Physics with post accelerated beams: nuclear astrophysics

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2017 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 44 054005

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0954-3899/44/5/054005)

View [the table of contents for this issue](http://iopscience.iop.org/0954-3899/44/5), or go to the [journal homepage](http://iopscience.iop.org/0954-3899) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 188.184.3.52 This content was downloaded on 05/09/2017 at 18:04

Please note that [terms and conditions apply.](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/terms)

You may also be interested in:

[The role of radioactive ion beams in nuclear astrophysics](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0031-8949/2013/T152/014011) Karlheinz Langanke and Hendrik Schatz

[Physics with post-accelerated beams at ISOLDE: nuclear reactions](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1361-6471/aa6088) A Di Pietro, K Riisager and P Van Duppen

[Physics with reaccelerated radioactive beams at TRIUMF-ISAC](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0954-3899/38/2/024003) G C Ball, L Buchmann, B Davids et al.

[Nuclear reactions and stellar processes](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0034-4885/64/12/202) K Langanke and M Wiescher

[DEPENDENCE OF X-RAY BURST MODELS ON NUCLEAR REACTION RATES](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.3847/0004-637X/830/2/55) R. H. Cyburt, A. M. Amthor, A. Heger et al.

[Nuclear astrophysics of light nuclei](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0031-8949/2013/T152/014010) H O U Fynbo

[The TRIUMF-ISAC facility: two decades of discovery with rare isotope beams](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0031-8949/91/9/093002) G C Ball, G Hackman and R Krücken

[Radioactive ion beam physics at the cyclotron research centre Louvain-la-Neuve](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0954-3899/38/2/024001) Mark Huyse and Riccardo Raabe

J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 44 (2017) 054005 (10pp) https://doi.org/10.1088/[1361-6471](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6471/aa6411)/aa6411

Physics with post accelerated beams: nuclear astrophysics

A St J Murphy

SUPA, School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, United Kingdom

E-mail: a.s.murphy@ed.ac.uk

Received 25 October 2016, revised 30 January 2017 Accepted for publication 2 March 2017 Published 3 April 2017

Abstract

In this article, recent studies so far conducted with post accelerated beams at the ISOLDE facility in the area of nuclear astrophysics are reviewed. Two experiments in particular are highlighted, that each feature novelty and innovation. Three future experiments are also briefly presented. Collectively, these works advance our understanding of big bang nucleosynthesis, quiescent and explosive burning in novae and x-ray bursts, and core-collapse supernovae, both in terms of the underlying explosion mechanism and gamma-ray satellite observable radioisotopes.

Keywords: ISOLDE, nuclear astrophysics, post accelerated beams

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction: ISOLDE—a facility for nuclear astrophysics

A scientific highlight of the second half of the 20th century was the great advance made in understanding the nuclear physics processes through which stars are born, live and die, and in doing so, how they create the elements from which we are made. As this field matures, it looks to provide detailed understanding of all the elemental abundances observed, and of the physical mechanisms that lead to the diversity and breadth of the stellar structures seen.

The vast majority of a star's life is spent in quiescent phases, where pressure from gravitational attraction is balanced by thermal pressure from exothermic nuclear reactions. By implication, the nuclear reactions occurring in these periods must involve stable or very longlived nuclei, and kinetic energies that are well below the Coulomb barrier. However, shortlived explosive phenomena such as novae, x-ray bursters and supernovae, demonstrate there are conditions in which rapid changes occur, that must be driven by nuclear reactions involving radioactive nuclei, and much higher kinetic energies. This makes the capabilities of

0954-3899/17/054005+10\$33.00 © 2017 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK 1

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) 0 \vert (cc) [licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

the REX-ISOLDE facility particularly well matched to studies of nuclear reactions of importance in explosive astrophysical environments. In recent years, two such studies have been conducted, and are reviewed below. Accepted proposals for further studies in this area are also discussed.

2. Novae and x-ray bursters

Approximately half of all stars reside in binary (or even high multiplicity) stellar systems, a consequence of angular momentum conservation in the stellar formation process. In most cases, the stars are sufficiently far apart such that they have little impact on one another, and evolve independently. However, in systems where the separation between stars is comparable to their size, as the stars evolve, the outer layers of one star can become transferred to the other, with dramatic and explosive consequences.

