

Electroweak Precision Measurements with ATLAS

Maarten Boonekamp (CEA, IRFU), on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

Outline

- Motivation : a window into the Higgs sector
- The weak mixing angle and associated measurements
- The W boson mass
- Vector boson scattering at high energy
- Current status and prospects

Loop effects on gauge boson properties



 $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{f}} \equiv \kappa_{\text{f}} \sin^2 \theta_{\text{W}} = \kappa_{\text{f}} (1 - m_{\text{W}}^2 / m_{\text{Z}}^2)$

$$\rho_{\rm f} = 1 + \Delta \rho_{\rm se} + \cdots$$
$$\kappa_{\rm f} = 1 + \Delta \kappa_{\rm se} + \cdots$$





Loop effects on gauge boson properties



Loop effects on gauge boson properties : the W boson mass

At leading order, m_w is expressed as

$$m_W^2 \sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2} G_{\mu}}, \quad \sin^2 \theta_W = 1 - \frac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2}$$

Higher-order corrections, dominantly W and γ self-energies,



Loop effects on gauge boson properties : the W boson mass

 $\Delta r = 0$ (leading order)



Loop effects on gauge boson properties : the W boson mass



Loop effects on gauge boson properties : the W boson mass



Loop effects on gauge boson properties : the W boson mass



Real contributions to weak boson interactions



Vector boson scattering at high energy

Real contributions to weak boson interactions



Vector boson scattering at high energy

Real contributions to weak boson interactions



Vector boson scattering at high energy

The ATLAS detector



Of particular importance to the measurements discussed here : Muons (Inner Detector, Muon Spectrometer) Electrons (Inner Detector, EM calorimeter & forward calrimeters) Missing transverse energy (full calorimeter system)

The effective weak mixing angle

 $pp \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- + X$

A Z candidate



Effective mixing angle from the lepton angular distributions

Factorized expression for Z boson production and decay at hadron colliders:

 $\frac{d^{5}\sigma}{dp_{T}^{Z} dy^{Z} dm^{Z} d\cos\theta d\phi}$ Production
Decay $=\frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{d^{3}\sigma^{U+L}}{dp_{T}^{Z} dy^{Z} dm^{Z}}$ $\{(1 + \cos^{2}\theta) + 1/2 A_{0}(1 - 3\cos^{2}\theta) + A_{1} \sin 2\theta \cos\phi + 1/2 A_{2} \sin^{2}\theta \cos 2\phi + A_{3} \sin\theta \cos\phi + A_{4} \cos\theta + A_{5} \sin^{2}\theta \sin 2\phi + A_{6} \sin 2\theta \sin\phi + A_{7} \sin\theta \sin\phi\}.$

Effective mixing angle from the lepton angular distributions

Factorized expression for Z boson production and decay at hadron colliders:

 $\frac{d^{5}\sigma}{dp_{T}^{Z} dy^{Z} dm^{Z} d\cos\theta d\phi}$ Production
Decay $=\frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{d^{3}\sigma^{U+L}}{dp_{T}^{Z} dy^{Z} dm^{Z}}$ $\{(1 + \cos^{2}\theta) + 1/2 A_{0}(1 - 3\cos^{2}\theta) + A_{1} \sin 2\theta \cos\phi + 1/2 A_{2} \sin^{2}\theta \cos 2\phi + A_{3} \sin\theta \cos\phi + A_{4} \cos\phi + A_{5} \sin^{2}\theta \sin 2\phi + A_{6} \sin 2\theta \sin\phi + A_{7} \sin\theta \sin\phi\}.$

Unpolarized cross section

Effective mixing angle from the lepton angular distributions

Factorized expression for Z boson production and decay at hadron colliders: ATLAS Simulation Preliminary $d^5\sigma$ $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, Z/\gamma^*$ (NLO QCD) 0 80 GeV $\leq m^{\parallel} \leq 100$ GeV $dp_T^Z dy^Z dm^Z d\cos\theta d\phi$ 0.09 Improved Born Approximation 3 $d^3\sigma^{U+L}$ Effective Born $= \frac{16\pi}{16\pi} \frac{dp_T^Z \, dy^Z \, dm^Z}{dp_T^Z \, dy^Z \, dm^Z}$ 0.08 **Production** $\{(1 + \cos^2 \theta) + 1/2 A_0(1 - 3\cos^2 \theta) + A_1 \sin 2\theta \cos \phi\}$ Decay 0.07 $+1/2 A_2 \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi + A_3 \sin \theta \cos \phi + A_4 \cos \theta$ 0.06 + $A_5 \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi + A_6 \sin 2\theta \sin \phi + A_7 \sin \theta \sin \phi$ }. 0.05 **Unpolarized cross section** sin²θ⁷ F/B asymmetry : $A_{4} = 8/3 A_{FR} \sim a + b \sin^2 \theta_{eff}$

Measurement of the Ai coefficients (20 fb⁻¹, 8 TeV)

The observed angular distributions reflect the angular decomposition discussed above:

Event selection :

- Two muons of opposite charge
- p_T > 20 GeV
- |η|<2.5

8M candidates in the muon channel. Similar selections in the electron channel.



