

# AIDA-2020

Advanced European Infrastructures for Detectors at Accelerators

## Presentation

# A compact fine-grained calorimeter for luminosity measurement at a linear collider

Bozovic Jelisavcic, Ivanka (VINCA (on behalf of the FCAL  
Collaboration))

01 November 2019



The AIDA-2020 Advanced European Infrastructures for Detectors at Accelerators project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under Grant Agreement no. 654168.

This work is part of AIDA-2020 Work Package 14: **Infrastructure for advanced calorimeters.**

The electronic version of this AIDA-2020 Publication is available via the AIDA-2020 web site <http://aida2020.web.cern.ch> or on the CERN Document Server at the following URL: <http://cds.cern.ch/search?p=AIDA-2020-SLIDE-2020-006>



# A compact fine-grained calorimeter for luminosity measurement at a linear collider



Ivanka Bozovic Jelisavcic  
VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, SERBIA  
- on behalf of the FCAL Collaboration -



# Overview



- Introduction
  - Motivation
  - Design
- Test-beam results with ultra-thin detector planes
  - Shower position reconstruction
  - Longitudinal and transverse shower development
  - Effective Moliere radius
- Towards the compact calorimeter prototype
- Summary

Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:579  
https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7077-9

THE EUROPEAN  
PHYSICAL JOURNAL C

Regular Article - Experimental Physics

### Performance and Molière radius measurements using a compact prototype of LumiCal in an electron test beam

H. Abramowicz<sup>1</sup>, A. Abusleme<sup>2</sup>, K. Afanaciev<sup>3</sup>, Y. Benhamou<sup>1</sup>, O. Borysov<sup>1</sup>, M. Borysova<sup>1,13</sup>, I. Bozovic-Jelkavic<sup>4</sup>, W. Daniluk<sup>5</sup>, D. Dannheim<sup>6</sup>, M. Demichev<sup>7</sup>, K. Elsener<sup>8</sup>, M. Firtel<sup>9</sup>, E. Firtu<sup>9</sup>, T. Flutowski<sup>8</sup>, V. Ghnescu<sup>10</sup>, M. Gostkin<sup>7</sup>, M. Hempel<sup>10,14</sup>, H. Henschel<sup>10</sup>, M. Idzik<sup>5</sup>, A. Ignatenko<sup>3,15</sup>, A. Ishikawa<sup>11</sup>, A. Joffe<sup>1</sup>, G. Kacarevic<sup>2</sup>, S. Kamanov<sup>10</sup>, O. Karacheban<sup>10,14</sup>, W. Klempt<sup>6</sup>, S. Kotov<sup>7</sup>, J. Kotula<sup>1</sup>, U. Kruchonak<sup>1</sup>, Sz. Kulis<sup>5</sup>, W. Lange<sup>10</sup>, J. Leonard<sup>10</sup>, T. Lesiak<sup>5</sup>, A. Levy<sup>16</sup>, I. Levy<sup>1</sup>, L. Linssen<sup>9</sup>, W. Lohmann<sup>10,14</sup>, J. Moron<sup>8</sup>, A. Moszczynski<sup>1</sup>, A. T. Neagu<sup>9</sup>, B. Pawlik<sup>5</sup>, T. Preda<sup>10</sup>, A. Sailer<sup>6</sup>, B. Schumm<sup>12</sup>, S. Schuwalow<sup>10,16</sup>, E. Sicking<sup>6</sup>, K. Swientek<sup>5</sup>, B. Turbier<sup>5</sup>, N. Vukasinovic<sup>2</sup>, T. Wojton<sup>5</sup>, H. Yamamoto<sup>11</sup>, L. Zawiejski<sup>1</sup>, I. S. Zgura<sup>1</sup>, A. Zhemchugov<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Raymond & Beverly Sackler School of Physics & Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel  
<sup>2</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile  
<sup>3</sup> NC PHEP, Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus  
<sup>4</sup> Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia  
<sup>5</sup> IFJ PAN, 31342 Kraków, Poland  
<sup>6</sup> CERN, Geneva, Switzerland  
<sup>7</sup> JINR, Dubna, Russia  
<sup>8</sup> Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków, Poland  
<sup>9</sup> ISS, Bucharest, Romania  
<sup>10</sup> DESY, Zeuthen, Germany  
<sup>11</sup> Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan  
<sup>12</sup> University of California, Santa Cruz, USA  
<sup>13</sup> Visitor from Institute for Nuclear Research NANU (KINR), Kyiv 03680, Ukraine  
<sup>14</sup> Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany  
<sup>15</sup> Present address: DESY, Zeuthen, Germany  
<sup>16</sup> DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Received: 30 December 2018 / Accepted: 27 June 2019 / Published online: 10 July 2019  
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract A new design of a detector plane of sub-millimetre thickness for an electromagnetic sampling



