CERN-TH.7025/93
ENSLAPP-A-437/93

Polynomial Relations in the Centre of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(N))$

Daniel Arnaudon [a,b, 1] and Michel Bauer [a, 2]

[a] Theory Division, CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

[b] ENSLAPP[3], Chemin de Bellevue BP 110, 74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France

When the parameter of deformation q is a root of unity, the centre of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(N))$ contains, besides the usual q-deformed Casimirs, a set of new generators, which are basically the m-th powers of all the Cartan generators of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(N))$. All these central elements are however not independent. In this letter, generalising the well-known case of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(2))$, we explicitly write polynomial relations satisfied by the generators of the centre. Application to the parametrization of irreducible representations and to fusion rules are sketched.

CERN-TH.7025/93
October 1993
hep-th/9310030

[1] arnaudon@surya11.cern.ch, arnaudon@lapphp1.in2p3.fr
Address after 1st Oct. 1993: ENSLAPP

[2] mbauer@surya11.cern.ch
On leave from SPhT, CE Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

[3] URA 14-36 du CNRS, associée à l'E.N.S. de Lyon et au L.A.P.P. d'Annecy-le-Vieux.

1. Symmetric polynomials

In the course of our study of Casimirs for $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(N))$ we shall repeatedly encounter special symmetric polynomials of N variables denoted by $x_1, \cdots, x_N$. In the classical theory of Casimirs of $sl(N)$, symmetric polynomials in N variables play a special role since the Weyl group of $sl(N)$ is the symmetric group on N objects. The Weyl group acts on the Cartan torus and on its Lie algebra $\Im$ and a well-known theorem of Harish–Chandra says that there is natural isomorphism between the centre of $\mathcal{U}(sl(N))$ and the Weyl-invariant elements of $\mathcal{U}(\Im)$. The more precise corresponding statements in the case of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(N))$ when q is a root of unity will be given below.

The elementary symmetric polynomials $c_1, \cdots, c_N$ are defined by the identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N}(1 - tx_i) = 1 - c_1 t + c_2 t^2 - \cdots + (-1)^N c_N t^N \equiv G(t). \tag{1.1}$$

Hence for $i = 1, \cdots, N$

$$c_i = \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_i \le N} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_i} \tag{1.2}$$

and it is an old theorem attributed to Newton that any symmetric polynomial in $x_1, \cdots, x_N$ (with coefficients in a ring) is a polynomial in $c_1, \cdots, c_N$ with coefficients in the same ring.

The polynomials of interest to us in the sequel are generalisations of the elementary ones obtained by replacing the variables x_i by their m^{th} power. Hence we define $P^{(N)}_{i,m}(c_1, \cdots, c_N)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, N$ and $m = 1, 2, \cdots$ by the identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N}(1 - tx_i^m) \equiv 1 - P^{(N)}_{1,m} t + P^{(N)}_{2,m} t^2 - \cdots + (-1)^N P^{(N)}_{N,m} t^N. \tag{1.3}$$

It is useful to have expressions displaying these polynomials directly in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials c_i (and not in terms of the variables $x_1, \cdots, x_N$). A method that works nicely for fixed m is to remark that for any primitive m^{th} root of unity q

$$1 - t^m x_i^m = \prod_{l=1}^{m}(1 - q^l t x_i)\ , \tag{1.4}$$

from which we deduce that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N}(1 - t^m x_i^m) = \prod_{l=1}^{m} G(q^l t)\ . \tag{1.5}$$

Finally we obtain the desired result

$$1 - P^{(N)}_{1,m} t^m + P^{(N)}_{2,m} t^{2m} - \cdots + (-1)^N P^{(N)}_{N,m} t^{Nm} = \prod_{l=1}^{m} G(q^l t). \tag{1.6}$$

This formula makes the computation of the $P_{i,m}^{(N)}$'s for reasonnable values of N and m tractable, at least with the help of a computer.

The polynomials $P_{1,m}^{(N)}$ will play a distinguished role in what follows. The generating function

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{1,m}^{(N)} \frac{t^m}{m} \tag{1.7}$$

is easy to express in terms of $c_1, \cdots, c_N$, because

$$-\log(1-tx_i) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x_i^m \frac{t^m}{m} \ , \tag{1.8}$$

leading to

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{1,m}^{(N)} \frac{t^m}{m} = -\log G(t) \ . \tag{1.9}$$

Let us end this section with some examples of these polymials. First note that for our purpose we will have to consider only the particular case $c_N = 1$.

In the case of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(2))$, we will need $P_{1,m}^{(2)}$, which is closely related to the m^{th} Chebichev polynomial of the first kind.

