Scalar decays to $\gamma\gamma$, $Z\gamma$, and $W\gamma$ in the Georgi-Machacek model

Céline Degrande,^{1,*} Katy Hartling,^{2,†} and Heather E. Logan^{2,‡} ¹CERN, Theory Division, Geneva 23 CH-1211, Switzerland ²Ottawa-Carleton Institute for Physics, Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5B6, Canada (Received 6 September 2017; published 11 October 2017)

We compute the decay widths for the neutral and singly-charged Higgs bosons in the Georgi-Machacek model into the final states $\gamma\gamma$, $Z\gamma$, and $W\gamma$. These decays are most phenomenologically interesting for the fermiophobic custodial fiveplet states H_5^0 and H_5^{\pm} when their masses are below threshold for decays into WW, ZZ, or WZ. We study the allowed branching ratios into these final states using scans over the allowed parameter space, and show how the model can be constrained by LEP searches for a fermiophobic Higgs boson decaying to two photons. The calculation involves evaluating one-loop diagrams in which the loop contains particles with two different masses, some of which do not appear in the existing literature. We give results for these diagrams in a form convenient for numerical implementation using the LOOPTOOLS package.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.96.075013

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of a Standard Model (SM)-like Higgs boson at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [1], there has been considerable interest in models with extended Higgs sectors to be used as benchmarks for LHC searches for physics beyond the SM. One such model is the Georgi-Machacek (GM) model [2,3], which adds isospin-triplet scalar fields to the SM in a way that preserves custodial SU(2) symmetry. This model is interesting because the isospin triplets can make a non-negligible contribution to electroweak symmetry breaking. Its phenomenology has been studied extensively [4-34], and its parameter space has been constrained using the perturbativity and vacuum stability of the scalar potential [8,15,23], the electroweak oblique parameters [16,17,21,27], Z-pole and B-physics observables [7,15,21,27], and direct collider searches [25,35–39]. The GM model has also been incorporated into Little Higgs [40,41], supersymmetric [42-44], and neutrino seesaw [26] models. Extensions with an additional isospin doublet [45] and a singlet scalar dark matter candidate [46] have also been considered, as have generalizations of the model to include higher-isospin scalars [13,37,47-49].

The most distinct phenomenological feature of the GM model is the presence of a custodial fiveplet of scalars, $(H_5^{++}, H_5^+, H_5^0, H_5^-, H_5^{--})$. These scalars are fermiophobic and couple at tree level to *W* or *Z* boson pairs with a strength proportional to the isospin-triplet scalar fields' vacuum expectation value (vev). Direct searches at the LHC for these custodial-fiveplet scalars have so far focused on scalar masses above 200 GeV [35,38,39] (see also Refs. [50,51]), where they decay predominantly into pairs of on-shell vector bosons. For lower masses, the tree-level decays are forced off shell and the loop-induced decays of $H_5^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}\gamma$ and $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$ can become important. These final states offer sensitive new experimental probes. The diphoton decay mode can also be used to take advantage of existing limits on the production of scalars decaying to photon pairs from the CERN Large Electron-Positron (LEP) collider [52] and the LHC [53].

Our goal in this paper is to compute the loop-induced decay widths of the scalars in the GM model and study their behavior over the model's parameter space, focusing on scalar masses below 200 GeV. This is made nontrivial by the fact that some diagrams appear in the decays $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$ and $H_5^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}\gamma$ that have not previously been computed in the literature. Some of these new diagrams also appear in the custodial-triplet scalar decay $H_3^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}\gamma$; we discuss this process for completeness although it is of less phenomenological interest because decays of H_3^{\pm} to fermion pairs tend to dominate its branching ratios.

The challenge is diagrams in which the loop contains particles with two different masses. Such "heterogeneous" loop diagrams are forbidden by gauge invariance in the familiar decays of the SM Higgs boson to two photons or two gluons; they are absent in the SM Higgs decay to $Z\gamma$ due to custodial symmetry. Heterogeneous diagrams appear in two Higgs doublet models in the decay $H^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}\gamma$;

celine.degrande@cern.ch

[†]kathryn.hartling@cnl.ca

Present address: Canadian Nuclear Laboratories, 286 Plant Road, Chalk River, Ontario K0J 1J0, Canada.

[‡]logan@physics.carleton.ca

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

these have been computed in Refs. [54-56].¹ In the two Higgs doublet model, the contributing diagrams involve top and bottom quarks, H^{\pm} and a neutral scalar h^0 or H^0 , and W^{\pm} and a neutral scalar h^0 or H^0 , and W^{\pm} and a neutral scalar h^0 or H^0 . Explicit results for these loop diagrams have been given in Ref. [56] as integrals over Feynman parameters. For ease of numerical implementation, we recalculate them here in terms of the one-loop Passarino-Veltman integrals [59] in the notation used by the LOOPTOOLS package [60]. Our results agree with those of Ref. [56].

The GM model admits additional heterogeneous diagrams not present in two Higgs doublet models. These include diagrams that involve W^{\pm} and Z, Z and H_5^{\pm} , W^{\pm} and $H_5^{\pm\pm}$, and W^{\pm} and H_5^{\pm} . These contribute to the decays $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$, $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$, and $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$. By contributing to $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$, the new diagrams can affect the branching ratio of $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ (though we find that the effect is numerically small). We compute these new loop diagrams and give explicit results as integrals over Feynman parameters as well as in terms of the one-loop Passarino-Veltman integrals in the notation used by the LOOPTOOLS package.

With the new loop diagrams in hand, we implement the full one-loop decays $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$, $H_5^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}\gamma$, and $H_3^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}\gamma$ into a private code based on GMCALC 1.2.0 [61] (all other decays to $\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ are already implemented in the public version of the code) and perform parameter scans to study the allowed range of branching ratios after imposing the theoretical and experimental constraints on the model. We show that a large fraction of the parameter space with H_5 masses below about 110 GeV is excluded by LEP searches for fermiophobic Higgs production in $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH_5^0$ with $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ [52]. Our results for the $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ branching ratio can also be combined with scalar pair-production cross sections to impose limits from LHC diphoton searches as in Ref. [53]; we leave this to future work. These one-loop decays will be included in GMCALC 1.3.0 and higher.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the results of the one-loop diagram calculations for the decays of the GM scalars to $V\gamma$. In Sec. III we assemble the familiar loop contributions with these new diagrams to compute the decay amplitudes for neutral scalars into $\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ and for singly-charged scalars into $W\gamma$ in the GM model. In Sec. IV we present numerical scans over the viable GM parameter space and apply the LEP limit on fermiophobic Higgs decays into two photons to constrain the model. We summarize our conclusions in Sec. V. For completeness, in Appendix A we review the Lagrangian and physical spectrum of the GM model, in Appendix B we collect the Feynman rules for the GM model scalars that we use in this paper, and in Appendix C we summarize the LOOPTOOLS conventions for the one-loop Passarino-Veltman integrals used in our results. Finally in

Appendix D we give some details of the calculations in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge of processes involving Goldstone bosons or ghosts.

II. ONE-LOOP DIAGRAMS FOR SCALAR DECAYS TO $V\gamma$

The decay amplitude for $H_i(k+q) \rightarrow V_\nu(k)\gamma_\mu(q)$ (where $V = \gamma, Z, W$) is forced by electromagnetic gauge invariance to take the form [56]

$$\mathcal{M} = \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^*_{\mu}(q) \varepsilon^*_{\nu}(k), \quad \text{with}$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu} = (g^{\mu\nu} k \cdot q - k^{\mu} q^{\nu}) S + i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_{\alpha} q_{\beta} \tilde{S}, \qquad (1)$$

where q and k are the momenta and $\varepsilon_{\mu}(q)$ and $\varepsilon_{\nu}(k)$ are the polarization vectors of the photon and the gauge boson V, respectively. The resulting decay partial width is

$$\Gamma(H_i \to V\gamma) = \frac{m_{H_i}^3}{32\pi\eta_V} \left[1 - \frac{M_V^2}{m_{H_i}^2} \right]^3 (|S|^2 + |\tilde{S}|^2), \quad (2)$$

where $V = \gamma$, Z, or W^+ . Here η_V is a symmetry factor that accounts for identical particles in the final state, with $\eta_{\gamma} = 2$ and $\eta_Z = \eta_W = 1$.

In calculating the scalar form factor *S*, we follow the approach used by Ref. [56] for the calculation of the one-loop amplitudes contributing to $H^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$ in the Yukawaaligned two Higgs doublet model (2HDM) [62]. Ref. [56] employed the clever strategy of computing only the coefficient of $k^{\mu}q^{\nu}$ in order to determine the form factor *S*. Neglecting all terms proportional to $g^{\mu\nu}$ significantly reduces the complexity of the calculations, as it reduces the number of Feynman diagrams that must be considered to those illustrated in Fig. 1 and removes the need for renormalization. The pseudoscalar form factor \tilde{S} receives contributions only from fermions in the loop as shown in the first diagram of Fig. 1.

To fix the signs of the charges of the particles appearing in the triangle diagrams of Fig. 1, we adopt the convention that H_i is the incoming parent scalar and V is an outgoing final-state vector boson. The particle in the loop with subscript 1 propagates from the H_i vertex to the V vertex, while the particle in the loop with subscript 2 propagates from the V vertex, through the photon vertex, back to the H_i vertex.

The first diagram in Fig. 1 has been computed in Ref. [56]. The second diagram has been computed in Ref. [56] for the special case that H_i and s_2 have the same mass. The fourth diagram has been computed in Ref. [56] for the special case that X_2 and V have the same mass. To our knowledge, the remaining diagrams have not appeared in the literature.

In what follows we give our results for each diagram in the context of the GM model. The results given in terms of integrals over Feynman parameters were computed in Unitarity gauge, while the results given in terms of

¹Heterogeneous diagrams contributing to neutral Higgs boson decays to $Z\gamma$ involving fermions and vector bosons in the loops have been computed in Refs. [57] and [58], respectively. These contributions do not appear in the GM model.



FIG. 1. One-loop Feynman diagrams contributing to the process $H_i \rightarrow V\gamma$ in the GM model. Here $V = \gamma$, Z or W^{\pm} , $f_{1,2}$ denote fermions, $s_{1,2}$ denote scalars, and $X_{1,2}$ denote W or Z bosons.

LOOPTOOLS functions were computed in 't Hooft-Feynman gauge including all relevant additional diagrams involving Goldstone bosons or ghosts. We used dimensional regularization to handle divergences, which cancel in the final results. The LOOPTOOLS conventions for the three-point integrals are summarized in Appendix C. In each case we checked numerically that the two approaches agree to within the (percent-level) precision of our numerical integration over the Feynman parameters.

The decays of the scalars $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$, $H_3^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$, and $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$ have also been checked numerically using MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO [63] with the GM model renormalized by NLOCT [64]. It should be noted that these tools compute the full amplitude, including the coefficient of the $g^{\mu\nu}$ term in Eq. (1), and so the electroweak renormalization of the model including tadpole and mixing counterterms is needed to obtain finite results. Again, the numerical results agree at the percent level. The decay $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$ has not been checked using MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO because further development in the handling of contributions with on-shell cuts is still needed.

A. Fermion loop diagram

The first diagram in Fig. 1 contributes to $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$ with $f_1 = t$, $f_2 = b$, and with $f_1 = \bar{b}$, $f_2 = \bar{t}$. The calculation is exactly as in Ref. [56] with a translation from the Yukawa-aligned 2HDM coupling notation to the appropriate $\cot \theta_H$ dependence in the GM model (see Appendix A). The appropriate couplings are as given for the Type I 2HDM in Ref. [56] with $\cot \beta \rightarrow \tan \theta_H$, i.e.,

$$\varsigma_u = \tan \theta_H, \qquad \varsigma_d = \tan \theta_H.$$
 (3)

This yields the fermion loop contributions to the scalar and pseudoscalar form factors as integrals over Feynman parameters x and z [56],

$$S_{H_3^+W\gamma} \supset A_{ff'}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}N_c|V_{tb}|^2}{2\pi v s_W} \tan\theta_H \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dz \frac{I_f}{\Delta_f},$$
(4)

$$\tilde{S}_{H_3^+W\gamma} \supset \tilde{A}_{ff'}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}N_c |V_{tb}|^2}{2\pi v s_W} \tan\theta_H \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dz \frac{I_f}{\Delta_f},$$
(5)

where $\alpha_{\rm em}$ is the electromagnetic fine structure constant, $N_c = 3$ is the number of colors, $v = (1/\sqrt{2}G_F)^{1/2} \approx$ 246 GeV is the SM Higgs vacuum expectation value (vev), V_{tb} is the appropriate element of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix, and $s_W = \sin \theta_W$ is the sine of the weak mixing angle. In the integrals we define

$$\Delta_f = M_W^2 x(x-1) + m_b^2 (1-x) + m_t^2 x + (M_W^2 - m_3^2) x z(1-x),$$
(6)

with m_3 being the mass of H_3^+ , and

$$I_f = [Q_t x + Q_b(1 - x)] \\ \times [-m_t^2 x(2xz - 2z + 1) + m_b^2(1 - x)(1 - 2xz)], \quad (7)$$

$$\tilde{I}_f = [Q_t x + Q_b (1 - x)][m_t^2 x + m_b^2 (1 - x)].$$
(8)

The fermion electric charges are $Q_t = 2/3$ and $Q_b = -1/3$.

