EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

✓ CERN-PPE/94-22

4 February 1994

Sw 3409

A measurement of the \mathbf{B}_s^0 meson mass

DELPHI Collaboration

Abstract

Strange beauty mesons ${\rm B}^0_s$ have been reconstructed through exclusive hadronic final states, using the data collected in 1992 by the DELPHI experiment at the LEP collider. The analysis relies on the combined use of accurate tracking and of the hadron identification capabilities of DELPHI. Three ${\rm B}^0_s$ decay candidates have been selected over a negligible background using the ${\rm D}^-_s~\pi^+$, ${\rm D}^-_s~{\rm a}^+_1(1260)$ and ${\rm J}/\psi~\phi$ decay modes, with identified kaons in the final state. The ${\rm B}^0_s$ meson mass is measured to be ${\rm m}_{\rm B_s}=(5374\pm16\pm2)~{\rm MeV/c^2}$.



(Submitted to Physics Letters B)

. .

P.Abreu²⁰, W.Adam⁷, T.Adye³⁷, E.Agasi³⁰, R.Aleksan³⁹, G.D.Alekseev¹⁴, P.Allport²¹, S.Almehed²³, F.M.L.Almeida Junior⁴⁷, S.J.Alvsvaag⁴, U.Amaldi⁷, A.Andreazza²⁷, P.Antilogus²⁴, W-D.Apel¹⁵, R.J.Apsimon³⁷, Y.Arnoud³⁹, B.Åsman⁴⁴, J-E.Augustin¹⁸, A.Augustinus³⁰, P.Baillon⁷, P.Bambade¹⁸, F.Barao²⁰, R.Barate¹², G.Barbiellini⁴⁶, D.Y.Bardin¹⁴, G.J.Barker³⁴, A.Baroncelli⁴⁰, O.Barring⁷, J.A.Barrio²⁵ W.Bartl⁵⁰, M.J.Bates³⁷, M.Battaglia¹³, M.Baubillier²², K-H.Becks⁵², M.Begalli³⁶, P.Beilliere⁶ $Yu.Belokopytov^{42}, \quad P.Beltran^9, \quad A.C.Benvenuti^5, \quad M.Berggren^{18}, \quad D.Bertrand^2, \quad F.Bianchi^{45}, \quad M.Bigi^{45} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{GeV}^{10}, \quad M.Bigi^{45} =$ M.S.Bilenky¹⁴, P.Billoir²², J.Bjarne²³, D.Bloch⁸, J.Blocki⁵¹, S.Blyth³⁴, V.Bocci³⁸, P.N.Bogolubov¹⁴ T.Bolognese³⁹, M.Bonesini²⁷, W.Bonivento²⁷, P.S.L.Both²¹, G.Borisov⁴², C.Bosio⁴⁰, B.Bostjancic⁴³ S.Bosworth³⁴, O.Botner⁴⁸, B.Bouquet¹⁸, C.Bourdarios¹⁸, T.J.V.Bowcock²¹, M.Bozzo¹¹, S.Braibant², P.Branchini⁴⁰, K.D.Brand³⁵, R.A.Brenner¹³, H.Briand²², C.Bricman², L.Brillault²², R.C.A.Brown⁷, P.Bruckman¹⁶, J-M.Brunet⁶, A.Budziak¹⁶, L.Bugge³², T.Buran³², A.Buys⁷, J.A.M.A.Buytaert⁷, M.Caccia²⁷ M.Calvi²⁷, A.J.Camacho Rozas⁴¹, R.Campion²¹, T.Camporesi⁷, V.Canale³⁸, K.Cankocak⁴⁴, F.Cao², F.Carena⁷, P.Carrilho⁴⁷, L.Carroll²¹, R.Cases⁴⁹, C.Caso¹¹, M.V.Castillo Gimenez⁴⁹, A.Cattai⁷, F.R.Cavallo⁵, L.Cerrito³⁸, V.Chabaud⁷, A.Chan¹, M.Chapkin⁴², Ph.Charpentier⁷, J.Chauveau²², P.Checchia³⁵, G.A.Chelkov¹⁴ L.Chevalier³⁹, P.Chliapnikov⁴², V.Chorowicz²², J.T.M.Chrin⁴⁹, V.Cindro⁴³, P.Collins³⁴, J.L.Contreras¹⁸, R.Contri¹¹, E.Cortina⁴⁹, G.Cosme¹⁸, F.Couchot¹⁸, H.B.Crawley¹, D.Crennell³⁷, G.Crosetti¹¹, $J. Cuevas \; Maestro^{33}, \;\; S. Czellar^{13}, \;\; E. Dahl-Jensen^{28}, \;\; J. Dahm^{52}, \;\; B. Dalmagne^{18}, \;\; M. Dam^{32}, \;\; G. Damgaard^{28}$ E.Daubie², A.Daum¹⁵, P.D.Dauncey⁷, M.Davenport⁷, J.Davies²¹, W.Da Silva²², C.Defoix⁶, P.Delpierre²⁶, N.Demaria³⁴, A.De Angelis⁷, H.De Boeck², W.De Boer¹⁵, S.De Brabandere², C.De Clercq², M.D.M.De Fez Laso⁴⁹, C.De La Vaissiere²², B.De Lotto⁴⁶, A.De Min²⁷, L.De Paula⁴⁷, H.Dijkstra⁷, L.Di Ciaccio³⁸, F.Djama⁸, J.Dolbeau⁶, M.Donszelmann⁷, K.Doroba⁵¹, M.Dracos⁸, J.Drees⁵², M.Dris³¹ Y.Dufour⁷, F.Dupont¹², D.Edsall¹, L-O.Eek⁴⁸, R.Ehret¹⁵, T.Ekelof⁴⁸, G.Ekspong⁴⁴, A.Elliot Peisert⁷, M.Elsing⁵², J-P.Engel⁸, N.Ershaidat²², M.Espirito