


The Compact Muon Solenoid Experiment

CMS Note

Mailing address: CMS CERN, CH-1211 GENEVA 23, Switzerland



06 June 2016 (v7, 14 September 2017)

Characterisation of Irradiated Thin Silicon Sensors for the CMS Phase II Pixel Upgrade

M. Centis Vignali^{*,1}, D. Eckstein², T. Eichhorn^{*,2}, E. Garutti¹, A. Junkes¹, and G. Steinbrück¹

¹ Institut für Experimentalphysik, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

² Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

* Primary author

Abstract

The high luminosity upgrade of the Large Hadron Collider, foreseen for 2025, necessitates the replacement of the CMS experiment's silicon tracker. The innermost layer of the new pixel detector will be exposed to severe radiation, corresponding to a 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence of up to $\Phi_{eq} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, and an ionising dose of $\approx 5 \text{ MGy}$ after an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} . Thin, planar silicon sensors are good candidates for this application, since the degradation of the signal produced by traversing particles is less severe than for thicker devices. In this paper, the results obtained from the characterisation of 100 and 200 μm thick p-bulk pad diodes and strip sensors irradiated up to fluences of $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ are shown.

1 Introduction

The search for new particles and interactions at hadron colliders requires the measurement of rare processes. As a consequence, the luminosity of the accelerators involved in this research must constantly be increased. The CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and its injector chain will undergo a series of upgrades that will ultimately result in an instantaneous luminosity of $5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$. The upgraded LHC, referred to as the High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC), is expected to be operational in 2026 [1]. During the subsequent ten-year period, it is expected to deliver an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} . By exploiting the design margins of the HL-LHC, a luminosity of $7.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ could be achieved, resulting in an integrated luminosity of 4000 fb^{-1} [2].

The CMS detector measures the properties of the particles produced in LHC collisions [3]. Many of the current CMS detector systems are not designed to operate at the luminosities that will be provided by the HL-LHC. An upgrade (known as the Phase II upgrade) is therefore necessary to maintain efficient data taking.

During the Phase II upgrade the CMS pixel detector will be replaced. The innermost radius of the new detector will be 30 mm. The pixel sensors placed at this radius will experience a bulk damage corresponding to a 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence of up to $\Phi_{eq} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and an ionising dose of approximately 5 MGy after an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} [4].

The sensor types investigated by the CMS Collaboration for this pixel upgrade are 3D sensors and thin planar sensors with an active thickness between 75 and $200 \mu\text{m}$ [5]. Previous studies have shown that the degradation of the signal produced by traversing particles is less severe for thin sensors than for thicker devices [6–8].

This paper presents the results of the study of irradiated thin planar silicon sensors. In section 2 the sensors and the irradiations used in this study are described. Section 3 contains the measurements performed using pad diodes and section 4 those using strip sensors. Finally, the results obtained are summarised in section 5.

2 Sensors and Irradiations

The sensors used for this study were produced on oxygen rich silicon crystals (with a concentration of about $10^{17} \text{ atoms/cm}^3$) produced by epitaxial growth. The orientation of the crystal lattice is $\langle 100 \rangle$. The epitaxial silicon has a thickness of $100 \mu\text{m}$ and a resistivity of between 1 and $1.5 \text{ k}\Omega \text{ cm}$, determined using the capacitance-voltage characteristic of the sensors. The crystal is grown on a $220 \mu\text{m}$ thick substrate with a resistivity of $18 \times 10^{-3} \Omega \text{ cm}$ [9]. The difference in doping concentration between the epitaxial silicon and the growth substrate ensures that the charge collection volume of the sensors is restricted to the epitaxial silicon.

The sensors realised on the substrate are pad diodes and strip detectors. The strips and pad diodes were each produced on both p- and n-doped silicon. The pad diodes are square with an area of $5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2$. The metallisation on the junction side of the diodes has a $3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^2$ hole in the centre to allow laser light to be shone into the diodes. This can be used to study their charge collection efficiency. A guard ring surrounds the junction implant of the diodes. The diodes produced on a p-doped substrate have p-spray isolation between the junction and guard ring implants.

