AIDA-2020-SLIDE-2020-020

AIDA-2020

Advanced European Infrastructures for Detectors at Accelerators

Presentation

State-of-the-art and evolution of UFSD sensors design at FBK

Arcidiacono, R. (INFN Torino, Universita del Piemonte Orientale) *et al*

18 December 2019

The AIDA-2020 Advanced European Infrastructures for Detectors at Accelerators project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under Grant Agreement no. 654168.

This work is part of AIDA-2020 Work Package **7: Advanced hybrid pixel detectors**.

The electronic version of this AIDA-2020 Publication is available via the AIDA-2020 web site [<http://aida2020.web.cern.ch>](http://aida2020.web.cern.ch) or on the CERN Document Server at the following URL: [<http://cds.cern.ch/search?p=AIDA-2020-SLIDE-2020-020>](http://cds.cern.ch/search?p=AIDA-2020-SLIDE-2020-020)

Copyright © CERN for the benefit of the AIDA-2020 Consortium

State-of-the-art and evolution of UFSD sensors design at FBK

Roberta Arcidiacono, M. Ferrero (Universita' del Piemonte Orientale and INFN Torino)

N. Cartiglia, M. Costa, F. Fausti, M. Mandurrino, J. Olave, F. Siviero, V. Sola, A. Staiano, M. Tornago (INFN Torino)

M. Boscardin^{2,3}, G.F. Dalla Betta^{1,2}, G. Borghi^{2,3}, F. Ficorella^{2,3}, L. Pancheri^{1,2} G. Paternoster^{2,3} (1. DII Universita' di Trento, 2. TIFPA INFN Trento, 3. FBK Trento)

S. Mazza, H. Sadrozinski, A. Seiden, Y. Zhao (SCIPP, Univ. of California Santa Cruz)

12th International "Hiroshima" Symposium on the Development and Application of Semiconductor Tracking detectors (HSTD12)

The UFSD project: brief history

Project goal: develop a silicon detector with excellent time and space resolution, able to achieve concurrently

> Timing resolution \sim 10's ps Space resolution \sim 10's of μ m

suitable for tracking in 4 Dimensions baseline: LGADs optimized for timing

The UFSD project: brief history

2010: LGAD proposed & developed at CNM within RD50 collaboration

2012: First 4" wafer 300 μ m thick, LGAD produced by CNM

2016: UFSD1 First 300 μm thick LGAD (FBK 6" wafer)

2017: UFSD2 First 50 μm thick LGAD (FBK 6" wafer) Gain layer doping: Boron, Gallium, Boron + Carbon, Gallium+Carbon

Fall 2018: UFSD3 50 μm LGAD (FBK 6" wafer), produced with the stepper (many Carbon levels, studies of interpad design)

June 2019: UFSD3.1 50 μm LGAD (internal FBK) interpad design. RSD1 Resistive AC-LGAD

Let's start with big pads [for timing applications - CMS ETL /ATLAS HGTD]

… while working towards to ultimate

small pixel matrix

On radiation hardness

Radiation level changes the doping concentration of the gain layer, so it changes the way the device works

 \widehat{L}

$$
\frac{N_A(\Phi)}{N_A(0)} = e^{-c(NA(0))\Phi/\Phi_0}
$$

Smaller c, better resistance

 $v = e^{-1.45E-16x}$. UFSD3_B LD + C_A Epi (W4) • Gain layer Low Diffusion (LD - narrower) are more radiation resistant than the High Diffusion HD type

On radiation hardness

Radiation level changes the doping concentration of the gain layer, so it changes the way the device works

 $N_{A}(\Phi)$ $\frac{\partial (V_A(\Phi))}{\partial N_A(0)} = e^{-c(NA(0))\Phi/\Phi_0}$

Smaller c, better resistance

- $v = e^{-1.45E+16x}$. UFSD3_B LD + C_A Epi (W4) \bullet Gain layer Low Diffusion (LD narrower) are more radiation resistant than the High Diffusion HD type
	- the addition of carbon improves by a factor of \sim 2 the radiation resistance