Santo²⁰, D.Fassouliotis³¹, M.Feindt⁷, A.Fenyuk⁴², A.Ferrer⁴⁹, T.A.Filippas³¹, A.Firestone¹, H.Foeth⁷, E.Fokitis³¹, F.Fontanelli¹¹, K.A.J.Forbes²¹, F.Formenti⁷, J-L.Fousset²⁶, S.Francon²⁴, B.Franek³⁷, P.Frenkiel⁶, D.C.Fries¹⁵, A.G.Frodesen⁴, R.Fruhwirth⁵⁰, F.Fulda-Quenzer¹⁸, H.Furstenau⁷, J.Fuster⁷, D.Gamba⁴⁵, M.Gandelman¹⁷, C.Garcia⁴⁹, J.Garcia⁴¹, C.Gaspar⁷, U.Gasparini³⁵, Ph.Gavillet⁷, E.N.Gazis³¹, J-P.Gerber⁸, P.Giacomelli⁷, D.Gillespie⁷, R.Gokieli⁵¹, B.Golob⁴³, V.M.Golovatyuk¹⁴, J.J.Gomez Y Cadenas⁷, G.Gopal³⁷, L.Gorn¹, M.Gorski⁵¹, V.Gracco¹¹, F.Grard², E.Graziani⁴⁰, G.Grosdidier¹⁸, B.Grossetete²², P.Gunnarsson⁴⁴, J.Guy³⁷, U.Haedinger¹⁵, F.Hahn⁵², M.Hahn⁴⁴ S.Hahn⁵², S.Haider³⁰, Z.Hajduk¹⁶, A.Hakansson²³, A.Hallgren⁴⁸, K.Hamacher⁵², G.Hamel De Monchenault³⁹ W.Hao³⁰, F.J.Harris³⁴, V.Hedberg²³, R.Henriques²⁰, J.J.Hernandez⁴⁹, J.A.Hernando⁴⁹, P.Herquet², H.Herr⁷ T.L.Hessing²¹, C.O.Higgins²¹, E.Higon⁴⁹, H.J.Hilke⁷, T.S.Hill¹, S.D.Hodgson³⁴, T.Hofmokl⁵¹, S-O.Holmgren⁴⁴ P.J.Holt³⁴, D.Holthuizen³⁰, P.F.Honore⁶, M.Houlden²¹, J.Hrubec⁵⁰, K.Huet², K.Hultqvist⁴⁴, P.Ioannou³ $P-S.Iversen^4, \quad J.N.Jackson^{21}, \quad R.Jacobsson^{44}, \quad P.Jalocha^{16}, \quad G.Jarlskog^{23}, \quad P.Jarry^{39}, \quad B.Jean-Marie^{18} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{G}$ E.K.Johansson⁴⁴, M.Jonker⁷, L.Jonsson²³, P.Juillot⁸, M.Kaiser¹⁵, G.Kalkanis³, G.Kalmus³⁷, F.Kapusta²² M.Karlsson⁴⁴, E.Karvelas⁹, S.Katsanevas³, E.C.Katsoufis³¹, R.Keranen⁷, B.A.Khomenko¹⁴, N.N.Khovanski¹⁴ $B.King^{21}, \quad N.J.Kjaer^{28}, \quad H.Klein^7, \quad A.Klovning^4, \quad P.Kluit^{30}, \quad A.Koch-Mehrin^{52}, \quad J.H.Koehne^{15}, \quad B.Koene^{30} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Koeh}^{10}, \quad A.Koch-Mehrin^{52}, \quad J.H.Koehne^{15}, \quad B.Koene^{30} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Koeh}^{10}, \quad A.Koch-Mehrin^{52}, \quad J.H.Koehne^{15}, \quad B.Koene^{30} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Koeh}^{10}, \quad A.Koch-Mehrin^{52}, \quad J.H.Koehne^{15}, \quad B.Koene^{30} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Koeh}^{10}, \quad A.Koch-Mehrin^{52}, \quad J.H.Koehne^{15}, \quad B.Koene^{30} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Koeh}^{10}, \quad A.Koch-Mehrin^{52}, \quad J.H.Koehne^{15}, \quad B.Koene^{30} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Koeh}^{10}, \quad A.Koch-Mehrin^{52}, \quad A.Koehne^{15}, \quad B.Koene^{30} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Koeh}^{10}, \quad A.Koehne^{10} = 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{Koeh}^{10}, \quad A.Koehne^{1$ P.Kokkinias⁹, M.Koratzinos³², K.Korcyl¹⁶, A.V.Korytov¹⁴, V.Kostioukhine⁴², C.Kourkoumelis³ O.Kouznetsov¹⁴, P.H.Kramer⁵², C.Kreuter¹⁵, J.Krolikowski⁵¹, I.Kronkvist²³, W.Krupinski¹⁶, W.Kucewicz¹⁶ K.Kulka⁴⁸, K.Kurvinen¹³, C.Lacasta⁴⁹, C.Lambropoulos⁹, J.W.Lamsa¹, L.Lanceri⁴⁶, P.Langefeld⁵², V.Lapin⁴² I.Last²¹, J-P.Laugier³⁹, R.Lauhakangas¹³, G.Leder⁵⁰, F.Ledroit¹², R.Leitner²⁹, Y.Lemoigne³⁹, J.Lemonne² G.Lenzen⁵², V.Lepeltier¹⁸, J.M.Levy⁸, E.Lieb⁵², D.Liko⁵⁰, R.Lindner⁵², A.Lipniacka¹⁸, I.Lippi³⁵, B.Loerstad²³ M.Lokajicek¹⁰, J.G.Loken³⁴, A.Lopez-Fernandez⁷, M.A.Lopez Aguera⁴¹, M.Los³⁰, D.Loukas⁹, J.J.Lozano⁴⁹, P.Lutz⁶, L.Lyons³⁴, G.Maehlum¹⁵, J.Maillard⁶, A.Maio²⁰, A.Maltezos⁹, F.Mandl⁵⁰, J.Marco⁴¹, B.Marechal⁴⁷ M.Margoni³⁵, J-C.Marin⁷, C.Mariotti⁴⁰, A.Markou⁹, T.Maron⁵², S.Marti⁴⁹, C.Martinez-Rivero⁴¹ F.Martinez-Vidal⁴⁹, F.Matorras⁴¹, C.Matteuzzi²⁷, G.Matthiae³⁸, M.Mazzucato³⁵, M.Mc Cubbin²¹, R.Mc Kay¹ R.Mc Nulty²¹, J.Medbo⁴⁸, C.Meroni²⁷, W.T.Meyer¹, M.Michelotto³⁵, E.Migliore⁴⁵, I.Mikulec⁵⁰, L.Mirabito²⁴ W.A.Mitaroff⁵⁰, G.V.Mitselmakher¹⁴, U.Mjoernmark²³, T.Moa⁴⁴, R.Moeller²⁸, K.Moenig⁷, M.R.Monge¹¹ P.Morettini¹¹, H.Mueller¹⁵, W.J.Murray³⁷, B.Muryn¹⁶, G.Myatt³⁴, F.Naraghi¹², F.L.Navarria⁵, P.Negri²⁷ $S.Nemecek^{10},\ W.Neumann^{52},\ N.Neumeister^{50},\ R.Nicolaidou^3,\ B.S.Nielsen^{28},\ V.Nikolaenko^{42},\ P.E.S.Nilsen^{40},\ N.Neumeister^{50},\ R.Nicolaidou^{50},\ B.S.Nielsen^{50},\ V.Nikolaenko^{50},\ R.Nicolaidou^{50},\ R.Nicolaidou^{5$ P.Niss⁴⁴, A.Nomerotski³⁵, M.Novak¹⁰, V.Obraztsov⁴², A.G.Olshevski¹⁴, R.Orava¹³, A.Ostankov⁴² K.Osterberg¹³, A.Ouraou³⁹, P.Paganini¹⁸, M.Paganoni²⁷, R.Pain²², H.Palka¹⁶, Th.D.Papadopoulou³¹, L.Pape⁷, F.Parodi¹¹, A.Passeri⁴⁰, M.Pegoraro³⁵, J.Pennanen¹³, L.Peralta²⁰, H.Pernegger⁵⁰, M.Pernicka⁵⁰, A.Perrotta⁵, C.Petridou⁴⁶, A.Petrolini¹¹, G.Piana¹¹, F.Pierre³⁹, M.Pimenta²⁰, S.Plaszczynski¹⁸, O.Podobrin¹⁵, M.E.Pol¹⁷ $G.Polok^{16},\ P.Poropat^{46},\ V.Pozdniakov^{14},\ M.Prest^{46},\ P.Privitera^{38},\ A.Pullia^{27},\ D.Radojicic^{34},\ S.Ragazzi^{27}$ H.Rahmani³¹, P.N.Ratoff¹⁹, A.L.Read³², M.Reale⁵², P.Rebecchi¹⁸, N.G.Redaelli²⁷, M.Regler⁵⁰, D.Reid⁷, P.B.Renton³⁴, L.K.Resvanis³, F.Richard¹⁸, J.Richardson²¹, J.Ridky¹⁰, G.Rinaudo⁴⁵, A.Romero⁴⁵, I.Roncagliolo¹¹, P.Ronchese³⁵, E.I.Rosenberg¹, E.Rosso⁷, P.Roudeau¹⁸, T.Rovelli⁵, W.Ruckstuhl³⁰, V.Ruhlmann-Kleider³⁹, A.Ruiz⁴¹, H.Saarikko¹³, Y.Sacquin³⁹, G.Sajot¹², J.Salt⁴⁹, J.Sanchez²⁵, M.Sannino¹¹, S.Schael⁷, H.Schneider¹⁵, M.A.E.Schyns⁵², G.Sciolla⁴⁵, F.Scuri⁴⁶, A.M.Segar³⁴, A.Seitz¹⁵, R.Sekulin³⁷, M.Sessa⁴⁶, R.Seufert¹⁵, R.C.Shellard³⁶, I.Siccama³⁰, P.Siegrist³⁹, S.Simonetti¹¹, F.Simonetto³⁵, A.N.Sisakian¹⁴, G.Skjevling³², G.Smadja^{39,24}, N.Smirnov⁴², O.Smirnova¹⁴, G.R.Smith³⁷, R.Sosnowski⁵¹, D.Souza-Santos³⁶, T.Spassov²⁰, E.Spiriti⁴⁰, S.Squarcia¹¹, H.Staeck⁵², C.Stanescu⁴⁰, S.Stapnes³², G.Stavropoulos⁹, F.Stichelbaut⁷, A.Stocchi¹⁸, J.Strauss⁵⁰, J.Straver⁷, R.Strub⁸, B.Stugu⁴, M.Szczekowski⁵¹, M.Szeptycka⁵¹, P.Szymanski⁵¹, T.Tabarelli²⁷, O.Tchikilev⁴², G.E.Theodosiou⁹, Z.Thome⁴⁷, A.Tilquin²⁶, J.Timmermans³⁰, V.G.Timofeev¹⁴, L.G.Tkatchev¹⁴, T.Todorov⁸, D.Z.Toet³⁰, A.Tomaradze², B.Tome²⁰, E.Torassa⁴⁵, L.Tortora⁴⁰, D.Treill⁷, W.Trischuk⁷, G.Tristram⁶, C.Troncon²⁷, A.Tsirou⁷, E.N.Tsyganov¹⁴, M-L.Turluer³⁹, T.Tuuva¹³, I.A.Tyapkin²², M.Tyndel³⁷, S.Tzamarias²¹, B.Ueberschaer⁵², S.Ueberschaer⁵², O.Ullaland⁷, V.Uvarov⁴², G.Valenti⁵, E.Vallazza⁷, J.A.Valls Ferrer⁴⁹, C.Vander Velde², G.W.Van Apeldoorn³⁰, P.Van Dam³⁰, M.Van Der Heijden³⁰, W.K.Van Doninck², J.Van Eldik³⁰, P.Vaz⁷, G.Vegni²⁷, L.Ventura³⁵, W.Venus³⁷, F.Verbeure², M.Verlato³⁵, L.S.Vertogradov¹⁴, D.Vilanova³⁹, P.Vincent²⁴, E.Vlasov⁴², A.S.Vodopyanov¹⁴, M.Vollmer⁵², M.Voutilainen¹³, V.Vrba¹⁰, H.Wahlen⁵², C.Walck⁴⁴, F.Waldner⁴⁶, A.Wehr⁵², M.Weierstall⁵² M.Vollmer⁵², M.Voutilainen¹³, V.Vrba¹⁰, H.Wahlen⁵², C.Walck⁴⁴, F.Waldner⁴⁶, A.Wehr⁵², M.Weierstall⁵², P.Weilhammer⁷, A.M.Wetherell⁷, J.H.Wickens², M.Wielers¹⁵, G.R.Wilkinson³⁴, W.S.C.Williams³⁴, M.Winter⁸, G.Wormser¹⁸, K.Woschnagg⁴⁸, A.Zaitsev⁴², A.Zalewska¹⁶, D.Zavrtanik⁴³, E.Zevgolatakos⁹, N.I.Zimin¹⁴, M.Zito³⁹, D.Zontar⁴³, R.Zuberi³⁴, G.Zumerle³⁵

