Q uantum m echanics, com m on sense and the black hole inform ation paradox

U If H. D anielsson and M arcelo Schier¹ Theory D ivision, CERN, CH-1211 G eneva 23, Switzerland.

A bstract

The purpose of this paper is to analyse, in the light of inform ation theory and with the arsenalof (elem entary) quantum m echanics (EPR correlations, copying m achines, teleportation, m ixing produced in sub-system s owing to a trace operation, etc.) the scenarios available on the m arket to resolve the so-called black-hole inform ation paradox. W e shall conclude that the only plausible ones are those where either the unitary evolution of quantum m echanics is given up, in which inform ation leaks continuously in the course ofblack-hole evaporation through non-localprocesses,orthose in which the world is polluted by an in nite num ber of meta-stable rem nants.

 1 Supported by a John Stewart Bell Fellow ship

CERN-TH 6889/93 M ay 1993

1 Introduction

In this paper we will discuss the black-hole inform ation paradox, rst discovered by H aw king $[1]$. A s discussed by him , when a pure state has collapsed to form a black hole, it will later evolve into a m ixed one as the outcom e of the com plete evaporation of the black hole. In the wake of this observation, a erce controversy em erged in the literature. t H ooft [\[2\]](#page-14-0) proposed, as a way out of the paradox, that some unknown m echanism could provide the needed correlation between incom ing and outgoing radiation to save the unitary evolution of quantum states. N evertheless, as becam e increasingly clear during the past year or so, a resolution of the paradox w ill need a m uch better understanding of the interplay between gravity and quantum m echanics than is currently at hand. In this context, a lot has been learnt from studies of two-dim ensional black holes initiated by Callan et al. in [\[3\]](#page-14-0). It m ight even be that the inform ation paradox is our best clue to the elusive quantum gravity theory. It is therefore of extrem e im portance to have a thorough understanding of this paradox, as free of m odel-dependent technicalities as possible.

W ith the arsenary of elem entary quantum m echanics and some inform ation theory we will illustrate the paradox. O ur simple analysis will shed some light on the very nature of the paradox and de ne the properties that any solution m ust possess. In particular, we will consider the point of view that a black hole is a \quantum object", som ehow im plying that our usual intuition ofwhat is wrong and right in physics is not applicable. This typically suggests that EPR -like correlations are im portant and that this would circum vene the standard argum ents leading to the paradox. We will nd that no such easy way out is possible.

We will begin by analysing the problem using elem entary quantum mechanics. Then, in section 3 , we will use inform ation theory to derive some sim ple results concerning the way in which inform ation m ay be stored in black holes.

In section 4 we will arrive at a standard set of possibilities, however with a m uch better understanding of why none of these can be conservative in the sense of not involving fundam entally new phenom ena.

2 Q uantum C opy R ights

In this section we will consider \lim itations on the possible resolutions of the inform ation paradox due to quantum m echanics. It is in portant to see why certain obvious suggestions do not work.

We will consider a situation where the information is \copied" before the in-falling m atter crosses the horizon. In this way it is m ade available to the H awking radiation. In fact, for an outside observer, the in-falling m atter willnot be seen to cross the horizon untilvery late. For an eternal black hole it would never be seen to cross. H ence one m ight think that all inform ation is conveniently stored and accessible. Still, the act of m aking a copy is necessary ifthe originalis assum ed to continue through the horizon and into the black hole. This, in turn, is based on our expectation, due to the equivalence principle, that the horizon does not have any exceptional local properties capable of completely reecting all inform ation. If this had been the case we would have had a very simple resolution of the paradox at hand.

