

Cd and In-doping in thin film SnO₂

Juliana Schell^{1,2}, Doru C. Lupascu², Artur Wilson Carbonari³, Ronaldo Domingues Mansano⁴, Rafael. S. Freitas⁵, João Nuno Gonçalves⁶, Thien Thanh Dang⁷, Karl Johnston¹ and Reiner Vianden⁷

 ¹ European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland
 ² Institute for Materials Science and Center for Nanointegration
 Duisburg-Essen (CENIDE), University of Duisburg-Essen, 45141 Essen, Germany
 ³ Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares, Universidade de São Paulo, 05508-000 São Paulo, Brazil
 ⁴ Escola Politécnica, Universidade de São Paulo, 05508-010 São Paulo, Brazil
 ⁵ Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, 05314-970 São Paulo, Brazil
 ⁶ CICECO - Aveiro Institute of Materials and Departamento de Física, Universidade de Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
 ⁷ Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Universität Bonn, Nussallee 14-16, 53115 Bonn, Germany

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the effects of doping in the local structure of SnO₂ by measuring the hyperfine interactions at the inpurity nuclei with the Time Differential Perturbed Gamma-Gamma Angular Correlations (TDPAC) method in addition to density functional theory simulations. The hyperfine field parameters have been probed as a function of the temperature in thin film samples. The experimental results reveal that ¹¹⁷Cd/In and ¹¹¹In/Cd are incorporated and stabilized in the SnO₂ lattice replacing the cationic site. Significant differences in the electric field gradient were observed from TDPAC measurements with both probe nuclei. Furthermore, the absence of a strongly damped spectra further indicates that implanted Cd atoms (for ¹¹⁷Cd/In probe nuclei measurements) easily occupy regular substitutional Sn sites with good stability. The simulated value for the electric field gradient obtained with the first oxygen neighbor removed is closer to the experimental value observed for ¹¹⁷Cd, which also indicates this configuration as stable and present in the sample.

Publishing Introduction

Stannic oxide is a semiconductor with a bandgap of $E_g \sim 3.6 \text{ eV}$, which crystallizes in the rutile structure with tetragonal lattice and space group P4₂/mnm. In contrast to other oxides it has high transparency in the visible range and high electrical conductivity. Furthermore it also displays good chemical stability with high melting and boiling points and low production cost. Currently used as gas sensor, tin (IV) oxide is further applied as humidity sensor, transparent electrodes for solar cells, varistors, optoelectronic devices, capacitors, flat-panel displays, high capacity anode in lithium-ion batteries, and many other electronic devices [1-3].

Similar to other semiconductors, defects and small concentrations of impurity dopants change the SnO₂ conductivity by several orders of magnitude, being particularly sensitive to the presence of oxygen vacancies and interstitial Sn [1]. Together with the doping benefits, it is known that +2 valence elements lead to an increased extrinsic defects concentration mediated by oxygen vacancies. Cadmium doping in stannic oxide decreases the resistivity and enhances the performance for sensing molecules, particularly ethanol or H₂ [4], with the sensitivity for H₂S being enhanced by more than 20 times when compared with the pure SnO₂ [5]. It has been reported that when Indium acts as an acceptor dopant, compensating the unintentionally doped electrons, it produces an increase of the resistivity of SnO₂ films [6]. However, experimental investigations in thin films deposited by the sol-gel technique by Sujatha et al. [7] show that n-type conductivity is present at In concentrations below 5 %.