In terms of the LOOPTOOLS functions [60] (see Appendix C for conventions), the fermion loop contributions are given by

$$A_{ff'}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = A_{tbb}^{H_3^+W\gamma} + A_{btt}^{H_3^+W\gamma}, \qquad \tilde{A}_{ff'}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = \tilde{A}_{tbb}^{H_3^+W\gamma} + \tilde{A}_{btt}^{H_3^+W\gamma},$$
(9)

where

$$A_{tbb}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em} N_c |V_{tb}|^2}{2\pi v s_W} \tan \theta_H Q_b$$

 $\times [m_t^2 (2C_{12} + 2C_{22} + 3C_2 + C_1 + C_0) - m_b^2 (2C_{12} + 2C_{22} + C_2 - C_1)]$
 $(k^2, q^2, m_3^2; m_t^2, m_b^2, m_b^2),$ (10)

$$A_{btt}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em} N_c |V_{tb}|^2}{2\pi v s_W} \tan \theta_H Q_t \times [-m_b^2 (2C_{12} + 2C_{22} + 3C_2 + C_1 + C_0) + m_t^2 (2C_{12} + 2C_{22} + C_2 - C_1)] (k^2, q^2, m_3^2; m_b^2, m_t^2, m_t^2),$$
(11)

$$\tilde{A}_{tbb}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em} N_c |V_{tb}|^2}{2\pi v s_W} \tan \theta_H Q_b \times \left[-m_t^2 (C_1 + C_2 + C_0) + m_b^2 (C_1 + C_2) \right] (k^2, q^2, m_3^2; m_t^2, m_b^2, m_b^2),$$
(12)

$$\tilde{A}_{btt}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em} N_c |V_{tb}|^2}{2\pi v s_W} \tan \theta_H Q_t \times \left[-m_b^2 (C_1 + C_2 + C_0) + m_t^2 (C_1 + C_2) \right] (k^2, q^2, m_3^2; m_b^2, m_t^2, m_t^2),$$
(13)

where $k^2 = M_W^2$ and $q^2 = 0$ are the final-state particles' invariant masses.

We can obtain a check of these formulas by artificially setting $m_b = m_t \equiv m_f$. In this limit the $H^+\bar{t}b$ coupling becomes purely pseudoscalar and the *CP*-even form factor $A_{ff'}^{H_3^+W\gamma}$ vanishes, while the *CP*-odd form factor reduces to

$$\tilde{A}_{ff'}^{H_3^+W\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}N_c |V_{tb}|^2}{2\pi v s_W} \tan \theta_H (Q_b + Q_t) [-m_f^2 C_0] (k^2, q^2, m_3^2; m_f^2, m_f^2, m_f^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}N_c |V_{tb}|^2}{2\pi v s_W} \tan \theta_H (Q_b + Q_t) I_2(\tau_f, \lambda_f), \quad (14)$$

where in this case $\tau_f = 4m_f^2/m_3^2$, $\lambda_f = 4m_f^2/k^2$, and I_2 is the function that appears in the usual calculation of the fermion loop contribution to a *CP*-odd scalar decaying to $Z\gamma$ [6] [see Eq. (39)].

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 96, 075013 (2017)

B. Scalar loop diagram

The second diagram in Fig. 1 contributes with two different scalar masses in the loop to $H_3^+ \to W^+ \gamma$. (For $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$ and $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$ the scalar loop diagrams involve three scalars with the same masses.) We define triple-scalar and vector-scalar-scalar couplings, with all particles incoming, in terms of the Feynman rules such that the triple-scalar vertex Feynman rule is $-iC_{H_is_1^*s_2}$ and the vector-scalarscalar vertex Feynman rule is $ieC_{V^*s_1s_2^*}(p_1-p_2)^{\mu}$, where p_1 and p_2 are the incoming momenta of s_1 and s_2^* , respectively, and V^* is the incoming particle corresponding to outgoing vector boson V. The photon coupling to two scalars is fixed by the Feynman rule $ie^2Q_s(p_s - p_{s^*})^{\mu}$, where p_s is the incoming momentum of the incoming scalar s, p_{s^*} is the incoming momentum of outgoing scalar s (or incoming s^*), and Q_s is the electric charge in units of e of the scalar s. Explicit formulas for these couplings in the GM model are given in Appendix B.

The form factor is given as an integral over Feynman parameters by

$$S_{H_i V \gamma} \supset A_{s_1 s_2 s_2}^{H_i V \gamma} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em} Q_{s_2}}{\pi} C_{H_i s_1^* s_2} C_{V^* s_1 s_2^*} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dz \frac{I_{s_1 s_2 s_2}^{H_i V \gamma}}{\Delta_{s_1 s_2 s_2}}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{s_1 s_2 s_2} &= -M_V^2 x (1-x) + m_{s_1}^2 (1-x) + m_{s_2}^2 x \\ &+ x z (1-x) (M_V^2 - m_{H_i}^2), \\ I_{s_1 s_2 s_2} &= x^2 z (1-x). \end{split} \tag{16}$$

This agrees with the corresponding result of Ref. [56] for the special case $m_{s_2} = m_{H_i}$.

In terms of the LOOPTOOLS functions, the scalar loop contribution is given by

$$A_{s_1s_2s_2}^{H_iV\gamma} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}Q_{s_2}}{\pi} C_{H_is_1^*s_2} C_{V^*s_1s_2^*} [C_{12} + C_{22} + C_2] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; m_{s_1}^2, m_{s_2}^2, m_{s_2}^2),$$
(17)

where $k^2 = M_V^2$ and $q^2 = 0$ are the final-state particles' invariant masses.

In the limit $m_{s_1} = m_{s_2} \equiv m_s$, this expression reduces to

$$A_{s_1s_2s_2}^{H_iV\gamma} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}Q_{s_2}}{\pi} C_{H_is_1^*s_2} C_{V^*s_1s_2^*} \frac{1}{4m_s^2} I_1(\tau_s,\lambda_s), \quad (18)$$

where in this case $\tau_s = 4m_s^2/m_{H_i}^2$, $\lambda_s = 4m_s^2/k^2$, and I_1 is the function that appears in the usual calculation of the scalar loop contribution to a *CP*-even scalar decaying to $Z\gamma$ [6] [see Eq. (38)].

C. Vector-scalar-scalar loop diagram

The third diagram in Fig. 1 contributes to $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$, $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$, and $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$. For this diagram we need the scalar-vector-vector coupling, which is defined by the Feynman rule $ie^2 C_{s^*XV^*}g^{\mu\nu}$, again with all particles incoming. Explicit expressions are given in Appendix B.

The form factor is given as an integral over Feynman parameters by

$$S_{H_{i}V\gamma} \supset A_{Xss}^{H_{i}V\gamma} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}^{2} Q_{s_{2}} C_{X_{1}^{*}H_{i}s_{2}} C_{s_{2}^{*}X_{1}V^{*}}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dz \frac{I_{Xss}^{H_{i}V\gamma}}{\Delta_{Xss}}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{Xss} &= -x(1-x)M_V^2 + (1-x)M_{X_1}^2 + xm_{s_2}^2 \\ &- xz(1-x)(m_{H_i}^2 - M_V^2), \\ I_{Xss}^{H_i V \gamma} &= x^2 z \bigg[\frac{2}{3} x^2 (1+z) + \frac{2}{3} x(1-2z) - 1 \bigg] m_{H_i}^2 \\ &+ x^2 (z-1) \bigg[\frac{2}{3} x(2-x)(z-2) + 1 \bigg] M_V^2 \\ &+ x \bigg[\frac{2}{3} (z+1)x^2 - 5x + 4 \bigg] M_{X_1}^2 \\ &+ x^2 \bigg[-\frac{2}{3} (1+z)x + 1 \bigg] m_{s_2}^2. \end{split}$$
(20)

In terms of the LOOPTOOLS functions, the vector-scalarscalar loop contribution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{Xss}^{H_i V \gamma} &= -\alpha_{\rm em}^2 Q_{s_2} C_{X_1^* H_i s_2} C_{s_2^* X_1 V^*} \\ &\times \left[-2(C_{12} + C_{22} + 2C_1 + 3C_2 + 2C_0) \right. \\ &\left. - 2 \left(\frac{m_{H_i}^2 - m_{s_2}^2}{M_{X_1}^2} \right) (C_{12} + C_{22} + C_2) \right] \\ &\left. (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; M_{X_1}^2, m_{s_2}^2, m_{s_2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $k^2 = M_V^2$ and $q^2 = 0$ are the final-state particles' invariant masses.

D. Scalar-vector-vector loop diagram

The fourth diagram in Fig. 1 contributes to $H_3^+ \to W^+\gamma$, $H_5^+ \to W^+\gamma$, and $H_5^0 \to Z\gamma$. The form factor is given as an integral over Feynman parameters by

$$S_{H_i V \gamma} \supset A_{sXX}^{H_i V \gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}^2 Q_{X_2} C_{X_2 H_i s_1^*} C_{s_1 X_2^* V^*}}{2M_{X_2}^2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dz \frac{I_{sXX}^{H_i V \gamma}}{\Delta_{sXX}},$$
(22)

where

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{sXX} &= -x(1-x)M_V^2 + (1-x)m_{s_1}^2 + xM_{X_2}^2 \\ &- xz(1-x)(m_{H_i}^2 - M_V^2), \\ I_{sXX}^{H_{ij}V} &= \left[\frac{2}{3}x^4(z-2)(z-1) + \frac{4}{3}x^3(2z^2 - 3z + 1) \right. \\ &+ x^2(z-1)\right]M_V^2 \\ &+ \left[-\frac{2}{3}x^4z(1+z) + \frac{8}{3}x^3z(2-z) - 3x^2z\right]m_{H_i}^2 \\ &+ \left[-\frac{2}{3}x^3(1+4z) + x^2(6z-1)\right]m_{s_1}^2 \\ &+ \left[\frac{2}{3}x^3(4z+1) + 3x^2(3-2z)\right]M_{X_2}^2. \end{split}$$
(23)

This agrees with the corresponding result of Ref. [56] for the special case $M_{X_2} = M_V^{2}$.

In terms of the LOOPTOOLS functions, the scalar-vectorvector loop contribution is given by

$$A_{sXX}^{H_i V \gamma} = \alpha_{\rm em}^2 Q_{X_2} C_{X_2 H_i s_1^*} C_{s_1 X_2^* V^*} \left[-2C_{12} - 2C_{22} + 4C_1 + 2C_2 - 2\left(\frac{m_{H_i}^2 - m_{s_1}^2}{M_{X_2}^2}\right) (C_{12} + C_{22} + C_2) \right]$$

$$(k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; m_{s_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2), \qquad (24)$$

where $k^2 = M_V^2$ and $q^2 = 0$ are the final-state particles' invariant masses.

E. Vector loop diagram

The fifth and sixth diagrams in Fig. 1 contribute with two different gauge boson masses in the loop to $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$. The masses and couplings are given by $m_{H_i} = m_5$, $M_{X_1} = M_Z$, $M_{X_2} = M_V = M_W$, $Q_{X_2} = -1$, $C_{X_1V^*X_2^*} = C_{ZW^-W^+} = c_W/s_W$, and $C_{H_iX_{X_2}^*} = C_{H_5^+ZW^-} = -v \sin\theta_H/2c_W s_W^2$.

The form factor is given as an integral over Feynman parameters by

$$S_{H_i V \gamma} \supset \mathcal{A}_{X_1 X_2 X_2}^{H_i V \gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}^2}{2} Q_{X_2} C_{X_1 V^* X_2^*} C_{H_i X_1^* X_2} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dz \frac{I_{X_1 X_2 X_2}^{H_i V \gamma}}{\Delta_{X_1 X_2 X_2}},$$
(25)

where

²Note that our integrand I_{sXX} is defined such that our integral differs from that in Ref. [56] by a factor of 4.