²Physics Department, Univ. Instelling Antwerpen, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium and IIHE, ULB-VUB, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
 and Faculté des Sciences, Univ. de l'Etat Mons, Av. Maistriau 19, B-7000 Mons, Belgium

- ³Physics Laboratory, University of Athens, Solonos Str. 104, GR-10680 Athens, Greece
- ⁴Department of Physics, University of Bergen, Allégaten 55, N-5007 Bergen, Norway
- ⁵Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna and INFN, Via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy
- ⁶Collège de France, Lab. de Physique Corpusculaire, IN2P3-CNRS, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
- ⁷CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland ⁸Centre de Recherche Nucléaire. IN2P3 - CNRS/ULP - BP20, F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex, France ⁹Institute of Nuclear Physics, N.C.S.R. Demokritos, P.O. Box 60228, GR-15310 Athens, Greece
- ¹⁰FZU, Inst. of Physics of the C.A.S. High Energy Physics Division, Na Slovance 2, CS-180 40, Praha 8, Czechoslovakia
- ¹¹Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova and INFN, Via Dodecaneso 33, I-16146 Genova, Italy
- ¹²Institut des Sciences Nucléaires, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de Grenoble 1, F-38026 Grenoble, France
- ¹³Research Institute for High Energy Physics, SEFT, P.O. Box 9, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
- ¹⁴Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Head Post Office, P.O. Box 79, 101 000 Moscow, Russian Federation ¹⁵Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, Postfach 6980, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany
- ¹⁶ High Energy Physics Laboratory, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Ul. Kawiory 26a, PL-201055 Krakow 30, Poland ¹⁷ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, rua Xavier Sigaud 150, RJ-22290 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹¹ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, rua Xavier Sigaud 150, RJ-22290 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 ¹⁸ Université de Paris-Sud, Lab. de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, IN2P3-CNRS, Bat 200, F-91405 Orsay, France
 ¹⁹ School of Physics and Materials, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK
 ²⁰ LIP, IST, FCUL - Av. Elias Garcia, 14-1°, P-1000 Lisboa Codex, Portugal
 ²¹ Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, P.O. Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK
 ²² LPNHE, IN2P3-CNRS, Universitý of Lund, Sölvegatan 14, S-22363 Lund, Sweden
 ²³ Department of Physics, University of Lund, Sölvegatan 14, S-22363 Lund, Sweden

²⁴ Université Claude Bernard de Lyon, IPNL, IN2P3-CNRS, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