From the few examples presented above it is clear that the effectiveness of metal ion doping and manufacture processes in SnO₂ are quite complex and an atomistic view on the structure may be clucidating. This motivated hyperfine interaction studies already in the late eighties. Desimoni et al. [8] studied the incorporation of In from ¹¹¹InCl₃ solution while forming SnO₂ from the Sn metal being oxidized during annealing treatments. They reported the signal from a substitutional site for ¹¹¹In in a sample subjected to intense defect distribution centered at $\omega_Q = 35.6$ (1.8) Mrad/s, $\eta = 0.40$ and a minor component characterized by $\omega_Q =$ 17.2 (0.6) Mrad/s, $\eta = 0.77$ interpreted as small precipitates of In₂O₃. The definitions of ω_0 , v_Q and η are given below. Renteria et al. [9] performed TDPAC studies on SnO₂ thin films using the ¹¹¹In/Cd probe identifying two Sn 2⁺ and 4⁺ oxidation states on different SnO and SnO₂ phases. The hyperfine coupling frequency $v_{Q1} = 117(1)$ MHz and $\eta = 0.18(2)$ was



assigned to In/Cd in perfect lattice sites of SnO₂, in agreement with previous results obtained by Wolf el al. (1986) in powder samples [10]. Ramos et al. (2010) and (2013) [11, 12] report two environments of the ¹¹¹In probe atoms in the thin films and nano-structured powder SnO₂ characterized by hyperfine parameters $v_{Q1} = 115$ MHz and $\eta \sim 0.1$, assigned to ¹¹¹In probe atoms at substitutional Sn sites and $v_{Q2} = 146$ MHz and $0,4 \le \eta \le 1$ assigned to defects and disordered SnO₂ phase. Muñoz et al. (2007) [13] performed TDPAC measurements in SnO pellets after the ¹¹¹In diffusion observing one major fraction for Cd in a neutral charge state. The other fraction was also assigned to the cation-site but in the charge state q = +1.

In this paper, γ - γ TDPAC technique was used to measure hyperfine parameters in SnO₂ thin films, complementing our previous systematic investigation of structural defects due to impurity doping from an atomic point of view [11, 12 and 14]. To our knowledge, no TDPAC measurements in SnO₂ samples using ¹⁴⁷Cd have been done before.

TDPAC observables

The charge distribution and magnetic moments around an atom generate electric field gradients, EFG, and/or magnetic fields, which interact with the electric quadrupole nuclear moments and/or the nuclear magnetic dipole moment of the probe nuclei leading to the hyperfine interactions. These interactions, which provide an atomic scale insight on the neighboring electronic and atomic environment of the probe atom, can be studied by common techniques like Mößbauer Effect, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, and Nuclear Orientation. The advantages of the *less-known* nuclear radioactive Time Differential gamma-gamma Perturbed Angular Correlation technique [15] is that it works for many probe nuclei under ppm concentrations. The signal quality of the TDPAC technique is independent of the measuring temperature and the measurements are time differential on a nanosecond/microsecond timescale range. Consequently, investigations using the TDPAC technique provide information about electric field gradients and magnetic fields generated by the electronic, ionic, and spin distribution vicinity with high precision at any temperature. Therefore, probe sites, its interaction with point defects and phase transitions, the electronic dynamic and diffusion processes, can be studied.

The Hamiltonian of the electric hyperfine interaction can be written in terms of V_{zz} and the asymmetry parameter η in the form:

This manuscript was accepted by J. Appl. Phys. Click here to see the version of record.

$$\hat{H}_{el} = \left[\frac{(eQV_{zz})}{4I(2I-1)}\right] \left[3I_z^2 - I(I+1) + \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)(I_+^2 + I_-^2)\right]$$
(1).

If I_+ and I_- are the nuclear angular momentum operators. TDPAC measures the perturbation function R(t) $\approx A_{22}G_{22}(t)$ for different perturbation factors $G_{22}(t)$, as shown in Equations 2 and 3 for spins 3/2 and 5/2 respectively:

$$G_{22}(t) = \frac{1}{5} [1 + 4\cos(\omega_1 t)]$$

$$G_{22}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{3} s_n(\eta) \cos(\omega_n t)$$
(2)
(3).

