DAMPING BUNCH OSCILLATIONS DUE TO OFF-AXIS INJECTION*

O. Etisken, N. Mounet, A. Oeftiger, S. Ogur, K. Oide, Y. Papaphilippou, B. Salvant, F. Zimmermann[†], CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Abstract

title of the work, publisher, and DOI In the FCC-ee pre-injector complex, a slightly modified SPS can serve as pre-booster. The baseline design foresees injecting the low-emittance electron and positron bunches $\frac{2}{9}$ off-axis into the SPS, and deploying strong wigglers to greatly enhance the radiation damping at the injection energy. We here compare the damping of large injection oscillations by means of radiation damping with the effect of other possible damping mechanisms such as a fast bunch-by-bunch feedback system and/or head-tail damping via nonzero chro-maticity. As a by-product, we investigate the transverse beam stability. sible damping mechanisms such as a fast bunch-by-bunch

must 1 When the SPS is used as a FCC-ee pre-booster ring (PBR), 6 GeV electron or positron bunches, from an S-band linac $\frac{1}{2}$ [1,2], are injected at large transverse amplitude [3]. To damp the injection oscillations, synchrotron radiation damping can be enhanced by installing dedicated radiation wigglers [3].

In the following, we first describe our approximate optics listribution and impedance model for the SPS PBR. We then report simulation results for the longitudinal and transverse plane, considering situations without or with radiation wigglers, ⇒ for different values of linear chromaticity, and at different $\overline{\mathsf{A}}$ bunch intensities. Finally, we draw a few conclusions.

SPS PBR MODEL For use as PBR, it is proposed to operate the SPS with an integer tune of 40 in both transverse planes [3]. This novel configuration ("Q40" optics) corresponds to a betatron phase advance of 135° per FODO cell, which minimizes the $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \leftarrow}{=}$ equilibrium emittance. Traditionally the integer tune of the \bigcirc SPS was 26; for LHC protons also integer tunes of 20 [4, 5] and 22 [6] are being used or tested.

The electron or positron bunches for FCC-ee are injected into the SPS Q40 optics at an energy of 6 GeV. We consider an off-axis injection in the vertical plane. Rough parameters $\stackrel{2}{=}$ for the injected beam are compiled in Table 1. The listed $\frac{1}{2}$ energy spread is typical for the end of the SLC linac [7]; alternative values are given in [1,2]. The emittance numbers For the refer to an electron beam without damping ring [3]. We also assume that between linac and PBR the bunches pass þ through an energy compressor, or an arc with momentummay dependent path length, where the rms bunch length increases work from ~ 1 mm to about 10 mm.

An approximate nonlinear optics model can be constructed from SPS beam measurements performed at several Table 1: Assumed Beam Parameters at Injection into the SPS Pre-Booster Ring

Variable	value
Energy <i>E</i> _b	6 GeV
Geometric emittance $\varepsilon_{x,y}$	2.5 nm
Initial injection offset	$12 \sigma_y$
Rms momentum spread σ_{δ}	0.2%
Rms bunch length σ_z	10 mm
Betatron tunes $Q_{x,y}$	40.13, 40.18
Momentum compaction α_C	0.0008

integer tunes and various beam energies during the past two decades [8-10]. Nonlinear SPS optics measurements with the Q26 optics carried out at 14 GeV in 2003 [9] provide estimates for the second and third order chromaticity: $Q_{x,y}^{\prime\prime} = +60, +300; \text{ and } Q_{x,y}^{\prime\prime\prime} = -1.2 \times 10^5, +4 \times 10^4.$ The first order chromaticity is set to +1 in both planes.

