EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)



CERN-EP-2020-203 LHCb-PAPER-2020-034 March 26, 2021

# Observation of a new excited  $D_s^+$ meson in  $B^0 \to D^-D^+K^+\pi^-$  decays

LHCb collaboration†

#### Abstract

Using pp collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $5.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ collected with the LHCb detector at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, the  $B^0 \to D^-D^+K^+\pi^-$  decay is studied. A new excited  $D_s^+$  meson is observed decaying into the  $D^+K^+\pi^-$  final state with large statistical significance. The pole mass and width, and the spin parity of the new state are measured with an amplitude analysis to be  $m_R = 2591 \pm 6 \pm 7$  MeV,  $\Gamma_R = 89 \pm 16 \pm 12$  MeV and  $J^P = 0^-$ , where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. Fit fractions for all components in the amplitude analysis are also reported. The new resonance, denoted as  $D_{s0}(2590)^{+}$ , is a strong candidate to be the  $D_{s}(2^{1}S_{0})^{+}$  state, the radial excitation of the pseudoscalar ground-state  $D_s^+$  meson.

Published in Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 122002

© 2022 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. [CC BY 4.0 licence.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

<sup>†</sup>Authors are listed at the end of this Letter.

Charm meson spectroscopy is of great theoretical and experimental interest as a testing ground for models based on quantum chromodynamics (QCD). In particular, the spectrum of charm-strange mesons has drawn particular attention since the discoveries of the  $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$  and  $D_{s1}(2460)^+$  resonances [\[1,](#page-10-0) [2\]](#page-10-1), with masses much smaller than those predicted for  $c\bar{s}$  mesons [\[3\]](#page-10-2). Interpretations of these states as compact  $[cq][\bar{s}\bar{q}]$ tetraquarks [\[4,](#page-10-3) [5\]](#page-10-4) or  $D^{(*)}K$  molecules [\[6,](#page-10-5) [7\]](#page-10-6) have been proposed. Recent evidence for exotic mesons containing cs rather than  $c\bar{s}$  quarks [\[8,](#page-10-7)9], has raised further interest in the interpretation of the  $D_{s0}^*(2317)^+$  and  $D_{s1}(2460)^+$  states. Additional experimental input on the spectrum of  $c\bar{s}$  mesons is essential to solve this puzzle.

Meson states are characterized by  $n^{2S+1}L_J$ , and grouped according to nL, where  $n$  is the principal quantum number,  $L$  is the orbital angular momentum between the constituent quarks  $(S, P, D)$  correspond to  $L = 0, 1, 2$ ,  $S = 0$  or 1 is the sum of quark spins and  $J$  is the total spin of the meson. In the charm-strange meson system, candidates for the two 1S mesons and the four  $1P$  states are experimentally well-established [\[10\]](#page-10-9). Candidates for two of the four  $1D$  states have also been reported, but their properties need further experimental confirmation [\[11\]](#page-10-10). Only one radial excitation, the  $2^{3}S_{1}$  state  $D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$ , is currently known. Among the missing resonances, the  $2^1S_0$  state, the radial excitation of the pseudoscalar ground-state  $D_s^+$  meson, is expected to be the lightest, with mass around 2.6 GeV. Natural units with  $\hbar = c = 1$  are used, and the inclusion of charge-conjugate processes is implied throughout this Letter.

Studies of B-meson decays have proven to provide excellent potential to discover new charm-strange mesons and measure their properties [\[12–](#page-10-11)[15\]](#page-10-12). Most such studies to date, however, only address excited  $D_s^+$  mesons decaying into a  $DK$  pair, and hence are only sensitive to  $D_s^+$  states with natural spin parity  $(J^P = 0^+, 1^-, 2^+, ...)$  due to parity conservation in strong decays. The possibility to study production in  $B$  decays of  $D_s^+$  resonances decaying to the  $D^+K^+\pi^-$  final state has not been explored previously, providing opportunities to discover states with masses above 2.5 GeV. The  $K^+\pi^-$  system can be assumed to be in S-wave  $(J^P = 0^+)$  if its mass is restricted to be below the threshold for  $K^*(892)^0$  production. In this case only  $D_s^+$  resonances with unnatural spin parity  $(J^P = 0^-, 1^+, 2^-, ...)$  can decay to  $D^+ K^+ \pi^-$ .

In this Letter, the observation of a new excited  $D_s^+$  state in the  $D^+K^+\pi^-$  mass spectrum is presented. The results are obtained from an amplitude analysis of  $B^0 \to D^-D^+K^+\pi^$ decays, where the  $K^+\pi^-$  mass is restricted to be lower than 0.75 GeV, referred to hereafter as the low  $K^+\pi^-$  mass region. The analysis makes use of the pp collision data collected by the LHCb experiment from 2016 to 2018 at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $5.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ .

The LHCb detector [\[16,](#page-11-0) [17\]](#page-11-1) is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range  $2 < \eta < 5$ , designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks. The on-line event selection is performed by a trigger that consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction [\[18,](#page-11-2) [19\]](#page-11-3). The momentum scale is calibrated using samples of  $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+$  decays collected concurrently with the data sample used for this analysis [\[20,](#page-11-4) [21\]](#page-11-5). Simulated samples are produced with the software packages described in Refs. [\[22](#page-11-6)[–27\]](#page-11-7) and are used to model the effects of the detector acceptance and the imposed selection requirements.

Signal  $B^0$  candidates are formed using  $B^0 \to D^-D^+K^+\pi^-$  decays with  $D^{\pm}$  candidates reconstructed in the  $K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}$  final state. All final-state particles are required to have

particle-identification information consistent with their respective mass hypotheses, and to be inconsistent with originating from any primary pp collision vertex (PV). The opening angle between any two of the final-state particles is required to be larger than 0.5 mrad to suppress potential background from repeated use of track segments. The  $D^{\pm}$  candidates are required to have good vertex-fit quality and mass within  $\pm 25$  MeV of the known value [\[10\]](#page-10-9). The decay vertex of the  $B^0$  candidate is required to be well reconstructed, to be significantly displaced from all PVs, and to be on a trajectory consistent with having originated from the associated PV. Both  $D^+$  and  $D^-$  vertices are required to be significantly displaced from the  $B^0$  vertex to suppress contributions from  $B^0$  decays involving one or no  $D^{\pm}$  mesons but having the same set of final tracks. A kinematic fit is applied to the decay chain to improve the  $B^0$  mass resolution, requiring the  $B^0$  candidate to originate from the associated PV and constraining the masses of the  $D^{\pm}$  candidates to their known values [\[10\]](#page-10-9). The  $B^0$ -candidate mass is additionally constrained to the known value [\[10\]](#page-10-9) in the amplitude analysis. For events with multiple  $B^0$  candidates, only that with the lowest kinematic-fit  $\chi^2$  is retained.

Background contributions from misidentification of a final-state pion, kaon or proton in a b-hadron decay to the  $D^{-}D^{+}h^{+}h'^{-}$  final state with  $h^{(l)} \in (\pi, K, p)$  are from Cabibbosuppressed processes and thus negligible. An exception is the  $B_s^0 \to D^-D^+K^+K^-$  decay, which instead is suppressed by the ratio of fragmentation fractions  $f_s/f_d$  [\[28,](#page-11-8) [29\]](#page-11-9) and the lack of expected contributions from any charm or charm-strange resonances. Partially reconstructed backgrounds with a missing soft neutral pion from the  $D^{*+} \to D^+\pi^0$  decay are also possible but fall below the considered  $B^0$ -candidate mass window of  $\pm 100$  MeV around the known  $B^0$  mass [\[10\]](#page-10-9). Partially reconstructed background involving  $D^{*+} \to D^+\gamma$ decay could have a tail that enters the mass window but is suppressed by its low branching fraction [\[10\]](#page-10-9). Hence the only significant source of background that passes the selection is due to random combinations of particles.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>An unbinned maximum-likelihood fit is performed to the mass distribution of the  $B^0$ candidates in the low  $K^+\pi^-$  mass region shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) The signal is modeled by a sum of two Crystal Ball functions [\[30\]](#page-11-10) with a common mean and opposite-side tails. The background is modeled by an exponential function. The  $B<sup>0</sup>$  signal yield is determined



Figure 1: Mass distribution of the selected  $B^0$  candidates in the low  $K^+\pi^-$  mass region. The fit result is overlaid.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 2: Dalitz plot of the  $D^+D^-$  versus  $D^+K^+\pi^-$  masses-squared for  $B^0$  candidates with masses within  $\pm 20$  MeV around the known  $B^0$  mass [\[10\]](#page-10-9) in the low  $K^+\pi^-$  mass region.

to be  $444 \pm 27$ , where the uncertainty is statistical. The Dalitz plot [\[31\]](#page-11-11) of the  $D^+D^$ versus  $D^+K^+\pi^-$  masses squared for candidates with masses within 20 MeV of the known  $B^0$  mass [\[10\]](#page-10-9) is shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) A clear cluster of candidates is observed in the  $D^+K^+\pi^ D^{\circ}$  mass [10] is shown in Fig. 2. A clear cluster of candidates is observed in the  $D^{\circ}K^{\circ}n$  mass at  $\sqrt{6.8 \text{ GeV}^2} \approx 2.6 \text{ GeV}$ . No  $D^+D^-$  resonant structure is apparent. The  $D^+K^+\pi^$ mass projection is shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) (a), where a structure at about 2.6 GeV, which has never been observed before, is evident and the small peak just above threshold corresponds to the  $D_{s1}(2536)^+$  state [\[32\]](#page-12-0).

