

CERN-EP-2019-279  
2019/12/16

CMS-BPH-13-001

# Measurement of the $\chi_{c1}$ and $\chi_{c2}$ polarizations in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration\*

## Abstract

The polarizations of promptly produced  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  mesons are studied using data collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC, in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The  $\chi_c$  states are reconstructed via their radiative decays  $\chi_c \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$ , with the photons being measured through conversions to  $e^+e^-$ , which allows the two states to be well resolved. The polarizations are measured in the helicity frame, through the analysis of the  $\chi_{c2}$  to  $\chi_{c1}$  yield ratio as a function of the polar or azimuthal angle of the positive muon emitted in the  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  decay, in three ranges of  $J/\psi$  transverse momentum. While no differences are seen between the two states in terms of azimuthal decay angle distributions, they are observed to have significantly different polar anisotropies. The measurement favors a scenario where at least one of the two states is strongly polarized along the helicity quantization axis, in agreement with nonrelativistic quantum chromodynamics predictions. This is the first measurement of significantly polarized quarkonia produced at high transverse momentum.

*Submitted to Physical Review Letters*



Quarkonium production is a benchmark for understanding how quarks combine into hadrons. The relative heaviness of  $c$  and  $b$  quarks makes it possible to describe the production process in the nonrelativistic quantum chromodynamics (NRQCD) factorization approach [1–8], a rigorous framework valid when the quark velocities are small. This theory successfully described quarkonium production cross sections measured [9] at high transverse momentum,  $p_T$ , by complementing the earlier color-singlet model [10, 11] with subprocesses where the bound state originates from colored  $Q\bar{Q}$  pairs, which lose their color via gluon emissions, changing the angular momentum quantum numbers  $L$ ,  $S$ , and  $J$ .

In contrast to this complex picture of quarkonium production as a superposition of several color-singlet and multi-step color-octet processes, ATLAS and CMS measurements [12–21] reveal unexpectedly simple patterns [22–24]. First, the five S-wave ( $L = 0$ ,  $S = 1$ ,  $J = 1$ ) charmonium and bottomonium states ( $J/\psi$ ,  $\psi(2S)$ ,  $Y(1S)$ ,  $Y(2S)$ , and  $Y(3S)$ ) are produced with indistinguishable mass-rescaled  $p_T$  spectra ( $p_T/M$ ). This observation, indicating a universal trend independent of the bound-state excitation level and of the constituent quark mass, is also followed by the  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  P-wave ( $L = 1$ ,  $S = 1$ ) states, at the current level of experimental precision [17–19]. Second, the measurements of the polarizations of the five S-wave quarkonia do not show indications, over a wide  $p_T$  range, of any deviation from unpolarized production, where all angular momentum projections  $J_z$  are equally probable. This is a peculiar condition for vector particles, not seen for the  $Z$  and  $W$  bosons [25–32], nor for Drell–Yan dileptons [33–38], which are always significantly polarized, as are the quarkonia produced at low  $p_T$  (i.e.,  $p_T < M$ ) [39, 40]. The lack of polarization of vector quarkonia was a long-standing challenge for NRQCD [41], but recent global-fit analyses [4, 22–24, 42] have shown that cross sections and polarizations can be consistently described. This progress revealed a distinct set of fine-tuned long-distance parameters [43] and triggered another strong prediction, this time regarding the remaining gap in the set of LHC quarkonium cross section and polarization measurements mentioned above: the polarizations of the  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  states should be opposite and almost maximal [44].

This Letter reports the first measurement of the polarizations of promptly produced  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  mesons, using proton-proton (pp) data collected at the LHC by the CMS experiment at a center-of-mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $19.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity ( $\eta$ ) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [45].

The event sample was collected with a two-level trigger system [46]. At level-1, custom hardware processors select events with two muons. The high-level trigger requires an opposite-sign muon pair of invariant mass 2.8–3.35 GeV, a dimuon vertex fit  $\chi^2$  probability larger than 0.5%, and a distance of closest approach between the two muons smaller than 0.5 cm. The trigger also requires that the dimuon has  $p_T > 7.9$  GeV and rapidity  $|y| < 1.25$ . The offline reconstruction requires two oppositely charged muons matching those that triggered the detector readout. The muon tracks must pass high-purity track quality requirements [47], have  $p_T > 3.5$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 1.6$ , and fulfill the soft muon identification requirements [48], which imply, in particular, more than five hits in the silicon tracker, of which at least one is in the pixel layers. The muons are combined to form  $J/\psi$  candidates, which are kept for further processing if  $|y| < 1.2$

and  $8 < p_T < 30 \text{ GeV}$ . Promptly produced  $J/\psi$  mesons are selected by requiring the distance between the dimuon vertex and the interaction point be smaller than 2.5 times its uncertainty.

The analysis uses  $\chi_c \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$  decays, with the  $J/\psi$  decaying to a dimuon. The photons are detected through their conversions to  $e^+e^-$  in the beam pipe and in the material of the silicon tracker, starting from two oppositely charged tracks, of which one has at least four tracker hits and the other at least three. The tracks must have a small angular separation, a small distance of closest approach, a conversion vertex at least 1.5 cm away from the beam axis, and a  $\chi^2$  probability of the kinematic fit imposing zero mass and a common vertex that exceeds 0.05%. A more detailed account of the reconstruction and selection procedures is given in Refs. [18, 19]. The photons must have  $p_T > 0.4 \text{ GeV}$  and  $|\eta| < 1.5$ . If the distance along the beam axis between the dimuon vertex and the extrapolated photon trajectory is smaller than 5 mm, a  $\chi_c$  candidate is formed through a kinematic fit of the dimuon-photon system, constraining the dimuon mass to the  $J/\psi$  mass [49], the dielectron mass to zero, and requiring that the two muons and the photon have a common vertex. Only  $\chi_c$  candidates with a fit  $\chi^2$  probability larger than 1% and invariant mass between 3.2 and 3.75 GeV are kept for the evaluation of the  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  yields. After all selection criteria, the event samples used to perform the analysis, in the  $J/\psi$   $p_T$  ranges 8–12, 12–18, and 18–30 GeV, contain around 103 000, 106 000, and 45 000  $\chi_c$  candidates, respectively.

The seemingly natural way to measure the  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  polarizations is to determine the angular distribution of the considered  $\chi_c$  decay; in the present case, this means the distribution of the photon direction in the  $\chi_c$  rest frame. However, that distribution depends not only on the  $\chi_c$  angular momentum composition, but also, and possibly in a very significant way, on the (poorly known) contributions of photons with large orbital angular momentum ( $J^\gamma > 1$ ). A cleaner determination of the  $\chi_c$  polarization is obtained by measuring the dilepton angular decay distribution in the rest frame of the daughter  $J/\psi$  [50]. It is crucial to choose as polarization axis for the  $J/\psi$  decay not the  $J/\psi$  direction in the  $\chi_c$  rest frame, as usually done in cascade decays, but rather any axis (center-of-mass helicity or Collins–Soper [51], for instance) defined in terms of the beam momenta in the  $J/\psi$  rest frame and ignoring its origin, as if it were observed inclusively. Contrary to what happens when measuring the photon distribution in the  $\chi_c$  rest frame, the latter choice leads to measurements that are insensitive to the higher-order multipoles and reflect only the polarization state of the mother  $\chi_c$ . The present analysis is performed in the center-of-mass helicity frame [52] and does not use the measured photon momentum, except to select, through the  $J/\psi \gamma$  invariant mass distribution, the  $J/\psi$  mesons resulting from  $\chi_{c1}$  or  $\chi_{c2}$  decays. The dimuon angular decay distribution is parametrized with the function [53]

$$W(\cos \vartheta, \varphi | \vec{\lambda}) = \frac{3}{4\pi(3 + \lambda_\vartheta)} \left( 1 + \lambda_\vartheta \cos^2 \vartheta + \lambda_\varphi \sin^2 \vartheta \cos 2\varphi + \lambda_{\vartheta\varphi} \sin 2\vartheta \cos \varphi \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $\vartheta$  and  $\varphi$  are the polar and azimuthal coordinates of the positive lepton direction in the  $J/\psi$  rest frame, the system of axes being defined with  $z$  in the direction of the polarization axis and  $y$  perpendicular to the production plane. The  $\chi_c$  angular momentum composition is encoded in the shape parameters  $\lambda_\vartheta$ ,  $\lambda_\varphi$ , and  $\lambda_{\vartheta\varphi}$ , whose values depend on the choice of polarization frame but must always be within certain physical domains [50], narrower than the parameter space of inclusive vector-particle production [54]. The relation between the shape parameters and the polarization configuration depends on the quarkonium state. For example,  $\lambda_\vartheta = +1$  indicates  $J_z = \pm 1$  for the  $J/\psi$ ,  $J_z = 0$  for the  $\chi_{c1}$ , and  $J_z = +2$  for the  $\chi_{c2}$ ; conversely, states in the  $J_z = 0$  angular momentum configuration lead to  $\lambda_\vartheta = -1$  for the  $J/\psi$ ,  $\lambda_\vartheta = +1$  for the  $\chi_{c1}$ , and  $\lambda_\vartheta = -0.6$  for the  $\chi_{c2}$ . Simulation studies have shown that all physically allowed differences between the  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  polarizations can be reliably determined through the measurement of

the  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  yield ratio as a function of  $|\cos \vartheta|$  or  $\varphi$ . Detector effects (trigger, data reconstruction, event selection) cancel to a large extent in the ratio and have a negligible impact on the results.