The strip sensors each have 64 strips with a pitch of $80 \mu\text{m}$. The strip implants are capacitatively coupled to the metallisation, which in turn is connected to the read-out electronics. The length




Figure 1: Cross section of the surface design of a strip sensor with p-stop isolation. In the schematic it is possible to distinguish the n^+ strip implants and their metallisation, the p^+ p-stop implants, and the passivation layers. The distances are given in μm . The p-doped bulk and the ohmic contact on the back side are not shown [10].

Sensor	$\Phi_{eq} [10^{15} \text{cm}^{-2}]$				
	0	1^\dagger	1.5^*	3^*	13^*
Epi 100 μm diode	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
Epi 100 μm strip	YP	YP	YP	P	YP
MCz 200 μm strip	-	-	-	-	YP
FZ 200 μm strip	-	-	-	-	Y

† 800 MeV/c protons

* 24 GeV/c protons

Table 1: Summary of the sensors used in this study and the fluences they accumulated. The Y and P denote a p-spray or p-stop isolated sensor, respectively.

of the strips is 25 mm, giving a total sensor area of $25 \times 5.12 \text{mm}^2$. The implants are biased through a polysilicon resistor. The cross section of one such strip sensor is shown in figure 1. The strip sensors realised on a p-doped substrate have either p-spray or p-stop isolation. In the case of the p-stop isolation, every strip is enclosed by an individual p-stop implant.

The sensors were irradiated with 24 GeV/c protons (hardness factor¹ $\kappa = 0.62$) at the IR-RAD [12] facility to fluences of $\Phi_{eq} = 1.5, 3$ and $13 \times 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-2}$. Some strip sensors were irradiated with 800 MeV/c protons ($\kappa = 0.71$) at the LANSCE [13] facility to a fluence of $\Phi_{eq} = 1 \times 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-2}$. No bias voltage or cooling was applied during the irradiation process.

In addition to the sensors mentioned above, strip sensors with a physical and active thickness of 200 μm were studied. These sensors were produced on silicon crystals grown using the float zone and magnetic Czochralski techniques. The orientation of the crystal lattice in this case is $\langle 100 \rangle$. Both the magnetic Czochralski and the float zone sensors have a resistivity between 3 and 4 k Ω cm. The oxygen concentration is about 10^{17} atoms/ cm^3 for the float zone sensors and 10^{18} atoms/ cm^3 for the magnetic Czochralski sensors. The design of the strip implants and of the metallisation of these sensors is identical to that of the detectors realised on epitaxial silicon. The available samples of 200 μm thickness were irradiated with 24 GeV/c protons to a fluence of $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{cm}^{-2}$.

A summary of the sensors used in this study together with the fluences they accumulated is given in table 1. The different methods used to grow the silicon crystals are indicated as Epi, FZ and MCz for the epitaxial, float zone and magnetic Czochralski techniques, respectively. This convention is used throughout the remainder of this paper.

¹The hardness factor κ expresses the ratio between the fluence of the particles that traversed the sensor and the fluence of 1 MeV neutrons that produces the same non ionising energy loss (NIEL) with the relation $\Phi_{eq} = \kappa\Phi$ [11].




Figure 2: IV characteristic of a non-irradiated p-bulk pad diode produced on epitaxial silicon measured at 0°C. The current is normalised to the diode volume. The increase of the current above full depletion voltage (about 80 V) is ascribed to the contribution of trap assisted tunnelling to the current.

All the sensors were kept at a temperature of -18°C after irradiation in order to minimise annealing effects. Since no cooling was applied during the irradiation and the irradiation time varies with fluence, the sensors characterised in this study are in different annealing states.

3 Pad Diode Measurements

The diodes were characterised using laboratory measurements. The current-voltage characteristic and charge collection efficiency are presented below for p-bulk diodes.

