^{*0})}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to D_{(s)}^+\overleftrightarrow{D}^{0})}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{N(B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{*+}\overleftrightarrow{D}^{*0})}{\varepsilon(B_c^+ \to (D_{(s)}^{*+} \to D_{(s)}^+ X^0)\overleftrightarrow{D}^{0})} \frac{\varepsilon(B^+ \to D_{(s)}^+\overline{D}^{0})}{N(B^+ \to D_{(s)}^+\overline{D}^{0})}.
$$

$$
(4)
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>In total sixteen ratios are measured, corresponding to fourteen  $B_c^+$  branching fractions, since  $B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overline{D}^{*0}$  decays are accessed in both the  $D^+ \overline{D}^{0}$  and  $D^{*+} \overline{D}^{0}$  channels.

#### 2 Detector and simulation

The LHCb detector [\[22,](#page-15-5) [23\]](#page-15-6) is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range  $2 < \eta < 5$ , designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks. The detector includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a siliconstrip vertex detector surrounding the pp interaction region [\[24\]](#page-15-7), a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm, and three stations of silicon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes [\[25,](#page-15-8) [26\]](#page-15-9) placed downstream of the magnet. The tracking system provides a measurement of the momentum,  $p$ , of charged particles with a relative uncertainty that varies from 0.5% at low momentum to 1.0% at 200 GeV/c. The minimum distance of a track to a primary pp collision vertex (PV), the impact parameter, is measured with a resolution of  $(15 + 29/p_T)$  µm, where  $p_T$ is the component of the momentum transverse to the beam, in  $GeV/c$ . Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors [\[27\]](#page-15-10). Photons, electrons and hadrons are identified by a calorimeter system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic and a hadronic calorimeter. Muons are identified by a system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers [\[28\]](#page-15-11). The online event selection is performed by a trigger [\[29\]](#page-15-12), which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction.

At the hardware trigger stage, events are required to have a muon with high  $p<sub>T</sub>$  or a hadron, photon or electron with high transverse energy in the calorimeters. For hadrons, the transverse energy threshold is 3.5 GeV. The software trigger requires a two-, threeor four-track secondary vertex with a significant displacement from any PV. At least one track should have  $p_T > 1.7 \text{ GeV}/c$  and  $\chi^2_{\text{IP}}$  with respect to any PV greater than 16, where  $\chi^2_{\rm IP}$  is defined as the difference in the vertex-fit  $\chi^2$  of a given PV reconstructed with and without the considered particle. A multivariate algorithm [\[30,](#page-15-13) [31\]](#page-15-14) is used for the identification of secondary vertices consistent with the decay of a b hadron.

Simulation is used to model the effects of the detector acceptance and the imposed selection requirements, as well as for the training of the multivariate selection of the  $B_c^+$  signals, and for establishing the shape of the mass distributions of the signals. The PYTHIA [\[32\]](#page-15-15) package, with a specific LHCb configuration [\[33\]](#page-15-16), is used to simulate pp collisions with  $B^+$  production. For  $B_c^+$  production, the BCVEGPY [\[34\]](#page-16-0) generator is used, interfaced with the Pythia parton shower and hadronisation model. Decays of unstable particles are described by EVTGEN [\[35\]](#page-16-1), in which final-state radiation is generated using PHOTOS [\[36\]](#page-16-2). The interaction of the generated particles with the detector, and its response, are implemented using the GEANT4 toolkit  $[37]$  as described in Ref.  $[38]$ . The simulated  $B^+$  production is corrected to match the observed spectrum of  $B^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$  decays in data, using a gradient boosted reweighter (GBR) [\[39\]](#page-16-5) technique. The weights  $w(p_T, y)$ are determined separately for Run 1 and Run 2. Simulated  $B_c^+$  events are corrected to match the measured linear dependence of  $f_c/(f_u + f_d)$  on  $p_T$  and y [\[19\]](#page-15-2). In addition, corrections using control samples are applied to the simulated events to improve the agreement with data regarding particle identification (PID) variables, the momentum scale and the momentum resolution.

#### 3 Candidate selection

Charm-meson candidates are formed by combining two, three or four tracks that are incompatible with originating from any reconstructed PV. The tracks are required to form a high-quality vertex and the scalar sum of their  $p<sub>T</sub>$  must exceed 1.8 GeV/c. To reduce background from misidentified particles, the pion and kaon candidates must also satisfy loose criteria on  $\text{DLL}_{K\pi}$ , the ratio of the likelihood between the kaon and pion PID hypotheses.

The reconstructed mass of  $D^0$ ,  $D_s^+$  and  $D^+$  candidates is required to be within  $\pm 25 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  of their known values [\[40\]](#page-16-6). For channels with a fully reconstructed  $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$  meson, the mass difference  $\Delta m$  between the  $D^{*+}$  and the  $D^0$  candidates is required to be within  $\pm 10 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  of the known value [\[40\]](#page-16-6). If more than one charm-meson candidate is formed from the same track combination, only the best according to PID information is selected.

A  $B_{\alpha}^+$ <sup>+</sup><sub>(c)</sub> candidate is formed by combining a  $D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$  $\sum_{(s)}^{(*)+}$  candidate with a  $\overleftrightarrow{D}$ <sup>0</sup> candidate if the combination has a  $p_T$  greater than 4.0 GeV/c, forms a good-quality vertex and originates from a PV. The reconstructed decay time of the charm meson candidates with respect to the  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$ <sup>+</sup><sub>(c)</sub> vertex divided by its uncertainty,  $t/\sigma_t$ , is required to exceed  $-3$  for  $D_s^+$ and  $D^0$  mesons. This requirement is increased to  $+3$  for the longer-lived  $D^+$  meson to eliminate background from  $B^+ \to \overline{D}{}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$  decays where the negatively charged pion is misidentified as a kaon. Candidate  $B_c^+$  decays that are compatible with the combination of a fully reconstructed  $B^0_{(s)} \to D^{(*)-}_{(s)}$  $\int_{(s)}^{(*)^-} \pi^+ (\pi^- \pi^+)$  decay and a charged track are rejected. To eliminate duplicate tracks, the opening angles between any pair of final-state particles are required to be at least 0.5 mrad. The invariant-mass resolution of  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$  $C^+_{(c)}$  decays is significantly improved by applying a kinematic fit [\[41\]](#page-16-7) where the invariant masses of the  $D^{0}$  and the  $D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$  $\binom{(*)}{(s)}$  candidates are constrained to their known values [\[40\]](#page-16-6), all particles from the  $D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$  $_{(s)}^{(*)+}$ ,  $D^0$ , and  $B_{(c)}^+$  $\epsilon_{(c)}^{+}$  decay are constrained to originate from their corresponding decay vertex and the  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$  $\mathcal{C}_{(c)}^+$  candidate is constrained to originate from the PV with which it has the smallest  $\chi^2_{\text{IP}}$ .

To reduce the combinatorial background, while maintaining high efficiency for signal, a multivariate selection based on a boosted decision tree (BDT) [\[42,](#page-16-8) [43\]](#page-16-9) is employed. The BDT classifier exploits kinematic and PID properties of selected candidates, namely: the fit quality of the  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$  $\chi^{\dagger}_{(c)}$  candidate and both charm-meson candidate vertices; the value of

 $\chi^2_{\text{IP}}$  of the  $B_{(c)}^+$  $_{(c)}^+$  candidate; the values of  $t/\sigma_t$  of the  $B_{(c)}^+$  $\chi^{\text{+}}_{(c)}$  and both charm-meson candidates; the reconstructed masses of the charm-meson candidates; and the reconstructed masses of the pairs of opposite-charge tracks from the  $D_{\zeta}^+$  $\binom{+}{s}$  candidate. In addition, for each charm-meson candidate, the smallest value of  $p_T$  and the smallest value of  $\chi^2_{\text{IP}}$  among the decay products, and the smallest (largest) value of  $DLL_{K_{\pi}}$  among all kaon (pion) candidates, are included as input variables for the BDT classifier.

The BDT training is performed separately for the  $D_s^+$  $(\overline{D}^0, D^+ \overline{D}^0 \text{ and } D^{*+} \overline{D}^0)$ final states, separately for the  $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$  and  $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$  decay channels, and separately for the Run 1 and Run 2 data samples. For a given  $D^0$  final state, the same classifier is used for both  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^0$  and  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}^0$  decays. For signal decays, the BDT classifier is trained using simulated  $B_c^+$  events, while for background, data in the range  $5350 < m(D_{(s)}^{(*)+})$ (s)  $(\overline{D}^0) < 6200 \,\text{MeV}/c^2$  are used. For the background sample, the charm-meson mass windows are increased from  $\pm 25 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  to  $\pm 75 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ , to increase the size of the training sample. The k-fold cross-training technique [\[44\]](#page-16-10) with  $k = 5$  is used to avoid biases in the calculation of the BDT output.

The data are divided in increasing order of signal purity into three samples having low, medium and high BDT output. Most of the sensitivity in this search comes from the data in the high BDT sample, but including data with lower signal purity increases the signal efficiency and constrains the shape of the combinatorial background. A small fraction of the events ( $\approx 1\%$ ) have more than one  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$  $c_{(c)}^{+}$  candidate that satisfies the minimum BDT requirement. In such cases, one randomly selected candidate is retained per event. Figure [2](#page-7-0) shows the invariant mass distributions of selected  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$  $\chi^{\text{+}}_{(c)}$  candidates in the highest BDT sample, summed over all  $D^0$  final states.

# $4 \quad \text{Model of the } B_{(c)}^+ \text{ mass distributions}$

To measure the signal yields, a model of the  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$  $\phi_{(c)}^{+}$  candidate mass distribution is fitted to the data in the range  $5230 \leq m(D_{(s)}^{(*)+})$ (s)  $(\overline{D}^0) \leq 6700 \,\text{MeV}/c^2$ . The model consists of the following components, constrained to positive yields: the signals for fully reconstructed  $B^+$  and  $B_c^+$  decays; the signal for  $B_c^+$  decays with one missing  $\pi^0$  or photon; the signal for  $B_c^+$  decays with two missing  $\pi^0$  or photons; the background from  $B^+ \to \overline{D}{}^0 K^+ K^- \pi^+$ decays; and the combinatorial background.

Fully reconstructed  $B^+$  and  $B_c^+$  signals are described by the sum of a Gaussian function and a Crystal Ball (CB) [\[45\]](#page-16-11) function, extended to have power-law tails on both the low-mass and the high-mass sides. The CB and Gaussian components share a common peak position. The tail parameters of the CB and the ratio of the CB and Gaussian widths and integrals are determined from simulation, accounting for a dependence of both widths on the BDT output. The ratio of the  $B_c^+$  and  $B^+$  widths is determined from simulation, while the overall width of the  $B^+$  is a free parameter in the fit to data. The peak position of the  $B^+$  signal is a free parameter in the fit to data, and the known mass difference between the  $B^+$  and the  $B_c^+$  meson [\[40\]](#page-16-6) is used to constrain the peak position of the  $B_c^+$ signal.