The analysis is independently performed in three  $J/\psi$   $p_T$  ranges: 8–12, 12–18, and 18–30 GeV. In each range, the events are split into subsamples corresponding to six equidistant  $\varphi$  bins between 0 and  $90^\circ$ . Folding  $\varphi$  into the first quadrant reduces the effect of the statistical fluctuations without any loss of information, given the four-fold  $\varphi$  symmetry that the angular distributions obey. For each  $p_T$  bin, the six  $J/\psi$   $\gamma$  invariant mass distributions are simultaneously fitted with an unbinned maximum likelihood fit. In the mass fit model, identical for all  $\varphi$  bins, each of the  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  signal peaks is represented by a double-sided Crystal Ball (CB) function [55], which complements a Gaussian core distribution with lower and upper power-law tails. The underlying combinatorial background, reflecting uncorrelated  $J/\psi$   $\gamma$  associations, is parametrized by an exponential function multiplied by a term that provides a low-mass turn-down,  $(1 + \text{erf}((m - \mu^{\text{bg}}) / \sigma^{\text{bg}})) \exp(-m/\lambda^{\text{bg}})$ , where  $m$  is the  $J/\psi$   $\gamma$  invariant mass and  $\mu^{\text{bg}}$ ,  $\sigma^{\text{bg}}$ , and  $\lambda^{\text{bg}}$  are shape parameters. Although the results of this analysis are insensitive to the presence of a small peak reflecting the  $\chi_{c0}$  decays, the fit model includes this background term, represented by a Breit–Wigner convolved with a Gaussian resolution function. To minimize fit instabilities, the  $\chi_{c0}$  shape and yield parameters are determined from the corresponding parameters of the  $\chi_{c1}$  term. The simultaneous fit has the advantage of reducing by a factor of six the number of free parameters defining the shapes of the signal and background mass models, by requiring that those parameters are independent of  $\varphi$ , an assumption validated by studies of simulated and measured event samples. The parameters of interest resulting from this fit are the six  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  yield ratios.

The analysis is also performed as a function of  $|\cos \vartheta|$ , splitting the events in 6, 7, or 5  $|\cos \vartheta|$  bins, depending on the  $p_T$  range. The  $|\cos \vartheta|$  coverage is smaller in the lowest  $p_T$  range (up to 0.45 instead of up to 0.625) because those events are the ones most affected by the single-muon  $p_T$  cut. Analogously to the procedure just described for the  $\varphi$  dimension, the  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  yield ratios are obtained as a function of  $|\cos \vartheta|$  through a simultaneous fit of the  $J/\psi$   $\gamma$  invariant mass distributions. In this case, however, some of the shape parameters (such as the mass resolutions) show a slight correlation with  $|\cos \vartheta|$ , so that they are not required to be independent of  $|\cos \vartheta|$ . While some parameters are unconstrained, others are required to depend linearly on  $|\cos \vartheta|$ , as an intermediate way of minimizing the number of free parameters.

Figure 1 shows one of the simultaneously fitted  $J/\psi$   $\gamma$  invariant mass distributions. The two signal peaks are well resolved, with widths around 6 MeV, consistent with the predictions from simulation. All of the fitted  $\chi_c$  mass distributions show good fit qualities, as judged from the  $\chi^2$  between the binned distributions and the fitted functions, the worst case giving  $\chi^2 = 601$  for 569 degrees of freedom (ndf).

The  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  yield ratios provided by the fits of the  $\chi_c$  mass distributions are corrected for the slightly different acceptances and efficiencies for the detection of the two states, using fine-grained acceptance times efficiency three-dimensional maps,  $\mathcal{A}(|\cos \vartheta|, \varphi, p_T)$ , computed with large samples of simulated events. The corrected ratios are reported in Tables A.1 and A.2 of Appendix A, and shown in Fig. 2, where it can be seen that the uncorrected and corrected values are almost identical, apart from normalization factors irrelevant for the determination of the polar and azimuthal anisotropies.

Several sources of potential systematic effects have been considered, by redoing the analysis with different inputs and comparing the obtained results with the nominal ones. The results are insensitive to variations of the thresholds used to reject the nonprompt contamination from b hadron decays, estimated to be around 5%, or events with a poor kinematic vertex fit quality




Figure 1: Example of a fitted  $J/\psi \gamma$  invariant mass distribution, for the  $0.15 < |\cos \vartheta| < 0.225$  bin, in the 12–18 GeV  $p_T$  range. The vertical bars on the points indicate the statistical uncertainties. The lines show the various fit contributions.

in the reconstruction of the  $\chi_c$  candidates. The fits of the mass distributions were redone using alternative options for the low- and high-mass tails of the double-sided CB functions, and by varying the combinatorial background description, both by changing the floating parameters of the nominal function and by using the alternative function  $(x - x_0)^\lambda \exp(\nu(x - x_0))$ , where  $\nu$  is left free,  $\lambda$  is fitted to a constant, and  $x_0 = 3.2$  GeV, a value determined in fits to the background-only mass distributions obtained by excluding the 3.37–3.6 GeV region. The sensitivity of the results to the acceptance and efficiency corrections was evaluated by redoing the analysis with maps computed with alternative single-muon and photon detection efficiencies, as well as with simulated samples generated with different  $p_T/M$  shapes for each of the two  $\chi_c$  states. All effects lead to similar variations in the yields of the two states and cancel, to a large extent, in the  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  ratio, apart from a normalization shift that has no impact on the angular anisotropies.

The  $\chi_{c2}$  to  $\chi_{c1}$  yield ratios as a function of  $\varphi$ , shown in Fig. 2 (left), are compatible with being flat, excluding large differences in azimuthal anisotropy, as exemplified by the two curves compared to the data points in the second  $p_T$  range. These curves represent the simplest conceivable polarization hypotheses leading to large azimuthal effects in the helicity frame:  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  have maximally different polar anisotropies in the Collins–Soper frame, corresponding to specific alignments of their angular momentum vectors along the collision direction ( $J_z^{\chi_{c1}} = J_z^{\chi_{c2}} = 0$  and  $J_z^{\chi_{c1}} = \pm 1$ ,  $J_z^{\chi_{c2}} = \pm 2$ , for the dotted and dash-dotted curve, respectively). In fact, the change from the Collins–Soper to the helicity quantization axis is almost a  $90^\circ$  rotation, transforming polarized distributions into azimuthally anisotropic ones. This uniform  $\varphi$  behavior confirms the choice of the helicity axis as the one that, as expected in this kinematic regime, should reflect most closely the natural alignment of the angular momentum vector, maximizing the polar anisotropy effects.

In Fig. 2 (right) the measured  $|\cos \vartheta|$  dependence of the  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  ratio is compared to the analytic expression  $(1 + \lambda_\vartheta^{\chi_{c2}} \cos^2 \vartheta) / (1 + \lambda_\vartheta^{\chi_{c1}} \cos^2 \vartheta)$ , derived from Eq. 1 integrating over  $\varphi$ . Two scenarios are considered. The “unpolarized scenario”,  $\lambda_\vartheta^{\chi_{c1}} = \lambda_\vartheta^{\chi_{c2}} = 0$  independently of  $p_T$ , represented in Fig. 2 (right) by the dashed flat lines, gives a poor description of the data. A fit with free normalizations leads to a  $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 31/15$ , corresponding to a  $\chi^2$  probability of only 0.9%. The “NRQCD scenario” [44], where  $\lambda_\vartheta^{\chi_{c1}} = 0.72, 0.65$ , and  $0.56$ , and  $\lambda_\vartheta^{\chi_{c2}} = -0.48, -0.35$ , and  $-0.19$ , for the average  $p_T$  values in each of the three ranges, agrees well with the data, with a fit  $\chi^2/\text{ndf} = 13/15$ , corresponding to a  $\chi^2$  probability of 58%.




Figure 2: The  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  yield ratio vs.  $\varphi$  (left) and  $|\cos \vartheta|$  (right), in the helicity frame, for the three  $J/\psi$   $p_T$  ranges. The grey markers (slightly shifted horizontally) show the values before acceptance and efficiency corrections, scaled vertically for an easier shape comparison. The vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties and the horizontal bars the bin widths. The solid and dashed curves show, respectively, the “NRQCD” [44] and “unpolarized” scenarios. The dotted and dash-dotted curves illustrate maximally different natural polarizations in the Collins–Soper frame, leading to large differences in azimuthal anisotropy.