3.1 Current-Voltage Characteristic

The current-voltage (IV) characteristic of the diodes was measured on a temperature controlled chuck. All the measurements were made at a temperature of 0°C. The guard ring surrounding the junction implant of the diode was set to the same potential as the junction implant to better define the volume of silicon contributing to the IV measurements. Examples of the IV characteristics of non-irradiated and irradiated diodes are shown in figures 2 and 3.

The IV characteristic of the non-irradiated diode does not saturate above full depletion voltage (around 80 V). This behaviour is thought to derive from the contribution of trap assisted tunnelling to the current [14]. The reduced thickness of the sensors results in an electric field high enough that the contribution to the current from electrons tunnelling from the valence to the conduction band becomes noticeable. The traps present due to imperfections in the crystal enhance the electron tunnelling rate. The imperfections originate during crystal growth and the production of the sensor.




Figure 3: IV characteristics of p-bulk pad diodes produced on epitaxial silicon irradiated to different fluences measured at 0°C. The current is normalised to the diode volume.

3.2 Charge Collection Efficiency

The charge collection efficiency (CCE) of the diodes was measured by generating electron-hole pairs in the sensors using a pulsed infrared laser with a wavelength of 1060 nm. The absorption length of infrared light of this wavelength in silicon is bigger than the sensor thickness [15], resulting in the generation of electron-hole pairs in the whole sensor thickness. The signal induced in the sensor is amplified and subsequently digitised by an oscilloscope. The waveforms are averaged 512 times in the oscilloscope to reduce the effects of noise. The stability of the laser intensity is monitored by measuring the signal produced in non-irradiated diodes before and after each CCE measurement. The waveforms are integrated and the value obtained is used to estimate the CCE of the sensors. The results are normalised using the mean value of the integrated waveforms measured using non-irradiated sensors operated above full depletion voltage. The CCE of p-bulk diodes is shown in figure 4. The plot includes measurements performed at 0 and -20°C . The CCE of the pad diodes irradiated to 1.5 and $3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ shows a kink around bias voltages of 100 and 200 V, respectively. The CCE of these sensors does not show any saturation after the kink. The diode subjected to a fluence of $1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ also does not show such a kink in its CCE characteristic. These observations agree with the notion that full depletion voltage does not apply for devices that have accumulated such high fluences. The CCE exceeds unity at high bias voltages for diodes irradiated to 3 and $13 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This effect can be interpreted as a manifestation of charge multiplication in the sensor. The effect has already been observed for devices and irradiations similar to the ones presented in this paper [16]. The contribution of charge multiplication to the current drawn by the sensors could provide an explanation for the shape of the IV characteristics shown in figure 3.

Another effect that could explain a CCE higher than unity would be an increase in the efficiency for the production of charge pairs by radiation-induced traps. Measurements of this effect are ongoing and suggest a reduction in the absorption length for the wavelength used in the measurements once the sensors have been irradiated [17]. This would result in a higher de-




Figure 4: Charge collection efficiency of p-bulk diodes before and after irradiation.

position of charge in irradiated sensors compared to the non-irradiated ones. This hypothesis is currently under investigation.

4 Strip Sensor Measurements

The strip sensors were characterised in a series of beam tests at the DESY II facility [18]. The aim of these beam tests was to measure the signal produced by a minimum ionising particle in the irradiated sensors. The signal is identified using the tracking information obtained from a beam telescope. The knowledge of the beam particles' impact position on the sensor improves the separation of signal and noise, allowing the analysis to be performed without it being necessary to apply any selection requirements on the signal.

The n-bulk sensors showed a very high noise, which is attributed to micro-discharges [10, 19]. Since the alignment performed between the sensors and the beam telescope is done using the particle impact position measured by the strip sensors, the high level of noise resulted in the incorrect alignment of the n-bulk sensors with respect to the beam telescope. Therefore, the results presented in this section refer to the strip sensors with p-bulk only.