Measurements in 2016 determined the Landau octupole settings required to compensate the natural detuning with amplitude for the Q20 optics [10] (namely, the knob values $K_{\text{LOF}} = -1 \text{ m}^{-4}$ and $K_{\text{LOD}} = 0.5 \text{ m}^{-4}$, equivalent to -87and +44 T/m⁴ at 26 GeV, for eight 0.7 m long octupoles each) which correspond to a linear detuning with action variables $I_{x,y}$ of order 10⁻³ per micron in either plane [10]. We multiply these octupole strengths by a factor -2, and use them to approximately reproduce the natural machine anharmonicity, assuming that the latter is dominated by the second order contribution from the lattice sextupoles. The factor of 2 roughly takes into account the modified optical functions for the Q40 optics as compared with Q20 [11].

Traditionally, the SPS impedance, as seen by the long proton bunches, is modelled by a broad-band resonator, with a resonant frequency of 1.3 GHz, a shunt impedance of order 1010 M Ω /m and a Q value of 1 [8, 12]. This model describes the coherent motion of the SPS proton beams, e.g. [8]. Here, for the shorter lepton bunches, we assume the same impedance, and take it to be circularly symmetric. We note the existence of an alternative refined SPS impedance model [13].

Adding wigglers enhances the damping [3]. The proposed SPS wigglers have a field of 5 T and a total length of 4.5 m. Table 2 compares beam parameters related to synchrotron radiation with or without wigglers.

For the simulation, we use the code PyHEADTAIL [14], an extended version of HEADTAIL [15, 16] written in Python. We track 2.5×10^4 macroparticles over 2×10^4 turns, which corresponds to half a longitudinal radiation

this

This work was supported by the European Commission under the HORI-ZON 2020 project ARIES no. 730871.

frank.zimmermann@cern.ch

Table 2: Parameters Related to Synchrotron Radiation at aBeam Energy of 6 GeV without and with Wigglers

Variable	bare SPS	w. wigglers
Eq. emittance ε_{eq} [nm]	2.43	0.13
Eq. energy spread σ_{δ_1} [%]	0.018	0.30
Hor. damping time [s]	1.8	0.1
Hor. damping time [turns]	80,000	4,400
Energy loss / turn U_0 [MeV]	0.15	2.7
RF voltage [MV]	20	30
Eq. bunch length $\sigma_{z,eq}$ [mm]	3	33
Synchrotron tune Q_s	6×10^{-5}	8×10^{-5}

damping time without wigglers, or ten such damping times with wigglers.

LONGITUDINAL BEHAVIOUR

Figures 1 and 2 present the simulated evolutions of bunch length and energy spread after injection into the SPS, without and with wigglers, respectively. After the initial residual mismatch has rapidly filamented, within ~200 turns, the effect of synchrotron radiation becomes apparent. While in the case without wigglers bunch length and momentum spread decrease (Fig. 1), the wigglers increase the equilibrium momentum spread and bunch length so that both of them are larger than the values at injection (Fig. 2).



Figure 1: Electron rms bunch length and energy spread vs. turn number after 6 GeV injection for the bare Q40 optics.

TRANSVERSE BEHAVIOUR

With a nominal bunch population of $N_b = 2 \times 10^{10}$ and $Q'_{x,y} = +1$, about 3000 turns after injection the bunch becomes vertically unstable, as is shown in Fig. 3. The signal from a simulated head-tail monitor, in Fig. 4, reveals that the instability is dominated by the l = -1 head-tail mode. The analytical model of DELPHI [17], based on the Sacherer theory, predicts an instability rise time of about 1500 turns for the -1 mode, in good agreement with our simulation. This transverse instability persists when the wigglers are added, and it gets worse with higher positive chromaticity.







Figure 2: Electron rms bunch length and energy spread versus turn number after injection at 6 GeV for the Q40 optics with additional wigglers.



Figure 3: Vertical and horizontal bunch centroid position versus turn number after injection at 6 GeV for the bare Q40 optics and $Q'_{x,y} = +1$, at $N_b = 2 \times 10^{10}$.

This situation recalls the past. When the SPS was used as a LEP injector, positron or electron beams were transversely unstable at bunch intensities above about $N_b = 1.5 \times 10^{10}$ due to the transverse-mode-coupling instability [12, 18, 19].