1 Introduction

Eur.Phys.J C (2019)79:579

# Motivation for forward calorimeters


- Luminosity measurement
  - Instantaneous - BeamCal
  - Beam-tuning (as a part of the fast-feedback system)- BeamCal
  - Integrated - LumiCal ( $\delta\mathcal{L} \sim 10^{-3}$ )
- High-energy electron identification at low angles - all
  - Detector hermeticity (coverage  $< 5$  mrad)
  - Physics studies (BSM, background suppression, etc.)
- Shielding the central tracker from the backscattered particles

A common sandwich design for LumiCal and BeamCal  
FCAL development for ILC and CLIC




# Design

- Design
  - Cylindrical Silicon-Tungsten sandwich
  - 30-40 sensor/ $1 X_0$  (3.5mm) absorber planes
  - 320  $\mu\text{m}$  sensor thickness/1 mm gap
  - Radial segmentation: 64 pads with 1.8 mm pitch
  - Azimuthal segmentation: 48 sectors covering 7.5 deg each
  - FE electronics outside the calorimeter
- Requirements
  - High mechanical precision (polar angle measurement, luminosity systematics)
  - Small Moliere radius (shower position and energy measurement in the presence of widely spread background)
  - Electron-photon discrimination
  - Radiation hardness, high occupancy (BeamCal, GaAs instead of Si in the baseline design)




# Test-beam with ultra-thin detector planes



- Several test-beam campaigns
  - In 2014 with 4-plane calorimeter prototype
- The 2016 one with the ultra-thin detector planes <1mm
  - 8 detector planes
  - Ultrasonic wire-bonding (50-100  $\mu\text{m}$  loop height)
- Aimed to test:
  - Performance of the compact calorimeter
  - Concept of the tracker+calorimeter for  $e/\gamma$  separation (ongoing)



# Test-beam setup






tracker planes



- DESY-II Synchrotron electron beam 1-5 GeV (beam size 5x5 mm<sup>2</sup>)
  - T1, T2 Eudet telescopes each with 3 MIMOSA Si-pixel planes
    - Sc1,2,3 scintillator trigger
      - Tg copper target
- Dipole magnet -13 kGs for e/γ separation
- 8 detector planes (6 -LumiCal, 2-tracker)
  - 128 read-out channels per plane
    - 8 W absorber plates
    - External electronics

# Overall performance



- FE electronics performance (modified APV25 board):
  - Efficiency vs. signal size is used to correct (simulation) for signals with amplitude smaller than 10 MIPS (1MIP=88.5 keV)
  - Signal to noise ratio is (7-10) for most channels
- Detector response:
  - Excellent linearity (after leakage correction from simulation)



