

10 February 2020

Study of Interpad-gap of FBK (UFSD3) and HPK 3.1 Type sensors with Transient Current Technique

Shudhashil Bharthuar for the CMS Collaboration

Abstract

The Phase-II upgrade of LHC to HL-LHC by 2026 allows an increase in the operational luminosity value by a factor of 5-7 that will result in delivering 3000 fb⁻1 ormore integrated luminosity. This amount of data will not just al 200. To cope with high pileuprates, precision timing detector (MTD) that will measure minimumionizing particles (MIPs) and hermetic coverage up to a pseudo-rapidity of I et al = 3 is proposed by the CMS experiment. An end cappart (1.6 lt I et a gain avalanche detector (LGAD) technology. The third production of UltraFastSiliconDetectors (UFSD3) from Fondazio padgap width for both sensorty peshas been carried out. Results of measured inter-padgap widths and spatial mappings with active region susing MIPs (IR light) from the Scanning-Transient Current Technique (Scanning-TCT) set-up will be shown. A fill - factor, which is the ratio of the area with in the active region (Gain region) to the area of the factor varies depending on temperature (from 25C to -25C) and proton fluence on irradiation will also be shown.

Presented at HSTD12 12th International Hiroshima Symposium on the Development and Application of Semiconductor Tracking Detectors (HSTD12)

Study of Interpad-gap of HPK 3.1 production LGADs with Transient Current Technique^{*}

S. Bharthuar^{a,b,}, J. Ott^{a,d}, K. Helariutta^c, V. Litichevskyi^{a,b}, E. Brücken^{a,b}, P. Luukka^{a,e}

^aHelsinki Institute of Physics, Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland ^bDepartment of Physics, Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Gustaf Hällströmin katu 1, 00560 University of Helsinki, Finland

^dAalto University, Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering, Tietotie 3, Espoo, FI-02150, Finland

^eLappeenranta University of Technology, Skinnarilankatu 34, 53850 Lappeenranta, Finland

Abstract

The Phase-II upgrade of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) to High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) allows an increase in the operational luminosity value by a factor of 5–7 that will result in delivering 3000 fb^{-1} or more integrated luminosity. To achieve high luminosity, the number of interactions per bunch crossings (pileup) will increase up to a value of 140–200. To cope with high pileup rates, precision minimum ionizing particles (MIPs) timing detector (MTD) with a time resolution of \sim 30–40 ps and hermetic coverage up to a pseudo-rapidity of $|\eta| = 3$ is proposed by the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment. An endcap part $(1.6 < |\eta| < 3)$ of the MTD, called the endcap timing layer, will be based on low-gain avalanche detector (LGAD) technology. The 3.1 production of LGADs from Hamamatsu Photonics K.K. (HPK) include 2x2 sensors with different structural strategies, in particular, different nominal values of narrower inactive region widths between the pads. These sensors have been designed to study their fill factor, which is the ratio of the area within the active region (gain region) to the total sensor area. A comparative study on the dependence of breakdown voltage with the interpad-gap width for the sensors has been carried out. Using MIPs (infrared light) from the Scanning-Transient Current Technique (Scanning-TCT) set-up show that the fill factor does not vary significantly with a variation in temperature and at high proton fluence irradiation.

Keywords: Low Gain Avalanche Detector (LGAD), Scanning-TCT, Fill Factor

Email address: shudhashil.bharthuar@helsinki.fi (S. Bharthuar)

¹On behalf of the CMS Collaboration

1 1. Introduction

The High Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC) era, also known as the 2 Phase-2 operation of the LHC, will be functional after Long Shutdown 3 (LS3). Dur-3 ing the HL-LHC phase, the number of interactions per bunch crossings will increase to 4 an average value of 140 corresponding to a nominal luminosity of 5.0×10^{34} cm⁻²s⁻¹. 5 Ultimately, the luminosity will be leveled up to a nominal value of 7.5×10^{34} cm⁻²s⁻¹. 6 at the cost of producing 200 interactions per each bunch crossing. The amount of 7 collected data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} , will increase 8 the precision of the Standard Model (SM) measurements and the sensitivity for Be-9 yond Standard Model (BSM) searches [1]. Due to additional beam collisions, spatial 10 overlapping of the tracks and energy deposition will cause a degradation in the par-11 ticle identification and reconstruction of the tracks during the hard interaction. In 12 addition, the radiation damage caused due to the high collision rate integrated over 13 time can not be tolerated by the existing detectors. Therefore, the upgraded detector 14 must be able to survive and function efficiently in the radiation harsh environment. 15 It should also be able to mitigate the problem of high pileup rates by transporting a 16 much higher rate of data off the detector to be recorded for analysis. 17