 \widehat{L}

On radiation hardness

Radiation level changes the doping concentration of the gain layer, so it changes the way the device works

 $N_{A}(\Phi)$ $\frac{\partial (V_A(\Phi))}{\partial N_A(0)} = e^{-c(NA(0))\Phi/\Phi_0}$

Smaller c, better resistance

- Gain layer Low Diffusion (LD narrower) are more radiation resistant than the High Diffusion HD type
- the addition of carbon improves by a factor of \sim 2 the radiation resistance
- dose C A shows the best radiation resistance (both Epi and FZ are the same)
- increasing the carbon dose does not necessarily improves the rad. hardness

 \widehat{L}

In UFSD3 the radiation hardness **degrades** as a function of the **Carbon doses. Carbon also reduces the amount of active gain layer, when co-implanted.** After correcting for the different initial Boron density:

- C A shows the best radiation resistance (both Epi and FZ are the same)
- C_B, C_C, and C_D doses are equally radiation hard

Present time resolution (best of UFSD2/3)

 $\widehat{L^{NFN}}$

Present time resolution (best of UFSD2/3)

 $\widehat{L^{NFN}}$

The three wafers tested show a non-uniformity of depletion voltage of gain layer of about 2%

$$
\Delta V_{GL}/V_{GL} \sim 2\%
$$

The non-uniformity is lower than 2% when excluding sensors at the periphery of the wafers

UFSD 3.1: internal FBK run

- Production designed to understand/fix some problems of early breakdown and "pop-corn" noise observed in UFSD3, due to a combination of very aggressive edge design and incorrect p-stop doping
- 7 wafers LGADs param. as W12 (different splits of p-stop in units of UFSD3 p-stop dose)
- 11 different types of 2x2 matrices (pad size 1.3x1.3 mm²)

Clear dependence of the BD from p-stop doping \rightarrow appropriate p-stop doping range identified. Pop-corn noise absent in the three lowest p-stop doses. Now sent to irradiation...

The next step: UFSD3.2

Goal of UFSD3.2 (R&D and CMS ETL oriented production)

- On radiation hardness of the sensor:
	- explore lower carbon levels (gain layer)
	- explore gain layer deep implant combined with Carbon
- study performance of aggressive interpad solutions on small matrices
- validate the p-stop dose selected
- provide 3 conservative version of $5x5$ matrices ("ALTIROC" like)

19 different splits (wafers) Expected delivery: Q1/2020

4D tracking sensors and Fill Factor \widehat{L} In the current UFSD design, isolation structures between readout pads represent a no-gain area for signal collection present size of no-gain area is in the 40-100 μ m range $\int_{\text{gain}}^{P} \text{layer} \left(\text{JTE} \right)$ $JTE²$ gain layer measured with TCT laser setup and @Beam Test no-gain area ~70 µm $p-Si$ $\setminus p^{++}$ **Vendor Prod no-gain area (microns)** FBK 2018 (UFSD2) 70 FBK 2019 (UFSD3) 40 HPK 2019 75 CNM 2018 70 Fill Factor for a 1.3 mm pitch pad matrix = 94% Fill Factor for a 100 μ m pitch pixel matrix = 36%

4D tracking sensors and Fill Factor

In the current UFSD design, isolation structures between readout pads represent a no-gain area for signal collection

present size of no-gain area is in the 40-100 μ m range measured with TCT laser setup and @Beam Test

qualitative sketch

TCAD simulations performed on 50-μm UFSD sensors show that a no-gain area of about 20 μ m could be reached with aggressive designs of the LGAD pad isolation structure and JTE

NEW technological developments:

- Trench-Isolated LGAD (TI-LGAD FBK internal run)
- Resistive AC-coupled LGAD (RSD project)

see G. Paternoster's talk today for more technical details

 \overline{U}

Towards 100% Fill Factor: TI-LGAD

Trench-Isolated LGADs: pad isolation design substituted by shallow tranches (Deep Trench Isolation technology, $\lt 1$ µm wide)

First test run produced at FBK in 2019 ("proof of concept" run) **structures: 2x1 pixels (250 μm × 375 μm)** with single-trench and 2 trenches isolation

Tested so far:

- pad isolation \checkmark
- Breakdown and Gain measurements (behave as standard LGADs) √
- Interpad distance with TCT laser scan on the optical window \leftrightarrow

TI-LGAD: performances

Inter-pad*: Comparison of UFSD3 vs Trench-Isolated (2 trenches) and Trench-Isolated (1 trench) W5*

Detailed studies of the full production (30 split of wafers) still ongoing.