In general, both the originaland the copy m ay experience a unitary transform ation through som e scattering m atrices. The process is schem atically

$$
j \text{ i! } j \text{ } j \text{ i! } j \text{ } j \text{ i! } (1)
$$

Since the nalstate is a direct product between the internalblack-hole state j $_B$ i and the outside state j $_0$ i, there are no correlations between the inside and the outside. Hence, if we ignore the inside, i.e. take the trace, no m ixing will result on the outside. There would then be no loss of inform ation. Is this a possible scenario? U nfortunately (1) is forbidden. O ne cannot copy quantum states in this way [\[4\]](#page-14-0). The proofgoes as follows. Let us assum e the state to be copied to be a spin $1/2$ particle with states j#i and j"i. For sim plicity we will ignore the state of the copying m achine itself. This can be taken into account, $[4]$ $[4]$, with no change in the conclusions. The copying process m ust be described by som e unitary operatorU . Let us assum e that the copying process works for states that are purely up or down. By linearity we then have

$$
U [(aj#i+ bj"] = aj#ij#i+ bj"]ij": \t(2)
$$

H ow ever, the desired state

$$
(a j \# i + b j \text{ "i)} (a j \# i + b j \text{ "i)} = a^2 j \# i j \# i + b^2 j \text{ "i} j \text{ "i} + ab(j \# i j \text{ "i} + j \text{ "i} j \# i)
$$
 (3)

cannot result for generala and b, since U produces no states j #ij "i or j"ij#i. W e conclude that even ifone can construct a U which works for a given state, the same U will not work for all states. In a sense, U is too good at m aking copies! The correlations are always perfect in the up/down basis. H ence taking the trace over one subsystem produces a m axim alm ixing in the other subsystem and hence a loss of inform ation. In fact, in this case all inform ation is stored in the correlations.

N ow, can this perfect correlation be exploited? If $w \in \mathcal{A}$ yiven the outside state, always know the inside through these perfect correlations, clearly there can not be any loss of inform ation. It would be silly to take the trace over the inside, since it is identical to the outside, and interpret this as true entropy. The situation recalls of the EPR-phenom enon. Is this the way to solve the paradox? A gain the suggestion does not work. The reason is that the correlation cannot be perfect for all states in all bases. This is clearly needed if we are allowed to m ake any m easurem ent that we want. Consideronce m ore our exam ple:

$$
U (aj#i + bj"i) = aj#ij#i + bj"ij"i: \qquad (4)
$$

U se

$$
j\#i = \frac{1}{2}(j! i j i)
$$
 ; $j"i = \frac{1}{2}(j! i + j i)$ (5)

to get

aj#ij#i+ bj"ij"i

$$
= \frac{a + b}{2}(j! \; \text{ij}! \; \text{i} + \; j \; \text{ij} \; \text{i}) + \frac{a}{2}(j! \; \text{ij} \; \text{i} + \; j \; \text{ij}! \; \text{i}) \tag{6}
$$

It is only when $(a = b)$ a = b that the (anti-) correlation is perfect. In the EPR case thism eans that it is only for singlet states that the anti-correlation is perfect in all bases. H ence, since the correlation is not perfect in general, we are forced to take the trace. At any rate, for a given unknown state, an EPR - related state cannot be obtained through a unitary copying process that works for a general state.

For com pleteness we should note one loophole in the above argum ent. This is the case of black-hole hair as discussed in [\[5\]](#page-14-0). A ccording to these ideas there are an in nite num ber of conserved quantities in the world whoose conservation protects unitarity. For this to be the case, everything needs to be conserved, which am ounts to say that the world is an integrable system.

This m eans that there are superselection rules that forbid superpositions. Com pare the superselection rule for electric charge. In the presence of these superselection rules the above argum ent will not hold. On the other hand, one faces the dicult problem ofreconstructing quantum m echanics as we know it, starting with this barren universe.

It seem s, therefore, that we have to cope with the fact that inform ation does cross the horizon and is at least tem porarily hidden from the outside observer. The questions then are: if, when and how is the inform ation restored? In the next section we will consider the possibility that the inform ation is stored not locally, in the black hole, but rather in its correlations with the environm ent.

3 H ow to Store Inform ation

A s is well known, there is a fundam ental objection from QFT to the idea that the inform ation is stored in a black-hole rem nant. Low-m ass objects with a huge num ber of internal states would suer from enorm ous production rates com pletely inconsistent with observations. This argum entisnot qualitatively changed if we take into account that the rem nantsm ay slow ly evaporate and disappear. Since very little energy is available and a lot of inform ation m ust be transm itted, the needed time is very long and the rem nant e ectively stable as far as the argum ent is concerned [\[6\]](#page-14-0).