For nuclear spins 3/2 and 5/2 the observable ω_n frequencies are given by $\omega_1 = \omega_0 = 6\omega_Q\sqrt{(1 + \eta^2/3)}$ and $\omega_n = 6\omega_Q C_n(\eta)$ respectively. The coefficient C_n can be numerically calculated for a known η [14]. The coefficients s_n denote the amplitudes of the transition frequencies ω_n and are summations of Wigner 3j-symbols products running over the allowed magnetic splitting hyperfine states [16]. The axial asymmetry of the EFG tensor or deviations from it are described by the asymmetry parameter $\eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}$. The major component of the EFG tensor V_{zz} can be obtained from the observable spin dependent quadrupole frequency ω_Q for nuclear spins 3/2 and 5/2 by:

$$\omega_{\rm Q} = \frac{e_{\rm Q} v_{zz}}{12\hbar}; \ \omega_{\rm Q} = \frac{e_{\rm Q} v_{zz}}{40\hbar}$$
(4),

with Q being the nuclear quadrupole moment. Further details about the TDPAC technique can be found in [15-16]. In this paper we focus on electric hyperfine interactions, since there is no evidence of magnetism involved in our studies. Nuclear quadrupole interaction is extremely sensitive to subtle local distortions and charge polarization, because the EFG is determined primarily by the electrons involved in the bonding with the nearest neighbors.

Experimental methods

SnO₂ thin films were deposited on Si (1 0 0) p-type wafer using sputtering. A 0.05 T magnetic field was applied to the target 10 cm away from the Si wafer substrate using the processing gases Ar (99.999%) and O (99.998%). The substrate temperature did not exceed 363 K during the deposition and the thickness of the films was 100 nm. Some TDPAC spectra of the thin film using ¹¹¹In have been previously published by some of us [12]. We have included the results in the present discussion for comparison. The ¹¹¹In implantation was



performed at 160 keV at the Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik (HISKP), in Bonn, for measuring the probing 245 keV state on ¹¹¹Cd.

The implantation of ¹¹⁷Ag radioactive ion beams was performed at the Isotope Mass Separator On-Line (ISOLDE) [17] at CERN at 30 keV with 10¹¹ atoms/cm² fluency. The UC_x target was installed at the General Purpose Separator (GPS). The Resonance Ionization Laser Ion Source (RILIS) [18] was used to increase the beam purity and efficiency to 10⁸ at/ μ C. The beam of the short lived ¹¹⁷Ag (t_{1/2} = 73 s) was implanted at the GPS low mass beam line. The fast, high energy β ⁻decay of ¹¹⁷Ag to ¹¹⁷Cd (t_{1/2} = 2.49 h) imparts a strong recoil of ~21 eV re-implanting the ¹¹⁷Cd atom before it decays to the probing state on ¹¹⁷In. The daughter nucleus ¹¹⁷In has an excited state at 660 keV with Q = (-)0.59(1) b, t_{1/2} = 53.6 ns and I = 3/2 being an excellent isotope for TDPAC measurements using the 89-345 keV gamma-ray cascade [19]. Alternatively, ¹¹¹In (t_{1/2}= 2.8 d) decays to ¹¹¹Cd [19].

Defects produced during implantation of dopants result from knock-out changes of atomic positions merging together with an increasing concentration of point defects within a small space region. The ¹¹¹In and the ¹¹⁷Ag were implanted at 12° and at 0° incidence angles, respectively. The rapid thermal annealing (RTA) process was applied to effectively recover the implantation damage at 873 K and 973 K for ¹¹¹In and ¹¹⁷Ag, respectively.

Results and Discussion

¹¹⁷Cd(¹¹⁷In) : SnO₂ thin film

Fig. 1 shows the R(t) function obtained from TDPAC measurements at room temperature after implantation of ¹¹⁷Ag into the SnO₂ thin film with subsequent annealing at 973 K for 5 min in air. Results show evidence that ¹¹⁷In probe nuclei interact with two different local environments as revealed by the two observed frequencies $\omega_{01} = 391.5(5)$ Mrad/s ($|Vzz_1| = 8.7(1) \cdot 10^{21}$ V/m²) and $\omega_{02} = 50.2(4)$ Mrad/s, respectively, with different fractions of probe nuclei, $f_1 = 57.4$ (1) % and $f_2 = 43.2$ (1) % interacting with different EFG₁ and EFG₂. Experimental data were fitted with fixed $\eta = 0$ for both EFG.