4.1 Beam Test Setup

The beam test measurements were performed at the DESY II facility using two beam lines. A monochromatic beam of electrons or positrons (depending on the beam line) with momentum between 3.5 and 5 GeV/c was used to characterise the sensors. The tracks of the beam particles were measured using either the DATURA or the ACONITE pixel telescope [20, 21]. The strip sensors were placed in a light-tight box where they could be cooled down to -28°C to reduce the leakage current. The non-irradiated sensors were measured at a temperature of 20°C , while the irradiated ones were measured at either -20 or -28°C . Three planes of the telescope were placed upstream of the light-tight box and three planes downstream. A fourfold coincidence of two pairs of scintillator plates defines the trigger for the setup. One pair of scintillator plates




Figure 5: 5-strip cluster charge distribution for a p-bulk strip sensor with $100\ \mu\text{m}$ active thickness, irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16}\ \text{cm}^{-2}$ and biased to 800 V. The different components of the function used to describe the distribution are shown.

was placed upstream and the other downstream of the telescope planes. The signal from the strip sensors was read out using the ALiBaVa system [22].

A detailed description of the track reconstruction and alignment procedures used in the beam test is given in [19]. The signal processing, correction for temperature effects, and calibration of the analog to digital converter (ADC) used in the setup are described in [19] and [23].

4.2 Signal Extraction

In order to determine the total signal induced on the sensor's strips, it is necessary to define a clustering criterion. The cluster charge is defined as the sum of the charges induced on a group of five adjacent strips, which is centred on the strip that is traversed by a particle. The strips that are traversed by a particle are identified using the tracking information provided by the telescope. This cluster definition has the advantage of avoiding the application of any requirements on the signal induced in the strips. This minimises the bias on the charge spectra caused by the cluster selection. The advantages of this method are summarised in [24].

The 5-strip cluster charge distribution obtained for a $100\ \mu\text{m}$ thick sensor irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16}\ \text{cm}^{-2}$ and biased to 800 V, together with the results of the fit used to describe the distribution, is shown in figure 5. A similar distribution was measured for each sensor at each bias step. The fit function is the sum of a Gaussian distribution and a Landau-Gauss convolution function.

The Landau-Gauss convolution function accounts for the energy loss in the sensor and the noise in the sensor and electronics. The implementation of the Landau distribution and the code used for the Landau-Gauss convolution are described in [25] and [26], respectively.

The Gaussian distribution centred around zero describes the contribution of events that are not correctly reconstructed. Since the sampling time of the telescope is longer than that of the

ALiBaVa setup (115 μs and 25 ns, respectively), the telescope can register more tracks than the strip sensor in any given event. The timing information for the tracks within one event is not available. The coincidence of the trigger scintillators assures that at least one particle traverses the setup at the time of the ALiBaVa read-out. Selection requirements are applied to find the track corresponding to this particle. If the track associated with the particle that triggered the read-out is not reconstructed in the beam telescope, a different track is selected. The selected track can point to a group of strips on the sensor that were not traversed by a particle during the ALiBaVa read-out, resulting in a cluster where no signal is present. The charge distribution of the events where the track of the particle triggering the read-out is not reconstructed is identical to the noise for a group of five strips. The mean and standard deviation of the Gaussian centred around zero can therefore be determined from the noise measurements of the sensors (c.f. section 4.3) and are therefore not considered to be free parameters in the fit to the 5-strip cluster charge distribution.

The parameters optimised in the fit of the 5-strip charge distribution are:

- The area of the Gaussian centred around zero.
- The width parameter of the Landau distribution.
- The most probable value (MPV) of the Landau distribution.
- The area of the Landau-Gauss convolution.
- The standard deviation of the Gaussian used in the convolution.

The full range of the distribution is used in the fit. The parameter optimisation is performed using the Log Likelihood method implemented in ROOT [27].

The MPV of the Landau distribution is used to compare the different sensors.