Genuine  $B^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} D^0$  decays are forbidden at tree level and consequently have a negligible yield, but doubly Cabibbo-suppressed (DCS) decays  $\overline{D}{}^0 \to K^-\pi^+ (\pi^-\pi^+)$ result in crossfeed of  $B^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}{}^0$  decays in the  $D_{(s)}^{(*)+} D^0$  final state. An additional

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 2: Invariant-mass distributions for the selected  $B_{\alpha}^+$  $\mathcal{C}_{(c)}^+$  candidates in the highest BDT samples for (top left)  $D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$ , (top right)  $D_s^+ D^0$ , (center left)  $D^+ \overline{D}{}^0$ , (center right)  $D^+ D^0$ , (bottom left)  $D^{*+}\overline{D}^0$  and (bottom right)  $D^{*+}D^0$ , final states. The overlaid curves correspond to the sum of the corresponding fit results.

source of crossfeed into the  $D_{(s)}^{(*)+}D^0$  final state is double misidentification of the pion and kaon in the Cabibbo-favoured  $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+ (\pi^-\pi^+)$  decay. The DCS component is constrained in yield and shape by the large  $B^+ \to D^{(*)+}_{(s)} \overline{D}^0$  signal, according to the known  $D<sup>0</sup>$  branching fractions [\[40\]](#page-16-6). For the shape of the misidentified component, the width of the  $B^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \overline{D}{}^0$  peak is scaled by a factor determined from a fit to  $B^+ \to D_s^+ D^0$ candidates, and also used for the  $B^+ \to D^{(*)+}D^0$  final states. The yield of the misidentified component is a free parameter in all fits to data.

Models for decays with one or two missing neutral particles from  $D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$  $\int_{(s)}^{(*)+}$  and/or  $D^{(*)0}$ decays are implemented as templates, obtained from kernel fits [\[46\]](#page-16-12) to reconstructed mass distributions in simulation. Both  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{*+}$ (s)  $\overleftarrow{D}^0$  and  $B_c^+ \rightarrow D_{(s)}^+$ (s)  $\overline{D}^{*0}$  decays contribute according to their predicted relative branching fractions [\[14\]](#page-14-10). For  $B_c^+ \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{*+}$ (s)  $\overleftrightarrow{D}^{*0}$  decays, the longitudinal and transverse polarised contributions are weighted according to the predicted polarisation fractions [\[14\]](#page-14-10).

The Cabibbo-favoured  $B^+ \to \overline{D}{}^0 K^+ K^- \pi^+$  decay is a background to the  $B^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$ channel, though its yield is strongly reduced by the  $D_s^+$  mass requirement. This background is modelled by a single Gaussian function, with the width determined from a sample of simulated decays and the normalisation determined from the peak in the  $B^+$  mass distribution in the  $D_s^+$  invariant mass sideband.

The combinatorial background is described by the sum of an exponential function and a constant, where the parameters are allowed to differ between different  $D^0$  decay modes, but are taken to be the same for all BDT samples of a given  $B_c^+$  and  $D^0$  decay channel. Studies of the charm-meson invariant-mass sidebands support these assumptions.

An unbinned extended maximum-likelihood fit is used to simultaneously describe the mass distributions of candidates in different BDT samples and different  $D^0$  decay modes. In these fits the background and  $B^+$  yields vary independently, but the branching fraction ratios R, R' and R'', defined in Eqs. [1](#page-3-1)[–4,](#page-4-0) are constrained to be identical between the BDT sample and  $D^0$  decay modes.

### 5 Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties on the  $B^+$  yields and the efficiencies affect the normalisation factor relating the  $B_c^+$  yield to the branching fraction ratios. These uncertainties are evaluated separately for Run 1 and Run 2, and for each  $B_c^+$  decay,  $D^0$  channel and BDT sample. Their effective contribution in the fit, calculated as a weighted average over BDT samples and  $D^0$  decay modes, are listed in Table [2.](#page-9-0) Where no uncertainty is given, this corresponds to either the absence of decays with two missing neutral particles in the  $D^{*+}$   $\overline{D}$ <sup>0</sup> channel or the absence of the effect associated with an uncertainty in a given data-taking period or channel.

The uncertainty on the  $B_c^+$  signal shape is evaluated by changing the  $B_c^+$  signal shape to the sum of two Gaussian functions. Uncertainties related to the  $B_c^+$  production spectrum are evaluated by changing the slope parameters from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-15-2) by their quoted uncertainties. The uncertainty on the  $p_T$ - and y-dependent weights used to correct the  $B^+$  production spectrum in simulation is estimated by changing the settings of the GBR algorithm. Hit resolution parameterisation in the silicon vertex detector affects the  $\chi^2_{\text{IP}}$ distribution. The uncertainty associated with the parameterisation is therefore evaluated with simulation by varying the minimal value of the  $\chi^2_{\text{IP}}$  applied to the final-state tracks.

The limited size of the simulation samples results in uncertainties that are uncorrelated between the BDT samples and  $D^0$  decay channels on the efficiency ratios  $\varepsilon(B_c^+)/\varepsilon(B^+)$ . All other systematic uncertainties are treated as fully correlated. A small uncertainty on the reconstruction efficiency results from that on the  $B_c^+$  lifetime [\[40\]](#page-16-6). Uncertainties on the PID efficiencies cancel to first order in the ratio  $\varepsilon(B_c^+)/\varepsilon(B^+)$  because of the identical particle content of the final state, and the difference in relative efficiencies with and without PID corrections is used to estimate the uncertainty from the PID correction procedure. The requirement to select at most one  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$  $\epsilon_{(c)}^{+}$  candidate per event introduces an efficiency that may not be well reproduced by simulation. Therefore, the fraction of candidates removed by the requirement of at most one  $B_{\alpha}^{+}$  $\epsilon_{(c)}^{+}$  candidate per event is attributed as a systematic uncertainty. Residual differences appear in the comparison of the distributions of the BDT output between background-subtracted data and simulation. The effect on the relative efficiency is evaluated by correcting the simulation to match the distributions

Final state	$\bar{D}^0$ $D_s^+$		$D^+\overleftarrow{D}^0$		$D^{*+} \overleftarrow{D}^0$	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 1	Run 2	Run 1	Run 2
$B_c^+$ signal shape	9.4	3.8	4.8	5.3	2.8	3.9
$B_{c}^{+}$ production spectrum	3.7	2.4	3.9	2.4	4.2	2.9
$B^+$ production spectrum	0.5	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.6	1.1
Hit resolution parameterisation		1.5		1.2		2.2
R simulation sample size	1.2	1.0	1.4	$1.1\,$	1.5	1.5
$R'$ simulation sample size	1.4	0.9	2.1	1.2	1.1	1.1
$R''$ simulation sample size	1.5	0.8	1.7	0.9		
$B_c^+$ lifetime	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.6
PID efficiencies	1.6	1.2	2.8	0.8	2.2	1.4
Multiple $B_{(c)}^+$ candidates	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	1.4	1.2
Data-simulation differences	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
$B^+ \rightarrow \overline{D}{}^0 K^+ K^- \pi^+$	0.7	0.5	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\qquad \qquad$		
$\mathcal{B}(D^{*+}\to D^+X^0)$			1.5	1.5		
$R$ total	10.4	5.3	7.2	6.6	6.3	6.5
$R'$ total	4.6	3.7	5.7	3.8	5.5	5.0
$R''$ total	4.6	3.7	5.5	3.7		

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Table 2: Effective contributions of systematic uncertainties on the normalisation factor, combined over all BDT samples and  $D^0$  decay modes, given in percent.

in data. The background from  $B^+ \to \overline{D}{}^0 K^+ K^- \pi^+$  decays to the  $B^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$  signal is assigned an uncertainty of 100% of its yield, resulting in a normalisation uncertainty of less than 1%. The measurements of the branching fraction ratios according to Eqs. [2](#page-3-2) and [4](#page-4-0) involve the value of  $\mathcal{B}(D^{*+}\to D^+X^0)$ , the uncertainty of which [\[40\]](#page-16-6) is taken into account.

Other uncertainties, listed below, do not directly affect the normalisation and are taken into account by varying the fit model. Unless specified otherwise, these uncertainties are taken into account by replacing fixed values of the model parameters by their Gaussian constraints.

The uncertainty on the combinatorial background shape is evaluated by considering a single exponential function as an alternative to the exponential plus constant model, implemented using the discrete profiling method [\[47\]](#page-16-13). The  $B^+$  shape uncertainty affects the  $B^+$  yield but, because of its long tails, also the background shape. The effect is evaluated by assigning an uncertainty on the tail parameters determined from a fit to simulated events. The uncertainty on the  $\alpha$  parameters of the CB function is increased by adding in quadrature the largest observed difference between data and simulation of this parameter in  $B^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$  decays. The fractional uncertainty on the yield of DCS crossfeed is the sum in quadrature of the fractional uncertainty on the normalisation yield and on the branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to K^+\pi^-(\pi^+\pi^-))$  [\[40\]](#page-16-6). The uncertainty on the difference between the  $B_c^+$  and  $B^+$  peak positions, 0.5 MeV/ $c^2$ , arises due to uncertainty on the measured masses [\[40,](#page-16-6) [48\]](#page-16-14) and the momentum scale uncertainty [\[49\]](#page-16-15). The ratio of the  $B_c^+$  to  $B^+$  invariant-mass resolution is assigned an uncertainty from the fit to

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 3: Invariant-mass distributions for the selected  $B^+$  candidates in the highest BDT samples, in the region near the  $B^+$  mass, for (left)  $D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$  and (right)  $D_s^+ D^0$  final states. The overlaid curves correspond to the sum of the corresponding fit results.

simulated decays. Candidates reconstructed as  $B_c^+ \rightarrow D_{(s)}^+$ (s)  $\overleftrightarrow{D}$ <sup>0</sup> with one missing neutral pion or photon have contributions from  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{*+}$ (s)  $\overleftarrow{D}^0$  and  $B_c^+ \rightarrow D_{(s)}^+$ (s)  $\overline{D}^{*0}$  decays. The uncertainties on the ratios of their relative contributions are taken from Ref. [\[14\]](#page-14-10), to which a term is added in quadrature that accounts for the statistical uncertainties of the templates. The  $B_c^+ \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{*+}$ (s)  $\overleftrightarrow{D}^{*0}$  signals have contributions from both transverse and longitudinal polarisations, which have differently shaped distributions of the reconstructed mass. The uncertainties on the transverse-polarisation fractions are taken from Ref. [\[14\]](#page-14-10), to which a term is added in quadrature to account for the statistical uncertainties of the templates.