There have been attem pts to construct rem nants that would not have this defect $[7]$ $[7]$. H ow ever, these attem pts seem to run into inevitable problem $s[8]$ $s[8]$ $s[8]$. W e will not consider this further.

In an interesting paper $[9]$ $[9]$, it has been suggested that the inform ation need not be stored locally in the rem nant, which im plies the above problem, but rather in its correlations with the outside world. This would then, it seem s, point at a conservative resolution of the paradox. It is important to note that the correlations we have in m ind are correlations between the em itted radiation and the black hole, not correlations between radiation em itted at dierent tim es. The reason that the latter is not so relevant is that until the late-tim e radiation is em itted, the inform ation still has to reside som ewhere. This m ust be inside the black hole. This is because, as we proved in the previous section, given som e reasonable assum ptions, there willalways be inform ation crossing the horizon that is in possible for the H aw king radiation

to copy. As we will see, and comment on later on, the correlations can be restored to the H aw king radiation (e.g. between radiation em itted at di erent times) only through non-local processes.

Below, we will analyse the situation using information theory. We will consider two coupled system s 1 and 2 with basis pi_1 , $n = 1$; ::: ; N₁, and pi_2 , $m = 1$; :::; N₂, where N₂ N₁. We will assume that the initial state of the combined system is pure, i.e.that

$$
j i = \n \begin{array}{c}\n \sum_{n=1}^{N_X N_2} A_{nm} \, jn_i \, jn_i \, jn_i\n \end{array} \n \tag{7}
$$

The corresponding pure density matrix is

$$
= \underset{n,m,k,l}{\overset{N_{\chi}N_{2}}{\longrightarrow}} A_{nm} \text{pi}_{1} \text{in } \text{im } (8)
$$

From this one m ay construct reduced, in generalm ixed, density m atrices for the individual subsystem s 1 and 2. For 1 we obtain

$$
{1} = \sum{j_{n,p}}^{N_{X}N_{2}} A_{nj} A_{pj} j_{11} l_{p} j
$$
 (9)

and for 2 we get

$$
{2} = \sum{\substack{\text{jm } \mathcal{A} \\ \text{jm } \mathcal{A}}}^{N_{\chi} N_{2}} A_{\substack{\text{jm } \mathcal{A} \\ \text{jm } \mathcal{A}}} \text{ in } i_{22} \text{h} \text{m} \text{;} \tag{10}
$$

Information will be dened as follows [10]

$$
I = I_{max} + Tr \log \tag{11}
$$

where $S = \text{Tr} \log \text{ and } I_{\text{max}} = S_{\text{max}}$. The entropy, S, is to be thought of as a lack of information. Note that $S = 0$) $I = I_{max}$ and $S = S_{max}$) $I =$ 0. If the number of states is N, we have S_{m} ax = $N \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{1}{N} = \log N$, where $=$ $\frac{1}{N}$ for all states. So,

$$
I = \log N + Tr \log : \tag{12}
$$

W ith two subsystem s we have

$$
I_1 = \log N_1 + Tr_1 \log_1
$$

$$
I_2 = \log N_2 + Tr_2 \log_2 ;
$$

\n
$$
I_{\text{tot}} = \log N_1 N_2 + Tr_2 \log_2 ;
$$
\n(13)

and

$$
I_{\text{tot}} = I_1 + I_2 + I_{12} \tag{14}
$$

which de nes I_{12} , the inform ation content of the correlations.

