# MEASUREMENT OF THE LAMBDA POLARIZATION IN NOMAD

C. LACHAUD (on behalf of the NOMAD collaboration) LPNHE 4 Place Jussieu. Tour 33 - Rez de chaussée 75252 PARIS Cedex 05 - FRANCE



The  $\Lambda$  polarization in  $\nu_{\mu}$  charged current interactions has been measured in the NOMAD experiment <sup>1</sup>. The event sample (8087 reconstructed  $\Lambda$ 's) is more than an order of magnitude larger than that of previous bubble chamber experiments, while the quality of event reconstruction is comparable. We observe negative polarization along the W-boson direction which is enhanced in the target fragmentation region:  $P_x(x_F < 0) = -0.21 \pm 0.04(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{sys})$ . In the current fragmentation region we find  $P_x(x_F > 0) = -0.09 \pm 0.06(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{sys})$ . These results provide a test of different models describing the nucleon spin composition and the spin transfer mechanisms. A significant transverse polarization (in the direction orthogonal to the  $\Lambda$  production plane) has been observed for the first time in a neutrino experiment:  $P_y = -0.22 \pm 0.03(\text{stat}) \pm 0.01(\text{sys})$ . The dependence of the absolute value of  $P_y$  on the  $\Lambda$  transverse momentum with respect to the hadronic jet direction is in qualitative agreement with the results from unpolarized hadron-hadron experiments.

#### 1 Introduction

An analysis of the full NOMAD data sample (corresponding to  $1.3 \times 10^6 \nu_{\mu}$  charged current events) devoted to the study of the  $\Lambda$  hyperon polarization in neutrino deep inelastic scattering (DIS) is presented in this article.

The large statistics of the data combined with the good quality of event reconstruction in the NOMAD detector allows to perform a detailed study of the  $\Lambda$  polarization as a function of different kinematic variables.

# 1.1 Theoretical considerations

Some important questions are still challenging theoretical and experimental investigations in spin physics, namely:

- are strange quarks polarized inside the nucleon?
- what is the spin content of other baryons?

Polarized lepton nucleon DIS with a  $\Lambda$  hyperon in the final state can shed light on those questions.  $\Lambda$  hyperons are unique among other baryons due to their relatively large production rate and because of their parity violating weak decay  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ . Different physical mechanisms are responsible for the  $\Lambda$  polarization in different  $x_F = 2p_L^*/W$  regions.

In the target fragmentation region  $(x_F < 0)$  the origin of the  $\Lambda$  polarization could be either polarized strange quarks from the target nucleon, or the polarization transfer from the polarized di-quark which is left behind after the lepton nucleon DIS, or both<sup>2</sup>.

In the current fragmentation region  $(x_F > 0)$  the polarized struck quark transfers its polarization to the  $\Lambda$  hyperon, giving access to the spin transfer coefficient <sup>3,4</sup>  $C_u^{\Lambda} = -P_x$ .

# 1.2 The NOMAD experiment

The main goal of the NOMAD experiment<sup>1</sup> is the search for  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  neutrino oscillations in the CERN SPS wide-band neutrino beam (essentially  $\nu_{\mu}$  neutrinos). This search uses kinematic criteria to identify  $\nu_{\tau}$  charged current (CC) interactions and requires a very good quality of event reconstruction which has indeed been achieved by the NOMAD detector (see figure 1).

Real data are compared to the results of a Monte Carlo (MC) simulation based on LEPTO 6.1 and JETSET 7.4 generators for neutrino interactions and of a GEANT based program for the detector response.

#### 2 Neutral strange particles selection

After the selection of the  $\nu_{\mu}$  charged current interactions, the first step in the polarization analysis consists in building a robust and efficient neutral strange particle identification procedure.

A hyperons are identified via their decays  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$  which appear in the detector as two charged tracks with opposite charges emerging from a common vertex separated from the primary neutrino interaction vertex ( $V^0$ -like signature, see figure 2).



Figure 1: Side view of the NOMAD detector.