DEGRANDE, HARTLING, and LOGAN

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{X_{1}X_{2}X_{2}} &= -M_{V}^{2}x(1-x) + M_{X_{1}}^{2}(1-x) + M_{X_{2}}^{2}x + (M_{V}^{2} - m_{H_{i}}^{2})xz(1-x), \\ I_{X_{1}X_{2}X_{2}}^{H_{i}VY} &= \frac{x}{3M_{X_{1}}^{2}M_{X_{2}}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} M_{X_{1}}^{4}(x[117 - 6x(34 + 16x[z-1] - 29z) - 72z] - 12) + M_{X_{2}}^{2}(M_{X_{2}}^{2}x[9 + 6x(z-6 - 16x[z-1]))] \\ &+ M_{X_{1}}^{2}[36 + 6x(x[40 - 18z] + 32x^{2}[z-1] - 3[3 + 4z])]) + m_{H_{i}}^{2}x(m_{H_{i}}^{2}x[x(2 - 24x[11 + 5x(z-1) - 9z]z \\ &- 90[z-2]z) - 4z(1 + 9z)] + M_{X_{2}}^{2}[x(6z[13 + 2z] - 2 - 12x[23 + 18x(z-1) - 16z]z) - 9z] \\ &+ M_{X_{1}}^{2}[57z + x(2 + 12x[39 + 18x(z-1) - 32z]z + 6z[26z - 51])]) \\ &+ M_{V}^{2}(M_{X_{2}}^{2}x[9z - 12 + x(64 + 12x[23 + 18x(z-1) - 16z](z-1) - 2z[29 + 6z])] \\ &+ M_{V}^{2}x[3 - 3z - 2x(z-1)^{2}(20 + 60x^{3}[z-1] - 12x^{2}[9z - 11] + x[45z - 88])] \\ &+ M_{X_{1}}^{2}[x(72 - 57z + x[(454 - 156z)z - 304 - 12x(39 + 18x[z-1] - 32z)(z-1)]) - 12] \\ &+ m_{H_{i}}^{2}x[3z + x(2 + z[76z - 78] + 4x[z-1][1 + (132x - 60x^{2} - 89)z + 3(15 - 36x + 20x^{2})z^{2}])])]. \end{split}$$

The numerator can be equivalently expressed in the following, equally horrible, form,

$$\begin{split} I_{X_{1}X_{2}X_{2}}^{H,V\gamma} &= \frac{m_{H_{1}}^{4}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}M_{X_{2}}^{2}} \bigg[40x^{6}z^{2}(1-z) + 8z^{2}x^{5}(9z-11) + \frac{2}{3}x^{4}z(1+90z-45z^{2}) - \frac{4}{3}x^{3}z(1+9z) \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{m_{H_{1}}^{2}M_{V}^{2}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}} \bigg[80x^{6}z(z-1)^{2} - 16x^{5}z(z-1)(9z-11) + \frac{4}{3}x^{4}(z-1)(1-89z+45z^{2}) + \frac{2}{3}x^{3}(z-1)(38z-1) + x^{2}z \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{m_{H_{1}}^{2}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}} \bigg[72x^{5}z(1-z) + 4x^{4}z(16z-23) + \frac{2}{3}x^{3}(6z^{2}+39z-1) - 3x^{2}z \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{m_{H_{1}}^{2}}{M_{X_{2}}^{2}} \bigg[72x^{5}z(z-1) - 4x^{4}z(32z-39) + \frac{2}{3}x^{3}(1-153z+78z^{2}) + 19x^{2}z \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{M_{V}^{2}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}} \bigg[40x^{6}(1-z)^{3} + 8x^{5}(z-1)^{2}(9z-11) - \frac{2}{3}x^{4}(z-1)^{2}(45z-88) + (1-z)x^{2} - \frac{40}{3}x^{3}(z-1)^{2} \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{M_{V}^{2}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}} \bigg[72x^{5}(z-1)^{2} - \frac{2}{3}x^{3}(6z^{2}+29z-32) - 4x^{4}(z-1)(16z-23) + x^{2}(3z-4) \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{M_{V}^{2}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}} \bigg[-72x^{5}(z-1)^{2} + 4x^{4}(z-1)(32z-39) + \frac{2}{3}x^{3}(152-227z+78z^{2}) + x^{2}(24-19z) - 4x \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{M_{X_{1}}^{2}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}} \bigg[32x^{4}(1-z) + 2x^{3}(z-6) + 3x^{2} \bigg] + 64x^{4}(z-1) + 4x^{3}(20-9z) - 6x^{2}(3+4z) + 12x \\ &+ \frac{M_{X_{1}}^{2}}{M_{X_{1}}^{2}} \bigg[32x^{4}(1-z) + 2x^{3}(29z-34) - 3x^{2}(8z-13) - 4x \bigg]. \end{split}$$

In terms of the LOOPTOOLS functions, the vector loop contribution to $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$ is given by

$$A_{ZWW}^{H_5^+W\gamma} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{2\pi v} \sin\theta_H M_W M_Z \cot\theta_W \bigg[(12C_{12} + 12C_{22} + 12C_2 + 6C_0) + \frac{s_W^2}{c_W^2} (C_{12} + C_{22} + 2C_1 + 3C_2 + 2C_0) \\ + \frac{m_5^2}{M_W^2} (C_{12} + C_{22} + C_2) \bigg] (k^2, q^2, m_5^2; M_Z^2, M_W^2, M_W^2),$$
(28)

where $k^2 = M_W^2$ and $q^2 = 0$ are the final-state particles' invariant masses. In this case we have computed the specific diagrams appearing in $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$ rather than a more generic case because we had to use the relations among the gauge, Goldstone, and ghost couplings to simplify the result of the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge calculation. We note also that these simplifications make use of the final-state W boson mass, so that this result is good for on-shell decays only.

III. SCALAR DECAYS TO $V\gamma$ IN THE GM MODEL

With the loop functions in hand, we now assemble all the contributions to compute the amplitudes for decays of the neutral scalars in the GM model into $\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ and the singly-charged scalars into $W\gamma$.

A. Decays to two photons

In the GM model, the neutral scalars h, H, H_3^0 , and H_5^0 can decay to two photons through the usual loop-induced processes. Electromagnetic gauge invariance ensures that only a single particle runs around the loop in each diagram, so that the decay amplitudes S and \tilde{S} can be expressed in terms of the familiar functions given, e.g., in Ref. [6]. The contributing particles in the loop are summarized in Table I. Note that h, H, and H_5^0 are *CP*-even and hence decay via the form factor S, while H_3^0 is *CP*-odd and decays via the form factor \tilde{S} . The *CP*-odd form factor receives contributions only from fermions in the loop. The state H_5^0 is fermiophobic and hence the fermion loop does not contribute to its decay.

For the *CP*-even scalars *h*, *H*, and H_5^0 , the decay is described by Eq. (2) with $\tilde{S} = 0$ and [6]³

$$S_{H_{i}\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{2\pi v} \bigg[\beta_W^{H_i} F_1(\tau_W) + \sum_f N_{cf} Q_f^2 \beta_f^{H_i} F_{1/2}(\tau_f) + \sum_s \beta_s^{H_i} Q_s^2 F_0(\tau_s) \bigg],$$
(29)

where $N_{cf} = 3$ for quarks and 1 for leptons, Q_j is the electric charge of particle *j* in units of *e*, and the sums run over all fermions and scalars that can propagate in the loop for the parent scalar H_i . In practice the charged scalars are $s = \{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$ and we keep only the top quark contribution to the fermion loop, f = t. The coupling coefficients $\beta_i^{H_i}$ are defined as,

$$\beta_{W}^{H_{i}} = \frac{C_{H_{i}W^{+}W^{-}}e^{2}}{gM_{W}}, \qquad \beta_{f}^{H_{i}} = -\frac{C_{H_{i}f\bar{f}}v}{m_{f}}, \qquad \beta_{s}^{H_{i}} = \frac{C_{H_{i}ss^{*}}v}{2m_{s}^{2}},$$
(30)

for a propagating *W* boson, fermion *f*, and scalar *s*, respectively. The couplings C_{ijk} are given in Appendix B. In the case of the *W* boson and fermion loops, these factors $\beta_{W,f}^{H_i}$ are equal to the usual ratios $\kappa_{W,f}^{H_i}$ of the scalar coupling to *WW* or $f\bar{f}$ normalized to the corresponding SM Higgs coupling as described in Ref. [65]. Note that $\beta_f^{H_5^0} = 0$ because the H_5 states are fermiophobic.

TABLE I. Particles in the loop that contribute to the decay $H_i \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. For the fermion contributions we include only the dominant top quark contribution.

$\overline{H_i}$	Formfactor	V	f	S
h	S	W^+	t	$\{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$
Η	S	W^+	t	$\{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$
H_{5}^{0}	S	W^+	N/A	$\{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$
H_3^{0}	$ ilde{S}$	N/A	t	N/A

The loop factors are given in terms of the usual functions for particles of spin 0, 1/2 and 1 [6],

$$F_{1}(\tau_{W}) = 2 + 3\tau_{W} + 3\tau_{W}(2 - \tau_{W})f(\tau_{W}),$$

$$F_{1/2}(\tau_{f}) = -2\tau_{f}[1 + (1 - \tau_{f})f(\tau_{f})],$$

$$F_{0}(\tau_{s}) = \tau_{s}[1 - \tau_{s}f(\tau_{s})],$$
(31)

where $\tau_j = 4m_j^2/m_{H_i}^2$ and

$$f(\tau) = \begin{cases} \left[\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau}}\right)\right]^2 & \text{if } \tau \ge 1, \\ -\frac{1}{4}\left[\log\left(\frac{\eta_+}{\eta_-}\right) - i\pi\right]^2 & \text{if } \tau < 1, \end{cases}$$
(32)

with $\eta_{\pm} = 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \tau}$.

For the *CP*-odd scalar H_3^0 , the decay is described by Eq. (2) with S = 0 and [6]

$$\tilde{S}_{H_{377}^{0}} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{2\pi v} \left[\sum_{f} N_{cf} Q_{f}^{2} \beta_{f}^{H_{3}^{0}} F_{1/2}^{A}(\tau_{f}) \right], \qquad (33)$$

where $F_{1/2}^A = -2\tau_f f(\tau_f)$ and $\beta_f^{H_3^o}$ is defined as in Eq. (30). Again we will include only the top quark in the loop, f = t.

B. Decays to $Z\gamma$

The neutral scalars h, H, H_3^0 , and H_5^0 can also decay to $Z\gamma$ through a loop. For this decay, loops involving particles with two different masses can appear, because the Z boson (unlike the photon) can couple to two different-mass particles. These new diagrams arise only in the decay of the custodial-fiveplet scalar $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$, because custodial symmetry is enough to forbid them in the decays of the custodial-singlet scalars h and H, and the *CP*-odd scalar $H_3^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$ decay receives contributions only from loops of fermions, whose couplings to the Z boson are flavor-diagonal.

As before, the *CP*-even scalars h, H, and H_5^0 decay via the form factor S, while the *CP*-odd scalar H_3^0 decays via the form factor \tilde{S} . The state H_5^0 is fermiophobic and hence the fermion loop does not contribute to its decay. The contributing particles in the loop are summarized in Table II.

³Note that $1/2\pi v = g/4\pi M_W$.

TABLE II. Particles in the loop that contribute to the decay $H_i \rightarrow Z\gamma$. For the fermion contributions we include only the dominant top quark contribution. The $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$ decay receives contributions from vector-scalar-scalar diagrams as computed in Sec. II C and from scalar-vector-vector diagrams as computed in Sec. II D.

$\overline{H_i}$	Formfactor	V	f	S	Xss	sXX
h	S	W^+	t	$\{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$	N/A	N/A
Η	S	W^+	t	$\{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$	N/A	N/A
H_{5}^{0}	S	W^+	N/A	$\{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$	$\{W^+H_5^+H_5^+, W^-H_5^-H_5^-\}$	$\{H_5^+W^+W^+, H_5^-W^-W^-\}$
H_{3}^{0}	$ ilde{S}$	N/A	t	N/A	N/A	N/A

For the custodial-singlet *CP*-even scalars *h* and *H*, the decay is described by Eq. (2) with $\tilde{S} = 0$ and

$$S_{H_iZ\gamma} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{2\pi v} \left[\beta_W^{H_i} A_W^{H_iZ\gamma} + \sum_f \beta_f^{H_i} A_f^{H_iZ\gamma} + \sum_s \beta_s^{H_i} A_s^{H_iZ\gamma} \right],$$
(34)

where the sums run over all fermions and scalars that can propagate in the loop for the parent scalar H_i . In practice the contributing scalars are $s = \{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$ and we keep only the top quark contribution to the fermion loop, f = t. The coupling coefficients $\beta_j^{H_i}$ are the same as in Eq. (30). The loop factors are given as usual by [6]⁴

$$A_{W}^{H_{i}Z\gamma} = -\frac{c_{W}}{s_{W}} \left\{ \left[8 - \frac{16}{\lambda_{W}} \right] I_{2}(\tau_{W}, \lambda_{W}) + \left[\frac{4}{\lambda_{W}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\tau_{W}} \right) - \left(6 + \frac{4}{\tau_{W}} \right) \right] I_{1}(\tau_{W}, \lambda_{W}) \right\}, \quad (35)$$

$$A_{f}^{H_{i}Z\gamma} = N_{cf} \frac{-2Q_{f}(T_{f}^{3L} - 2Q_{f}s_{W}^{2})}{s_{W}c_{W}} [I_{1}(\tau_{f}, \lambda_{f}) - I_{2}(\tau_{f}, \lambda_{f})],$$
(36)

$$A_s^{H_i Z \gamma} = C_{Zss^*} Q_s I_1(\tau_s, \lambda_s), \tag{37}$$

where T_f^{3L} is the third component of isospin of the left-handed fermion f ($T_f^{3L}=1/2$ for the top quark), $\tau_j=4m_j^2/m_{H_i}^2$, $\lambda_j=4m_j^2/M_Z^2$, and the loop functions are

$$I_{1}(a,b) = \frac{ab}{2(a-b)} + \frac{a^{2}b^{2}}{2(a-b)^{2}}[f(a) - f(b)] + \frac{a^{2}b}{(a-b)^{2}}[g(a) - g(b)],$$
(38)

$$I_2(a,b) = -\frac{ab}{2(a-b)} [f(a) - f(b)].$$
(39)

The function $f(\tau)$ was given in Eq. (32), and

$$g(\tau) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\tau - 1} \sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau}}\right) & \text{if } \tau \ge 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \tau} \left[\log\left(\frac{\eta_+}{\eta_-}\right) - i\pi\right] & \text{if } \tau < 1, \end{cases}$$
(40)

with η_{\pm} defined as for $f(\tau)$. The couplings C_{Zss^*} are given in Appendix B.