Consider two main-sequence stars, in close orbit, one with a mass greater than the minimum required for the red giant phase \sim 0.3 M_☉ (solar masses) and one with a mass greater than the minimum for core helium burning to occur, ~ 0.5 M... The heavier star evolves most rapidly, shedding its outer hydrogen layers to leave a carbon–oxygen, or if heavier, a carbon–oxygen–neon white dwarf (assuming it is not so massive to progress beyond helium burning, about $8 M_{\odot}$). The second, lighter, star then also evolves. During its hydrogen shell burning phase, the outer layers of the star expand greatly, filling its Roche lobe, resulting in transfer of hydrogen to the surface of the companion. This scenario therefore results in hydrogen being accreted to a layer of degenerate material. For a range of accretion rates, this leads to the triggering of thermonuclear flashes, and is the mechanism for classical novae, of which there are approximately 40 yr⁻¹ within the Milky Way. Classical novae contribute around 10^{-3} yr⁻¹ M_o of ejecta, enriched in carbon, nitrogen, or oxygen (CNO) and sometimes other intermediate-mass elements (e.g., Ne, Na, Mg, or Al, for ONe novae) to the Galactic interstellar medium [[1](#page-9-0)].

Should one of the stars in the binary be sufficiently massive for core carbon burning to occur, it may ultimately evolve into a neutron star. Hydrogen transfer on to the surface of a neutron star provides an even more extreme environment within which thermonuclear flashes may occur. The nuclear physics trigger for such explosions is the ignition of the triple- α reaction and/or breakout from the hot CNO cycles into the rapid proton capture process (rp process). Rp-processing may proceed as far along the proton drip line as the Sb and Te isotopes, and may possibly be the origin of p-nuclei such as $\frac{92}{100}$ $\frac{92}{100}$ $\frac{92}{100}$ and $\frac{96}{12}$. In both the triple- α and CNO breakout mechanisms, energy generation increases rapidly as a function of temperature, such that the rate of energy release is faster than the rate of cooling, leading to runaway. The observational signature of these events is a sudden and enormous spike in x-ray emission, lasting a few seconds, with cycles repeating on a time scale of hours to days—so called Type-I x-ray bursts¹. These spectacular astrophysical phenomena are now being studied in detail in a number of x-ray satellite observatories, including the NASA Chandra, XMM-Newton, and NuSTAR, and ESA's Integral a. For x-ray bursts, the depth of the neutron star gravitational potential is thought to preclude ejecta being released to the interstellar medium.

¹ Rarer, type-II x-ray bursts are not thought to be nuclear-driven events, but arise due to the in-fall of matter from an accretion disk into a deep potential well.

Figure 1. (a) Centre of mass energy spectrum for elastically scattered protons. The line is an R-matrix fit to the data, with the resonance due to a known 2^+ state in ¹⁸Ne clearly visible. (b) As figure 1(a) but for protons in coincidence with 495 keV de-excitation γ rays detected in MINIBALL. (c) Energy spectrum of γ -rays measured by the MINIBALL array in coincidence with protons in the CD detector system. The marked peak indicates de-excitation from the 495 keV first excited state in $\frac{17}{15}$. Reprinted figure with permission from [[6](#page-10-0)], Copyright 2009 by the American Physical Society.

3. The 14 O $(\alpha,$ $\rho)^{17}$ F reaction and its implications for explosive burning in novae and x-ray bursters

The ¹⁴O(α , p)¹⁷F reaction is important both in the context of novae and x-ray bursters. In novae, in the period between bursts, energy is generated at a constant rate by the β-limited hot CNO cycles. As a consequence, novae ejecta are rich in the daughter products ^{14}N and ^{15}N . Thus for novae, knowledge of the rate of this reaction impacts estimates of key contributions to the interstellar medium. In x-ray bursts, higher temperatures bypass the waiting points, and the ¹⁴O(α , p)¹⁷F reaction may instead trigger the breakout from the hot CNO cycles via the ¹⁷F(p, γ)¹⁸Ne(α , p)²¹Na sequence, and thus the reaction rate may be key to understanding the onset of the explosion.

