

## 7 Analytics from Numerics: 5-Point QCD Amplitudes at Two Loops

**Authors:** Samuel Abreu, Jerry Dormans, Fernando Febres Cordero, Harald Ita and Ben Page

**Corresponding Author:** Ben Page [bpage@ipht.fr]

### 7.1 Introduction

The operation of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) has been a great success with Run 1 culminating in the discovery of the Higgs boson by the ATLAS and CMS experiments in 2012. In Run 2, the LHC experiments have moved towards performing high precision measurements with uncertainties reaching below percent level for certain observables. Looking forward to the Future Circular Collider with electron beams (FCC-ee), which will operate in the experimentally much cleaner environment of electron-positron initial states, there will be an even more dramatic increase in experimental precision. In order to exploit the precision measurements, the theory community will need to provide high-precision predictions that match the experimental uncertainties. This requires the development of efficient ways to compute these corrections, breaking through the current computational bottlenecks.

In these proceedings, we discuss the calculation of a key component in making such predictions—the loop amplitude. Specifically, we discuss the computation of an independent set of analytic two-loop five-gluon helicity amplitudes in the leading-color approximation. These amplitudes are an ingredient for the phenomenologically relevant description of three-jet production at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) for hadron colliders. Nonetheless, the methods we present are completely general and can also be applied to predictions for electron-positron collisions.

The first two-loop five-gluon amplitude to be computed was the one with all helicities positive in the leading-color approximation, initially numerically [1] and subsequently analytically [2, 3]. In the last few years, a flurry of activity in this field led to the numerical calculation of all five-gluon [4, 5], and then all five-parton amplitudes [6, 7] in the leading-color approximation. The combination of numerical frameworks with finite-field techniques with a view to the reconstruction of the rational functions appearing in final results was first introduced to our field in ref. [8], and an algorithm applicable to multi-scale calculations was presented in ref. [9]. Inspired by these ideas, the four-gluon amplitudes were analytically reconstructed from floating-point evaluations [10]. The first application involving multiple scales was the single-minus two-loop five-gluon amplitude [11]. In these proceedings, we describe the calculation of the full set of independent five-gluon amplitudes in the leading-color approximation [12]. These results were obtained by analytical reconstruction techniques, starting from numerical results obtained in the framework of two-loop numerical unitarity [5, 7, 10, 13]. Since this talk was given, the remaining five-parton amplitudes have also become available [14], and all two-loop amplitudes for three-jet production at NNLO in QCD are now known analytically in the leading-color approximation.<sup>§</sup>

These proceedings are organized as follows. In section 7.2 we describe the amplitudes

---

<sup>§</sup> The approach taken in ref. [14] is very similar to the one described here. We refer the reader to the results presented in ref. [14] for more compact expressions for the five-gluon amplitudes and further improvements in the methodology.

under consideration and the numerical unitarity framework employed for their evaluation. Section 7.3 describes the objects we will be computing and the simplifications that are performed to allow for an efficient functional reconstruction. The implementation and the results are presented in section 7.4 and we conclude in section 7.5.

## 7.2 Amplitudes

We discuss the computation of the two-loop five-gluon amplitudes in QCD. The calculation is performed in the leading-color approximation where there is a single partial amplitude. The bare amplitude can be perturbatively expanded as

$$\mathcal{A}(\{p_i, h_i\}_{i=1,\dots,5}) \Big|_{\text{leading color}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_5/Z_5} \text{Tr}(T^{a_{\sigma(1)}} T^{a_{\sigma(2)}} T^{a_{\sigma(3)}} T^{a_{\sigma(4)}} T^{a_{\sigma(5)}}) g_0^3 \left( \mathcal{A}^{(0)} + \lambda \mathcal{A}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \mathcal{A}^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \right). \quad (7.137)$$

Here,  $\lambda = N_c g_0^2 / (4\pi)^2$ ,  $g_0$  is the bare QCD coupling and  $S_5/Z_5$  is the set of all non-cyclic permutations of five indices. The amplitudes  $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$  appearing in the expansion of eq. (7.137) depend on the momenta  $p_{\sigma(i)}$  and the helicities  $h_{\sigma(i)}$  and these proceedings focus on the calculation of  $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$  in the 't Hooft-Veltman scheme of dimensional regularization with  $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ .

The first step in the analytic reconstruction procedure is the numerical evaluation of the amplitude. We evaluate the amplitudes in the framework of two-loop numerical unitarity [5, 7, 10, 13]. The integrands of the amplitudes  $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$  are parametrized with a decomposition in terms of master integrands and surface terms. Upon integration, the former yield the master integrals while the latter vanish. Labelling the loop momenta by  $\ell_l$ , the parametrization we use is given by

$$\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(\ell_l) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \Delta} \sum_{i \in M_\Gamma \cup S_\Gamma} c_{\Gamma,i} \frac{m_{\Gamma,i}(\ell_l)}{\prod_{j \in P_\Gamma} \rho_j}, \quad (7.138)$$

with  $\Delta$  being the set of all propagator structures  $\Gamma$ ,  $P_\Gamma$  the associated set of propagators, and  $M_\Gamma$  and  $S_\Gamma$  denoting the corresponding sets of master integrands and surface terms, respectively. If the master integrals are known, the evaluation of the amplitude reduces to the determination of master coefficients  $c_{\Gamma,i}$  with  $i \in M_\Gamma$ . In numerical unitarity, this is achieved by solving a linear system which is generated by sampling on-shell values of the loop momenta  $\ell_l^\Gamma$  belonging to the algebraic variety of  $P_\Gamma$ . In this limit the leading contribution to eq. (7.137) factorizes into products of tree amplitudes

$$\sum_{\text{states}} \prod_{i \in T_\Gamma} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{tree}}(\ell_l^\Gamma) = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma' \geq \Gamma, \\ i \in M_{\Gamma'} \cup S_{\Gamma'}}} \frac{c_{\Gamma',i} m_{\Gamma',i}(\ell_l^\Gamma)}{\prod_{j \in (P_{\Gamma'} \setminus P_\Gamma)} \rho_j(\ell_l^\Gamma)}. \quad (7.139)$$

The tree amplitudes associated to vertices in the diagram corresponding to  $\Gamma$  are denoted by  $T_\Gamma$  and the sum is over the physical states of each internal line of  $\Gamma$ . On the right hand side, the sum is performed over all propagator structures  $\Gamma'$  such that  $P_\Gamma \subseteq P_{\Gamma'}$ . At two-loops, subleading contributions appear which cannot be described by a factorization theorem in the on-shell limit. In practice, this complication is eliminated by constructing a larger system of equations as described for instance in ref. [15]. For a given (rational) phase-space point, we solve the linear system in eq. (7.139) by using finite-field arithmetic. This allows to obtain exact results for the master integral coefficients in a very efficient manner.

Once the coefficients  $c_{\Gamma,i}$  are known, the amplitude can be decomposed into a linear combination of master integrals  $\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma,i}$  according to

$$\mathcal{A}^{(2)} = \sum_{\Gamma \in \Delta} \sum_{i \in M_{\Gamma}} c_{\Gamma,i} \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma,i}, \quad (7.140)$$

with

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma,i} = \int d^D l_i \frac{m_{\Gamma,i}(l_i)}{\prod_{j \in P_{\Gamma}} \rho_j}. \quad (7.141)$$

For planar massless five-point scattering at two loops, the basis of master integrals is known in analytic form [16, 17].

### 7.3 Simplifications for Functional Reconstruction

Functional reconstruction techniques allow one to reconstruct rational functions from numerical data, preferably in a finite field to avoid issues related to loss of precision [8, 9]. By choosing an appropriate set of variables, such as momentum twistors [18], we can guarantee that the coefficients  $c_{\Gamma,i}$  in eq. (7.140) are rational. The specific parametrization we use is [9]