For the custodial-fiveplet *CP*-even scalar H_5^0 , the decay is described by Eq. (2) with $\tilde{S} = 0$ and

$$S_{H_{5}^{0}Z\gamma} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{2\pi v} \left[\beta_{W}^{H_{5}^{0}} A_{W}^{H_{5}^{0}Z\gamma} + \sum_{s} \beta_{s}^{H_{5}^{0}} A_{s}^{H_{5}^{0}Z\gamma} \right] + A_{W^{+}H_{5}^{+}H_{5}^{+}}^{H_{5}^{0}Z\gamma} + A_{W^{-}H_{5}^{-}H_{5}^{-}}^{H_{5}^{0}Z\gamma} + A_{H_{5}^{+}W^{+}W^{+}}^{H_{5}^{0}Z\gamma} + A_{H_{5}^{-}W^{-}W^{-}}^{H_{5}^{0}Z\gamma},$$
(41)

where the sum over scalars runs over $s = \{H_3^+, H_5^+, H_5^{++}\}$ as before and $\beta_{W,s}^{H_5^0}$ are defined as in Eq. (30). The novel contributions are the last four terms, which come from the vector-scalar-scalar loop (Sec. II C) and the scalar-vectorvector loop (Sec. II D) involving a *W* boson and an H_5^{\pm} . Our conventions for these diagrams are such that the two directions of electric charge flow must be included explicitly, but this is simplified by the fact that $A_{W^+H_5^+H_5^+}^{H_5^0Z\gamma} = A_{W^-H_5^-H_5^-}^{H_5^0Z\gamma}$ and $A_{H_5^+W^+W^+}^{H_5^0Z\gamma} = A_{H_5^-W^-W^-}^{H_5^0Z\gamma}$. There are no fermion loop contributions because H_5^0 is fermiophobic.

For the *CP*-odd scalar H_3^0 , the decay is described by Eq. (2) with S = 0 and

$$\tilde{S}_{H_3^0 Z \gamma} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{2\pi v} \left[\sum_f \beta_f^{H_3^0} \tilde{A}_f^{H_3^0 Z \gamma} \right],\tag{42}$$

where the CP-odd fermion loop function is [6]

$$\tilde{A}_{f}^{H_{i}Z\gamma} = N_{cf} \frac{-2Q_{f}(T_{f}^{3L} - 2Q_{f}s_{W}^{2})}{s_{W}c_{W}} [-I_{2}(\tau_{f}, \lambda_{f})], \quad (43)$$

with I_2 given by Eq. (39) and $\beta_f^{H_3^0}$ defined as in Eq. (30). Again we will include only the top quark in the loop, f = t.

⁴Here we have rewritten $A_W^{H_i Z \gamma}$ in a form that clearly separates the kinematic dependence of the loop diagrams on M_W and M_Z (encoded in λ_W and τ_W) from their dependence on the triple- and quartic-gauge couplings, following Appendix B of Ref. [23].

TABLE III. Particles in the loop that contribute to the decay $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$. For the fermion contribution we include only the third-generation quark loops.

Diagram Formfactor		Particles	
$f_1 f_2 f_2$	S, \tilde{S}	$tbb, \bar{b}\bar{b}\bar{t}$	
$s_1 s_2 s_2$	S	$hH_3^-H_3^-, HH_3^-H_3^-, H_5^0H_3^-H_3^-,$	
		$H_3^0 H_5^- H_5^-, H_5^{++} H_3^+ H_3^+, H_3^- H_5^{} H_5^{}$	
Xss	S	$ZH_{5}^{-}H_{5}^{-}, W^{-}H_{5}^{}H_{5}^{}$	
sXX	S	$hW^-W^-, HW^-W^-, H_5^0W^-W^-, H_5^{++}W^+W^+$	

C. Decays to $W^+\gamma$

The singly-charged scalars H_3^+ and H_5^+ of the GM model can decay to $W^+\gamma$ through a loop. These states are not *CP* eigenstates and hence their decays generically receive contributions from both *S* and \tilde{S} in Eq. (2). Indeed, both *S* and \tilde{S} contribute to $H_3^+ \to W^+\gamma$. However, because \tilde{S} is generated only by fermions in the loop, the decay of the fermiophobic scalar $H_5^+ \to W^+\gamma$ receives contributions only from *S*.

For $H_3^+ \to W^+ \gamma$, the decay is described by Eq. (2) with

$$S_{H_{3}^{+}W\gamma} = \sum_{f} A_{ff'}^{H_{3}^{+}W\gamma} + \sum_{s_{1},s_{2}} A_{s_{1}s_{2}s_{2}}^{H_{3}^{+}W\gamma} + \sum_{X,s} A_{Xss}^{H_{3}^{+}W\gamma} + \sum_{s,X} A_{sXX}^{H_{3}^{+}W\gamma},$$
(44)

$$\tilde{S}_{H_3^+W\gamma} = \sum_f \tilde{A}_{ff'}^{H_3^+W\gamma}.$$
(45)

The particles that contribute to the sums are summarized in Table III. This calculation requires the fermion diagram of Sec. II A, the scalar diagram of Sec. II B, the vector-scalar-scalar diagram of Sec. II C, and the scalar-vector-vector diagram of Sec. II D.

For $H_5^+ \to W^+ \gamma$, the decay is described by Eq. (2) with $\tilde{S} = 0$ and

$$S_{H_{5}^{+}W\gamma} = \sum_{s_{1},s_{2}} A_{s_{1}s_{2}s_{2}}^{H_{5}^{+}W\gamma} + \sum_{X,s} A_{Xss}^{H_{5}^{+}W\gamma} + \sum_{s,X} A_{sXX}^{H_{5}^{+}W\gamma} + A_{ZWW}^{H_{5}^{+}W\gamma}.$$
(46)

The particles that contribute to the sums are summarized in Table IV. This calculation requires the scalar diagram of Sec. II B, the vector-scalar-scalar diagram of Sec. II C, the scalar-vector-vector diagram of Sec. II D, and the vector diagrams of Sec. II E. Note that the scalars s_1 and s_2 that run in the loop for $A_{s_1s_2s_2}^{H_5^+W_{\gamma}}$ always have the same mass as each other due to the custodial symmetry, so that the scalar loop integral reduces in this case to the familiar Z_{γ} loop function as in Eq. (18).

TABLE IV. Particles in the loop that contribute to the decay $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$.

Diagram	Formfactor	Particles
<i>s</i> ₁ <i>s</i> ₂ <i>s</i> ₂	S	$H_3^0H_3^-H_3^-, H_5^0H_5^-H_5^-,$
		$H_5^-H_5^{}H_5^{}, H_5^{++}H_5^+H_5^+$
Xss	S	$ZH_{5}^{-}H_{5}^{-}, W^{-}H_{5}^{}H_{5}^{}$
sXX	S	$H_5^0 W^- W^-, H_5^{++} W^+ W^+$
$X_1 X_2 X_2$	S	ZW^-W^-

D. Competing decay modes

In order to compute the branching ratios for the loopinduced decays, we need the partial widths for all competing decay modes of the neutral and singly-charged scalars. For this we use the decay partial width calculations for the scalars of the GM model as implemented in GMCALC 1.2.0 [61], which includes the following processes:

- (1) Tree-level decays to V_1V_2 , with V = W or Z, including full doubly off-shell effects;
- (2) Tree-level decays to one scalar and one vector boson, using the two-body expression when kinematically allowed and taking the vector boson offshell otherwise;
- (3) Tree-level decays to two scalars (two-body only);
- (4) Decays to gluon pairs, including partial QCD corrections at the level of Ref. [66];
- (5) Decays to fermion pairs (two-body only), including partial QCD corrections at the level of Ref. [66].

In our numerical analysis we will be most interested in H_5 masses below the VV threshold, where the loopinduced decays can obtain large branching ratios. For such H_5 masses our inclusion of the doubly off-shell effects in the competing decays $H_5^0 \rightarrow WW$, ZZ and $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+Z$ is essential. Also interesting are H_3^+ decays to $W^+\gamma$ below the threshold for $H_3^+ \rightarrow t\bar{b}$. We have not included off-shell top quark effects in this competing decay; we leave this improvement to future work.

For very light charged (neutral) scalars below the W(Z)boson mass, off-shell loop-induced decays to $W^*\gamma$ ($Z^*\gamma$) can become relevant. These could in principle be implemented by taking the W(Z) boson off shell with a Breit-Wigner distribution as in Ref. [67]. However, this approach neglects nonresonant box diagram contributions to the full $H_i \rightarrow f\bar{f}\gamma$ process (so-called Dalitz decays [68–70]). Furthermore, our result for the vector loop contribution to $H_5^+ \to W^+ \gamma$ in terms of the LOOPTOOLS functions is valid for an on-shell final-state W boson only. For these reasons, in our numerical implementation we compute the scalar decays to $W\gamma$ ($Z\gamma$) as strictly two-body decays. This will result in a counterintuitive resurgence of the off-shell $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+ Z$ branching ratio for $m_5 < M_W$, when the two-body $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$ decay is forbidden in our calculation. Our numerical results for H_5^+ decay branching ratios are therefore not to be trusted for $m_5 < M_W$. For



FIG. 2. Left: BR($H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) multiplied by the square of the H_5^0ZZ coupling as a function of m_5 , showing the region excluded by a LEP search for $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ with $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ (blue line). Red points are excluded by the LEP search and black points are allowed. All other experimental constraints are satisfied. Right: Scan points in the m_5-s_H plane. All points shown satisfy the theory constraints and the lower bounds m_5 , $m_3 \ge 76$ GeV. Cyan points are excluded by $b \rightarrow s\gamma$, green points by the ATLAS like-sign WWjj bound, and red points by the LEP constraint. Black points are allowed.

these masses, one can rely instead on searches for $H_5^{++} \rightarrow W^+W^+$, for which there is no competing decay, and for $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, which will dominate over any off-shell $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z^*\gamma$ contribution. In our numerical analysis in the next section we consider only H_5^+ masses above M_W and H_5^0 masses above M_Z .

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we study the branching ratios of the scalar decays to $\gamma\gamma$, $Z\gamma$, and $W\gamma$ in the GM model. We set $m_h = 125$ GeV and scan over the full allowed parameter space of the model using a modified version of GMCALC 1.2.0 [61] into which we have implemented the new one-loop decays.

GMCALC 1.2.0 lets us impose the theoretical constraints from perturbative unitarity of the quartic couplings in the Higgs potential and the stability of the correct electroweak vacuum [23], as well as indirect constraints from $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ [27]. We also impose an upper bound on $\sin \theta_H$ as a function of m_5 determined in Ref. [25] from an ATLAS measurement of the like-sign WW cross section in vector boson fusion at the 8 TeV LHC [71], which would be increased by H_5^{++} production.⁵ Finally we require that m_3 , $m_5 \ge 76$ GeV; the lower bound on m_5 was found in Ref. [37] based on an ATLAS search for anomalous like-sign dimuon production at 8 TeV [72,73], and the lower bound on m_3 comes from the LEP search for charged Higgs pair production in the Type I two Higgs doublet model [74], where we require $m_3 \leq m_5$ to prevent off-shell decays $H_3^+ \to H_5^+ Z, H_5^0 W^+$. In our scans we require 76 GeV $\leq m_5 \leq 200$ GeV and scan all other parameters over their allowed ranges. The theoretical constraints force $m_3 \lesssim 600$ GeV in these scans.

Our new result for $\Gamma(H_5^0 \to Z\gamma)$ allows us to make an accurate calculation of the branching ratio BR $(H_5^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$ for $m_5 < 2M_W$, where the $Z\gamma$ decay can contribute nonnegligibly to the H_5^0 total width. We now use this to apply a new constraint on the GM model from a LEP search for $e^+e^- \to ZH_5^0$ with $H_5^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ [52]. We take the numerical exclusion limit from HIGGSBOUNDS 4.2.0 [75]. The exclusion is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2 as a limit on $(\kappa_Z^{H_5^0})^2 \times BR(H_5^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$ as a function of m_5 , where $\kappa_Z^{H_5^0} =$ $2 \sin \theta_H / \sqrt{3}$ is the $H_5^0 ZZ$ coupling normalized to that of the SM Higgs boson. The points above the blue curve are excluded, and will be colored red in all the plots in this section. The black points are allowed by all constraints considered in this section.

The effect of the LEP $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ constraint on the GM model parameter space can be better understood by studying the m_5 -sin θ_H plane, as shown in the right panel of Fig. 2. To illustrate the effects of the other experimental constraints on the model, we show the points excluded by $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ in cyan and the points excluded by the ATLAS likesign WW cross section in green. Again the red points are excluded by the LEP $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ constraint and the black points are allowed. We see that LEP excludes most of the parameter space for $m_5 \lesssim 110$ GeV, except for points at low sin θ_H for which the $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH_5^0$ cross section is suppressed and a smattering of points at higher sin θ_H for which BR $(H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is suppressed due to cancellations among the loop amplitudes.