A direct measurement of the ¹⁴O(α , p)¹⁷F reaction rate is difficult, due to the typically low cross sections at astrophysical energies and the possible beam-target combinations. However, the rate is expected to be dominated by capture to a single $1⁻$ resonance, corresponding to a state in 18 Ne at 6.15 MeV [[3](#page-9-0)], meaning that complete spectroscopic information for this state is likely sufficent for the astrophysical needs. Of key importance are the total and partial decay widths. Previous works using elastic scattering of ^{17}F ions on protons in inverse kinematics [[4](#page-9-0)] had determined the total width of the state, which is dominated by proton emission, while the time reverse reaction, ${}^{17}F(p, \alpha){}^{14}O$, was studied in inverse kinematics to obtain the first measurement of the much weaker partial α -decay width of the resonance [[5](#page-10-0)]. Critically though, a limitation of this latter approach is that it cannot take into account the inelastic reaction channel corresponding to the production of the first excited state ($J^{\pi} = 1/2^{+}$, $E_x = 0.496$ MeV) in ¹⁷F in the astrophysical reaction.

This final part of the picture has been provided by experiments studying the proton inelastic scattering reaction, ¹⁷F(p, p $\gamma^{17}F$, at REX-ISOLDE and reported in He et al [[6](#page-10-0)]. The experiment used fully stripped ${}^{17}F^{9+}$ ions to avoid intense isobaric contamination from ${}^{17}O$. Around (1.8 \pm 0.2) × 10⁻³ pps were delivered with an integrated current of 8 × 10⁸ ions on target. The beam energy, $44.2 \text{ MeV } (E_{\text{c.m.}} = 2.46 \text{ MeV})$, was chosen so ions entered just above the resonance energy and stopped inside a \sim 40 μ m thick (CH₂)_n target. Elastically and inelastically scattered protons were detected in a double-sided silicon strip detector ('CD') system [[7](#page-10-0)]. The inelastic proton scattering branch from the Γ resonance was tagged by measuring 495.33(10) keV γ -ray decays from the first excited state in ¹⁷F with the MINI-BALL array [[8](#page-10-0)], (9.1% efficiency for 495 keV γ rays).

Figure $1(a)$ $1(a)$ shows the centre of mass energy spectrum for protons detected in the CD array. The shape is characteristic of thick-target resonant proton elastic scattering, with a well known 2^+ state in ^{[1](#page-3-0)8}Ne clearly visible. An R-matrix fit to the data is shown. In figure 1(c), the MINIBALL energy spectrum of gamma rays detected within ∼75 ns of protons registering in the CD array is shown. The β^+ decay of ¹⁷F ions stopped in the target generates continuous annihilation radiation that may be in random coincidence with protons, leading to the most intense peak at 511 keV. The peak at 495 keV corresponds to de-excitaiton from the first excited state in ¹⁷F. To identify genuine (p, p') inelastic scattering, and to remove events due to scattering of the 17 17 17 F beam on protons in the target, in figure 1(b) the proton centre of mass energy spectrum, gated on the 495 keV γ -decay peak, is shown. A peak is visible at an energy of 2.26(6) MeV, in agreement with the expected energy of the resonance of 2.22(1) MeV.

Guided by an R-matrix estimate of the angular distribution, a total angle-integrated cross section was estimated. This led to a value of 22 \pm 7 keV for $\frac{\Gamma_{p_0} \times \Gamma_{\text{tot}}}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}}$ $\frac{p_0 \times 1_{p'}}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}}$, where Γ_{p_0} represents the resonant elastic scattering width. In [[4](#page-9-0)], a value for Γ_{tot} of 50(5) keV was obtained by an Rmatrix fit to proton elastic scattering data. Since $\Gamma_{\text{tot}} \approx \Gamma_p + \Gamma_{p'}$, the largest allowed value for $\Gamma_{po} \times \Gamma$ $\Gamma_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ $p_0 \times 1$ *p*^{\prime} $\frac{x + p}{\log p}$ would be 12.5 keV. Given the uncertainties, the value found by He *et al* is reasonably consistent, and suggests $\Gamma_p \sim \Gamma_{p'}$, with significant uncertainty. This updated previous work by Blackmon *et al* [[9](#page-10-0)] where a value for $\Gamma_{p'} / \Gamma p_0 = 2.4$ was reported. Subsequent to the experiment at ISOLDE, Bardayan et al [[10](#page-10-0)] have reanalysed the elastic scattering data of Blackmon *et al* [[9](#page-10-0)] and, incorporated results from [[11](#page-10-0)], concluding the ratio $\Gamma_{p'}/\Gamma p_0$ to be reduced further to a value of 0.42. More ercently still, Hu et al have firmly determined the spin-parity of the 6.15 MeV state to be 1 , and summarised the most recent resonant parameters from the literature, where the parameters from [[10](#page-10-0)] were adopted. This most recent work confirms the finding from ISOLDE that that it is unlikely that the ${}^{14}O(\alpha, p)$ ¹⁷F reaction can bypass the β^+ decay of ¹⁴O in the hot CNO cycles in the temperature and density conditions found in novae explosions.