An amplitude analysis is employed to study structures in the  $D^+K^+\pi^-$  system of  $B^0$  candidates in the low  $K^+\pi^-$  mass region. Three  $D_s^+$  components with unnatural spin parity are considered: a new  $D_s^+$  state at about 2.6 GeV denoted hereafter as  $D_{s_s}^+$ sJ due to its undetermined spin parity, the  $J^P = 1^+ D_{s1}(2536)^+$  state, and a  $J^P = 0^$ nonresonant (NR) component. The line shape of the  $K^+\pi^-$  system is modeled by the  $J^P = 0^+ K_0^*(700)^0$  state for all three  $D_s^+$  components. The amplitude is constructed using the helicity formalism [\[33\]](#page-12-1), with the total amplitude given by

$$
\mathcal{M} = \sum_{k} \mathcal{H}^{D_{sk}} d_{0,0}^{J_{D_{sk}}}(\theta_{D_{s}}) p^{L_{B0}} F_{L_{B0}}(pa) q^{L_{D_{sk}}} F_{L_{D_{sk}}}(qa) BW(m_{K^{+}\pi^{-}}) BW_{D_{sk}}(m_{D^{+}K^{+}\pi^{-}}),
$$

where the summation is over the three  $D_s^+$  components. Here  $\mathcal{H}^{D_{sk}}$  is the complex helicity coupling parameter describing the magnitude and the phase of the  $D_{sk}$  component, and  $d_{0,0}^{J_{D_{sk}}}(\theta_{D_s})$  is the Wigner small-d matrix with the two subscripts set to zero and the superscript corresponding to the spin of the  $D_{sk}$  component, where  $\theta_{D_s}$  is the angle between the directions of  $D^+$  momentum and the opposite of the  $B^0$  momentum, both in the  $D_s^+$  rest frame. The quantity  $p(q)$  is the momentum of the decay products of the  $B^0(D_{sk})$  state in its rest frame, and  $L_{B^0}(L_{D_{sk}})$  is the orbital angular momentum between the decay products of the  $B^0$   $(D_{sk})$  state. The function  $F_L(z)$  is the Blatt-Weisskopf form factor that accounts for the barrier of the decay [\[34](#page-12-2)[–36\]](#page-12-3), in which  $z \equiv pa$  or qa and the parameter a describes the size of the decaying particle, set to  $3 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \sim 0.6 \text{ fm}$ . The line shapes of the  $D_{sJ}^{+}$ ,  $D_{s1}(2536)^{+}$ , and  $K_0^{*}(700)^{0}$  states are described by relativistic Breit-Wigner (BW) functions. The NR component has a constant line shape.

Different expressions for the width  $\Gamma(m)$  that enters the BW function are used for the

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 3: Mass projections of (a)  $D^+K^+\pi^-$ , (b)  $K^+\pi^-$ , (c)  $D^+D^-$  and (d)  $D^+\pi^-$  systems. Data points are shown in black with the background subtracted statistically using the sPlot method [\[37\]](#page-12-4). Results of the fit with the  $D_{s0}(2590)^{+}$  ( $J^{P} = 0^{-}$ ) model are overlaid as a solid red histogram, and individual contributions shown as dotted histograms.

 $D_{sJ}^{+}$ ,  $D_{s1}(2536)^{+}$  and  $K_0^{*}(700)^{0}$  states. The  $D_{s1}(2536)^{+}$  width is set to constant as it is very narrow, while a two-body mass-dependent width is used for the  $K_0^*(700)^0$  state; in both cases the BW parameters are fixed to their known values [\[10,](#page-10-9) [38\]](#page-12-5). The total  $D_s^+$ sJ width is described as the sum of contributions from the open decay channels to two-body  $D^*K$  and three-body  $DK\pi$  decays,

$$
\Gamma^{D_{sJ}^+}(m_{D^+K^+\pi^-}) = \Gamma^{D_{sJ}^+ \to D^*K}(m_{D^+K^+\pi^-}) + \Gamma^{D_{sJ}^+ \to DK\pi}(m_{D^+K^+\pi^-}),
$$

where  $\Gamma^{D_{sJ}^{+}\to D^{*}K}$  and  $\Gamma^{D_{sJ}^{+}\to D^{*}K}$  are the partial widths for the corresponding decays. The former is parameterized with a two-body mass-dependent width and the latter is set to a constant.

The signal model in the amplitude analysis is the amplitude squared  $|\mathcal{M}|^2$  multiplied by an efficiency function and normalised to unity when integrated over the phase space. The unknown parameters of the signal model, denoted hereafter as  $\vec{\omega}$ , are the complex helicity coupling parameters of the  $D_{sJ}^+$  and  $D_{s1}(2536)^+$  states, the BW mass and width of the  $D_{sJ}^+$  state, and the width fraction of the  $D_{sJ}^+ \to D^*K$  channel defined as  $r = \Gamma^{D_{sJ}^+ \to DK\pi}(m_0)/\Gamma^{D_{sJ}^+}(m_0)$ , where  $m_0$  is the BW mass. The helicity coupling parameter of the NR component is fixed to unity to serve as a reference amplitude. The optimal values of the parameters,  $\vec{\omega}_{min}$ , are determined with the same method used, and described in detail in Ref. [\[39\]](#page-12-6). An unbinned fit minimizes the negative log-likelihood,  $-2 \ln \mathcal{L}(\vec{\omega})$ , with the background subtracted statistically using weights obtained with the *sPlot* method

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 4: Comparison of  $\cos \theta_{D_s}$  distributions for the spin parity of the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  state assumed to be (a)  $0^-$ , (b)  $1^+$ , and (c)  $2^-$ . The  $J^P = 0^-$  model is the most consistent with data. Data points are shown in black with the background subtracted statistically using the *sPlot* method [\[37\]](#page-12-4). Fit results are overlaid as a solid red histogram, with individual contributions shown as dotted histograms.

with the  $B<sup>0</sup>$ -candidate mass as the discriminating variable. The variables in the amplitude analysis,  $m_{D+K^+\pi^-}$ ,  $m_{K^+\pi^-}$  and  $\theta_{D_s}$ , are confirmed not to have strong correlations with the  $B^0$ -candidate mass, as required in the *sPlot* method. The non-parametric efficiency function is determined from simulation with corrections applied to ensure the trigger efficiency,  $B^0$  kinematics and track multiplicity match those observed in data.

Three possible spin parity models of the  $D_{sJ}^+$  state are tested:  $J^P = 0^-$ ,  $1^+$  and  $2^-$ , among which the  $J^P = 0^-$  model leads to the best fit quality. This is understood by the property of  $d_{0,0}^J(\theta_{D_s})$  describing the  $\cos \theta_{D_s}$  behavior of the  $D_{sJ}^+$  state in the amplitude, which is proportional to the Legendre polynomial of order  $J$  and is squared in the signal model. Thus, the  $\cos \theta_{D_s}$  distribution is described by a constant function for  $J^P = 0^-$ , a second-order polynomial for  $J^P = 1^+$  and a fourth-order polynomial for  $J^P = 2^-$ . The  $J<sup>P</sup> = 0<sup>-</sup>$  model is clearly seen to be most consistent with data, as shown in Fig. [4.](#page-6-0)

The significance to reject each of the disfavored spin parity models and the model without the  $D_{sJ}^{+}$  state is evaluated using the method of Refs. [\[39,](#page-12-6) [40\]](#page-12-7). The difference of  $-2 \ln \mathcal{L}(\vec{\omega}_{min})$  between two models is assumed to follow a  $\chi^2$  distribution. The number of degrees of freedom associated with this  $\chi^2$  distribution is one when comparing two models with different spin parity hypotheses, and twice the difference in the number of freely varying parameters when comparing models with different numbers of components. Taking the  $J^P = 0^-$  model as the reference, models without the  $D_{sJ}^+$  state or with  $J^P = 1^+$ or 2<sup>−</sup> are all rejected with significance over ten standard deviations. Therefore, the spin parity of the  $D_{sJ}^{+}$  state is determined to be  $J^{P} = 0^{-}$ . The  $D_{sJ}^{+}$  state is hereafter denoted as  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ .

Almost equally good fit quality and the same  $D^+K^+\pi^-$  mass line shape are found for different width fractions  $r$  in the range 0 to 1, indicating that this parameter cannot be determined using the current data. The value of  $r$  is fixed to 0.5. The fitted BW mass and width of the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  state vary significantly for different width fractions, but its pole position  $m_R - i\Gamma_R/2$ , where  $m_R$  and  $\Gamma_R$  are the pole mass and width, is found to be stable. This is understood as a consequence of the BW parameters being dependent on specific reactions and width parameterizations, and as such having no strict physical meaning. In contrast, the pole position is independent of the reaction studied and the chosen width

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Table 1: Fit fractions for the three  $D_s^+$  components in the low  $K^+\pi^-$  mass region  $(m_{K^+\pi^-} < 0.75 \,\text{GeV})$ . The interference fraction between the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  and NR components is denoted as  $D_{s0}^+$ -NR. There is no net interference between any other pair of components due to the orthogonality of the Wigner small-d matrices for different spins.  $D_{s_1}^+/D_{s_0}^+$  denotes the ratio of the  $D_{s1}(2536)^+$  and  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  fit fractions.