Figure 3: Two-dimensional  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{\chi_{c2}}$  vs.  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{\chi_{c1}}$  contours, at 68.3, 95.5, and 99.7% confidence levels (CL), measured combining the three  $J/\psi$   $p_T$  ranges. The physically allowed region (red rectangle) and six pure angular momentum configurations (markers) are also shown. The crossing of the two dashed lines represents the unpolarized case.

Figure 3 shows the polar anisotropy parameters  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{\chi_{c1}}$  and  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{\chi_{c2}}$  derived from the measured  $|\cos \vartheta|$  dependence of the  $\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$  ratio, combining the three  $p_T$  ranges. The contours in the  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{\chi_{c1}}$  vs.  $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{\chi_{c2}}$  plane are obtained by scanning the two  $\lambda_{\vartheta}$  parameters and the three normalizations to evaluate the  $\chi^2$  profiles corresponding to the 68.3, 95.5, and 99.7% confidence levels. The unpolarized scenario ( $\lambda_{\vartheta}^{\chi_{c1}} = \lambda_{\vartheta}^{\chi_{c2}} = 0$ ), as well as more than half of the physically allowed region,




Figure 4: The  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}}$  values (circles) measured when the  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}}$  values (squares) are fixed to the unpolarized (left) or the NRQCD (right) scenarios (green curves), as a function of  $p_T/M$  of the  $J/\psi$ . The purple band on the right is the NRQCD prediction for  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}}$  [44], while in the unpolarized scenario  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}} = \lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}} = 0$ . The markers are shown at the average  $p_T/M$  values in each bin, the vertical bars represent the total uncertainties, and the horizontal bars the bin widths. The dashed lines indicate the physically allowed range of  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}}$ .

including all cases where  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}} \geq \lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}}$ , are outside the 99.7% contour. In terms of specific pure angular momentum configurations, it can be seen that, in particular, the cases  $J_z^{\chi_{c2}} = \pm 2$  and  $J_z^{\chi_{c1}} = J_z^{\chi_{c2}} = \pm 1$  are strongly disfavored.

The correlation between the  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}}$  and  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}}$  parameters can be accurately expressed through a simple parametrization:  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}} = (-0.94 + 0.90 \lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}}) \pm (0.51 + 0.05 \lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}})$ ,  $(-0.76 + 0.80 \lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}}) \pm (0.26 + 0.05 \lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}})$ , and  $(-0.78 + 0.77 \lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}}) \pm (0.26 + 0.06 \lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}})$ , for the three consecutive  $p_T$  ranges. These expressions can be used for direct comparisons to theoretical scenarios.

Figure 4 shows, as a function of  $p_T/M$  of the  $J/\psi$  (equal on average to the  $p_T/M$  of the  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  mothers [23]), the  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}}$  values measured when  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}}$  is fixed to the predictions of the two scenarios already considered in Fig. 2. Setting  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}} = 0$  leads to  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}}$  values that are significantly different from zero (and even tend to be outside the physically allowed range). The NRQCD prediction is, instead, in good agreement with the measurement.

In summary, the polarizations of promptly produced  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  mesons have been measured in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. The analysis uses the  $J/\psi$   $\gamma$  decay channel in three  $J/\psi$   $p_T$  ranges between 8 and 30 GeV. The measurement, made in the helicity frame, shows a significant difference between the polar anisotropy parameters  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c1}}$  and  $\lambda_\theta^{\chi_{c2}}$ . The result strongly disfavors, in particular, the unpolarized scenario uniformly observed in the  $J/\psi$ ,  $\psi(2S)$ , and  $Y$  measurements. Remarkably, the measurement agrees with the NRQCD prediction. This result provides a new piece in the experimental scenario of quarkonium production at mid-rapidity and the first significant indication of kinematic differences between the various quarkonia. It should improve the understanding of hadron formation and of the interplay between the long- and short-distance aspects of the strong interaction.



## Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFI (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

## References

- [1] G. Bodwin, E. Braaten, and P. Lepage, “Rigorous QCD analysis of inclusive annihilation and production of heavy quarkonium”, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 1125, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.51.1125, arXiv:hep-ph/9407339. [Erratum: doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5853].
- [2] M. Butenschoen and B. A. Kniehl, “ $J/\psi$  polarization at Tevatron and LHC: nonrelativistic-QCD factorization at the crossroads”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 172002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.172002, arXiv:1201.1872.
- [3] M. Butenschoen and B. A. Kniehl, “Next-to-leading-order tests of NRQCD factorization with  $J/\psi$  yield and polarization”, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28** (2013) 1350027, doi:10.1142/S0217732313500272, arXiv:1212.2037.
- [4] K.-T. Chao et al., “ $J/\psi$  polarization at hadron colliders in nonrelativistic QCD”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 242004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.242004, arXiv:1201.2675.
- [5] B. Gong, L.-P. Wan, J.-X. Wang, and H.-F. Zhang, “Polarization for prompt  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$  production at the Tevatron and LHC”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 042002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.042002, arXiv:1205.6682.
- [6] H.-S. Shao, Y.-Q. Ma, K. Wang, and K.-T. Chao, “Polarizations of  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  in prompt production at the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 182003, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.182003, arXiv:1402.2913.
- [7] H.-S. Shao and K.-T. Chao, “Spin correlations in polarizations of P-wave charmonia  $\chi_{cJ}$  and impact on  $J/\psi$  polarization”, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 014002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.014002, arXiv:1209.4610.

- 
- [8] G. T. Bodwin et al., “Fragmentation contributions to hadroproduction of prompt  $J/\psi$ ,  $\chi_{cJ}$ , and  $\psi(2S)$  states”, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 034041, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034041, arXiv:1509.07904.
- [9] CDF Collaboration, “ $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$  production in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 572, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.572.
- [10] R. Baier and R. Ruckl, “Hadronic production of  $J/\psi$  and  $Y$ : transverse momentum distributions”, *Phys. Lett. B* **102** (1981) 364, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(81)90636-5.
- [11] J.-P. Lansberg, “On the mechanisms of heavy-quarkonium hadroproduction”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **61** (2009) 693, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0826-9, arXiv:0811.4005.
- [12] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  prompt double-differential cross sections in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 191802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.191802, arXiv:1502.04155.
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the production cross-section of  $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-$  in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV at ATLAS”, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2014)079, arXiv:1407.5532.
- [14] CMS Collaboration, “Measurements of the  $Y(1S)$ ,  $Y(2S)$ , and  $Y(3S)$  differential cross sections in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **749** (2015) 14, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.07.037, arXiv:1501.07750.
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of  $Y$  production in 7 TeV  $pp$  collisions at ATLAS”, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 052004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.052004, arXiv:1211.7255.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of quarkonium production cross sections in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **780** (2018) 251, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.02.033, arXiv:1710.11002.
- [17] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  production with  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV  $pp$  collisions at ATLAS”, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 154, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)154, arXiv:1404.7035.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the relative prompt production rate of  $\chi_{c2}$  and  $\chi_{c1}$  in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2251, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2251-3, arXiv:1210.0875.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the production cross section ratio  $\sigma(\chi_{b2}(1P))/\sigma(\chi_{b1}(1P))$  in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **743** (2015) 383, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.02.048, arXiv:1409.5761.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the prompt  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  polarizations in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **727** (2013) 381, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.10.055, arXiv:1307.6070.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the  $Y(1S)$ ,  $Y(2S)$ , and  $Y(3S)$  polarizations in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 081802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.081802, arXiv:1209.2922.