4. Core-collapse supernovae

Core-collapse supernovae are remarkable astronomical events, exhibiting a combination of temperature, density and energy seen nowhere else in nature. They are likely central to the formation of many heavy elements, and are now known to be the engines behind many, if not all, gamma-ray bursts. Not surprisingly, there is immense interest in attempting to understand the physics that drives them. However, this is made extremely difficult, both by the complexity of the explosion, and the fact that the key processes are occurring deep beneath the surface. Remaining fundamental uncertainties include whether the explosion mechanism is a neutrino driven delayed detonation [[12](#page-10-0)], or perhaps is mediated or assisted by gravitational effects as recently suggested [[13,](#page-10-0) [14](#page-10-0)].

Stars ≥ 8 M_{\o} have nuclear burning phases that continue through to the production of iron and nickel in the core. With binding energy per nucleon maximised, nuclear fusion proceeds no further, and an inevitable collapse ensues. Intense nucleosynthesis occurring in the subsequent explosion produces significant amounts of radioactive nuclear material, some of which is ejected. Of particular interest is the isotope 44 Ti, as its decay powers the thermal emission of supernova remnants on timescales of years to centuries.

Figure 2. Plots of the energy deposited in a $\Delta E - E$ silicon detector telescope. Data in the upper panel were accrued with the gas cell open to the vacuum system, while in the lower panel the gas cell contained helium at 50 Torr. Features A and B are protons, arising from scattering of beam with hydrogen contaminants on the gas cell windows. The locus labelled C corresponds to elastic scattering of helium gas. Reprinted from [[24](#page-10-0)], Copyright 2014, with permission from Elsevier.

5. The 44 Ti $(\alpha,$ $p)^{47}$ V reaction and its implications for core collape supernovae

Recent observations of hard x-rays and γ -rays, from supernova remnants have revealed amounts of 44Ti that are difficult to reproduce with present models. Since its launch in 2012, the NuSTAR satelite has deduced a yield of 1.25 \pm 0.3 \times 10⁻⁴ M_☉ from Cassiopeia-A [[15](#page-10-0)] (and an unexpected lack of spatial correlation between ⁴⁴Ti and iron x-rays), and a yield of $1.5 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-4}$ M_☉ in the ejecta of SN1987A [[16](#page-10-0)].

The ⁴⁴Ti is thought to be mainly produced in a silicon layer just above the collapsing core. Two works $[17, 18]$ $[17, 18]$ $[17, 18]$ $[17, 18]$ $[17, 18]$ have explored the sensitivity of the ⁴⁴Ti yield on the nuclear physics inputs, finding that the reaction to which the final ⁴⁴Ti abundance uncertainty is most sensitive is ⁴⁴Ti(α , p)⁴⁷V. The previous experimental constraints on this reaction come from a single study [[19](#page-10-0)] that measured the cross section at four energies between 5.6 and 9 MeV in the centre of mass. A significant fraction of the produced material is beyond the mass cut, that is, it is successfully ejected. Although the location of the mass cut is sensitive to the details of the explosion mechanism, it is difficult to eject more than 1×10^{-4} M_☉, even assuming a wide

Figure 3. Data and simulation of total $(E + \Delta E)$ energies of protons from gas-in data. The black line shows data. The red line shows the simulation of the scattering of 44 Ti beam ions with hydrogen deposits on the upstream surface of the gas cell, and reproduces the data adequately. The blue line indicates the location where protons from $^{44}Ti(α, p)^{47}V$ reactions would be expected to appear, for an arbitrarily large cross section. An upper limit for the cross section for this reaction was derived through a statistical analyisis of the events in the shaded region. Reprinted from [[24](#page-10-0)], Copyright 2014, with permission from Elsevier.

range of progenitor models and masses $[17, 20, 21]$ $[17, 20, 21]$ $[17, 20, 21]$ $[17, 20, 21]$ $[17, 20, 21]$ $[17, 20, 21]$ $[17, 20, 21]$. Hence the observed amounts of ⁴⁴Ti ejecta present a problem for models.