$$\begin{aligned} s_{12} &= x_4, \quad s_{23} = x_2 x_4, \quad s_{34} = x_4 \left( \frac{(1+x_1)x_2}{x_0} + x_1(x_3-1) \right), \\ s_{45} &= x_3 x_4, \quad s_{51} = x_1 x_4 (x_0 - x_2 + x_3), \\ \text{tr}_5 &= 4i \varepsilon(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \\ &= x_4^2 \left( x_2(1+2x_1) + x_0 x_1 (x_3-1) - \frac{x_2(1+x_1)(x_2-x_3)}{x_0} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.142)$$

where  $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$  with the indices defined cyclically. One could in principle reconstruct the rational master integral coefficients. However, the difficulty of the reconstruction is governed by the complexity of the function under consideration. The amplitude  $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$  of eq. (7.140) contains a lot of redundant information, and to improve the efficiency of the reconstruction it is thus beneficial to remove this redundancy. Furthermore, while eq. (7.140) provides a decomposition in terms of master integrals in dimensional regularization, after expanding the master integrals in  $\epsilon$  there can be new linear relations between the different terms in the Laurent expansion in  $\epsilon$ . We thus expect cancellations between the different coefficients  $c_{\Gamma,i}$ . In this section we discuss how we address these issues and define the object we reconstruct.

We start by expressing the Laurent expansion of the master integrals in eq. (7.141) in terms of a basis  $B$  of so-called pentagon functions  $h_i \in B$  [17]. That is, we rewrite the amplitudes as

$$\mathcal{A}^{(2)} = \sum_{i \in B} \sum_{k=-4}^0 \epsilon^k \tilde{c}_{k,i}(\vec{x}) h_i(\vec{x}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (7.143)$$

where  $\vec{x} = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  and the  $\tilde{c}_{k,i}(\vec{x})$  are rational functions of the twistor variables. All linear relations between master integrals that appear after expansion in  $\epsilon$  are resolved in such a decomposition.

Next, we recall that the singularity structure of two-loop amplitudes is governed by lower-loop amplitudes [19–22]. One can thus exploit this knowledge to subtract the pole structure

from the amplitudes in order to obtain a finite remainder that contains the new two-loop information. There is freedom in how to define the remainders, as they are only constrained by removing the poles of the amplitudes. For helicity amplitudes which vanish at tree-level,  $\mathcal{A}_{\pm++++}^{(k)}$ , we use

$$\mathcal{R}_{\pm++++}^{(2)} = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\pm++++}^{(2)} + S_\epsilon \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\pm++++}^{(1)} \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{(-s_{i,i+1})^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (7.144)$$

where  $S_\epsilon = (4\pi)^\epsilon e^{-\epsilon\gamma_E}$ . The  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{(k)}$  denote amplitudes normalized to remove any ambiguity related to overall phases. In the case of amplitudes that vanish at tree level, we normalize to the leading order in  $\epsilon$  of the (finite) one-loop amplitude. For the MHV amplitudes,  $\mathcal{A}_{-\mp\pm\pm\pm}^{(k)}$ , which we normalize to the corresponding tree amplitude, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{-\mp\pm\pm\pm}^{(2)} &= \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{-\mp\pm\pm\pm}^{(2)} - \left( \frac{5\tilde{\beta}_0}{2\epsilon} + \mathbf{I}^{(1)} \right) S_\epsilon \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{-\mp\pm\pm\pm}^{(1)} \\ &+ \left( \frac{15\tilde{\beta}_0^2}{8\epsilon^2} + \frac{3}{2\epsilon} (\tilde{\beta}_0 \mathbf{I}^{(1)} - \tilde{\beta}_1) - \mathbf{I}^{(2)} \right) S_\epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (7.145)$$

where  $\tilde{\beta}_i$  are the coefficients of the QCD  $\beta$ -function divided by  $N_c^{i+1}$ .  $\mathbf{I}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathbf{I}^{(2)}$  are the standard Catani operators at leading color. Precise expressions for the operators in our conventions can be found in appendix B of ref. [7]. We note that for both eq. (7.144) and (7.145) we require one-loop amplitudes expanded up to order  $\epsilon^2$ . By expressing the one-loop amplitudes and the Catani operators in the basis of pentagon functions, the remainder can be expressed in the same way,

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)} = \sum_{i \in B} r_i(\vec{x}) h_i(\vec{x}). \quad (7.146)$$

We observe that the coefficients  $r_i(\vec{x})$  are rational functions of lower total degree than the  $\tilde{c}_{k,i}(\vec{x})$  of eq. (7.143).