- [22] P. Faccioli et al., “Quarkonium production in the LHC era: A polarized perspective”, *Phys. Lett. B* **736** (2014) 98, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.07.006, arXiv:1403.3970.
- [23] P. Faccioli et al., “Quarkonium production at the LHC: A data-driven analysis of remarkably simple experimental patterns”, *Phys. Lett. B* **773** (2017) 476, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.09.006, arXiv:1702.04208.
- [24] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenço, M. Araújo, and J. Seixas, “Universal kinematic scaling as a probe of factorized long-distance effects in high-energy quarkonium production”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 118, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5610-x, arXiv:1802.01102.
- [25] E. Mirkes and J. Ohnemus, “W and Z polarization effects in hadronic collisions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 5692, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.50.5692, arXiv:hep-ph/9406381.
- [26] CDF Collaboration, “First measurement of the angular coefficients of Drell–Yan  $e^+e^-$  pairs in the Z mass region from  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 241801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.241801, arXiv:1103.5699.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, “Angular coefficients of Z bosons produced in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV and decaying to  $\mu^+\mu^-$  as a function of transverse momentum and rapidity”, *Phys. Lett. B* **750** (2015) 154, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.08.061, arXiv:1504.03512.
- [28] D0 Collaboration, “Measurement of the angular distribution of electrons from  $W \rightarrow e\nu$  decays observed in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 072001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.63.072001, arXiv:hep-ex/0009034.
- [29] CDF Collaboration, “Measurement of the polar-angle distribution of leptons from W boson decay as a function of the W transverse momentum in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 032004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.032004, arXiv:hep-ex/0311050.
- [30] CDF Collaboration, “Measurement of the azimuthal angle distribution of leptons from W boson decays as a function of the W transverse momentum in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 052002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.052002, arXiv:hep-ex/0504020.
- [31] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the polarization of W bosons with large transverse momenta in W+Jets events at the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 021802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.021802, arXiv:1104.3829.
- [32] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the polarisation of W bosons produced with large transverse momentum in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS experiment”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2001, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2001-6, arXiv:1203.2165.
- [33] C. S. Lam and W.-K. Tung, “A systematic approach to inclusive lepton pair production in hadronic collisions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **18** (1978) 2447, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.18.2447.
- [34] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenco, and J. Seixas, “Rotation-invariant relations in vector meson decays into fermion pairs”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 061601, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.061601, arXiv:1005.2601.

- 
- [35] NA10 Collaboration, “Angular distributions of muon pairs produced by negative pions on deuterium and tungsten”, *Z. Phys. C* **37** (1988) 545, doi:10.1007/BF01549713.
- [36] J. S. Conway et al., “Experimental study of muon pairs produced by 252 GeV pions on tungsten”, *Phys. Rev. D* **39** (1989) 92, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.39.92.
- [37] NuSea Collaboration, “Measurement of angular distributions of Drell–Yan dimuons in p+d interactions at 800 GeV/c”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 082301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.082301, arXiv:hep-ex/0609005.
- [38] NuSea Collaboration, “Measurement of angular distributions of Drell–Yan dimuons in p+p interactions at 800 GeV/c”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 182001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.182001, arXiv:0811.4589.
- [39] HERA-B Collaboration, “Angular distributions of leptons from  $J/\psi$ ’s produced in 920 GeV fixed-target proton-nucleus collisions”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **60** (2009) 517, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-0957-7, arXiv:0901.1015.
- [40] NuSea Collaboration, “Observation of polarization in bottomonium production at  $\sqrt{s} = 38.8$  GeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 2529, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.2529, arXiv:hep-ex/0011030.
- [41] N. Brambilla et al., “Heavy quarkonium: Progress, puzzles, and opportunities”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1534, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1534-9, arXiv:1010.5827.
- [42] G. T. Bodwin, H. S. Chung, U.-R. Kim, and J. Lee, “Fragmentation contributions to  $J/\psi$  production at the Tevatron and the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 022001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.022001, arXiv:1403.3612.
- [43] P. Faccioli and C. Lourenço, “NRQCD colour-octet expansion vs. LHC quarkonium production: signs of a hierarchy puzzle?”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 457, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6968-0, arXiv:1905.09553.
- [44] P. Faccioli et al., “From identical S- and P-wave  $p_T$  spectra to maximally distinct polarizations: probing NRQCD with  $\chi$  states”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 268, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5755-7, arXiv:1802.01106.
- [45] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [46] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, “Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker”, *JINST* **9** (2014) P10009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009, arXiv:1405.6569.
- [48] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *JINST* **7** (2012) P10002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002, arXiv:1206.4071.
- [49] Particle Data Group, M. Tanabashi et al., “Review of particle physics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 030001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001.

- [50] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenço, J. Seixas, and H. K. Wöhri, “Determination of  $\chi_c$  and  $\chi_b$  polarizations from dilepton angular distributions in radiative decays”, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 096001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.096001, arXiv:1103.4882.
- [51] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, “Angular distribution of dileptons in high-energy hadron collisions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **16** (1977) 2219, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.16.2219.
- [52] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenço, J. Seixas, and H. Wöhri, “Towards the experimental clarification of quarkonium polarization”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **69** (2010) 657, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1420-5, arXiv:1006.2738.
- [53] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenço, and J. Seixas, “New approach to quarkonium polarization studies”, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 111502(R), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.111502, arXiv:1005.2855.
- [54] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenço, J. Seixas, and H. K. Wöhri, “Model-independent constraints on the shape parameters of dilepton angular distributions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 056008, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.056008, arXiv:1102.3946.
- [55] M. J. Oreglia, “A study of the reactions  $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\psi$ ”. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 1980. SLAC Report SLAC-R-236, see Appendix D.

## A Numerical values of the measured yield ratios

Table A.1: The ratio of the  $\chi_{c2}$  to  $\chi_{c1}$  yields, corrected for acceptance and efficiencies, vs.  $\varphi$ , in three  $J/\psi$   $p_T$  ranges. The average  $\varphi$  values are also given.

$J/\psi$ $p_T$ (GeV)	$\varphi$ (degrees)	$\langle\varphi\rangle$ (degrees)	$\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$
8–12	0–15	7.8	$0.451^{+0.027}_{-0.025}$
	15–30	22.6	$0.452^{+0.026}_{-0.025}$
	30–45	37.6	$0.499^{+0.027}_{-0.026}$
	45–60	52.6	$0.472^{+0.025}_{-0.024}$
	60–75	67.6	$0.450^{+0.023}_{-0.022}$
	75–90	82.5	$0.445^{+0.023}_{-0.022}$
12–18	0–15	7.7	$0.438^{+0.021}_{-0.020}$
	15–30	22.5	$0.393^{+0.018}_{-0.017}$
	30–45	37.5	$0.412^{+0.019}_{-0.018}$
	45–60	52.4	$0.449^{+0.020}_{-0.019}$
	60–75	67.5	$0.445^{+0.020}_{-0.019}$
	75–90	82.5	$0.400^{+0.018}_{-0.017}$
18–30	0–15	7.6	$0.425^{+0.030}_{-0.028}$
	15–30	22.6	$0.412^{+0.028}_{-0.027}$
	30–45	37.5	$0.420^{+0.030}_{-0.028}$
	45–60	52.5	$0.421^{+0.030}_{-0.028}$
	60–75	67.6	$0.399^{+0.028}_{-0.026}$
	75–90	82.5	$0.409^{+0.028}_{-0.027}$

Table A.2: The ratio of the  $\chi_{c2}$  to  $\chi_{c1}$  yields, corrected for acceptance and efficiencies, vs.  $|\cos \vartheta|$ , in three  $J/\psi$   $p_T$  ranges. The average  $|\cos \vartheta|$  values are also given.

$J/\psi$ $p_T$ (GeV)	$ \cos \vartheta $	$\langle  \cos \vartheta  \rangle$	$\chi_{c2}/\chi_{c1}$
8–12	0.000–0.075	0.037	$0.453^{+0.018}_{-0.018}$
	0.075–0.150	0.111	$0.468^{+0.021}_{-0.020}$
	0.150–0.225	0.185	$0.489^{+0.025}_{-0.024}$
	0.225–0.300	0.259	$0.439^{+0.024}_{-0.025}$
	0.300–0.375	0.332	$0.388^{+0.035}_{-0.031}$
	0.375–0.450	0.404	$0.411^{+0.056}_{-0.054}$
12–18	0.000–0.075	0.038	$0.476^{+0.023}_{-0.021}$
	0.075–0.150	0.113	$0.438^{+0.020}_{-0.019}$
	0.150–0.225	0.187	$0.421^{+0.020}_{-0.019}$
	0.225–0.300	0.262	$0.397^{+0.021}_{-0.019}$
	0.300–0.375	0.336	$0.398^{+0.022}_{-0.021}$
	0.375–0.450	0.409	$0.376^{+0.026}_{-0.024}$
18–30	0.450–0.625	0.502	$0.392^{+0.033}_{-0.032}$
	0.000–0.150	0.076	$0.445^{+0.036}_{-0.032}$
	0.150–0.300	0.225	$0.456^{+0.030}_{-0.027}$
	0.300–0.375	0.338	$0.463^{+0.039}_{-0.036}$
	0.375–0.450	0.412	$0.365^{+0.032}_{-0.030}$
	0.450–0.625	0.526	$0.370^{+0.027}_{-0.025}$





## B The CMS Collaboration

### Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A.M. Sirunyan<sup>†</sup>, A. Tumasyan

### Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, F. Ambrogi, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, A. Escalante Del Valle, M. Flechl, R. Frühwirth<sup>1</sup>, M. Jeitler<sup>1</sup>, N. Krammer, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Madlener, I. Mikulec, N. Rad, J. Schieck<sup>1</sup>, R. Schöfbeck, M. Spanring, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz<sup>1</sup>, M. Zarucki

### Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

V. Drugakov, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez

### Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish, E.A. De Wolf, D. Di Croce, X. Janssen, T. Kello<sup>2</sup>, A. Lelek, M. Pieters, H. Rejeb Sfar, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, S. Van Putte, N. Van Remortel

### Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, E.S. Bols, S.S. Chhibra, J. D'Hondt, J. De Clercq, D. Lontkovskyi, S. Lowette, I. Marchesini, S. Moortgat, Q. Python, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders

### Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, B. Clerboux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, B. Dorney, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, L. Moureaux, A. Popov, N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom

### Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, I. Khvastunov<sup>3</sup>, M. Niedziela, C. Roskas, K. Skovpen, M. Tytgat, W. Verbeke, B. Vermassen, M. Vit

### Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

G. Bruno, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaitre, J. Prisciandaro, A. Saggio, P. Vischia, J. Zobec

### Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves, G. Correia Silva, C. Hensel, A. Moraes

### Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato<sup>4</sup>, E. Coelho, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira<sup>5</sup>, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, J. Martins<sup>6</sup>, D. Matos Figueiredo, M. Medina Jaime<sup>7</sup>, M. Melo De Almeida, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, P. Rebello Teles, L.J. Sanchez Rosas, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, E.J. Tonelli Manganote<sup>4</sup>, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo, A. Vilela Pereira

### Universidade Estadual Paulista <sup>a</sup>, Universidade Federal do ABC <sup>b</sup>, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes<sup>a</sup>, L. Calligaris<sup>a</sup>, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei<sup>a</sup>, E.M. Gregores<sup>b</sup>, D.S. Lemos, P.G. Mercadante<sup>b</sup>, S.F. Novaes<sup>a</sup>, SandraS. Padula<sup>a</sup>

### Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

### University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Bonchev, A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov, A. Petrov

**Beihang University, Beijing, China**W. Fang<sup>2</sup>, X. Gao<sup>2</sup>, L. Yuan**Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China**G.M. Chen<sup>8</sup>, H.S. Chen<sup>8</sup>, M. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z. Liu, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, E. Yazgan, H. Zhang, S. Zhang<sup>8</sup>, J. Zhao**State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China**

A. Agapitos, Y. Ban, G. Chen, A. Levin, J. Li, L. Li, Q. Li, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Q. Wang

**Tsinghua University, Beijing, China**

M. Ahmad, Z. Hu, Y. Wang

**Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China**

M. Xiao

**Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia**

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, C.F. González Hernández, M.A. Segura Delgado

**Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia**

J. Mejia Guisao, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, C.A. Salazar González, N. Vanegas Arbelaez

**University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia**

D. Giljanović, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, T. Sculac

**University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia**

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

**Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia**V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, D. Majumder, B. Mesic, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov<sup>9</sup>, T. Susa**University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus**

M.W. Ather, A. Attikis, E. Erodotou, A. Ioannou, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka, D. Tsiakkouri

**Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic**M. Finger<sup>10</sup>, M. Finger Jr.<sup>10</sup>, A. Kveton, J. Tomsa**Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador**

E. Ayala

**Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador**

E. Carrera Jarrin

**Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt**Y. Assran<sup>11,12</sup>, E. Salama<sup>12,13</sup>**National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia**

S. Bhowmik, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, C. Veelken

**Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland**

P. Eerola, L. Forthomme, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen

**Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland**

E. Brücken, F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, J.K. Heikkilä, V. Karimäki, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Laurila, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

**Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland**

P. Luukka, T. Tuuva

**IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France**

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, C. Leloup, B. Lenzi, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro<sup>14</sup>, M. Titov, G.B. Yu

**Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris**

S. Ahuja, C. Amendola, F. Beaudette, M. Bonanomi, P. Busson, C. Charlot, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, R. Granier de Cassagnac, I. Kucher, A. Lobanov, C. Martin Perez, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

**Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France**

J.-L. Agram<sup>15</sup>, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte<sup>15</sup>, J.-C. Fontaine<sup>15</sup>, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Grimault, A.-C. Le Bihan, N. Tonon, P. Van Hove

**Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France**

S. Gadrat

**Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France**

S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C. Camen, A. Carle, N. Chanon, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, Sa. Jain, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaud, A. Lesauvage, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, L. Torterotot, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret

**Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia**

T. Toriashvili<sup>16</sup>

**Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia**

Z. Tsamalaidze<sup>10</sup>

**RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany**

C. Autermann, L. Feld, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, M. Preuten, M.P. Rauch, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde

**RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany**

M. Erdmann, B. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, G. Mocellin, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, T. Quast, M. Radziej, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, J. Roemer, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski

**RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany**

G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad<sup>17</sup>, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, T. Müller, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl<sup>18</sup>

**Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany**

M. Aldaya Martin, P. Asmuss, I. Babounikau, H. Bakhshiansohi, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, A. Bermúdez Martínez, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borrás<sup>19</sup>, V. Botta, A. Campbell, A. Cardini, P. Connor, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, C. Contreras-Campana, V. Danilov, A. De Wit, M.M. Defranchis, C. Diez Pardos, D. Domínguez Damiani, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, A. Elwood, E. Eren, L.I. Estevez Banos, E. Gallo<sup>20</sup>, A. Geiser, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, M. Haranko, A. Harb, A. Jafari, N.Z. Jomhari, H. Jung, A. Kasem<sup>19</sup>, M. Kasemann, H. Kaveh, J. Keaveney, C. Kleinwort, J. Knolle, D. Krücker, W. Lange, T. Lenz, J. Lidrych, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann<sup>21</sup>, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, M. Missiroli, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, V. Myronenko, D. Pérez Adán, S.K. Pflitsch, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, V. Scheurer, P. Schütze, C. Schwanenberger, R. Shevchenko, A. Singh, R.E. Sosa Ricardo, H. Tholen, O. Turkot, A. Vagnerini, M. Van De Klundert, R. Walsh, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, C. Wissing, O. Zenaiev, R. Zlebcik

**University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany**

R. Aggleton, S. Bein, L. Benato, A. Benecke, T. Dreyer, A. Ebrahimi, F. Feindt, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, P. Gunnellini, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann, A. Karavdina, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, S. Kurz, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Malara, J. Multhaupt, C.E.N. Niemeyer, A. Reimers, O. Rieger, P. Schleper, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, B. Vormwald, I. Zoi

**Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany**

M. Akbiyik, M. Baselga, S. Baur, T. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, A. Gottmann, F. Hartmann<sup>18</sup>, C. Heidecker, U. Husemann, M.A. Iqbal, S. Kudella, S. Maier, S. Mitra, M.U. Mozer, D. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Musich, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, D. Savoie, D. Schäfer, M. Schnepf, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, M. Wassmer, M. Weber, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf, S. Wozniewski

**Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece**

G. Anagnostou, P. Asenov, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, G. Paspalaki, A. Stakia

**National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece**

M. Diamantopoulou, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, A. Manousakis-katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, K. Theofilatos, K. Vellidis, E. Vourliotis

**National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece**

G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsiapolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

**University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece**

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Giannelis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, S. Mallios, K. Manitaras, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Strogas, F.A. Triantis, D. Tsitsonis

**MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary**

M. Bartók<sup>22</sup>, R. Chudasama, M. Csanad, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, G. Pasztor, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

**Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary**

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath<sup>23</sup>, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi<sup>†</sup>

**Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary**

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi<sup>22</sup>, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

**Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary**

P. Raics, D. Teyssier, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

**Eszterhazy Karoly University, Karoly Robert Campus, Gyongyos, Hungary**

T. Csorgo, W.J. Metzger, F. Nemes, T. Novak

**Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India**

S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, P.C. Tiwari

**National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India**

S. Bahinipati<sup>25</sup>, C. Kar, G. Kole, P. Mal, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu, A. Nayak<sup>26</sup>, D.K. Sahoo<sup>25</sup>, S.K. Swain

**Panjab University, Chandigarh, India**

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, S. Chauhan, N. Dhingra<sup>27</sup>, R. Gupta, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Lohan, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, S. Sharma, J.B. Singh, A.K. Viridi, G. Walia

**University of Delhi, Delhi, India**

A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, M. Gola, S. Keshri, Ashok Kumar, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, Aashaq Shah, R. Sharma

**Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India**

R. Bhardwaj<sup>28</sup>, M. Bharti<sup>28</sup>, R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, U. Bhawandeep<sup>28</sup>, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, B. Gomber<sup>29</sup>, M. Maity<sup>30</sup>, K. Mondal, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, P.K. Rout, G. Saha, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, B. Singh<sup>28</sup>, S. Thakur<sup>28</sup>

**Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India**

P.K. Behera, S.C. Behera, P. Kalbhor, A. Muhammad, R. Pradhan, P.R. Pujahari, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar

**Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India**

D. Dutta, V. Jha, D.K. Mishra, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

**Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India**

T. Aziz, M.A. Bhat, S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty, N. Sur, RavindraKumar Verma

**Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India**

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, P. Das, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumdar, K. Mazumdar, N. Sahoo, S. Sawant

**Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India**

S. Dube, B. Kansal, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

**Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran**

S. Chenarani, S.M. Etesami, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi

**University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland**

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

**INFN Sezione di Bari <sup>a</sup>, Università di Bari <sup>b</sup>, Politecnico di Bari <sup>c</sup>, Bari, Italy**

M. Abbrescia<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Aly<sup>a,b,31</sup>, C. Calabria<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Colaleo<sup>a</sup>, D. Creanza<sup>a,c</sup>, L. Cristella<sup>a,b</sup>, N. De Filippis<sup>a,c</sup>, M. De Palma<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Di Florio<sup>a,b</sup>, W. Elmetenawee<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Fiore<sup>a</sup>, A. Gelmi<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Iaselli<sup>a,c</sup>, M. Ince<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Lezki<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Maggi<sup>a,c</sup>, M. Maggi<sup>a</sup>, J.A. Merlin<sup>a</sup>, G. Miniello<sup>a,b</sup>, S. My<sup>a,b</sup>,

S. Nuzzo<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Pompili<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Pugliese<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Radogna<sup>a</sup>, A. Ranieri<sup>a</sup>, G. Selvaggi<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Silvestris<sup>a</sup>, F.M. Simone<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Venditti<sup>a</sup>, P. Verwilligen<sup>a</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Bologna <sup>a</sup>, Università di Bologna <sup>b</sup>, Bologna, Italy**

G. Abbiendi<sup>a</sup>, C. Battilana<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Bonacorsi<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Borgonovi<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Braibant-Giacomelli<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Campanini<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Capiluppi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Castro<sup>a,b</sup>, F.R. Cavallo<sup>a</sup>, C. Ciocca<sup>a</sup>, G. Codispoti<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Cuffiani<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Dallavalle<sup>a</sup>, F. Fabbri<sup>a</sup>, A. Fanfani<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Fontanesi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Giacomelli<sup>a</sup>, C. Grandi<sup>a</sup>, L. Guiducci<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Iemmi<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Lo Meo<sup>a,32</sup>, S. Marcellini<sup>a</sup>, G. Masetti<sup>a</sup>, F.L. Navarria<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Perrotta<sup>a</sup>, F. Primavera<sup>a,b</sup>, A.M. Rossi<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Rovelli<sup>a,b</sup>, G.P. Siroli<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Tosi<sup>a</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Catania <sup>a</sup>, Università di Catania <sup>b</sup>, Catania, Italy**

S. Albergo<sup>a,b,33</sup>, S. Costa<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Di Mattia<sup>a</sup>, R. Potenza<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Tricomi<sup>a,b,33</sup>, C. Tuve<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Firenze <sup>a</sup>, Università di Firenze <sup>b</sup>, Firenze, Italy**

G. Barbagli<sup>a</sup>, A. Cassese, R. Ceccarelli, V. Ciulli<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Civinini<sup>a</sup>, R. D'Alessandro<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Fiori<sup>a,c</sup>, E. Focardi<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Latino<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Lenzi<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Lizzo, M. Meschini<sup>a</sup>, S. Paoletti<sup>a</sup>, R. Seidita, G. Sguazzoni<sup>a</sup>, L. Viliani<sup>a</sup>

**INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy**

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, D. Piccolo

**INFN Sezione di Genova <sup>a</sup>, Università di Genova <sup>b</sup>, Genova, Italy**

M. Bozzo<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Ferro<sup>a</sup>, R. Mulargia<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Robutti<sup>a</sup>, S. Tosi<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca <sup>a</sup>, Università di Milano-Bicocca <sup>b</sup>, Milano, Italy**

A. Benaglia<sup>a</sup>, A. Beschi<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Brivio<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Cirio<sup>a,b,18</sup>, M.E. Dinardo<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Dini<sup>a</sup>, S. Gennai<sup>a</sup>, A. Ghezzi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Govoni<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Guzzi<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Malberti<sup>a</sup>, S. Malvezzi<sup>a</sup>, D. Menasce<sup>a</sup>, F. Monti<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Moroni<sup>a</sup>, M. Paganoni<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Pedrini<sup>a</sup>, S. Ragazzi<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Tabarelli de Fatis<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Valsecchi<sup>a,b,18</sup>, D. Zuolo<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Napoli <sup>a</sup>, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' <sup>b</sup>, Napoli, Italy, Università della Basilicata <sup>c</sup>, Potenza, Italy, Università G. Marconi <sup>d</sup>, Roma, Italy**

S. Buontempo<sup>a</sup>, N. Cavallo<sup>a,c</sup>, A. De Iorio<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Di Crescenzo<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Fabozzi<sup>a,c</sup>, F. Fienga<sup>a</sup>, G. Galati<sup>a</sup>, A.O.M. Iorio<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Layer<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Lista<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Meola<sup>a,d,18</sup>, P. Paolucci<sup>a,18</sup>, B. Rossi<sup>a</sup>, C. Sciacca<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Voevodina<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Padova <sup>a</sup>, Università di Padova <sup>b</sup>, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento <sup>c</sup>, Trento, Italy**

P. Azzi<sup>a</sup>, N. Bacchetta<sup>a</sup>, D. Bisello<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Boletti<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Bragagnolo<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Carlin<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Checchia<sup>a</sup>, P. De Castro Manzano<sup>a</sup>, T. Dorigo<sup>a</sup>, U. Dosselli<sup>a</sup>, F. Gasparini<sup>a,b</sup>, U. Gasparini<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Gozzelino<sup>a</sup>, S.Y. Hoh<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Margoni<sup>a,b</sup>, A.T. Meneguzzo<sup>a,b</sup>, J. Pazzini<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Presilla<sup>b</sup>, P. Ronchese<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Rossin<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Simonetto<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Tiko<sup>a</sup>, M. Tosi<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Zanetti<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Zotto<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Zucchetta<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Zumerle<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Pavia <sup>a</sup>, Università di Pavia <sup>b</sup>, Pavia, Italy**

A. Braghieri<sup>a</sup>, D. Fiorina<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Montagna<sup>a,b</sup>, S.P. Ratti<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Re<sup>a</sup>, M. Ressegotti<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Riccardi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Salvini<sup>a</sup>, I. Vai<sup>a</sup>, P. Vitulo<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Perugia <sup>a</sup>, Università di Perugia <sup>b</sup>, Perugia, Italy**

M. Biasini<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Bilei<sup>a</sup>, D. Ciangottini<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Fanò<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Lariccia<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Leonardi<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Manoni<sup>a</sup>, G. Mantovani<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Mariani<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Menichelli<sup>a</sup>, A. Rossi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Santocchia<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Spiga<sup>a</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Pisa <sup>a</sup>, Università di Pisa <sup>b</sup>, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa <sup>c</sup>, Pisa, Italy**

K. Androsov<sup>a</sup>, P. Azzurri<sup>a</sup>, G. Bagliesi<sup>a</sup>, V. Bertacchi<sup>a,c</sup>, L. Bianchini<sup>a</sup>, T. Boccali<sup>a</sup>, R. Castaldi<sup>a</sup>, M.A. Ciocci<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Dell'Orso<sup>a</sup>, S. Donato<sup>a</sup>, L. Giannini<sup>a,c</sup>, A. Giassi<sup>a</sup>, M.T. Grippo<sup>a</sup>,

F. Ligabue<sup>a,c</sup>, E. Manca<sup>a,c</sup>, G. Mandorli<sup>a,c</sup>, A. Messineo<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Palla<sup>a</sup>, A. Rizzi<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Rolandi<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Roy Chowdhury<sup>a,c</sup>, A. Scribano<sup>a</sup>, P. Spagnolo<sup>a</sup>, R. Tenchini<sup>a</sup>, G. Tonelli<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Turini, A. Venturi<sup>a</sup>, P.G. Verdini<sup>a</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Roma <sup>a</sup>, Sapienza Università di Roma <sup>b</sup>, Rome, Italy**

F. Cavallari<sup>a</sup>, M. Cipriani<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Del Re<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Di Marco<sup>a</sup>, M. Diemoz<sup>a</sup>, E. Longo<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Meridiani<sup>a</sup>, G. Organtini<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Pandolfi<sup>a</sup>, R. Paramatti<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Quaranta<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Rahatlou<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Rovelli<sup>a</sup>, F. Santanastasio<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Soffi<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Tramontano<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Torino <sup>a</sup>, Università di Torino <sup>b</sup>, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale <sup>c</sup>, Novara, Italy**