To constrain the cross section at relevant energies a new direct measurement of this reaction has been conducted at REX-ISOLDE [[22](#page-10-0)], with findings published by Margerin *et al.* [[23](#page-10-0)]. A beam of ⁴⁴Ti was produced in a novel way. As part of the ERAWAST initiative [[24](#page-10-0)], highly irradiated components from the SINQ spallation neutron source of the Paul Sherrer Institute (PSI) were chemically separated. Around 50 MBq atoms of ⁴⁴Ti was obtained and dissolved in HNO₃. This sample was then dried on a molybdenum foil, and shipped from PSI to CERN. It was inserted in a standard target container in the ISOLDE Class A target laboratory, connected to a VADIS FEBIAD ion source in the VD5 configuration, and equipped with a large CF_4 gas leak to allow for the production of Ti beams as TiF_x molecular ions $[25]$ $[25]$ $[25]$. The unit was then installed on the GPS Front End and a Ti F^{3+} molecular beam extracted. This was then dissociated during charge breeding in REX-ISOLDE before acceleration. ⁴⁴Ti¹³⁺ beams of around 5×10^5 to 5×10^6 pps, with no apparent isobaric contamination, and at centre of mass energies of 4.0 and 4.3 MeV, were provided for 4 days.

The beam was then incident on a 2 cm long ⁴He-filled gas cell at a pressure of 50 Torr. Beam ions reached the target by traversing an entrance window consisting of a 12 mm diameter, 5.65 μ m thick light-tight aluminium foil. Energy loss of the beam in this window meant the facility-delivered beam energy had to be significantly higher than the energy needed for the reaction being studied. An unwanted contribution from fusion-evaporation reactions of the beam and entrance window was avoided by using as thin a window as possible, thus minimising the initial beam energy. By design, beam ions were stopped in the exit foil of the gas cell, preventing direct exposure of downstream silicon detectors to beam flux, and allowing a more robust 15 μ m window to be used. An additional benefit was that stopped ions became located at a well defined position, allowing a high-purity germanium detector, placed close by, to observe 1.157 MeV 44 Ti decay γ -rays. Counting of these allows an independent measurement of the integrated beam on target to be made.

Protons and alpha particles emanating from the gas cell were detected downstream in segmented silicon detectors $[26]$ $[26]$ $[26]$ in a telescope configuration, allowing $E-\Delta E$ particle identification. All heavier ions were stopped in the exit window. Figure [2](#page-5-0) shows a comparison of ΔE – E experimental data from runs with the gas cell empty of helium to runs with the gas cell filled (shown are the $E_{cm} = 4.3$ MeV data). A detailed Monte Carlo simulation of the experiment was performed, demonstrating that features labelled A and B corresponded to protons from elastic scattering of the beam with hydrogen contamination (i.e. in water and oil) residing on the surfaces of the gas cell windows, and that band C arises from elastic scattering of the beam with helium in the gas cell, and indeed it is absent for gas-out data sets. The thusvalidated Monte Carlo suggests events of interest from ⁴⁴Ti(α , p)⁴⁷V reactions would appear to the right of feature B, as shown in figure [3.](#page-6-0) No such feature was seen and an upper limit on the cross section at this energy of 40 μ b (1σ) was established. The value for the cross section presently used in nucleosynthesis codes is from the NON-SMOKER code [[27,](#page-10-0) [28](#page-10-0)], and would have been 88 μ b given the experimental effects. The possible astrophysical impact of this reduced cross section has been explored by Perego et al [[29](#page-10-0)], using a spherically symmetric 1-D hydrodynamical simulation of $18-21$ M_o progenitors. Assuming that the reduction in cross section is typical for all energies within the Gamow window, the yield of ejected ⁴⁴Ti rose by 43%. Including both the new cross section and a correction to include homogeneous mixing that results from convective overturn, the amount of ⁴⁴Ti in the ejecta is 3.99 10⁵ M. This value is only marginally below the observational expectation.