In the upgrade plan for the HL-LHC era, the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment will install a precision minimum ionizing particles timing detector (MTD) to measure minimum ionizing particles (MIPs) with a time resolution of nearly 30-40 ps, degrading slowly to a magnitude of 50-60 ps due to radiation damage. The MTD will allow time of arrival (ToA) measurements that can separate the collisions very close in space as well as in time with a hermetic angular coverage up to a pseudo-rapidity of $|\eta| = 3$ [2].

The MTD will be a thin layer between the tracker and the electromagnetic 25 calorimeter of the CMS experiment. It will consist of a barrel ($|\eta| < 1.5$) and an 26 endcap part $(1.6 < |\eta| < 3)$. The endcap part of the MTD, known as the endcap tim-27 ing layer (ETL), will be based on low gain avalanche detector (LGAD) technology. 28 The timing resolution and performance of the ETL depends upon the optimal design 29 of the sensors which can be achieved when each of the hits as particles cross the two 30 silicon layers have high gain, low noise and uniform response. These objectives can 31 be achieved by studying and optimising some of the crucial features of the LGADs, 32 which are: fill factor, wafer uniformity, quality of multi-pad sensors, single pad leak-33 age current, hit efficiency and signal uniformity, gain and noise, long term stability 34 and time resolution [3]. 35



Figure 1: CMS MTD design layout showing the positioning of its barrel and endcap part [4]

In this report, the authors have focused on the study of fill factor which is the ratio of the active area and the total sensor area. This value can be less than 100% due to the edge width and the no-gain region between the pads. The fill factor depends upon the nominal distance between adjacent pads of the sensors, known as the interpad-gap. Further, the breakdown voltage of the sensors depends upon the design of the gain termination region which is affected with the nominal interpad-gap value.

The 3.1 production batch LGADs provided by one of the competitive vendors, 43 Hamamatsu (HPK), have been studied in this report. The specification of the sam-44 ples have been mentioned in Section 2. I-V characterisation of the sensors (shown in 45 Section 3.1) with varying interpad-gaps shows how the breakdown voltage depends 46 upon the inaction region width. Further, since the gain of these sensors depends 47 upon temperature and proton fluence of irradiation, the interpad-gap and fill fac-48 tor have been studied with these varying factors by using the Scanning Transient 49 Current Technique (TCT) set-up (shown in Section 3.2). 50

⁵¹ 2. Samples Measured

The samples measured are sensors from HPK 3.1 production batch. The design 52 of the sensors studied are according to 2019 CMS MTD Technical Proposal (TP) [2]. 53 The thickness of the active p-type bulk region of the sensors is of a magnitude of 54 50 µm. The sensors have four pads (2×2) with a common guard ring around them. 55 Each of the pads has an area of $1 \times 3 \text{ mm}^2$. The difference in the samples is the 56 distance between the adjacent pads, called interpad-gap. The nominal values of 57 interpad-gap are 30 µm, 50 µm, 70 µm and 95 µm, respectively. The sensors have 58 been categorised into two types: Type A and Type B on the basis of their structural 59 prototypes (as shown in Figure 2). 60



Figure 2: HPK 3.1 production sample with 2×2 sensor showing sensors a) with broader metallisation region on pads and small optical opening running across adjacent pads (Type A) and b) individual pads with wider optical opening (Type B).

⁶¹ 3. Measurements

62 3.1. IV-CV Measurements

Capacitance versus Voltage (C-V) and Current versus Voltage (I-V) measurements were performed at the probe station in the Detector Laboratory in Helsinki
Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland. Capacitance⁻² versus Voltage plot from C-V
measurements shows that the full depletion voltage of the sensors is of a magnitude
of 50 V.