Since the probe excited state has spin 3/2, in the absence of magnetic field, there is only one observable frequency and ω_0 and η cannot be separately identified. Therefore, density functional theory was used with the full potential LAPW+lo method implemented in the



WIEN2k code [20], and with PAW method [21] implemented in the VASP code [22], to simulate the electric field gradient parameters at the In probe occupying a Sn substitutional site. The lattice constants were fixed in the experimental values of the pure compound [23], and all the free fractional atomic coordinates were optimized. A 2x2x3 supercell (a=b=13.40 Å, c=9.56 Å) of the SnO₂ host was considered, where one of the Sn atoms was replaced by the In impurity. The GGA-PBE approximation for the exchange-correlation functional was used [24]. Table 1 shows the WIEN2k results for two different types of charge supercells: 1) a neutral In supercell and 2) when doped by one more electron (+1) accounting for In having the same number of shared electrons with the oxygen neighboring atoms as Sn.



Fig. 1 – TDPAC spectrum of SnO₂ thin film of 100 nm thickness using 117 Cd/In and after heat treatment for 5 minutes in air at 973 K.

Doping	V_{zz} (10 ²¹ V/m ²)	$\omega_0 \text{ (Mrad/s)}$	η
neutral	6.87	308	0.24
+1 electron	6.59	295.5	0.34

Table 1: Electric field gradient parameters at In impurity substitutional at the Sn site in a $SnO_2 2x2x3$ supercell.

In order to check the results with a larger supercell and study the effect of vacancies, we considered a 3x3x3 supercell. In this case, we used the VASP code with the PAW method, which allowed faster relaxation and convergence. For the case of an impurity substitutional at the Sn site, we obtained $V_{zz} = 6.42 \cdot 10^{21}$ V m⁻² and $\eta = 0.52$, which is close to the values found with the smaller supercell by WIEN2k. We conclude that the value converged with respect to the size of the supercell, and the remaining discrepancy may be attributed to limitations of the exchange-correlation functional, or to temperature effects not taken into



account in this calculation. On the other hand, other configurations including defects such as oxygen vacancies may be favorable, as will be explored next.

To study the possible effect of vacancies in the hyperfine parameters of the probe, we started with the previous supercell and additionally removed one oxygen atom. Three independent calculations were considered, where the removed oxygen is the first, second and third neighbor of the probes. The obtained results are, respectively: $V_{zz} = -9.49 \cdot 10^{21}$ V m⁻² and $\eta = 0.64$; $V_{zz} = 12.28 \cdot 10^{21}$ V m⁻² and $\eta = 0.06$; $V_{zz} = 6.42 \cdot 10^{21}$ V m⁻² and $\eta = 0.33$. The removal of the first two oxygen neighbors, in the oxygen octahedron surrounding the impurity causes an increase of V_{zz} with respect to the result without vacancies, while for the third neighbor as vacancy the differences are much smaller, but the differences are not very large in any case. Of the three cases, the one with the lowest energy is where the first neighbor is removed (the second neighbor has approximately the same energy, only higher by 16 meV per supercell), but the third one has a larger difference of 0.52 eV. Interestingly, the value obtained with the first oxygen neighbor removed is even closer to the experimental value observed, which also indicates this configuration as stable and present in the sample.

¹¹¹In(¹¹¹Cd): SnO₂ thin film

Figure 2 (a) shows the TDPAC spectra measured at different temperatures using $^{111}In(^{111}Cd)$ as probe nuclei implanted into SnO₂ thin films, including some of them already published in a previous work [12]. The data show a significant damping in the modulations of R(t) functions. Figure 2 (b) shows the corresponding hyperfine parameters plotted as a function of the measuring temperature.





Figure 2 (a) R(t) spectra obtained for SnO_2 thin film using ¹¹¹In(¹¹¹Cd) after RTA. The three bottom spectra were previously published [12]. Dashed green lines are a guide to the eye for R(t) = -0.05; (b) – Graphic representation of corresponding hyperfine parameters as a function of temperature. Solid lines are a guide to the eye and the shaded rectangles define zones of different states of rutile.