6. The future programme at ISOLDE

The future ISOLDE programme is certain to feature a number of experiments primarily motivated by astrophysical needs. Three are briefly discussed here.

6.1. IS543: The ⁴⁴Ti(α , p)⁴⁷V reaction and its implications for core collape supernovae

The first is simply a more complete study of the ⁴⁴Ti(α , p)⁴⁷V reaction and its implications for core collape supernovae. The previous work led to an upper limit at a single energy, albeit well within the Gamow window. The result hints at a possible solution to the discrepancy between models and observations of ⁴⁴Ti production, but confirming this requires measurements, not upper limits, and at several energies, not just one. Consequently, this study is a high priority for the future astrophyscs programme at ISOLDE, and an approved experiment is awaiting scheduling. There is confidence that definitive results will be obtained, as post-run analysis of the ion source used in the previous work indicated that a leak had severely compromised the delivered beam intensity. Moreover, even at the reduced intensity, cross sections at the level expected would have resulted in measureable strength, so increased intensity is confidently expected to give positive results. Ample ⁴⁴Ti remains available at PSI from which the ion beam may be developed. Possible modifications to the previous experimental apparatus include alternative gas cell window materials to improve energy resolution and thus the separation of events of interest from those resulting from surface contaminents.

6.2. IS607: The ⁵⁹Cu(p, α) cross section and its implications for nucleosynthesis in corecollapse supernovae

The origin of the heavy elements remains uncertain. Most are believed to be produced by neutron capture reactions in the slow, 's-', and the rapid, 'r-', neutron capture processes. There are, however, several nuclei that lie on the proton rich side of stability, and which cannot therefore be produced by either of these processes; these nuclei are known as the 'pnuclei'. Despite their relatively low abundance, these nuclei, and the mechanism for their production, has attracted great attention over the years. In general, it has been thought that they are generated in the high temperature environment of core-collapse supernovae, where proton rich nuclei are produced by a series of photodisintegration reactions on existing heavy seeds. However, stellar models currently fail to reproduce the high solar system abundances of the lighter *p*-nuclei $92,94$ $92,94$ $92,94$ Mo and $96,98$ Ru [2].

Remarkably, some 99% of the energy emitted in a core-collapse is in neutrinos. Indeed, it is their escape, and the consequent loss of lepton degeneracy pressure, that allows the collapse to occur. The intense neutrino fluxes deposit sufficient energy to trigger a supersonic outflow of stellar material, called a neutrino driven wind. Relatively recently a new process has been proposed, the νp -process [[30](#page-10-0)], in which antineutrino absorptions in the proton rich environment produce neutrons that are immediately captured by neutron-deficient nuclei. This speeds up the reaction flow by bridging slow charged-particle rates and long beta-decay lifetimes by (n, p) reactions, permitting element formation up to mass $A \sim 100$. Arcones *et al.* [[31](#page-10-0)] have identified an end point nuclear cycle that will limit the ability of the νp -process to form elements with $A > 64$. At high temperatures the ⁵⁹Cu(p, α)⁵⁶Ni reaction dominates over ⁵⁹Cu(p, γ), preventing the synthesis of heavier elements by cycling material back to ⁵⁶Ni. However, the ⁵⁹Cu(p, α) reaction rate is presently unmeasured, so when this limitation begins is unknown.

The new proposal [[32](#page-10-0)] aims to provide the first experimental cross section data for this reaction. This is only feasible because of the upgrade of the HIE-ISOLDE facility, offering for the first time an intense ⁵⁹Cu ($t_1 = 81.5$ s) beam at beam energies of 5.0 MeV/u, well matched to the relevant temperature range in supernovae. The beam will be made incident on hydrogen within $(CH₂)_n$ PTFE foils, and alpha particles and heavy ions will be detected in coincidence in highly segmented silicon detectors. The experiment is approved and should hopefully run soon.