- ²⁵ Universidad Complutense, Avda. Complutense s/n, E-28040 Madrid, Spain
 ²⁶ Univ. d'Aix Marseille II CPP, IN2P3-CNRS, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 09, France
- ²⁷Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano and INFN, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milan, Italy
- ²⁹Nc, Nuclear Centre of MFF, Charles University, Areal MFF, V Holesovickach 2, CS-180 00, Praha 8, Czechoslovakia ³⁰NIKHEF-H, Postbus 41882, NL-1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ³¹National Technical University, Physics Department, Zografou Campus, GR-15773 Athens, Greece

- ³⁴ National Technical University, Physics Department, Zogradu Campus, Greiser's Actions, Greiser's Actions, Greiser's Physics Department, University of Oslo, Blindern, N-1000 Oslo 3, Norway
 ³³ Dpto. Fisica, Univ. Oviedo, C/P.Jimenez Casas, S/N-33006 Oviedo, Spain
 ³⁴ Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK
 ³⁵ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Padova and INFN, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padua, Italy
 ³⁶ Depto. de Fisica, Pontificia Univ. Católica, C.P. 38071 RJ-22453 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 ³⁷ Dei de Actional Children Dideo OX11 OOX, UK
- ³⁷Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot OX11 OQX, UK
- ³⁸Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma II and INFN, Tor Vergata, I-00173 Rome, Italy
- ³⁹Centre d'Etude de Saclay, DSM/DAPNIA, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France
- ⁴⁰Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Ist. Naz. di Fisica Nucl. (INFN), Viale Regina Elena 299, I-00161 Rome, Italy
- ⁴¹C.E.A.F.M., C.S.I.C. Univ. Cantabria, Avda. los Castros, S/N-39006 Santander, Spain

⁴²Inst. for High Energy Physics, Serpukov P.O. Box 35, Protvino, (Moscow Region), Russian Federation

- ⁴³ J. Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Jamova 39, SI-61000 Ljubljana, Slovenia ⁴⁴Fysikum, Stockholm University, Box 6730, S-113 85 Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁴⁵Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale, Università di Torino and INFN, Via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Turin, Italy

- ⁴⁵Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale, Università di Torino and INFN, Via P. Giuria 1, 1-10125 10rin, Italy
 ⁴⁶Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste and INFN, Via A. Valerio 2, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
 ⁴⁷Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, C.P. 68528 Cidade Univ., Ilha do Fundão BR-21945-970 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 ⁴⁸Department of Radiation Sciences, University of Uppsala, P.O. Box 535, S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden
 ⁴⁹IFIC, Valencia-CSIC, and D.F.A.M.N., U. de Valencia, Avda. Dr. Moliner 50, E-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain
 ⁵⁰Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Österr. Akad. d. Wissensch., Nikolsdorfergasse 18, A-1050 Vienna, Austria
 ⁵¹Inst. Nuclear Studies and University of Warsaw, Ul. Hoza 69, PL-00681 Warsaw, Poland
 ⁵²Fachbereich Physik, University of Wuppertal, Postfach 100 127, D-5600 Wuppertal 1, Germany

¹Ames Laboratory and Department of Physics, Iowa State University, Ames IA 50011, USA

Introduction

Evidence for B_s^0 meson production has been already obtained at LEP through the partial reconstruction of its semileptonic decay modes [1]. In this paper, the analysis of fully reconstructed decays of the B_s^0 meson[†] and the measurement of its mass are presented. The B_s^0 - B_d^0 mass difference is expected to be similar to that of the D_s^- - D^- (99.5±0.6 MeV/c²) [2]. A value of the B_s^0 - B_d^0 mass difference was indirectly inferred from lower energy collider data in an analysis performed by the CUSB collaboration [3]. More recently, the ALEPH Collaboration at LEP and the CDF Collaboration at the Tevatron have published the observation of B_s^0 decay candidates, obtaining direct measurements of its mass [4].

The decay channels investigated in this analysis have been selected from those leading to all charged final states and with predicted favourable B_s^0 branching fractions [5]. To reduce the background from reflections of B_d^0 mesons, only the decay channels for which both the kaons are constrained to an intermediate particle mass have been accepted. After a detailed study on both simulated and real data, three channels were considered : $D_s^- \pi^+$, $D_s^- a_1^+(1260)$ and $J/\psi \phi$.

The paper is organized as follows: after a brief description of the DELPHI detector components most relevant for the analysis, particle identification and event selection are discussed in section 1 followed by the B_s^0 reconstruction in section 2. Section 3 contains then a discussion of the B_s^0 mass measurement procedure and section 4 the conclusions.

1 Particle identification and event selection

A description of the DELPHI apparatus can be found in reference [6]. Only the detectors most relevant for this analysis are described here. The silicon Vertex Detector (VD) provides track reconstruction with high resolution. It consists of three layers of silicon microstrip detectors located at radii 6.5 cm, 9 cm and 11 cm over a length of 24 cm. The resolution per point in the plane transverse to the beam axis has been measured to be 8.0 μ m.

Muon tagging is based on the associated hits in the Muon Chambers and on the energy deposition in the Hadron Calorimeter.

Hadrons are identified using the specific ionization, dE/dx, in the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) and the Cherenkov radiation in the barrel Ring Imaging CHerenkov detector (RICH).