Figure 2: A reconstructed event from real data containing  $2 V^0$  vertices identified as  $\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  decays by the identification procedure (see below). To define the scale on this plot: the size of the vertex box is  $3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2$ .

270

To minimize the statistical errors and to get rid of any background-related systematic bias, our identification procedure should optimize both the selection efficiency and the purity of the final  $\Lambda$  sample. Special efforts are needed to suppress as much as possible  $\gamma$ -related background (photon conversions) and contaminations from other neutral strange particle decays. This is achieved in a kinematic constrained fit based selection. The property of the final samples are given in table 1.

Table 1: Purity of each selected  $V^0$  sample. Numbers of identified neutral strange particles in the real data are also shown.

$V^0$	P (%)	Data
K <sub>s</sub> <sup>0</sup>	$97.2 \pm 0.1$	15074
Λ	$95.9 \pm 0.1$	8 087
Ā	$89.7 \pm 0.7$	649

# **3** Polarization analysis

The  $\Lambda$  polarization is measured by the asymmetry in the angular distribution of the protons in the parity violating decay process  $\Lambda \to p\pi^-$ . In the  $\Lambda$  rest frame the decay protons are distributed as:

$$\frac{dN}{Nd\Omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 + \alpha \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{k}), \tag{1}$$

where **P** is the  $\Lambda$  polarization vector,  $\alpha = 0.642 \pm 0.013$  is the decay asymmetry parameter<sup>5</sup> and **k** is the unit vector along the decay proton direction.

#### 3.1 Reference axis system

To measure the  $\Lambda$  polarization, one has to choose a reference axis system correlated with the expected polarization. For the polarization analysis described below we use the "J" reference system, where axes are defined as follows (in the  $\Lambda$  rest frame):

- the  $n_x$  axis is chosen along the reconstructed W-boson direction  $(\vec{e}_W)$ ;
- the  $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{y}}$  axis is orthogonal to the  $\Lambda$  production plane (defined as the plane containing both the target nucleon and the W-boson vectors):  $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{y}} = \vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{W}} \times \vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{T}} / |\vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{W}} \times \vec{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{T}}|$ .
- the  $n_z$  axis is chosen to form a right-handed coordinate system:  $n_z = n_x \times n_y$ .

It is important to demonstrate the ability of our detector to reconstruct correctly the direction of the outgoing decay proton in the "J" reference system defined above. Fig. 3 shows obvious correlations between reconstructed and simulated angular variables  $\cos \theta_i = \mathbf{n}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}$ .

#### 3.2 Polarization extraction

The method most frequently used to extract the  $\Lambda$  polarization (taking into account the detector acceptance) consists of histograming the one dimensional *reconstructed*  $\cos \theta_i$  distributions both for simulated events and real data and by doing a least squares fit to their bin-by-bin ratio using a linear function (as illustrated in Fig. 4).

Smearing effects in the angular distributions due to reconstruction errors have to be taken into account, though the corresponding corrections are expected to be rather small.

Another method which takes into account differences between simulated and reconstructed angular variables has been developed. The essence of this method is to introduce a "polarization"



Figure 3: Correlations between simulated and reconstructed  $\cos \theta_i$  for identified  $\Lambda$ 's in  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC MC events.



Figure 4: Top: normalized raw distributions of  $\cos \theta_i$  for  $\Lambda$ 's in reconstructed Monte Carlo events (histogram) and in real data (points with error bars). Bottom: angular distributions in real data after correction for detector acceptance; the polarization is given by the slope of the corresponding linear fit.

as a free parameter for simulated events, i.e. we associate an appropriate polarization weight to each  $\Lambda$  hyperon. We then try to fit the "polarized" MC angular distribution distorted by the detector acceptance to the angular distribution observed in the real data.

In what follows we will present the results obtained using this method.