In Fig. 3 we show the branching ratios of $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (left panel) and $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z \gamma$ (right panel) as a function of m_5 . The black points are allowed. We see that BR $(H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ can reach several tens of percent for $m_5 \lesssim 130$ GeV, and be

⁵Other LHC searches for vector boson fusion production of H_5^{++} [35] or H_5^{+} [38,39] consider only masses above 200 GeV.



FIG. 3. Branching ratios of H_5^0 to $\gamma\gamma$ (left) and $Z\gamma$ (right) as a function of its mass m_5 . Red points are excluded by the LEP search for $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$, $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. Black points are allowed by all constraints.



FIG. 4. Comparison of a "partial" calculation of $\Gamma(H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma)$ including only the SM-like diagrams to the full calculation. Red points are excluded by the LEP search for $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$, $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. Black points are allowed by all constraints.

above 1% for a large fraction of the parameter space with $m_5 \leq 2M_W$. Similarly, BR $(H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma)$ can reach several percent for $m_5 \sim 110-150$ GeV, but never surpasses 10%. The rapid decline of BR $(H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma)$ for $m_5 \leq 110$ GeV is due to the kinematic suppression from the on-shell *Z* boson.

In Fig. 4 we study the effect of the new vector-scalarscalar and scalar-vector-vector contributions to $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$. In this figure we plot a "partial" calculation of $\Gamma(H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma)$, obtained by computing only the usual W and scalar loop diagrams for which standard expressions are available [6], normalized to the full calculation of Eq. (41). Over most of the parameter space, neglecting the new vector-scalar-scalar and scalar-vector-vector loop contributions would lead to a result for $\Gamma(H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma)$ about a factor of two smaller than that of the full calculation, except at parameter points where an accidental cancellation among loop amplitudes occurs in either the "partial" or the full result.

In Fig. 5 we plot the branching ratios for $H_5^+ \to W^+ \gamma$ (left) and $H_3^+ \to W^+ \gamma$ (right) as a function of m_5 and m_3 ,



FIG. 5. Branching ratios of $H_5^+ \to W^+ \gamma$ as a function of m_5 (left) and of $H_3^+ \to W^+ \gamma$ as a function of m_3 (right). Red points are excluded by the LEP search for $e^+e^- \to ZH$, $H \to \gamma\gamma$. Black points are allowed by all constraints.

respectively. The black points are allowed. We see that $BR(H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma)$ can reach a few tens of percent for $m_5 \lesssim 130$ GeV, and be above 1% for a large fraction of the parameter space with $m_5 \lesssim 2M_W$. $BR(H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma)$ is typically smaller due to competition with decays to fermion pairs, though it can reach tens of percent for select parameter points. This happens because $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$ receives contributions from scalar loop diagrams (see Table III), which can remain unsuppressed at small $\sin \theta_H$ when the tree-level decays of H_3^+ into fermion pairs are suppressed.

Finally we comment on the decays of H_3^0 . At low masses, the decays of this state are dominated by $f\bar{f}$ and gg, as well as decays to Zh, ZH, ZH_5^0 , and/or $W^{\pm}H_5^{\mp}$ when not too kinematically suppressed. Because H_3^0 is *CP*-odd, its loopinduced decays to $\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ receive contributions only from fermions in the loop. Therefore the partial widths for these loop-induced decays, as well as the loop-induced decay to gg and the tree-level decay to $f\bar{f}$, all scale with the same $H_3^0\bar{f}f$ coupling modification factor $\tan^2\theta_H$. None of these decays of H_3^0 involve the new one-loop diagrams computed in this paper, and they are already implemented in GMCALC 1.2.0.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we evaluated the one-loop contributions to $H_i \rightarrow V\gamma$ from "heterogeneous" loop diagrams involving particles with two different masses propagating in the loop. These are necessary for a full leading-order calculation of the decay widths of $H_3^+ \to W^+\gamma$, $H_5^+ \to W^+\gamma$, and $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$ in the GM model. The novel results presented here are for (1) the scalar loop diagram with $m_{H_i} \neq m_{s_1} \neq m_{s_2}$, which contributes to $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$; (2) the vector-scalar-scalar loop diagram, which contributes to $H_5^0 \to Z\gamma$ and $H_5^+ \to W^+\gamma$; (3) the scalar-vectorvector loop diagram with $M_V \neq M_{X_2}$, which contributes to $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$; and (4) the vector loop diagram which contributes to $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$. We gave the results for these diagrams in terms of the LOOPTOOLS functions for ease of numerical implementation. We also recalculated the heterogeneous loop diagrams previously computed in Ref. [56] in order to give expressions for them in terms of the LOOPTOOLS functions.

Using these results we performed numerical scans over the theoretically and experimentally allowed parameter space of the GM model in order to study the behavior of the $H_i \rightarrow V\gamma$ branching ratios. We showed that a LEP search for $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH_5^0$ with $H_5^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ strongly constrains the GM model parameter space for $m_5 \lesssim 110$ GeV.

Our results for the loop-induced $H_i \rightarrow V\gamma$ decays will be implemented into GMCALC 1.3.0 and higher, which will allow the experimental searches for H_5^0 and H_5^+ at the LHC to be reliably extended below the VV threshold.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

C. D. was a Durham International Junior Research Fellow and has been supported in part by the Research Executive Agency of the European Union under Grant No. PITN-GA-2012-315877 (MC-Net). K. H. and H. E. L. were supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. K. H. was also supported by the Government of Ontario through an Ontario Graduate Scholarship. H. E. L. acknowledges additional support from the Grant H2020-MSCA-RISE-2014 No. 645722 (NonMinimalHiggs) and thanks the CERN Theory Division for hospitality during part of this work.

APPENDIX A: THE GEORGI-MACHACEK MODEL

The scalar sector of the GM model [2,3] consists of the usual complex doublet $(\phi^+, \phi^0)^T$ with hypercharge⁶ Y = 1, a real triplet $(\xi^+, \xi^0, -\xi^{+*})^T$ with Y = 0, and a complex triplet $(\chi^{++}, \chi^+, \chi^0)^T$ with Y = 2. The doublet is responsible for the fermion masses as in the SM. Custodial symmetry is preserved at tree level by imposing a global $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry on the scalar potential. In order to make this symmetry explicit, we write the doublet in the form of a bidoublet Φ and combine the triplets to form a bitriplet X:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{0*} & \phi^+ \\ -\phi^{+*} & \phi^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{0*} & \xi^+ & \chi^{++} \\ -\chi^{+*} & \xi^0 & \chi^+ \\ \chi^{++*} & -\xi^{+*} & \chi^0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A1)

The vevs are defined by $\langle \Phi \rangle = \frac{v_{\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} I_{2\times 2}$ and $\langle X \rangle = v_{\chi} I_{3\times 3}$, where *I* is the unit matrix and the *W* and *Z* boson masses constrain

$$v_{\phi}^2 + 8v_{\chi}^2 \equiv v^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}G_F} \approx (246 \text{ GeV})^2.$$
 (A2)

The most general gauge-invariant scalar potential involving these fields that conserves custodial SU(2) is given, in the conventions of Ref. [23], by⁷

$$V(\Phi, X) = \frac{\mu_2^2}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) + \frac{\mu_3^2}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger}X) + \lambda_1 [\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)]^2 + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger}X) + \lambda_3 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger}XX^{\dagger}X) + \lambda_4 [\operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger}X)]^2 - \lambda_5 \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\tau^a \Phi \tau^b) \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger}t^a X t^b) - M_1 \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\tau^a \Phi \tau^b) (UXU^{\dagger})_{ab} - M_2 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger}t^a X t^b) (UXU^{\dagger})_{ab}.$$
(A3)

⁶We use $Q = T^3 + Y/2$.

 7 A translation table to other parametrizations in the literature has been given in the appendix of Ref. [23].

Here the SU(2) generators for the doublet representation are $\tau^a = \sigma^a/2$ with σ^a being the Pauli matrices, the generators for the triplet representation are

$$t^{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad t^{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$t^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(A4)

and the matrix U, which rotates X into the Cartesian basis, is given by [8]

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A5)

The physical fields can be organized by their transformation properties under the custodial SU(2) symmetry into a fiveplet, a triplet, and two singlets. The fiveplet and triplet states are given by

$$H_{5}^{++} = \chi^{++}, \quad H_{5}^{+} = \frac{(\chi^{+} - \xi^{+})}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad H_{5}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\xi^{0} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\chi^{0,r},$$
$$H_{3}^{+} = -s_{H}\phi^{+} + c_{H}\frac{(\chi^{+} + \xi^{+})}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad H_{3}^{0} = -s_{H}\phi^{0,i} + c_{H}\chi^{0,i},$$
(A6)

where the vevs are parametrized by

$$c_H \equiv \cos \theta_H = \frac{v_\phi}{v}, \qquad s_H \equiv \sin \theta_H = \frac{2\sqrt{2}v_\chi}{v}, \quad (A7)$$

and we have decomposed the neutral fields into real and imaginary parts according to

$$\begin{split} \phi^{0} &\to \frac{v_{\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\phi^{0,r} + i\phi^{0,i}}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \chi^{0} \to v_{\chi} + \frac{\chi^{0,r} + i\chi^{0,i}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \xi^{0} &\to v_{\chi} + \xi^{0}. \end{split}$$
(A8)

The masses within each custodial multiplet are degenerate at tree level and can be written (after eliminating μ_2^2 and μ_3^2 in favor of the vevs) as⁸

⁸Note that the ratio M_1/v_{χ} can be written using the minimization condition $\partial V/\partial v_{\chi} = 0$ as

$$\frac{M_1}{v_{\chi}} = \frac{4}{v_{\phi}^2} [\mu_3^2 + (2\lambda_2 - \lambda_5)v_{\phi}^2 + 4(\lambda_3 + 3\lambda_4)v_{\chi}^2 - 6M_2v_{\chi}], \quad (A9)$$

which is finite in the limit $v_{\chi} \to 0$.

$$m_{5}^{2} = \frac{M_{1}}{4v_{\chi}}v_{\phi}^{2} + 12M_{2}v_{\chi} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{5}v_{\phi}^{2} + 8\lambda_{3}v_{\chi}^{2},$$

$$m_{3}^{2} = \frac{M_{1}}{4v_{\chi}}(v_{\phi}^{2} + 8v_{\chi}^{2}) + \frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}(v_{\phi}^{2} + 8v_{\chi}^{2}) = \left(\frac{M_{1}}{4v_{\chi}} + \frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}\right)v^{2}.$$
(A10)

Note that the custodial-fiveplet states H_5 consist entirely of the triplet fields, and hence do not couple to fermions at tree level. In contrast, the H_3 states contain a doublet admixture and hence do couple to fermions.

The two custodial-singlet mass eigenstates are given by

$$h = c_{\alpha} \phi^{0,r} - s_{\alpha} H_{1}^{0\prime}, \qquad H = s_{\alpha} \phi^{0,r} + c_{\alpha} H_{1}^{0\prime}, \quad (A11)$$

where $c_{\alpha} = \cos \alpha$, $s_{\alpha} = \sin \alpha$, and

$$H_1^{0\prime} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\xi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\chi^{0,r}.$$
 (A12)

The mixing angle and masses are given by

$$\sin 2\alpha = \frac{2\mathcal{M}_{12}^2}{m_H^2 - m_h^2}, \qquad \cos 2\alpha = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{22}^2 - \mathcal{M}_{11}^2}{m_H^2 - m_h^2},$$
$$m_{h,H}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Big[\mathcal{M}_{11}^2 + \mathcal{M}_{22}^2 \mp \sqrt{(\mathcal{M}_{11}^2 - \mathcal{M}_{22}^2)^2 + 4(\mathcal{M}_{12}^2)^2} \Big],$$
(A13)

where we choose $m_h < m_H$, and

$$\mathcal{M}_{11}^{2} = 8\lambda_{1}v_{\phi}^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{12}^{2} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}v_{\phi}[-M_{1} + 4(2\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{5})v_{\chi}],$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{22}^{2} = \frac{M_{1}v_{\phi}^{2}}{4v_{\chi}} - 6M_{2}v_{\chi} + 8(\lambda_{3} + 3\lambda_{4})v_{\chi}^{2}.$$
 (A14)

The couplings of the scalars in the GM model that we use in this paper are collected in Appendix B.

APPENDIX B: FEYNMAN RULES FOR THE GM MODEL

Here we summarize the Feynman rules for the GM model that we have used in the one-loop decay calculations. A full set of Feynman rules can be found in Ref. [23]. In what follows, all particles and momenta are incoming. For the covariant derivative we use the sign convention $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}^{a}T^{a}$.