W ith a pure total state the total inform ation is m axim ized (i.e. the entropy is zero)

$$
I_{\text{tot}} = \log N_1 + \log N_2: \tag{15}
$$

W hat then can be said about the inform ation content of the separate system s 1 and 2? Clearly $I_{1,m \text{ ax}} = \log N_1$ and $I_{2,m \text{ ax}} = \log N_2$, but what else can we know? Below we will prove that

$$
I_{2,m \text{ in}} = \log N_2 \quad \log N_1: \tag{16}
$$

The proof is simple: A_{nm} is an N_1 N₂ m atrix $(N_1$ rows and N_2 columns); $_1 = AA^{\gamma}$ is an N₁ N₁ m atrix and $_2 = (A^{\gamma}A)$ an N₂ N₂. We rst prove that $A^{y}A$ has at least N_2 N_1 zero eigenvalues. To do so, let us construct the N₂ N₂ m atrix A ^x by adding N₂ N₁ rows of zeros. Clearly $A^{y}A = A^{y}A^{y}$ and \tilde{A}^{\prime} has then at least N₂ N₁ zero eigenvalues by construction. If \tilde{A}^{\prime} is diagonalized, so is $A^{\gamma}A^{\gamma}$. Therefore we nd that $A^{\gamma}A^{\gamma}$, and also A $^{\gamma}A$, have at least N₂ N₁ zero eigenvalues. To m in in ize I₂ we m ust put $_2 = \frac{1}{N}$ $\frac{1}{N_{\perp}}$ for the rem aining N₂ (N₂ N₁) = N₁ non-zero eigenvalues. Then (16) follows.

The result (16) is very reasonable. A little tracing in a sm all subsystem cannot produce a lot of entropy, or loss of inform ation, in the rest of the system .

Let us now pretend that system 2 is the outside world, containing the H aw king radiation, and that system 1 is the interior of the black hole. If we nd that there is very little inform ation in 2, i.e. I_2 0, we m ust conclude that N₁ N₂. That is, the num ber of internal states m ust be very large. It m ight, how ever, still be the case that the inform ation is not stored in system 1 but in the correlations, i.e. $I_1 = 0$ and $I_{12} \notin 0$. The important point is that if the inform ation is to be stored in the correlations between the subsystem s, each of the subsystem s m ust still have the capacity to store (half of) the inform ation. This m ust be the case even if the capacity is not used!

Let us now be m ore precise and relate the above reasoning to a m ore realistic m odel of a black hole. W hen the black hole is form ed, we assume

that the total system is in a pure state. There is information stored in the outside world, the black hole itself, and necessarily also in correlations. The latter is a consequence of the non-existence of perfect copying m achines, as we saw in the previous section. A s the black hole begins to evaporate, entropy will be produced in the outside world subsystem. Our objective is to estimate a lower lim it on this entropy if we ignore back reaction or any other transfer of inform ation to the H aw king radiation. The total entropy carried by the radiation per unit time during the evaporation is then

$$
S = \sum_{j}^{X} \frac{d!}{2} S_j(!); \qquad (17)
$$

where $d! = 2$ is the number of phase cells per unit time that em anate from the black hole and S_i is the entropy in a given eld m ode of the jth species $[11]$

$$
S_j(!) = [n_j \ln n_j (1 n_j) \ln(1 n_j)]:
$$
 (18)

Here and in what follows, the lower and upper signs apply for ferm ions and bosons, respectively. On the other hand, them ean number of quanta em itted in a given m ode by the back hole is $[1]$:

$$
n = \frac{1}{e^x - 1} \tag{19}
$$

with $x = h! = T_{bh}$ and is the black hole absorptivity.

The calculation of the entropy ux in eq. (17) by means of the above equations has to be carried out num erically, because the black-hole absorption coe cient cannot be cast in a closed form. Here, we borrow Page's [12,13] result where he calculated S-num erically for a m ixture of three species of neutrinos and antineutrinos, photons and gravitons

$$
S = 1.619 \frac{E}{T_{\text{bh}}} \tag{20}
$$

Integrating this equation, we obtain the am ount of m ixing in the radiation produced along the black-hole history. Together with eqs. (13 and 16) we can write the relations

$$
\ln N_1 > S_{\text{radiation}} = 1.619 S_{\text{bh}} \tag{21}
$$

So, the presence of entropy in the outside world puts a lower lim it on the num ber of necessary states of the black hole. N ote that this really is a lower lim it: there is also entropy initially, before the evaporation has begun, which is due to the always present correlations between what went in and what stayed behind. Thism ay generally be of the same order.