# 3.3 Control sample

A useful control sample is provided by  $K_s^0$  mesons which, being spinless, should not exhibit "polarization" along any direction. We have analyzed the  $K_s^0$  sample by fitting the angular distributions of the decay  $\pi^+$  in exactly the same manner as for the  $\Lambda$ 's (while setting the decay asymmetry parameter to  $\alpha = 1$ ).

At each step of our analysis we have checked that the "polarization" of the  $K^0_{\rm s}$  sample is consistent with zero.

### 4 Systematic errors

In the analysis of the  $\Lambda$  hyperon polarization particular attention should be paid to a correct determination of the systematic errors.

Several potential sources of systematic errors have been studied in the current analysis and the summary of all the systematic errors is given in Table 2 for the full sample. The upper estimate of the systematic error is obtained by adding all the contributions in quadrature.

P <sub>i</sub>	$\nu$ energy reconstruction	$V^0$ induced background	variation of cuts	spin precession	total
$\begin{array}{c} P_x \\ P_y \\ P_z \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \\ 8.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \\ 1.2 \cdot 10^{-2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.5\cdot 10^{-3} \\ 4.9\cdot 10^{-3} \\ 7.8\cdot 10^{-3} \end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{r}     1.7 \cdot 10^{-2} \\     3.8 \cdot 10^{-3} \\     1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}   \end{array} $	$\frac{1.4 \cdot 10^{-3}}{7.2 \cdot 10^{-5}}$ 8.6 \cdot 10^{-4}	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8 \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 1.1 \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 1.9 \cdot 10^{-2} \end{array}$

Table 2: Summary of systematic errors on the three components of the  $\Lambda$  polarization vector.

#### 5 Results

#### 5.1 General result

The  $\Lambda$  polarization measurement for the full data set is given in Table 3.

Table 3: The  $\Lambda$  polarization measurements in  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC events (statistical errors only).

		A Polarization				
Selection	Entries	$P_x$	$P_y$	Pz		
full sample	8087	$-0.15 \pm 0.03$	$-0.22\pm0.03$	$-0.04\pm0.03$		

We observe a *negative* polarization along the W-boson direction  $(P_x)$  and in the direction orthogonal to the production plane  $(P_y)$ .

It is the first time that a neutrino experiment observes a significant non-zero transverse polarization  $P_{v}$ .

The large statistics of our experimental data set allows the dependence of the polarization on several kinematic variables to be studied.

### 5.2 Dependence of the polarization on $x_F$

As discussed in Section 1.1 different physical mechanisms are responsible for the  $\Lambda$  polarization in the target and in the current fragmentation regions. Imposing cuts on  $x_F$ , we obtain the results presented in Table 4. The absolute value of the longitudinal polarization  $P_x$  is larger in the target fragmentation region ( $x_F < 0$ ) than in the current fragmentation region ( $x_F > 0$ ).

The result obtained for the longitudinal polarization in the current fragmentation region provides a measurement of the spin transfer coefficient  $C_u^{\Lambda} = -P_x = 0.09 \pm 0.06(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{sys})$  at  $\langle z \rangle = 0.44$ .

			$\Lambda$ Polarization		
Selection	Entries	$\langle x_F \rangle$	$P_x$	$P_y$	$P_z$
full sample	8087	-0.18	$-0.15\pm0.03$	$-0.22\pm0.03$	$-0.04\pm0.03$
$x_F < 0$	5608	-0.36	$-0.21 \pm 0.04$	$-0.26\pm0.04$	$-0.08\pm0.04$
$x_F > 0$	2479	0.21	$-0.09\pm0.06$	$-0.10\pm0.06$	$0.02\pm0.06$

Table 4: Dependence of the  $\Lambda$  polarization on  $x_F$  in  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC events (statistical errors only).

# 5.3 Dependence of the polarization on $p_T$

We wish to emphasize another important feature of the results presented here: the presence of the negative transverse  $\Lambda$  polarization.

A strong dependence of the transverse polarization on the transverse momentum of  $\Lambda$  with respect to the incoming beam direction has been firmly established in hadron-hadron experiments<sup>6</sup>.

