

# HADRONIC RESONANCE PRODUCTION WITH ALICE AT THE LHC

Sergey Kiselev<sup>a</sup> for the ALICE collaboration

*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117259 Moscow, Russia*

*Abstract.* We present recent results on short-lived hadronic resonances obtained by the ALICE experiment in pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions at LHC energies, including the most recent measurements of  $\Lambda(1520)$  and  $\Xi(1530)^0$  resonances.

Hadronic resonance production plays an important role both in elementary proton-proton and in heavy-ion collisions. In heavy-ion collisions, since the lifetimes of short-lived resonances are comparable with the lifetime of the late hadronic phase, regeneration and rescattering effects become important and resonance ratios to longer lived particles can be used to estimate the time interval between the chemical and kinetic freeze-out [1]. The measurements in pp and p-Pb collisions constitute a reference for nuclear collisions and provide information for tuning event generators inspired by Quantum Chromodynamics.

Recent results on short-lived mesonic  $K^*(892)^0$ ,  $\phi(1020)$  and baryonic  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $\Xi(1530)^0$  resonances (hereafter  $K^{*0}$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\Lambda^*$ ,  $\Xi^{*0}$ ) obtained by the ALICE experiment are presented. The  $K^{*0}$  and  $\phi$  have been measured in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV and in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV (results for the  $K^{*0}$  and  $\phi$  in pp at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, p-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV and Pb-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV published in [2], [3] and [4, 5], respectively). The  $\Lambda^*$  has been measured in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, in p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV and in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV. The  $\Xi^{*0}$  has been measured in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV (results for the  $\Sigma^{*\pm}$  and  $\Xi^{*0}$  in pp at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV and p-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV published in [6] and [7], respectively).

The resonances are reconstructed in their hadronic decay channels and have very different lifetimes.

	$K^{*0}$	$\phi$	$\Lambda^*$	$\Xi^{*0}$
decay channel (B.R.)	$K\pi(0.67)$	$KK(0.49)$	$pK(0.22)$	$\Xi\pi(0.67)$
lifetime (fm/c)	4.2	46.2	12.6	21.7

Figure 1 (left) shows the mean transverse momentum  $\langle p_T \rangle$  of  $K^{*0}$ ,  $\phi$  and stable hadrons in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. The  $\langle p_T \rangle$  of resonances exhibits similar increasing trend with multiplicity as other hadrons. Moreover, mass ordering is observed in central Pb-Pb collisions. The  $K^{*0}$ , p and  $\phi$ , which have similar masses, are observed to have similar  $\langle p_T \rangle$  values, as expected if their spectral shape is dominated by radial flow. The results for Pb-Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV confirm the results in Pb-Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV [4].

<sup>a</sup>E-mail: [Sergey.Kiselev@cern.ch](mailto:Sergey.Kiselev@cern.ch)




Figure 1: (color online) (left) The mean transverse momentum of  $K^{*0}$ ,  $\phi$  mesons and stable hadrons as a function of the mean charged particle multiplicity at mid-rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. (right) The mean transverse momentum of  $\Lambda^*$  as a function of the mean charged particle multiplicity at mid-rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV. The measurements are also compared to EPOS3 [8] and Blast-Wave [9] predictions.

Figure 1 (right) presents the  $\langle p_T \rangle$  of  $\Lambda^*$  in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV. The results are in agreement with the prediction from the EPOS3 generator with UrQMD [8], which includes a modeling of re-scattering and regeneration in the hadronic phase. The results are also in agreement with the average momentum extracted from the Blast-Wave model [9] with parameters obtained from the simultaneous fit to pion, kaon, and (anti)proton  $p_T$  distributions [10].

Figure 2 (left) shows the particle yield ratios  $K^{*0}/K$  and  $\phi/K$  in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. Results for Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV [5] and p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV [3] are also shown. The  $K^{*0}/K$  ratio shows a significant suppression going from p-Pb and peripheral Pb-Pb collisions to most central Pb-Pb collisions. This suppression is consistent with rescattering of  $K^{*0}$  daughters in the hadronic phase of central collisions as the dominant effect and confirms the trend observed in Pb-Pb at 2.76 TeV [4]. The  $\phi/K$  ratio is nearly flat. This suggests that rescattering effects are not important for  $\phi$ , which has 10 times longer lifetime than  $K^{*0}$  and decays mainly after the kinetic freeze-out.