These relations teach us two things. First, if the inform ation has not been returned through H aw king radiation as the black hole approaches the P lanck m ass, then the rem nant has to have an enorm ous num ber of internal states to save unitarity. The inform ation m ight be stored in correlations, as in $[9]$, but this does not solve the rem nant problem . Secondly, if we decide to follow the rules of quantum m echanics, we have to seriously interpret $e^{S_{bh}}$ as the num ber ofblack-hole quantum states. The black hole m ust m ake fulluse of its quantum states in order for the inform ation that it subtracted from the envirom ent to be m om entarily stored either in these states them selves or in correlations. Furtherm ore, we have learned from the previous discussion the inform ation in question cannot wait until the last m om ents of evaporation to be restored. A ccordingly, it has to leak steadily in the course of black-hole evaporation.

A popular point ofview is that back reaction could transfer the inform ation from the in-falling m atter form ing the black hole to the H awking radiation. As we have seen, there are two sources of entropy for the outside world. One is the m atter that form ed the black hole, the other one is the H aw king radiation, or rather the negative energy part that falls into the black-hole. The idea of back reaction suggests that the Hawking pair production is in uenced, in such a way that the two potential sources of entropy conspire so that at the end no entropy is produced. A s we have seen in the previous section, such a process can never be perfect, if, as is com m only assum ed, it is possible to travel into a black hole without losing one'sm em ory. In this connection, it has recently been shown that stim ulated em ission (bosons)and the exclusion principle (ferm ions)are two such m echanism s,providing an im perfect correlation between incom ing and outgoing radiations, which allows a partial transfer of the inform ation content of the form er to the latter $[14]$. Hence, the only rem aining possibility is non-local inform ation transfer.

4 T hree P ossibilities

In view of the previous discussion, we see only three possible solutions to the paradox.

I.G ive up unitary quantum m echanics.

II. Find a way to get along with the rem nants. No such possibility seem s to exist at the m om ent $[8]$.

III.The inform ation is restored as the black hole evaporates. This requires non-locale ects.

We will discuss the third possibility in a little more detail. The nonlocality which is needed is not just the standard non-locality of quantum m echanics. This would have been in the spirit of correlations, and we have just shown that this is not enough. Instead, one needs a rue information ow from behind the (apparent) horizon.

It is am using to com pare this situation with the idea of Bennet et al [\[15](#page-14-0)]on teleportation. There a state is destroyed at one point in space tim e only to reappear at another. Two kinds of inform ation transfer are needed: one nonlocalEPR -like piece and one classicalpiece,which m ust respect the causal structure of space-time. M ore precisely, the sender and the receiver are each equipped with the m em bers of EPR pairs. The sender brings its EPR particles together with the state to be teleportated. He then makes som e m easurem ents on the com bined system . The results are then sent to the receiver w ho, w ith this knowledge, m ay reconstruct the teleportated state. This is also the case here. In fact, the parallel is rather complete. The EPR pairs are the pair-produced H aw king radiation, with one particle escaping and the other one venturing into the black hole. The problem is that the second part of the inform ation transfer, which is crucial as we have s een, is troubled by the horizon. Now, the relevant horizon is an apparent horizon, which m eans that escape is possible but has to be delayed until very late. At this later stage the storage capacity of the black hole has necessarily decreased, unless we contem plate alternative II. Therefore the inform ation m ust either be destroyed, alternative I, or transferred from the interior and the correlations to the exterior, alternative III.

In the latter case, the question is how? If we trust the correspondence principle, no spectacular quantum gravity e ects could occur in the outgoing radiation when the black hole is large with respect to Planckian scales. So it seem s that the black-hole m ust m ake use of nonlocal e ects through its

quantum states for transferring the inform ation in question.