N. Amapane<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Arcidiacono<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Argiro<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Arneodo<sup>a,c</sup>, N. Bartosik<sup>a</sup>, R. Bellan<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Bellora<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Biino<sup>a</sup>, A. Cappati<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Cartiglia<sup>a</sup>, S. Cometti<sup>a</sup>, M. Costa<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Covarelli<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Demaria<sup>a</sup>, J.R. González Fernández<sup>a</sup>, B. Kiani<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Legger<sup>a</sup>, C. Mariotti<sup>a</sup>, S. Maselli<sup>a</sup>, E. Migliore<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Monaco<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Monteil<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Monteno<sup>a</sup>, M.M. Obertino<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Ortona<sup>a</sup>, L. Pacher<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Pastrone<sup>a</sup>, M. Pelliccioni<sup>a</sup>, G.L. Pinna Angioni<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Romero<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Ruspa<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Salvatico<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Sola<sup>a</sup>, A. Solano<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Soldi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Staiano<sup>a</sup>, D. Trocino<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Trieste <sup>a</sup>, Università di Trieste <sup>b</sup>, Trieste, Italy**

S. Belforte<sup>a</sup>, V. Candelise<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Casarsa<sup>a</sup>, F. Cossutti<sup>a</sup>, A. Da Rold<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Della Ricca<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Vazzoler<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Zanetti<sup>a</sup>

**Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea**

B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang

**Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea**

H. Kim, D.H. Moon

**Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea**

B. Francois, T.J. Kim, J. Park

**Korea University, Seoul, Korea**

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, S. Ha, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park, S.K. Park, Y. Roh, J. Yoo

**Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics**

J. Goh

**Sejong University, Seoul, Korea**

H.S. Kim

**Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea**

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, H. Lee, K. Lee, S. Lee, K. Nam, M. Oh, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, I. Yoon

**University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea**

D. Jeon, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, I.J. Watson

**Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea**

Y. Choi, C. Hwang, Y. Jeong, J. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu

**Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia**

V. Veckalns<sup>34</sup>

**Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania**

V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, A. Rinkevicius, G. Tamulaitis, J. Vaitkus

**National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia**

F. Mohamad Idris<sup>35</sup>, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli

**Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico**

J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, J.A. Murillo Quijada, L. Valencia Palomo

**Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico**

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz<sup>36</sup>, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

**Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**

S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, M. Ramirez-Garcia, F. Vazquez Valencia

**Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico**

J. Eysermans, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarquen, C. Uribe Estrada

**Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico**

A. Morelos Pineda

**University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro**

J. Mijuskovic<sup>3</sup>, N. Raicevic

**University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand**

D. Krofcheck

**University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand**

S. Bheesette, P.H. Butler, P. Lujan

**National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan**

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, M.I.M. Awan, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

**AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland**

V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski

**National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland**

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

**Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland**

K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk<sup>37</sup>, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

**Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal**

M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, B. Galinhas, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, T. Niknejad, J. Seixas, K. Shchelina, G. Strong, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela

**Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia**

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavine, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev<sup>38,39</sup>, P. Moiseenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin



**Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia**

L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim<sup>40</sup>, E. Kuznetsova<sup>41</sup>, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, A. Vorobyev

**Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia**

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

**Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia**

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, A. Nikitenko<sup>42</sup>, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, A. Stepenov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

**Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia**

T. Aushev

**National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia**

O. Bychkova, R. Chistov<sup>43</sup>, M. Danilov<sup>43</sup>, S. Polikarpov<sup>43</sup>, E. Tarkovskii

**P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia**

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov

**Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia**

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin<sup>44</sup>, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

**Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia**

A. Barnyakov<sup>45</sup>, V. Blinov<sup>45</sup>, T. Dimova<sup>45</sup>, L. Kardapol'tsev<sup>45</sup>, Y. Skovpen<sup>45</sup>

**Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia**

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, P. Mandrik, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, S. Slabospitskii, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

**National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia**

A. Babaev, A. Iuzhakov, V. Okhotnikov

**Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia**

V. Borchsh, V. Ivanchenko, E. Tcherniaev

**University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences**

P. Adzic<sup>46</sup>, P. Cirkovic, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic, J. Milosevic, M. Stojanovic

**Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain**

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Alvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, Cristina F. Bedoya, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, D. Moran, . Navarro Tobar, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, M.S. Soares, A. Triossi, C. Willmott

**Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain**

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, R. Reyes-Almanza

**Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain**

B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, E. Palencia Cortezon, C. Ramón Ivarez, V. Rodríguez Bouza, S. Sanchez Cruz

**Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain**

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, B. Chazin Quero, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, P.J. Fernández Manteca, A. García Alonso, G. Gomez, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, F. Ricci-Tam, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Russo<sup>47</sup>, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, J.M. Vizán Garcia

**University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka**

K. Malagalage

**University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka**

W.G.D. Dharmaratna, N. Wickramage

**CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland**

D. Abbaneo, B. Akgun, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, P. Bortignon, E. Bossini, E. Brondolin, T. Camporesi, A. Caratelli, G. Cerminara, E. Chapon, G. Cucciati, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, N. Daci, V. Daponte, A. David, O. Davignon, A. De Roeck, M. Deile, R. Di Maria, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita<sup>48</sup>, D. Fasanella, S. Fiorendi, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill, F. Glege, L. Gouskos, M. Gruchala, M. Guilbaud, D. Gulhan, J. Hegeman, C. Heidegger, Y. Iiyama, V. Innocente, T. James, P. Janot, O. Karacheban<sup>21</sup>, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler, V. Knünz, M. Krammer<sup>1</sup>, N. Kratochwil, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, K. Long, C. Lourenço, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Massironi, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, J. Ngadiuba, J. Niedziela, S. Nourbakhsh, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo<sup>18</sup>, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, F.M. Pitters, D. Rabady, A. Racz, M. Rieger, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, S. Scarfi, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, W. Snoeys, P. Sphicas<sup>49</sup>, J. Steggemann, S. Summers, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, A. Tsiros, G.P. Van Onsem, A. Vartak, M. Verzetti, H.K. Wöhri, K.A. Wozniak, W.D. Zeuner

**Fake Institute for people with CERN contract, Geneva, Switzerland**

C. Bunker

**Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland**

L. Caminada<sup>50</sup>, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe

**ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland**

M. Backhaus, P. Berger, A. Calandri, N. Chernyavskaya, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Luster, R.A. Manzoni, M.T. Meinhard, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pauss, V. Perovic, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, B. Ristic, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, M. Schönenberger, L. Shchutska, M.L. Vesterbacka Olsson, R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu

**Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland**

C. Amsler<sup>51</sup>, C. Botta, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, R. Del Burgo, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, V.M. Mikuni, I. Neutelings, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, K. Schweiger, Y. Takahashi, S. Wertz

**National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan**

C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Roy, T. Sarkar<sup>30</sup>, S.S. Yu

**National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan**

P. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen

**Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand**

B. Asavapibhop, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

**ukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey**

A. Bat, F. Boran, A. Celik<sup>52</sup>, S. Damarseckin<sup>53</sup>, Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, C. Dozen<sup>54</sup>, I. Dumanoglu<sup>55</sup>, G. Gokbulut, EmineGurpinar Guler<sup>56</sup>, Y. Guler, I. Hos<sup>57</sup>, C. Isik, E.E. Kangal<sup>58</sup>, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir<sup>59</sup>, A.E. Simsek, U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

**Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey**

B. Isildak<sup>60</sup>, G. Karapinar<sup>61</sup>, M. Yalvac<sup>62</sup>

**Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey**

I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya<sup>63</sup>, O. Kaya<sup>64</sup>, Ö. Özçelik, S. Tekten<sup>65</sup>, E.A. Yetkin<sup>66</sup>

**Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey**

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak<sup>55</sup>, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen<sup>67</sup>

**Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey**

S. Cerci<sup>68</sup>, B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu, D. Sunar Cerci<sup>68</sup>

**Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine**

B. Grynyov

**National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine**

L. Levchuk

**University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom**

E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns<sup>69</sup>, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, B. Krikler, S. Paramesvaran, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, J. Taylor, A. Titterton

**Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom**

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev<sup>70</sup>, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams

**Imperial College, London, United Kingdom**

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, S. Bonomally, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, GurpreetSingh CHAHAL<sup>71</sup>, D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, P. Everaerts, G. Hall, G. Iles, M. Komm, J. Langford, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, V. Milosevic, A. Morton, J. Nash<sup>72</sup>, V. Palladino, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, M. Stoye, T. Strebler, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee<sup>18</sup>, N. Wardle, S.N. Webb, D. Winterbottom, A.G. Zecchinelli, S.C. Zenz

**Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom**

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, C.K. Mackay, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid

**Baylor University, Waco, USA**

A. Brinkerhoff, K. Call, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, C. Madrid, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, C. Smith

**Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA**

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez

**The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA**

A. Buccilli, S.I. Cooper, S.V. Gleyzer, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

**Boston University, Boston, USA**

A. Albert, D. Arcaro, Z. Demiragli, D. Gastler, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, D. Spitzbart, I. Suarez, L. Sulak, D. Zou