1. Scalar couplings to fermions

The Feynman rules for the vertices involving a neutral scalar and two fermions are given as follows:

DEGRANDE, HARTLING, and LOGAN

$$\begin{split} h\bar{f}f: & -i\frac{m_f}{v}\frac{\cos\alpha}{\cos\theta_H} = iC_{h\bar{f}f}, \\ H\bar{f}f: & -i\frac{m_f}{v}\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\theta_H} = iC_{H\bar{f}f}, \\ H_3^0\bar{u}u: & \frac{m_u}{v}\tan\theta_H\gamma_5, = C_{H_3^0\bar{u}u}\gamma_5 \\ H_3^0\bar{d}d: & -\frac{m_d}{v}\tan\theta_H\gamma_5 = C_{H_3^0\bar{d}d}\gamma_5. \end{split}$$
(B1)

Here f stands for any charged fermion, u stands for any up-type quark, and d stands for any down-type quark or charged lepton.

The Feynman rules for the vertices involving a charged scalar and two fermions are given as follows, with all particles incoming:

$$H_{3}^{+}\bar{u}d: -i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}V_{ud}\tan\theta_{H}(m_{u}P_{L}-m_{d}P_{R}), H_{3}^{+*}\bar{d}u: -i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}V_{ud}^{*}\tan\theta_{H}(m_{u}P_{R}-m_{d}P_{L}).$$
(B2)

Here V_{ud} is the appropriate element of the CKM matrix and the projection operators are defined as $P_{R,L} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2$. We define the coupling coefficients C^S and C^P used in the work above according to $i(C_{H_3^+\bar{f}_1f_2}^S + C_{H_3^+\bar{f}_1f_2}^P\gamma_5)$.

The custodial fiveplet states do not couple to fermions.

2. Triple scalar couplings

The Feynman rules for the triple-scalar couplings involving incoming scalars $s_1 s_2 s_3$ are given by $-iC_{s_1 s_2 s_3}$, with all particles incoming. The ordering of the indices does not matter for these couplings. The couplings used in our calculations are given as follows:

$$C_{H_{3}^{+}H_{3}^{+*}h} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}v^{2}} \left\{ \sqrt{3}c_{\alpha} [(4\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{5})v_{\phi}^{3} + 8(8\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{5})v_{\phi}v_{\chi}^{2} + 4M_{1}v_{\phi}v_{\chi}] - s_{\alpha} [8(\lambda_{3} + 3\lambda_{4} + \lambda_{5})v_{\phi}^{2}v_{\chi} + 16(6\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{5})v_{\chi}^{3} + 4M_{1}v_{\chi}^{2} - 6M_{2}v_{\phi}^{2}] \right\}, \quad (B3)$$

$$C_{H_{3}^{+}H_{3}^{+*}H} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}v^{2}} \Big\{ \sqrt{3}s_{\alpha} [(4\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{5})v_{\phi}^{3} + 8(8\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{5})v_{\phi}v_{\chi}^{2} + 4M_{1}v_{\phi}v_{\chi}] + c_{\alpha} [8(\lambda_{3} + 3\lambda_{4} + \lambda_{5})v_{\phi}^{2}v_{\chi} + 16(6\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{5})v_{\chi}^{3} + 4M_{1}v_{\chi}^{2} - 6M_{2}v_{\phi}^{2}] \Big\}, \quad (B4)$$

$$C_{H_{5}^{+}H_{5}^{+*}h} = C_{H_{5}^{++}H_{5}^{++*}h}$$

= $c_{\alpha}[(4\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{5})v_{\phi}] - \sqrt{3}s_{\alpha}[8(\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4})v_{\chi} + 2M_{2}],$
(B5)

$$\begin{split} C_{H_{5}^{+}H_{5}^{+*}H} &= C_{H_{5}^{++}H_{5}^{+*}H} \\ &= s_{\alpha}[(4\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{5})v_{\phi}] + \sqrt{3}c_{\alpha}[8(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4})v_{\chi}+2M_{2}], \end{split} \label{eq:constraint} \end{split}$$
 (B6)

 \sim

$$C_{H_3^+ H_3^{+*} H_5^0} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{v^2}} [2(\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_5) v_{\phi}^2 v_{\chi} - 8\lambda_5 v_{\chi}^3 + 4M_1 v_{\chi}^2 + 3M_2 v_{\phi}^2],$$
(B7)

$$C_{H_{3}^{0}H_{3}^{+}H_{5}^{+*}} = -i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v^{2}} [2(\lambda_{3} - 2\lambda_{5})v_{\phi}^{2}v_{\chi} - 8\lambda_{5}v_{\chi}^{3} + 4M_{1}v_{\chi}^{2} + 3M_{2}v_{\phi}^{2}],$$
(B8)

$$C_{H_{3}^{+}H_{3}^{+}H_{5}^{++*}} = -\frac{2}{v^{2}} [2(\lambda_{3} - 2\lambda_{5})v_{\phi}^{2}v_{\chi} - 8\lambda_{5}v_{\chi}^{3} + 4M_{1}v_{\chi}^{2} + 3M_{2}v_{\phi}^{2}],$$
(B9)

$$C_{H_5^+H_5^{+*}H_5^0} = \sqrt{6}(2\lambda_3 v_{\chi} - M_2), \tag{B10}$$

$$C_{H_5^+H_5^+H_5^{++*}} = -6(2\lambda_3 v_{\chi} - M_2), \tag{B11}$$

$$C_{H_5^{++}H_5^{++*}H_5^0} = -2\sqrt{6}(2\lambda_3 v_{\chi} - M_2).$$
(B12)

3. Scalar-vector-vector couplings

The Feynman rules for the vertices involving a scalar and two gauge bosons are defined as $ie^2C_{sV_1V_2}g^{\mu\nu}$. The couplings used in our calculations are given by

$$C_{hW^+W^{+*}} = c_W^2 C_{hZZ} = -\frac{1}{6s_W^2} (8\sqrt{3}s_\alpha v_\chi - 3c_\alpha v_\phi), \quad (B13)$$

$$C_{HW^+W^{+*}} = c_W^2 C_{HZZ} = \frac{1}{6s_W^2} (8\sqrt{3}c_\alpha v_\chi + 3s_\alpha v_\phi), \quad (B14)$$

$$C_{H_5^0 W^+ W^{+*}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{s_W^2} v_{\chi}, \tag{B15}$$

$$C_{H_5^+W^{+*}Z} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{c_W s_W^2} v_{\chi},$$
(B16)

$$C_{H_5^{++}W^{+*}W^{+*}} = \frac{2}{s_W^2} v_{\chi}.$$
(B17)

4. Vector-scalar-scalar couplings

The Feynman rules for the vertices involving two scalars and a single Z boson are defined as $ieC_{Zs_1s_2}(p_1 - p_2)_{\mu}$, where p_1 (p_2) is the incoming momentum of incoming scalar s_1 (s_2). The couplings are given by

SCALAR DECAYS TO $\gamma\gamma$, $Z\gamma$, AND ...

$$C_{ZhH_3^0} = -i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{s_W c_W} \left(\sqrt{3}\frac{v_\chi}{v}c_\alpha + s_\alpha \frac{v_\phi}{v}\right), \qquad (B18)$$

$$C_{ZHH_3^0} = i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{s_W c_W} \left(c_\alpha \frac{v_\phi}{v} - \sqrt{3} \frac{v_\chi}{v} s_\alpha \right), \qquad (B19)$$

$$C_{ZH_3^0H_5^0} = i\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{s_W c_W} \frac{v_\phi}{v},$$
 (B20)

$$C_{ZH_3^+H_3^{+*}} = C_{ZH_5^+H_5^{+*}} = \frac{1}{2s_W c_W} (1 - 2s_W^2),$$
(B21)

$$C_{ZH_5^{++}H_5^{++*}} = \frac{1}{s_W c_W} (1 - 2s_W^2), \tag{B22}$$

$$C_{ZH_3^+H_5^{+*}} = -\frac{1}{2s_W c_W} \frac{v_\phi}{v}.$$
(B23)

The Feynman rules for the vertices involving two scalars and a single W^+ boson are defined as $ieC_{W^+s_1s_2}(p_1 - p_2)_{\mu}$, where again p_1 (p_2) is the incoming momentum of incoming scalar s_1 (s_2). The couplings are given by

$$C_{W^{+}hH_{3}^{+*}} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{s_{W}} \left(\sqrt{3}c_{\alpha}\frac{v_{\chi}}{v} + s_{\alpha}\frac{v_{\phi}}{v}\right), \qquad (B24)$$

$$C_{W^{+}HH_{3}^{+*}} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{s_{W}} \left(\sqrt{3}s_{\alpha} \frac{v_{\chi}}{v} - c_{\alpha} \frac{v_{\phi}}{v}\right), \qquad (B25)$$

$$C_{W^+H_3^0H_3^{+*}} = -\frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{s_W},\tag{B26}$$

$$C_{W^+H_3^{+*}H_5^0} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{s_W} \frac{v_\phi}{v},$$
(B27)

$$C_{W^{+}H_{3}^{0}H_{5}^{+*}} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{s_{W}} \frac{v_{\phi}}{v}, \qquad (B28)$$

$$C_{W^{+}H_{3}^{+}H_{5}^{++*}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{s_{W}} \frac{v_{\phi}}{v},$$
(B29)

$$C_{W^+H_5^{+*}H_5^0} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{1}{s_W},\tag{B30}$$

$$C_{W^+H_5^+H_5^{++*}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{s_W}.$$
(B31)

The couplings for the conjugate processes involving an incoming W^- are obtained using

$$C_{W^-s_1^*s_2^*} = -C_{W^+s_1s_2}^*.$$
(B32)

5. Couplings involving Goldstone bosons

Our calculation of the vector-scalar-scalar, scalar-vectorvector, and vector loop diagrams in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge require the calculation of diagrams involving Goldstone bosons. We collect the relevant couplings here.

The couplings of Goldstone bosons to other scalars are given by $-iC_{s_1s_2s_3}$, where the coefficients used in this paper are

$$C_{G^0H_5^+H_3^{+*}} = i\frac{v_{\phi}}{v^2}(m_5^2 - m_3^2), \tag{B33}$$

$$C_{G^{+}H_{3}^{+*}H_{5}^{0}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{v_{\phi}}{v^{2}} (m_{5}^{2} - m_{3}^{2}),$$
(B34)

$$C_{G^{+}H_{5}^{+*}H_{3}^{0}} = -i\frac{v_{\phi}}{v^{2}}(m_{5}^{2} - m_{3}^{2}), \qquad (B35)$$

$$C_{G^{+}H_{3}^{+}H_{5}^{++*}} = -\sqrt{2}\frac{v_{\phi}}{v^{2}}(m_{5}^{2} - m_{3}^{2}), \qquad (B36)$$

$$C_{G^0H_5^+G^{+*}} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}v^2} (32\lambda_3 v_{\chi}^3 + 6\lambda_5 v_{\phi}^2 v_{\chi} + M_1 v_{\phi}^2 + 48M_2 v_{\chi}^2),$$
(B37)

$$C_{G^+G^{+*}H_5^0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}v^2} (32\lambda_3 v_{\chi}^3 + 6\lambda_5 v_{\phi}^2 v_{\chi} + M_1 v_{\phi}^2 + 48M_2 v_{\chi}^2),$$
(B38)

$$C_{G^+G^+H_5^{++*}} = -\frac{1}{v^2} (32\lambda_3 v_{\chi}^3 + 6\lambda_5 v_{\phi}^2 v_{\chi} + M_1 v_{\phi}^2 + 48M_2 v_{\chi}^2),$$
(B39)

$$C_{G^{+}H_{3}^{+*}h} = -\frac{c_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}v^{2}} [2v_{\phi}^{2}v_{\chi}(16\lambda_{1} + 3\lambda_{5} - 8\lambda_{2}) - 16\lambda_{5}v_{\chi}^{3} + M_{1}(v_{\phi}^{2} - 8v_{\chi}^{2})] + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{v_{\phi}s_{\alpha}}{v^{2}} [4v_{\chi}^{2}(6\lambda_{2} - 4\lambda_{3} - 12\lambda_{4} - \lambda_{5}) + v_{\chi}(M_{1} + 12M_{2}) + \lambda_{5}v_{\phi}^{2}], \qquad (B40)$$

$$\begin{split} C_{G^{+}H_{3}^{+*}H} &= -\frac{s_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}v^{2}} [2v_{\phi}^{2}v_{\chi}(16\lambda_{1}+3\lambda_{5}-8\lambda_{2})-16\lambda_{5}v_{\chi}^{3} \\ &+ M_{1}(v_{\phi}^{2}-8v_{\chi}^{2})] \\ &- \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{v_{\phi}c_{\alpha}}{v^{2}} [4v_{\chi}^{2}(6\lambda_{2}-4\lambda_{3}-12\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{5}) \\ &+ v_{\chi}(M_{1}+12M_{2})+\lambda_{5}v_{\phi}^{2}]. \end{split}$$
(B41)

The couplings of a pair of Goldstone bosons to the Z or W are given by $ieC_{Vs_1s_2}(p_1 - p_2)_{\mu}$, where $p_1(p_2)$ is the incoming momentum of incoming scalar $s_1(s_2)$ and the coefficients are

$$C_{ZG^+G^{+*}} = \frac{1}{2s_W c_W} (1 - 2s_W^2), \qquad (B42)$$

$$C_{W^-G^0G^+} = \frac{i}{2s_W}.$$
 (B43)