As a further simplification we investigate the pole structure of the coefficients  $r_i(\vec{x})$ . The alphabet determines the points in phase space where the pentagon functions have logarithmic singularities, and as such provides a natural candidate to describe the pole structure of the coefficients. We use the alphabet  $A$  determined in ref. [17] to build an ansatz for the denominator structure of the  $r_i(\vec{x})$ ,

$$r_i(\vec{x}) = \frac{n_i(\vec{x})}{\prod_{j \in A} w_j(\vec{x})^{q_{ij}}}. \quad (7.147)$$

We then reconstruct the remainder on a slice  $\vec{x}(t) = \vec{a} \cdot t + \vec{b}$  where all the twistor variables depend on a single parameter  $t$  and  $\vec{a}$  and  $\vec{b}$  are random vectors of finite field values. This reconstruction in one variable is drastically simpler than the full multivariate reconstruction. In addition, the maximal degree in  $t$  on the slice corresponds to the total degree in  $\vec{x}$ . We determine the exponents  $q_{ij}$  by matching the ansatz on the univariate slice and check its validity on a second slice. Having determined the denominators of the rational coefficients  $r_i$ , the reconstruction reduces to the much simpler polynomial reconstruction of the numerators  $n_i(\vec{x})$ .

The last simplification we implement is a change of basis in the space of pentagon functions. Amplitudes are expected to simplify in specific kinematic configurations where the pentagon functions degenerate into a smaller basis, which requires relations between the different

coefficients. This motivates the search for (helicity-dependent) bases with coefficients of lower total degree. To find them we construct linear combinations of coefficients

$$\sum_{i \in B} a_{i,k} r_i(\vec{x}) = \frac{N_k(\vec{x}, a_{i,k})}{\prod_{j \in A} w_j(\vec{x})^{q_{kj}}}, \quad (7.148)$$

and solve for phase-space independent  $a_{i,k}$  such that the numerators  $N_k(\vec{x}, a_{i,k})$  factorize a subset of the  $w_j \in A$ . This can be performed on univariate slices by only accepting solutions which are invariant over multiple slices. The matrix  $a_{i,k}$  allows to change to a new basis  $B'$  in the space of special functions, in which remainders can be decomposed as in eq. (7.146), with coefficients  $r'_i(\vec{x})$  whose numerators  $n'_i(\vec{x})$  are polynomials of lower total degree than those of eq. (7.147).

## 7.4 Implementation and Results

The master integral coefficients of the one- and two-loop amplitudes are computed using numerical unitarity in a finite-field. They are combined with the corresponding master integrals, expressed in terms of pentagon functions, and the known pole structure is subtracted to obtain the finite remainders as a linear combination of pentagon functions. After a rotation in the space of pentagon functions and multiplication by the predetermined denominator factors, we obtain numerical samples for the numerators  $n'_i(\vec{x})$  in a finite-field. These samples are used to analytically reconstruct the  $n'_i(\vec{x})$  with the algorithm of [9], which we slightly modified to allow a more efficient parallelization. The above steps were implemented in a flexible C++ framework, which was used to reconstruct the analytic form of the two-loop remainders of a basis of five-gluon helicity amplitudes (the other helicities can be obtained by parity and charge conjugation). Two finite fields of cardinality  $O(2^{31})$  were necessary for the rational reconstruction by means of the Chinese remainder theorem.

Table C.8 shows the impact of the simplifications discussed in the previous section for each helicity. In the most complicated case, the  $g^- g^+ g^- g^+ g^+$  helicity amplitude, we must reconstruct a polynomial of degree 53. This required 250000 numerical evaluations, with 4.5 minutes per evaluation.