I-V measurements of sensors with varying nominal interpad-gaps were performed 68 in two different modes depending upon the floating/grounding configuration of the 69 remaining pads while current was readout from one of the pads (shown in Figure 3). 70 The sensors have a breakdown voltage of 220 V. The breakdown voltage is indepen-71 dent of the floating and/or grounding configuration of the remaining pads for sensors 72 with 50 µm, 70 µm and 95 µm nominal interpad-gap; but is not the same for the 73 ones with 30 μ m nominal interpad-gap (shown in Figure 3a and 3b). This shows 74 that the breakdown voltage is dependent upon the design of the gain termination 75 region and the nominal value of interpad-gap. 76

77 3.2. Scanning-Transient Current Technique Measurements

TCT is a method commonly used for electrical characterisation of semiconductor 78 detectors. The measurements in this study were performed by Particulars (Ljubljana, 79 Slovenia) based Scanning-TCT setup [5]. Optical excitation was performed with an 80 infrared (IR) laser ($\lambda = 1064 \,\mathrm{nm}$) directed on the optical opening in front plane of 81 the sensor. The measurements were performed at low a laser intensity of 62.5 %, 82 equivalent to 4-5 MIPs, in order to study the effect due to the gain layer. The bias 83 voltage is applied to the back plane of the sensor while the transient signal is read 84 from the front. The laser illumination generates charge carriers within the bulk of 85



Figure 3: Current versus voltage plots of HPK 3.1 sensors wherein: a) Current is readout from one the pads while the three pads are left floating. Guard ring is grounded. b) Current is readout from one of the pads, while the other pads as well as the guard ring are grounded. Note: All the plots are in logarithmic scale.

the active depleted region. Since the sensors have a p-type bulk, under reverse-bias

⁸⁷ mode, the transient signal is due to the electrons that are quickly gathered to the

⁸⁸ front-end electrode. Therefore, the resulting signal read out by an oscilloscope is a

⁸⁹ fast signal of 2.5 ns width.



Figure 4: a) Measured interpad-gap is the distance between the mid-point of the S-curves of adjacent sensors. b) FWHM vs Optical distance of the IR laser from sensor. Gaussian shape IR spot with size: 25 µm. Focus position of the laser: 1650 µm.

In addition to the IR laser, the other components in measurement setup were a 90 focusing optics, a sample holder mounted on a XYZ stage for scanning the entire 91 surface of the detector, a Keithley 2410 1100 V Sourcemeter unit, 180 V (maximum 92 allowance) Bias-Tee, a wide band current amplifier with a Tenma power supply, a 93 LeCroy WaveRunner 8404M-MS 4 GHz oscilloscope and a PC and DAQ with LAB-94 view based software. The repetition rate of the laser is adjustable from 5 kHz to 95 500 kHz. During the measurements, more than one hundred waveforms were recorded 96 while scanning the laser illumination through the optical opening across two adja-97 cent pads. The laser pulse was transmitted to the detector by an optical fiber. On 98 performing a focus scan, the size of the Gaussian laser spot was determined to be of 99 a magnitude of 25 µm (shown in Figure 4 b). The interpad profile observed in TCT 100

scan is a convolution of step function and the Gaussian beam of IR laser: S-curve
(as shown in Figure 4 a). The curve fitting function is an error-function of the form

$$f(x) = a + \left[\frac{b}{2} \times \operatorname{erf}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{2}(x-c)}{d}\right\}\right]$$
(1)

The step ends (= gain layer ends) at 50% of the convoluted function. This 50% value of the convoluted function (S-curve) is determined by parameter c of equation (1). We compute the measured interpad-gap width as the distance between the 50% amplitude points of the two interpad profiles (i.e. the distance between 2 gain layers) of adjacent pads [2].

¹⁰⁸ 3.2.1. Measured interpad-gap with varying temperature:

The study on temperature dependence on the internal gain of LGADs plays an important role in the sensor performance due to its impact ionisation rate which is inversely proportional to the mean free path of the carriers [6]. Since the impact ionisation of the sensors depends on temperature, a proper understanding of the LGAD functionality with temperature variation is crucial as the gain affects the time resolution as well as the breakdown voltage of the detector [7].