#### 6 Results and conclusions

To determine the  $B_c^+$  branching fraction ratios R, R', and R'', fits to data are performed separately for the six  $B_c^+$  final states and for Run 1 and Run 2, while different  $D^0$  decay modes and BDT samples are fit simultaneously. The results of the fits are shown in Fig. [2,](#page-7-0) where the data of the highest BDT samples and the corresponding fit results are summed over the  $D^0$  decay channels and over data-taking periods. Detailed views of the  $D_s^+$   $\overline{D}^0$ final states near the  $B^+$  mass are shown in Fig. [3](#page-10-0) which validate the model of the large  $B^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$  signal and its crossfeed to the  $D_s^+ D^0$  final state.

The significance of the  $B_c^+$  signals are calculated using Wilks' theorem [\[50\]](#page-17-0) as  $S = \sqrt{2\Delta \log \mathcal{L}}$ , where  $\Delta \log \mathcal{L}$  is the difference in the logarithm of the likelihood between the signal plus background and background-only hypotheses. Systematic uncertainties are included in the calculation of the significance through nuisance parameters in a minimised profile likelihood.

Evidence is found only for the decay  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$  in Run 2 data, with a significance of 3.7 standard deviations, and the measured branching fraction ratio is  $R(D_s^+\overline{D}^0) = (3.6^{+1.5+0.3}_{-1.2-0.2}) \times 10^{-4}$ , where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic. The quoted significance for this channel is compatible with estimates from simulated pseudoexperiments.

	Run 2	Run 1
	$6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , 13 TeV	$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , 7 and $8 \text{TeV}$
$R(D^+_s\overline{D}{}^0)$	0.57(0.62)	0.45(0.58)
$R'(D^+_{\ast}\overline{D}{}^0)$	0.31(0.43)	0.6(0.8)
$R''(D^+_s\overline{D}{}^0)$	0.9(1.0)	0.8(1.1)
$R(D_*^+D^0)$	0.22(0.25)	0.51(0.62)
$R'(D_{s}^{+}D^{0})$	0.55(0.62)	0.8(1.1)
$R''(D^+_s D^0)$	1.0(1.1)	1.7(2.0)
$R(D^+\overline{D}{}^0)$	3.5(4.4)	8(11)
$R'(D^+\overline{D}{}^0)$	10(13)	17(19)
$R''(D^+\overline{D}{}^0)$	20(25)	81(91)
$R(D^+D^0)$	2.9(3.6)	12(14)
$R'(D^+D^0)$	6.9(7.9)	11(13)
$R''(D^+D^0)$	18(19)	82(93)
$R(D^{*+}\overline{D}{}^0)$	6.9(8.4)	26(28)
$R'(D^{*+}\overline{D}{}^0)$	16(19)	36(48)
$R(D^{*+}D^0)$	3.6(4.4)	8(13)
$R'(D^{*+}D^0)$	10(12)	35(44)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Table 3: Upper limits on the branching fraction ratios R, R' and R'' of  $B_c^+$  to  $B^+$  decays, defined in Eqs. [1](#page-3-1)[–4,](#page-4-0) at the 90(95)% C.L. for Run 2 and Run 1 data, in units of  $10^{-3}$ .

The values of  $R$ ,  $R'$  and  $R''$  from Run 1 and Run 2 cannot be directly combined since the value of  $f_c/f_u$  depends on the pp centre-of-mass energy. Therefore, a combined fit of both the Run 1 and Run 2 data sets is made to the absolute  $B_c^+$  branching fractions, using external input for  $\mathcal{B}(B^+\to D_s^+\overline{D}{}^0)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(B^+\to D^+\overline{D}{}^0)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(B^+\to D^{*+}\overline{D}{}^0)$  [\[40\]](#page-16-6), and  $f_c/f_u$  [\[19\]](#page-15-2). In this combined fit the excess for  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}{}^0$  has a significance of 3.4 standard deviations, or 2.5 standard deviations when considering the probability of the excess to appear in any of the sixteen final states considered. The corresponding value of the branching fraction is  $\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}^0) = (3.5_{-1.3-0.2}^{+1.5+0.3} \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ , where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second systematic and the third due to external input.

Upper limits are reported on the ratio of branching fractions for all decays, calculated at 90% and 95% confidence level (C.L.) with the frequentist  $CL_s$  method [\[51,](#page-17-1)[52\]](#page-17-2), separately for Run 1 and Run 2. These limits are listed in Table [3.](#page-11-0)

Upper limits on the absolute  $B_c^+$  branching fractions are based on the Run 2 dataset alone, which has nearly four times the sensitivity of the Run 1 dataset. The upper limits

$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}^0) < 7.2 \ (8.4) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_s^+ D^0) < 3.0 \ (3.7) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^+ \overline{D}^0) < 1.9 \ (2.5) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^+ \overline{D}^0) < 1.4 \ (1.8) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overline{D}^0) < 3.8 \ (4.8) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overline{D}^0) < 2.0 \ (2.4) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_s^{*+} \overline{D}^0) + \mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}^{*0}) < 4.1 \ (4.9) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_s^{*+} D^0) + \mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_s^+ D^{*0}) < 7.0 \ (8.5) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overline{D}^0) + \mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^+ \overline{D}^{*0}) < 5.8 \ (6.9) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^{*+} D^0) < 3.6 \ (4.5) \times 10^{-4};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^{*+} D^{*0}) < 1.1 \ (1.4) \times 10^{-3};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D_s^{*+} \overline{D}^{*0}) < 1.2 \ (1.4) \times 10^{-3};
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \overline{D}^{*0}) < 0.9
$$

The reported upper limits on  $B_c^+ \to D^{*+} \bar{D}^{*0}$  decays are based on the analyses of fully reconstructed  $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi^+$  decays, which have a higher sensitivity than the channels with partially reconstructed  $D^{*+} \to D^+ X^0$  decays.

In conclusion, this article reports the results of a search for  $B_c^+ \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$ (s)  $\overleftrightarrow{D}^0$  decays, covering fourteen  $B_c^+$  decay channels in sixteen final states, which include partially reconstructed decays where one or two neutral pions or photons from the decay of an excited charm meson are not reconstructed. The results, based on pp collision data corresponding to 9 fb<sup>-1</sup> of integrated luminosity, supersede an earlier LHCb measurement [\[18\]](#page-15-0) on Run 1 data only. No signal is observed in any of the channels investigated, consistent with the Standard Model expectation. An excess with a significance of 3.4 standard deviations is found for the decay  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \overline{D}^0$ , which is in tension with the theoretical expectation [\[14–](#page-14-10)[17\]](#page-15-1).

### Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MSHE (Russia); MICINN (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE NP and NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), RRCKI and Yandex LLC (Russia), CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), PL-GRID (Poland) and NERSC (USA). We are

indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from ARC and ARDC (Australia); AvH Foundation (Germany); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions and ERC (European Union); A\*MIDEX, ANR, IPhU and Labex P2IO, and Région Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (France); Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, CAS CCEPP, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Sci. & Tech. Program of Guangzhou (China); RFBR, RSF and Yandex LLC (Russia); GVA, XuntaGal and GENCAT (Spain); the Leverhulme Trust, the Royal Society and UKRI (United Kingdom).

