AIDA-2020-SLIDE-2020-002

AIDA-2020

Advanced European Infrastructures for Detectors at Accelerators

Presentation

Exploring the structure of hadronic showers and hadronic energy reconstruction with highly granular calorimeters

I. Laktineh (CNRS, Universite de Lyon (on behalf of the Calice Collaboration))

11 July 2019

The AIDA-2020 Advanced European Infrastructures for Detectors at Accelerators project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under Grant Agreement no. 654168.

This work is part of AIDA-2020 Work Package **14: Infrastructure for advanced calorimeters**.

The electronic version of this AIDA-2020 Publication is available via the AIDA-2020 web site [<http://aida2020.web.cern.ch>](http://aida2020.web.cern.ch) or on the CERN Document Server at the following URL: [<http://cds.cern.ch/search?p=AIDA-2020-SLIDE-2020-002>](http://cds.cern.ch/search?p=AIDA-2020-SLIDE-2020-002)

Copyright © CERN for the benefit of the AIDA-2020 Consortium

Exploring the structure of hadronic showers and hadronic energy reconstruction with highly granular calorimeters

> I.Laktineh Université de Lyon

On behalf of the Calice Collaboration

CALICE is a collaboration of 59 institutes and 360 people from 4 continents

The goal is to develop highly granular calorimeters for PFA-based experiments for future colliders.

The granularity of those prototypes and their high performance provide excellent tools to study the electromagnetic and hadronic showers

Hadronic shower: longitudinal profile Si-W ECAL

Test of hadronic shower models at low energies [NIM A794 (2015) 240-254]

- **Q** Depth of Si-W ECAL prototype is $1\lambda_1$, 60% of hadrons interact in ECAL
- \Box 3 segments with different absorber thickness converted into pseudolayers for analysis
- \Box Interacting events are distinguished from MIP-like events
- □ Test beam pions 2-10 GeV; comparison withGeant4 versions 9.6 and 10.1

Visible energy / pseudolayer Mean of longitudinal hit position distribution

Significant differences between G4 versions Good agreement for all G4 models

Hadronic shower: longitudinal profile AHCAL

MC/data ratio of longitudinal profiles in Sc-Fe AHCAL

Visible energy E per layer vs. long. distance from the identified shower start Comparison with Geant4 v9.6

+ 15 GeV FTFP BERT: agreement within uncertainties QGSP BERT: little Underestimation

+ 80 GeV Overestimation around shower maximum: FTFP BERT: by 10% QGSP BERT: by 20% [JINST 11 (2016) P06013]

Hadronic shower: radial profile AHCAL

MC/data ratio of radial profiles in Sc-Fe AHCAL

Radial profile: the cylinder of radius r and width delta_r (delta_r = 1 cm) vs. radial distance r. Comparison with Geant4 v9.6

+ 15 GeV Within uncertainties (10%) in the shower core Underestimation in the middle

+ 80 GeV

Overestimation in the core FTFP BERT: by 20% QGSP BERT: by 30% [JINST 11 (2016) P06013]

Hadronic shower: radial profile SDHCAL SHOWET. THE PLOTTLE STATE STATES SUPPORTS SDHCAL

Test beam data: hadrons 5-80 GeV \Box Simulation with Geant4 v9.6 (with HP)

Radial profile: number of hits in 1-cm rings around shower barycenter Mean of radial profile:

$$
\langle R \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{\rm event}} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\rm event}} \sum_{r=0}^{R_{\rm max}} r \frac{N_{r,i}}{N_{\rm tot,i}}
$$

Shower width is underestimated by simulations

Number of track segments in hadronic shower: SDHCAL

GRPC-Fe SDHCAL [JINST 12 (2017) P05009] Test beam data: pions of 10-80 GeV □ simulations: Geant4 9.6 \Box Hough Transform for track finding \Box FTFP BERT gives better predictions

 \overline{Q}

o Increases with energy

Sc-Fe AHCAL [JINST 8 (2013) P09001] test beam data: pions of 10-80 GeV simulations: Geant4 9.4 track finding based on nearest neighbour algorithm for isolated hits QGSP BERT in best agreement with data good agreement of FTFP BERT