The results that we provide contain the one-loop amplitudes in terms of master integrals and the two-loop remainders in terms of pentagon functions. The one-loop master integrals are provided in terms of pentagon functions up to order  $\epsilon^2$ . The combined size of the expressions amounts to 45 MB without attempting any simplification (we refer the reader to [14] for more compact expressions). These expressions can be combined to construct the full analytic expression for the two-loop five-gluon leading-color amplitudes in the euclidean region. We validated our expressions by reproducing all the target benchmark values available in the literature [1–5, 7, 11].

## 7.5 Conclusion

In these proceedings we have presented the recent computation of the analytic form of the leading-color contributions to the two-loop five-gluon scattering amplitudes in pure Yang-Mills theory. This computation was undertaken in a novel way, made possible by a collection of mature tools. The amplitude is first numerically reduced to a basis of master integrals with the two-loop numerical unitarity approach, where the coefficients take finite-field values [5, 7, 10, 13]. This allows to numerically calculate a finite remainder, expressed in terms of pentagon functions [17]. The generation of these numerical samples is driven by a functional reconstruction

helicity	$\tilde{c}_{k,i}(t)$	$r_i(t)$	$n'_i(t)$	$w_j$ 's in denominator
+++++	$t^{34}/t^{28}$	$t^{10}/t^4$	$t^{10}$	3
-++++	$t^{50}/t^{42}$	$t^{35}/t^{28}$	$t^{35}$	14
--+++	$t^{70}/t^{65}$	$t^{50}/t^{45}$	$t^{40}$	17
-+-++	$t^{84}/t^{82}$	$t^{68}/t^{66}$	$t^{53}$	20

Table C.8: Each  $t^n/t^d$  denotes the total degree of numerator ( $n$ ) and denominator ( $d$ ) of the most complex coefficient for each helicity amplitude in the decomposition of eq. (7.143) (second column) or eq. (7.146) (third column). The fourth column lists the highest polynomial we reconstruct. The final column lists the number of letters  $w_j(\vec{x})$  that contribute in the denominator of eq. (7.147).

algorithm [9] which determines the analytic form of the pentagon-function coefficients from a series of evaluations. A key step in efficiently implementing this strategy was to utilize physical information to simplify the analytic form of the objects we reconstruct, and hence reduce the required number of evaluations. First, we reconstruct the finite remainder, which removes redundant information related to lower loop contributions. Second, we decompose the remainder in terms of pentagon functions to account for relations between different master integrals after expansion in the dimensional regulator. Next, we exploit the knowledge of the singularity structure of the pentagon functions to efficiently establish the denominators of the coefficient functions. Finally, we find a basis of pentagon functions with coefficients of lower degree by exploiting their reconstruction on a univariate slice.

These techniques show a great deal of potential for future calculations. Indeed, they have very recently been used to obtain the full set of leading color contributions to the five-parton scattering amplitudes [14]. We foresee further applications to processes with a higher number of scales and loops such as the ones required for a future lepton collider in the near future.

## References

- [1] S. Badger, H. Frellesvig, Y. Zhang, A Two-Loop Five-Gluon Helicity Amplitude in QCD, JHEP 12 (2013) 045. [arXiv:1310.1051](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP12\(2013\)045](#).
- [2] T. Gehrmann, J. M. Henn, N. A. Lo Presti, Analytic form of the two-loop planar five-gluon all-plus-helicity amplitude in QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (6) (2016) 062001, [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett.116,no.18,189903(2016)]. [arXiv:1511.05409](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.189903](#), [10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.062001](#).
- [3] D. C. Dunbar, W. B. Perkins, Two-loop five-point all plus helicity Yang-Mills amplitude, Phys. Rev. D93 (8) (2016) 085029. [arXiv:1603.07514](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.085029](#).
- [4] S. Badger, C. Brønnum-Hansen, H. B. Hartanto, T. Peraro, First look at two-loop five-gluon scattering in QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (9) (2018) 092001. [arXiv:1712.02229](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.092001](#).
- [5] S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, B. Page, M. Zeng, Planar Two-Loop Five-Gluon Amplitudes from Numerical Unitarity, Phys. Rev. D97 (11) (2018) 116014. [arXiv:1712.03946](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.116014](#).
- [6] S. Badger, C. Brønnum-Hansen, T. Gehrmann, H. B. Hartanto, J. Henn, N. A. Lo Presti,