## References

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[1] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of  $B_c^+ \to D^0 K^+$  decays, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.111803) Lett. 118 [\(2017\) 111803,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.111803) [arXiv:1701.01856](http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.01856).
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[2] N. Cabibbo, Unitary symmetry and leptonic decays, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.531) 10 (1963) 531.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[3] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, CP-violation in the renormalizable theory of weak interaction, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.49.652) 49 (1973) 652.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[4] M. Masetti,  $CP$  violation in  $B_c^+$  decays, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)90174-3) **B286** (1992) 160.
- [5] R. Fleischer and D. Wyler, *Exploring CP violation with*  $B_c^+$  *decays*, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.057503) D62 [\(2000\) 057503,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.057503) [arXiv:hep-ph/0004010](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0004010).
- [6] A. K. Giri, R. Mohanta, and M. P. Khanna, Determination of the angle  $\gamma$  from nonleptonic  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ D^0$  decays, Phys. Rev. **D65** [\(2002\) 034016,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.034016) [arXiv:hep-ph/0104009](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0104009).
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[7] A. K. Giri, B. Mawlong, and R. Mohanta, *Determining the CKM angle*  $\gamma$  with  $B_c^+$ decays, Phys. Rev. D75 [\(2007\) 097304,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.097304, 10.1103/PhysRevD.76.099902) [arXiv:hep-ph/0611212](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0611212), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D76,099902(2007)].
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[8] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of CP observables in  $B^{\pm} \to D^{(*)}K^{\pm}$ and  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\pi^{\pm}$  decays using two-body D final states, JHEP 04 [\(2021\) 081,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2021)081) [arXiv:2012.09903](http://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09903).
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[9] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the CKM angle  $\gamma$  in  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow$  $DK^{\pm}$  and  $B^{\pm}$   $\rightarrow$   $D\pi^{\pm}$  decays with  $D \rightarrow K_{\rm S}h^{+}h^{-}$ , JHEP 02 [\(2021\) 0169,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)169) [arXiv:2010.08483](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.08483).
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[10] B. Mohammadi, Final state interaction analysis and branching fraction calculations of  $B_c^+ \to D^+ \overline{D}^0 (D^+ D^0)$  decays, [Few Body Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-021-01604-5) 62 (2021) 19.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[11] M. Bordone *et al.*, A puzzle in  $\bar{B}_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$  $\binom{(*)}{(s)}$  { $\pi^-$ ,  $K^-$ } decays and extraction of the  $f_s/f_d$  fragmentation fraction, [Eur. Phys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08512-8) C80 (2020) 951,  $arXiv:2007.10338$ .
- [12] S. Iguro and T. Kitahara, Implications for new physics from a novel puzzle in  $\bar{B}_{(s)}^0 \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+}$  $\{(\ast)\}_{(s)}^{(\ast)+}\{\pi^{-},K^{-}\}$  decays, Phys. Rev. **D102** [\(2020\) 071701,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.071701)  $\texttt{arXiv:2008.01086}.$  $\texttt{arXiv:2008.01086}.$  $\texttt{arXiv:2008.01086}.$
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[13] F.-M. Cai, W.-J. Deng, X.-Q. Li, and Y.-D. Yang, *Probing new physics in class-I* B-meson decays into heavy-light final states, [arXiv:2103.04138](http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.04138).
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>[14] Z. Rui, Z. Zhitian, and C.-D. Lu, The double charm decays of  $B_c$  meson in the perturbative QCD approach, Phys. Rev.  $DS6$  [\(2012\) 074019,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.074019)  $arXiv:1203.2303$ .
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>[15] V. V. Kiselev, *Exclusive decays and lifetime of*  $B_c$  *meson in QCD sum rules*, [arXiv:hep-ph/0211021](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0211021).
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>[16] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, and O. N. Pakhomova, The nonleptonic decays  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ \bar{D}^0$  and  $B_c^+ \to D_s^+ D^0$  in a relativistic quark model, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00052-2) **B555** [\(2003\) 189,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00052-2) [arXiv:hep-ph/0212291](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0212291).
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>[17] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, and P. Santorelli, Exclusive semileptonic and nonleptonic decays of the  $B_c$  meson, Phys. Rev. D73 [\(2006\) 054024,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.054024) [arXiv:hep-ph/0602050](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0602050).
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>[18] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Search for  $B_c^+$  decays to two charm mesons, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.03.015) Phys. B930 [\(2018\) 563,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.03.015) [arXiv:1712.04702](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04702).
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>[19] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the  $B_c^-$  production fraction and asymmetry in  $\gamma$  and  $13 \text{ TeV}$  pp collisions, Phys. Rev. **D100** [\(2019\) 112006,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.112006) [arXiv:1910.13404](http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13404).
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>[20] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurements of  $B_c^+$  production and mass with the  $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+$  decay, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.232001) **109** (2012) 232001, [arXiv:1209.5634](http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.5634).
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>[21] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of  $B_c^+$  production in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.132001) 114 (2015) 132001, [arXiv:1411.2943](http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.2943).
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>[22] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. et al., The LHCb detector at the LHC, [JINST](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08005) 3 [\(2008\) S08005.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08005)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>[23] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *LHCb detector performance*, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X15300227) A30 [\(2015\) 1530022,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X15300227) [arXiv:1412.6352](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6352).
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>[24] R. Aaij et al., Performance of the LHCb Vertex Locator, JINST 9 [\(2014\) P09007,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/09/P09007) [arXiv:1405.7808](http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.7808).
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>[25] R. Arink et al., Performance of the LHCb Outer Tracker, JINST 9 [\(2014\) P01002,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/01/P01002) [arXiv:1311.3893](http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.3893).
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>[26] P. d'Argent et al., Improved performance of the LHCb Outer Tracker in LHC Run 2, JINST 12 [\(2017\) P11016,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/11/P11016) [arXiv:1708.00819](http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.00819).
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>[27] M. Adinolfi et al., Performance of the LHCb RICH detector at the LHC, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2431-9) J. C73 [\(2013\) 2431,](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2431-9) [arXiv:1211.6759](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.6759).
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>[28] A. A. Alves Jr. et al., Performance of the LHCb muon system, [JINST](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/02/P02022) 8 (2013) [P02022,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/02/P02022) [arXiv:1211.1346](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.1346).
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>[29] R. Aaij et al., The LHCb trigger and its performance in 2011, JINST 8 [\(2013\) P04022,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04022) [arXiv:1211.3055](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.3055).
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>[30] V. V. Gligorov and M. Williams, Efficient, reliable and fast high-level triggering using a bonsai boosted decision tree, JINST 8 [\(2013\) P02013,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/02/P02013) [arXiv:1210.6861](http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.6861).
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>[31] T. Likhomanenko et al., *LHCb topological trigger reoptimization*, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/664/8/082025) 664 [\(2015\) 082025.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/664/8/082025)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>[32] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) 178 (2008) 852, [arXiv:0710.3820](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3820); T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, JHEP 05 [\(2006\) 026,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026) [arXiv:hep-ph/0603175](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0603175).
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>[33] I. Belyaev et al., Handling of the generation of primary events in Gauss, the LHCb simulation framework, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/331/3/032047) 331 (2011) 032047.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>[34] C.-H. Chang, C. Driouichi, P. Eerola, and X. G. Wu, BCVEGPY: An event generator for hadronic production of the  $B_c$  meson, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2004.02.005) 159 (2004) 192, [arXiv:hep-ph/0309120](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0309120).
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>[35] D. J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4) A462 [\(2001\) 152.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>[36] N. Davidson, T. Przedzinski, and Z. Was, PHOTOS interface in C++: Technical and physics documentation, [Comp. Phys. Comm.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.09.013) 199 (2016) 86, [arXiv:1011.0937](http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.0937).
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>[37] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison et al., Geant4 developments and applications, [IEEE](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.869826) [Trans. Nucl. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.869826) 53 (2006) 270; Geant4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli et al., Geant4: A simulation toolkit, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8) A506 (2003) 250.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>[38] M. Clemencic et al., The LHCb simulation application, Gauss: Design, evolution and experience, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/331/3/032023) 331 (2011) 032023.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>[39] A. Rogozhnikov, Reweighting with Boosted Decision Trees, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/762/1/012036) 762 [\(2016\) 012036,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/762/1/012036) [arXiv:1608.05806](http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05806), [https://github.com/arogozhnikov/hep\\_ml](https://github.com/arogozhnikov/hep_ml).
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>[40] Particle Data Group, P. A. Zyla et al., [Review of particle physics](http://pdg.lbl.gov/), [Prog. Theor. Exp.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104) Phys. 2020 [\(2020\) 083C01.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>[41] W. D. Hulsbergen, Decay chain fitting with a Kalman filter, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2005.06.078) A552 [\(2005\) 566,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2005.06.078) [arXiv:physics/0503191](http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0503191).
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>[42] L. Breiman, J. H. Friedman, R. A. Olshen, and C. J. Stone, Classification and regression trees, Wadsworth international group, Belmont, California, USA, 1984.
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>[43] B. P. Roe et al., Boosted decision trees as an alternative to artificial neural networks for particle identification, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2004.12.018) A543 (2005) 577, [arXiv:physics/0408124](http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0408124).
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>[44] S. Geisser, Predictive inference: An introduction, [Monographs on statistics and](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203742310) [applied probability, Chapman & Hall, New York, 1993.](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203742310)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>[45] T. Skwarnicki, A study of the radiative cascade transitions between the Upsilon-prime and Upsilon resonances, PhD thesis, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, 1986, [DESY-F31-86-02.](http://inspirehep.net/record/230779/)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>[46] K. Cranmer, Kernel estimation in high-energy physics, [Computer Physics Communi](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(00)00243-5)cations 136 [\(2001\) 198,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(00)00243-5) [arXiv:hep-ex/0011057](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0011057).
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>[47] P. D. Dauncey, M. Kenzie, N. Wardle, and G. J. Davies, Handling uncertainties in background shapes: the discrete profiling method, JINST 10 [\(2015\) P04015,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/04/P04015) [arXiv:1408.6865](http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.6865).
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>[48] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Precision measurement of the  $B_c^+$  meson mass, JHEP 07 [\(2020\) 123,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)123) [arXiv:2004.08163](http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.08163).
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>[49] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurements of the  $\Lambda_b^0$ ,  $\Xi_b^ \delta_b^-$ , and  $\Omega_b^$  $b_0^-$  baryon masses, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182001) 110 (2013) 182001, [arXiv:1302.1072](http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.1072).
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>[50] S. S. Wilks, The large-sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses, [Ann. Math. Stat.](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177732360) 9 (1938) 60.
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>[51] A. L. Read, *Presentation of search results: the CL<sub>s</sub> technique*, [Journal of Physics G:](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313) [Nuclear and Particle Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313) 28 (2002) 2693.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>[52] M. Kenzie et al., GammaCombo: A statistical analysis framework for combining measurements, fitting datasets and producing confidence intervals, doi: [10.5281/zen](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3371421)[odo.3371421.](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3371421)

#### LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij $^{32}$ , A.S.W. Abdelmotteleb<sup>56</sup>, C. Abellán Beteta<sup>50</sup>, T. Ackernley<sup>60</sup>, B. Adeva<sup>46</sup>, M. Adinolfi<sup>54</sup>, H. Afsharnia<sup>9</sup>, C. Agapopoulou<sup>13</sup>, C.A. Aidala<sup>86</sup>, S. Aiola<sup>25</sup>, Z. Ajaltouni<sup>9</sup>, S. Akar<sup>65</sup>, J. Albrecht<sup>15</sup>, F. Alessio<sup>48</sup>, M. Alexander<sup>59</sup>, A. Alfonso Albero<sup>45</sup>, Z. Aliouche<sup>62</sup>, G. Alkhazov<sup>38</sup>, P. Alvarez Cartelle<sup>55</sup>, S. Amato<sup>2</sup>, J.L. Amey<sup>54</sup>, Y. Amhis<sup>11</sup>, L. An<sup>48</sup>, L. Anderlini<sup>22</sup>, A. Andreianov<sup>38</sup>, M. Andreotti<sup>21</sup>, F. Archilli<sup>17</sup>, A. Artamonov<sup>44</sup>, M. Artuso<sup>68</sup>, K. Arzymatov<sup>42</sup>, E. Aslanides<sup>10</sup>, M. Atzeni<sup>50</sup>, B. Audurier<sup>12</sup>, S. Bachmann<sup>17</sup>, M. Bachmayer<sup>49</sup>, J.J. Back $^{56}$ , P. Baladron Rodriguez<sup>46</sup>, V. Balagura<sup>12</sup>, W. Baldini<sup>21</sup>, J. Baptista Leite<sup>1</sup>, M. Barbetti<sup>22</sup>, R.J. Barlow<sup>62</sup>, S. Barsuk<sup>11</sup>, W. Barter<sup>61</sup>, M. Bartolini<sup>24,h</sup>, F. Baryshnikov<sup>83</sup>, J.M. Basels<sup>14</sup>, S. Bashir<sup>34</sup>, G. Bassi<sup>29</sup>, B. Batsukh<sup>68</sup>, A. Battig<sup>15</sup>, A. Bay<sup>49</sup>, A. Beck<sup>56</sup>, M. Becker<sup>15</sup>, F. Bedeschi<sup>29</sup>, I. Bediaga<sup>1</sup>, A. Beiter<sup>68</sup>, V. Belavin<sup>42</sup>, S. Belin<sup>27</sup>, V. Bellee<sup>50</sup>, K. Belous<sup>44</sup>, I. Belov<sup>40</sup>, I. Belyaev<sup>41</sup>, G. Bencivenni<sup>23</sup>, E. Ben-Haim<sup>13</sup>, A. Berezhnoy<sup>40</sup>, R. Bernet<sup>50</sup>, D. Berninghoff<sup>17</sup>, H.C. Bernstein<sup>68</sup>, C. Bertella<sup>48</sup>, A. Bertolin<sup>28</sup>, C. Betancourt<sup>50</sup>, F. Betti<sup>48</sup>, Ia. Bezshyiko<sup>50</sup>, S. Bhasin<sup>54</sup>, J. Bhom<sup>35</sup>, L. Bian<sup>73</sup>, M.S. Bieker<sup>15</sup>, S. Bifani<sup>53</sup>, P. Billoir<sup>13</sup>, M. Birch<sup>61</sup>, F.C.R. Bishop<sup>55</sup>, A. Bitadze<sup>62</sup>, A. Bizzeti<sup>22,k</sup>, M. Bjørn<sup>63</sup>, M.P. Blago<sup>48</sup>, T. Blake<sup>56</sup>, F. Blanc<sup>49</sup>, S. Blusk<sup>68</sup>, D. Bobulska<sup>59</sup>, J.A. Boelhauve<sup>15</sup>, O. Boente Garcia<sup>46</sup>, T. Boettcher<sup>65</sup>, A. Boldyrev<sup>82</sup>, A. Bondar<sup>43</sup>, N. Bondar<sup>38,48</sup>, S. Borghi<sup>62</sup>, M. Borisyak<sup>42</sup>, M. Borsato<sup>17</sup>, J.T. Borsuk<sup>35</sup>, S.A. Bouchiba<sup>49</sup>, T.J.V. Bowcock<sup>60</sup>, A. Boyer<sup>48</sup>, C. Bozzi<sup>21</sup>, M.J. Bradley<sup>61</sup>, S. Braun<sup>66</sup>, A. Brea Rodriguez<sup>46</sup>, M. Brodski<sup>48</sup>, J. Brodzicka<sup>35</sup>, A. Brossa Gonzalo<sup>56</sup>, D. Brundu<sup>27</sup>, A. Buonaura<sup>50</sup>, L. Buonincontri<sup>28</sup>, A.T. Burke<sup>62</sup>, C. Burr<sup>48</sup>, A. Bursche<sup>72</sup>, A. Butkevich<sup>39</sup>, J.S. Butter<sup>32</sup>, J. Buytaert<sup>48</sup>, W. Byczynski<sup>48</sup>, S. Cadeddu<sup>27</sup>, H. Cai<sup>73</sup>, R. Calabrese<sup>21,f</sup>, L. Calefice<sup>15,13</sup>, L. Calero Diaz<sup>23</sup>, S. Cali<sup>23</sup>, R. Calladine<sup>53</sup>, M. Calvi<sup>26,j</sup>, M. Calvo Gomez<sup>85</sup>, P. Camargo Magalhaes<sup>54</sup>, P. Campana<sup>23</sup>, A.F. Campoverde Quezada<sup>6</sup>, S. Capelli<sup>26,j</sup>, L. Capriotti<sup>20,d</sup>, A. Carbone<sup>20,d</sup>, G. Carboni<sup>31</sup>, R. Cardinale<sup>24,h</sup>, A. Cardini<sup>27</sup>, I. Carli<sup>4</sup>, P. Carniti<sup>26,j</sup>, L. Carus<sup>14</sup>, K. Carvalho Akiba<sup>32</sup>, A. Casais Vidal<sup>46</sup>, G. Casse<sup>60</sup>, M. Cattaneo<sup>48</sup>, G. Cavallero<sup>48</sup>, S. Celani<sup>49</sup>, J. Cerasoli<sup>10</sup>, D. Cervenkov<sup>63</sup>, A.J. Chadwick<sup>60</sup>, M.G. Chapman<sup>54</sup>, M. Charles<sup>13</sup>, Ph. Charpentier<sup>48</sup>, G. Chatzikonstantinidis<sup>53</sup>, C.A. Chavez Barajas<sup>60</sup>, M. Chefdeville<sup>8</sup>, C. Chen<sup>3</sup>, S. Chen<sup>4</sup>, A. Chernov<sup>35</sup>, V. Chobanova<sup>46</sup>, S. Cholak<sup>49</sup>, M. Chrzaszcz<sup>35</sup>, A. Chubykin<sup>38</sup>, V. Chulikov<sup>38</sup>, P. Ciambrone<sup>23</sup>, M.F. Cicala<sup>56</sup>, X. Cid Vidal<sup>46</sup>, G. Ciezarek<sup>48</sup>, P.E.L. Clarke<sup>58</sup>, M. Clemencic<sup>48</sup>, H.V. Cliff<sup>55</sup>, J. Closier<sup>48</sup>, J.L. Cobbledick<sup>62</sup>, V. Coco<sup>48</sup>, J.A.B. Coelho<sup>11</sup>, J. Cogan<sup>10</sup>, E. Cogneras<sup>9</sup>, L. Cojocariu<sup>37</sup>, P. Collins<sup>48</sup>, T. Colombo<sup>48</sup>, L. Congedo<sup>19,c</sup>, A. Contu<sup>27</sup>, N. Cooke<sup>53</sup>, G. Coombs<sup>59</sup>, I. Corredoira <sup>46</sup>, G. Corti<sup>48</sup>, C.M. Costa Sobral<sup>56</sup>, B. Couturier<sup>48</sup>, D.C. Craik<sup>64</sup>, J. Crkovská<sup>67</sup>, M. Cruz Torres<sup>1</sup>, R. Currie<sup>58</sup>, C.L. Da Silva<sup>67</sup>, S. Dadabaev<sup>83</sup>, L. Dai<sup>71</sup>, E. Dall'Occo<sup>15</sup>, J. Dalseno<sup>46</sup>, C. D'Ambrosio<sup>48</sup>, A. Danilina<sup>41</sup>, P. d'Argent<sup>48</sup>, J.E. Davies<sup>62</sup>, A. Davis<sup>62</sup>, O. De Aguiar Francisco<sup>62</sup>, K. De Bruyn<sup>79</sup>, S. De Capua<sup>62</sup>, M. De Cian<sup>49</sup>, J.M. De Miranda<sup>1</sup>, L. De Paula<sup>2</sup>, M. De Serio<sup>19,c</sup>, D. De Simone<sup>50</sup>, P. De Simone<sup>23</sup>, J.A. de Vries<sup>80</sup>, C.T. Dean<sup>67</sup>, D. Decamp<sup>8</sup>, V. Dedu<sup>10</sup>, L. Del Buono<sup>13</sup>, B. Delaney<sup>55</sup>, H.-P. Dembinski<sup>15</sup>, A. Dendek<sup>34</sup>, V. Denysenko<sup>50</sup>, D. Derkach<sup>82</sup>, O. Deschamps<sup>9</sup>, F. Desse<sup>11</sup>, F. Dettori<sup>27,e</sup>, B. Dey<sup>77</sup>, A. Di Cicco<sup>23</sup>, P. Di Nezza<sup>23</sup>, S. Didenko<sup>83</sup>, L. Dieste Maronas<sup>46</sup>, H. Dijkstra<sup>48</sup>, V. Dobishuk<sup>52</sup>, C. Dong<sup>3</sup>, A.M. Donohoe<sup>18</sup>, F. Dordei<sup>27</sup>, A.C. dos Reis<sup>1</sup>, L. Douglas<sup>59</sup>, A. Dovbnya<sup>51</sup>, A.G. Downes<sup>8</sup>, M.W. Dudek<sup>35</sup>, L. Dufour<sup>48</sup>, V. Duk<sup>78</sup>, P. Durante<sup>48</sup>, J.M. Durham<sup>67</sup>, D. Dutta<sup>62</sup>, A. Dziurda<sup>35</sup>, A. Dzyuba<sup>38</sup>, S. Easo<sup>57</sup>, U. Egede<sup>69</sup>, V. Egorychev<sup>41</sup>, S. Eidelman<sup>43,*v*</sup>, S. Eisenhardt<sup>58</sup>, S. Ek-In<sup>49</sup>, L. Eklund<sup>59,*w*</sup>, S. Ely<sup>68</sup>, A. Ene<sup>37</sup>, E. Epple<sup>67</sup>, S. Escher<sup>14</sup>, J. Eschle<sup>50</sup>, S. Esen<sup>13</sup>, T. Evans<sup>48</sup>, A. Falabella<sup>20</sup>, J. Fan<sup>3</sup>, Y. Fan<sup>6</sup>, B. Fang<sup>73</sup>, S. Farry<sup>60</sup>, D. Fazzini<sup>26,j</sup>, M. Féo<sup>48</sup>, A. Fernandez Prieto<sup>46</sup>, A.D. Fernez<sup>66</sup>, F. Ferrari<sup>20,d</sup>, L. Ferreira Lopes<sup>49</sup>, F. Ferreira Rodrigues<sup>2</sup>, S. Ferreres Sole<sup>32</sup>, M. Ferrillo<sup>50</sup>, M. Ferro-Luzzi<sup>48</sup>, S. Filippov<sup>39</sup>, R.A. Fini<sup>19</sup>, M. Fiorini<sup>21,f</sup>, M. Firlej<sup>34</sup>, K.M. Fischer<sup>63</sup>, D.S. Fitzgerald<sup>86</sup>, C. Fitzpatrick<sup>62</sup>, T. Fiutowski<sup>34</sup>, A. Fkiaras<sup>48</sup>, F. Fleuret<sup>12</sup>,