6.3. IS-554: Transfer reactions with 7 Be to study the cosmological lithium problem

The basic details of big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) are well established, and give nucleosynthesis predictions that are in excellent agreement with the abundances of ${}^{2}H$, ${}^{3}He$ and ${}^{4}He$ observed in metal-poor environments. However, the abundance of $\frac{7}{1}$ has been a longstanding problem. Recent observations of metal-poor halo stars, and the high precision measurement of the baryon-to-photon ratio of the Universe by WMAP, show that the predicted abundance is higher by a factor of 3 than that observed.

This discrepancy is deeply concerning, attracting much attention. Possible nuclear physics solutions invoke a modified rate for one or more of the relevant reactions, such that the final abundance in ${}^{7}Li$ is reduced while maintaining the production of other nuclear species. Experimental searches to date, of which there have been many, have failed to support such a conjecture. In the absence of a nuclear physics solution, more exotic solutions have been propsed, invoking New Physics such as photon cooling, strongly interacting massive

particles or primordial magnetic fields [[33](#page-10-0)]. Clearly, it is important to fully explore remaining nuclear physics solutions.

One possible remaining solution is that 7 Be is destroyed more quickly in the early Universe than previously thought, resulting in less being available to decay to 7 Li, reducing the predicted BBN abundance. Enhanced ⁷Be destruction may arise if the ⁷Be(d, p)⁸Be^{*} reaction proceeds faster [[34](#page-10-0)]. Earlier work has shown that resonant contributions from highlying states can have significant contributions to the overall rate [[35](#page-10-0)]. Experiment IS-554 at HIE-ISOLDE [[36](#page-10-0)], will use a 35 MeV ⁷Be beam on a $(CD_2)_n$ target to measure in greater detail the destruction of ⁷Be through the ⁷Be(*d*, p)⁸Be^{*} reaction. In particular, excitation energies in ⁸Be up to about E_x = 20 MeV will be examined and the properties of the 16.7 MeV $\left(\frac{5}{2}^{+}\right)$ resonance in ⁹B will be deduced from analysis of (*d, d*) data. The present expectaiton is that the scattering chamber installed on the second beamline of the HIE-ISOLDE facility will be instrumented with two sets of double-sided silicon strip detectors, covering laboratory angles from about 8°–150°, to detect protons and alpha particles in coincidence. The experiment is approved and awaiting beam time.

7. Summary

The recent ISOLDE science programme has included notable works contributing to nuclear astrophsyics, enabled by intense radioactive beams. In one study, inelastic scattering from protons using a ¹⁷F beam determined the inelastic contribution of a key $1⁻$ state in ¹⁸Ne to the $^{14}O(\alpha, p)^{17}$ F reaction rate, a possible trigger for breakout from the CNO cycles in x-ray bursts. Protons detected in a silicon array were tagged using γ-rays observed in MINIBALL. The study concluded that the inelastic contribution from the resonance was approximately equal to the ground-state. In another study, a sample of the long-lived isotope ⁴⁴Ti was extracted from highly irradiated materials, inserted in an ion source, and accelerated to produce an intense beam that could then be directed on to a helium-filled gas cell. This enabled a direct study of the ⁴⁴Ti(α , p)⁴⁷V reaction, at an energy corresponding to temperatures well within the range reached in core-collapse supernovae. An upper limit on the cross section was observed, providing a possible explanation for why satellite-based gammaray observations of ⁴⁴Ti in ejecta from supernovae are higher than models are able to produce. In the future, accepted proposals include a more complete study of the ⁴⁴Ti(α , p)⁴⁷V reaction, measurement of the ${}^{59}Cu(p, \alpha)$ reaction to provide input to calculations of neutrino driven nucleosynthesis of certain p-process nuclei, and a measuremnt of the ${}^{7}Be(d, p)^{8}Be^*$ reaction, which may provide a solution to the long-standing conundrum of the mismatch between BBN theory and the observed abundance of $\bar{7}$ Li in metal-poor stars.

Acknowledaments

AM thanks J J He for his insightful and useful input. The University of Edinburgh is a charitable body, registered in Scotland, with Registration No. SC005336.