The couplings of a Goldstone boson and a physical scalar to a single vector boson are given by $ieC_{Vs_1s_2}(p_1 - p_2)_{\mu}$, where $p_1(p_2)$ is the incoming momentum of incoming scalar $s_1(s_2)$. The coefficients used here are given by

$$C_{ZH_5^0G^0} = -2i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{1}{s_W c_W}\frac{v_{\chi}}{v},$$
(B44)

$$C_{ZH_5^+G^{+*}} = C_{ZH_5^{+*}G^+} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{s_W c_W} \frac{v_{\chi}}{v},$$
 (B45)

$$C_{W^+H^0_5G^{+*}} = -C_{W^-H^0_5G^+} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{s_W} \frac{v_{\chi}}{v}, \qquad (B46)$$

$$C_{W^+G^0H_5^{+*}} = C_{W^-G^0H_5^+} = \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{s_W}\frac{v_{\chi}}{v},$$
(B47)

$$C_{W^+G^+H_5^{++*}} = -C_{W^-G^{+*}H_5^{++}} = \frac{2}{s_W} \frac{v_{\chi}}{v},$$
(B48)

$$C_{W^+hG^{+*}} = -C_{W^-hG^+} = -\frac{1}{6s_W v} (8\sqrt{3}s_\alpha v_\chi - 3c_\alpha v_\phi),$$
(B49)

$$C_{W^{+}HG^{+*}} = -C_{W^{-}HG^{+}} = \frac{1}{6s_{W}v} (8\sqrt{3}c_{\alpha}v_{\chi} + 3s_{\alpha}v_{\phi}).$$
(B50)

The couplings of a Goldstone boson to two vector bosons are given by $ie^2C_{sV_1V_2}g_{\mu\nu}$, with

$$C_{G^+W^-\gamma} = C_{G^-W^+\gamma} = \frac{v}{2s_W},$$
 (B51)

$$C_{G^+W^-Z} = C_{G^-W^+Z} = -\frac{v}{2c_W}.$$
 (B52)

6. Couplings involving ghosts

Our calculation of the vector loop diagrams in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge requires the calculation of diagrams involving ghosts. This enters only in the decay $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$. The relevant term in the ghost Lagrangian involving an incoming H_5^+ , incoming ghost c^- and outgoing ghost c^Z is

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\xi v_{\chi} \frac{e^2}{\sqrt{2}s_W^2 c_W} \bar{c}^Z H_5^+ c^-, \tag{B53}$$

where $\xi = 1$ is the gauge-fixing parameter for the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge. The resulting Feynman rule for the Higgsghost-ghost vertex is $ie^2C_{H:c^-c^Z}$ with

$$C_{H_5^+c^-c^Z} = -\frac{v_{\chi}}{\sqrt{2}s_W^2c_W}.$$
 (B54)

In our conventions, the Feynman rules for a pair of ghosts coupling to a vector boson are

$$\bar{c}^{-}(-k)c^{Z}W_{\nu}^{-}\colon igc_{W}k_{\nu}, \tag{B55}$$

$$\bar{c}^{-}(-k)c^{-}\gamma_{\nu}: -iek_{\nu}, \qquad (B56)$$

where -k is the incoming momentum of the incoming antighost; i.e., k is the outgoing momentum of the outgoing ghost.

APPENDIX C: LOOPTOOLS CONVENTIONS

We summarize here the conventions used by the LOOPTOOLS package [60] for the one-loop integrals that we have used in this paper. The three-point integral for a diagram with incoming external momenta p_1 , p_2 , and $p_{12} = -p_1 - p_2$ and internal masses m_1 , m_2 , and m_3 is defined as

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\iota}{16\pi^2} C_{0,\mu,\mu\nu}(p_1^2, p_2^2, p_{12}^2; m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2) \\ &= \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1, q_\mu, q_\mu q_\nu}{[q^2 - m_1^2][(q + k_1)^2 - m_2^2][(q + k_2)^2 - m_3^2]}, \end{split}$$
(C1)

where $k_1 = p_1$ and $k_2 = p_1 + p_2 = -p_{12}$.

The vector and tensor three-point integrals are decomposed into scalar coefficients according to

$$C_{\mu} = k_{1\mu}C_1 + k_{2\mu}C_2, \tag{C2}$$

$$C_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}C_{00} + k_{1\mu}k_{1\nu}C_{11} + k_{1\mu}k_{2\nu}C_{12} + k_{2\mu}k_{1\nu}C_{21} + k_{2\mu}k_{2\nu}C_{22},$$
(C3)

where $C_{21} = C_{12}$ due to the symmetry of $C_{\mu\nu}$ under permutation of Lorentz indices. For compactness, when a sum of three-point integrals with a common set of arguments appears, we have specified the arguments only once at the end of the sum.

APPENDIX D: DETAILS OF CALCULATIONS IN 'T HOOFT-FEYNMAN GAUGE

In this appendix we give some details of the calculations in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge of processes that involve Goldstone bosons or ghosts. This is relevant for the vector-scalar-scalar, scalar-vector-vector, and vector loop diagrams.

1. Vector-scalar-scalar loop diagram

In 't Hooft-Feynman gauge there are two diagrams that contribute to this amplitude: one as shown by the third diagram of Fig. 1 and one with the vector boson X_1 replaced by the corresponding Goldstone boson G. The calculation of this second diagram is identical to the calculation of the scalar loop diagram [see Eq. (17)]. We write the contribution to the amplitude from these two diagrams as

$$A_{Xss}^{H_i V \gamma} = S_{Xss} + S_{Gss}, \tag{D1}$$

where

$$S_{Xss} = -\alpha_{\rm em}^2 Q_{s_2} C_{X_1^* H_i s_2} C_{s_2^* X_1 V^*} [-2(C_{12} + C_{22} + 2C_1 + 3C_2 + 2C_0)](k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; M_{X_1}^2, m_{s_2}^2, m_{s_2}^2), \quad (D2)$$

$$S_{Gss} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}Q_{s_2}}{\pi} C_{H_iG^*s_2} C_{V^*Gs_2^*} [C_{12} + C_{22} + C_2] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; M_{X_1}^2, m_{s_2}^2, m_{s_2}^2).$$
(D3)

To combine these into a single expression, we examine the Goldstone boson couplings for the actual combinations of parent and internal particles in the decays of interest. The scalar s_2 is always an H_5 of nonzero electric charge, and the couplings of two H_5 states to a Goldstone boson are zero by custodial symmetry; thus the S_{Gss} term contributes only to $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$, not to $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$ or $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$. Substituting the appropriate Goldstone boson couplings from Appendix B 5, $A_{Xss}^{H_iV\gamma}$ reduces to the expression given in Eq. (21). Note that the second term in the square brackets in Eq. (21) contains a factor of $(m_{H_i}^2 - m_{s_2}^2)$, which is zero when H_i and s_2 are both H_5 states.

2. Scalar-vector-vector loop diagram

In 't Hooft-Feynman gauge there are four diagrams that contribute to this amplitude: one as shown by the fourth diagram of Fig. 1, two in which one of the gauge bosons X_2 in the loop has been replaced by the corresponding Goldstone boson *G*, and one in which both gauge bosons X_2 in the loop are replaced by Goldstone bosons *G*. The calculation of the last of these diagrams is identical to that of the scalar loop diagram [see Eq. (17)]. We write the contribution to the amplitude from these four diagrams as

$$A_{sXX}^{H_iV\gamma} = S_{sXX} + S_{sGX} + S_{sXG} + S_{sGG}, \qquad (D4)$$

where the subscripts denote the particles in the loop proceeding clockwise from the H_i vertex. The diagram corresponding to S_{sXG} does not contribute to the $k^{\mu}q^{\nu}$ term in the amplitude, so $S_{sXG} = 0$. The remaining amplitudes are

$$S_{sXX} = \alpha_{\rm em}^2 Q_{X_2} C_{X_2 H_i s_1^*} C_{s_1 X_2^* V^*} [-C_{12} - C_{22} + 4C_1 + C_2] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; m_{s_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2),$$
(D5)

$$S_{sGX} = -\alpha_{\rm em}^2 C_{X_2 H_i s_1^*} C_{V^* s_1 G^*} C_{GX^* \gamma} [2C_{12} + 2C_{22} - 2C_2] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; m_{s_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2),$$
(D6)

$$S_{sGG} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em} Q_G}{\pi} C_{H_i s_1^* G} C_{V^* s_1 G^*} [C_{12} + C_{22} + C_2] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; m_{s_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2).$$
(D7)

To combine these into a single expression, we again examine the Goldstone boson couplings for the actual combinations of parent and internal particles in the decays of interest. For $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$ and $H_5^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma$, s_1 is always an H_5 state and X_2 is always a W boson (of either charge). Because the coupling of two H_5 states to a Goldstone boson is zero by custodial symmetry, S_{sGG} does not contribute to these decays. The remaining pieces are easy to combine using the relations between the Goldstone couplings and the corresponding gauge boson couplings, yielding the first line of Eq. (24) [note that the second line does not contribute for an initial-state H_5 because s_1 is also an H_5 state and hence $(m_{H_i}^2 - m_{s_1}^2) = 0$].

For $H_3^+ \rightarrow W^+ \gamma$, the situation is more complicated. s_1 can be h, H, H_5^0 , or H_5^{++} , and in all cases S_{sGG} is nonzero. For either of the H_5 states in the loop, the combination is again fairly straightforward and yields the expression in Eq. (24), with S_{sGG} giving rise to the terms in the second line. For h or H in the loop, the combination of S_{sXX} and S_{sGX} is again straightforward, yielding the first line in Eq. (24); the simplification of S_{sGG} is nonobvious because of the complicated form of the $H_3^+hG^-$ and $H_3^+HG^$ couplings, but it can be verified numerically that it also reduces to the terms in the second line of Eq. (24) for each diagram individually.

3. Vector loop diagram

In 't Hooft-Feynman gauge, the last diagram in Fig. 1 and its Goldstone boson substitutions do not contribute to the $k^{\mu}q^{\nu}$ term, so we only need to worry about the fifth diagram and its Goldstone and ghost substitutions. There are nine diagrams: one as shown by the fifth diagram in Fig. 1, three in which a single gauge boson in the loop is replaced by the corresponding Goldstone boson, three in which two of the gauge bosons in the loop are replaced by their corresponding Goldstone bosons, one in which all three gauge bosons in the loop are replaced by Goldstone bosons, and a diagram with ghosts in the loop.

We write the contribution to the amplitude from these nine diagrams as

DEGRANDE, HARTLING, and LOGAN

$$A_{X_1X_2X_2}^{H_iV\gamma} = S_{XXX} + S_{GXX} + S_{XGX} + S_{XXG} + S_{GGX} + S_{XGG} + S_{GGG} + S_{GGG} + S_{ghost},$$

$$(D8)$$

where again the subscripts denote the particles in the loop proceeding clockwise from the H_i vertex. The diagrams corresponding to S_{XGX} and S_{GXG} do not contribute to the $k^{\mu}q^{\nu}$ term in the amplitude, so they are zero. Four more of the amplitudes can be read off from the scalar loop diagram [Eq. (17)] and diagrams computed earlier in this appendix [Eqs. (D2), (D5), and (D6), respectively]:

$$S_{GGG} = -\frac{\alpha_{\rm em} Q_{G_2}}{\pi} C_{H_i G_1^* G_2} C_{V^* G_1 G_2^*} [C_{12} + C_{22} + C_2] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; M_{X_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2),$$
(D9)

$$S_{XGG} = -\alpha_{em}^2 Q_{G_2} C_{X_1^* H_i G_2} C_{G_2^* X_1 V^*} \\ \times [-2(C_{12} + C_{22} + 2C_1 + 3C_2 + 2C_0)] \\ (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; M_{X_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2),$$
(D10)

$$S_{GXX} = \alpha_{\rm em}^2 Q_{X_2} C_{X_2 H_i G_1^*} C_{G_1 X_2^* V^*} [-C_{12} - C_{22} + 4C_1 + C_2] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_2}^2; M_{X_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2),$$
(D11)

$$S_{GGX} = -\alpha_{\rm em}^2 C_{X_2 H_i G_1^*} C_{V^* G_1 G_2^*} C_{G_2 X_2^* \gamma} [2C_{12} + 2C_{22} - 2C_2] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; M_{X_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2).$$
(D12)

For the remaining diagrams, we specialize to the actual process of interest, $H_5^+ \rightarrow W^+\gamma$, with $X_1 = Z$ and

 $X_2 = W^-$. We can then use the explicit expressions for the triple-gauge and ghost vertices. We obtain,

$$S_{XXG} = \alpha_{\rm em}^2 C_{ZH_iG^{+*}} C_{G^+W^-\gamma} \frac{c_W}{s_W}$$

×[$C_{12} + C_{22} - 2C_1 + 3C_2 + 2C_0$]
($k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; M_{X_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2$), (D13)

$$S_{XXX} = -\alpha_{\rm em}^2 Q_{W^-} C_{H_i W^- Z} \frac{c_W}{s_W} [10C_{12} + 10C_{22} + C_1 + 10C_2 + 5C_0] (k^2, q^2, m_{H_i}^2; M_{X_1}^2, M_{X_2}^2, M_{X_2}^2),$$
(D14)

$$S_{\text{ghost}} = 2\alpha_{\text{em}}^2 C_{H_i c^- c^Z} \frac{c_W}{s_W} [C_{12} + C_{22} + C_2]$$

(k², q², m²_{H_i}; M²_{X₁}, M²_{X₂}, M²_{X₂). (D15)}

The last expression for S_{ghost} includes the contributions of the two ghost diagrams: one with c^Z, c^-, c^- proceeding clockwise around the loop from the H_5^+ vertex, and one with c^+, c^+, c^Z proceeding counterclockwise around the loop from the H_5^+ vertex (these are distinct because the antiparticle of the ghost c^- is \bar{c}^- , not c^+). These two diagrams give identical contributions.