	Fit fraction $(\times 10^{-2})$
$D_{s0}(2590)^+$	63 $\pm$ 9 (stat) $\pm$ 9 (syst)
$D_{s1}(2536)^+$	$3.9 \pm 1.4 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.8 \text{(syst)}$
NR	51 $\pm$ 11 (stat) $\pm$ 19 (syst)
$D_{s0}^+$ -NR	$-18$ $\pm 18$ (stat) $\pm 24$ (syst)
$D_{s1}^+/D_{s0}^+$	6.1 $\pm$ 2.4 (stat) $\pm$ 1.4 (syst)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>Table 2: Systematic uncertainties on the pole mass and width of the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  state, and fit fractions of the three  $D_s^+$  components. The individual sources are added in quadrature to obtain the total uncertainty. The notations are the same as these in Table [1.](#page-7-0)



parameterization, and is a physical characteristic of a resonance [\[10\]](#page-10-9). Therefore, only the pole mass and width of the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  state are reported in this Letter. These are measured to be  $m_R = 2591 \pm 6$  MeV and  $\Gamma_R = 89 \pm 16$  MeV, where the uncertainty is statistical. Several mass projections are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-5-0) The enhancements in data at high  $m_{D^+D^-}$  and low  $m_{D^+\pi^-}$  are seen to be well described as reflections of the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ contribution. A small excess is seen in  $m_{D^+\pi^-}$  near the mass of the  $D_2^*(2460)^0$  state, which populates the region higher than 3 GeV in  $m_{D+K+\pi^-}$ , far away from the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ peak. Therefore, vetoing it has small impact on the measured properties and is taken into account as a source of systematic uncertainty. Mass distributions of combinations of final-state particles not shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) do not exhibit any structures.

Fit fractions, defined as in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-10-8), for the three  $D_s^+$  components in the low  $K^+\pi^$ mass region obtained from the fit are listed in Table [1.](#page-7-0) The interference fraction between the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  and NR components is also listed, whose negative central value explains why the full amplitude distribution lies below the NR distribution in some regions, as shown in Fig. [3.](#page-5-0) The ratio of the  $D_{s1}(2536)^+$  and  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  fit fractions is also given in Table [1.](#page-7-0)

Systematic uncertainties on the measured properties are summarized in Table [2.](#page-7-1) The primary source is related to the choice of the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$  width model, which is evaluated by describing the partial width of the  $D_{s0}(2590)^+ \rightarrow DK\pi$  channel with a three-body formula similar to that used in Ref. [\[41\]](#page-12-8), instead of constant, or varying the width fraction r between 0 and 1. Other sources include: variation of the  $D_{s1}(2536)^+$  mass shape due to uncertainties in the BW parameters and the width model, as well as the effect of detector resolution (which, at  $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ MeV})$ , is negligible for broader structures); the description of the  $K^+\pi^-$  mass shape evaluated by using the LASS model [\[42\]](#page-12-9) and by varying within uncertainties the BW parameters of the  $K_0^*(700)^0$  state; variation of the Blatt-Weisskopf barrier factor, R, between 1.5 and  $4.5 \,\text{GeV}^{-1}$ ; inclusion of possible  $c\bar{c}$  resonances, such as  $\psi(3770)$ ,  $\chi_{c0}(3930)$  and  $\chi_{c2}(3930)$ ; vetoing possible  $D^+\pi^-$  resonant contributions by requiring  $m_{D^+\pi^-}$  < 2.4 GeV; imperfections in the corrections applied to simulated events; and imperfect momentum calibration due to limited knowledge of the magnetic field and the detector alignment. Uncertainties related to the size of the simulation sample are negligible. The total systematic uncertainty is obtained by combining all contributions in quadrature.

In conclusion, a new excited  $D_s^+$  meson is observed with large statistical significance in the  $D^+K^+\pi^-$  system of  $B^0 \to D^-D^+K^+\pi^-$  decays. The analysis makes use of pp collision data collected by the LHCb experiment, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $5.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . An amplitude analysis is performed on data in the low  $K^+\pi^-$  mass region,  $m_{K^+\pi^-}$  < 0.75 GeV, and the pole mass and width, and the spin parity of the new state are measured to be  $m_R = 2591 \pm 6 \pm 7$  MeV,  $\Gamma_R = 89 \pm 16 \pm 12$  MeV and  $J^P = 0^-$ , where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. Fit fractions obtained in the amplitude analysis are also reported. The new resonance, denoted as  $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ , is a strong candidate to be the missing  $D_s(2^1S_0)^+$  state, the radial excitation of the pseudoscalar ground-state  $D_s^+$  meson.

## Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MSHE (Russia); MICINN (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE NP and NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), RRCKI and Yandex LLC (Russia), CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), PL-GRID (Poland) and NERSC (USA). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from ARC and ARDC (Australia); AvH Foundation (Germany); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions and ERC (European Union); A\*MIDEX, ANR, Labex P2IO and OCEVU, and Région Auvergne-Rhˆone-Alpes (France); Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, CAS CCEPP, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Sci. & Tech. Program of Guangzhou (China); RFBR, RSF and Yandex LLC (Russia); GVA, XuntaGal and GENCAT (Spain); the Leverhulme Trust, the Royal Society and UKRI

(United Kingdom).

### References

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[1] BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Observation of a narrow meson decaying to  $D_s^+\pi^0$  at a mass of 2.32  $GeV/c^2$ , [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.242001) **90** (2003) 242001, [arXiv:hep-ex/0304021](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0304021).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[2] CLEO collaboration, D. Besson et al., Observation of a narrow resonance of mass 2.46 GeV/ $c^2$  decaying to  $D_s^{*+} \pi^0$  and confirmation of the  $D_{sJ}^{*}(2317)$  state, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.032002) D68 [\(2003\) 032002,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.032002) Erratum ibid. D75 [\(2007\) 119908,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.119908) [arXiv:hep-ex/0305100](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0305100).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[3] S. Godfrey and K. Moats, Properties of excited charm and charm-strange mesons, Phys. Rev. D93 [\(2016\) 034035,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034035) [arXiv:1510.08305](http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.08305).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[4] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa, and V. Riquer, Diquark-antidiquark states with hidden or open charm and the nature of  $X(3872)$ , Phys. Rev. **D71** [\(2005\) 014028,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.014028) [arXiv:hep-ph/0412098](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0412098).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[5] T. E. Browder, S. Pakvasa, and A. A. Petrov, *Comment on the new*  $D_s^{(*)+}\pi^0$  resonances, Phys. Lett. **B578** (2004) 365, [arXiv:hep-ph/0307054](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0307054).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[6] T. Barnes, F. E. Close, and H. J. Lipkin, Implications of a DK molecule at 2.32 GeV, Phys. Rev. D68 [\(2003\) 054006,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.054006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0305025](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0305025).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[7] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *Observed*  $D_s(2317)$  and tentative  $D(2100 - 2300)$  as the charmed cousins of the light scalar nonet, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.012003) 91 (2003) 012003, [arXiv:hep-ph/0305035](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0305035).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[8] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Model-independent study of structure in  $B^+ \rightarrow$  $D^+D^-K^+$  decays, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.242001) 125 (2020) 242001, [arXiv:2009.00025](http://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00025).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[9] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Amplitude analysis of the  $B^+ \to D^+D^-K^+$  decay, Phys. Rev. D102 [\(2020\) 112003,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.112003) [arXiv:2009.00026](http://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00026).
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[10] Particle Data Group, P. A. Zyla et al., [Review of particle physics](http://pdg.lbl.gov/), [Prog. Theor. Exp.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104) Phys. 2020 [\(2020\) 083C01.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[11] A. Palano, Experimental status of excited  $D_s^+$  mesons,  $arXiv:2009.07126$ .
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[12] Belle collaboration, J. Brodzicka et al., Observation of a new  $D_{sJ}$  meson in  $B^+ \rightarrow$  $\bar{D}^0D^0K^+$  decays, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.092001) 100 (2008) 092001, [arXiv:0707.3491](http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.3491).
- [13] BaBar collaboration, J. P. Lees *et al., Dalitz plot analyses of*  $B^0 \to D^-D^0K^+$  and  $B^+ \to \overline{D}{}^0 D^0 K^+$  decays, Phys. Rev. **D91** [\(2015\) 052002,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052002) [arXiv:1412.6751](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6751).
- [14] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of overlapping spin-1 and spin- $3\ \bar{D}^0 K^-$  resonances at mass 2.86 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.162001) 113 (2014) 162001, [arXiv:1407.7574](http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7574).
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[15] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Dalitz plot analysis of  $B_s^0 \to \overline{D}{}^0 K^- \pi^+$  decays, Phys. Rev. D90 [\(2014\) 072003,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.072003) [arXiv:1407.7712](http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7712).
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[16] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. et al., The LHCb detector at the LHC, [JINST](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08005) 3 [\(2008\) S08005.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08005)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[17] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., LHCb detector performance, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X15300227) A30 [\(2015\) 1530022,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X15300227) [arXiv:1412.6352](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6352).
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[18] R. Aaij et al., The LHCb trigger and its performance in 2011, JINST 8 [\(2013\) P04022,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04022) [arXiv:1211.3055](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.3055).
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[19] T. Likhomanenko et al., LHCb topological trigger reoptimization, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/664/8/082025) 664 [\(2015\) 082025.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/664/8/082025)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[20] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurements of the  $\Lambda_b^0$ ,  $\Xi_b^ \lambda_b^-$ , and  $\Omega_b^$  $b_0^-$  baryon masses, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182001) 110 (2013) 182001, [arXiv:1302.1072](http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.1072).
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[21] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Precision measurement of D meson mass differ-ences, JHEP 06 [\(2013\) 065,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)065) [arXiv:1304.6865](http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.6865).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[22] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, [Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) [Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) 178 (2008) 852, [arXiv:0710.3820](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3820).
- [23] I. Belyaev et al., Handling of the generation of primary events in Gauss, the LHCb simulation framework, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/331/3/032047) 331 (2011) 032047.
- [24] D. J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4) A462 [\(2001\) 152.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4)
- [25] P. Golonka and Z. Was, PHOTOS Monte Carlo: A precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays, [Eur. Phys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2005-02396-4)  $C45$  (2006) 97, [arXiv:hep-ph/0506026](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0506026).
- [26] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison et al., Geant4 developments and applications, [IEEE](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.869826) [Trans. Nucl. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.869826) 53 (2006) 270.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[27] M. Clemencic et al., The LHCb simulation application, Gauss: Design, evolution and experience, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/331/3/032023) 331 (2011) 032023.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[28] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of b-hadron fractions in 13 TeV pp collisions, Phys. Rev.  $D100$  [\(2019\) 031102\(R\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.031102) [arXiv:1902.06794](http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.06794).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[29] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of  $f_s/f_u$  variation with protonproton collision energy and B-meson kinematics, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.122002) 124 (2020) 122002, [arXiv:1910.09934](http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.09934).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[30] T. Skwarnicki, A study of the radiative cascade transitions between the Upsilon-prime and Upsilon resonances, PhD thesis, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, 1986, [DESY-F31-86-02.](http://inspirehep.net/record/230779/)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[31] R. H. Dalitz, On the analysis of  $\tau$ -meson data and the nature of the  $\tau$ -meson, [Phil.](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786441008520365) Mag. Ser. 7 44 [\(1953\) 1068;](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786441008520365) E. Fabri, A study of  $\tau$ -meson decay, [Nuovo Cim.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02781042) 11 [\(1954\) 479.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02781042)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[32] Belle collaboration, V. Balagura et al., Observation of  $D_{s1}(2536)^+ \rightarrow D^+\pi^-K^+$  and angular decomposition of  $D_{s1}(2536)^+$   $\rightarrow$   $D^{*+}K^0_S$ , Phys. Rev. D77 [\(2008\) 032001,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.032001) [arXiv:0709.4184](http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.4184).
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[33] S. U. Chung, Spin formalisms, CERN-71-08; J. D. Richman, An experimenter's guide to the helicity formalism, CALT-68-1148; M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, On the general theory of collisions for particles with spin, [Annals Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(59)90051-X) 7 (1959) 404.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[34] J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, Theoretical nuclear physics, [Springer, New York,](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-9959-2) [1952.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-9959-2)
- [35] F. Von Hippel and C. Quigg, Centrifugal-barrier effects in resonance partial decay widths, shapes, and production amplitudes, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.5.624) **D5** (1972) 624.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[36] S. U. Chung et al., Partial wave analysis in K matrix formalism, [Annalen Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19955070504) 507 [\(1995\) 404.](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19955070504)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[37] M. Pivk and F. R. Le Diberder, sPlot: A statistical tool to unfold data distributions, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2005.08.106) A555 (2005) 356, [arXiv:physics/0402083](http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0402083).
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[38] BaBar collaboration, J. P. Lees et al., Measurement of the mass and width of the  $D_{s1}(2536)^+$  meson, Phys. Rev. **D83** [\(2011\) 072003,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.072003) [arXiv:1103.2675](http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.2675).
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[39] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of  $J/\psi p$  resonances consistent with pentaquark states in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi p K^-$  decays, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.072001) 115 (2015) 072001, [arXiv:1507.03414](http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.03414).
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[40] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Amplitude analysis of  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$  decays, Phys. Rev. D95 [\(2017\) 012002,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.012002) [arXiv:1606.07898](http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.07898).
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[41] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Observation of a new baryon state in the  $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^$ mass spectrum, JHEP 06 [\(2020\) 136,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)136) [arXiv:2002.05112](http://arxiv.org/abs/2002.05112).
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[42] D. Aston et al., A study of  $K^+\pi^-$  scattering in the reaction  $K^+p\to K^-\pi^+n$  at 11 GeV/c, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(88)90028-4) B296 (1988) 493.

#### LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij<sup>31</sup>, C. Abellán Beteta<sup>49</sup>, T. Ackernley<sup>59</sup>, B. Adeva<sup>45</sup>, M. Adinolfi<sup>53</sup>, H. Afsharnia<sup>9</sup>, C.A. Aidala<sup>84</sup>, S. Aiola<sup>25</sup>, Z. Ajaltouni<sup>9</sup>, S. Akar<sup>64</sup>, J. Albrecht<sup>14</sup>, F. Alessio<sup>47</sup>, M. Alexander<sup>58</sup>, A. Alfonso Albero<sup>44</sup>, Z. Aliouche<sup>61</sup>, G. Alkhazov<sup>37</sup>, P. Alvarez Cartelle<sup>47</sup>, S. Amato<sup>2</sup>, Y. Amhis<sup>11</sup>, L. An<sup>21</sup>, L. Anderlini<sup>21</sup>, A. Andreianov<sup>37</sup>, M. Andreotti<sup>20</sup>, F. Archilli<sup>16</sup>, A. Artamonov<sup>43</sup>, M. Artuso<sup>67</sup>, K. Arzymatov<sup>41</sup>, E. Aslanides<sup>10</sup>, M. Atzeni<sup>49</sup>, B. Audurier<sup>11</sup>, S. Bachmann<sup>16</sup>, M. Bachmayer<sup>48</sup>, J.J. Back<sup>55</sup>, S. Baker<sup>60</sup>, P. Baladron Rodriguez<sup>45</sup>, V. Balagura<sup>11</sup>, W. Baldini<sup>20</sup>, J. Baptista Leite<sup>1</sup>, R.J. Barlow<sup>61</sup>, S. Barsuk<sup>11</sup>, W. Barter<sup>60</sup>, M. Bartolini<sup>23,i</sup>, F. Baryshnikov<sup>80</sup>, J.M. Basels<sup>13</sup>, G. Bassi<sup>28</sup>, B. Batsukh<sup>67</sup>, A. Battig<sup>14</sup>, A. Bay<sup>48</sup>, M. Becker<sup>14</sup>, F. Bedeschi<sup>28</sup>, I. Bediaga<sup>1</sup>, A. Beiter<sup>67</sup>, V. Belavin<sup>41</sup>, S. Belin<sup>26</sup>, V. Bellee<sup>48</sup>, K. Belous<sup>43</sup>, I. Belov<sup>39</sup>, I. Belyaev<sup>38</sup>, G. Bencivenni<sup>22</sup>, E. Ben-Haim<sup>12</sup>, A. Berezhnoy<sup>39</sup>, R. Bernet<sup>49</sup>, D. Berninghoff<sup>16</sup>, H.C. Bernstein<sup>67</sup>, C. Bertella<sup>47</sup>, E. Bertholet<sup>12</sup>, A. Bertolin<sup>27</sup>, C. Betancourt<sup>49</sup>, F. Betti<sup>19,e</sup>, M.O. Bettler<sup>54</sup>, Ia. Bezshyiko<sup>49</sup>, S. Bhasin<sup>53</sup>, J. Bhom<sup>33</sup>, L. Bian<sup>72</sup>, M.S. Bieker<sup>14</sup>, S. Bifani<sup>52</sup>, P. Billoir<sup>12</sup>, M. Birch<sup>60</sup>, F.C.R. Bishop<sup>54</sup>, A. Bizzeti<sup>21,s</sup>, M. Bjørn<sup>62</sup>, M.P. Blago<sup>47</sup>, T. Blake<sup>55</sup>, F. Blanc<sup>48</sup>, S. Blusk<sup>67</sup>, D. Bobulska<sup>58</sup>, J.A. Boelhauve<sup>14</sup>, O. Boente Garcia<sup>45</sup>, T. Boettcher<sup>63</sup>, A. Boldyrev<sup>81</sup>, A. Bondar<sup>42</sup>, N. Bondar<sup>37</sup>, S. Borghi<sup>61</sup>, M. Borisyak<sup>41</sup>, M. Borsato<sup>16</sup>, J.T. Borsuk<sup>33</sup>, S.A. Bouchiba<sup>48</sup>, T.J.V. Bowcock<sup>59</sup>, A. Boyer<sup>47</sup>, C. Bozzi<sup>20</sup>, M.J. Bradley<sup>60</sup>, S. Braun<sup>65</sup>, A. Brea Rodriguez<sup>45</sup>, M. Brodski<sup>47</sup>, J. Brodzicka<sup>33</sup>, A. Brossa Gonzalo<sup>55</sup>, D. Brundu<sup>26</sup>, A. Buonaura<sup>49</sup>, C. Burr<sup>47</sup>, A. Bursche<sup>26</sup>, A. Butkevich<sup>40</sup>, J.S. Butter<sup>31</sup>, J. Buytaert<sup>47</sup>, W. Byczynski<sup>47</sup>, S. Cadeddu<sup>26</sup>, H. Cai<sup>72</sup>, R. Calabrese<sup>20,g</sup>, L. Calefice<sup>14,12</sup>, L. Calero Diaz<sup>22</sup>, S. Cali<sup>22</sup>, R. Calladine<sup>52</sup>, M. Calvi<sup>24,j</sup>, M. Calvo Gomez<sup>83</sup>, P. Camargo Magalhaes<sup>53</sup>, A. Camboni<sup>44</sup>, P. Campana<sup>22</sup>, D.H. Campora Perez<sup>47</sup>, A.F. Campoverde Quezada<sup>5</sup>, S. Capelli<sup>24,j</sup>, L. Capriotti<sup>19,e</sup>, A. Carbone<sup>19,e</sup>, G. Carboni<sup>29</sup>, R. Cardinale<sup>23,i</sup>, A. Cardini<sup>26</sup>, I. Carli<sup>6</sup>, P. Carniti<sup>24,j</sup>, L. Carus<sup>13</sup>, K. Carvalho Akiba<sup>31</sup>, A. Casais Vidal<sup>45</sup>, G. Casse<sup>59</sup>, M. Cattaneo<sup>47</sup>, G. Cavallero<sup>47</sup>, S. Celani<sup>48</sup>, J. Cerasoli<sup>10</sup>, A.J. Chadwick<sup>59</sup>, M.G. Chapman<sup>53</sup>, M. Charles<sup>12</sup>, Ph. Charpentier<sup>47</sup>, G. Chatzikonstantinidis<sup>52</sup>, C.A. Chavez Barajas<sup>59</sup>, M. Chefdeville<sup>8</sup>, C. Chen<sup>3</sup>, S. Chen<sup>26</sup>, A. Chernov<sup>33</sup>, S.-G. Chitic<sup>47</sup>, V. Chobanova<sup>45</sup>, S. Cholak<sup>48</sup>, M. Chrzaszcz<sup>33</sup>, A. Chubykin<sup>37</sup>, V. Chulikov<sup>37</sup>, P. Ciambrone<sup>22</sup>, M.F. Cicala<sup>55</sup>, X. Cid Vidal<sup>45</sup>, G. Ciezarek<sup>47</sup>, P.E.L. Clarke<sup>57</sup>, M. Clemencic<sup>47</sup>, H.V. Cliff<sup>54</sup>, J. Closier<sup>47</sup>, J.L. Cobbledick<sup>61</sup>, V. Coco<sup>47</sup>, J.A.B. Coelho<sup>11</sup>, J. Cogan<sup>10</sup>, E. Cogneras<sup>9</sup>, L. Cojocariu<sup>36</sup>, P. Collins<sup>47</sup>, T. Colombo<sup>47</sup>, L. Congedo<sup>18,d</sup>, A. Contu<sup>26</sup>, N. Cooke<sup>52</sup>, G. Coombs<sup>58</sup>, G. Corti<sup>47</sup>, C.M. Costa Sobral<sup>55</sup>, B. Couturier<sup>47</sup>, D.C. Craik<sup>63</sup>, J. Crkovská<sup>66</sup>, M. Cruz Torres<sup>1</sup>, R. Currie<sup>57</sup>, C.L. Da Silva<sup>66</sup>, E. Dall'Occo<sup>14</sup>, J. Dalseno<sup>45</sup>, C. D'Ambrosio<sup>47</sup>, A. Danilina<sup>38</sup>, P. d'Argent<sup>47</sup>, A. Davis<sup>61</sup>, O. De Aguiar Francisco<sup>61</sup>, K. De Bruyn<sup>77</sup>, S. De Capua<sup>61</sup>, M. De Cian<sup>48</sup>, J.M. De Miranda<sup>1</sup>, L. De Paula<sup>2</sup>, M. De Serio<sup>18,d</sup>, D. De Simone<sup>49</sup>, P. De Simone<sup>22</sup>, J.A. de Vries<sup>78</sup>, C.T. Dean<sup>66</sup>, W. Dean<sup>84</sup>, D. Decamp<sup>8</sup>, L. Del Buono<sup>12</sup>, B. Delaney<sup>54</sup>, H.-P. Dembinski<sup>14</sup>, A. Dendek<sup>34</sup>, V. Denysenko<sup>49</sup>, D. Derkach<sup>81</sup>, O. Deschamps<sup>9</sup>, F. Desse<sup>11</sup>, F. Dettori<sup>26,f</sup>, B. Dey<sup>72</sup>, P. Di Nezza<sup>22</sup>, S. Didenko<sup>80</sup>, L. Dieste Maronas<sup>45</sup>, H. Dijkstra<sup>47</sup>, V. Dobishuk<sup>51</sup>, A.M. Donohoe<sup>17</sup>, F. Dordei<sup>26</sup>, A.C. dos Reis<sup>1</sup>, L. Douglas<sup>58</sup>, A. Dovbnya<sup>50</sup>, A.G. Downes<sup>8</sup>, K. Dreimanis<sup>59</sup>, M.W. Dudek<sup>33</sup>, L. Dufour<sup>47</sup>, V. Duk<sup>76</sup>, P. Durante<sup>47</sup>, J.M. Durham<sup>66</sup>, D. Dutta<sup>61</sup>, M. Dziewiecki<sup>16</sup>, A. Dziurda<sup>33</sup>, A. Dzyuba<sup>37</sup>, S. Easo<sup>56</sup>, U. Egede<sup>68</sup>, V. Egorychev<sup>38</sup>, S. Eidelman<sup>42,v</sup>, S. Eisenhardt<sup>57</sup>, S. Ek-In<sup>48</sup>, L. Eklund<sup>58</sup>, S. Ely<sup>67</sup>, A. Ene<sup>36</sup>, E. Epple<sup>66</sup>, S. Escher<sup>13</sup>, J. Eschle<sup>49</sup>, S. Esen<sup>31</sup>, T. Evans<sup>47</sup>, A. Falabella<sup>19</sup>, J. Fan<sup>3</sup>, Y. Fan<sup>5</sup>, B. Fang<sup>72</sup>, N. Farley<sup>52</sup>, S. Farry<sup>59</sup>, D. Fazzini<sup>24,j</sup>, P. Fedin<sup>38</sup>, M. Féo<sup>47</sup>, P. Fernandez Declara<sup>47</sup>, A. Fernandez Prieto<sup>45</sup>, J.M. Fernandez-tenllado Arribas<sup>44</sup>, F. Ferrari<sup>19,e</sup>, L. Ferreira Lopes<sup>48</sup>, F. Ferreira Rodrigues<sup>2</sup>, S. Ferreres Sole<sup>31</sup>, M. Ferrillo<sup>49</sup>, M. Ferro-Luzzi<sup>47</sup>, S. Filippov<sup>40</sup>, R.A. Fini<sup>18</sup>, M. Fiorini<sup>20,g</sup>, M. Firlej<sup>34</sup>, K.M. Fischer<sup>62</sup>, C. Fitzpatrick<sup>61</sup>, T. Fiutowski<sup>34</sup>, F. Fleuret<sup>11,b</sup>, M. Fontana<sup>12</sup>, F. Fontanelli<sup>23,i</sup>, R. Forty<sup>47</sup>, V. Franco Lima<sup>59</sup>,