It has been recently suggested [16, 17], based on inform ation theoretic prem isses, that the black-hole event horizon is quantized in units of Planck length squared and, furtherm ore, similarly towhathappens in atom ic physics, the leakage of inform ation is m ade possible by transitions am ong various quantum black-hole states (black hole-spectroscopy) [\[17\]](#page-15-0). Let us analyse, from the inform ation theoretic point of view, whether thism echanism could account for the inform ation ow needed to solve the paradox. That is to say, whether the entropy associated with the dierent transitions from a given state to the ground state (totalevaporation) is com parable with the inform ation the black hole has subtracted from the environm ent. In order to estim ate this, let us assum e that the black hole is in an eigenstate of event horizon area $\ddot{\text{A}}$;xi, where x stands for the set of quantum num bers accounting for the corresponding degeneracy $e^{\frac{A}{4}}$ for a given A. Now, the transition probability from level \ddot{A} ;xito \ddot{A}^0 ;x⁰i, for any x and x⁰, must be proportional to the ratio between the degeneracy of the levels in question. A ccordingly, the probability of transition of going from $\,$ level A $\,$ to A 0 cannot strongly depend on whether the transition occurs directly or if it proceeds through interm ediate states. The reason is that in order to estim ate the transition probability from the initial to the nal state in the case of cascading, we have to m ultiply all the interm ediate transition probabilities, assum ing that these are statistically independent. A fter multiplying all these probabilities and cancelling out the interm ediate degeneracies, we end up with the ratio between the degeneracies of the naland initial states, exactly as if the transition had occurred in one step. Thus, in order to obtain an estimation of the inform ation that could be transferred to the environm ent by m eans of the black-hole spectral lines, should they exist, we assum e that all transitions are equally probable. A ssum e now that the black hole is in itsn-th excited state. Then, the decay to the ground state through k interm ediate states can occur in $\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ dierent ways. Sum m ing over k gives the num ber of possible dierent transitions N transitions = 2^n . Thus the corresponding inform ation capacity is approxim ately

$$
I_{\text{transitions}} \quad n \ln 2 / S_{\text{bh}} \tag{22}
$$

Therefore, the m echanism proposed in $[17]$ could be behind the resolution of the paradox, because enough inform ation could be encoded in the transitions

to the ground state. N evertheless, if the H awking radiation were exactly therm al, then thism echanism would be irrelevant because it lacks the vehicle necessary to transm it the inform ation to a distant observer. H owever, it has recently been shown, based on inform ation theoretic prem isses $[18]$ $[18]$, that the fact that the black hole absorptivity is not unity could render this radiation the interm ediary between the black hole and a distant observer. This is so because the radiation is not exactly therm al, i.e. not completely random, and there is enough therm odynam ical room in the radiation to transfer all this inform ation.

For an observer far away from the black hole, the situation would be quite acceptable. The black hole appears as a quantum object em itting H awking radiation whose spectrallines can be used to reconstruct allthe inform ation. The black hole is in som e sense not very dierent from an atom. But, contrary to the case of an atom, we can m ove in closer and investigate the m acroscopic black hole and its horizon in greater detail. Then we will observe e ects that we will experience as non-local, transm itting inform ation from the interior across the apparent horizon. It is in portant to note, and this is precisely what we have proven quite generally in the previous section, that this occurs throughout the history of the evaporating black hole. Even when it is m acroscopic. There is no way, unless we consider alternative II above, to delay this to the later stages of the evaporation.

The key question is: Can such processes be harm less without causing new paradoxes? In this context we m ust exam ine also in a m ore quantitative way how restrictive the presence of an apparent horizon is. Even if, as we have argued, com plete re ection of inform ation at the m acroscopic apparent horizon is im possible, it is conceivable that it could take place at the event horizon, which m ight be as sm all as the P lanck scale and, therefore, sensitive to quantum gravity e ects. The key question is whether this is too late, in the sense that the rem aining energy would be compatible with the information content. It is com m only accepted that this is really too late. This is also the reason why we have been forced to consider non-locale ects. H owever, a m ore quantitative analysis would clearly be needed to rule out this possibility, which otherwise would m ake these e ects unnecessary, or at least present only close to the event horizon and the singularity. In fact, through redshifting, P lanck scale physics near the event horizon will be m agni ed trem endously in the eyes of an observer at in nity. W hile the time to fall into the blackhole is very short for the freely falling black-hole explorer, it would take of

the order of the whole evaporation time according for an observer at in nity. A Planck time before the event horizon m ight be well in advance of the complete evaporation, while the black hole is still m acroscopic as viewed from the outside. A sim ilar suggestion has been made in [19] in the context of two-dimensional dilaton gravity.

5 Sum m ary

O ur discussion points out that if we do not allow for non-unitarity, we must either learn to live with an in nite number of metastable or stable black-hole rem nants, or there must exist non-local information transfer, which is at work throughout the evaporation, even when the black hole is m acroscopic. Our conclusion is that quantum correlations are insu cient to solve either of these problem s. In the rst case, we have shown that the inform ation storage in correlations does not allow us to decrease the num ber of needed black-hole states. In the second case, it is well known that EPR correlations do not allow for the kind of information transfer that is needed. If we say that a black hole is like an atom with information encoded in its spectral lines, we still need to confront the issue of locality.

N ote A dded

A fter completion of this work we received a paper [20], where the information paradox is discussed.

A cknow ledgm ents:

M S. is partially supported by W orld Laboratory. M S. is particulary indebted to Jacob Bekenstein, M aurizio G asperini and D on Page for enlightening conversations.

R eferences

[1] S.Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 2460.

- [2] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B335 (1990) 138.
- [3] C G . Callan, S B . G iddings, J A . H arvey, A . Strom inger, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) R 1005.
- [4] W . K . W ootters and W . H . Zurek, Nature 299 (1982) 802.
- [5] S. Colem an, J. Preskill and F. W ilczek, Nucl. Phys. B 378 (1991) 175. J. Ellis, N.E. M avrom atos and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B267 $(1991) 465.$
- [6] J. Preskill, \Do Black Holes Destroy Inform ation?", Proceedings of the International Sym posium on B lack H oles, M em branes, W orm holes and Superstrings, Texas, January 1992.
- [7] T. Banks, A. D abholkar, M. R. D ouglas and M. O Loughlin, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 3607.
- [8] S.B.G iddings, \Constraints on B lack H ole R em nants", Santa B arbara preprint UCSBTH -9308, hepth/9304027.
- [9] F.W ilczek, \Quantum Purity at a Sm all Price: Easing a Black Hole Paradox", IASSN S-HEP-93/12, hepth/9302096.
- $[10]$ W \cdot H \cdot Zurek \inform ation transfer in Quantum M easurem ents: Irreversibility and Ampli cation" in Quantum Optics, Experimental Gravitation and M easurem ent Theory, Eds: P. M eystre and M.O. Scully, (Plenum Press, New York, 1983)
- [11] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1970).
- [12] D.N.Page, Phys.Rev.D13 (1976) 198.
- [13] D.N.Page, Phys.Rev. D14 (1976), 3260.
- [14] M. Schi er, \ Is it possible to recover inform ation from the black hole radiation?", preprint CERN-TH 6811/93, February 1993 hep-th/9303011.
- $[15]$ C H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres and W K. W ootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 1895.
- [16] V.F.M ukhanov, JETP Lett. 44 (1986) 63.
- [17] J.G.Bellido \Q uantum Black Holes" Stanford University preprint SU-ITP-93-4,IEM -FT-68/93,[hep-th/9302127.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9302127)
- [18] J.D.Bekenstein, \H ow fast does inform ation leak out from black hole?" Santa Barbara preprint UCSB-TH 93-02, January 1993.
- [19] K . Schoutens, H . Verlinde, E. Verlinde, \Q uantum Black- H ole Evaporation", Princeton preprint PU PT-1395, IA SSN S-H EP-93/25, hepth/9304128
- $[20]$ D. N. Page, \setminus B lack H ole Inform ation", preprint A lberta-Thy-23-93, [hep-th/9305040](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9305040) .