Figure 2 (right) presents the ratio  $K^{*0}/K$  in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. Results for pp at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV [11] and p-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV [3] are also shown. There is a hint of decrease of the ratio with increasing multiplicity. The values of the ratio are consistent for similar multiplicities across collision systems (pp, p-Pb) and energy (7, 13 TeV). The decrease of the ratio might be an indication of a hadron-gas phase with non-zero lifetime in high-multiplicity




Figure 2: (color online) Particle yield ratios as a function of the mean charged particle multiplicity at mid-rapidity. (left)  $K^{*0}/K$  and  $\phi/K$  in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. Results for Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV [5] and p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV [3] are also shown. (right)  $K^{*0}/K$  in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. Results for pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV [11] and p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV [3] are also shown.

pp and p-Pb collisions.

Figure 3 (left) shows the particle yield ratio  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  for various collision systems. The  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  ratio demonstrates a significant suppression going from pp, p-Pb and peripheral Pb-Pb collisions to most central Pb-Pb collisions. The suppression confirms the trend seen by STAR at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV [15]. Although predictions of the EPOS3 model with UrQMD overestimate the data, the trend of the suppression is qualitatively reproduced. In Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV the  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  ratio suppression is similar to the behavior observed for the  $\rho^0/\pi$  [16] and  $K^{*0}/K$  [5] ratios.

Figure 3 (right) presents the particle yield ratio  $\Xi^{*0}/\Xi$  in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV. Results for pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV [6] and p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV [3] are also shown. There is a hint of suppression in central Pb-Pb collisions with respect to pp and p-Pb collisions, but systematics are to be reduced in peripheral Pb-Pb collisions before making any conclusive statement. EPOS3 with UrQMD overestimates the data and predicts only a slight decrease of the  $\Xi^{*0}/\Xi$  ratio.

Thermal model predictions overestimate all particle ratios under study in central Pb-Pb collisions, except the  $\phi/K$  ratio.

- [1] G. Torrieri and J. Rafelski, Phys. Lett. **B 509** (2001) 239.
- [2] B. Abelev *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. **C 72** (2012) 2183.
- [3] J. Adam *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. **C 76** (2016) 245.
- [4] B. Abelev *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **C 91** (2015)




Figure 3: (color online) Particle yield ratios as a function of the mean charged particle multiplicity at mid-rapidity. (left)  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  for various collision systems. The measurements are also compared to model predictions: EPOS3 [8], THERMUS [12], GSI-Heidelberg [13], SHARE [14]. STAR data from [15]. (bottom left)  $\Xi^{*0}/\Xi$  in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV. Results for pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV [6] and p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV [3] are also shown. The measurements are also compared to model predictions: PYTHIA8 [17] and DPMJET [18].

024609.

- [5] J. Adam *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **95** (2017) 064606.
- [6] B. Abelev *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **75** (2015) 1.
- [7] D. Adamova *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **77** (2017) 389.
- [8] A.G. Knospe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **93** (2016) 014911.
- [9] E. Schnedermann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **48** (1993) 2462.
- [10] B. Abelev *et al.*, (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **88** (2013) 044910.
- [11] A.G. Knospe (for the ALICE Collaboration), J. Phys. Conf. Series **779** (2017) 012072.
- [12] S. Wheaton *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180** (2009) 84.
- [13] J. Stachel *et al.*, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **509** (2014) 012019.
- [14] M. Petran *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **185** (2014) 2056.
- [15] B. Abelev *et al.*, (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **78** (2008) 044906.
- [16] V.G. Riabov (for the ALICE Collaboration), J. Phys. Conf. Series **778** (2017) 012054.
- [17] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178** (2008) 852.
- [18] S. Roesler, R. Engel and J. Ranft, Conference Proceedings, MC2000, Lisbon, Portugal, October 23-26 (2000) 1033, arXiv:0012252v1 [hep-ph].