M. Fontana<sup>13</sup>, F. Fontanelli<sup>24,h</sup>, R. Forty<sup>48</sup>, D. Foulds-Holt<sup>55</sup>, V. Franco Lima<sup>60</sup>, M. Franco Sevilla<sup>66</sup>, M. Frank<sup>48</sup>, E. Franzoso<sup>21</sup>, G. Frau<sup>17</sup>, C. Frei<sup>48</sup>, D.A. Friday<sup>59</sup>, J. Fu<sup>25</sup>, Q. Fuehring<sup>15</sup>, E. Gabriel<sup>32</sup>, T. Gaintseva<sup>42</sup>, A. Gallas Torreira<sup>46</sup>, D. Galli<sup>20,d</sup>, S. Gambetta<sup>58,48</sup>, Y. Gan<sup>3</sup>, M. Gandelman<sup>2</sup>, P. Gandini<sup>25</sup>, Y. Gao<sup>5</sup>, M. Garau<sup>27</sup>, L.M. Garcia Martin<sup>56</sup>, P. Garcia Moreno<sup>45</sup>, J. García Pardiñas<sup>26,j</sup>, B. Garcia Plana<sup>46</sup>, F.A. Garcia Rosales<sup>12</sup>, L. Garrido<sup>45</sup>, C. Gaspar<sup>48</sup>, R.E. Geertsema<sup>32</sup>, D. Gerick<sup>17</sup>, L.L. Gerken<sup>15</sup>, E. Gersabeck<sup>62</sup>, M. Gersabeck<sup>62</sup>, T. Gershon<sup>56</sup>, D. Gerstel<sup>10</sup>, Ph. Ghez<sup>8</sup>, L. Giambastiani<sup>28</sup>, V. Gibson<sup>55</sup>, H.K. Giemza<sup>36</sup>, A.L. Gilman<sup>63</sup>, M. Giovannetti<sup>23,p</sup>, A. Gioventù<sup>46</sup>, P. Gironella Gironell<sup>45</sup>, L. Giubega<sup>37</sup>, C. Giugliano<sup>21, f, 48</sup>, K. Gizdov<sup>58</sup>, E.L. Gkougkousis<sup>48</sup>, V.V. Gligorov<sup>13</sup>, C. Göbel<sup>70</sup>, E. Golobardes<sup>85</sup>, D. Golubkov<sup>41</sup>, A. Golutvin<sup>61,83</sup>, A. Gomes<sup>1,a</sup>, S. Gomez Fernandez<sup>45</sup>, F. Goncalves Abrantes<sup>63</sup>, M. Goncerz<sup>35</sup>, G. Gong<sup>3</sup>, P. Gorbounov<sup>41</sup>, I.V. Gorelov<sup>40</sup>, C. Gotti<sup>26</sup>, E. Govorkova<sup>48</sup>, J.P. Grabowski<sup>17</sup>, T. Grammatico<sup>13</sup>, L.A. Granado Cardoso<sup>48</sup>, E. Graugés<sup>45</sup>, E. Graverini<sup>49</sup>, G. Graziani<sup>22</sup>, A. Grecu<sup>37</sup>, L.M. Greeven<sup>32</sup>, N.A. Grieser<sup>4</sup>, L. Grillo<sup>62</sup>, S. Gromov<sup>83</sup>, B.R. Gruberg Cazon<sup>63</sup>, C. Gu<sup>3</sup>, M. Guarise<sup>21</sup>, P. A. Günther<sup>17</sup>, E. Gushchin<sup>39</sup>, A. Guth<sup>14</sup>, Y. Guz<sup>44</sup>, T. Gys<sup>48</sup>, T. Hadavizadeh<sup>69</sup>, G. Haefeli<sup>49</sup>, C. Haen<sup>48</sup>, J. Haimberger<sup>48</sup>, T. Halewood-leagas<sup>60</sup>, P.M. Hamilton<sup>66</sup>, J.P. Hammerich<sup>60</sup>, Q. Han<sup>7</sup>, X. Han<sup>17</sup>, T.H. Hancock<sup>63</sup>, S. Hansmann-Menzemer<sup>17</sup>, N. Harnew<sup>63</sup>, T. Harrison<sup>60</sup>, C. Hasse<sup>48</sup>, M. Hatch<sup>48</sup>, J. He<sup>6,b</sup>, M. Hecker<sup>61</sup>, K. Heijhoff<sup>32</sup>, K. Heinicke<sup>15</sup>, A.M. Hennequin<sup>48</sup>, K. Hennessy<sup>60</sup>, L. Henry<sup>48</sup>, J. Heuel<sup>14</sup>, A. Hicheur<sup>2</sup>, D. Hill<sup>49</sup>, M. Hilton<sup>62</sup>, S.E. Hollitt<sup>15</sup>, R. Hou<sup>7</sup>, Y. Hou<sup>6</sup>, J. Hu<sup>17</sup>, J.  $\rm{Hu^{72}}$ , W.  $\rm{Hu^{7}}$ , X.  $\rm{Hu^{3}}$ , W.  $\rm{Huang^{6}}$ , X.  $\rm{Huang^{73}}$ , W.  $\rm{Hulsbergen^{32}}$ , R.J.  $\rm{Hunter^{56}}$ , M. Hushchyn<sup>82</sup>, D. Hutchcroft<sup>60</sup>, D. Hynds<sup>32</sup>, P. Ibis<sup>15</sup>, M. Idzik<sup>34</sup>, D. Ilin<sup>38</sup>, P. Ilten<sup>65</sup>, A. Inglessi<sup>38</sup>, A. Ishteev<sup>83</sup>, K. Ivshin<sup>38</sup>, R. Jacobsson<sup>48</sup>, H. Jage<sup>14</sup>, S. Jakobsen<sup>48</sup>, E. Jans<sup>32</sup>, B.K. Jashal<sup>47</sup>, A. Jawahery<sup>66</sup>, V. Jevtic<sup>15</sup>, F. Jiang<sup>3</sup>, M. John<sup>63</sup>, D. Johnson<sup>48</sup>, C.R. Jones<sup>55</sup>, T.P. Jones<sup>56</sup>, B. Jost<sup>48</sup>, N. Jurik<sup>48</sup>, S.H. Kalavan Kadavath<sup>34</sup>, S. Kandybei<sup>51</sup>, Y. Kang<sup>3</sup>, M. Karacson<sup>48</sup>, M. Karpov<sup>82</sup>, F. Keizer<sup>48</sup>, D.M. Keller<sup>68</sup>, M. Kenzie<sup>56</sup>, T. Ketel<sup>33</sup>, B. Khanji<sup>15</sup>, A. Kharisova<sup>84</sup>, S. Kholodenko<sup>44</sup>, T. Kirn<sup>14</sup>, V.S. Kirsebom<sup>49</sup>, O. Kitouni<sup>64</sup>, S. Klaver<sup>32</sup>, N. Kleijne<sup>29</sup>, K. Klimaszewski<sup>36</sup>, M.R. Kmiec<sup>36</sup>, S. Koliiev<sup>52</sup>, A. Kondybayeva<sup>83</sup>, A. Konoplyannikov<sup>41</sup>, P. Kopciewicz<sup>34</sup>, R. Kopecna<sup>17</sup>, P. Koppenburg<sup>32</sup>, M. Korolev<sup>40</sup>, I. Kostiuk<sup>32,52</sup>, O. Kot<sup>52</sup>, S. Kotriakhova<sup>21,38</sup>, P. Kravchenko<sup>38</sup>, L. Kravchuk<sup>39</sup>, R.D. Krawczyk<sup>48</sup>, M. Kreps<sup>56</sup>, F. Kress<sup>61</sup>, S. Kretzschmar<sup>14</sup>, P. Krokovny<sup>43,</sup>v, W. Krupa<sup>34</sup>, W. Krzemien<sup>36</sup>, W. Kucewicz<sup>35,*t*</sup>, M. Kucharczyk<sup>35</sup>, V. Kudryavtsev<sup>43,*v*</sup>, H.S. Kuindersma<sup>32,33</sup>, G.J. Kunde<sup>67</sup>, T. Kvaratskheliya<sup>41</sup>, D. Lacarrere<sup>48</sup>, G. Lafferty<sup>62</sup>, A. Lai<sup>27</sup>, A. Lampis<sup>27</sup>, D. Lancierini<sup>50</sup>, J.J. Lane<sup>62</sup>, R. Lane<sup>54</sup>, G. Lanfranchi<sup>23</sup>, C. Langenbruch<sup>14</sup>, J. Langer<sup>15</sup>, O. Lantwin<sup>83</sup>, T. Latham<sup>56</sup>, F. Lazzari<sup>29,q</sup>, R. Le Gac<sup>10</sup>, S.H. Lee<sup>86</sup>, R. Lefèvre<sup>9</sup>, A. Leflat<sup>40</sup>, S. Legotin<sup>83</sup>, O. Leroy<sup>10</sup>, T. Lesiak<sup>35</sup>, B. Leverington<sup>17</sup>, H. Li<sup>72</sup>, P. Li<sup>17</sup>, S. Li<sup>7</sup>, Y. Li<sup>4</sup>, Y. Li<sup>4</sup>, Z. Li<sup>68</sup>, X. Liang<sup>68</sup>, T. Lin<sup>61</sup>, R. Lindner<sup>48</sup>, V. Lisovskyi<sup>15</sup>, R. Litvinov<sup>27</sup>, G. Liu<sup>72</sup>, H. Liu<sup>6</sup>, S. Liu<sup>4</sup>, A. Lobo Salvia<sup>45</sup>, A. Loi<sup>27</sup>, J. Lomba Castro<sup>46</sup>, I. Longstaff<sup>59</sup>, J.H. Lopes<sup>2</sup>, S. Lopez Solino<sup>46</sup>, G.H. Lovell<sup>55</sup>, Y. Lu<sup>4</sup>, C. Lucarelli<sup>22</sup>, D. Lucchesi<sup>28,*l*</sup>, S. Luchuk<sup>39</sup>, M. Lucio Martinez<sup>32</sup>, V. Lukashenko<sup>32,52</sup>, Y. Luo<sup>3</sup>, A. Lupato<sup>62</sup>, E. Luppi<sup>21,f</sup>, O. Lupton<sup>56</sup>, A. Lusiani<sup>29,*m*</sup>, X. Lyu<sup>6</sup>, L. Ma<sup>4</sup>, R. Ma<sup>6</sup>, S. Maccolini<sup>20,d</sup>, F. Machefert<sup>11</sup>, F. Maciuc<sup>37</sup>, V. Macko<sup>49</sup>, P. Mackowiak<sup>15</sup>, S. Maddrell-Mander<sup>54</sup>, O. Madejczyk<sup>34</sup>, L.R. Madhan Mohan<sup>54</sup>, O. Maev<sup>38</sup>, A. Maevskiy<sup>82</sup>, D. Maisuzenko<sup>38</sup>, M.W. Majewski<sup>34</sup>, J.J. Malczewski<sup>35</sup>, S. Malde<sup>63</sup>, B. Malecki<sup>48</sup>, A. Malinin<sup>81</sup>, T. Maltsev<sup>43,v</sup>, H. Malygina<sup>17</sup>, G. Manca<sup>27,e</sup>, G. Mancinelli<sup>10</sup>, D. Manuzzi<sup>20,d</sup>, D. Marangotto<sup>25,i</sup>, J. Maratas<sup>9,s</sup>, J.F. Marchand<sup>8</sup>, U. Marconi<sup>20</sup>, S. Mariani<sup>22,g</sup>, C. Marin Benito<sup>48</sup>, M. Marinangeli<sup>49</sup>, J. Marks<sup>17</sup>, A.M. Marshall<sup>54</sup>, P.J. Marshall<sup>60</sup>, G. Martelli<sup>78</sup>, G. Martellotti<sup>30</sup>, L. Martinazzoli<sup>48,j</sup>, M. Martinelli<sup>26,j</sup>, D. Martinez Santos<sup>46</sup>, F. Martinez Vidal<sup>47</sup>, A. Massafferri<sup>1</sup>, M. Materok<sup>14</sup>, R. Matev<sup>48</sup>, A. Mathad<sup>50</sup>, Z. Mathe<sup>48</sup>, V. Matiunin<sup>41</sup>, C. Matteuzzi<sup>26</sup>, K.R. Mattioli<sup>86</sup>, A. Mauri<sup>32</sup>, E. Maurice<sup>12</sup>, J. Mauricio<sup>45</sup>, M. Mazurek<sup>48</sup>, M. McCann<sup>61</sup>, L. Mcconnell<sup>18</sup>, T.H. Mcgrath<sup>62</sup>, N.T. Mchugh<sup>59</sup>, A. McNab<sup>62</sup>, R. McNulty<sup>18</sup>, J.V. Mead<sup>60</sup>, B. Meadows<sup>65</sup>, G. Meier<sup>15</sup>, N. Meinert<sup>76</sup>, D. Melnychuk<sup>36</sup>,