**Brown University, Providence, USA**

G. Benelli, B. Burkle, X. Coubez<sup>19</sup>, D. Cutts, Y.t. Duh, M. Hadley, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan<sup>73</sup>, K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, K.T. Lau, J. Lee, M. Narain, S. Sagir<sup>74</sup>, R. Syarif, E. Usai, W.Y. Wong, D. Yu, W. Zhang

**University of California, Davis, Davis, USA**

R. Band, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, F. Jensen, W. Ko<sup>†</sup>, O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, M. Shi, D. Taylor, K. Tos, M. Tripathi, Z. Wang, F. Zhang

**University of California, Los Angeles, USA**

M. Bachtis, C. Bravo, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, N. Mccoll, W.A. Nash, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, C. Schnaible, B. Stone, V. Valuev

**University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA**

K. Burt, Y. Chen, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, O.R. Long, N. Manganeli, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, W. Si, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates, Y. Zhang

**University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA**

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, S. Cooperstein, N. Deelen, M. Derdzinski, J. Duarte, R. Gerosa, D. Gilbert, B. Hashemi, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, S. May, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

**University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA**

N. Amin, R. Bhandari, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, V. Dutta, J. Incandela, B. Marsh, H. Mei, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, J. Richman, U. Sarica, D. Stuart, S. Wang

**California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA**

D. Anderson, A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, I. Dutta, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, J. Pata, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu

**Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA**

J. Alison, M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, M. Sun, I. Vorobiev, M. Weinberg

**University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA**

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, E. MacDonald, T. Mulholland, R. Patel, A. Perloff, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

**Cornell University, Ithaca, USA**

J. Alexander, Y. Cheng, J. Chu, A. Datta, A. Frankenthal, K. Mcdermott, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, A. Ryd, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

**Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA**

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, D. Berry, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, M. Cremonesi, V.D. Elvira, J. Freeman, Z. Gecse, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, R. Heller, J. Hirschauer, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, T. Klijnsma, B. Klima, M.J. Kortelainen, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Lewis, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, M. Liu, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O'Dell, V. Papadimitriou, K. Pedro, C. Pena<sup>44</sup>, F. Ravera, A. Reinsvold Hall, L. Ristori, B. Schneider, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber, A. Woodard

**University of Florida, Gainesville, USA**

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, L. Cadamuro, V. Cherepanov, F. Errico, R.D. Field, D. Guerrero, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Wang, S. Wang, X. Zuo

**Florida International University, Miami, USA**

Y.R. Joshi

**Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA**

T. Adams, A. Askew, R. Habibullah, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, T. Perry, H. Prosper, C. Schiber, R. Yohay, J. Zhang

**Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA**

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, M. Saunders, F. Yumiceva

**University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA**

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, R.R. Betts, R. Cavanaugh, X. Chen, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, V. Kumar, C. Mills, G. Oh, T. Roy, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, J. Viinikainen, H. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wu

**The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA**

M. Alhousseini, B. Bilki<sup>56</sup>, K. Dilsiz<sup>75</sup>, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili<sup>76</sup>, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul<sup>77</sup>, Y. Onel, F. Ozok<sup>78</sup>, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi<sup>79</sup>

**Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA**

B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, A.V. Gritsan, W.T. Hung, S. Kyriacou, P. Maksimovic, C. Mantilla, J. Roskes, M. Swartz, T. Vámi

**The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA**

C. Baldenegro Barrera, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, A. Bylinkin, T. Isidori, S. Khalil, J. King, G. Krintiras, A. Kropivnitskaya, C. Lindsey, W. Mcbrayer, N. Minafra, M. Murray, C. Rogan, C. Royon, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang, J. Williams, G. Wilson

**Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA**

S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, D.R. Mendis, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, A. Mohammadi

**Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA**

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

**University of Maryland, College Park, USA**

A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, Y. Feng, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, G.Y. Jeng, R.G. Kellogg, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, M. Seidel, A. Skuja, S.C. Tonwar, L. Wang, K. Wong

**Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA**

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, R. Bi, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. D'Alfonso, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hsu, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.-J. Lee, P.D. Luckey, B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, D. Velicanu, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch

**University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA**

R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, S. Guts<sup>†</sup>, P. Hansen, J. Hiltbrand, Sh. Jain, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, M. Revering, R. Rusack, R. Saradhy, N. Schroeder, N. Strobbe, M.A. Wadud

**University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA**

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

**University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA**

K. Bloom, S. Chauhan, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow<sup>†</sup>, B. Stieger, W. Tabb

**State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA**

G. Agarwal, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, A. Parker, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

**Northeastern University, Boston, USA**

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, C. Freer, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, G. Madigan, B. Marzocchi, D.M. Morse, V. Nguyen, T. Orimoto, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

**Northwestern University, Evanston, USA**

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, G. Fedi, A. Gilbert, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Velasco

**University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA**

R. Bucci, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, K. Lannon, W. Li, N. Loukas, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, F. Meng, Y. Musienko<sup>38</sup>, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Wolf

**The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA**

J. Alimena, B. Bylsma, B. Cardwell, L.S. Durkin, B. Francis, C. Hill, W. Ji, A. Lefeld, T.Y. Ling, B.L. Winer

**Princeton University, Princeton, USA**

G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, A. Kalogeropoulos, S. Kwan, D. Lange, M.T. Lucchini, J. Luo, D. Marlow, K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, C. Tully

**University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA**

S. Malik, S. Norberg

**Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA**

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, R. Chawla, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, B. Mahakud, D.H. Miller, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, C.C. Peng, S. Piperov, H. Qiu, J.F. Schulte, N. Trevisani, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

**Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, USA**

T. Cheng, J. Dolen, N. Parashar

**Rice University, Houston, USA**

A. Baty, U. Behrens, S. Dildick, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Kilpatrick, Arun Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leiton, Z. Tu, A. Zhang

**University of Rochester, Rochester, USA**

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, R. Taus

**Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA**

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, A. Gandrakota, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas

**University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA**

H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, S. Spanier

**Texas A&M University, College Station, USA**

O. Bouhali<sup>80</sup>, M. Dalchenko, A. Delgado, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon<sup>81</sup>, H. Kim, S. Luo, S. Malhotra, D. Marley, R. Mueller, D. Overton, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

**Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA**

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, F. De Guio, V. Hegde, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck

**Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA**

S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, M. Verweij

**University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA**

L. Ang, M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, G. Cummings, J. Hakala, R. Hirosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, C. Neu, B. Tannenwald, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

**Wayne State University, Detroit, USA**

R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, N. Poudyal, J. Sturdy, P. Thapa

**University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA**

K. Black, T. Bose, J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, D. Carlsmith, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, L. Dodd, C. Galloni, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger, R. Loveless, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, A. Mallampalli, D. Pinna, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, D. Teague, S. Trembath-reichert

†: Deceased

1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria

2: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

3: Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

4: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

- 5: Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- 6: Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil
- 7: Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil
- 8: Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 9: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia
- 10: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 11: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt
- 12: Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 13: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 14: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
- 15: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 16: Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 17: Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 18: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 19: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- 20: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- 21: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 22: Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary, Debrecen, Hungary
- 23: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 24: Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, Budapest, Hungary
- 25: Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India, Bhubaneswar, India
- 26: Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- 27: Also at G.H.G. Khalsa College, Punjab, India
- 28: Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India
- 29: Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- 30: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 31: Now at INFN Sezione di Bari <sup>a</sup>, Università di Bari <sup>b</sup>, Politecnico di Bari <sup>c</sup>, Bari, Italy
- 32: Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- 33: Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- 34: Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia, Riga, Latvia
- 35: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia
- 36: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- 37: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland
- 38: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 39: Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
- 40: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- 41: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
- 42: Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
- 43: Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
- 44: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 45: Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
- 46: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 47: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 48: Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia <sup>a</sup>, Università di Pavia <sup>b</sup>, Pavia, Italy, Pavia, Italy



- 
- 49: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece  
50: Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland  
51: Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria, Vienna, Austria  
52: Also at Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, BURDUR, Turkey  
53: Also at Şırnak University, Sirnak, Turkey  
54: Also at Tsinghua University, Beijing, China  
55: Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Nicosia, Turkey  
56: Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey, Istanbul, Turkey  
57: Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Application and Research Center for Advanced Studies (App. & Res. Cent. for Advanced Studies), Istanbul, Turkey  
58: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey  
59: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey  
60: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey  
61: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey  
62: Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey  
63: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey  
64: Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey  
65: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey  
66: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey  
67: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey  
68: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey  
69: Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium  
70: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom  
71: Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom  
72: Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia  
73: Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, USA, St. Paul, USA  
74: Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey  
75: Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey  
76: Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia  
77: Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey  
78: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey  
79: Also at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics, Nanjing, China  
80: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar  
81: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea, Daegu, Korea