The TPC, the principal tracking device of DELPHI, is a cylinder of 30 cm inner radius, 122 cm outer radius and a length of 2.7 m. Each end-cap is divided into 6 sector plates each with 192 sense wires used for the particle identification. The energy loss of a charged particle, dE/dx, is measured by these wires as the truncated mean of the 80% smallest amplitudes of the wire signals. For particles in hadronic jets, the resolution has been measured to be 7.5% corresponding to a separation of kaons from pions of 1.5 standard deviations for momenta from 4 GeV/c to 25 GeV/c. The probabilities for the mass assignment were computed from the measured dE/dx and its expected values for the different mass hypotheses (e, μ , π , K, p). An average rejection factor, defined as the ratio between the kaon efficiency and the pion misidentification probability, of about 5 was obtained.

The fiducial volume of the barrel RICH detector [7] covers the polar angular acceptance of 47° to 133° . This ring imaging Cherenkov detector consists of two volumes in which

[†]Throughout the paper the charge-conjugate states are implicitly included.

the Cherenkov photons are produced, one filled with liquid C_6F_{14} freon and the other with gaseous C_5F_{12} freon. 48 drift tubes containing a photo-sensitive agent (TMAE) are used for the photon detection. The RICH counter separates kaons from pions from 3.5 GeV/c up to about 20 GeV/c using the gas radiator. By adding the information from the liquid radiator, kaon identification is extended down to 1 GeV/c. The probabilities for the mass assignments were computed using the measured Cherenkov angle and the number of detected photons. Kaon candidates were then selected on the basis of the pion and kaon probabilities. A standard kaon selection was defined in order to achieve a high and constant rejection factor. The efficiency of kaon identification was measured using a D^{*} sample (reconstructed through the decay chain D^{*} \rightarrow D⁰ π and D⁰ \rightarrow K π) and also by a combined analysis of the RICH data with the dE/dx measurement. The misidentification probability was estimated using tagged muon candidates and a very pure pion sample from K^0 decays. A mean rejection factor between 12 and 15 was achieved in the momentum interval 3.5 to 20 GeV/c. In order to maximize the efficiency in the B_s^0 analysis a second, looser kaon selection was introduced giving a rejection factor of about 7.

Charged particle tracks had to satisfy the following selection criteria: the momentum had to be between 0.4 and 50 GeV/c, the relative error on momentum measurement less than 100%, the projection of their impact parameter relative to the interaction point had to be below 4 cm in the plane transverse to the beam direction and the distance to the nominal interaction point along the beam direction below 10 cm.

Hadronic events were selected by requiring five or more charged particles and a total energy in charged particles larger than 11 GeV assuming all charged particles to be pions. The hadronic event selection efficiency was estimated from simulation to be $95.0\pm0.5\%$. A total of 750,000 hadronic events was obtained from the 1992 data, of which 450,000 events were taken with the Barrel RICH detector active.

2 \mathbf{B}_s^0 reconstruction

. .

The B_s^0 reconstruction was performed in the $D_s^- \pi^+$, $D_s^- a_1^+(1260)$ and $J/\psi \phi$ channels. Because of the small number of expected B_s^0 candidate events, it is needed to keep the combinatorial background and the contamination from B_d^0 kinematical reflections at a very small level in order to obtain an accurate mass measurement. At this point it is important to notice that all the selected channels lead to a final state formed by two kaons and either two or four lighter particles. The charged K identification performed by the combined use of the RICH and the dE/dx measurements allows clean reconstruction of these states free from the effect of kinematical reflections. The combinatorial background is then further suppressed by cutting on the intermediate state masses and by requiring a well reconstructed secondary decay vertex. Therefore the selection procedure started with the kaon identification, followed by the vertex reconstruction and the kinematic cuts.

At least one kaon identified with the standard RICH selection and having momentum larger than 3.5 GeV/c was required. For the second kaon, candidates tagged by the loose RICH selection or the dE/dx measurement were also accepted. For channels involving the presence of a ϕ resonance, the combinatorial background and kinematical reflections are strongly suppressed by a narrow cut around the the ϕ mass. The kaon identification criteria were consequently made looser and only one of the two particles had to be identified as a kaon by either the RICH or the dE/dx measurement.

In order to achieve a good accuracy on the position of the secondary vertices and on the track parameters at these vertices, associated VD hits were required for all the Because of the hard fragmentation of the b quark a minimum energy of 25 GeV for the reconstructed B meson was required. Each particle had in addition to have a momentum above 1 GeV/c, except in the $D_s^ a_1(1260)$ channel where this threshold was lowered to 0.5 GeV/c for the least energetic track, in order to keep a good efficiency. Kaon pairs within $\pm 10 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ of the ϕ mass and K π pairs within $\pm 80 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ of the K*(892)⁰ mass were taken as decay product of the resonance. These cuts correspond to ± 2.5 and ± 2.0 times the observed Gaussian widths for the ϕ and the K*(892)⁰ respectively. Candidate B_s^0 mesons were accepted in a 300 MeV/c² mass interval above the B_d^0 mass, i.e. in the range from 5.28 GeV/c² to 5.58 GeV/c². The combined reconstruction efficiencies for the different channels were measured using Monte Carlo generated events including full detector simulation. They were found to be in the range from 15% to 20%.