Si-W ECAL [NIM A937 (2019) 41-52]: Test beam data: pions of 2-10 GeV, simulations: Geant4 10.1 \Box Good agreement between data and simulations \square Smaller energy fraction in interaction region in simulation by 10%

CALICE T3B experiment: first step to measuring the time structure of hadronic showers 11

[JINST 9 (2014) P07022]

- o Setup of 15 plastic scintillator tiles 330.5 cm2 with SiPM readout, covers 1/2 of transverse size of the calorimeter starting from its center
- o Subnanosecond time resolution over a time window of 2.4 s
- o Placed behind Sc-W AHCAL or GRPC-Fe SDHCAL
- o Test beam muons and 60 GeV positive hadrons

Time structure of hadronic showers measured on a statistical basis

- o Waveform is decomposed and the time of first hit is derived
- o No late component for muons
- \circ Late component (>50 ns) for hadrons more pronounced in tungsten as expected
- \circ 3 times larger delay of low-energy hits for pions in tungsten than in steel
- \circ Good agreement with Geant4 v9.4, importance of **HP package** for tungsten

Energy reconstruction: software compensation in analogue calorimeters

The basis of the technique:

Local shower density depends on origin of energy deposits higher density for electromagnetic ⁷ 10 **CALICE** 3 **Bin 5 Bin 6 Bin 7 Bin 8** subshowers and lower density for the remaining (hadronic) part er
Ni re and lower done nc
Scept
Scept 4 **Bin 1 Bin 2 Bin 3 Bin 4** ver densi

 \Rightarrow e/h compensation can be achieved by assigning energy-dependent weights to hits in global energy sum, significantly improving energy $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \end{array}$ resolution t
Bin
C **12.0 GeV 4.0 GeV CALICE**

Energy reconstruction: software compensation in analogue calorimeters

Software compensation studied in CALICE for a variety of different detector systems – here: ScintW ECAL + AHCAL + TCMT

Energy Resolution [%]

Data

ScECAL Hit Energy Bin Weight

Significant improvement of energy $\frac{d}{dt}$ is the correlation plot of the means so $\frac{d}{dt}$ resolution: 10 - 20% compared to s_F^2 and s_F^2 and s_F^2 and s_F^2 and s_F^2 and s_F^2 « standard » reconstruction

JINST 13, P12022 (2018)

Data

ScECAL Hit Energy Bin Weight

4

Energy reconstruction: "soft compensation" in SDHCAL ¹⁴

Threshold information is related to charge and this is related to the number of charged particles crossing one 1x1 cm2 cell

$$
E_{\text{rec}} = \alpha (N_{\text{tot}}) N_1 + B(N_{\text{tot}}) N_2 + \gamma (N_{\text{tot}}) N_3
$$

The thresholds weight evolution with the total number of hits obtained by minimizing a x^2 $X^2 = (E_{\text{beam}}-E_{\text{rec}})^2/E_{\text{beam}}$

 N_1,N_2 and N_3 : exclusive number of hits associated to first, second and third threshold.

α, β, γ are **quadratic functions** of the total number of hits (N_{tot})

Events of H2 runs corresponding to energies **: 5, 10, 30 , 60** and **80** GeV were used to fit the 9 parameters.

Then the energy of hadronic events in both **H2** (only pions) and **H6** (presence of protons) are estimated

Particle Identification: SDHCAL

Discriminating variables based on shower topology to discriminate different species of particles :

hadrons, electrons and muons are used to train a BDT on both MC and data Excellent Purity/efficiency performance are obtained

Particle Identification: SiW

Tracking capabilities to select single pion events in SiW Ecal

Conclusion

- □ CALICE prototypes provide a rich source of information **concerning the hadronic showers.**
- q **A very fruitful collaboration with G4 collaboration that benefits the both collaborations and beyond.**
- q **The excellent granularity is exploited to identify particles and reconstruct the hadronic energy in optimal way using showers shape**