M. Franco Sevilla<sup>65</sup>, M. Frank<sup>47</sup>, E. Franzoso<sup>20</sup>, G. Frau<sup>16</sup>, C. Frei<sup>47</sup>, D.A. Friday<sup>58</sup>, J. Fu<sup>25</sup>, Q. Fuehring<sup>14</sup>, W. Funk<sup>47</sup>, E. Gabriel<sup>31</sup>, T. Gaintseva<sup>41</sup>, A. Gallas Torreira<sup>45</sup>, D. Galli<sup>19,e</sup>, S. Gambetta<sup>57,47</sup>, Y. Gan<sup>3</sup>, M. Gandelman<sup>2</sup>, P. Gandini<sup>25</sup>, Y. Gao<sup>4</sup>, M. Garau<sup>26</sup>, L.M. Garcia Martin<sup>55</sup>, P. Garcia Moreno<sup>44</sup>, J. García Pardiñas<sup>49</sup>, B. Garcia Plana<sup>45</sup>, F.A. Garcia Rosales<sup>11</sup>, L. Garrido<sup>44</sup>, C. Gaspar<sup>47</sup>, R.E. Geertsema<sup>31</sup>, D. Gerick<sup>16</sup>, L.L. Gerken<sup>14</sup>, E. Gersabeck<sup>61</sup>, M. Gersabeck<sup>61</sup>, T. Gershon<sup>55</sup>, D. Gerstel<sup>10</sup>, Ph. Ghez<sup>8</sup>, V. Gibson<sup>54</sup>, M. Giovannetti<sup>22,k</sup>, A. Gioventù<sup>45</sup>, P. Gironella Gironell<sup>44</sup>, L. Giubega<sup>36</sup>, C. Giugliano<sup>20,47,g</sup>, K. Gizdov<sup>57</sup>, E.L. Gkougkousis<sup>47</sup>, V.V. Gligorov<sup>12</sup>, C. Göbel<sup>69</sup>, E. Golobardes<sup>83</sup>, D. Golubkov<sup>38</sup>, A. Golutvin<sup>60,80</sup>, A. Gomes<sup>1,a</sup>, S. Gomez Fernandez<sup>44</sup>, F. Goncalves Abrantes<sup>69</sup>, M. Goncerz<sup>33</sup>, G. Gong<sup>3</sup>, P. Gorbounov<sup>38</sup>, I.V. Gorelov<sup>39</sup>, C. Gotti<sup>24,j</sup>, E. Govorkova<sup>47</sup>, J.P. Grabowski<sup>16</sup>, R. Graciani Diaz<sup>44</sup>, T. Grammatico<sup>12</sup>, L.A. Granado Cardoso<sup>47</sup>, E. Graugés<sup>44</sup>, E. Graverini<sup>48</sup>, G. Graziani<sup>21</sup>, A. Grecu<sup>36</sup>, L.M. Greeven<sup>31</sup>, P. Griffith<sup>20</sup>, L. Grillo<sup>61</sup>, S. Gromov<sup>80</sup>, B.R. Gruberg Cazon<sup>62</sup>, C. Gu<sup>3</sup>, M. Guarise<sup>20</sup>, P. A. Günther<sup>16</sup>, E. Gushchin<sup>40</sup>, A. Guth<sup>13</sup>, Y. Guz<sup>43,47</sup>, T. Gys<sup>47</sup>, T. Hadavizadeh<sup>68</sup>, G. Haefeli<sup>48</sup>, C. Haen<sup>47</sup>, J. Haimberger<sup>47</sup>, T. Halewood-leagas<sup>59</sup>, P.M. Hamilton<sup>65</sup>, Q. Han<sup>7</sup>, X. Han<sup>16</sup>, T.H. Hancock<sup>62</sup>, S. Hansmann-Menzemer<sup>16</sup>, N. Harnew<sup>62</sup>, T. Harrison<sup>59</sup>, C. Hasse<sup>47</sup>, M. Hatch<sup>47</sup>, J. He<sup>5</sup>, M. Hecker<sup>60</sup>, K. Heijhoff<sup>31</sup>, K. Heinicke<sup>14</sup>, A.M. Hennequin<sup>47</sup>, K. Hennessy<sup>59</sup>, L. Henry<sup>25,46</sup>, J. Heuel<sup>13</sup>, A. Hicheur<sup>2</sup>, D. Hill<sup>62</sup>, M. Hilton<sup>61</sup>, S.E. Hollitt<sup>14</sup>, J. Hu<sup>16</sup>, J. Hu<sup>71</sup>, W. Hu<sup>7</sup>, W. Huang<sup>5</sup>, X. Huang<sup>72</sup>, W. Hulsbergen<sup>31</sup>, R.J. Hunter<sup>55</sup>, M. Hushchyn<sup>81</sup>, D. Hutchcroft<sup>59</sup>, D. Hynds<sup>31</sup>, P. Ibis<sup>14</sup>, M. Idzik<sup>34</sup>, D. Ilin<sup>37</sup>, P. Ilten<sup>64</sup>, A. Inglessi<sup>37</sup>, A. Ishteev<sup>80</sup>, K. Ivshin<sup>37</sup>, R. Jacobsson<sup>47</sup>, S. Jakobsen<sup>47</sup>, E. Jans<sup>31</sup>, B.K. Jashal<sup>46</sup>, A. Jawahery<sup>65</sup>, V. Jevtic<sup>14</sup>, M. Jezabek<sup>33</sup>, F. Jiang<sup>3</sup>, M. John<sup>62</sup>, D. Johnson<sup>47</sup>, C.R. Jones<sup>54</sup>, T.P. Jones<sup>55</sup>, B. Jost<sup>47</sup>, N. Jurik<sup>47</sup>, S. Kandybei<sup>50</sup>, Y. Kang<sup>3</sup>, M. Karacson<sup>47</sup>, M. Karpov<sup>81</sup>, N. Kazeev<sup>81</sup>, F. Keizer<sup>54,47</sup>, M. Kenzie<sup>55</sup>, T. Ketel<sup>32</sup>, B. Khanji<sup>14</sup>, A. Kharisova<sup>82</sup>, S. Kholodenko<sup>43</sup>, K.E. Kim<sup>67</sup>, T. Kirn<sup>13</sup>, V.S. Kirsebom<sup>48</sup>, O. Kitouni<sup>63</sup>, S. Klaver<sup>31</sup>, K. Klimaszewski<sup>35</sup>, S. Koliiev<sup>51</sup>, A. Kondybayeva<sup>80</sup>, A. Konoplyannikov<sup>38</sup>, P. Kopciewicz<sup>34</sup>, R. Kopecna<sup>16</sup>, P. Koppenburg<sup>31</sup>, M. Korolev<sup>39</sup>, I. Kostiuk<sup>31,51</sup>, O. Kot<sup>51</sup>, S. Kotriakhova<sup>37,30</sup>, P. Kravchenko<sup>37</sup>, L. Kravchuk<sup>40</sup>, R.D. Krawczyk<sup>47</sup>, M. Kreps<sup>55</sup>, F. Kress<sup>60</sup>, S. Kretzschmar<sup>13</sup>, P. Krokovny<sup>42,*v*</sup>, W. Krupa<sup>34</sup>, W. Krzemien<sup>35</sup>, W. Kucewicz<sup>33,*l*</sup>, M. Kucharczyk<sup>33</sup>, V. Kudryavtsev<sup>42,v</sup>, H.S. Kuindersma<sup>31</sup>, G.J. Kunde<sup>66</sup>, T. Kvaratskheliya<sup>38</sup>, D. Lacarrere<sup>47</sup>, G. Lafferty<sup>61</sup>, A. Lai<sup>26</sup>, A. Lampis<sup>26</sup>, D. Lancierini<sup>49</sup>, J.J. Lane<sup>61</sup>, R. Lane<sup>53</sup>, G. Lanfranchi<sup>22</sup>, C. Langenbruch<sup>13</sup>, J. Langer<sup>14</sup>, O. Lantwin<sup>49,80</sup>, T. Latham<sup>55</sup>, F. Lazzari<sup>28,t</sup>, R. Le Gac<sup>10</sup>, S.H. Lee<sup>84</sup>, R. Lefèvre<sup>9</sup>, A. Leflat<sup>39</sup>, S. Legotin<sup>80</sup>, O. Leroy<sup>10</sup>, T. Lesiak<sup>33</sup>, B. Leverington<sup>16</sup>, H. Li<sup>71</sup>, L. Li<sup>62</sup>, P. Li<sup>16</sup>, X. Li<sup>66</sup>, Y. Li<sup>6</sup>, Y. Li<sup>6</sup>, Z. Li<sup>67</sup>, X. Liang<sup>67</sup>, T. Lin<sup>60</sup>, R. Lindner<sup>47</sup>, V. Lisovskyi<sup>14</sup>, R. Litvinov<sup>26</sup>, G. Liu<sup>71</sup>, H. Liu<sup>5</sup>, S. Liu<sup>6</sup>, X. Liu<sup>3</sup>, A. Loi<sup>26</sup>, J. Lomba Castro<sup>45</sup>, I. Longstaff<sup>58</sup>, J.H. Lopes<sup>2</sup>, G. Loustau<sup>49</sup>, G.H. Lovell<sup>54</sup>, Y. Lu<sup>6</sup>, D. Lucchesi<sup>27,*m*</sup>, S. Luchuk<sup>40</sup>, M. Lucio Martinez<sup>31</sup>, V. Lukashenko<sup>31</sup>, Y. Luo<sup>3</sup>, A. Lupato<sup>61</sup>, E. Luppi<sup>20, g</sup>, O. Lupton<sup>55</sup>, A. Lusiani<sup>28,r</sup>, X. Lyu<sup>5</sup>, L. Ma<sup>6</sup>, S. Maccolini<sup>19,e</sup>, F. Machefert<sup>11</sup>, F. Maciuc<sup>36</sup>, V. Macko<sup>48</sup>, P. Mackowiak<sup>14</sup>, S. Maddrell-Mander<sup>53</sup>, O. Madejczyk<sup>34</sup>, L.R. Madhan Mohan<sup>53</sup>, O. Maev<sup>37</sup>, A. Maevskiy<sup>81</sup>, D. Maisuzenko<sup>37</sup>, M.W. Majewski<sup>34</sup>, S. Malde<sup>62</sup>, B. Malecki<sup>47</sup>, A. Malinin<sup>79</sup>, T. Maltsev<sup>42,v</sup>, H. Malygina<sup>16</sup>, G. Manca<sup>26,f</sup>, G. Mancinelli<sup>10</sup>, R. Manera Escalero<sup>44</sup>, D. Manuzzi<sup>19,e</sup>, D. Marangotto<sup>25,o</sup>, J. Maratas<sup>9,u</sup>, J.F. Marchand<sup>8</sup>, U. Marconi<sup>19</sup>, S. Mariani<sup>21,47,h</sup>, C. Marin Benito<sup>11</sup>, M. Marinangeli<sup>48</sup>, P. Marino<sup>48</sup>, J. Marks<sup>16</sup>, P.J. Marshall<sup>59</sup>, G. Martellotti<sup>30</sup>, L. Martinazzoli<sup>47,j</sup>, M. Martinelli<sup>24,j</sup>, D. Martinez Santos<sup>45</sup>, F. Martinez Vidal<sup>46</sup>, A. Massafferri<sup>1</sup>, M. Materok<sup>13</sup>, R. Matev<sup>47</sup>, A. Mathad<sup>49</sup>, Z. Mathe<sup>47</sup>, V. Matiunin<sup>38</sup>, C. Matteuzzi<sup>24</sup>, K.R. Mattioli<sup>84</sup>, A. Mauri<sup>31</sup>, E. Maurice<sup>11,b</sup>, J. Mauricio<sup>44</sup>, M. Mazurek<sup>35</sup>, M. McCann<sup>60</sup>, L. Mcconnell<sup>17</sup>, T.H. Mcgrath<sup>61</sup>, A. McNab<sup>61</sup>, R. McNulty<sup>17</sup>, J.V. Mead<sup>59</sup>, B. Meadows<sup>64</sup>, C. Meaux<sup>10</sup>, G. Meier<sup>14</sup>, N. Meinert<sup>75</sup>, D. Melnychuk<sup>35</sup>, S. Meloni<sup>24,j</sup>, M. Merk<sup>31,78</sup>, A. Merli<sup>25</sup>, L. Meyer Garcia<sup>2</sup>, M. Mikhasenko<sup>47</sup>, D.A. Milanes<sup>73</sup>, E. Millard<sup>55</sup>, M. Milovanovic<sup>47</sup>, M.-N. Minard<sup>8</sup>, L. Minzoni<sup>20,g</sup>, S.E. Mitchell<sup>57</sup>, B. Mitreska<sup>61</sup>,