2.1 The $\mathbf{D}_s^- \pi^+$ and $\mathbf{D}_s^- \mathbf{a}_1^+(1260)$ channel

After applying the cuts described above, a D_s^- meson was reconstructed in the two modes $\phi\pi$ or $K^*(892)^0$ K. The two distinct secondary vertices of the B_s^0 decay chain were reconstructed in the following way. A D_s^- vertex was formed with the association of the kaons and a pion satisfying the ϕ or the $K^*(892)^0$ intermediate mass constraint. The KK π combination was then accepted as a D_s^- candidate if its momentum was larger than 4 GeV/c and its mass between 1.93 and 2.01 GeV/c², corresponding to ± 2.0 times its observed Gaussian width. The D_s^- candidate was then extrapolated backwards to form a second vertex with the remaining pions. The primary vertex was obtained by the intersection of the beam spot with the extrapolated B_s^0 track. The decay distance between the D_s^- vertex and the primary vertex and between the B_s^0 vertex and the primary vertex had to be larger than twice the associated error.

For the $D_s^ a_1^+(1260)$ mode, the three pion system had to be compatible with a $a_1(1260)$ decay within $\pm 250 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ of its mass. Because of the larger combinatorial background predicted by simulation, only the $\phi\pi$ mode was used to reconstruct D_s^- mesons in this channel. One event was found in each of the two channels. The display of the $D_s^ a_1^+(1260)$ candidate is shown in figure 1.

2.2 The $J/\psi \phi$ channel

 $1/\hbar$ mesons have been reconstructed through their decay into monobally where are $3/\hbar$ mesons have been have been under the four the solution of the fourth of the four the solution of the four the solution of the four the solution of the fourth of the solution of the fourth of the fourth

 J/ψ mesons have been reconstructed through their decay into muon pairs. Opposite sign muons with an invariant mass within 100 MeV/c² of the nominal J/ψ mass and with an energy larger than 10 GeV were taken as J/ψ candidates. In this decay channel only one secondary vertex is present. This was reconstructed by requiring the four charged particle tracks to form a common detached vertex with a probability larger than 1% and a decay distance from the Z⁰ production point larger than twice its preseries of the tracks.

3 \mathbf{B}_s^0 mass measurement

The invariant mass distribution for the three decay channels considered in this analysis is shown in Figure 2. Three B_s^0 decay candidates have been reconstructed. In order to determine for each candidate the most precise mass, a global constrained fit was performed on the three candidates forcing all the intermediate states $(D_s^-, J/\psi, \phi)$ to their known masses and recomputing the secondary vertices. Furthermore, all remaining tracks in the B_s^0 hemisphere with the addition of the reconstructed B_s^0 track and the beamspot constraint were used to form the primary vertex. A global fit probability larger than 1% was required. All the three candidates fulfilled this cut. In the simulated data, the χ^2 probability distribution was found to be flat for B_s^0 events. The difference between the observed and generated B_s^0 mass divided by its computed mass error was found to be well described by a normal distribution. The mass errors of the geometrical vertex fit were checked using a sample of $J/\psi \to \mu\mu$ candidates. Again the computed errors agreed with the fitted mass resolution.

The relevant properties of each of these B_s^0 candidates are listed in Table 1: the reconstructed mass, the closer to the B_d^0 mass [‡] of the two possible masses obtained when changing a kaon into a pion, the B_s^0 energy and decay length.

Table 1. 110perfiles of the three D _s events.						
		mode	B_s^0 mass	Reflected mass	Energy	Decay
			(MeV/c^2)	(MeV/c^2)	(GeV)	length (mm)
	1	$\mathrm{D}^{-}_{s}~(\phi\pi~)\pi$	5325 ± 32	5200	27.1	2.3
	2	D^s $(\phi\pi$) a ₁	5345 ± 32	5130	26.7	1.4
	3	${ m J}/\psi ~\phi$	5389 ± 16	5275	36.9	1.6

Table 1: Properties of the three B^0_s events.

The weighted average of the masses of the three candidates leads to the result: $m_{B_s} = (5371 \pm 13 \ (stat)) MeV/c^2$. The corresponding χ^2 is 4 for 2 degrees of freedom and the observed mass r.m.s. is 32 MeV/c² in agreement with the expected resolution. Several sources of error for the B_s^0 mass measurement have been studied.

Firstly it is important to make sure that the observed B_s^0 candidates are not due to reflection of the more abundantly produced B_d^0 meson when a misidentified pion is attributed the kaon mass. The expected number of B_d^0 reflections in the D_s^- decay channels has been computed taking into account the different B_d^0 and B_s^0 production rate, the pion rejection factor achieved with the RICH and the intermediate mass constraint. The result is 0.10 event. In fact neither of the two candidates in the D_s^- channels is compatible with the B^0_d mass on assigning the pion mass to either of the kaon candidates. For the $\mathrm{J}/\psi~\phi$ candidate, one kaon is identified by the specific ionization and its reflected mass is compatible with the B_d^0 hypothesis. A possible reflection from the non resonant $B_d^0 \to J/\psi K\pi$ decay has been studied. The reflected mass spectrum for the $K\pi$ system, imposing a kaon mass to the pion, is a broad structure centered on 1.6 GeV/c^2 so that the mass range used for the ϕ resonance contains only 0.6% of the generated sample. The global probability that this event comes from a B_d^0 meson decay is 10% and contributes 0.10 events to the reflection background. As a further check, the B_s^0 search was performed on two simulated data samples: the first one corresponding to 1.5 million hadronic Z⁰ decays and the second one being an enriched sample of B particles decaying in all charged final states.

[‡]Particle Data Group world average value [8] (5278.7 ± 2.0) MeV/c²

Only genuine B_s^0 decays were selected by the cuts used in the analysis. Considering the three decay modes taken into account in this analysis, the expected background from B_d^0 reflections has been evaluated to be 0.20 events.