Figure 6 shows the Landau MPV of the p-bulk sensors measured in the beam test as a function of bias voltage and fluence. The beam incidence was normal to the sensors. The error bars include a statistical contribution from the fit as well as systematic uncertainties arising from the conversion from ADC counts into electrons. The non-irradiated 100 μm thick sensors show MPV values around 7000 e^- . The 100 μm thick sensors irradiated between 1 and $3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ show similar values for the collected charge. This could be the result of the different annealing states of the sensors due to the different amounts of time necessary to accumulate the fluence during irradiation. An improvement in the charge collection after annealing was observed for thin sensors produced on float zone silicon [28]. The 100 μm thick sensors irradiated to $1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ show a signal between 4000 and 5000 electrons at a bias voltage of 800 V. The 200 μm thick sensors show a similar value for the signal, at a bias voltage that is about 200 V higher.

The values of charge collected by the 100 and 200 μm thick sensors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ are similar to the ones reported in [6] for strip sensors irradiated with neutrons to $\Phi_{eq} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The results obtained with the 200 μm thick float zone sensor irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ are consistent with the ones reported in [28] measured using pixel sensors irradiated with protons to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.4 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

In the range of fluences and bias voltages considered in this study, the values for the signal obtained from p-spray and p-stop isolated sensors are very similar.




Figure 6: Most probable value of the Landau component of the distribution fitted to the cluster charge distribution for different p-bulk sensors as a function of fluence and bias voltage. The measurements shown here were performed with the beam traversing the sensors at normal incidence. The MPV of the float zone sensor irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ measured at 400 and 600 V bias is very similar to those observed for magnetic Czochralski and epitaxial sensors irradiated to the same fluence.




Figure 7: Noise distribution for a single channel of a $100\ \mu\text{m}$ thick p-bulk sensor irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16}\ \text{cm}^{-2}$ and biased to 400 and 800 V. The red lines represent the result of Gaussian fits to the data.

4.3 Noise Measurements

The sensors' and read-out electronics' noise was determined using the data collected during the beam test. The tracking information provided by the beam telescope was used to exclude the strips traversed by particles from the noise estimation. Figure 7 shows the noise distribution for a single channel for a $100\ \mu\text{m}$ thick sensor, irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16}\ \text{cm}^{-2}$, and biased to 400 and 800 V. The distributions are similar to Gaussians, represented by the red lines in the figure. The tail present on the right hand side of each distribution is caused by particles from tracks that were not correctly reconstructed. Micro-discharges do not affect the noise distribution for p-bulk sensors. The noise distribution for irradiated n-bulk sensors shows non-Gaussian tails on both sides and a strong dependence of the number of entries in the tails on the bias voltage [10, 19]. A Gaussian fit is used to extract the standard deviation (σ) of the noise distribution. In order to avoid the influence of the tail on the extracted parameters, the range of the fit was chosen to be from $\mu - 3\sigma$ to $\mu + 2\sigma$, where μ represents the mean of the distribution.

The value of σ averaged over the channels is shown in figure 8 as a function of fluence and bias voltage for different sensors. The standard deviation of the noise distribution lies at around $750\ e^{-}$ for the $100\ \mu\text{m}$ thick non-irradiated sensors. The $100\ \mu\text{m}$ thick sensors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} \leq 3 \times 10^{15}\ \text{cm}^{-2}$ show a similar value for bias voltages below 600 V. The irradiated sensors show a noise comparable to that observed for the non-irradiated sensors despite the larger dark current that results in a larger shot noise. The shot noise contribution for this range of bias and fluence was estimated from the sensors' dark current to be less than $300\ e^{-}$. The sensors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16}\ \text{cm}^{-2}$ show a different behaviour, depending on their thickness. The $100\ \mu\text{m}$ thick sensors show a steep increase in noise between 600 and 800 V. The $200\ \mu\text{m}$ thick sensors show a lower noise than the $100\ \mu\text{m}$ thick sensors.