Table 5: Dependence of the  $\Lambda$  polarization on  $p_T$  in  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC events (statistical errors only).

Selection	Entries	$< p_T >$	$\Lambda$ Polarization		
$(p_T^2 \text{ in } (\text{GeV/c})^2)$		GeV/c	$P_x$	P_y	$P_z$
$p_T^2 < 0.06$	1629	0.16	$-0.25 \pm 0.08$	$-0.02 \pm 0.08$	$-0.06 \pm 0.08$
$0.06 < p_T^2 < 0.15$	1712	0.32	$-0.35 \pm 0.07$	$-0.19 \pm 0.07$	$-0.02\pm0.07$
$0.15 < p_T^2 < 0.28$	1669	0.46	$0.01 \pm 0.07$	$-0.30\pm0.07$	$-0.00\pm0.07$
$0.28 < p_T^2 < 0.55$	1746	0.62	$-0.01 \pm 0.07$	$-0.31 \pm 0.06$	$-0.06\pm0.07$
$0.55 < p_T^2$	1332	0.95	$-0.25\pm0.08$	$-0.25\pm0.08$	$-0.11\pm0.08$

We have performed a similar study of the transverse polarization, obtaining the results presented in Table 5. The absolute value of the measured transverse polarization increases with increasing  $p_T$  of the  $\Lambda$  with respect to the hadronic jet. This is the first observation of such an effect with small statistical errors in neutrino experiments and it is in qualitative agreement with hadron-hadron measurements.

The transverse polarization observed in  $\nu$  DIS formally has an opposite direction compared to hadron-hadron experiments. However, the results obtained in hadron-hadron experiments corresponds to the region of  $x_F > 0$ , while the NOMAD data correspond mainly to the region  $x_F < 0$ . Thus taking into account the opposite directions of motion of  $\Lambda$ 's in the W bosonnucleon and in the hadron-hadron centre-of-mass systems, the *physical* vectors of the transverse polarization point in the same direction for both the NOMAD and hadron-hadron experiments.

### 6 Conclusion

The full sample of  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC data of the NOMAD experiment has been analyzed. A kinematic fit has been used for the identification of neutral strange particles. The method used to extract the three components of the  $\Lambda$  polarization vector accounts for the smearing of the angular variables.

Results of the analysis are given in the "J" reference system, which is found to be the only system in which the  $P_z$  component of the polarization is always consistent with zero suggesting that the choice of the reference system has been properly made. We observe *negative* polarization along the W-boson direction  $(P_x)$  and in the direction orthogonal to the production plane  $(P_y)$ . It is the *first* time that a neutrino experiment observes a significant non-zero transverse polarization  $P_y$ . The dependence of the polarization with  $x_F$  and  $p_T$  has been studied in details.

The theoretical interpretation of the results reported in this article should take into account the effects due to secondary  $\Lambda$ 's originating from the decays  $\Sigma^* \to \Lambda \pi$ ,  $\Sigma^0 \to \Lambda \gamma$  and  $\Xi \to \Lambda \pi$ where the polarization of the secondary  $\Lambda$ 's is inherited from the polarization of the parent particles and is different from the polarization of the directly produced  $\Lambda$ 's.

A detailed paper on the Lambda polarization measurement in NOMAD has been prepared<sup>7</sup>.

#### References

- 1. J.Altegoer et al., [NOMAD Collaboration], Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A404 (1998) 96
- 2. J.Ellis, D.Kharzeev, A.Kotzinian, Z. Phys. C69 (1996) 467
- 3. A.Kotzinian, A.Bravar, D.von Harach, Eur. Phys. J. C2 (1998) 329
- 4. B.Ma, I.Schmidt, J.Soffer and J.Yang, hep-ph/0001259
- 5. Review of Particle Properties, Eur. Phys. J. C3 (1998)
- 6. J.Félix, Mod. Phys. Lett. A14 (1999) 827
- 7. P.Astier... to be submitted to Nucl. Phys. B

274