To help the discussion, the hyperfine parameters obtained for the sites 1 or 2 are distinguished by EFG_1 and EFG_2 , respectively.

Table 2 – Comparison of experimentally and theoretically obtained hyperfine parameters for the SnO_2 .

	Zone	state	η	V_{zz} (10 ²¹ V/m ²)	η	V_{zz} (10 ²¹ V/m ²)	Ref
		Experimental		Theory			
				EFG ₁			
Z	A	semi-charged	0.57 (2)	5.59	0.56	+5.93	[25]
	B	In ₂ O ₃ embryos	0.74 (3)	5.62	0.78(5)	5.39	[8]
	C	In ₂ O ₃ embryos	0.76 (2)	5.54	0.77(5)	5.27	[8]
	D	relaxed (PCM model)	0.89 (2)	5.53	0.95	-5.96	[25]
	Е	semi-charged	0.54 (3)	5.62	0.56	+5.93	[25]
				EFG ₂			
	А	charged	0.15(1)	4.82	0.14	+5.47	[25]

This manuscript was accepted by J. Appl. Phys. Click here to see the version of record.

ıg_	В	charged	0.12(1)	4.93	0.14	+5.47	[25]
	С	neutral	0.21(1)	4.47	0.26	+4.77	[25]
	D	neutral	0.24(1)	4.37	0.26	+4.77	[25]
	E	new structural reorganization	0.18(1)	6.29			

In Table 2 the hyperfine parameters of the thin films are displayed for each zone of possible electronic structure of environment around the probe nuclei. By comparing our results with those from previous works [8-14, 25, 26], we could associate the temperature dependence of the hyperfine parameters with different charge states, small indium oxide precipitates, and oxygen vacancies. For comparison, on the V_{zz} calculation we used the old Q value of 0.83(13) b [27], although the most recent value is 0.683(20) b [28].

Static quadrupole interaction of site 1

The EFG₁ yields smaller asymmetry parameters than EFG₂ in all zones. Near room temperature, zone A, our results are in agreement with the ab initio calculations for a semicharged rutile state. An increase of η in the zones B and C was observed. This effect is probably due to very small In₂O₃ precipitates that did not fully achieve the complete structure of the oxide [8]. In addition, the values are similar to those in a particular case of In₂O₃ oxide, when oxygen vacancies in the neighborhood of Cd impurities are induced by the valence difference with respect to the cation, as previously reported for Cd impurities in In_2O_3 oxide, $V_{zz} = 5.83 \times 10^{21} \text{ V/m}^2$ and $\eta = 0.72$ [26]. In the zone D the maximum η -value is observed, approaching both values: for a relaxed rutile cell simulated using the Point Charge Model, PCM, and the experimental result at around 373 K [25]. Zone E is particular, because the temperature achieved is equal or higher than the one used for the RTA. The hyperfine parameters are close to the ones obtained in zone A. Since every measurement took 4 to 12 hours, it is plausible to consider every step as a long thermal treatment. Especially under nitrogen or in vacuum, it is likely that this affects the stoichiometry and/or resistivity of the films [29]. There are two zones, in which a fraction inversion takes place, zone C and E. The frequency distribution achieves its minimal value in zones B and C, and in general EFG_1 presents higher δ values than EFG₂.

Static quadrupole interaction of site 2



The V_{zz} and η of EFG₂ in zones A and B are quite similar and can be compared to the charged state of rutile. In contrast, in zones C and D the signal can be assigned as the neutral charge state [25]. Since the measurement temperatures in zone E are higher than during the RTA, which precedes the measurements, this site presents the higher V_{zz} values. This can be seen as evidence of an oxygen diffusion process and is associated with the creation of several oxygen vacancies, resulting in a structure change to SnO [9]. Then the local structure around the probes undergoes new reorganization during the several hour time scale of the TDPAC measurement.