S. Meloni<sup>26,j</sup>, M. Merk<sup>32,80</sup>, A. Merli<sup>25,*i*</sup>, L. Meyer Garcia<sup>2</sup>, M. Mikhasenko<sup>48</sup>, D.A. Milanes<sup>74</sup>, E. Millard<sup>56</sup>, M. Milovanovic<sup>48</sup>, M.-N. Minard<sup>8</sup>, A. Minotti<sup>26,j</sup>, L. Minzoni<sup>21,f</sup>, S.E. Mitchell<sup>58</sup>, B. Mitreska<sup>62</sup>, D.S. Mitzel<sup>48</sup>, A. Mödden <sup>15</sup>, R.A. Mohammed<sup>63</sup>, R.D. Moise<sup>61</sup>, T. Mombächer<sup>46</sup>, I.A. Monroy<sup>74</sup>, S. Monteil<sup>9</sup>, M. Morandin<sup>28</sup>, G. Morello<sup>23</sup>, M.J. Morello<sup>29,*m*</sup>, J. Moron<sup>34</sup>, A.B. Morris<sup>75</sup>, A.G. Morris<sup>56</sup>, R. Mountain<sup>68</sup>, H. Mu<sup>3</sup>, F. Muheim<sup>58,48</sup>, M. Mulder<sup>48</sup>, D. Müller<sup>48</sup>, K. Müller<sup>50</sup>, C.H. Murphy<sup>63</sup>, D. Murray<sup>62</sup>, P. Muzzetto<sup>27,48</sup>, P. Naik<sup>54</sup>, T. Nakada<sup>49</sup>, R. Nandakumar<sup>57</sup>, T. Nanut<sup>49</sup>, I. Nasteva<sup>2</sup>, M. Needham<sup>58</sup>, I. Neri<sup>21</sup>, N. Neri<sup>25,*i*</sup>, S. Neubert<sup>75</sup>, N. Neufeld<sup>48</sup>, R. Newcombe<sup>61</sup>, T.D. Nguyen<sup>49</sup>, C. Nguyen-Mau<sup>49,x</sup>, E.M. Niel<sup>11</sup>, S. Nieswand<sup>14</sup>, N. Nikitin<sup>40</sup>, N.S. Nolte<sup>64</sup>, C. Normand<sup>8</sup>, C. Nunez<sup>86</sup>, A. Oblakowska-Mucha<sup>34</sup>, V. Obraztsov<sup>44</sup>, T. Oeser<sup>14</sup>, D.P. O'Hanlon<sup>54</sup>, S. Okamura<sup>21</sup>, R. Oldeman<sup>27,e</sup>, M.E. Olivares<sup>68</sup>, C.J.G. Onderwater<sup>79</sup>, R.H. O'Neil<sup>58</sup>, A. Ossowska<sup>35</sup>, J.M. Otalora Goicochea<sup>2</sup>, T. Ovsiannikova<sup>41</sup>, P. Owen<sup>50</sup>, A. Oyanguren<sup>47</sup>, K.O. Padeken<sup>75</sup>, B. Pagare<sup>56</sup>, P.R. Pais<sup>48</sup>, T. Pajero<sup>63</sup>, A. Palano<sup>19</sup>, M. Palutan<sup>23</sup>, Y. Pan<sup>62</sup>, G. Panshin<sup>84</sup>, A. Papanestis<sup>57</sup>, M. Pappagallo<sup>19,c</sup>, L.L. Pappalardo<sup>21,f</sup>, C. Pappenheimer<sup>65</sup>, W. Parker<sup>66</sup>, C. Parkes<sup>62</sup>, B. Passalacqua<sup>21</sup>, G. Passaleva<sup>22</sup>, A. Pastore<sup>19</sup>, M. Patel<sup>61</sup>, C. Patrignani<sup>20,d</sup>, C.J. Pawley<sup>80</sup>, A. Pearce<sup>48</sup>, A. Pellegrino<sup>32</sup>, M. Pepe Altarelli<sup>48</sup>, S. Perazzini<sup>20</sup>, D. Pereima<sup>41</sup>, A. Pereiro Castro<sup>46</sup>, P. Perret<sup>9</sup>, M. Petric<sup>59,48</sup>, K. Petridis<sup>54</sup>, A. Petrolini<sup>24,h</sup>, A. Petrov<sup>81</sup>, S. Petrucci<sup>58</sup>, M. Petruzzo<sup>25</sup>, T.T.H. Pham<sup>68</sup>, A. Philippov<sup>42</sup>, L. Pica<sup>29,*m*</sup>, M. Piccini<sup>78</sup>, B. Pietrzyk<sup>8</sup>, G. Pietrzyk<sup>49</sup>, M. Pili<sup>63</sup>, D. Pinci<sup>30</sup>, F. Pisani<sup>48</sup>, M. Pizzichemi<sup>26,48,j</sup>, Resmi  $P.K^{10}$ , V. Placinta<sup>37</sup>, J. Plews<sup>53</sup>, M. Plo Casasus<sup>46</sup>, F. Polci<sup>13</sup>, M. Poli Lener<sup>23</sup>, M. Poliakova<sup>68</sup>, A. Poluektov<sup>10</sup>, N. Polukhina<sup>83,*u*</sup>, I. Polyakov<sup>68</sup>, E. Polycarpo<sup>2</sup>, S. Ponce<sup>48</sup>, D. Popov<sup>6,48</sup>, S. Popov<sup>42</sup>, S. Poslavskii<sup>44</sup>, K. Prasanth<sup>35</sup>, L. Promberger<sup>48</sup>, C. Prouve<sup>46</sup>, V. Pugatch<sup>52</sup>, V. Puill<sup>11</sup>, H. Pullen<sup>63</sup>, G. Punzi<sup>29,n</sup>, H. Qi<sup>3</sup>, W. Qian<sup>6</sup>, J. Qin<sup>6</sup>, N. Qin<sup>3</sup>, R. Quagliani<sup>13</sup>, B. Quintana<sup>8</sup>, N.V. Raab<sup>18</sup>, R.I. Rabadan Trejo<sup>6</sup>, B. Rachwal<sup>34</sup>, J.H. Rademacker<sup>54</sup>, M. Rama<sup>29</sup>, M. Ramos Pernas<sup>56</sup>, M.S. Rangel<sup>2</sup>, F. Ratnikov<sup>42,82</sup>, G. Raven<sup>33</sup>, M. Reboud<sup>8</sup>, F. Redi<sup>49</sup>, F. Reiss<sup>62</sup>, C. Remon Alepuz<sup>47</sup>, Z. Ren<sup>3</sup>, V. Renaudin<sup>63</sup>, R. Ribatti<sup>29</sup>, S. Ricciardi<sup>57</sup>, K. Rinnert<sup>60</sup>, P. Robbe<sup>11</sup>, G. Robertson<sup>58</sup>, A.B. Rodrigues<sup>49</sup>, E. Rodrigues<sup>60</sup>, J.A. Rodriguez Lopez<sup>74</sup>, E.R.R. Rodriguez Rodriguez<sup>46</sup>, A. Rollings<sup>63</sup>, P. Roloff<sup>48</sup>, V. Romanovskiy<sup>44</sup>, M. Romero Lamas<sup>46</sup>, A. Romero Vidal<sup>46</sup>, J.D. Roth<sup>86</sup>, M. Rotondo<sup>23</sup>, M.S. Rudolph<sup>68</sup>, T. Ruf<sup>48</sup>, R.A. Ruiz Fernandez<sup>46</sup>, J. Ruiz Vidal<sup>47</sup>, A. Ryzhikov<sup>82</sup>, J. Ryzka<sup>34</sup>, J.J. Saborido Silva<sup>46</sup>, N. Sagidova<sup>38</sup>, N. Sahoo<sup>56</sup>, B. Saitta<sup>27,e</sup>, M. Salomoni<sup>48</sup>, C. Sanchez Gras<sup>32</sup>, R. Santacesaria<sup>30</sup>, C. Santamarina Rios<sup>46</sup>, M. Santimaria<sup>23</sup>, E. Santovetti<sup>31,p</sup>, D. Saranin<sup>83</sup>, G. Sarpis<sup>14</sup>, M. Sarpis<sup>75</sup>, A. Sarti<sup>30</sup>, C. Satriano<sup>30,o</sup>, A. Satta<sup>31</sup>, M. Saur<sup>15</sup>, D. Savrina<sup>41,40</sup>, H. Sazak<sup>9</sup>, L.G. Scantlebury Smead<sup>63</sup>, A. Scarabotto<sup>13</sup>, S. Schael<sup>14</sup>, S. Scherl<sup>60</sup>, M. Schiller<sup>59</sup>, H. Schindler<sup>48</sup>, M. Schmelling<sup>16</sup>, B. Schmidt<sup>48</sup>, S. Schmitt<sup>14</sup>, O. Schneider<sup>49</sup>, A. Schopper<sup>48</sup>, M. Schubiger<sup>32</sup>, S. Schulte<sup>49</sup>, M.H. Schune<sup>11</sup>, R. Schwemmer<sup>48</sup>, B. Sciascia<sup>23</sup>, S. Sellam<sup>46</sup>, A. Semennikov<sup>41</sup>, M. Senghi Soares<sup>33</sup>, A. Sergi<sup>24,h</sup>, N. Serra<sup>50</sup>, L. Sestini<sup>28</sup>, A. Seuthe<sup>15</sup>, Y. Shang<sup>5</sup>, D.M. Shangase<sup>86</sup>, M. Shapkin<sup>44</sup>, I. Shchemerov<sup>83</sup>, L. Shchutska<sup>49</sup>, T. Shears<sup>60</sup>, L. Shekhtman<sup>43,*v*</sup>, Z. Shen<sup>5</sup>, V. Shevchenko<sup>81</sup>, E.B. Shields<sup>26,j</sup>, Y. Shimizu<sup>11</sup>, E. Shmanin<sup>83</sup>, J.D. Shupperd<sup>68</sup>, B.G. Siddi<sup>21</sup>, R. Silva Coutinho<sup>50</sup>, G. Simi<sup>28</sup>, S. Simone<sup>19,c</sup>, N. Skidmore<sup>62</sup>, T. Skwarnicki<sup>68</sup>, M.W. Slater<sup>53</sup>, I. Slazyk<sup>21,f</sup>, J.C. Smallwood<sup>63</sup>, J.G. Smeaton<sup>55</sup>, A. Smetkina<sup>41</sup>, E. Smith<sup>50</sup>, M. Smith<sup>61</sup>, A. Snoch<sup>32</sup>, M. Soares<sup>20</sup>, L. Soares Lavra<sup>9</sup>, M.D. Sokoloff<sup>65</sup>, F.J.P. Soler<sup>59</sup>, A. Solovev<sup>38</sup>, I. Solovyev<sup>38</sup>, F.L. Souza De Almeida<sup>2</sup>, B. Souza De Paula<sup>2</sup>, B. Spaan<sup>15</sup>, E. Spadaro Norella<sup>25,*i*</sup>, P. Spradlin<sup>59</sup>, F. Stagni<sup>48</sup>, M. Stahl<sup>65</sup>, S. Stahl<sup>48</sup>, S. Stanislaus<sup>63</sup>, O. Steinkamp<sup>50,83</sup>, O. Stenyakin<sup>44</sup>, H. Stevens<sup>15</sup>, S. Stone<sup>68</sup>, M.E. Stramaglia<sup>49</sup>, M. Straticiuc<sup>37</sup>, D. Strekalina<sup>83</sup>, F. Suljik<sup>63</sup>, J. Sun<sup>27</sup>, L. Sun<sup>73</sup>, Y. Sun<sup>66</sup>, P. Svihra<sup>62</sup>, P.N. Swallow<sup>53</sup>, K. Swientek<sup>34</sup>, A. Szabelski<sup>36</sup>, T. Szumlak<sup>34</sup>, M. Szymanski<sup>48</sup>, S. Taneja<sup>62</sup>, A.R. Tanner<sup>54</sup>, M.D. Tat<sup>63</sup>, A. Terentev<sup>83</sup>, F. Teubert<sup>48</sup>, E. Thomas<sup>48</sup>, D.J.D. Thompson<sup>53</sup>, K.A. Thomson<sup>60</sup>, V. Tisserand<sup>9</sup>,