D.S. Mitzel<sup>47</sup>, A. Mödden<sup>14</sup>, R.A. Mohammed<sup>62</sup>, R.D. Moise<sup>60</sup>, T. Mombächer<sup>14</sup>, I.A. Monroy<sup>73</sup>, S. Monteil<sup>9</sup>, M. Morandin<sup>27</sup>, G. Morello<sup>22</sup>, M.J. Morello<sup>28,r</sup>, J. Moron<sup>34</sup>, A.B. Morris<sup>74</sup>, A.G. Morris<sup>55</sup>, R. Mountain<sup>67</sup>, H. Mu<sup>3</sup>, F. Muheim<sup>57</sup>, M. Mukherjee<sup>7</sup>, M. Mulder<sup>47</sup>, D. Müller<sup>47</sup>, K. Müller<sup>49</sup>, C.H. Murphy<sup>62</sup>, D. Murray<sup>61</sup>, P. Muzzetto<sup>26,47</sup>, P. Naik<sup>53</sup>, T. Nakada<sup>48</sup>, R. Nandakumar<sup>56</sup>, T. Nanut<sup>48</sup>, I. Nasteva<sup>2</sup>, M. Needham<sup>57</sup>, I. Neri<sup>20,9</sup>, N. Neri<sup>25,0</sup>, S. Neubert<sup>74</sup>, N. Neufeld<sup>47</sup>, R. Newcombe<sup>60</sup>, T.D. Nguyen<sup>48</sup>, C. Nguyen-Mau<sup>48</sup>, E.M. Niel<sup>11</sup>, S. Nieswand<sup>13</sup>, N. Nikitin<sup>39</sup>, N.S. Nolte<sup>47</sup>, C. Nunez<sup>84</sup>, A. Oblakowska-Mucha<sup>34</sup>, V. Obraztsov<sup>43</sup>, D.P. O'Hanlon<sup>53</sup>, R. Oldeman<sup>26,f</sup>, M.E. Olivares<sup>67</sup>, C.J.G. Onderwater<sup>77</sup>, A. Ossowska<sup>33</sup>, J.M. Otalora Goicochea<sup>2</sup>, T. Ovsiannikova<sup>38</sup>, P. Owen<sup>49</sup>, A. Oyanguren<sup>46,47</sup>, B. Pagare<sup>55</sup>, P.R. Pais<sup>47</sup>, T. Pajero<sup>28,47,r</sup>, A. Palano<sup>18</sup>, M. Palutan<sup>22</sup>, Y. Pan<sup>61</sup>, G. Panshin<sup>82</sup>, A. Papanestis<sup>56</sup>, M. Pappagallo<sup>18,d</sup>, L.L. Pappalardo<sup>20,g</sup>, C. Pappenheimer<sup>64</sup>, W. Parker<sup>65</sup>, C. Parkes<sup>61</sup>, C.J. Parkinson<sup>45</sup>, B. Passalacqua<sup>20</sup>, G. Passaleva<sup>21</sup>, A. Pastore<sup>18</sup>, M. Patel<sup>60</sup>, C. Patrignani<sup>19,e</sup>, C.J. Pawley<sup>78</sup>, A. Pearce<sup>47</sup>, A. Pellegrino<sup>31</sup>, M. Pepe Altarelli<sup>47</sup>, S. Perazzini<sup>19</sup>, D. Pereima<sup>38</sup>, P. Perret<sup>9</sup>, K. Petridis<sup>53</sup>, A. Petrolini<sup>23,*i*</sup>, A. Petrov<sup>79</sup>, S. Petrucci<sup>57</sup>, M. Petruzzo<sup>25</sup>, T.T.H. Pham<sup>67</sup>, A. Philippov<sup>41</sup>, L. Pica<sup>28</sup>, M. Piccini<sup>76</sup>, B. Pietrzyk<sup>8</sup>, G. Pietrzyk<sup>48</sup>, M. Pili<sup>62</sup>, D. Pinci<sup>30</sup>, F. Pisani<sup>47</sup>, A. Piucci<sup>16</sup>, Resmi P.K<sup>10</sup>, V. Placinta<sup>36</sup>, J. Plews<sup>52</sup>, M. Plo Casasus<sup>45</sup>, F. Polci<sup>12</sup>, M. Poli Lener<sup>22</sup>, M. Poliakova<sup>67</sup>, A. Poluektov<sup>10</sup>, N. Polukhina<sup>80,c</sup>, I. Polyakov<sup>67</sup>, E. Polycarpo<sup>2</sup>, G.J. Pomery<sup>53</sup>, S. Ponce<sup>47</sup>, D. Popov<sup>5,47</sup>, S. Popov<sup>41</sup>, S. Poslavskii<sup>43</sup>, K. Prasanth<sup>33</sup>, L. Promberger<sup>47</sup>, C. Prouve<sup>45</sup>, V. Pugatch<sup>51</sup>, H. Pullen<sup>62</sup>, G. Punzi<sup>28,n</sup>, W. Qian<sup>5</sup>, J. Qin<sup>5</sup>, R. Quagliani<sup>12</sup>, B. Quintana<sup>8</sup>, N.V. Raab<sup>17</sup>, R.I. Rabadan Trejo<sup>10</sup>, B. Rachwal<sup>34</sup>, J.H. Rademacker<sup>53</sup>, M. Rama<sup>28</sup>, M. Ramos Pernas<sup>55</sup>, M.S. Rangel<sup>2</sup>, F. Ratnikov<sup>41,81</sup>, G. Raven<sup>32</sup>, M. Reboud<sup>8</sup>, F. Redi<sup>48</sup>, F. Reiss<sup>12</sup>, C. Remon Alepuz<sup>46</sup>, Z. Ren<sup>3</sup>, V. Renaudin<sup>62</sup>, R. Ribatti<sup>28</sup>, S. Ricciardi<sup>56</sup>, D.S. Richards<sup>56</sup>, K. Rinnert<sup>59</sup>, P. Robbe<sup>11</sup>, A. Robert<sup>12</sup>, G. Robertson<sup>57</sup>, A.B. Rodrigues<sup>48</sup>, E. Rodrigues<sup>59</sup>, J.A. Rodriguez Lopez<sup>73</sup>, A. Rollings<sup>62</sup>, P. Roloff<sup>47</sup>, V. Romanovskiy<sup>43</sup>, M. Romero Lamas<sup>45</sup>, A. Romero Vidal<sup>45</sup>, J.D. Roth<sup>84</sup>, M. Rotondo<sup>22</sup>, M.S. Rudolph<sup>67</sup>, T. Ruf<sup>47</sup>, J. Ruiz Vidal<sup>46</sup>, A. Ryzhikov<sup>81</sup>, J. Ryzka<sup>34</sup>, J.J. Saborido Silva<sup>45</sup>, N. Sagidova<sup>37</sup>, N. Sahoo<sup>55</sup>, B. Saitta<sup>26,f</sup>, D. Sanchez Gonzalo<sup>44</sup>, C. Sanchez Gras<sup>31</sup>, R. Santacesaria<sup>30</sup>, C. Santamarina Rios<sup>45</sup>, M. Santimaria<sup>22</sup>, E. Santovetti<sup>29,k</sup>, D. Saranin<sup>80</sup>, G. Sarpis<sup>58</sup>, M. Sarpis<sup>74</sup>, A. Sarti<sup>30</sup>, C. Satriano<sup>30,q</sup>, A. Satta<sup>29</sup>, M. Saur<sup>5</sup>, D. Savrina<sup>38,39</sup>, H. Sazak<sup>9</sup>, L.G. Scantlebury Smead<sup>62</sup>, S. Schael<sup>13</sup>, M. Schellenberg<sup>14</sup>, M. Schiller<sup>58</sup>, H. Schindler<sup>47</sup>, M. Schmelling<sup>15</sup>, T. Schmelzer<sup>14</sup>, B. Schmidt<sup>47</sup>, O. Schneider<sup>48</sup>, A. Schopper<sup>47</sup>, M. Schubiger<sup>31</sup>, S. Schulte<sup>48</sup>, M.H. Schune<sup>11</sup>, R. Schwemmer<sup>47</sup>, B. Sciascia<sup>22</sup>, A. Sciubba<sup>30</sup>, S. Sellam<sup>45</sup>, A. Semennikov<sup>38</sup>, M. Senghi Soares<sup>32</sup>, A. Sergi<sup>52,47</sup>, N. Serra<sup>49</sup>, L. Sestini<sup>27</sup>, A. Seuthe<sup>14</sup>, P. Seyfert<sup>47</sup>, D.M. Shangase<sup>84</sup>, M. Shapkin<sup>43</sup>, I. Shchemerov<sup>80</sup>, L. Shchutska<sup>48</sup>, T. Shears<sup>59</sup>, L. Shekhtman<sup>42,v</sup>, Z. Shen<sup>4</sup>, V. Shevchenko<sup>79</sup>, E.B. Shields<sup>24,j</sup>, E. Shmanin<sup>80</sup>, J.D. Shupperd<sup>67</sup>, B.G. Siddi<sup>20</sup>, R. Silva Coutinho<sup>49</sup>, G. Simi<sup>27</sup>, S. Simone<sup>18,d</sup>, I. Skiba<sup>20,g</sup>, N. Skidmore<sup>74</sup>, T. Skwarnicki<sup>67</sup>, M.W. Slater<sup>52</sup>, J.C. Smallwood<sup>62</sup>, J.G. Smeaton<sup>54</sup>, A. Smetkina<sup>38</sup>, E. Smith<sup>13</sup>, M. Smith<sup>60</sup>, A. Snoch<sup>31</sup>, M. Soares<sup>19</sup>, L. Soares Lavra<sup>9</sup>, M.D. Sokoloff<sup>64</sup>, F.J.P. Soler<sup>58</sup>, A. Solovev<sup>37</sup>, I. Solovyev<sup>37</sup>, F.L. Souza De Almeida<sup>2</sup>, B. Souza De Paula<sup>2</sup>, B. Spaan<sup>14</sup>, E. Spadaro Norella<sup>25,0</sup>, P. Spradlin<sup>58</sup>, F. Stagni<sup>47</sup>, M. Stahl<sup>64</sup>, S. Stahl<sup>47</sup>, P. Stefko<sup>48</sup>, O. Steinkamp<sup>49,80</sup>, S. Stemmle<sup>16</sup>, O. Stenyakin<sup>43</sup>, H. Stevens<sup>14</sup>, S. Stone<sup>67</sup>, M.E. Stramaglia<sup>48</sup>, M. Straticiuc<sup>36</sup>, D. Strekalina<sup>80</sup>, S. Strokov<sup>82</sup>, F. Suljik<sup>62</sup>, J. Sun<sup>26</sup>, L. Sun<sup>72</sup>, Y. Sun<sup>65</sup>, P. Svihra<sup>61</sup>, P.N. Swallow<sup>52</sup>, K. Swientek<sup>34</sup>, A. Szabelski<sup>35</sup>, T. Szumlak<sup>34</sup>, M. Szymanski<sup>47</sup>, S. Taneja<sup>61</sup>, F. Teubert<sup>47</sup>, E. Thomas<sup>47</sup>, K.A. Thomson<sup>59</sup>, M.J. Tilley<sup>60</sup>, V. Tisserand<sup>9</sup>, S. T'Jampens<sup>8</sup>, M. Tobin<sup>6</sup>, S. Tolk<sup>47</sup>, L. Tomassetti<sup>20,9</sup>, D. Torres Machado<sup>1</sup>, D.Y. Tou<sup>12</sup>, M. Traill<sup>58</sup>, M.T. Tran<sup>48</sup>, E. Trifonova<sup>80</sup>, C. Trippl<sup>48</sup>, G. Tuci<sup>28,n</sup>, A. Tully<sup>48</sup>, N. Tuning<sup>31</sup>, A. Ukleja<sup>35</sup>, D.J. Unverzagt<sup>16</sup>, A. Usachov<sup>31</sup>, A. Ustyuzhanin<sup>41,81</sup>, U. Uwer<sup>16</sup>, A. Vagner<sup>82</sup>, V. Vagnoni<sup>19</sup>, A. Valassi<sup>47</sup>, G. Valenti<sup>19</sup>, N. Valls Canudas<sup>44</sup>, M. van Beuzekom<sup>31</sup>, M. Van Dijk<sup>48</sup>, H. Van Hecke<sup>66</sup>, E. van Herwijnen<sup>80</sup>, C.B. Van Hulse<sup>17</sup>, M. van Veghel<sup>77</sup>,