Preliminary results on noise at high voltage, and on time resolution show no problem. Structures sent to irradiation...

New TI-LGAD production (RD50 project) will be ready in Q2/2020.

INFN

application oriented)

AC pad size

 $(matrix type)$

naming convention W2 3X3 100-200 pitch

TCT measurements for space and time resolution

TCT picosecond Laser setup, 1064 nm spot size $10 \mu m$ Stages with micrometrical precision

INFN

RSD signals reach their maximum when the laser is shot in the middle of the AC pad, and get smaller (and delayed) moving away from the pad: **the signal created by a** particle is visible in several pads.

We can exploit this feature to obtain excellent space and time resolution

 \widehat{L}

RSD W2 3X3, (operated to have gain=17) on multi-channel amplifier board Laser shot on red dots, intensity \sim 1 MIP - 4 AC pads read out, \sim 500 triggers in each point **Reconstruction of hits position is obtained as amplitude-weighted centroid**

$$
x_{reco} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=4} x_{pad} (i) * Amp(i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=4} Amp(i)}
$$

Space resolution is obtained as the sigma of the Gaussian distribution of $x_{laser} - x_{reco}$

Very recent measurements and analysis.

NB: the amplifier outputs still need to be calibrated to give the same amplification -> possible offsets of central values

 $\bigcup_{n=1}^{n}$

Very Prelimina RSD W2 3X3, (operated to have gain=17) on multi-channel amplifier board Laser shot on red dots, intensity \sim 1 MIP - 4 AC pads read out, \sim 500 triggers in each point **Reconstruction of hits position is obtained as amplitude-weighted centroid**

Considering only the shot positions where you have a good signal from at least 4 pads, the following space resolutions are obtained

R. Arcidiacono – HSTD12 – *Hiroshima* 2019 20 much better than the sensor pitch/v12 (29, 58, 144)

 \overline{L}

Time resolution extraction

Using the same data from TCT laser scans (as described before) **the time reconstruction is obtained as an amplitude-weighted centroid** of the t_{max} seen by the 4 pads:

> $t_{hit} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=4} t'_{max}(i) * Amp(i)}{\sum_{i=4}^{i=4} \text{Area}(i)}$ $i=1$ $\sum_{i=1}^{i=4} Amp(i)$

where the $\bm{t'}_{\bm{max}}\left(\bm{i}\right)$ is the time corrected for:

- delay due to propagation time to the read-out pad $dist(i)/speed$
- a time offset $t_{offset}(i)$ due to difference in the connection length of the read-out channel

 $t'_{max}(i) = t_{max}(i) - t_{offset}(i) - dist(i)$ /speed

 \overbrace{L}^{INFN}

$$
t_{hit} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=4} t'_{max}(i) * Amp(i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=4} Amp(i)}
$$

$$
t'_{max}(i) = t_{max}(i) - t_{offset}(i) - dist(i) / speed
$$

Considering only the shot positions where you have a good signal from at least 4 pads
Considering only the shot positions where you have a good signal from at least 4 pads (amplitude>10 mV), the following time resolutions are obtained

NB: the amplifier outputs still need to be calibrated to give the same amplification -> possible *offsets of central values*

Conclusion and Outlook

The UFSD project was started in 2015 with the goal of designing sensors for 4D **tracking**:

- 5 sensor productions have been completed so far
- \circ the 6th is expected in Q1/2020.
- \circ The project is fully funded to continue for at least 3 more years.
- \circ Achieved so far:

 $\sqrt{\frac{NFN}{N}}$

- **Excellent time resolution**
- \circ Very good production uniformity and yield
- o Optimization of the gain layer design to **enhance reliability and radiation hardness**
- o R&D towards smaller pads:
	- \circ Exploration of **aggressive inter-pad structures** (standard LGADs)
	- \circ Promizing results from the first production using trenches (TI-LGAD) and the first resistive AC-LGAD (RSD)

Many plans on the list: looking forward to presenting them at HSTD13!