S. T'Jampens<sup>8</sup>, M. Tobin<sup>4</sup>, L. Tomassetti<sup>21,f</sup>, X. Tong<sup>5</sup>, D. Torres Machado<sup>1</sup>, D.Y. Tou<sup>13</sup>,

M.T. Tran<sup>49</sup>, E. Trifonova<sup>83</sup>, C. Trippl<sup>49</sup>, G. Tuci<sup>29,n</sup>, A. Tully<sup>49</sup>, N. Tuning<sup>32,48</sup>, A. Ukleja<sup>36</sup>, D.J. Unverzagt<sup>17</sup>, E. Ursov<sup>83</sup>, A. Usachov<sup>32</sup>, A. Ustyuzhanin<sup>42,82</sup>, U. Uwer<sup>17</sup>, A. Vagner<sup>84</sup>, V. Vagnoni<sup>20</sup>, A. Valassi<sup>48</sup>, G. Valenti<sup>20</sup>, N. Valls Canudas<sup>85</sup>, M. van Beuzekom<sup>32</sup>, M. Van Dijk<sup>49</sup>, E. van Herwijnen<sup>83</sup>, C.B. Van Hulse<sup>18</sup>, M. van Veghel<sup>79</sup>, R. Vazquez Gomez<sup>45</sup>, P. Vazquez Regueiro<sup>46</sup>, C. Vázquez Sierra<sup>48</sup>, S. Vecchi<sup>21</sup>, J.J. Velthuis<sup>54</sup>, M. Veltri<sup>22, r</sup>, A. Venkateswaran<sup>68</sup>, M. Veronesi<sup>32</sup>, M. Vesterinen<sup>56</sup>, D. Vieira<sup>65</sup>, M. Vieites Diaz<sup>49</sup>, H. Viemann<sup>76</sup>, X. Vilasis-Cardona<sup>85</sup>, E. Vilella Figueras<sup>60</sup>, A. Villa<sup>20</sup>, P. Vincent<sup>13</sup>, F.C. Volle<sup>11</sup>, D. Vom Bruch<sup>10</sup>, A. Vorobyev<sup>38</sup>, V. Vorobyev<sup>43,*v*</sup>, N. Voropaev<sup>38</sup>, K. Vos<sup>80</sup>, R. Waldi<sup>17</sup>, J. Walsh<sup>29</sup>, C. Wang<sup>17</sup>, J. Wang<sup>5</sup>, J. Wang<sup>4</sup>, J. Wang<sup>3</sup>, J. Wang<sup>73</sup>, M. Wang<sup>3</sup>, R. Wang<sup>54</sup>, Y. Wang<sup>7</sup>, Z. Wang<sup>50</sup>, Z. Wang<sup>3</sup>, Z. Wang<sup>6</sup>, J.A. Ward<sup>56</sup>, H.M. Wark<sup>60</sup>, N.K. Watson<sup>53</sup>, S.G. Weber<sup>13</sup>, D. Websdale<sup>61</sup>, C. Weisser<sup>64</sup>, B.D.C. Westhenry<sup>54</sup>, D.J. White<sup>62</sup>, M. Whitehead<sup>54</sup>, A.R. Wiederhold<sup>56</sup>, D. Wiedner<sup>15</sup>, G. Wilkinson<sup>63</sup>, M. Wilkinson<sup>68</sup>, I. Williams<sup>55</sup>, M. Williams<sup>64</sup>, M.R.J. Williams<sup>58</sup>, F.F. Wilson<sup>57</sup>, W. Wislicki<sup>36</sup>, M. Witek<sup>35</sup>, L. Witola<sup>17</sup>, G. Wormser<sup>11</sup>, S.A. Wotton<sup>55</sup>, H. Wu<sup>68</sup>, K. Wyllie<sup>48</sup>, Z. Xiang<sup>6</sup>, D. Xiao<sup>7</sup>, Y. Xie<sup>7</sup>, A.  $Xu^5$ , J.  $Xu^6$ , L.  $Xu^3$ , M.  $Xu^7$ , Q.  $Xu^6$ , Z.  $Xu^5$ , Z.  $Xu^6$ , D.  $Yang^3$ , S.  $Yang^6$ , Y.  $Yang^6$ , Z. Yang<sup>5</sup>, Z. Yang<sup>66</sup>, Y. Yao<sup>68</sup>, L.E. Yeomans<sup>60</sup>, H. Yin<sup>7</sup>, J. Yu<sup>71</sup>, X. Yuan<sup>68</sup>, O. Yushchenko<sup>44</sup>, E. Zaffaroni<sup>49</sup>, M. Zavertyaev<sup>16,*u*</sup>, M. Zdybal<sup>35</sup>, O. Zenaiev<sup>48</sup>, M. Zeng<sup>3</sup>, D. Zhang<sup>7</sup>, L. Zhang<sup>3</sup>, S. Zhang<sup>71</sup>, S. Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>63</sup>, A. Zharkova<sup>83</sup>, A. Zhelezov<sup>17</sup>, Y. Zheng<sup>6</sup>, T. Zhou<sup>5</sup>, X. Zhou<sup>6</sup>, Y. Zhou<sup>6</sup>, V. Zhovkovska<sup>11</sup>, X. Zhu<sup>3</sup>, X. Zhu<sup>7</sup>, Z. Zhu<sup>6</sup>, V. Zhukov<sup>14,40</sup>, J.B. Zonneveld $^{58}$ , Q. Zou<sup>4</sup>, S. Zucchelli<sup>20,d</sup>, D. Zuliani<sup>28</sup>, G. Zunica<sup>62</sup>.

 $1$ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

4 Institute Of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Beijing, China

<sup>5</sup>School of Physics State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

 $6$ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

7 Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China

<sup>8</sup>Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France

 $9$ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France

<sup>10</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France

<sup>11</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France

<sup>12</sup>Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

 $13$  LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France

<sup>14</sup>I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

 $15$ Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

 $16$ Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany

- $17$ Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- <sup>18</sup>School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

<sup>19</sup>INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

<sup>20</sup>INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

<sup>21</sup>INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

<sup>22</sup>INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

<sup>23</sup>INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

<sup>24</sup>INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

<sup>25</sup>INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy

<sup>26</sup>INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

<sup>27</sup>INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy

<sup>28</sup>Universita degli Studi di Padova, Universita e INFN, Padova, Padova, Italy

<sup>29</sup>INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

<sup>30</sup>INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

<sup>31</sup>INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

 $34\,\text{AGH}$  - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland

<sup>35</sup> Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland

Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute NRC Kurchatov Institute (PNPI NRC KI), Gatchina, Russia

<sup>39</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAS), Moscow, Russia

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia

<sup>41</sup> Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (ITEP NRC KI), Moscow, Russia

Yandex School of Data Analysis, Moscow, Russia

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS), Novosibirsk, Russia

Institute for High Energy Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (IHEP NRC KI), Protvino, Russia, Protvino, Russia

ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

 $^{46}$ Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (IGFAE), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia, Spain European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>49</sup> Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine

Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine

University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States

University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, United States

Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States

School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia, associated to  $56$ 

<sup>70</sup> Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to <sup>2</sup>

 $^{71}$ Physics and Micro Electronic College, Hunan University, Changsha City, China, associated to  $^{7}$ 

Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, associated to <sup>3</sup>

 $^{73}School$  of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, associated to  $^3$ 

 $^{74}$ Departamento de Fisica, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, associated to  $^{13}$ 

 $^{75}$ Universität Bonn - Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany, associated to  $^{17}$ 

 $^{76}$ Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, associated to  $^{17}$ 

 $77Eotvos$  Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary, associated to  $48$ 

INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy, associated to <sup>21</sup>

 $^{79}$  Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, associated to  $^{32}$ 

Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands, associated to  $32$ 

 $81$ National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia, associated to  $41$ 

 $82$ National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia, associated to  $42$ 

 $83$ National University of Science and Technology "MISIS", Moscow, Russia, associated to  $41$ 

 $84$ National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia, associated to  $41$ 

 $85DS4DS$ , La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain, associated to  $45$ 

<sup>86</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States, associated to <sup>68</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil

 $b$ Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou, China

 $c$ Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

 $d$ Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

 $e$ Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

 $f$ Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

 $g$ Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

 $h$ Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

 $i$ Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

 $j$ Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy

 $k$ Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

 $<sup>l</sup> Università di Padova, Padova, Italy$ </sup>

<sup>m</sup>Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy

 $n$ Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

 $\degree$ Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

 $P$ Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

<sup>q</sup>Università di Siena, Siena, Italy

 $r$ Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy

 $^{s}MSU$  - Iligan Institute of Technology (MSU-IIT), Iligan, Philippines

 ${}^tAGH$  - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Kraków, Poland

 $U^u P.N.$  Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia  $v$ Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

<sup>w</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>x</sup>Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Vietnam