The electric field gradient is very sensitive to the charge state of the probe nucleus neighborhood. ¹¹¹Cd and ¹¹⁷In have different valence states (+2 and +3, respectively) which may result in different charge states when they act as dopant in SnO₂, where the cationic Sn has the valence state +4. Therefore, we expected different EFGs measured values by these two probe nuclei, as observed in the experiments and confirmed by first-principles calculations. When a dopant has a smaller valence state than that of the resident cation in oxides, oxygen vacancies are possibly favored [26] particularly when the annealing is carried out under low pressure atmosphere. Furthermore, Cd needs an additional electric charge regarding In and measurements are foreseen, in which ¹¹¹In is co-implanted with ^{111m}Cd by overheating the transfer line of the ISOLDE target [30]. This would allow to study more closely the formation or trapping of defects such as oxygen vacancies as a function of the temperature.

Conclusion

For all samples, the In/Cd atoms were located at substitutional Sn sites. Regarding applications, whether Cd/In substitutes Sn in the SnO₂ lattice is an important question and measurements of the electric field gradient via TDPAC technique provided useful information as a function of temperature. The In or Cd have lower charge and greater ionic radius than the host cation causing a displacement of the coordinating O ions away from the Sn site center. Therefore, the sizes of the adjacent interstitial sites decrease. For ¹¹¹In the effective annealing atmospheres were vacuum, but air in the case for ¹¹⁷Cd. Even if that would play a role, decreasing oxygen vacancies and reducing the mobility of Cd atoms, the absence of strong damped spectra further indicates that implanted Cd atoms easily occupy regular substitutional Sn sites with good stability. This leaves open the possibility to achieve electrical doping via Cd/In ion implantation. But this is also a probable consequence of the



careful control of the sample production and characterization of the doping method using diluted ppm concentrations of the probing atoms. The simulations performed with the ¹¹⁷Cd probe near to one oxygen vacancy show that when the vacancy is closer to the probe, the Vzz is closer to the experimental value. Since there was no result with smaller Vzz, the second fraction remains unexplained.

The present work cannot answer all the observed phenomenology for the metallic Cd and In atoms in SnO₂, but it further presents the view of an ideally diluted method, TDPAC, providing clear results and a systematics that can be refined upon new experiments to be performed under different annealing and sample composition conditions and morphologies. In this view the technique opens interesting perspectives for studies on SnO₂ nanotubes and profit from the possibility of simultaneous ¹¹¹In and ^{111m}Cd implantation at ISOLDE [30].

Acknowledgments

The research leading to these results is related to the ISOLDE experiment LOI144 and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement 654002, from Federal Ministry of Education and Research, BMBF, through grants 05K13TSA and 05K16PGA, from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, FCT project CERN-FIS-NUC-0004-2015. In particular, J. Schell extends her thanks to the German Academic Exchange Service, DAAD, in collaboration with Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, CNPq, through the fellowship grant 290102/2011-1. We thank very much Ms. Noll and the BONIS team of the HISKP, Bonn, for the implantations and the warm hospitality. Dr. J.G. Martins Correia and the ISOLDE inhouse group, in particular the RILIS team, are thankfully acknowledged for great discussions and technical support during the beam time.

References

[1] C. Kiliç and A. Zunger, *Physical Review Letters* 88, 095501 (2002).

- [2] L. Wang, J. Li, Y. Wang, K. Yu, X. Tang, Y. Zhang, S. Wang and C. Wei, *Nature Scientific Reports* 6, 35079 (2016).
- [3] M. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, P. Zhang, Y. Yan and T. Liu, *Nature Scientific Reports* 6, 31496 (2016).



[4] Z. Tianshu, P. Hing, Y. Li, Z. Jiancheng, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **60**, 208 (1999).

[5] P. Sun, X. Zhou, C. Wang, B. Wang, X. Xu and G. Lu, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 190, 32 (2014).

[6] E. Mark, O. B. White, M.-Y. Tsai and S. S. James, *Applied Physics Express* **3**, 051101 (2010).

[7] S. Sujatha Lekshmy and K. Joy, *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics*25, 1664 (2014).