Since the gain increases with a decrease in temperature [7], the charge collected 115 increases as the temperature decreases. Figure 5a shows the pulse shape and ampli-116 tude of the signals recorded by the LeCroy oscilloscope as the laser is projected at 117 the optical opening of one of the pads. The amplitude of the signal increases by a 118 factor of 2.5 as the temperature is decreased from 25°C to -25°C. This is coherent to 119 the increase in the charge collected due to the gain layer as the laser is projected at 120 the optical opening of the sensor. The normalised value of collected charge increases 121 by a factor of 2.8 as the temperature decreases from 25° C to -25° C [8]. 122

The interpad-gap as well the leakage current were measured with temperature 123 variation from 25°C to -25°C at a constant bias voltage of 180 V (shown in Figure 5). 124 As expected, the leakage current value measured at a constant voltage of 180 V 125 decreases by nearly an order of magnitude [8]. The measured interpad-gap values 126 and the calculated fill factor of different sensors with varying nominal interpad-gaps 127 have been tabulated in Table 1. It shows the percentage decrease in the interpad-128 gap as well as the variation in fill factor with varying temperature. The measured 129 interpad-gap for the HPK 3.1 production sensors has an offset of approximately 130 40 µm from the the nominal value. This is coherent to the values measured at test 131 beams in FNAL (measured at room temperature), mentioned in the MTD TDR 132 2019 [2]. The fill factor values measured at room temperature are also consistent 133 with the measured fill factor provided in the MTD TDR 2019 [2]. 134

HPK	Me	easured In	terpad-gap	of sensor	Fill factor				
Sensor (nominal interpad-gap)	At 25°C (in μ m)	At 0°C (in μ m)	At -25°C (in μ m)	Decrease from 25°C to -25°C (in %)	At 25°C (in %)	At 0°C (in %)	At -25°C (in %)	Increase from 25° C to -25° C (in %)	
30 µm	71.7	63.1	62.4	12.97	89.71	89.73	89.74	0.03	
50 μm	90.3	88.1	85.3	5.54	87.62	87.67	87.71	0.09	
70 µm	104.3	101.5	99.1	4.98	85.60	85.68	85.69	0.09	
95 μm	128.3	128.2	125.5	2.18	82.50	82.52	82.53	0.03	

Table 1: Variation in the measured interpad-gap and fill factor with temperature.

We observe that with a decrease in temperature from 25°C to -25°C, the measured interpad-gap decreases by a 2-13 %. However, the change in fill factor value is not significant as it increases by 0.1 %.



Figure 5: a) Pulse shapes, b) Charge Collected (normalised value) vs scanning distance for sensors (at 25°C, 0°C and -25°C) as the laser scans across two adjacent sensors with 50 µm nominal interpadgap, c) Leakage current with varying temperature and d) Variation in the measured interpad-gap with temperature. Measurements were performed at a voltage of 180 V.

HPK	Measured interpad-gap of sensor										
Sensor (nominal interpad-gap)	Un-irradiated (in μ m)	Irradiated at $5 \times 10^{14} \text{ p/cm}^2$ (in μm)	% decrease	Decrease in Fill factor (in %)	Un-irradiated (in μ m)	Irradiated at $5 \times 10^{14} \text{ p/cm}^2$ (in μm)	% decrease	Decrease in Fill factor (in %)			
30 µm	68.1	41.4	39.21	0.11	66.0	57.6	1.73	0.11			
50 µm	84.8	68.2	19.58	0.39	83.2	70.7	15.02	0.25			
70 µm	103.0	84.5	17.96	1.41	101.1	89.8	11.18	0.93			
95 µm	117.8	97	17.66	0.80	125.0	-	-	-			

Table 2: Variation in the measured interpad-gap and fill factor with proton fluence.