We kindly acknowledge the following funding agencies, collaborations:

INFN - Gruppo V RSD

 \widehat{I}

- Horizon 2020, grant UFSD669529
- H2020 project AIDA-2020, GA no. 654168
- U.S. Department of Energy grant number DE-SC0010107
- Dipartimenti di Eccellenza, Univ. of Torino (ex L. 232/2016, art. 1, cc. 314, 337)
- RD50 Collaboration, CERN

 $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}$

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\hline\n\end{array}$

\widehat{L}

LGAD tailored for low energy photons detection

In the future plans:

3 years R&D project for very thin LGAD (20-30 microns), characterized by very thin rear entrance window, suitable for detection of low energy X-ray and very low material budget applications.

Very soft x-rays (energy of \sim **1-10 keV):**

- barely penetrate the silicon volume
- energy released is very low, \sim 300 electron-hole pairs per 1 keV.

How do we measure the Acceptor density?

 \circ The **foot** in the 1/C² - V curves **indicates the depletion of the gain layer**

 \circ Evolution of active acceptor density with fluence

 $N_A(\Phi) = g_{eff} \, \Phi + NA(0) \, e^{-c(N_A(0)) \, \Phi/\Phi_0}$

UFSD2/3 productions

NFSD

UNFN

UFSD2 production UFSD3 production

 \rightarrow The profile is a convolution of the step function with a gaussian (= s -curve)

TI-LGAD: performances (II)

To measure the time resolution:

 $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

- Times of passage of the particle in DUT and Trigger are measured $\rightarrow t_{\text{DUT}}$, t_{Trigger}
- Define the time difference: $\Delta t = t_{DUT} t_{Trieger} \rightarrow \Delta t$ has a gaussian distribution
- σ_{Measured} of Δt distribution is the squared sum of DUT and trigger resolutions
	- \circ σ_{Trigger} = 30ps is known and fixed \to σ_{DUT} = √(σ_{Measured}² σ_{Trigger}²)

RSD project: RSD1 production

RSD 1 production at FBK

Measurements on devices belonging to this shot are presented

 $\sqrt{\frac{NFR}{M}}$

R. Arcidiacono – HSTD12 – *Hiroshima* 2019 33 **Marta Tornago 35rd RD50 Workshop, CERN, Geneva 19th November 2019**

TCT measurements: time and space resolution

TCT picosecond Laser setup, 1064 nm spot $10 \mu m$

Stages with micrometrical precision

INFN

Study of charge projections along a scan line (in red) for two neighboring pads The induced charge shape doesn't depend on the oxide thickness or on the n+ dose The induced charge shape depends on the pitch and the AC pad size in the DUTs

W2, W8, W13 200-300, diversa dose n+, carica raccolta pad

AC-LGAD: Amplitude vs distance from the particle position

RSD W2 3X3, (operated to have i-channel amplifier boar on multi-channel amplifier board. ϵ RSD W2 3X3, (operated to have gain=17) 1 MIP \sim 8 fC

20 $A(z) = cost + A(0)e^{-cz}$

The amplitude be metal pad size: t**he angle** α is about 15% The amplitude becomes negligible who The amplitude becom I the distance is tw r
2 70 R metal pad size: the angle α is about 15% of the total 2pi angle e. The amplitude becomes negligible when the distance is twice the $\ddot{}$

 / ndf 2 χ 1.136 / 4 p0 4.487 ± 0.144 p2 + 0.0029 ± 0.0029

Distance [um]

0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500

AC-LGAD: time reconstruction 200-500

 $\sqrt{\mathbb{F}}$

Time res. [ps] - amplitude-weighted t max 200-500