References

- [1] Jose J, Halabi G M and El Eid M F 2016 Astron. Astrophys. **593** [A54](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628901)
- [2] Arnould M and Goriely S 2003 Phys. Rep. 384 1-84
- [3] Hahn K I et al 1996 Phys. Rev. C 54 [1999](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.54.1999)
- [4] del Campo J G et al 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 [43](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.43)
- [5] Harss B et al 2002 Phys. Rev. C 65 [035803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.65.035803)
- [6] He J J et al 2009 Phys. Rev. C 80 [042801R](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.80.042801)
- [7] Ostrowski A N et al 2002 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 480 [448](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00954-8)
- [8] Eberth J 2001 Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 46 [389](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(01)00145-4)
- [9] Blackmon J C et al 2003 Nucl. Phys. A 718 [127](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(03)00689-4)
- [10] Bardayan D W, Blackmon J C, Kozub R L, Matos M and Smith M S 2012 Phys. Rev. C 85 [065805](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.065805)
- [11] Almaraz-Calderon S et al 2012 Phys. Rev. C 86 [025801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.025801)
- [12] Bethe H A 1990 Rev. Mod. Phys. 62 [801](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.62.801)
- [13] Burrows A et al 2006 Astrophys. J. 640 [878](https://doi.org/10.1086/500174)
- [14] Wang C H-T, Hodson A O, Murphy A S J, Davies T B, Tito Mendonca J and Bingham R 2013 Phys. Lett. B 726 [791](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.002)
- [15] Grefenstette B W et al 2014 Nature 506 [339](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12997)
- [16] Boggs S E et al 2015 Science 348 [670](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa2259)
- [17] Magkotsios G, Timmes F X, Hungerford A L, Fryer C L, Young P A and Wiescher M 2010 Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. [191](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/191/1/66) 66–95
- [18] The L S, Clayton D D, Jin L and Mayer B S 1998 Astrophys. J. 504 [500](https://doi.org/10.1086/306057)
- [19] Sonzogni A A et al 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 [1651](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.1651)
- [20] Tur C, Heger A and Austin S M 2010 Astrophys. J. **718** [357](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/718/1/357)–67
- [21] Timmes F X, Woosley S E, Hartmann D H and Hoffman R D 1996 Astrophys. J. 464 [332](https://doi.org/10.1086/177323)
- [22] CERN INTC Proposal IS543 http://cds.cern.ch/record/2021518/files/[INTC-CLL-022.pdf](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2021518/files/INTC-CLL-022.pdf)
- [23] Margerin V et al 2014 Phys. Lett. B [731](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.03.003) 358
- [24] Dressler R et al 2012 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 39 [105201](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/39/10/105201)
- [25] Penescu L, Catherall R, Lettry J and Stora T 2010 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 81 [02A906](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3271245)
- [26] Micron Semiconductor Ltd. http://[micronsemiconductor.co.uk](http://www.micronsemiconductor.co.uk)
- [27] Rauscher T and Thielemann F-K 1998 Stellar Evolution, Stellar Explosions and Galactic Chemical Evolution ed A Mezzacappa (Bristol: IOP) p 519
- [28] Rauscher T and Thielemann F-K 2000 At. Data Nucl. Data Tables [75](https://doi.org/10.1006/adnd.2000.0834) 1 Rauscher T and Thielemann F-K 2001 At. Data Nucl. Data tables 79 [47](https://doi.org/10.1006/adnd.2001.0863)
- [29] Perego A et al 2015 Astrophys. J. 806 [275](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/806/2/275)
- [30] Fröhlich C et al 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 [142502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.142502)
- [31] Arcones A, Fröhlich C and Martinez-Pinedo G 2012 Astrophys. J. [750](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/750/1/18) 18
- [32] CERN INTC Proposal 441 http://cds.cern.ch/record/2021520/files/[INTC-P-441.pdf](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2021520/files/INTC-P-441.pdf)
- [33] Yamazaki D G et al 2014 Phys. Rev. D 90 [023001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.023001)
- [34] Cyburt R H and Pospelov M 2012 Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 21 [1250004](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218301312500048)
- [35] Angulo C et al 2005 Astrophys. J. 630 [L105](https://doi.org/10.1086/491732)
- [36] CERN INTC Proposal 350 http://cds.cern.ch/record/1482664/files/[INTC-P-350.pdf](http://cds.cern.ch/record/1482664/files/INTC-P-350.pdf)