Lowering the intensity to $N_b \approx 5 \times 10^9$ the centroid for motion appears almost stable. However, without wigglers, a weak residual l = -1 mode instability still drives significant vertical emittance growth; see Fig. 5. On the other hand, with wigglers added, the beam is stable at least up to $N_b = 10^{10}$, half the design bunch intensity; in this case, after an initial growth due to filamentation, the vertical emittance shrinks due to radiation damping, as is shown in Fig. 6.

In our simulation, the transverse instability can be fully suppressed, even at $N_b = 2 \times 10^{10}$, by choosing a large negative chromaticity, e.g. $Q'_y = -5$ in conjunction with a transverse damper (50 turn damping time) that acts on the bunch centroid motion. Beam stabilization by negative chromaticity and transverse feedback was already proposed and experimentally tested [20, 21]. We note the fast damping of the initial injection oscillation in Fig. 7, in a time much shorter than the transverse radiation damping time even when including the wigglers. Figure 8 shows a fast restoration of the vertical emittance after off-axis injection.



♀ bunch on the last ten turns of the simulation after injection



Figure 5: Vertical and horizontal emittance versus turn in number after injection at 6 GeV for the bare Q40 optics, $Q'_{x,y} = +1$, at a reduced bunch population of $N_b = 5 \times 10^9$. CONCLUSIONS

optics as PBR for leptons with a fast turn-by-turn damper at negative chromaticity will ensure both a fast damping of in-Additi auton ramping small positive chroma . small positive chroma jection oscillations and transverse beam stability. Additional

2424



Figure 6: Vertical and horizontal emittance versus turn number after injection at 6 GeV for the Q40 optics with wigglers, $Q'_{x,y} = +1$, at a reduced bunch population of $N_b = 10^{10}$.



Figure 7: Vertical and horizontal bunch centroid position versus turn number after injection at 6 GeV for the bare Q40 optics, $Q'_{x,y} = -5$ with transverse damper, at $N_b = 2 \times 10^{10}$.



Figure 8: Vertical and horizontal emittance versus turn number after injection at 6 GeV for the bare Q40 optics, $Q'_{x,y} = -5$ with transverse damper, at $N_b = 2 \times 10^{10}$.

MC1: Circular and Linear Colliders T12 Beam Injection/Extraction and Transport

REFERENCES

- S. Ogur *et al.*, "Overall Injection Strategy for FCC-ee", *Proc. 62nd ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High Luminosity Circular e⁺e⁻ Colliders (eeFACT'2018)*, TUPAB03, Hong Kong, China (2018).
- [2] S. Ogur *et al.*, "Linac and Damping Ring Designs for the FCC-ee", presented at the IPAC'19, Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, paper MOPMP002, this conference.
- [3] M. Benedikt *et al.* (eds.), "Future Circular Collider: Conceptual Design Report Vol. 2", CERN-ACC-2018-0057, accepted for publication in EPJ ST (2018).
- [4] H. Bartosik, G. Arduini, and Y. Papaphilippou, "Optics Considerations for Lowering Transition Energy in the SPS", in *Proc. 2nd Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'11)*, San Sebastian, Spain, Sep. 2011, paper MOPS012, pp. 619–621.
- [5] Y. Papaphilippou *et al.*, "Operational Performance of the LHC Proton Beams with the SPS Low Transition Energy Optics", in *Proc. 4th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'13)*, Shanghai, China, May 2013, paper THPWO080, pp. 3945– 3947.
- [6] M. Carlà, H. Bartosik, M.S. Beck, K.S.B. Li, and M. Schenk, "Studies of a New Optics With Intermediate Transition Energy as Alternative for High Intensity LHC Beams in the CERN SPS", in *Proc. 9th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'18)*, Vancouver, Canada, Apr.-May 2018, pp. 713–716. doi:10. 18429/JACoW-IPAC2018-TUPAF022
- [7] R.L. Holtzapple, F.J. Decker, R.K. Jobe, C. Simopoulos, "Measurements of longitudinal phase space in the SLC linac," *Proc. 16th IEEE PAC and HEACC, Dallas (1995).*
- [8] G. Arduini, H. Burkhardt, K. Cornelis, Y. Papaphilippou, F. Zimmermann, and M.P. Zorzano, "Measurements of Coherent Tune Shifts and Head-Tail Growth Rates at the CERN SPS", in *Proc. 7th European Particle Accelerator Conf.* (*EPAC'00*), Vienna, Austria, Jun. 2000, paper TUP7B09, pp. 1447–1449.
- [9] A. Faus-Golfe, G. Arduini, R. Tomas, and F. Zimmermann, "2003-2004 Nonlinear Optics Measurements and Modeling for the CERN SPS", in *Proc. 21st Particle Accelerator Conf.* (*PAC'05*), Knoxville, TN, USA, May 2005, paper MPPE009, pp. XX–XX.
- [10] H. Bartosik, A. Oeftiger, M. Schenk, F. Schmidt, and M. Titze, "Improved Methods for the Measurement and Simulation of the CERN SPS Non-linear Optics", in *Proc. 7th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'16)*, Busan, Korea, May 2016, pp. 3464–3467. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2016-THPMR036