In the case of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(3))$ and $m = 5$, the polynomials of interest are

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,5}^{(3)}(c_1, c_2) &= c_1^5 - 5c_1^3 c_2 + 5c_1 c_2^2 + 5c_1^2 - 5c_2 \\ P_{2,5}^{(3)}(c_1, c_2) &= c_2^5 - 5c_1 c_2^3 + 5c_1^2 c_2 + 5c_2^2 - 5c_1 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

In the case of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(4))$ and $m = 5$, we will need

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,5}^{(4)}(c_1, c_2, c_3) &= c_1^5 - 5c_1^3 c_2 + 5c_1 c_2^2 + 5c_1^2 c_3 - 5c_2 c_3 - 5c_1 \\ P_{2,5}^{(4)}(c_1, c_2, c_3) &= c_2^5 - 5c_1 c_2^3 c_3 + 5c_1^2 c_2 c_3^2 + 5c_2^2 c_3^2 - 5c_1 c_3^3 + 5c_1^2 c_2^2 \\ &\quad - 5c_2^3 - 5c_1^3 c_3 - 5c_1 c_2 c_3 + 5c_3^2 + 5c_1^2 + 5c_2 \\ P_{3,5}^{(4)}(c_1, c_2, c_3) &= c_3^5 - 5c_2 c_3^3 + 5c_2^2 c_3 + 5c_1 c_3^2 - 5c_1 c_2 - 5c_3 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

2. $\mathcal{U}_q\,(sl(N))$ at roots of unity

Let $\{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{N-1}\}$ be the set of simple roots of $sl(N)$. We define vectors ϵ_1,..., ϵ_N by $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i = 0$.

The "simply connected" quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$ is defined by the generators e_i, and f_i, for $i = 1, ..., N-1$, and $k_{\pm\epsilon_i}$ for $i = 1, ..., N$, and the relations

$$\begin{cases} k_{\beta_1} k_{\beta_2} = k_{\beta_1+\beta_2} \ , \\ k_{\epsilon_i} e_j k_{\epsilon_i}^{-1} = q^{\delta_{ij}-\delta_{i-1,j}} e_j \ , \\ k_{\epsilon_i} f_j k_{\epsilon_i}^{-1} = q^{-\delta_{ij}+\delta_{i-1,j}} f_j \ , \\ [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \dfrac{k_{\alpha_i} - k_{\alpha_i}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \ , \\ [e_i, e_j] = [f_i, f_j] = 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad |i-j| \geq 2 \ , \\ e_i^2 e_{i\pm1} - (q+q^{-1}) e_i e_{i\pm1} e_i + e_{i\pm1} e_i^2 = 0 \ , \\ f_i^2 f_{i\pm1} - (q+q^{-1}) f_i f_{i\pm1} f_i + f_{i\pm1} f_i^2 = 0 \ . \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^0$ be the subalgebra generated by the k_{ϵ_i}'s, and $\mathcal{U}^+, \mathcal{U}^-$ the subalgebras generated by the e_i's, f_i's, respectively.

Two sets of quantum analogues of the roots vectors are inductively defined as

$$\begin{cases} e_{i,i+1} = \tilde{e}_{i,i+1} \equiv e_i & \text{for } i = 1, ..., N-1 \\ e_{i,j+1} = e_{ij} e_j - q^{-1} e_j e_{ij} & \text{for } i < j \\ \tilde{e}_{i,j+1} = \tilde{e}_{ij} e_j - q e_j \tilde{e}_{ij} & \text{for } i < j \ ; \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

as are the f_{ij} and $\tilde{f}_{ij}$.

Quantum analogues of Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt bases can be built with ordered monomials in these generators [1].

When q is not a root of unity, there exists a quantum analogue of Harish–Chandra theorem [2,3]: there exists an algebra isomorphism h from Z, the centre of $\mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$, to the algebra of symmetric polynomials in the $k_{2\epsilon_i}$. This isomorphism h can be written as $h = \gamma^{-1} \circ h'$, with the following notations: h' is the projection on $\mathcal{U}^0$, within the direct sum $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^0 \oplus (\mathcal{U}^- \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}^+)$, with $\mathcal{U} \equiv \mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$; γ is the automorphism of $\mathcal{U}^0$ given by $\gamma(k_{2\epsilon_i}) = q^{N+1-2i} k_{2\epsilon_i}$.