The combinatorial background represents a second source of errors. No events other than the B_s^0 candidates were found in the mass region 4.5 to 6.0 GeV/c². The combinatorial background was evaluated from the real data by scaling the number of events in this mass region before and after the kaon identification and the vertex cuts. The expected total number of background events in the B_s^0 candidate sample is $(0.05\pm0.04)/(50 \text{ MeV/c}^2)$, consistent with the observation of no event outside the signal region.

A third source of bias comes from the incomplete reconstruction of decays through a D_s^* . Rates for the B_s^0 to D_s^* decays are expected to be comparable with those for the direct decay to D_s^- [5]. Since the photons produced in these decays are not included in this analysis, they contribute a broad structure beside the genuine B_s^0 mass peak.

In order to take into account the possible distortions of the measured mass and the contribution to the error from these sources, a global likelihood fit was performed. For each event, the probability density function includes the signal and, in the D_s^- channels, the contribution from incomplete D_s^* reconstruction. The signal is described by a Gaussian with its centre and width corresponding to the measured mass and error. The shape for the decays with a D_s^* was obtained from a mass constrained fit of the event, allowing a free photon and imposing the D_s^* mass. The weights of the genuine D_s^- contribution and of that from the D_s^* were set equal (i.e. assuming an equal production of D_s^- and D_s^*). The mass distributions of the background were assumed to be flat in all channels, while the distribution of the $J/\psi K\pi$ reflection was derived from Monte Carlo simulation in which the compatibility of the "KK" mass (when attributing the K mass to the π) with the ϕ mass was imposed.

The free parameters of the fit are the B_s^0 mass and the expected number of signal events in each of the three channels. The resulting likelihood distribution is shown in fig. 3b as a function of the B_s^0 mass.

This fit leads to the value of $m_{B_s} = (5374 \pm 16) \text{ MeV/c}^2$ where the error accounts for the statistical error and the systematical error from the background, the kinematical reflections and the D_s^* channels. The stability of the result when changing the ratio of D_s^- / D_s^* production or the reflection probability for the $J/\psi \phi$ event was also checked: a change of these values by 50% does not vary the value of the fitted mass.

An additional source of systematic error comes from the absolute mass scale calibration. Charmed and beauty mesons were used to check this calibration. The reconstructed masses of J/ψ and D_s^- particles were found to be in good agreement with the Particle Data Group values [8]. High momentum D^0 decaying into $K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ and D^- decaying into $K^+\pi^-\pi^-$ were used for evaluating the momentum scale uncertainty. From their measured masses of $1866 \pm 3 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ and $1868 \pm 2 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ respectively this uncertainty has been estimated to be 0.11%. The comparison of the masses measured for the B^0 and B^- meson candidates in channels analogous to those used in this analysis with Particle Data Group value confirmed this result. The use of the mass scale. The contribution from this systematic error source was estimated to be 2 MeV/c^2 .

4 Conclusions

 B^0_s mesons have been detected through fully reconstructed final states. From three candidates the B^0_s meson mass has been measured to be $(5374 \pm 16 \pm 2)$ MeV/c², where the first error represents the statistical error and the systematic error from the background sources combined and the second error represents the mass scale uncertainty. This gives a mass difference between the B^0_s and B^0_d meson of (95 ± 16) MeV/c² using the world average value of the B^0_d meson mass. This mass difference is in good agreement with the existing results and the predictions of the quark model.

Acknowledgements

We are greatly indebted to our technical collaborators and to the funding agencies for their support in building and operating the DELPHI detector, and to the members of the CERN-SL Division for the excellent performance of the LEP collider.

References

- P.Abreu et al., DELPHI collab., Phys. Lett. **B289** (1992) 199;
 D. Buskulic et al., ALEPH collab., Phys. Lett. **B294** (1992) 145;
 P. D. Acton et al., OPAL collab., Phys. Lett. **B295** (1992) 357.
- [2] U. Aglietti, Phys. Lett. B281 (1992) 341;
 T. Ito, T. Morii, M. Tanimoto, Z. Phys. C59 (1993) 57;
 Z. Guralnik and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B302 (1993) 103.
- [3] J. Lee-Franzini et al., CUSB collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 2947.
- [4] D. Buskulic et al., ALEPH collab., Phys. Lett. **311** (1993) 425;
 F. Abe et al., CDF collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 1685.
- [5] J. Bijnens and F. Hoogeveen, Phys. Lett. **B283** (1992) 434.
- [6] DELPHI collab., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A303 (1991) 233.
- [7] E. G. Anassontzis et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A323 (1992) 351.
- [8] Particle Data Group, K.Hikasa et al., Phys. Rev. D45 (1992).



Figure 1: Display of the $r - \phi$ projection of the reconstructed decay chain for the $D_s^- a_1^+(1260)$ candidate. The ellipses correspond to the 1 σ region around the reconstructed vertices and the bars on the tracks represent the computed extrapolation errors.



Figure 2: The invariant mass distribution for the $D_s^- \pi^+$, $D_s^- a_1^+(1260)$ and $J/\psi \phi$ decay channels. No entry outside the B_s^0 mass acceptance interval from 5.28 to 5.58 GeV/c² is found.



Figure 3: (a) The three B_s^0 candidate masses and errors after the global constrained fit. (b) The likelihood distribution obtained from the fit with the resulting B_s^0 mass and the 68% confidence interval. The small bump at the right corresponds the contribution from the incompletely reconstructed decays with a D_s^* .

·

......