# Measurement of the shower position


- Reconstruction of the shower radial position:

$$Y_c = \frac{\sum_m Y_m w_m}{\sum_m w_m},$$

- $Y_m$  - position of the pad,  $m$  runs over all hit pads
- $W_m$  - logarithmic weight,  $W_0=3.4=const.$  (obtained from simulation)

$$w_m = \max \left\{ 0; W_0 + \ln \frac{E_m}{\sum_j E_j} \right\}$$

- Reconstruction is evaluated w.r.t. to the hit positions in tracker planes
- Resolution of  $(440 \pm 20) \mu\text{m}$  is found




# Longitudinal shower development

- Energy deposition per layer (averaged):

$$\langle E_l^{layer} \rangle = \sum_n \langle E_{nl}^{det} \rangle$$

- Runs over radial pads  $n$  of the two instrumented central sectors
- Shower maximum at layer 7
- Good agreement between data and MC (within statistical uncertainties)




# Transverse shower development

- Function used to describe (fit) the transverse profile:

$$F_E(r) = A_C e^{-\left(\frac{r}{R_C}\right)^2} + A_T \frac{2r^\alpha R_T^2}{(r^2 + R_T^2)^2}$$

- Gaussian terms to describe shower core, Grindhammer-Peters term to describe the tail
- Very good agreement between data and Geant4 based MC






# Effective Moliere radius



- For a prototype as a whole an *effective* Moliere radius  $R_M$  can be defined:


$$0.9 = \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{R_M} F_E(r) r dr$$

- corresponding to the radial size within which 90% of a shower energy is contained
- Effective  $R_M$  depends a bit on electron energy due to the limited longitudinal coverage with existing number of sensor planes
- $R_M$  also depends on the detector structure (i.e. air-gaps)
- With  $R_M = (8.1 \pm 0.1(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.3(\text{syst.}))\text{mm}$ , feasibility of constructing a compact calorimeter is demonstrated
- Consistent with the ILC conceptual design



# Towards the compact calorimeter prototype

- Ongoing analyses and efforts:
  - Impact of the Si-tracker planes in front of the LumiCal
  - Development of FE electronics with large input range/smaller signal
  - Maximization of the instrumented sensor area
- FLAME (FCAL ASIC for multiplane readout) development
  - 8 FLAME ASICs per plane (256 channels) ready for the test-beam
- Test-beam 2019 & 2020 goals with 20 instrumented detector planes:
  - Shower angular and energy resolution
  - Moliere radius
  - $e/\gamma$  separation



FCAL is taking unique data allowing development of expertise in compact calorimetry

# Summary



- Compact calorimeters to instrument the very forward region of an e+e- collider are designed, simulated and prototyped by the FCAL Collaboration.
- Moliere radius of  $R_M = 8.1 \pm 0.1$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.3$  (syst.) mm, measured in the test-beam, demonstrates feasibility of such a compact calorimeter. For the first time in this effort, sub-millimeter detector planes are produced.
- Detector prototype exhibited linearity of response to 1-5 GeV electron test-beam.
- Measured shower reconstruction precision and longitudinal shower development are in agreement with MC expectation.
- Further steps lead into direction of development/production of FE electronics with large input range and maximization of the instrumented sensor area (FLAME).

Such a calorimeter is consistent with the conceptual design optimized for a high precision luminosity measurement at ILC and CLIC



# Backup




# DAQ for the test-beam



- Scalable Readout System (SRS), based on APV25 front-end chip used for read-out:
  - 128 channels per detector plane
  - APV25 FE board applicable for signal >8 MIP
  - To correct for that, Capacitive Charge Divider connected to the APV input



Signal wave-form sampled in 25 ns intervals



Signal shape per single pad of a detector plane



# Uncertainties of $R_M$



- Uncertainty of the measured efficiency of the signal identification  $\pm 0.16$  mm
- Uncertainty of the particle impact position  $\pm 0.13$  mm
- Misalignment of detector planes  $\pm 0.08$  mm
- Uncertainty due to bad channels  $\pm 0.14$  mm
- Noise uncertainty - negligible
- Calibration uncertainty of 5% for the APV read-out  $\pm 0.14$  mm