It should be stressed that the single channel noise expected from a future irradiated pixel de-




Figure 8: Mean value of the standard deviation of the single channel noise distribution for p-bulk sensors as a function of fluence and bias voltage.

tector is significantly lower than the one shown in figure 8 for irradiated strip sensors. The smaller channel dimension of a pixel sensor results in a smaller silicon volume contributing to the leakage current and to a smaller capacitance.

The IV characteristic of the strip sensors, shown in figure 9, contributes to the understanding of the behaviour of the sensors' noise. The IV characteristics were measured at the beam test facility when the particle beam was not traversing the sensors. The current values were scaled to a sensor temperature of -20°C using the usual expression derived in [29]. The resulting scaling factor is 2.64 for the sensors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and measured at -28°C .

The $100 \mu\text{m}$ thick sensors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, despite having a smaller volume, draw more current than the $200 \mu\text{m}$ thick ones. This could explain the behaviour observed in their corresponding noise distribution.

The mechanism responsible for the high current values, observed in the highly irradiated $100 \mu\text{m}$ thick strip sensors at high bias voltages, is consistent with charge multiplication. This effect explains the observed increase of current, signal, and noise as a function of the bias voltage. This result is consistent with the observation by other groups of charge multiplication in irradiated strip sensors [30].

4.4 Detection Efficiency

The pixel detectors used at high luminosity hadron colliders implement a zero suppression of data at an early stage of the read-out chain in order to reduce the data bandwidth of the detector system. This is usually achieved by setting a threshold on the read-out channel for each individual pixel. The degradation of the sensor signal with radiation damage can therefore influence the detection efficiency.

The detection efficiency of the strip sensors was studied using the charge collected by the seed strip of the 5-strip clusters. The seed strip is defined to be the strip with the highest signal in




Figure 9: Current-voltage characteristic of the p-bulk strip sensors as measured during the beam test. The current values are scaled to a sensor temperature of -20°C .

the cluster. The seed strip charge distribution for a non-irradiated sensor is shown in figure 10. The distribution has two components: a noise peak close to zero and the signal produced by ionising particles at higher values. The noise peak present in this distribution originates from the mechanism described in section 4.2. The shape of the noise peak is, however, no longer Gaussian as the seed strip is the one with the highest signal in the cluster. In this case the shape can be derived by considering the probability of a strip having a signal x , at the same time as the others all having smaller signals. For clusters with n strips, this probability is given by:

$$P_n(x, \sigma) = nN(x, \sigma) \left(\int_{-\infty}^x N(y, \sigma) dy \right)^{n-1}$$

where σ is the standard deviation of the single channel noise distribution, and $N(x, \sigma)$ is the normal distribution that describes the single channel noise.

In the analysis of the seed strip charge distribution, the noise peak was subtracted from the distribution. The noise distribution is estimated by clustering the strips in groups of five and selecting the highest signal when the strips are not traversed by a particle. The noise distribution obtained in this way is scaled and subtracted from the seed strip charge distribution. Figure 11 shows the seed strip charge distribution of a $100 \mu\text{m}$ thick sensor irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and biased to 800 V before and after the noise peak is subtracted.

To determine the effect of a pulse height threshold on the detection efficiency, a target detection efficiency of 95% was chosen and the corresponding threshold derived from the seed strip charge distribution. The statistical sample contained in the distributions used for this analysis is around 1500 events after noise peak subtraction. In order to perform the same analysis with higher target efficiencies (e.g. 99%), a larger sample would be needed. The threshold corresponding to 95% detection efficiency is shown as a function of fluence and bias voltage in figure 12.

The threshold values found for the non-irradiated sensors lie at around $4000 e^-$. The $100 \mu\text{m}$




Figure 10: Seed strip charge distribution for a non-irradiated p-bulk sensor, biased to 300 V.




Figure 11: Seed strip charge distribution for a p-bulk sensor irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and biased to 800 V. The distribution is shown before and after noise peak subtraction.