- R. Vazquez Gomez<sup>45</sup>, P. Vazquez Regueiro<sup>45</sup>, C. Vázquez Sierra<sup>31</sup>, S. Vecchi<sup>20</sup>, J.J. Velthuis<sup>53</sup>,
- M. Veltri<sup>21,p</sup>, A. Venkateswaran<sup>67</sup>, M. Veronesi<sup>31</sup>, M. Vesterinen<sup>55</sup>, D. Vieira<sup>64</sup>,
- M. Vieites Diaz<sup>48</sup>, H. Viemann<sup>75</sup>, X. Vilasis-Cardona<sup>83</sup>, E. Vilella Figueras<sup>59</sup>, P. Vincent<sup>12</sup>,
- G. Vitali<sup>28</sup>, A. Vollhardt<sup>49</sup>, D. Vom Bruch<sup>12</sup>, A. Vorobyev<sup>37</sup>, V. Vorobyev<sup>42,v</sup>, N. Voropaev<sup>37</sup>,
- R. Waldi<sup>75</sup>, J. Walsh<sup>28</sup>, C. Wang<sup>16</sup>, J. Wang<sup>3</sup>, J. Wang<sup>72</sup>, J. Wang<sup>4</sup>, J. Wang<sup>6</sup>, M. Wang<sup>3</sup>,
- R. Wang<sup>53</sup>, Y. Wang<sup>7</sup>, Z. Wang<sup>49</sup>, H.M. Wark<sup>59</sup>, N.K. Watson<sup>52</sup>, S.G. Weber<sup>12</sup>, D. Websdale<sup>60</sup>,
- C. Weisser<sup>63</sup>, B.D.C. Westhenry<sup>53</sup>, D.J. White<sup>61</sup>, M. Whitehead<sup>53</sup>, D. Wiedner<sup>14</sup>,
- G. Wilkinson<sup>62</sup>, M. Wilkinson<sup>67</sup>, I. Williams<sup>54</sup>, M. Williams<sup>63,68</sup>, M.R.J. Williams<sup>57</sup>,
- F.F. Wilson<sup>56</sup>, W. Wislicki<sup>35</sup>, M. Witek<sup>33</sup>, L. Witola<sup>16</sup>, G. Wormser<sup>11</sup>, S.A. Wotton<sup>54</sup>, H. Wu<sup>67</sup>,
- K. Wyllie<sup>47</sup>, Z. Xiang<sup>5</sup>, D. Xiao<sup>7</sup>, Y. Xie<sup>7</sup>, A. Xu<sup>4</sup>, J. Xu<sup>5</sup>, L. Xu<sup>3</sup>, M. Xu<sup>7</sup>, Q. Xu<sup>5</sup>, Z. Xu<sup>5</sup>,
- Z.  $Xu^4$ , D. Yang<sup>3</sup>, Y. Yang<sup>5</sup>, Z. Yang<sup>3</sup>, Z. Yang<sup>65</sup>, Y. Yao<sup>67</sup>, L.E. Yeomans<sup>59</sup>, H. Yin<sup>7</sup>, J. Yu<sup>70</sup>,
- X. Yuan<sup>67</sup>, O. Yushchenko<sup>43</sup>, E. Zaffaroni<sup>48</sup>, K.A. Zarebski<sup>52</sup>, M. Zavertyaev<sup>15,c</sup>, M. Zdybal<sup>33</sup>,
- O. Zenaiev<sup>47</sup>, M. Zeng<sup>3</sup>, D. Zhang<sup>7</sup>, L. Zhang<sup>3</sup>, S. Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>62</sup>,
- A. Zhelezov<sup>16</sup>, Y. Zheng<sup>5</sup>, X. Zhou<sup>5</sup>, Y. Zhou<sup>5</sup>, X. Zhu<sup>3</sup>, V. Zhukov<sup>13,39</sup>, J.B. Zonneveld<sup>57</sup>,
- S. Zucchelli<sup>19,e</sup>, D. Zuliani<sup>27</sup>, G. Zunica<sup>61</sup>.
- $1$ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- $2$ Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- <sup>3</sup> Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- <sup>4</sup>School of Physics State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
- <sup>5</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 6 Institute Of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Beijing, China
- 7 Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China
- <sup>8</sup>Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France
- <sup>9</sup> Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- <sup>10</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France
- $11$  Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France
- $12$ LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
- <sup>13</sup>I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany
- $14$ Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
- $15$ Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany
- $16$ Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- <sup>17</sup> School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
- <sup>18</sup>INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy
- <sup>19</sup>INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- <sup>20</sup>INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
- <sup>21</sup>INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy
- <sup>22</sup>INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- <sup>23</sup>INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy
- <sup>24</sup>INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy
- <sup>25</sup>INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy
- <sup>26</sup>INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy
- <sup>27</sup>Universita degli Studi di Padova, Universita e INFN, Padova, Padova, Italy
- <sup>28</sup>INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- <sup>29</sup>INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
- <sup>30</sup>INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy
- $31$ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- <sup>32</sup>Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- <sup>33</sup> Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland
- $34AGH$  University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland
- <sup>35</sup>National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland
- <sup>36</sup>Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute NRC Kurchatov Institute (PNPI NRC KI), Gatchina, Russia Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (ITEP NRC KI), Moscow, Russia