¹³⁸ 3.2.2. Measured Interpad-gap with varying proton fluence:

The HPK 3.1 production sensors with different nominal interpad-gap values were 139 irradiated with 10 MeV protons at the IBA cyclone 10/5 cyclotron in Department 140 of Chemistry in University of Helsinki [9]. The sensors were placed in a sample 141 holder consisting of collimation slits (of dimensions approximately close to the area 142 of the sensors) such that the integrated current read out from the Keithley 6487 Pi-143 coammeter is due to the irradiation of the sensors. The beam profiling was done 144 in such a way that the sample placed under slit 1 and 3 (shown in Figure 5) gets 145 an integrated current of 0.15 μ A/cm² while sensors under slit 2 and 4 (shown in 146 Figure 5) of the sample holder receive an integrated current of 0.09 μ A/cm². Four 147 samples were irradiated simultaneously over 1040 s such that samples in 1 and 3 are 148 irradiated with a fluence of 10^{15} protons/cm² while 2 and 4 are irradiated with a 149 fluence of 5×10^{14} protons/cm². Total integrated current read out from the four slits 150 was 0.42 μ A-hr. Note: sensor with 95 μ m nominal interpad-gap irradiated at 10¹⁵ 151 $protons/cm^2$ underwent breakdown even at low bias voltages. 152



Figure 6: a) IBA 10/5 cyclone cyclotron in the Department of Chemistry, b) Collimator with sample holder showing autoradiograph (using Ag foil) of the beam profile across the four collimation slits.



Figure 7: a) Pulse shape of non-irradiated and irradiated samples at different proton fluences and b) Charge Collected (normalised values) vs. scanning distance for sensors as the laser scans across two adjacent pads for sensors with 50 µm interpad-gap. c) Leakage current with varying fluences and d) Variation in the measured interpad-gap with proton fluence for sensors with 30 µm, 50 µm, 70 µm and 95 µm interpad-gap measured at 180 V and temp: -25°C.



Figure 8: Voltage scans for the non-irradiated and irradiated samples with varying inter-pad gaps measured at -25°C.

The performance of LGADs is affected due to the removal of the acceptor atoms 153 in the gain layer of the device [10]. As a result of which it worsens the time resolution 154 [11] as well as an increase in the leakage current due to the bulk which is unrelated 155 to the gain mechanism [12]. This can be observed in Figure 7c where the leakage 156 current value measured at 180 V at a constant temperature of -25°C, increases by 157 three orders of magnitude with an increase in proton fluence. Further, trapping of 158 charge carriers leads to lower signals (shown in Figure 7a) and hence the degradation 159 of the spatial resolution and the efficiency (as shown in Figure 8) [13, 14]. 160

At a fluence of 5×10^{14} protons/cm², interpad-gap is reduced by 20-40% from 161 its value before irradiation and the collected charge decreases by a factor of 3-4 162 due to trapping of charge carriers causing a degradation in the charge collection 163 efficiency [13]. However, on irradiating the sensors at 10^{15} protons/cm², interpad-164 gap increases in comparison to its value at 5×10^{14} protons/cm², since its charge 165 collection efficiency decreases by factor of 6. Therefore, on irradiating the sensors 166 at 10^{15} protons/cm², the value of the measured interpad-gap decreases by 11-15% 167 from its value before irradiation. Correspondingly, even though the interpad-gap 168 decreases with an increase in fluence, the fill factor decreases by approximately 1% 169 as the spatial homogeneity is worsened due to the effect of irradiation. 170

171 4. Conclusions and summary

The fill factor values for HPK 3.1 production LGADs with different nominal 172 interpad-gap were measured by varying temperature and irradiating them at different 173 proton fluences. The signal waveform as well as the CCE of the detectors were studied 174 by recording 1064 nm wavelength IR-laser induced current transients with a TCT 175 measurements set-up. The measurements were performed at 180 V and low laser 176 intensity. During the measurement campaign four hundred waveforms were recorded 177 at each micron step as the laser scans across adjacent pads of the sensor in order to 178 obtain the measured interpad-gap profile. 179

Since gain is dependent on temperature, the CCE increases with a decrease in temperature. Our results show that the measured interpad-gap decreases by 2-12 % as the temperature decreases from 25°C to -25°C. However, the fill factor is not affected significantly by changing temperature as its value increases by approximately 0.1%.

¹⁸⁵ Further, the measured interpad-gap decreases on irradiating the sensors at vary-¹⁸⁶ ing proton fluences, Due to acceptor removal of the gain layer, the CCE decreases ¹⁸⁷ by a factor of 4–6 with irradiation at high proton fluences. At 10¹⁵ protons/cm², ¹⁸⁸ our studies show the measured interpad-gap decreases by 11-15%. However, at the fill factor decreases by 0.1-0.9%. This change is not significantly large. Thus, we conclude that the fill factor does not change significantly with temperature and irradiation at varying proton fluences.