Figure 12: Threshold corresponding to 95% detection efficiency for p-bulk sensors as a function of fluence and bias voltage.

thick sensors irradiated from 1 to $3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ require a threshold of around 2500 e^- . Finally for the sensors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ a threshold of about 2000 e^- is found, regardless of the sensors' thickness. These values can be compared to the threshold of approximately 1500 e^- achieved by the read-out chip used in the CMS Phase I pixel upgrade [31]. The design threshold of the read-out chip for the Phase II pixel upgrade is about 1000 e^- [32].

The reduction of the threshold required to maintain a certain efficiency after irradiation is the consequence of two effects. First, the amount of collected charge decreases with the accumulated fluence. Second, the shape of the seed strip charge distribution is affected by irradiation, as can be seen in figures 10 and 11. The latter effect is the consequence of charge sharing between strips being enhanced by irradiation. This is explained in more detail in [19] and [23]. A similar effect was observed for strip sensors irradiated with low energy electrons, which was ascribed to the influence of the build-up of charge in the sensor passivation on the sensor electric field [33].

5 Summary

The CMS Phase II pixel upgrade foreseen for 2026 requires the development of silicon sensors able to withstand a fluence of $\Phi_{eq} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

The results of the characterisation of p-bulk silicon diodes and strip sensors with an active thickness of 100 and 200 μm , irradiated up to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, are presented in this paper. Charge multiplication was observed in 100 μm thick pad diodes and strip sensors irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with an applied bias voltage of 800 V. The signal produced by minimum ionising particles in the strip sensors lies between 4000 and 5000 e^- for both the 100 and 200 μm thick sensors after irradiation at the highest fluences used. The threshold required to maintain 95% detection efficiency is found to be around 2000 e^- for both 100 and 200 μm thick sensors after being irradiated to $\Phi_{eq} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The bias voltage applied to the sensors was 800

and 1000 V, respectively.

This performance shows that thin silicon sensors produced using the planar process are good sensor candidates for the outer layers of the pixel detector for the CMS Phase II pixel upgrade. The employment of these sensors for the innermost layer of the upgraded pixel detector has to be studied, although the performance shown so far is encouraging.

As the measurements do not show a clear difference between p-spray and p-stop isolated sensors, no conclusion regarding this design choice can be drawn.

The performance of the thin sensors presented in this paper must be corroborated by measurements performed using pixel sensors. The difference in the electric and weighting fields between pixel and strip sensors could result in a different performance between the two.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the shifters of the test beam campaign, the students that performed the measurements on diodes, and E. Yildirim for support in the use of the read-out system. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Commission under the FP7 Research Infrastructures project AIDA, grant agreement no. 262025 and the PIER Ideenfonds PIF-2013-23.

References

- [1] HiLumi LHC Collaboration, “HL-LHC Preliminary Design Report”.
<http://hilumilhc.web.cern.ch/science/deliverables>, 2014.
- [2] L. Rossi, “Introduction to the HL-LHC Project”.
<https://indico.cern.ch/event/606369/>, 2017.
- [3] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008), no. S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [4] CMS Collaboration, “FLUKA particle flux maps for CMS Detector”.
<https://cds.cern.ch/record/1612355>, 2013.
- [5] G. Steinbrück, “Small pitch pixel sensors for the CMS Phase II upgrade”.
<https://cds.cern.ch/record/2108949>, 2015.
- [6] G. Casse et al., “Thin silicon detectors for tracking in high radiation environments”, in *IEEE Proceedings NSS/MIC* (2012) 1661–1663, doi:10.1109/NSSMIC.2012.6551394.
- [7] S. Kuehn on behalf of the RD50 collaboration, “RD50 Collaboration overview: Development of new radiation hard detectors”, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A* **A824** (2016) 422–425, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.09.107.
- [8] S. Terzo et al., “Heavily irradiated N-in-p thin planar pixel sensors with and without active edges”, *JINST* **9** (2014), no. 05, C05023, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/05/C05023.
- [9] A. Dierlamm, “Private communication”.
- [10] J. Erfle, “Irradiation Study of Different Silicon Materials for the CMS Tracker Upgrade”. PhD thesis, Hamburg University, 2014. DESY-THESIS-2014-010.