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia

<sup>40</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAS), Moscow, Russia Yandex School of Data Analysis, Moscow, Russia

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS), Novosibirsk, Russia

Institute for High Energy Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (IHEP NRC KI), Protvino, Russia, Protvino, Russia

ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (IGFAE), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela. Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia, Spain

European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland  $^{49}$ Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine

Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine

University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States

University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, United States

Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States

 $^{68}School$  of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia, associated to  $^{55}$ 

 $^{69}$ Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to <sup>2</sup>

Physics and Micro Electronic College, Hunan University, Changsha City, China, associated to  $7$ 

Guangdong Provencial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, associated to <sup>3</sup>

School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, associated to  $3$ 

 $^{73}$ Departamento de Fisica, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, associated to  $^{12}$ 

 $^{74}$ Universität Bonn - Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany, associated to  $^{16}$ 

 $^{75}$ Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, associated to  $^{16}$ 

INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy, associated to <sup>20</sup>

Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, associated to  $31$ 

Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands, associated to <sup>31</sup>

National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia, associated to <sup>38</sup>

National University of Science and Technology "MISIS", Moscow, Russia, associated to  $38$ 

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia, associated to  $41$ 

National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia, associated to  $38$ 

 $83DS4DS$ , La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain, associated to  $44$ 

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States, associated to  $67$ 

 $^{a}$ Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil

 $<sup>b</sup>$ Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Palaiseau, France</sup>

 $c$ P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia

 $d$ Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

 $e$ Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

 $f$ Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

 $g$ Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

 $h$  Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

 $i$ Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

 $j$ Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy

 $k$ Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

 ${}^{l}AGH$  - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and

Telecommunications, Kraków, Poland

 $<sup>m</sup> University\ddot{a} di Padova, Padova, Italy$ </sup>

 $n$ Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

 $^{\circ}$ Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

 $p$ Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy

 $q$ Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

<sup>r</sup>Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy

<sup>s</sup>Universit`a di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

 $<sup>t</sup> Università di Siena, Siena, Italy$ </sup>

 $^{u}MSU$  - Iligan Institute of Technology (MSU-IIT), Iligan, Philippines

<sup>v</sup>Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia