

iHixs 2 - Inclusive Higgs Cross Sections

Falko Dulat^a, Achilleas Lazopoulos^b, Bernhard Mistlberger^c

^a*SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94039, USA*

^b*Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

^c*CERN Theory Division, CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland*

Abstract

We present a new release of the program `iHixs`. This easy-to-use tool allows to derive state of the art predictions for the inclusive production cross section of a Higgs boson at hadron colliders in the gluon fusion production mode. This includes the most up-to-date corrections in perturbative QCD and electro-weak theory, effects due to finite quark masses as well as an option to perform threshold resummation. In particular, exact perturbative QCD corrections through N³LO are included in the heavy top quark effective theory. Furthermore, `iHixs` contains automatic routines that allow to assess residual uncertainties on the prediction for the Higgs boson production cross section according to well established standard definitions. `iHixs` can be obtained from <https://github.com/dulatf/iHixs>.

☆CERN-TH-2018-019, SLAC-PUB-17222

Email addresses: dulatf@slac.stanford.edu (Falko Dulat),
lazopoli@phys.ethz.ch (Achilleas Lazopoulos), bernhard.mistlberger@gmail.com
(Bernhard Mistlberger)

PROGRAM SUMMARY

Manuscript Title: iHixs 2 – Inclusive Higgs Cross Sections

Authors: Falko Dulat, Achilleas Lazopoulos, Bernhard Mistlberger

Program Title: iHixs

Journal Reference:

Catalogue identifier:

Licensing provisions: None.

Programming language: C++.

Computer: Platforms on which LHAPDF 6 and a C++11 compatible compiler are available.

Operating system: Linux, MacOS.

Keywords: Inclusive Higgs Boson Cross Section

Classification: 11.1 General, High Energy Physics and Computing.

External routines/libraries: Cuba, Chaplin (shipped with code)

Nature of problem: Determine the inclusive Higgs boson cross section at hadron colliders in the gluon fusion production mode.

Solution method: Numerical convolution of analytic partonic cross sections with parton distribution functions.

Restrictions:

Running time: Several seconds to minutes.

1. Introduction

The discovery of the Higgs boson at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN by ATLAS [1] and CMS [2] heralded the beginning of the age of Higgs boson measurements. The newly found boson represents a window into an entirely new sector of particle physics. The exploration of the properties of the Higgs boson sheds light on its nature and provides a potent tool for the investigation of possible physics beyond the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. The rapidly increasing amount of collected data lead to a swift transition from discovery to precision measurements of the features of the Higgs boson. Attributes like the mass, the spin or the parity of the newly found boson have been determined already to astounding levels of precision and seem in remarkable agreement with the SM.

One of the most essential observables in Higgs boson phenomenology is the probability to produce a Higgs boson in the collision of protons. This quantity allows on its own for a stringent test of the SM and is key for the extraction of coupling constants. In this article we present a numerical tool, `iHixs`, that allows to predict the inclusive production cross section of a Higgs boson at a hadron collider. Specifically, we focus on the dominant mechanism to produce a Higgs boson: gluon fusion. The explicit aim of this article is to unite all state of the art contributions to the inclusive Higgs boson production cross section in a single numerical code. The theoretical foundation of this program was presented in ref. [3] that includes a critical assessment of all contributions and their respective uncertainties (see also refs. [4, 5]).

The Born level cross section for the production of a Higgs boson through the fusion of two gluons via a top quark loop was derived long ago in ref. [6]. Perturbative corrections to the leading order (LO) cross section were subsequently discovered to be sizeable. The largest effect is due to QCD corrections. Such corrections can in a first approximation be computed in an effective theory (EFT) where the top quark is considered to be infinitely heavy [7–10]. EFT corrections were computed at next-to-LO (NLO) in ref. [11], at next-to-next-to-LO (NNLO) in refs. [12–14] and at next-to-next-to-next-to-LO (N³LO) in refs. [3, 15, 16]. In order to achieve predictions at the level of precision required for the comparison with experimental measurements it is of paramount importance to improve pure effective theory predictions through the inclusion of effects due to finite quark masses. NLO QCD corrections in the full SM were computed in refs [17, 18]. Beyond NLO only

approximate results in terms of a power series of the cross section in inverse powers of the top quark mass are available at NNLO [19, 20]. Corrections due to electro-weak effects were computed in refs. [21–24] and even mixed QCD-electro-weak effects were approximated in refs. [25, 26]. `iHixs` combines all the above effects in one single tool and allows to study their impact on the inclusive production probability of a Higgs boson at the LHC in detail.

Until recently, N³LO QCD corrections derived in the heavy top quark EFT were based on a so-called threshold expansion of the partonic cross section. Recently, exact results for these N³LO cross sections became available [16] and we include them for the first time in a numerical code that allows to derive predictions for LHC phenomenology. In particular, this allows us to further improve on the prediction of ref. [3] for the Higgs boson cross section and we update the current state of the art prediction.

In order to derive reliable predictions for LHC phenomenology a critical appraisal of residual uncertainties on the Higgs boson production cross section is vital. A careful analysis of such sources of uncertainty was carried out in ref. [3] and `iHixs` includes automatic routines that follow the prescriptions outlined therein to quantify these uncertainties. We identify as sources of uncertainty the truncation of the perturbative QCD and electro-weak expansion, the approximation of finite quark mass effects and the imprecise knowledge of the value of coupling constants and parton distribution functions.

While previous versions of `iHixs` [27, 28] allowed already to derive predictions for the inclusive Higgs boson production cross section the new version presented in this article is distinct in several new features. The heavy top quark EFT QCD corrections are now included exactly through N³LO, threshold resummation can be performed automatically through N³LL using classical QCD techniques [3, 29, 30] or soft-collinear effective theory (SCET) [31, 32], and uncertainties of the Higgs boson cross sections can be automatically assessed according to the standards defined in refs. [3, 4].

This article is structured as follows. In section 2 we introduce the main definitions of the ingredients of `iHixs` and explain them in some detail. Next, in section 3 we discuss sources of residual uncertainties on the Higgs boson cross section and outline how they are estimated in `iHixs`. In section 4 we derive state-of-the-art phenomenological predictions for the Higgs boson production cross section at hadron colliders. Subsequently, we present a detailed manual of `iHixs` in section 5. Finally, we conclude in section 6.

2. Set-Up

In this article we present the numerical tool `iHixs` that allows for the computation of the probability to produce a Higgs boson in the collision of protons via the gluon fusion production mode

$$\text{Proton}(P_1) + \text{Proton}(P_2) \rightarrow H(p_h) + X. \quad (1)$$

P_1 and P_2 are the momenta of the colliding protons and p_h the momentum of the Higgs boson. In collinear factorization, the hadronic Higgs boson production cross section can be written as

$$\sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}(\mu_R, \mu_F) = \tau \sum_{i,j} \int_{\tau}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int_{\frac{\tau}{z}}^1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} f_i(x_1, \mu_F) f_j\left(\frac{\tau}{x_1 z}, \mu_F\right) \frac{1}{z} \hat{\sigma}_{ij}(\mu_F, \mu_R). \quad (2)$$

Here, we factorize long and short range interactions into parton distribution functions $f_i(x)$ and partonic cross sections $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$. The momenta of the colliding partons are related to the proton momenta through the momentum fractions x_i as $p_1 = x_1 P_1$ and $p_2 = x_2 P_2 = \frac{\tau}{x_1 z} P_2$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{m_h^2}{S}, & S &= (P_1 + P_2)^2, \\ z &= \frac{m_h^2}{s}, & s &= (p_1 + p_2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The sum over i and j ranges over all contributing partons. Furthermore, we define the variable $\bar{z} = 1 - z$. The partonic cross section $\hat{\sigma}$ depends on the factorization scale μ_F and the renormalization scale μ_R .

The parton distributions are extracted from experimental measurements by various groups [33–37] and are accessed in our program via the LHAPDF framework [38]. Our partonic cross sections include a large variety of effects that combined allow for the currently most precise prediction of the inclusive Higgs boson production cross section.

Let us begin by defining our master formula for the partonic cross section before we explain it in detail later.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} &= R_{\text{LO}} C^2 \left[\sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NLO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO, EFT}} \right] \\ &+ \delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO, (t,b,c)}} + \delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{NLO, (t,b,c)}} + \delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, (t)}} + R_{\text{LO}} C^2 \delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{Res}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We define the combined Wilson coefficient,

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= C_{\text{QCD}} + \lambda_{\text{EWK}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} C_{1w} + \dots \right). \\
C_{\text{QCD}} &= \sum_{i=0}^3 \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \right)^i C_{\text{QCD}}^{(i)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}$$

Here C_{QCD} is the QCD Wilson coefficient, matching the heavy top quark EFT to QCD with finite masses and λ_{EWK} is an effective Wilson coefficient incorporating electroweak corrections. `iHixs` enables the user to choose which of the contributions in eq. (4) and eq. (5) should be taken into account in cross section predictions. In the following we will discuss the individual contributions.

2.1. Effective Theory

Perturbative corrections in QCD are known to be large and thus of significant importance for hadron collider phenomenology. The gluon fusion production cross section is loop induced process and the computation of high order corrections is consequently rather difficult. A very successful strategy to approximate higher order QCD corrections is the computation of perturbative corrections within an effective theory (EFT) where the top quark is considered to be infinitely heavy and all other quarks to be massless [6, 39–41]. This effective theory is described by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{SM,5} + \frac{\alpha_S}{12\pi v} C_{\text{QCD}} H G_{\mu\nu}^a G_a^{\mu\nu},
\tag{6}$$

where H is the Higgs field, $G_{\mu\nu}^a$ is the gluon field strength tensor and $\mathcal{L}_{SM,5}$ denotes the SM Lagrangian with $n_f = 5$ massless quark flavours. The Wilson coefficient C_{QCD} is obtained by matching the effective theory to the full SM in the limit where the top quark is infinitely heavy [7–10]. It is implemented in `iHixs` through three loops, in both the on-shell scheme as well as the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme. The corrections to the partonic cross section in the effective theory at NLO [11], at NNLO [12–14] and at N³LO [3, 15, 16] are currently available and implemented in `iHixs`.

The partonic cross sections $\sigma_{ij}^{\text{N}^n\text{LO, EFT}}$ in eqn. (4) correspond to the corrections obtained in this effective theory at order n after factoring out the Wilson coefficient C_{QCD} . Higher order corrections to the cross section, due to

perturbative corrections to the Wilson coefficient, can then be taken into account consistently by including the corrected Wilson coefficient from eq. (5). The leading order cross section in the effective theory is given by

$$\sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO, EFT}} = \frac{\alpha_S^2}{72\pi v^2(n_c^2 - 1)} \delta(1 - z). \quad (7)$$

Where, n_c is the number of colours. The Dirac delta function $\delta(1 - z)$ acts as a distribution on the parton distribution functions.

2.2. Mass Effects at LO and NLO

In the full standard model with finite quark masses, the leading order cross section in the gluon fusion production mode, mediated by massive quark loops, is given by,

$$\sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO}} = \frac{\alpha_S^2}{72\pi v^2(n_c^2 - 1)} \left| \sum_q Y_q \tau_q \frac{3}{2} A(\tau_q) \right|^2 \delta(1 - z), \quad (8)$$

with

$$\tau_q = \frac{4m_q(m_q - i\Gamma_q)}{s}. \quad (9)$$

Here, m_q and Γ_q are the mass and the width of the quark with flavour q . The Y_q are anomalous rescalings of the Yukawa couplings that are identically one in the Standard Model, but might deviate from unity in beyond the Standard Model scenarios. $A(\tau_q)$ is the famous loop factor defined as

$$A(\tau_q) = 1 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(1 + x_q)^2}{(1 - x_q^2)} \log(x_q)^2, \quad x_q = \frac{-\tau_q}{(\sqrt{1 - \tau_q} + 1)^2}. \quad (10)$$

In the limit of infinite or vanishing quark mass we find that

$$\lim_{m_q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3}{2} \tau_q A(\tau_q) = 1, \quad \lim_{m_q \rightarrow 0} \frac{3}{2} \tau_q A(\tau_q) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Our normalisation was chosen such that if we are sending the top quark mass to infinity and set all other quark masses to zero we reproduce the effective theory cross section at LO, eq. (7)

$$\lim_{m_t \rightarrow \infty, m_{q \neq t} \rightarrow 0} \sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO}} = |Y_t|^2 \sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO, EFT}}. \quad (12)$$

In order to account for top-quark effects at LO in eq. (4) we define the ratio

$$R_{\text{LO}} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO}, t}}{\sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO}, \text{EFT}}}, \quad (13)$$

The superscript t indicates that the sum over quark flavours in eq. (8) includes here only the top quark. We rescale all higher order effective theory cross sections in eq. (4) with this ratio, defining the so-called *rescaled effective theory* (rEFT).

Beyond the factorized corrections due to the finite top mass, at leading order, we also take into account the exact dependence on the top, bottom and charm mass through the quantity

$$\delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO}, (t,b,c)} = \sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO}, (t,b,c)} - \left[C_{\text{QCD}}^2 R_{\text{LO}} \sigma_{ij}^{\text{EFT}} \right]_{\alpha_S^2}, \quad (14)$$

where the second term in the above equation, containing only terms proportional to α_S^2 , subtracts the LO rEFT contribution, in order to avoid double counting. The effective theory cross section is defined as

$$\sigma_{ij}^{\text{EFT}} = \sigma_{ij}^{\text{LO}, \text{EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NLO}, \text{EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO}, \text{EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\text{N}^3\text{LO}, \text{EFT}}. \quad (15)$$

The label (t, b, c) indicates that we include corrections to the Higgs boson production cross section due to finite top, bottom and charm quark masses.

Exact QCD corrections to the gluon fusion cross section with full dependence on the quark masses are known at NLO [17, 18]. We take them into account, in analogy to the corrections at LO, by defining the correction to the effective theory cross section due to quark masses at NLO,

$$\delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{NLO}, (t,b,c)} = \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NLO}, (t,b,c)} - \left[C_{\text{QCD}}^2 R_{\text{LO}} \sigma_{ij}^{\text{EFT}} \right]_{\alpha_S^3}. \quad (16)$$

Again, we need to subtract the rEFT corrections at the appropriate order to avoid double counting. The mass dependent NLO correction is normalized such that it vanishes in the effective theory limit.

Due to the truncation of the perturbative series in the strong coupling constant at finite order, our predictions depend on the choice of renormalization scheme. `iHixs` offers the choice of the two most commonly used schemes, the on-shell scheme, as well as the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme and incorporates the Wilson coefficients, as well as the anomalous dimensions used for quark mass evolutions in the both schemes.

2.3. Mass Effects at NNLO

Currently, corrections beyond NLO in exact QCD are unknown. In refs. [19, 20] NNLO corrections were approximated by performing an expansion of the partonic cross section in $\frac{m_h}{m_t}$. The NNLO corrections to the cross section can then be written as

$$\sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO}} = \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, approx.}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{m_h^2}{m_t^2}\right)^4\right). \quad (17)$$

The numerically largest perturbative corrections arise due to contributions involving a gluon in the partonic initial state. We include the approximate NNLO correction due to the top quark mass in the gluon-gluon and quark-gluon channel in our partonic cross section, eq. (4), as

$$\delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, (t)}} = \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, approx.}} - \left[C_{\text{QCD RLO}}^2 \sigma_{ij}^{\text{EFT}} \right]_{\alpha_S^4} \quad \text{for } (ij) \in \{(gg), (gq)\}, \quad (18)$$

2.4. Electro-Weak Effects

Corrections to the Higgs boson production cross section due to electro-weak physics are an important ingredient for precision predictions. The purely virtual leading corrections were computed in refs. [21–24]. In accordance with the *complete factorisation* approach we include them in terms of a modification of our QCD Wilson coefficient. To this end, we define the quantity λ_{EWK} to be the ratio of the leading electro-weak corrections of ref. [22] to the Born cross section and include it in eq. (5).

Corrections beyond LO in QCD and electro-weak physics are currently unknown. They were approximated in an effective theory of infinitely heavy W and Z bosons and top quark in ref. [25]. In this approach the electro-weak gauge bosons are integrated out and calculations are performed in a framework where the QCD Wilson coefficient receives a modification. The corrections in this approximation is taken into account in `iHixs` by including the coefficient

$$C_{1w} = \frac{7}{6} \quad (19)$$

in eq. (5). Recently, the mixed QCD-electroweak corrections were also approximated using the first term of a threshold expansion in ref. [26]. The obtained results are in good agreement with the approach outlined above.

2.5. Threshold Resummation

Threshold resummation involves the summation of logarithms $\log(1-z)$ to all orders in the strong coupling expansions in the limit of $z \rightarrow 1$. In refs. [3, 29, 30] resummation of the Higgs boson cross section to N³LL was presented in the conventional QCD resummation framework. In refs. [3] resummation to N³LL was performed in the framework of soft-collinear effective theory. Both resummation frameworks have in common that they modify the cross section beyond the fixed order accuracy, which we capture through the coefficient,

$$\delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{Res}} = \text{R}_{\text{LO}} \left(\sigma_{ij}^{\text{Res, scheme}} - \left[\sigma_{ij}^{\text{Res, scheme}} \right]_{\text{N}^n\text{LO}} \right) = \mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^{n+1}). \quad (20)$$

Here, $\sigma_{ij}^{\text{Res, scheme}}$ is the threshold resummed cross section in a certain resummation scheme. The second term in the square bracket in the above equation corresponds to the threshold resummed cross section expanded to fixed order. If we include n orders in the fixed order expansion this guarantees that we modify our perturbative cross section only at the level of N ^{$n+1$} LO corrections. The first coefficient in eq (20) is implemented in `iHixs` in both traditional soft-gluon resummation as well as through SCET resummation and the user can choose to compute either one.

Traditional soft gluon resummation operates in Mellin-space in order to factorize the various contributions to the cross section. The cross section in eq. (2) factorizes in Mellin-space as,

$$\sigma(N) = \sum_{ij} f_i(N) f_j(N) \hat{\sigma}_{ij}(N), \quad (21)$$

with the Mellin moments,

$$f_i(N) = \int_0^1 dz z^{N-1} f_i(z), \quad \hat{\sigma}_{ij} = \int_0^1 dz z^{N-1} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{ij}}{z}. \quad (22)$$

We invert the Mellin transform in `iHixs` numerically by evaluating the integral,

$$\sigma^{\text{Res, soft-gluon}}(\tau) = \sum_{ij} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{dN}{2\pi i} \tau^{1-N} f_i(N) f_j(N) \hat{\sigma}_{ij}(N). \quad (23)$$

The resummation computes $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(N)$ by exponentiating the constant and logarithmically divergent contributions in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ into the all-order resummation formula [42–45],

$$\hat{\sigma}(N)_{ij} = \delta_{ig}\delta_{jg}\alpha_s^2\sigma_0 C_{gg}(\alpha_s) \exp[\mathcal{G}_H(\alpha_s, \log(N))]. \quad (24)$$

The matching coefficient C_{gg} contains terms that are constant in the limit while \mathcal{G}_H exponentiates the large logarithms. It has been computed through N³LL accuracy in terms of the QCD β function and the cusp anomalous dimension in refs. [29, 30, 46]. In addition to the large logarithms, various resummation schemes, exponentiate terms that are subleading in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, e.g. by replacing $\log(N) \rightarrow \psi(N)$. While these schemes are formally equivalent, they agree in the defining limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, they introduce numerical differences due to subleading terms. Various schemes have been compared in ref. [3] and `iHixs` provides a selection for common choices of threshold resummation schemes.

As opposed to traditional threshold resummation, resummation in the SCET framework is directly performed in z space. Here the cross section is factorized into a hard function H and a soft function \tilde{S} ; the large threshold logarithms are then resummed by solving the renormalization group equations for these operators. Following refs. [31, 32], the cross section was resummed in the SCET framework in ref. [3]. Schematically it can be written as,

$$\sigma_{ij}^{\text{Res,SCET}} \propto \delta_{ig}\delta_{jg}\sigma_0 C^2(m_t^2, \mu_t^2) H^2(m_H^2, \mu^2, \mu_t^2, \mu_h^2, \mu_s^2) \tilde{S}(\mu_s) U(\mu^2, \mu_h^2, \mu_s^2, \mu_t^2). \quad (25)$$

Here C is the Wilson coefficient defined in eq. (5). The function U exponentiates the infrared structure and resums renormalisation group logarithms. By continuing the logarithms of the hard scale μ_h to the space-like region, `iHixs` optionally enables the resummation of π^2 terms. We refer the reader to ref. [3] for details on the method.

In ref. [3] the impact of threshold resummation effects were studied and found to be small beyond N³LO in perturbative QCD. As a consequence we consider them to be an important tool to study the impact of potential higher order corrections but do not include them in our default recommendation for cross section predictions. However, `iHixs` provides the option to include these effects.

3. Uncertainties

In the previous section we summarised ingredients for the prediction of the Higgs boson cross section at the LHC. In order to derive such predictions it is key to assess all non-negligible sources of uncertainty. `iHixs` allows to study such effects in great detail. A careful analysis of residual uncertainties was performed in ref. [3] and we implement the prescriptions chosen therein in our code. At the same time, `iHixs` provides the user with all tools necessary to study individual sources of uncertainty and devise custom prescriptions. We briefly review the various sources of uncertainty in this section and describe our prescription to assess them quantitatively.

In ref. [3] the following sources of uncertainty were identified. Missing higher order uncertainties are referred to as $\delta(\text{scale})$. The uncertainty due to the evaluation of the Higgs boson production cross section at N³LO with PDFs determined with NNLO cross sections is denoted as $\delta(\text{PDF-TH})$. $\delta(\text{EWK})$ indicates the uncertainty estimate for missing higher order mixed QCD and electro-weak corrections. The quantity $\delta(\text{t,b,c})$ summarises the uncertainty due missing interference effects of top, bottom and charm quark masses at NNLO as well as the difference between different renormalisation schemes. Missing effects due to the full top quark mass dependence of the Higgs boson cross section at NNLO are estimated to introduce an uncertainty that we denote as $\delta(1/m_t)$. The fully correlated combination of these sources of uncertainty form a quantity we refer to as theory uncertainty.

$$\delta(\text{theory}) = \delta(\text{scale}) + \delta(\text{PDF-TH}) + \delta(\text{EWK}) + \delta(\text{t,b,c}) + \delta(1/m_t). \quad (26)$$

Additional sources of uncertainty arise due to the imprecise knowledge of the strong couple constant ($\delta(\alpha_S)$) and the parton distribution functions ($\delta(\text{PDF})$). The fully uncorrelated combination of these uncertainties is given by

$$\delta(\text{PDF}+\alpha_S) = \sqrt{\delta(\alpha_S)^2 + \delta(\text{PDF})^2}. \quad (27)$$

In combination we define the uncertainty estimate on the prediction for the inclusive production cross section for the Higgs boson.

$$\delta\sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X} = \delta(\text{PDF}+\alpha_S) + \delta(\text{theory}). \quad (28)$$

`iHixs` provides the user with routines to estimate all of the above uncertainties.

Parametric uncertainties, due to imprecise knowledge of input parameters, are below one permille relative to the inclusive cross section for all reasonable scenarios in the Standard Model. Furthermore, the size of the parametric uncertainty is mostly determined by the prior uncertainty estimate for the input parameters. If the user would like to quantify the impact of the parametric uncertainty in a particular prescription, this can be achieved straightforwardly, by simply varying the respective input parameters within their prior across multiple invocations of `iHixs`.

3.1. $\delta(\text{scale})$ - Missing Higher Orders

In `iHixs` perturbative corrections in QCD can be included through N³LO. Due to the truncation of the perturbative series an uncertainty is introduced. In ref. [3] several options to estimate the effect of missing higher orders were explored. This analysis suggested that the size of the effect of missing higher orders can be estimated by varying the common perturbative scale $\mu_F = \mu_R = \mu$ around the central scale $\mu = \frac{m_h}{2}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}^{\max} &= \max_{\mu \in [m_h/4, m_h]} \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}(\mu, \mu). \\ \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}^{\min} &= \min_{\mu \in [m_h/4, m_h]} \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}(\mu, \mu).\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

The uncertainty that is associated with neglecting missing higher order contributions is then defined as

$$\delta(\text{scale}) = \frac{\sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}^{\max} - \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}\left(\frac{m_h}{2}, \frac{m_h}{2}\right)}{\sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}\left(\frac{m_h}{2}, \frac{m_h}{2}\right) - \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}^{\min}}.\tag{30}$$

Naturally, this prescription leads to asymmetric intervals for the uncertainty estimates.

3.2. $\delta(\text{PDF-TH})$ - PDF Theory Uncertainties

Currently, parton distribution functions are determined by comparing cross section predictions at NNLO to physical measurements. Since `iHixs` can derive predictions at N³LO another source of uncertainty is introduced due to the mismatch to the order of the PDFs. In order to estimate this particular uncertainty we can analyse what would have happened at one order less in the same situation. To this end we determine the cross section through NNLO, $\sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}^{(2), \text{EFT}}$, evaluated once with NNLO PDFs and once with

NLO PDFs. The difference of these two predictions serves as our estimator of this particular uncertainty.

$$\delta(\text{PDF-TH}) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left| \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}^{(2), \text{EFT, NNLO}} - \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}^{(2), \text{EFT, NLO}} \right|. \quad (31)$$

The factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ serves as a suppression factor as we expect this effect to be reduced at N³LO relative to NNLO. Since N³LO predictions are only available in the EFT we estimate this effect based on predictions using EFT partonic cross sections only.

3.3. $\delta(\text{EWK})$ - Missing Higher Order Electro-Weak Effects

In ref. [3] several options to assess the uncertainty due to missing higher order electro-weak effects were discussed. As a result an uncertainty of one percent on the total cross section was assigned.

$$\delta(\text{EWK}) = \pm 1\% \times \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}. \quad (32)$$

3.4. $\delta(t, b, c)$ - Light Quark Masses and Renormalisation Schemes

In `iHixs` the effects of light quark masses are included exactly through NLO in QCD. In order to derive an estimate for the size of contributions due to finite light quark masses at NNLO we study how big the relative impact of light quarks on the NLO correction is. We then assume that the relative impact of the light quark masses on NNLO corrections would be equally large and use this as an estimate of uncertainty.

$$\delta(t, b, c)^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = \pm \left| \frac{\delta\sigma^{t, \text{NLO}} - \delta\sigma^{t, b, c, \text{NLO}}}{\delta\sigma^{t, \text{NLO}}} \right| \times \left(R_{\text{LO}} \delta\sigma^{\text{EFT, NNLO}} + \delta\sigma^{1/m_i^2, \text{NNLO}} \right). \quad (33)$$

Here, $\delta\sigma^{t, \text{NLO}}$ and $\delta\sigma^{t, b, c, \text{NLO}}$ are the NLO QCD corrections to the hadronic cross section with finite top quark mass and with finite top, bottom and charm quark mass respectively. The corrections $\delta\sigma^{\text{EFT, NNLO}}$ refer to contributions to the hadronic cross section due to the EFT QCD corrections at NNLO. Similarly, $\delta\sigma^{1/m_i^2, \text{NNLO}}$ describes QCD corrections at NNLO to the hadronic cross section due to the approximation of the exact NNLO cross section that are suppressed in powers of $1/m_i^2$. To derive this estimate we work in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme.

Due to the truncation of the perturbative series a finite dependence on the chosen mass renormalisation scheme is introduced. To investigate the size of

this dependence `iHixs` includes implementations of quark mass effects in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ and in the on-shell scheme. In ref. [3] it was observed that choosing different renormalisation schemes for the top quark mass has negligible impact on the hadronic cross section. In contrast, light quark mass effects display a more significant dependence on the choice of the renormalisation scheme. The effects can reach up to thirty percent of these contributions. In order to derive a conservative estimate of missing higher order contributions for light quark mass effects we multiply the above uncertainty by a factor of 1.3

$$\delta(t, b, c) = 1.3 \times \delta(t, b, c)^{\overline{\text{MS}}}. \quad (34)$$

3.5. $\delta(1/m_t)$ - Missing Quark Mass Effects

Effects due to the approximate treatment of QCD corrections at NNLO as an expansion in inverse powers of the top quark mass were studied in refs. [19, 20]. The consensus is that a residual uncertainty of one percent should be assigned to the cross section.

$$\delta(1/m_t) = \pm 1\% \times \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}. \quad (35)$$

3.6. $\delta(\alpha_S)$ and $\delta(\text{PDF})$

The estimation procedure of uncertainties due to the imprecise knowledge of parton distribution functions varies for different PDF sets. `iHixs` offers the possibility to automatically estimate the associated uncertainty $\delta(\text{PDF})$ using the default LHAPDF routines if available.

Assessing the uncertainty due to the prior uncertainty on the strong coupling constant typically requires a consistent treatment of parton distribution functions along with variations of α_S . The various groups providing fitted parton distribution functions recommend different procedures. In `iHixs` we include routines that allow to automatically derive an uncertainty due to the uncertain strong coupling input value for the PDF4LHC15 PDF set [37] at NNLO. A central value of $\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.118$ is chosen and the cross section predictions are also carried out with variations of the strong coupling constant by ± 0.0015 including the usage of two dedicated PDF sets. The strong coupling constant uncertainty is then given by

$$\delta(\alpha_S) = \frac{1}{2} |\sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}(\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.1195) - \sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X}(\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.1165)|. \quad (36)$$

If any other PDF set is chosen this uncertainty is not estimated automatically and the user has to follow their own procedure.

	$m_q(Q_0)/\text{GeV}$	Q_0/GeV
t	162.7	162.7
b	4.18	4.18
c	0.986	3.0

Table 1: Default values for the quark masses and starting scales for the respective evolutions of the masses.

4. Predictions for the LHC

In the previous sections we listed the various ingredients included in `iHixs`. Here, we utilise our program to demonstrate the output that can be generated and derive state of the art predictions for the inclusive production probability of a Higgs boson at the LHC due to the gluon-fusion production mechanism.

Throughout this section we use PDF4LHC15 parton distribution functions [37] at NNLO. We choose a value of the strong coupling constant of $\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.118$ and a Higgs boson mass of $m_h = 125$ GeV. The non-vanishing quark masses need to be specified at a reference scale Q_0 . We use the values given in table 1 in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme [4, 47]. To derive cross section predictions we choose $\mu_R = \mu_F = m_h/2$ as central scales.

With a single run of `iHixs` we can determine that the Higgs boson production cross section at the LHC with a center of mass energy of 13 TeV is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{PP \rightarrow H+X} &= 16.00 \text{ pb} & (+32.87\%) && \text{LO, rEFT} \\
&+ 20.84 \text{ pb} & (+42.82\%) && \text{NLO, rEFT} \\
&+ 9.56 \text{ pb} & (+19.64\%) && \text{NNLO, rEFT} \\
&+ 1.62 \text{ pb} & (+3.32\%) && \text{N}^3\text{LO, rEFT} \\
&- 2.07 \text{ pb} & (-4.25\%) & \text{(t,b,c) corr. to exact NLO} & \\
&+ 0.34 \text{ pb} & (+0.70\%) & 1/m_t \text{ corr. to NNLO} & \\
&+ 2.37 \text{ pb} & (+4.87\%) & \text{EWK corr.} & \\
&= 48.67 \text{ pb} . & & &
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Here effects from perturbative QCD through N³LO, electro-weak interactions and finite quark masses were taken into account as described in the previous sections. Figure 1 shows the relative contributions of the the different




Figure 1: Relative cumulative contributions to the total cross section as a function of the collider energy.

components of the cross section as a function of the collider energy; the data for such a plot is readily obtained by running `iHixs` a few times for different values of the collider energy.

From a single run of `iHixs` we also obtain estimates for the residual uncertainty on the cross section. `iHixs` provides detailed estimates for the various sources of uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(\text{theory}) &= \begin{array}{l} +0.13pb \\ -1.20pb \end{array} \begin{array}{l} (+0.28\%) \\ (-2.50\%) \end{array} & \delta(\text{scale}) \\
&+ \pm 0.56pb \quad (\pm 1.16\%) & \delta(\text{PDF-TH}) \\
&+ \pm 0.49pb \quad (\pm 1.00\%) & \delta(\text{EWK}) \\
&+ \pm 0.41pb \quad (\pm 0.85\%) & \delta(t,b,c) \\
&+ \pm 0.49pb \quad (\pm 1.00\%) & \delta(1/m_t) \\
&= \begin{array}{l} +2.08pb \\ -3.16pb \end{array} \begin{array}{l} (+4.28\%) \\ (-6.5\%) \end{array}, \\
\delta(\text{PDF}) &= \pm 0.89pb \quad (\pm 1.85\%), \\
\delta(\alpha_S) &= \begin{array}{l} +1.25pb \\ -1.26pb \end{array} \begin{array}{l} (+2.59\%) \\ (-2.62\%) \end{array}.
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$




Figure 2: Cummulative contributions to the total relative uncertainty as a function of the collider energy. according to eqs. (26)-(28).

In combination we find

$$\delta\sigma_{PP\rightarrow H+X} = \delta(\text{PDF}+\alpha_S) + \delta(\text{theory}) = \begin{matrix} +3.63pb & (+7.46\%) \\ -4.72pb & (-9.7\%) \end{matrix} . \quad (39)$$

To derive the various sources of uncertainties we followed the prescriptions outlined above. In fig. 2 we show how the relative size of the various sources of uncertainty varies as a function of the hadron collider energy.

In comparison to the numerical cross section predictions derived in ref. [3] we observe only minor changes. The difference arise solely due to the exact computation of the N³LO QCD corrections in the heavy top quark effective theory obtained in ref. [16]. The deviations are well within the uncertainty that was associated with the truncation of the threshold expansion used for the results of ref. [3]. This particular source of uncertainty is now removed.

Finally, we use `iHixs` to derive state of the art predictions for the gluon fusion Higgs production cross section at different collider energies. We strictly follow the recommendations of [3, 4]. Figure 3 shows the state-of-the art predictions and uncertainty estimates for the inclusive cross section obtained




Figure 3: Total cross section and total at NNLO and N³LO as a function of the collider energy. The bands show the combination of total uncertainty according to eq. (28).

this way compared to the prediction that is obtained without knowledge of the N³LO corrections. In table 2 we give the detailed numbers for the cross section and uncertainty estimates obtained with `iHixs` including fixed order QCD corrections through N³LO.

5. User-Manual for `iHixs`

5.1. Prerequisites

`iHixs` is computing the hadronic inclusive Higgs cross-section, and therefore it depends on the LHAPDF6 library [38] for initial state parton densities. Due to the change in the interface of the LHAPDF library from version 5 to version 6, `iHixs` is not compatible with LHAPDF version 5.* or lower. `iHixs` also depends on the C++ library `Boost` version 1.6 or higher¹, which is also a dependency for LHAPDF6.

¹<http://www.boost.org/>

E_{CM}	σ	$\delta(\text{theory})$	$\delta(\text{PDF})$	$\delta(\alpha_s)$
2 TeV	1.10 pb	$+0.05_{-0.09}\text{pb}$ (+4.17%/-8.02%)	± 0.03 pb ($\pm 3.17\%$)	$+0.04_{-0.04}\text{pb}$ (+3.69%/-3.36%)
7 TeV	16.87 pb	$+0.70_{-1.14}\text{pb}$ (+4.17%/-6.76%)	± 0.31 pb ($\pm 1.89\%$)	$+0.44_{-0.45}\text{pb}$ (+2.66%/-2.68%)
8 TeV	21.45 pb	$+0.90_{-1.43}\text{pb}$ (+4.18%/-6.69%)	± 0.40 pb ($\pm 1.87\%$)	$+0.56_{-0.56}\text{pb}$ (+2.63%/-2.66%)
13 TeV	48.68 pb	$+2.07_{-3.16}\text{pb}$ (+4.26%/-6.48%)	± 0.89 pb ($\pm 1.85\%$)	$+1.25_{-1.26}\text{pb}$ (+2.59%/-2.62%)
14 TeV	54.80 pb	$+2.34_{-3.54}\text{pb}$ (+4.28%/-6.46%)	± 1.00 pb ($\pm 1.86\%$)	$+1.40_{-1.42}\text{pb}$ (+2.60%/-2.62%)
28 TeV	154.63 pb	$+7.02_{-9.93}\text{pb}$ (+4.54%/-6.42%)	± 2.98 pb ($\pm 1.96\%$)	$+4.10_{-4.03}\text{pb}$ (+2.70%/-2.65%)
100 TeV	808.23 pb	$+44.53_{-56.95}\text{pb}$ (+5.51%/-7.05%)	± 19.98 pb ($\pm 2.51\%$)	$+24.89_{-21.71}\text{pb}$ (+3.12%/-2.72%)

Table 2: Cross sections and uncertainties as function of the collider center of mass energy.

Another requirement is a working version of the `CUBA` library of version 4.0 or higher for multidimensional integration [48]. In particular we use the implementations of the `Vegas` and `Cuhre` algorithms provided by the library.

Finally, the configuration step of the installation procedure is performed by `cmake`².

5.2. Installation

`iHixs` can be obtained from <https://github.com/dulatf/iHixs>, either by downloading a gzipped release or cloning the repository with `git` using

```
1 git clone https://github.com/dulatf/iHixs
```

If all dependencies (i.e. `LHAPDF6` and `Cuba`) are globally installed, i.e. they can be found in the library path of the system, then configuration and building is performed by

```
1 cd <PATH_TO_iHixs_SRC>
2 mkdir build
3 cd build
4 cmake ..
5 make
```

²<https://cmake.org/>

where `<PATH_TO_ihixs_SRC>` is the path to the directory containing the `iHixs` source files (i.e. containing `ihixs.cpp`). An executable called `ihixs` is then generated at the current directory, along with various configuration cache files.

If any of the dependencies are not installed globally, the paths to the respective libraries can be specified in configuration time using the `-Dvar=value` flags of `cmake`. To explicitly specify the location of all dependencies, `cmake` can be invoked as

```
1 cmake -DLHAPDF_DIR=<lhpdf main dir> -DCUBA_DIR_USER=<cuba dir> -  
   DBOOST_DIR_USER=<boost dir> <PATH_TO_ihixs_SRC>
```

followed by

```
1 make
```

A common source of installation problems in systems using the Mac OS comes from library incompatibility between one of the dependencies built by the gnu compiler `gcc` and `iHixs` build by the native LLVM compiler of Apple or vice versa. This results in a linker error. Naturally the only way to fix the issue is to ensure that all components are build by the same (or at least compatible) compilers. One can force `cmake` to use a particular compiler by *pre-pending* the paths to that compiler when invoking `cmake`:

```
1 CXX=<mygxx> CC=<mygcc> cmake <PATH_TO_ihixs_SRC>  
2 make
```

Optionally, the user can include various tests in the build process, under the framework of `gtest`³. A version of the `gtest` unit test framework is distributed with the source code of `iHixs`. To include the tests use

```
1 cmake -Dwith_google_tests="true" <PATH_TO_ihixs_SRC>  
2 make
```

After compilation an extra set of executables is created in the directory `src/tests`. The user can verify then that the code produced passes all the tests by running them. For example

```
1 ./src/tests/ihixs_eft
```

should run various tests related to the implementation of the coefficient functions necessary for the computation of the Higgs cross section within the EFT approximation. All tests should pass and the user should receive a message similar to

³<https://github.com/google/googletest>

```

1 [-----] Global test environment tear-down
2 [====] 69 tests from 20 test cases ran. (166 ms total)
3 [ PASSED ] 69 tests.

```

We have checked that `iHixs` compiles properly under `linux` with the generic `gnu` compiler `gcc 4.8` and higher, and under `Mac OS X` with both `gcc 4.8` and `LLVM version 9.0` or higher.

5.3. Usage

Input parameters for `iHixs` are specified in a runcard file, as well as by command line options. There is a default runcard called `default.card` supplied in the distribution⁴.

The user can run `iHixs`, using the default settings⁵ by

```
1 ./ihixs -i default.card
```

In the absence of a `default.card` file, the program can be run with the same default settings by

```
1 ./ihixs
```

After half a minute or so the user should get the output

```

1 Result
2 mur                = 62.5
3 muf                = 62.5
4 R_LO               = 1.06274
5 R_LO*eftlo         = 15.9988 [0.00158078]
6 R_LO*eftnlo        = 36.8376 [0.00205626]
7 R_LO*eftnnlo       = 46.3981 [0.00479644]
8 R_LO*eftn3lo       = 48.0162 [0.0116302]
9 Higgs XS           = 48.0162 [0.0116302]
10 -----
11 Higgs_XS = 48.0162

```

The main result is the Higgs boson production cross section: `Higgs XS`. Computation of the theory uncertainty is switched off in this default settings.

The numbers in brackets that follow the results are numerical integration errors (propagated properly for each quantity) and can be reduced by increasing the precision of numerical integration using the numerical precision options.

⁴The user can always regenerate this default card by `ihixs --make_runcard` or with the shorthand `ihixs -d`.

⁵Please note that the default settings do **NOT** reproduce the full Higgs inclusive cross-section, but only the rescaled EFT approximation of it.

The full phenomenological prediction for the Higgs cross section at the LHC, at 13TeV, including all theory uncertainties, is computed by running with the `pheno.card` that is provided in the distribution:

```
1 ./ihixs -i pheno.card
```

The output is now

```
1 Result
2 mur                = 62.5
3 muf                = 62.5
4 R_LO               = 1.06274
5 R_LO*eftlo         = 15.9988 [0.00158078]
6 R_LO*eftnlo        = 36.8376 [0.00205626]
7 R_LO*eftnlo        = 46.3981 [0.00479644]
8 R_LO*eftn3lo       = 48.0162 [0.0116302]
9 ew rescaled        = 2.37184 [0.000609145]
10 NLO quark mass effects = -2.06583 [0.00509613]
11 NNLO top mass effects = 0.343941 [0.00450368]
12 Higgs XS           = 48.6661 [0.0134866]
13 delta_tbc          = 0.411289 [0.00428913]
14 delta_tbc %        = 0.845123 [0.00881649]
15 delta(1/m_t)       = 0.486661 [0.000134866]
16 delta(1/m_t) %     = 1 [0]
17 delta EW           = 0.486661 [0.000134866]
18 delta EW %         = 1 [0]
19 delta PDF-TH %     = 1.15673 [0.00738757]
20 delta(scale)+      = 0.133725
21 delta(scale)-      = -1.19938
22 delta(scale)+ (%)  = 0.2785
23 delta(scale)- (%)  = -2.49786
24 deltaPDF+          = 0.888988
25 deltaPDF-          = 0.888988
26 deltaPDFsymm       = 0.888988
27 deltaPDF+ (%)      = 1.85134
28 deltaPDF- (%)      = 1.85134
29 delta(as)+         = 1.24558
30 delta(as)-         = -1.25836
31 delta(as)+ (%)     = 2.59407
32 delta(as)- (%)     = -2.62071
33 Theory Uncertainty + = 2.08308 [0.00562749]
34 Theory Uncertainty - = -3.16316 [0.00566602]
35 Theory Uncertainty % + = 4.28035 [0.0115025]
36 Theory Uncertainty % - = -6.49972 [0.0115025]
37 delta(PDF+a_s) +   = 1.55097 [0.00042981]
38 delta(PDF+a_s) -   = -1.56154 [-0.000432739]
39 delta(PDF+a_s) + % = 3.18695 [0]
40 delta(PDF+a_s) - % = -3.20867 [0]
41 Total Uncertainty + = 3.63405 [0.00564388]
42 Total Uncertainty - = -4.7247 [0.00568253]
43 Total Uncertainty + % = 7.46731 [0.0115025]
44 Total Uncertainty - % = -9.70839 [0.0115025]
45
46 -----
47 Higgs_XS = 48.6661 +3.634(7.5%) -4.725(-9.7%)
```

The `Higgs XS` and the `Theory Uncertainty` outputs include all the effects specified by the operational options in the runcard. Note that the total cross section `Higgs XS` is the sum of all the contributions of eq. 4. Moreover the uncertainties are computed as described in section 3 and combined according to eq. 26, 27 and 28.

In case of undesired modification the `pheno.card` can be recreated with

```
1 ./ihixs --make_pheno.card
```

or the shorthand version

```
1 ./ihixs -p
```

See section 5.3.1 for details on command line options.

5.3.1. Input options and variables

There are four classes of input options: operational options, input-output options, masses-and-scales options and numerical precision options. All available options with some explanation about their functionality can be found in Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6. They can also be inspected by

```
1 ./ihixs --help
```

All options can also be set at the command line, and if so, the value provided overwrites the one in the runcard (irrespectively of the order of command line arguments). For example one can run specifying that the output file should be `new_out.txt` by

```
1 ./ihixs --output_filename new_out.txt --input_filename default.card
```

Some options that are frequently used have shorthands. These shorthands appear in square brackets at the output of `ihixs --help` and they should be used with a single '-'. So for example one can specify the output file using the shorthand

```
1 ./ihixs -o new_out.txt -i default.card
```

5.3.2. Options related to the total theory uncertainty

Theory uncertainties are switched on by default if the corresponding contributions that give rise to these uncertainties are included in the computation: if the computation includes the quark mass effects at NLO, then the uncertainty due to the unknown NNLO mass effects is switched on (computed according to eq. 34), if electroweak corrections are switched on, so is the uncertainty due to higher order, mixed QCD-EW corrections (computed

according to eq. 32), and the same is true for top mass effects at NNLO (whose uncertainty is set ad hoc to 1%, see eq. 35). The remaining uncertainties, due to the lack of N3LO parton density fits, the perturbative scale, the fits of the existing parton densities and the value of the strong coupling constant, have to be explicitly switched on, by setting to `true` the corresponding parameters:

`with_delta_pdf_th` Activates the computation of the PDF_TH uncertainty as explained in section 3.2. The PDF set used at NLO is the one specified by the input parameter `pdf_set_for_nlo`. Note that no checks are performed regarding the compatibility of this PDF set with the one used for the main run, specified by `pdf_set`. The user is, thus, responsible to select a compatible, nlo-level, PDF set.

`with_scale_variation` Activates the computation of scale uncertainty. In order not to make the run unnecessarily slow, we compute the scale uncertainty by evaluating the rescaled EFT cross section at $\mu = 0.25m_H$, $\mu = 0.4m_H$ and $\mu = m_H$. With reasonable sets of input variables the cross-section takes its maximal value around $\mu = 0.4m_H$ if N³LO QCD corrections are included. Consequently, this procedure guarantees that the scale uncertainty is very close, numerically, to that prescribed in section 3.1. At lower orders the extremal values of the cross section are found at the boundaries of the scale variation interval. If one does a scan over the scale μ it is possible to find slight differences (at the per mille level) with respect to the upper end of the uncertainty interval, because it is conceivable that the cross-section does not reach its maximum for $\mu = 0.4m_H$. Given that the dependence of the N³LO cross section on the scale μ is so mild, this effect is most of the times entirely negligible. Still, if the user wants to experiment with wildly different parameters than those corresponding to the LHC set up, they should run a proper scale scan to estimate the scale uncertainty. The deviation from the central value of the rescaled EFT cross section for both the upper and the lower end of the (very asymmetric) uncertainty band, in absolute and relative terms is quoted in the output:

1	<code>delta(scale)+</code>	=	0.133725
2	<code>delta(scale)-</code>	=	-1.19938
3	<code>delta(scale)+(%)</code>	=	0.2785
4	<code>delta(scale)-(%)</code>	=	-2.49786

Note that we do not consider an independent variation of renormalization and factorization scales, a choice that is justified by the very small dependence of the cross section to the factorization scale. The user can, of course, determine their own version of scale uncertainty by explicitly setting the values for the factorization scale, `muf` and the renormalization scale `mur`, in the `runcard` or through the command line parameters in multiple runs.

`with_pdf_error` Activates the computation of the PDF error. This assumes that the PDF set selected, through `pdf_set` has more than one pdf member. The computation of the PDF uncertainty is delegated to the LHAPDF library, in order to use the procedure appropriate to the selected PDF set. The observable computed using the different PDF members is the rescaled EFT cross section to N3LO. The type of uncertainty computed, specified by LHAPDF, is outputted on screen at runtime. The user sees a message like

```

1      Computing PDF error with PDF4LHC15_nnlo_100 which has 101 members
2 Description : PDF4LHC15_nnlo_100. mem=0 => alphas(MZ)=0.118 central
      value; mem=1-100 => PDF symmetric eigenvectors
3 Data version : 1
4 Error type : symmhessian

```

The deviation from the central value of the rescaled EFT cross section for both the upper and the lower end of the uncertainty band, in absolute and relative terms is quoted in the output:

```

1 deltaPDF+          = 0.888988
2 deltaPDF-          = 0.888988
3 deltaPDFsymm       = 0.888988
4 deltaPDF+(%)       = 1.85134
5 deltaPDF-(%)       = 1.85134

```

`with_a_s_error` Activates the computation of the uncertainty due to a_s . This assumes that the PDF set selected, through `pdf_set` is the `PDF4LHC15_nnlo_100_pdfas` set. If `PDF4LHC15_nnlo_100` is declared instead, it is switched to `PDF4LHC15_nnlo_100_pdfas` for the purposes of estimating the a_s uncertainty.

The rescaled EFT cross section is computed with members 101 and 102 of this PDF set, that correspond to fits with the value of a_s at the upper and lower bound of the variation range adopted by the PDF4LHC working group. The resulting rescaled EFT cross sections, as well as

the deviations from the central value of the rescaled EFT cross section in absolute and relative terms for both the upper and the lower end of the uncertainty band are quoted in the output:

1	rEFT(as+)	=	49.2618	[0.0123911]
2	rEFT(as-)	=	46.7578	[0.0108436]
3	delta(as)+	=	1.24558	
4	delta(as)-	=	-1.25836	
5	delta(as)+(%)	=	2.59407	
6	delta(as)-(%)	=	-2.62071	

5.3.3. Option related to quark mass effects

`with_indiv_mass_effects` Computes the exact NLO cross section in the presence of massive quarks, in all possible combinations. The results are reported as

1	exact LO t	=	15.9988	[0.00158078]
2	exact NLO t	=	36.6011	[0.00452547]
3	delta QCD	=	-2.06583	[0.00509613]
4	exact LO t+b	=	14.9428	[0.00147644]
5	exact NLO t+b	=	34.9627	[0.00464004]
6	exact LO t+c	=	15.8762	[0.00156866]
7	exact NLO t+c	=	36.3833	[0.0045734]
8	exact LO b	=	0.0423262	[4.18209e-06]
9	exact NLO b	=	0.101262	[9.72963e-06]
10	exact LO c	=	0.000347049	[3.42906e-08]
11	exact NLO c	=	0.000895551	[1.23147e-07]
12	exact LO b+c	=	0.0501185	[4.95201e-06]
13	exact NLO b+c	=	0.120868	[1.1858e-05]

For example `exact NLO b+c` is the cross section with the bottom and charm quarks activated (including their interference terms), while the top quark is de-activated. Note that setting the mass of any quark to exactly 0.0 leads to an program error. However, using any small, positive value for the quark masses is allowed and leads to valid results. Hence one can decouple the bottom quark, for example, by setting its mass to 0.001 (all masses are in GeV). On the other hand, it is more transparent to do so by setting the corresponding Yukawa rescaling coefficient, `y_bot` to 0.0.

`mt_msbar`, `mt_msbar_ref_scale` This is an input parameter for the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ mass of the top quark and the reference scale at which this mass is defined. Similar parameters exist for the bottom and the charm quarks. The program automatically evolves the quark mass from the reference scale to the renormalization scale, defined by `mur`. The default values for the masses and the reference scales are described in table 1.

`mt_on_shell` This is the on-shell mass for the top quark. Similar parameters exist for the bottom and charm quarks. The default on-shell mass for the quarks are selected so that they are compatible with the default $\overline{\text{MS}}$ masses.

`top_scheme` This determines whether the on-shell scheme or the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme will be used throughout the computation. Quantities that are scheme dependent, like the NLO quark mass effects, are consistently computed depending on the choice set by this option.

`gamma_top` The value of the top width. Similar parameters exist for the bottom and charm quarks. This is included in the LO and NLO computations by turning the quark mass into a complex parameter. The effect of non-zero width for all three quarks is below the sub-per-mille level, so the widths for all quarks are set to zero by default, for efficiency.

5.3.4. Results and output

Apart from the output in the standard terminal, `iHixs` also writes output in a more detailed format, at an output file whose name is determined by the `output_filename` option. Note that the program will overwrite any existing file with the same name. The default output filename is `ihixs_output`.

The output file consists of three sections. The first section is a more detailed, human-readable, version of the output that is written on screen during the calculation:

```

1 ihixs results
2 Result
3 mh                = 125
4 Etot              = 13000
5 PDF set           = PDF4LHC15_nnlo_100
6 PDF member        = 0
7 mur               = 62.5
8 muf               = 62.5
9 as_at_mz          = 0.118002
10 as_at_mur         = 0.125161
11 mt_used           = 176.416
12 mb_used           = 2.96088
13 mc_used           = 0.654089
14 eftlo             = 15.0543 [0.00148746]
15 eftnlo            = 34.6629 [0.00193487]
16 eftnnlo           = 43.659 [0.00451328]
17 eftn3lo           = 45.1816 [0.0109436]
18 R_L0              = 1.06274
19 R_L0*eftlo        = 15.9988 [0.00158078]
20 R_L0*eftnlo       = 36.8376 [0.00205626]
```

```

21 R_LO*eftnnlo           = 46.3981 [0.00479644]
22 R_LO*eftn3lo          = 48.0162 [0.0116302]
23 ggnlo/eftnlo         = 0.959267 [7.70006e-05]
24 qgnlo/eftnlo         = 0.0400834 [4.56688e-06]
25 ggnnlo/eftn2lo       = 0.947457 [0.000142008]
26 qgnnlo/eftn2lo       = 0.0498868 [6.66652e-06]
27 ggn3lo/eftn3lo       = 0.947899 [0.000255586]
28 qgn3lo/eftn3lo       = 0.0482315 [1.26758e-05]
29 R_LO*gg channel       = 45.5145 [0.00539197]
30 R_LO*qg channel       = 2.31589 [0.000236196]
31 R_LO*qqbar channel    = 0.0464633 [0.000468206]
32 R_LO*qg channel       = 0.0353943 [0.000268044]
33 R_LO*qlq2 channel     = 0.103941 [0.010288]
34 ew rescaled as^2     = 0.83795 [8.27946e-05]
35 ew rescaled as^3     = 1.03791 [6.88804e-05]
36 ew rescaled as^4     = 0.436607 [0.00022696]
37 ew rescaled as^5     = 0.0593688 [0.000554931]
38 mixed EW-QCD         = 1.53389 [0.000614746]
39 ew rescaled          = 2.37184 [0.000609145]
40 hard ratio from eft   = 0.452463 [4.91477e-05]
41 WC                   = 1.11002 [0]
42 WC^2                 = 1.23216 [0]
43 WC^2_trunc           = 1.23213 [0]
44 n                    = 36.7594 [0.0109214]
45 sigma factorized     = 45.2932 [0.0111813]
46 exact LO t+b+c       = 14.8276 [0.00146506]
47 exact NLO t+b+c      = 34.7718 [0.00466286]
48 exact LO t           = 15.9988 [0.00158078]
49 exact NLO t          = 36.6011 [0.00452547]
50 NLO quark mass effects = -2.06583 [0.00509613]
51 NLO quark mass effects / eft % = -5.60793
52 delta sigma t NLO    = 20.6023 [0.00479362]
53 delta sigma t+b+c NLO = 19.9442 [0.00488761]
54 delta tbc ratio      = 0.031943 [0.000332376]
55 NNLO mt exp gg      = 0.387362 [0.0045011]
56 NNLO mt exp qg      = -0.0434209 [0.000152313]
57 NNLO top mass effects = 0.343941 [0.00450368]
58 Higgs XS             = 48.6661 [0.0134866]
59 delta_tbc            = 0.411289 [0.00428913]
60 delta_tbc %          = 0.845123 [0.00881649]
61 delta(1/m_t)         = 0.486661 [0.000134866]
62 delta(1/m_t) %       = 1 [0]
63 delta EW              = 0.486661 [0.000134866]
64 delta EW %           = 1 [0]
65 R_LO*eftnnlo (with NLO PDF) = 47.4715 [0.00489674]
66 delta PDF-TH %       = 1.15673 [0.00738757]
67 rEFT(low)            = 48.1499 [0.0117723]
68 rEFT(high)           = 46.8168 [0.0175512]
69 delta(scale)+        = 0.133725
70 delta(scale)-        = -1.19938
71 delta(scale)+ (%)    = 0.2785
72 delta(scale)- (%)    = -2.49786
73 delta(scale)+ pure eft = 0.243273
74 delta(scale)- pure eft = -1.48381
75 delta(scale)+ (%) pure eft = 0.538434
76 delta(scale)- (%) pure eft = -3.2841
77 R_LO*eftn3lo_central = 48.0187 [0.00507515]

```

```

78 deltaPDF+           = 0.888988
79 deltaPDF-           = 0.888988
80 deltaPDFsymm        = 0.888988
81 deltaPDF+(%)        = 1.85134
82 deltaPDF-(%)        = 1.85134
83 rEFT(as+)           = 49.2618 [0.0123911]
84 rEFT(as-)           = 46.7578 [0.0108436]
85 delta(as)+          = 1.24558
86 delta(as)-          = -1.25836
87 delta(as)+(%)       = 2.59407
88 delta(as)-(%)       = -2.62071
89 Theory Uncertainty  + = 2.08308 [0.00562749]
90 Theory Uncertainty  - = -3.16316 [0.00566602]
91 Theory Uncertainty  % + = 4.28035 [0.0115025]
92 Theory Uncertainty  % - = -6.49972 [0.0115025]
93 delta(PDF+a_s) +    = 1.55097 [0.00042981]
94 delta(PDF+a_s) -    = -1.56154 [-0.000432739]
95 delta(PDF+a_s) + %  = 3.18695 [0]
96 delta(PDF+a_s) - %  = -3.20867 [0]
97 Total Uncertainty  + = 3.63405 [0.00564388]
98 Total Uncertainty  - = -4.7247 [0.00568253]
99 Total Uncertainty  + % = 7.46731 [0.0115025]
100 Total Uncertainty  - % = -9.70839 [0.0115025]

```

The first nine lines list information about the parameters used in this run and should be self-explanatory. Afterwards, `iHixs` lists the results of the current run. The various values are as follows:

`as_at_mz` The value of $a_s(m_Z)$, as retrieved from LHAPDF.

`as_at_mur` The value of $a_s(\mu_r)$, computed via evolution through N³LO from $a_s(m_Z)$ quoted one line above.

`mt_used`, `mb_used`, `mc_used` The value of the quark mass, m_q , used in the computation. Depending on the scheme specified for each quark, this might be the on-shell mass (specified in the runcard) or the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ mass, evolved from its reference value $m_q(\mu_q)$ to μ_r . Both m_q and μ_q are also specified in the runcard (default values are recorded at Tab. 1).

`R.L0` The ratio of the exact LO cross section to the effect theory LO cross section.

`eftlo`, `eftnlo`, `eftnnlo`, `eftn3lo` The cross section in the pure effective theory (no rescaling of the leading order) through N³LO.

`R.L0*eftlo`, ..., `R.L0*eftn3lo` The cross section in the effective theory, multiplied by the ratio of the exact LO cross section to the effect theory LO cross section, through N³LO.

`ggn1o/eftn1o` The fraction of the EFT cross-section due to the gluon-gluon channel at NLO.

`qgn1o/eftn1o` The fraction of the EFT cross-section due to the quark-gluon channel at NLO.

`ggnn1o/eftn21o` The fraction of the EFT cross-section due to the gluon-gluon channel at NNLO.

`qgnn1o/eftn21o` The fraction of the EFT cross-section due to the quark-gluon channel at NNLO.

`ggn31o/eftn31o` The fraction of the EFT cross-section due to the gluon-gluon channel at N3LO.

`qgn31o/eftn31o` The fraction of the EFT cross-section due to the quark-gluon channel at N3LO.

`R_L0*gg channel` The EFT contribution of the gluon-gluon channel rescaled by `R_L0`.

`WC` The Wilson coefficient at the current scale.

`WC^2` The square of the Wilson coefficient, not truncated.

`WC^2.trunc` The square of the Wilson coefficient truncate to order $\mathcal{O}(a_s^6)$.

`sigma factorized` The EFT cross-section computed in a factorized form⁶, i.e. as a product of $C^2 \cdot \eta$.

`n` The numerical value of the parton-level matrix elements, η , i.e. the EFT cross section with the Wilson coefficient set to 1.

`Higgs XS` The total Higgs cross section including all effects that were switched on in the current run⁷.

⁶The squared Wilson coefficient, C^2 and the parton-level matrix elements η_{ij} are computed as an expansion in a_s . Normally the two expansions are combined and the result is truncated at the desired order. By ‘factorized’ here we mean that the cross-section is obtained by multiplying $C^2 \cdot \eta$, without truncating, so it differs from the `eftn31o` above by terms of order higher than a_s^5

⁷*Including* resummation effects if activated, either following classical resummation, or SCET type resummation.

`exact LO t+b+c` The exact LO cross section including top and light quark mass effects.

`exact NLO t+b+c` The exact NLO cross section including top and light quark mass effects.

`exact LO t` The exact LO cross section including top mass effects only.

`exact NLO t` The exact NLO cross section including top mass effects only.

`NLO quark mass effects` Corrections to the rescaled EFT cross section due to mass effects, at NLO.

`NLO quark mass effects / eft %` % effect from light quark masses over the EFT cross section.

`NNLO mt exp gg` NNLO top mass effects in the gluon-gluon channel.

`NNLO mt exp qg` NNLO top mass effects in the quark-gluon channel.

`NNLO top mass effects` NNLO top mass effects.

`Higgs XS` Total Higgs cross section including all effects specified in the run-card.

`delta_tbc, delta_tbc %` Uncertainty due to light quark mass effects.

`delta(1/m.t), delta(1/m.t) %` Uncertainty due to top quark effects beyond NNLO.

`delta EW, delta EW %` Uncertainty due to EW effects.

`R_LO*eftnnlo (with NLO PDF)` NNLO EFT cross section rescaled by R_{LO} , computed with NLO PDFs, used in the computation of the PDF-TH error.

`delta PDF-TH %` Uncertainty due to missing N³LO PDFs.

`rEFT(low), rEFT(high)` minimum and maximum EFT cross section rescaled by R_{LO} , computed within the renormalization/factorization scale interval.

`delta(scale)` Scale uncertainty (rescaled by R_{LO}) in absolute and relative terms.

`delta(scale)+ pure eft` Scale uncertainty for the EFT cross section (not rescaled by R_{LO}).

`deltaPDF` PDF uncertainty.

`rEFT(as+)`, `rEFT(as-)` EFT cross section (rescaled by R_{LO}) computed with a_s values at the edges of the a_s uncertainty interval.

`delta(as)+` Uncertainty due to a_s in relative and absolute terms.

Theory Uncertainty Total theory uncertainty computed as explained in eq. 26.

`delta(PDF+a.s)` Combined PDF and a_s uncertainty, computed as explained in eq. 27.

Total Uncertainty Total uncertainty computed as in eq. 28.

More information is displayed depending on the options activated in the current run.

This first section of output in the output file is followed by the same output as above, but written in Mathematica format, using the dictionary data structure of Mathematica. This is very helpful when `iHixs` is run on a cluster with many different input cards, as one would typically do to perform scans over one or more parameters. For example, if one wants to get a detailed scan over the renormalization scale, one could run in a cluster with as many cards as there are points in the scan. It is easy to write a script to collect such results and import them to Mathematica for further analysis and plotting, by means of using the dictionary data structure provided.

The next section of the output file is a list of the input parameters as they are used in the run. These might differ from parameters as they appear in the runcard, because upon running `iHixs` they might have been overwritten by command-line parameters. Again, this typically happens when one runs on clusters to perform a parameter scan, and instead of running with many different runcards, one chooses to run with the same runcard but modifying at command line the value of some parameter. For example, to perform a scan over different values for the renormalisation scale μ_R in conjunction with a runcard one could use the command

```
1 ./ihixs -i my_generic_card -o results_for_mur_eq_<x> --mur=<x>
```

where a script is used to loop over different values of the string `<x>`.

The filename of the runcard used in the run can be found at the bottom of the third section of the output file.

6. Conclusions

In this article we presented `ihixs`, a comprehensive, easy-to-use tool to derive state of the art predictions for the inclusive production probability of a Higgs boson at the LHC. The source code for our tool can readily be downloaded from <https://github.com/dulatf/ihixs>.

The theoretical basis of our code was published in ref. [3] that details the included contributions and sources of uncertainties. In summary we include perturbative QCD and electro-weak corrections to the gluon fusion production mechanism. `ihixs` is the first public numerical code that includes exact QCD corrections through N³LO in the heavy top quark effective theory. QCD corrections with exact mass dependence are include through NLO and approximated at NNLO. Furthermore, `ihixs` provides the option to estimate residual uncertainties for example using the prescriptions outlined in ref. [3]. We have reviewed the essential details of the included contributions and the prescriptions to derive uncertainties.

We have demonstrated the information that can be obtained with `ihixs`. In particular we have derived the currently most precise predictions for the inclusive production probability for Higgs boson in gluon fusion at a hadron collider. The inclusion of exact N³LO QCD corrections leads to comparatively small modification of the cross section predictions obtained in ref. [3] where N³LO cross sections were computed using a threshold expansion. As a phenomenological result, we have presented state-of-the art predictions for the Higgs production cross section at the LHC at different collider energies.

Furthermore, we have provide a detailed manual for the usage of `ihixs`, explaining how the output of our code has to be interpreted and which information can be extracted. We have discussed how the many features of our tool can be exploited by using a simple runcard system.

The many features of `ihixs` provide the user with the ability to perform exhaustive studies of the inclusive Higgs boson production cross section at the LHC and to compare state-of-the-art theoretical predictions to measurements by ATLAS and CMS.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Babis Anastasiou, Claude Duhr, Elisabetta Furlan, Franz Herzog and Thomas Gehrmann for many useful discussions. B.M. is supported by the European Commission through the ERC Consolidator Grant HICCUP (No. 614577). FD is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under contract DE-AC02-76SF00515.

Appendix A. How to add a parameter/option to `iHixs`

Adding an input option to `iHixs` requires editing a source file and, hence, re-compilation, but it is fairly straightforward. The file to be edited is `src/tools/user_interface.cpp`.

The user has to edit the function `UserInterface::UserInterface()` around line 30 of the file. At any empty line within this function, one can add

```
1 options.push_back(UIOption(  
2     "Etot", //: parameter name  
3     "CDM energy of the collider in GeV", //: explanation of what the  
4       parameter is. This appears in the --help output  
5     "Required", //: whether a value is required for this parameter or not  
6     "13000.0", //: the default value  
7     "operational options" //: a classification code  
));
```

The semantics of the different entries are explained by the inline comments above. The last entry, in particular, determines how the option is displayed in the listing of options produced by `--help`. If the classification code is one of `operational options`, `input-output options`, `masses and scales options` or `numerical precision options` then the new option is displayed together with the other options in the same group, otherwise it is not displayed at all. Other than that the last entry in the argument list has no effect.

The third entry in the argument list above is a string that can be either `"Required"` or `"Optional"`. If the option is a parameter, i.e. it holds a value, then the `Required` string should be used. If the parameter is a flag, like `--help`, then `Optional` can be used. In such a case a value is not required when defining the option. For example, `Etot` is a parameter with a `"Required"` value, and as a result

```
1 ihixs --Etot
```

leads to a runtime error (the program exits indicating that you have invoked Etot without specifying a value. On the other hand

```
1 ihixs --help
```

works as expected, i.e. one does not need to type `ihixs --help true`.

After re-compiling, the option can be seen with `--help` and can be set either in command line or at the runcard. It can then be used within the program, wherever the `UserInterface` object called `UI` is accessible. If the parameter `foo` is defined and the user wants to access it as a double, he can use

```
1 UI.giveDouble("foo")
```

wherever in the code `UI` is accessible. There are also functions that case the value to `bool` (`giveBool("foo")`), integers (`giveInt("foo")`) and strings (`giveString("foo")`) available.

Appendix A.1. How to modify the Wilson Coefficients used in the effective field theory cross section

The Wilson Coefficients for the gluon-gluon-Higgs effective vertex used in `ihixs` are the ones corresponding to the Standard Model. If the user wants to modify them, to compute the gluon fusion cross section in another model, in which there are heavy particles that modify the gluon-gluon-Higgs effective vertex, the user has to edit three files. The first modification takes place at `src/core/input_parameters.cpp` within the function

```
1 void InputParameters::SetUpWilsonCoefficient(const UserInterface &UI)
```

which is around line 65 of the file. This function has access to the `UI` object and therefore to all user-defined options. Instead of calling

```
1 _wc.Configure(_log_muf_over_mt_sq_for_WC, _model.top.scheme());
```

the user should call a newly defined function with whatever arguments are necessary for the computation of the modified Wilson Coefficients, e.g.

```
1 _wc.ModifiedConfigure(UI.giveDouble("myparameter1"), UI.muf, UI.giveBool("myflag"));
```

Next, this function has to be declared at `src/higgs/effective_theory/wilson_coefficients.h` and defined at `src/higgs/effective_theory/wilson_coefficients.cpp` similarly to the Standard Model `WilsonCoefficient::Configure` function in that file. Note

that the Wilson Coefficients are normalized such that the leading order coefficient $c_0 = 1$. Also note that one should not forget to define the `AsSeries` object `_c` by using

```
1 _c = AsSeries(1, _c0, _c1, _c2, _c3);
```

before the end of the `ModifiedConfigure` function.

Table 3: Operational options

<code>Etot : 13000.0</code>	COM energy of the collider in GeV
<code>pdf_member : 0</code>	pdf member id (the range depends on the pdf set)
<code>pdf_set : PDF4LHC15_nnlo_100</code>	choose a specific pdf set name (LHAPDF6 list at lhpdf.hepforge.org/pdfsets.html). This set will be used irrespectively of order.
<code>pdf_set_for_nlo : PDF4LHC15_nlo_100</code>	pdf set used when computing PDF-TH error.
<code>with_eft : true</code>	compute the cross section in the EFT approximation
<code>with_exact_qcd_corrections : false</code>	true to include the exact quark mass effects at NLO, false to omit them
<code>with_ew_corrections : false</code>	true to include the exact quark mass effects at NLO, false to omit them
<code>with_mt_expansion : false</code>	include NNLO $1/m_t$ terms
<code>with_delta_pdf_th : false</code>	compute PDF-TH uncertainty
<code>with_scale_variation : false</code>	estimate scale variation (μ_r and μ_f should be at $m_h/2$)
<code>with_indiv_mass_effects : false</code>	compute separately light quark contributions
<code>with_pdf_error : false</code>	whether or not to compute error due to pdfs
<code>with_a_s_error : false</code>	compute a_s uncertainty
<code>with_resummation : false</code>	include threshold resummation
<code>resummation_log_order : 3</code>	0:LL, 1:NLL, 2:NNLL, 3:N3LL
<code>resummation_matching_order : 3</code>	0:L0, 1:NLO, 2:NNLO, 3:N3LO
<code>resummation_type : log</code>	variant of threshold resummation, i.e. log:classical, psi, AP2log, AP2psi
<code>with_scet : false</code>	include scet resummation
<code>qcd_perturbative_order : N3LO</code>	LO, NLO, NNLO, N3LO : ihixs will compute up to this order in a_s
<code>with_fixed_as_at_mz : 0.0</code>	set the value of $a_s(m_Z)$ by hand. Beware: this might not be compatible with your pdf choice.
<code>qcd_order_evol : 3</code>	used for a_s and quark mass evolution 0:L0, 1:NLO, 2:NNLO, 3:N3LO
<code>with_lower_ord_scale_var : false</code>	also compute scale variation for lower than the current order

Table 4: Input-Output options

<code>verbose : minimal</code>	level of verbosity: minimal or medium. Medium shows channel breakdown EFT cross section.
<code>input_filename : default.card</code>	filename to use as runcard
<code>output_filename : ihixs_output</code>	filename to write output
<code>help : false</code>	print all options and help messages per option.
<code>make_runcard : false</code>	create default runcard file as default_card.
<code>make_pheno_card : false</code>	create pheno runcard file as pheno_card.
<code>write_documentation : false</code>	print the help message in a TeX form.
<code>with_eft_channel_info : false</code>	print eft cross section per channel per order
<code>with_resummation_info : false</code>	info from resummation: true, false

Table 5: Masses and scales options

m_higgs : 125.0	higgs mass in GeV
mur : 62.5	mur
muf : 62.5	muf
mt_msbar : 162.7	MSbar top mass
mt_msbar_ref_scale : 162.7	reference scale for the top mass in MSbar
mt_on_shell : 172.5	On Shell top mass
mb_msbar : 4.18	MSbar bottom mass
mb_msbar_ref_scale : 4.18	reference scale for the bottom mass in MSbar
mb_on_shell : 4.92	On Shell bottom mass
mc_msbar : 0.986	MSbar charm mass
mc_msbar_ref_scale : 3.0	reference scale for the charm mass in MSbar
mc_on_shell : 1.67	On Shell charm mass
top_scheme : msbar	msbar or on-shell
bottom_scheme : msbar	msbar or on-shell
charm_scheme : msbar	msbar or on-shell
y_top : 1.0	factor multiplying the Y_t . Set to zero to remove the top quark
y_bot : 1.0	factor multiplying the Y_b . Set to zero to remove the bottom quark
y_charm : 1.0	factor multiplying the Y_c . Set to zero to remove the charm quark
gamma_top : 0.0	width of top quark
gamma_bot : 0.0	width of bottom quark
gamma_charm : 0.0	width of charm quark

Table 6: Numerical precision options

epsrel : 0.0001	cuba argument: target relative error
epsabs : 0.0	cuba argument: target absolute error
mineval : 50000	cuba argument: minimum points to be evaluated
maxeval : 50000000	cuba argument: maximum points to be evaluated
nstart : 10000	cuba argument: number of points for first iteration
nincrease : 1000	cuba argument: number of points for step increase
cuba_verbose : 0	cuba argument: verbosity level: 0=silent, 2=iterations printed out

References

- [1] The Atlas Collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Physics Letters, Section B: Nuclear, Elementary Particle and High-Energy Physics 716 (1) (2012) 1–29. [arXiv:1207.7214](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020](#).
- [2] The CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys.Lett. B716 (2012) 30–61. [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021](#).
- [3] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, E. Furlan, T. Gehrmann, F. Herzog, A. Lazopoulos, B. Mistlberger, High precision determination of the gluon fusion Higgs boson cross-section at the LHC, JHEP 05 (2016) 058. [arXiv:1602.00695](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP05\(2016\)058](#).
- [4] D. de Florian, et al., Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector [arXiv:1610.07922](#), [doi:10.23731/CYRM-2017-002](#).
- [5] R. V. Harlander, S. Liebler, H. Mantler, SusHi Bento: Beyond NNLO and the heavy-top limit, Comput. Phys. Commun. 212 (2017) 239–257. [arXiv:1605.03190](#), [doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2016.10.015](#).
- [6] F. Wilczek, Decays of Heavy Vector Mesons into Higgs Particles, Physical Review Letters 39 (21) (1977) 1304–1306. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.39.1304](#).
- [7] K. G. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl, M. Steinhauser, Decoupling relations to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ and their connection to low-energy theorems, Nucl. Phys. B510 (1998) 61–87. [arXiv:hep-ph/9708255](#), [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213\(98\)81004-3](#), [10.1016/S0550-3213\(97\)00649-4](#).
- [8] Y. Schroder, M. Steinhauser, Four-loop decoupling relations for the strong coupling, JHEP 01 (2006) 051. [arXiv:hep-ph/0512058](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/01/051](#).
- [9] K. Chetyrkin, J. Kühn, C. Sturm, QCD decoupling at four loops, Nuclear Physics B 744 (1-2) (2006) 121–135. [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb](#).

2006.03.020.

URL <http://inspirehep.net/record/699609>

- [10] M. Kramer, E. Laenen, M. Spira, Soft gluon radiation in Higgs boson production at the LHC, Nucl. Phys. B511 (1998) 523–549. [arXiv:hep-ph/9611272](#), [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213\(97\)00679-2](#).
- [11] S. Dawson, Radiative corrections to Higgs boson production, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 283–300. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(91\)90061-2](#).
- [12] C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov, Higgs boson production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD, Nuclear Physics B 646 (1-2) (2002) 220–256. [arXiv:0207004](#), [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213\(02\)00837-4](#).
URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0207004>
- [13] R. V. Harlander, W. B. Kilgore, Next-to-next-to-leading order Higgs production at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 201801. [arXiv:hep-ph/0201206](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.201801](#).
- [14] V. Ravindran, J. Smith, W. L. van Neerven, NNLO corrections to the total cross-section for Higgs boson production in hadron hadron collisions, Nucl. Phys. B665 (2003) 325–366. [arXiv:hep-ph/0302135](#), [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213\(03\)00457-7](#).
- [15] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, F. Herzog, B. Mistlberger, Higgs Boson Gluon-Fusion Production in QCD at Three Loops, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 212001. [arXiv:1503.06056](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.212001](#).
- [16] B. Mistlberger, Higgs Boson Production at Hadron Colliders at N³LO in QCD, To Appear Soon.
- [17] D. Graudenz, M. Spira, P. M. Zerwas, QCD corrections to Higgs boson production at proton proton colliders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 1372–1375. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.1372](#).
- [18] M. Spira, A. Djouadi, D. Graudenz, P. M. Zerwas, Higgs boson production at the LHC, Nucl. Phys. B453 (1995) 17–82. [arXiv:hep-ph/9504378](#), [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(95\)00379-7](#).

- [19] R. V. Harlander, H. Mantler, S. Marzani, K. J. Ozeren, Higgs production in gluon fusion at next-to-next-to-leading order QCD for finite top mass, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 66 (2010) 359–372. [arXiv:0912.2104](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1258-x](#).
- [20] A. Pak, M. Rogal, M. Steinhauser, Finite top quark mass effects in NNLO Higgs boson production at LHC, *JHEP* 02 (2010) 025. [arXiv:0911.4662](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP02\(2010\)025](#).
- [21] S. Actis, G. Passarino, C. Sturm, S. Uccirati, NNLO Computational Techniques: The Cases $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $H \rightarrow gg$, *Nucl. Phys. B* 811 (2009) 182–273. [arXiv:0809.3667](#), [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.11.024](#).
- [22] S. Actis, G. Passarino, C. Sturm, S. Uccirati, NLO Electroweak Corrections to Higgs Boson Production at Hadron Colliders, *Phys. Lett. B* 670 (2008) 12–17. [arXiv:0809.1301](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2008.10.018](#).
- [23] U. Aglietti, R. Bonciani, G. Degrossi, A. Vicini, Two loop light fermion contribution to Higgs production and decays, *Phys. Lett. B* 595 (2004) 432–441. [arXiv:hep-ph/0404071](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.06.063](#).
- [24] M. Bonetti, K. Melnikov, L. Tancredi, Two-loop electroweak corrections to Higgs–gluon couplings to higher orders in the dimensional regularization parameter, *Nucl. Phys. B* 916 (2017) 709–726. [arXiv:1610.05497](#), [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.01.020](#).
- [25] C. Anastasiou, R. Boughezal, F. Petriello, Mixed QCD-electroweak corrections to Higgs boson production in gluon fusion, *JHEP* 04 (2009) 003. [arXiv:0811.3458](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/003](#).
- [26] M. Bonetti, K. Melnikov, L. Tancredi, [Higher order corrections to mixed qcd-ew contributions to higgs production in gluon fusion](#) [arXiv:1801.10403](#).
URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.10403>
- [27] C. Anastasiou, S. Buehler, F. Herzog, A. Lazopoulos, Total cross-section for Higgs boson hadroproduction with anomalous Standard Model in-

- teractions, JHEP 12 (2011) 058. [arXiv:1107.0683](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP12\(2011\)058](#).
- [28] C. Anastasiou, S. Buehler, F. Herzog, A. Lazopoulos, Inclusive Higgs boson cross-section for the LHC at 8 TeV, JHEP 04 (2012) 004. [arXiv:1202.3638](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP04\(2012\)004](#).
- [29] M. Bonvini, S. Marzani, Resummed Higgs cross section at N³LL, JHEP 09 (2014) 007. [arXiv:1405.3654](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP09\(2014\)007](#).
- [30] S. Catani, L. Cieri, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini, Threshold resummation at N³LL accuracy and soft-virtual cross sections at N³LO, Nucl. Phys. B888 (2014) 75–91. [arXiv:1405.4827](#), [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.09.012](#).
- [31] V. Ahrens, T. Becher, M. Neubert, L. L. Yang, Renormalization-Group Improved Prediction for Higgs Production at Hadron Colliders, Eur. Phys. J. C62 (2009) 333–353. [arXiv:0809.4283](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1030-2](#).
- [32] V. Ahrens, T. Becher, M. Neubert, L. L. Yang, Origin of the Large Perturbative Corrections to Higgs Production at Hadron Colliders, Phys. Rev. D79 (2009) 033013. [arXiv:0808.3008](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.033013](#).
- [33] S. Dulat, T.-J. Hou, J. Gao, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, P. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, C. Schmidt, D. Stump, C. P. Yuan, New parton distribution functions from a global analysis of quantum chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D93 (3) (2016) 033006. [arXiv:1506.07443](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.033006](#).
- [34] L. A. Harland-Lang, A. D. Martin, P. Motylinski, R. S. Thorne, Parton distributions in the LHC era: MMHT 2014 PDFs, Eur. Phys. J. C75 (5) (2015) 204. [arXiv:1412.3989](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3397-6](#).
- [35] R. D. Ball, et al., Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, JHEP 04 (2015) 040. [arXiv:1410.8849](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP04\(2015\)040](#).
- [36] S. Alekhin, J. Bluemlein, S.-O. Moch, R. Placakyte, The new ABMP16 PDF, PoS DIS2016 (2016) 016. [arXiv:1609.03327](#).

- [37] J. Butterworth, et al., PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, *J. Phys. G* 43 (2016) 023001. [arXiv:1510.03865](#), [doi:10.1088/0954-3899/43/2/023001](#).
- [38] A. Buckley, J. Ferrando, S. Lloyd, K. Nordström, B. Page, M. Rüfenacht, M. Schönherr, G. Watt, LHAPDF6: parton density access in the LHC precision era, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75 (2015) 132. [arXiv:1412.7420](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3318-8](#).
- [39] T. Inami, T. Kubota, Y. Okada, Effective Gauge Theory and the Effect of Heavy Quarks, *Zeitschrift für Physik C* 18 (1983) 69. [doi:10.1007/BF01571710](#).
- [40] M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, V. Zakharov, [Remarks on Higgs-boson interactions with nucleons](#), *Physics Letters B* 78 (4) (1978) 443–446. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(78\)90481-1](#).
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0370269378904811>
- [41] V. P. Spiridonov, K. G. Chetyrkin, [Nonleading mass corrections and renormalization of the operators \$m \bar{\psi} \psi\$ and \$g^2\(\mu \nu\)\$](#) , *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 47 (1988) 522–527.
URL <http://inspirehep.net/record/275481>
- [42] G. F. Sterman, Summation of Large Corrections to Short Distance Hadronic Cross-Sections, *Nucl. Phys. B* 281 (1987) 310–364. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(87\)90258-6](#).
- [43] S. Catani, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini, P. Nason, Soft gluon resummation for Higgs boson production at hadron colliders, *JHEP* 07 (2003) 028. [arXiv:hep-ph/0306211](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/07/028](#).
- [44] S. Catani, L. Trentadue, Resummation of the QCD Perturbative Series for Hard Processes, *Nucl. Phys. B* 327 (1989) 323–352. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(89\)90273-3](#).
- [45] S. Catani, L. Trentadue, Comment on QCD exponentiation at large x , *Nucl. Phys. B* 353 (1991) 183–186. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(91\)90506-S](#).

- [46] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren, A. Vogt, Higher-order corrections in threshold resummation, Nucl. Phys. B726 (2005) 317–335. [arXiv:hep-ph/0506288](#), [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.08.005](#).
- [47] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, M. Grazzini, R. V. Harlander, R. S. Thorne, M. Spira, M. Steinhauser, [Standard Model input parameters for Higgs physics](#).
URL <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2047636>
- [48] T. Hahn, CUBA: A Library for multidimensional numerical integration, Comput. Phys. Commun. 168 (2005) 78–95. [arXiv:hep-ph/0404043](#), [doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2005.01.010](#).