[8] J. Desimoni, A.G. Bibiloni, L. A. Mendoza-Zélis, L.C. Damonte, F.H. Sanchez and A. Lopez-Garcia, *Hyperfine Interactions* 34, 271 (1987).

[9] M. Renteria, A. G. Bibiloni, M. S. Moreno, J. Desimoni, R. C. Mercader, A. Bartos, M. Uhrmacher and K. P. Lieb, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **9**, 3625 (1991).

[10] H. Wolf, S. Deubler, D. Forkel, H. Foettinger, M. Iwatschenko-Borho, M. Meyer, M. Rem and W. Witthuhn, *Material Science Forum* 10-12, 863 (1986).

[11] J. M. Ramos, A. W. Carbonari, M. S. Costa, R. N. Saxena, *Hyperfine Interactions* 197, 239 (2010).

[12] J. M. Ramos, T. Martucci, A. W. Carbonari, M. S. Costa, R. N. Saxena, R. Vianden, *Hyperfine Interactions* 221, 129 (2013).

[13] E. L. Muñoz, A. W. Carbonari, L. A. Errico, A. G. Bibiloni, H. Petrilli and M. Renteria, *Hyperfine Interactions* **178**, 37 (2007).

[14] J. Schell, Investigation of hyperfine parameters in pure and 3d transition metal doped SnO₂ and TiO₂ by means of perturbed gamma-gamma angular correlation spectroscopy, São Paulo University, Brazil, (2015).

[15] A. Abragam and R. V. Pound, *Physical Review* 92, 943 (1953).



g [16] H. Frauenfelder and R. M. Steffen, K. Siegbahn, α-, β- and γ-Ray Spectroscopy, chapter XIX, Ed. K. Siegbahn, Amsterdam: North-Holland (1965).

[17] E. Kugler, Hyperfine Interactions 129, 23 (2000).

[18] S. Rothe, T. D. Goodacre, D. V. Fedorov, V. N. Fedosseev, B. A. Marsh, P. L. Molkanov, R. E. Rossel, M. D. Seliverstov, M. Veinhard and K. D. A. Wendt, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B* **376**, 91 (2016).

[19] M. A. Nagl, M. B. Barbosa, U. Vetter, J. G. Correia and H. C, Nuclear Instruments and

Methods in Physics Research Section A 726, 17 (2013).

[20] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz, WIEN2K: An Augmented Plane Wave+Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Karlheinz Schwarz, Technische Universität, Wien, Austria, 1999).

- [21] P. E. Blöchl, Physical Review B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [22] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Physical Review B* 54, 11169 (1996).

[23] A. A. Bolzan, C. Fong, B. J. Kennedy and C. J. Howard, *Acta Crystallographica B* **53**, 373 (1997).

[24] P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Physical Review Letters 77, 3865 (1996).

[25] E. L. Muñoz, PhD thesis, 1a ed. Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina (2011).

[26] C. Sena, M. S. Costa, E. L. Muñoz, G. A. Cabrera-Pasca, L. F. D. Pereira, J. Mestnik-Filho, A. W. Carbonari, J. A. H. Coaquira, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* 387, 165 (2015).

[27] P. Herzog, K. Freitag, M. Reuschenbach and H. Walitzki, *Zeitschrift für Physik A Atoms and Nuclei* **294**, 13 (1980).

[28] H. Haas, M. B. Barbosa, J. G. Correia, *Hyperfine Interactions* 237, 115 (2016).

[29] K. B. Sundaram and G. K. Bhagavat, *Jounal of Physics D: Applied Physics* 16, 69 (1983).

This manuscript was accepted by J. Appl. Phys. Click here to see the version of record.

Publishing
 [30] J. Schell, D. C. Lupascu, J. G. M. Correia, A. W. Carbonari, M. Deicher, M. B. Barbosa,
 R. D. Mansano, K. Johnston, I. S. Ribeiro Jr, ISOLDE collaboration, *Hyperfine Interactions* 238 (2016).