- [11] B.J. Holzer, "Lattice Design in High-Energy Particle Accelerators," Proc. CERN Accelerator School: Advanced Accelerator Physics Course, Trondheim, August 2013, CERN-2014-009, arXiv:1601.04913 (2014).
- [12] Y.H. Chin, "Transverse mode coupling instabilities in the SPS", CERN-SPS-85-2-DI-MST (1985).
- [13] C. Zannini, H. Bartosik, G. Iadarola, G. Rumolo, and B. Salvant, "Benchmarking the CERN-SPS Transverse Impedance Model with Measured Headtail Growth Rates", in *Proc. 6th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'15)*, Richmond, VA, USA, May 2015, pp. 402–405. doi:10.18429/ JAC0W-IPAC2015-MOPJE049
- [14] K.S.B. Li et al., "Code Development for Collective Effects", in Proc. 57th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High-Intensity and High-Brightness Hadron Beams (HB'16), Malmö, Sweden, Jul. 2016, pp. 362–367. doi:10.18429/ JACoW-HB2016-WEAM3X01
- [15] G. Rumolo, F. Zimmermann, "Practical user guide for HEAD-TAIL", SL-Note-2002-036-AP (2002).
- [16] G. Rumolo, F. Zimmermann, "Electron cloud simulations: beam instabilities and wakefields", *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* 5 (2002) 121002.
- [17] N. Mounet, "DELPHI: an Analytic Vlasov Solver for Impedance-Driven Modes", CERN-ACC-SLIDES-2014-0066 (2014).
- [18] D. Brandt and J. Gareyte, "Fast Instability of Positron Bunches in the CERN SPS", in *Proc. 1st European Particle Accelerator Conf. (EPAC'88)*, Rome, Italy, Jun. 1988, pp. 690–693.
- [19] D. Brandt *et al.*, "Beam Dynamics Effects in the CERN SPS Used as a Lepton Accelerator", in *Proc. 13th Particle Accelerator Conf. (PAC'89)*, Chicago, IL, USA, Mar. 1989, pp. 1205–1208.
- [20] B. Zotter, "Comparison of Theory and Experiment on Beam Impedances: The Case of LEP", in *Proc. 3rd European Particle Accelerator Conf. (EPAC'92)*, Berlin, Germany, Mar. 1992, pp. 273–277.
- [21] E. Karantzoulis and M. Lonza, "Transverse Head-tail Modes Elimination with Negative Chromaticity and the Transverse Multi-bunch Feedback System at ELETTRA", in *Proc. 10th European Particle Accelerator Conf. (EPAC'06)*, Edinburgh, UK, Jun. 2006, paper THPCH045, pp. 2886–2888.

and DOI