A set of generators of Z is given by

$$\{\mathcal{C}_i = h^{-1}(c_i(k_{2\epsilon_1}, ..., k_{2\epsilon_N}))\}_{i=1,...,N-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

An expanded expression for these generators (denoted there by $\tilde{c}_k$) appears in [4] in the form (up to slight changes of convention and normalization):

$$\mathcal{C}_i = q^{i(N-i)} \mathcal{N}_i(q^{-2})^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{N-i}(q^{-2})^{-1} \sum_{\sigma,\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}(N)} (-q^{-1})^{l(\sigma)+l(\sigma')} l^{(+)}_{\sigma_1\sigma'_1} ... l^{(+)}_{\sigma_i\sigma'_i} l^{(-)}_{\sigma_{i+1}\sigma'_{i+1}} ... l^{(-)}_{\sigma_N\sigma'_N} \ , \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_i(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{i}(1 + \cdots + x^{n-1})$, where $l(\sigma)$ is the length of the shortest expression of the permutation σ in terms of simple transpositions, and where

$$\begin{aligned} l_{ii}^{(+)} &= \left(l_{ii}^{(-)}\right)^{-1} = k_{\epsilon_i} \\ l_{ij}^{(+)} &= l_{ji}^{(-)} = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad i > j \\ l_{ij}^{(+)} &= (q - q^{-1})(-1)^{j-i+1}\tilde{f}_{ij}k_{\epsilon_i} \qquad \text{for} \quad i < j \\ l_{ij}^{(-)} &= (q - q^{-1})(-1)^{j-i}k_{-\epsilon_i}\tilde{e}_{ij} \qquad \text{for} \quad i > j \ . \end{aligned}$$

The first and last of these Casimirs are explicitly given by

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q^{N+1-2i}k_{2\epsilon_i} + (q - q^{-1})^2 \sum_{1\le i<j\le N} (-1)^{j-i-1}q^{N+1-i-j}\tilde{f}_{ij}e_{ij}k_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{N-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q^{-N-1+2i}k_{-2\epsilon_i} + (q-q^{-1})^2 \sum_{1\le i<j\le N} (-1)^{j-i-1}q^{-N-1+i+j}f_{ij}\tilde{e}_{ij}k_{-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j} \ . \tag{2.6}$$

When q is a root of unity, the image Z_1 of h is still a well-defined central subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$ [3], but it does not generate the whole centre. Let Z_0 be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$ generated by the elements f_{ij}^m, e_{ij}^m and $k_{m\epsilon_i}$. (We could also replace f_{ij} by $\tilde{f}_{ij}$, or e_{ij} by $\tilde{e}_{ij}$, this would lead to the same Z_0.) When m' is odd, these elements are central, and the centre Z of $\mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$ is actually generated by Z_0 and Z_1 [3].

3. Relations in the centre of $\mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$

Theorem: *If m' is odd, the following relations are satisfied in the centre of $\mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,m}^{(N)}(\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_{N-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} q^{m(N+1)}k_{2m\epsilon_i} \\ &+ (q - q^{-1})^{2m} \sum_{1\le i<j\le N} (-1)^{m(j-i-1)}q^{m(N+1-i-j)}\tilde{f}_{ij}^m e_{ij}^m k_{m\epsilon_i+m\epsilon_j} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{N-1,m}^{(N)}(\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_{N-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} q^{-m(N+1)}k_{-2m\epsilon_i} \\ &+ (q - q^{-1})^{2m} \sum_{1\le i<j\le N} (-1)^{m(j-i-1)}q^{m(-N-1+i+j)}f_{ij}^m \tilde{e}_{ij}^m k_{-m\epsilon_i-m\epsilon_j} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 1: Actually, all the powers of q are equal to 1 since m' is odd, but we conjecture that these formulae remain true for even m'. [In this case, the terms $\tilde{f}_{ij}^m$, e_{ij}^m and $k_{m\epsilon_i+m\epsilon_j}$ are not individually central, but their products are.]

Remark 2: To get the right-hand sides of these relations, one simply replaces each term (including numerical factors) in the expression of $\mathcal{C}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{N-1}$) by its m^{th} power. This remarkable relationship seems to hold between $P_{i,m}^{(N)}(\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_{N-1})$ and $\mathcal{C}_i$ for the other values of i as well, if $\mathcal{C}_i$ is written in a suitable Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis.