Measurement of the Ai coefficients

The observed angular distributions reflect the angular decomposition discussed above:

Event selection :

- Two reconstucted electrons
- p_T > 20 GeV
- $|\eta_1| < 2.5, 2.5 < |\eta_2| < 4.9$

1.1M candidates from 20 fb⁻¹ at 8 TeV



Measurement of the Ai coefficients

Results:



Predictions include PDF uncertainties for the four different PDF sets, And assume $sin^2\theta_W = 0.23152$.

Electrons and muons contribute for |y| < 2.5, Only electrons contrbute for |y| > 2.5.

Results for the effective weak mixing angle

 $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{I}} = 0.23140 \pm 0.00021 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.00024 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 0.00016 \text{ (syst.)}$



Competitive in precision with the previous best individual measurements (world average still a factor two better).

Prospects at the HL-LHC



Prospects @HL-LHC:

- reduction of statistical uncertainty (3000 fb⁻¹)
- Extended pseudo-rapidity coverage ($|\eta|$ <4)

Expected reduction of PDF uncertainties
 Including LHeC data: reduction of PDF (total) uncertainties by a factor of ~5 (2) wrt to HL-LHC PDFs.

The W boson mass

 $pp \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} \nu + X$

















Derived quantities : missing transverse energy (measures the neutrino pT); transverse mass



Measurement setup (5 fb⁻¹, 7 TeV)

Lepton selections

- Muons : $|\eta| < 2.4$; isolated (track-based)
- Electrons : $0 < |\eta| < 1.2$ or $1.8 < |\eta| < 2.4$; isolated (track+calorimeter-based)

Kinematic requirements

- $p_T^{I} > 30 \text{ GeV} \qquad p_T^{miss} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
- $m_{T} > 60 \text{ GeV} \qquad u_{T} < 30 \text{ GeV}$

Measurement categories :

$ \eta_\ell $ range	0 - 0.8	0.8 - 1.4	1.4 - 2.0	2.0 - 2.4	Inclusive	
$ \begin{array}{c} W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu \\ W^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ 063 \ 131 \\ 769 \ 876 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 377 & 773 \\ 916 & 163 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 885 \ 582 \\ 547 \ 329 \end{array}$	$\frac{4\ 609\ 818}{3\ 234\ 960}$	7.8 M events
$ \eta_\ell $ range	0 - 0.6	0.6 - 1.2		1.8 - 2.4	Inclusive	
$ \begin{array}{c} W^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu \\ W^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ 233 \ 960 \\ 969 \ 170 \end{array}$	$\frac{1\ 207\ 136}{908\ 327}$		$\begin{array}{c} 956 \ 620 \\ 610 \ 028 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \ 397 \ 716 \\ 2 \ 487 \ 525 \end{array}$	5.9 M events

Measurement strategy

Mass fits

- Sensitive final state distributions : p_T^{I} , m_T , p_T^{miss}
- Signal distributions contructed from a single Monte Carlo sample, reweighting the boson invariant mass distribution, and compared to data. Mass determination by χ² minimization

- Resonance parametrisation :
$$\frac{d\sigma}{dm} \propto \frac{m^2}{(m^2 - m_V^2)^2 + m^4 \Gamma_V^2/m_V^2}$$

 A blinding offset was applied throughout the measurement, and removed when consistent results were found (compatibility among decay channels, etc).



Measurement strategy

Mass fits

- Sensitive final state distributions : p_T^{I} , m_T , p_T^{miss}
- Signal distributions contructed from a single Monte Carlo sample, reweighting the boson invariant mass distribution, and compared to data. Mass determination by v2 minimization

Extremely simple in principle, but all effects entering the observed distributions:

- Detector calibration
- Physics modelling of W production and decay

need to be controlled to 0.01 - 0.1%



Timeline (main project and ancillary measurements)



Results



(predictions set to the result of the combined m_w fit to all distributions)

Results

$m_w = 80.370 \pm 0.007 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.011 \text{ (exp.syst.)} \pm 0.014 \text{ (mod.syst.)} \text{ GeV}$ = $80.370 \pm 0.019 \text{ GeV}$



Prospects at the HL-LHC

Potential low pile-up runs at HL-LHC (14 TeV) and HE-LHC (27 TeV): 200 pb-1 per week, yielding ~1M candadite/week

Extended coverage with new tracking detector: $|\eta| < 4 \rightarrow 30\%$ reduction of PDF uncertainties.