- [11] M. Moll, "Radiation Damage in Silicon Particle Detectors". PhD thesis, Hamburg University, 1999. DESY-THESIS-1999-040.
- [12] <http://ps-irrad.web.cern.ch/>. (accessed 14.08.2017).
- [13] <http://lansce.lanl.gov/>. (accessed 14.08.2017).
- [14] G. Hurkx, D. Klaassen, and M. Knuvers, "A New Recombination Model for Device Simulation Including Tunneling", *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **39** (1992), no. 2, 331–338, doi:10.1109/16.121690.
- [15] M. Green, "Self-consistent optical parameters of intrinsic silicon at 300 K including temperature coefficients", *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **92** (2008) 1305–1310, doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2008.06.009.
- [16] J. Lange et al., "Properties of a radiation-induced charge multiplication region in epitaxial silicon diodes", *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A* **622** (2010), no. 1, 49–58, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2010.07.036.
- [17] C. Scharf, "Private communication".
- [18] <http://testbeam.desy.de/>. (accessed 14.08.2017).
- [19] T. Eichhorn, "Development of Silicon Detectors for the High Luminosity LHC". PhD thesis, Hamburg University, 2015. DESY-THESIS-2015-024.
- [20] <https://telescopes.desy.de/>. (accessed 14.08.2017).
- [21] H. Jansen et al., "Performance of the EUDET-type beam telescopes", *EPJ Tech. Instrum.* **3** (2016), no. 1, 7, doi:10.1140/epjti/s40485-016-0033-2.
- [22] R. Marco Hernández, "A Portable Readout System for Microstrip Silicon Sensors (ALIBAVA)", *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **56** (2009), no. 3, 1642–1649, doi:10.1109/TNS.2009.2017261.
- [23] M. Centis Vignali, "Silicon Sensors for the Upgrades of the CMS Pixel Detector". PhD thesis, Hamburg University, 2015. DESY-THESIS-2015-052.
- [24] T. Mäenpää, M. Kortelainen, and T. Lampen, "Track-induced clustering in position sensitive detector characterization", in *IEEE Proceedings NSS/MIC* (2009) 832–835, doi:10.1109/NSSMIC.2009.5402394.
- [25] K. Kölbig and B. Schorr, "A program package for the Landau distribution", *Comput. Phys. Comm.* **31** (1984) 97–111, doi:10.1016/0010-4655(84)90085-7.
- [26] H. Pernegger and M. Friedl, "Convolutd Landau and Gaussian Fitting Function (using ROOT's Landau and Gauss functions)".
<https://root.cern.ch/root/html/tutorials/fit/langaus.C.html>. (accessed 14.08.2017).
- [27] <http://root.cern.ch>. (accessed 14.08.2017).
- [28] S. Terzo et al., "Thin n-in-p planar pixel sensors and active edge sensors for the ATLAS upgrade at HL-LHC", *JINST* **9** (2014), no. 12, C12029, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/12/C12029.

- [29] A. Chilingarov, "Generation current temperature scaling".
<http://cds.cern.ch/record/1511886>, 2013.
- [30] G. Casse on behalf of the RD50 collaboration, "The RD50 activity in the context of future pixel detector systems", *JINST* **10** (2015), no. 05, C05020,
doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/05/C05020.
- [31] S. Spannagel, "Test Beam Campaigns for the CMS Phase I Upgrade Pixel Readout Chip",
JINST **9** (2014), no. 12, C12001, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/12/C12001.
- [32] M. Garcia Sciveres, "RD53A Integrated Circuit Specifications".
<https://cds.cern.ch/record/2113263>, 2015.
- [33] The Tracker Group of the CMS Collaboration, "Impact of low-dose electron irradiation on n+p silicon strip sensors", *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A* **803** (2015), no. 1, 100–112,
doi:10.1016/j.nima.2015.08.026.