Proof of the theorem:

a. We first apply the relations (2.1) and (2.2) in order to write (3.1) and (3.2) and the $\mathcal{C}_i$'s in the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(P_{1,m}^{(N)}(\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_{N-1})\right) &= P_{1,m}^{(N)}(h(\mathcal{C}_1), ..., h(\mathcal{C}_{N-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} q^{m(N+1)} k_{2m\epsilon_i} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

(and the corresponding formula with $P_{N-1,m}^{(N)}$). This follows from the definitions of the first section. It then appears that this projection belongs to Z_0, and hence so does the whole result ([3] Prop. 6.3.c). This part of the proof also applies to $P_{i,m}^{(N)}(\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_{N-1})$ for $1 < i < N-1$, whereas the second part is limited to the cases $i = 1$ or $i = N-1$.

b. We can then use considerations on the degrees of the monomials appearing in $P_{1,m}^{(N)}$ (and $P_{N-1,m}^{(N)}$) to complete the proof. The term of highest degree of $P_{1,m}^{(N)}$ (resp. $P_{N-1,m}^{(N)}$) is indeed $\mathcal{C}_1^m$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{N-1}^m$), and it is also the only term of degree m. According to the form of the $\mathcal{C}_i$ (2.4), only monomials of degree at least equal to m can contribute to non-trivial terms belonging to Z_0: a necessary condition is indeed that the products of root vectors they contain correspond to an element of the root lattice R belonging to mR. For the same reason, the contribution of the monomial of degree m is precisely the second part of the right-hand side of (3.1) (resp. (3.2)).

Relations (3.1), (3.2) differ, for $N > 2$, from the equation in the last remark of [3]. In particular, the degree of the polynomial is different. In the case of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(2))$, the relation (3.1) was already given in [5].

4. Applications

a. Parametrization of generic irreducible representations:
We know from [6] that generic irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(N))$ are characterized by the values of the central elements on them. Once the values of the elements of Z_0 are determined, a choice between m^{N-1} values for $\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_N$ remain. A nice way to parametrize them is to write, for a representation ρ,

$$\rho(\mathcal{C}_i) = c_i(\zeta_1, ..., \zeta_N) \tag{4.1}$$

with c_i defined in (1.2) and $\prod_{i=1}^{N} \zeta_i = 1$. (Note the absence of h^{-1}, by comparison with (2.3).) The m^{N-1} irreducible representations on which the elements of Z_0 take the same value simply correspond to the parameters

$$q^{p_1}\zeta_1, ..., q^{p_N}\zeta_N, \tag{4.2}$$

with $p_1, ..., p_N \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\sum_1^N p_i = 0 \bmod m$. Since

$$\rho\left(P_{i,m}^{(N)}(\mathcal{C}_1, ..., \mathcal{C}_{N-1})\right) = c_i(\zeta_1^m, ..., \zeta_N^m) \tag{4.3}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, these sets of parameters indeed correspond to the sets of solutions for the $\mathcal{C}_i$'s, to the system of $N-1$ equations including (3.1) and (3.2).
With this parametrization, the ζ_i become powers of q when the central elements e_{ij}^m, f_{ij}^m and $k_{2m\epsilon_i}$ take the values 0, 0 and 1 respectively. In this highly non-generic case, a finite number of irreducible representations is related to the same parametrization. These representations are q-deformations of classical representations.

b. Application to fusion rules:
We suggest that these relations and the above parametrization could help in the study of fusion of unrestricted (generic) irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q\left(sl(N)\right)$, as in [7] in the case of $\mathcal{U}(sl(2))$. The strategy would be the following: to evaluate the values of the elements of Z_0 in the tensor product of two irreducible representations (they are scalar); find then a solution for the parameters ζ_i compatible with these values. Then all the irreducible representations characterized by the parameters (4.2) should appear in the fusion rule, with multiplicity 1 in the case generic$\otimes$minimal–periodic, and with multiplicity $m^{(N-1)(N-2)/2}$ in the case generic$\otimes$generic.

Ackowledgements: Some preliminary computations were done using the program FORM, by Jos Vermaseren. We thank Jean Orloff for his advices on symbolic manipulation programs. We also thank Alain Lascoux for an illuminating discussion on combinatorics and for various comments.

References

[1] M. Rosso, *An analogue of the P.B.W. theorem and the universal $\mathcal{R}$-matrix for $\mathcal{U}_h sl(N+1)$*, Commun. Math. Phys. **124** (1989) 307.

[2] M. Rosso, *Analogues de la forme de Killing et du théorème d'Harish–Chandra pour les groupes quantiques*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 4$^{\text{e}}$ série, t. 23 (1990) 445.

[3] C. De Concini, V.G. Kac and C. Procesi, *Quantum coadjoint action*, Preprint Pisa (1991).

[4] L. D. Faddeev, N.Yu. Reshetikhin and L.A. Takhtajan, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990) 193.

[5] T. Kerler, *Darstellungen der Quantengruppen und Anwendungen*, Diplomarbeit, ETH–Zurich, August 1989.

[6] C. De Concini and V.G. Kac, *Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1*, Progress in Math. **92** (1990) 471 (Birkhäuser).

[7] D. Arnaudon, *Composition of kinetic momenta: the $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(2))$ case*, preprint CERN-TH.6730/92, to appear in Commun. Math. Phys.