PDF uncertainties can be reduced to about 4 MeV using HL-LHC PDF sets, and to 2 MeV using inputs From a possible LHeC.



Weak boson interactions at high energy

 $pp \rightarrow leptons/neutrinos + jj + X$

(following slides courtesy Philip Sommer)

A spectacular signature : 2 or more leptons and two very forward, high-pT jets



An electroweak $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ candidate event. The jets have $p_T=118$ GeV and $p_T=104$ GeV, with $m_{jj}=3.8$ TeV and $\Delta y_{jj}=7.1$.

Observation of Electroweak W[±]W[±]*ij* Production

arXiv:1906.03203



The analysis explores different background compositions in a likelihood fit to five bins in m_{ii} (by fitting e^+e^+ , e^-e^- , $e^+\mu^+$, $e^-\mu^-$, $\mu^+\mu^+$, $\mu^-\mu^-$ separately) Separate bin to constrain *WZjj* yield $0.86^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ (stat.) $^{+0.18}_{-0.08}$ (exp. syst.) $^{+0.31}_{-0.23}$ (mod. syst.)

Background-only hypothesis rejected with 6.5σ

(where 4.4 σ and 6.5 σ expected from Sherpa and PowhegBox+Pythia8, respectively)



3000

Observation of Electroweak WZjj Production

Data / MC

Phys. Lett. B 793 (2019) 469

Signal extracted by fitting a BDT discriminant built from 15 variables

jet kinematics $(m_{jj}, p_T^{j1}, p_T^{j2}, \Delta \eta_{jj}, \Delta \phi_{jj}, y^{j1}, n_{jets})$ diboson kinematics $(p_{\rm T}^W, p_{\rm T}^Z, \eta^W, |y_Z - y_{\ell,W}|, m_{\rm T}^W)$ combined jet-diboson $(\Delta R(j_1, Z), R_{p_T}^{hard}, \zeta_{lep})$

Background constrained in three control regions





Overestimation of strong *WZjj* production in Sherpa2.2.2, $\mu_{WZ-QCD} = 0.56 \pm 0.16$ Difference in electroweak WZjj between Sherpa2.2.2 and MG5_aMC@NL0 Background only hypothesis rejected with 5.3 σ (expected 3.2 σ)

	\mathbf{SR}	WZjj–QCD CR	b-CR	ZZ-CR
Data Total predicted	$ \begin{array}{r} 161 \\ 200 \pm 41 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{rrr} 213\\290 &\pm & 61\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 141\\ 160 \pm 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}52\\45.2\ \pm\ 7.5\end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{r} 1.36 \pm 0.10 \\ 24.4 \ \pm \ 1.7 \\ 30 \ \ \pm \ 12 \\ 1.96 \ \pm \ 0.49 \\ 36.2 \ \ \pm \ 5.7 \\ 65.4 \ \ \pm \ 10.3 \\ 0.12 \ \pm \ 0.09 \\ 0.13 \ \pm \ 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21 \pm \ 0.12 \\ 1.43 \pm \ 0.22 \\ 0.47 \pm \ 0.21 \\ 35 \pm 11 \\ 0.18 \pm \ 0.04 \\ 2.8 \pm \ 0.61 \\ 4.1 \pm \ 1.4 \\ 1.05 \pm \ 0.30 \end{array}$

Event yields, pre-fit

Observation of Electroweak ZZjj Production

ATLAS-CONF-2019-033

The electroweak signal is extracted using a BDT with 12 (4 ℓ) or 13 (2 ℓ 2 ν) variables A fit of the BDT discriminant is performed simultaneously in 4 $\ell j j$ and 2 ℓ 2 $\nu j j$ (with a 4 ℓ QCD CR defined by events failing $\Delta y_{j j}$ or $m_{j j}$)



Electroweak ZZjj production is observed for the first time with the background-only hypothesis rejected with 5.5 σ (expected 4.3 σ from MG5_aMC@NL0)

The fiducial cross section is measured to be:

$$\sigma_{ZZjj-EW}^{\text{fid.}} = 0.82 \pm 0.21 \text{ fb}$$

in agreement with the MG5_aMC@NL0 prediction of 0.61 \pm 0.03 fb



- After almost ten years of operation, the LHC is competing with the previous machines in electroweak precision.
- First mearuements of m_W and $sin^2\theta_{eff}^I$ match the earlier best inividual measurements, and show the path for future iterations
- Vector boson interactions at high energy are probed for the first time at the LHC, and will join the set of precision probes of EWSB in the mid term.