192 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. Nicolo Cartiglia from Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Universita degli Studi di Torino, Italy and Hamamatsu Photonics K.K, Japan for providing us the sensors for our studies. The authors would like to thank Dr. Eija Tuominen, coordinator of the Detector Laboratory at Helsinki Institute of Physics at the University of Helsinki Department of Physics, for providing the environment for electrical measurements.

199 References

- [1] D. Contardo, M. Klute, J. Mans, L. Silvestris, J. Butler, Technical proposal for
 the phase-2 upgrade of the cms detector, Tech. Rep. CERN-LHCC-2015-010.
 LHCC-P-008. CMS-TDR-15-02, CERN, Geneva (Jun 2015).
- URL https://cds.cern.ch/record/2020886
- [2] C. CMS, A MIP Timing Detector for the CMS Phase-2 Upgrade, Tech. Rep.
 CERN-LHCC-2019-003. CMS-TDR-020, CERN, Geneva (Mar 2019).
- URL https://cds.cern.ch/record/2667167
- [3] C. Collaboration, TECHNICAL PROPOSAL FOR A MIP TIMING DETEC TOR IN THE CMS EXPERIMENT PHASE 2 UPGRADE, Tech. Rep. CERN LHCC-2017-027. LHCC-P-009, CERN, Geneva, this document describes a MIP
 timing detector for the Phase-2 upgrade of the CMS experiment, in view of
- HL-LHC running (Dec 2017).
- URL https://cds.cern.ch/record/2296612
- [4] C. Pena, Precision timing with the cms mip timing detector, Tech. Rep. CMS-CR-2018-168, CERN, Geneva (Aug 2018).
- URL https://cds.cern.ch/record/2639962
- [5] Particulars, advanced measurement systems, http://particulars.si/index.php,
 accessed: January, 2018 (2018).
- [6] C. R. Crowell, S. M. Sze, Temperature dependence of avalanche multiplication in semiconductors, Applied Physics Letters 9 (6) (1966) 242–244 (1966).

- arXiv:https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1754731, doi:10.1063/1.1754731.
- ²²¹ URL https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1754731
- [7] V. Sola, R. Arcidiacono, A. Bellora, et al., Ultra-Fast Silicon Detectors for 4D
 tracking, Journal of Instrumentation 12 (02) (2017) C02072–C02072 (feb 2017).
 doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/c02072.
- [8] R. Mulargia, Temperature dependence of the response of ultra fast silicon detectors, Journal of Instrumentation 11 (12) (2016) C12013-C12013 (dec 2016). doi:10.1088/1748-0221/11/12/c12013.
- [9] K. Helariutta, et al., The radiochemistry cyclotron in University of Helsinki,
 Nukleonika 48(suppl2) (2003) S173–S174 (01 2003).

[10] G. Kramberger, et al., Radiation effects in Low Gain Avalanche Detectors after
hadron irradiations, Journal of Instrumentation 10 (07) (2015) P07006–P07006
(jul 2015). doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/07/p07006.

- [11] M. Ferrero, Radiation resistant LGAD design, Nuclear Instruments
 and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 919 (2019) 16 26 (2019).
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.11.121.
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900218317741
- [12] Z. Galloway, V. Fadeyev, et al., Properties of HPK UFSD after neutron irradiation up to 6e15 n/cm², Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment
- ²⁴¹ 940 (2019) 19 29 (2019). doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2019.05.017.
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900219306278
- ²⁴³ [13] M. Moll, Radiation damage in silicon particle detectors: Microscopic defects and macroscopic properties, Ph.D. thesis, Hamburg U. (1999).
- URL http://www-library.desy.de/cgi-bin/showprep.pl?desy-thesis99-040
- [14] W. Adam, T. Bergauer, et al., Trapping in proton irradiated p⁺-n-n⁺ silicon sensors at fluences anticipated at the HL-LHC outer tracker, Journal of Instrumentation 11 (04) (2016) P04023–P04023 (apr 2016). doi:10.1088/17480221/11/04/p04023.