- T. Peraro, Applications of integrand reduction to two-loop five-point scattering amplitudes in QCD, in: 14th DESY Workshop on Elementary Particle Physics: Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory 2018 (LL2018) St Goar, Germany, April 29-May 4, 2018, 2018. [arXiv:1807.09709](#).
- [7] S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, B. Page, V. Sotnikov, Planar Two-Loop Five-Parton Amplitudes from Numerical Unitarity, *JHEP* 11 (2018) 116. [arXiv:1809.09067](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP11\(2018\)116](#).
- [8] A. von Manteuffel, R. M. Schabinger, A novel approach to integration by parts reduction, *Phys. Lett. B* 744 (2015) 101–104. [arXiv:1406.4513](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.03.029](#).
- [9] T. Peraro, Scattering amplitudes over finite fields and multivariate functional reconstruction, *JHEP* 12 (2016) 030. [arXiv:1608.01902](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP12\(2016\)030](#).
- [10] S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, M. Jaquier, B. Page, M. Zeng, Two-Loop Four-Gluon Amplitudes from Numerical Unitarity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119 (14) (2017) 142001. [arXiv:1703.05273](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.142001](#).
- [11] S. Badger, C. Brønnum-Hansen, H. B. Hartanto, T. Peraro, Analytic helicity amplitudes for two-loop five-gluon scattering: the single-minus case, *JHEP* 01 (2019) 186. [arXiv:1811.11699](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP01\(2019\)186](#).
- [12] S. Abreu, J. Dormans, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, B. Page, Analytic Form of the Planar Two-Loop Five-Gluon Scattering Amplitudes in QCD, [arXiv:1812.04586](#).
- [13] H. Ita, Towards a Numerical Unitarity Approach for Two-loop Amplitudes in QCD, *PoS LL2016* (2016) 080. [arXiv:1607.00705](#).
- [14] S. Abreu, J. Dormans, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, B. Page, V. Sotnikov, Analytic Form of the Planar Two-Loop Five-Parton Scattering Amplitudes in QCD, [arXiv:1904.00945](#).
- [15] S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, M. Jaquier, B. Page, Subleading Poles in the Numerical Unitarity Method at Two Loops, *Phys. Rev. D* 95 (9) (2017) 096011. [arXiv:1703.05255](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.096011](#).
- [16] C. G. Papadopoulos, D. Tommasini, C. Wever, The Pentabox Master Integrals with the Simplified Differential Equations approach, *JHEP* 04 (2016) 078. [arXiv:1511.09404](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP04\(2016\)078](#).
- [17] T. Gehrmann, J. M. Henn, N. A. L. Presti, Pentagon functions for massless planar scattering amplitudes [arXiv:1807.09812](#).
- [18] A. Hodges, Eliminating spurious poles from gauge-theoretic amplitudes, *JHEP* 05 (2013) 135. [arXiv:0905.1473](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP05\(2013\)135](#).
- [19] S. Catani, The Singular behavior of QCD amplitudes at two loop order, *Phys. Lett. B* 427 (1998) 161–171. [arXiv:hep-ph/9802439](#), [doi:10.1016/S0370-2693\(98\)00332-3](#).
- [20] G. F. Sterman, M. E. Tejeda-Yeomans, Multi-loop amplitudes and resummation, *Phys. Lett. B* 552 (2003) 48–56. [arXiv:hep-ph/0210130](#), [doi:10.1016/S0370-2693\(02\)03100-3](#).
- [21] T. Becher, M. Neubert, Infrared singularities of scattering amplitudes in perturbative QCD, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102 (2009) 162001, [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111, no. 19, 199905 (2013)]. [arXiv:0901.0722](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.162001](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.199905](#).
- [22] E. Gardi, L. Magnea, Factorization constraints for soft anomalous dimensions in QCD scattering amplitudes, *JHEP* 03 (2009) 079. [arXiv:0901.1091](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/](#)

2009/03/079.