Inserting explicit expressions for all the couplings and combining all the terms is then relatively straightforward, and yields the expression for $A_{ZWW}^{H_5^+W\gamma}$ given in Eq. (28).

- G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1 (2012); S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30 (2012).
- [2] H. Georgi and M. Machacek, Doubly charged Higgs bosons, Nucl. Phys. B262, 463 (1985).
- [3] M. S. Chanowitz and M. Golden, Higgs boson triplets with $M(W) = M(Z) \cos \theta_W$, Phys. Lett. **165B**, 105 (1985).
- [4] J. F. Gunion, R. Vega, and J. Wudka, Higgs triplets in the standard model, Phys. Rev. D 42, 1673 (1990).
- [5] J. F. Gunion, R. Vega, and J. Wudka, Naturalness problems for $\rho = 1$ and other large one loop effects for a standard model Higgs sector containing triplet fields, Phys. Rev. D 43, 2322 (1991).
- [6] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane, and S. Dawson, *The Higgs Hunter's Guide* (Westview, Boulder, Colorado, 2000).

- [7] H. E. Haber and H. E. Logan, Radiative corrections to the $Zb\bar{b}$ vertex and constraints on extended Higgs sectors, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 015011 (2000).
- [8] M. Aoki and S. Kanemura, Unitarity bounds in the Higgs model including triplet fields with custodial symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 77, 095009 (2008) Erratum, Phys. Rev. D 89, 059902 (2014).
- [9] S. Godfrey and K. Moats, Exploring Higgs triplet models via vector boson scattering at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 81, 075026 (2010).
- [10] I. Low and J. Lykken, Revealing the electroweak properties of a new scalar resonance, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2010) 053; I. Low, J. Lykken, and G. Shaughnessy, Have we observed the Higgs boson (imposter)?, Phys. Rev. D 86, 093012 (2012).
- [11] H. E. Logan and M.-A. Roy, Higgs couplings in a model with triplets, Phys. Rev. D 82, 115011 (2010).
- [12] A. Falkowski, S. Rychkov, and A. Urbano, What if the Higgs couplings to *W* and *Z* bosons are larger than in the Standard Model? J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2012) 073.

SCALAR DECAYS TO YY, ZY, AND ...

- [13] S. Chang, C. A. Newby, N. Raj, and C. Wanotayaroj, Revisiting theories with enhanced Higgs couplings to weak gauge bosons, Phys. Rev. D 86, 095015 (2012).
- [14] D. Carmi, A. Falkowski, E. Kuflik, T. Volansky, and J. Zupan, Higgs after the discovery: A status report, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2012) 196.
- [15] C.-W. Chiang and K. Yagyu, Testing the custodial symmetry in the Higgs sector of the Georgi-Machacek model, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2013) 026.
- [16] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, and K. Yagyu, Probing exotic Higgs sectors from the precise measurement of Higgs boson couplings, Phys. Rev. D 88, 015020 (2013).
- [17] C. Englert, E. Re, and M. Spannowsky, Triplet Higgs boson collider phenomenology after the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 87, 095014 (2013).
- [18] R. Killick, K. Kumar, and H. E. Logan, Learning what the Higgs boson is mixed with, Phys. Rev. D 88, 033015 (2013).
- [19] G. Belanger, B. Dumont, U. Ellwanger, J. F. Gunion, and S. Kraml, Global fit to Higgs signal strengths and couplings and implications for extended Higgs sectors, Phys. Rev. D 88, 075008 (2013).
- [20] C. Englert, E. Re, and M. Spannowsky, Pinning down Higgs triplets at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 88, 035024 (2013).
- [21] C.-W. Chiang, A.-L. Kuo, and K. Yagyu, Enhancements of weak gauge boson scattering processes at the CERN LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2013) 072.
- [22] A. Efrati and Y. Nir, What if $\lambda_{hhh} \neq 3m_h^2/v$, arXiv: 1401.0935.
- [23] K. Hartling, K. Kumar, and H. E. Logan, The decoupling limit in the Georgi-Machacek model, Phys. Rev. D 90, 015007 (2014).
- [24] C. W. Chiang and T. Yamada, Electroweak phase transition in Georgi-Machacek model, Phys. Lett. B 735, 295 (2014).
- [25] C. W. Chiang, S. Kanemura, and K. Yagyu, Novel constraint on the parameter space of the Georgi-Machacek model with current LHC data, Phys. Rev. D 90, 115025 (2014).
- [26] S. I. Godunov, M. I. Vysotsky, and E. V. Zhemchugov, Double Higgs production at LHC, see-saw type II and Georgi-Machacek model, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **120**, 369 (2015).
- [27] K. Hartling, K. Kumar, and H. E. Logan, Indirect constraints on the Georgi-Machacek model and implications for Higgs boson couplings, Phys. Rev. D 91, 015013 (2015).
- [28] C. W. Chiang, A. L. Kuo, and T. Yamada, Searches of exotic Higgs bosons in general mass spectra of the Georgi-Machacek model at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2016) 120.
- [29] C. W. Chiang, S. Kanemura, and K. Yagyu, Phenomenology of the Georgi-Machacek model at future electron-positron colliders, Phys. Rev. D 93, 055002 (2016).
- [30] M. A. Arroyo-Urena, G. Hernandez-Tome, and G. Tavares-Velasco, *WWV* ($V = \gamma$, *Z*) vertex in the Georgi-Machacek model, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 095006 (2016).
- [31] J. Chang, C. R. Chen, and C. W. Chiang, Higgs boson pair productions in the Georgi-Machacek model at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2017) 137.
- [32] S. Blasi, S. De Curtis, and K. Yagyu, Effects of custodial symmetry breaking in the Georgi-Machacek model at high energies, Phys. Rev. D 96, 015001 (2017).

- [33] Y. Zhang, H. Sun, X. Luo, and W. Zhang, Searching for the heavy charged custodial fiveplet Higgs boson in the Georgi-Machacek model at the International Linear Collider, Phys. Rev. D 95, 115022 (2017).
- [34] C. W. Chiang, A. L. Kuo, and K. Yagyu, Radiative corrections to Higgs couplings with weak gauge bosons in custodial multi-Higgs models, arXiv:1707.04176.
- [35] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Study of Vector Boson Scattering and Search for New Physics in Events with Two Same-Sign Leptons and Two Jets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 051801 (2015).
- [36] C. W. Chiang and K. Tsumura, Properties and searches of the exotic neutral Higgs bosons in the Georgi-Machacek model, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 113.
- [37] H. E. Logan and V. Rentala, All the generalized Georgi-Machacek models, Phys. Rev. D 92, 075011 (2015).
- [38] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for a Charged Higgs Boson Produced in the Vector-Boson Fusion Mode with Decay $H^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z$ Using *pp* Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 231801 (2015).
- [39] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Search for charged Higgs bosons produced in vector boson fusion processes and decaying into a pair of W and Z bosons using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, arXiv: 1705.02942.
- [40] S. Chang and J. G. Wacker, Little Higgs and custodial SU(2), Phys. Rev. D 69, 035002 (2004).
- [41] S. Chang, A 'Littlest Higgs' model with custodial SU(2) symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2003) 057.
- [42] L. Cort, M. Garcia, and M. Quiros, Supersymmetric custodial triplets, Phys. Rev. D 88, 075010 (2013).
- [43] M. Garcia-Pepin, S. Gori, M. Quiros, R. Vega, R. Vega-Morales, and T. T. Yu, Supersymmetric custodial Higgs triplets and the breaking of universality, Phys. Rev. D 91, 015016 (2015).
- [44] A. Delgado, M. Garcia-Pepin, and M. Quiros, GMSB with light stops, J. High Energy Phys. 8 (2015) 159.
- [45] S. El Hedri, P. J. Fox, and J. G. Wacker, Exploring the dark side of the top Yukawa, arXiv:1311.6488.
- [46] R. Campbell, S. Godfrey, H. E. Logan, and A. Poulin, Real singlet scalar dark matter extension of the Georgi-Machacek model, Phys. Rev. D 95, 016005 (2017).
- [47] P. Galison, Large weak isospin and the W mass, Nucl. Phys. B232, 26 (1984).
- [48] R. W. Robinett, Extended strongly interacting Higgs theories, Phys. Rev. D 32, 1780 (1985).
- [49] H. E. Logan, Radiative corrections to the $Zb\bar{b}$ vertex and constraints on extended Higgs sectors, arXiv:hep-ph/ 9906332.
- [50] M. Zaro and H. Logan, Recommendations for the interpretation of LHC searches for H_5^0 , H_5^{\pm} , and $H_5^{\pm\pm}$ in vector boson fusion with decays to vector boson pairs, LHCHXSWG-2015-001, available from http://cds.cern.ch/ record/2002500 (unpublished).
- [51] D. de Florian *et al.* (LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group), Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector, arXiv:1610.07922.
- [52] M. Oreglia, for the LEP Higgs Working Group, Searches for Higgs bosons decaying into photons: Combined results

from the LEP experiments, LHWG Note 2002-02, 2002, available from http://lephiggs.web.cern.ch/LEPHIGGS/papers/index.html (unpublished).

- [53] A. Delgado, M. Garcia-Pepin, M. Quiros, J. Santiago, and R. Vega-Morales, Diphoton and diboson probes of fermiophobic Higgs bosons at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2016) 042.
- [54] A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, and M. Chabab, Charged Higgs bosons decays $H^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm} (\gamma, Z)$ revisited, J. Phys. G **34**, 907 (2007).
- [55] R. Enberg, J. Rathsman, and G. Wouda, Higgs phenomenology in the Stealth Doublet Model, Phys. Rev. D 91, 095002 (2015).
- [56] V. Ilisie and A. Pich, Low-mass fermiophobic charged Higgs phenomenology in two-Higgs-doublet models, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2014) 089.
- [57] A. Djouadi, V. Driesen, W. Hollik, and A. Kraft, The Higgs photon-Z boson coupling revisited, Eur. Phys. J. C 1, 163 (1998).
- [58] H. Cai, Higgs-Z-photon Coupling from Effect of Composite Resonances, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2014) 052.
- [59] G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, One loop corrections for e^+e^- annihilation into $\mu^+\mu^-$ in the Weinberg model, Nucl. Phys. **B160**, 151 (1979).
- [60] T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria, Automated one-loop calculations in four and *D* dimensions, Comput. Phys. Commun. **118**, 153 (1999).
- [61] K. Hartling, K. Kumar, and H. E. Logan, GMCALC: a calculator for the Georgi-Machacek model, arXiv:1412.7387.
- [62] A. Pich and P. Tuzon, Yukawa alignment in the two-Higgsdoublet model, Phys. Rev. D 80, 091702 (2009).
- [63] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-toleading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079.
- [64] C. Degrande, Automatic evaluation of UV and R2 terms for beyond the Standard Model Lagrangians: A proof-ofprinciple, Comput. Phys. Commun. 197, 239 (2015).
- [65] A. David *et al.* (LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group Collaboration), LHC HXSWG interim recommendations to explore the coupling structure of a Higgs-like particle, arXiv:1209.0040.

- [66] A. Djouadi, M. Spira, and P. M. Zerwas, QCD corrections to hadronic Higgs decays, Z. Phys. C 70, 427 (1996).
- [67] J. C. Romao and S. Andringa, Vector boson decays of the Higgs boson, Eur. Phys. J. C 7, 631 (1999).
- [68] A. Abbasabadi, D. Bowser-Chao, D. A. Dicus, and W. W. Repko, Radiative Higgs boson decays $H \rightarrow$ fermion anti-fermion gamma, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 5647 (1997).
- [69] Y. Sun, H. R. Chang, and D. N. Gao, Higgs decays to gamma l^+l^- in the standard model, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2013) 061.
- [70] G. Passarino, Higgs boson production and decay: Dalitz sector, Phys. Lett. B 727, 424 (2013).
- [71] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Evidence for Electroweak Production of $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ in *pp* Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 141803 (2014).
- [72] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for anomalous production of prompt same-sign lepton pairs and pairproduced doubly charged Higgs bosons with $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ *pp* collisions using the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2015) 041.
- [73] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, H. Yokoya, and K. Yagyu, LHC run-I constraint on the mass of doubly charged Higgs bosons in the same-sign diboson decay scenario, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2015, 051B02 (2015).
- [74] LEP Higgs Working Group for Higgs boson searches and ALEPH and DELPHI and L3 and OPAL Collaborations, Search for charged Higgs bosons: Preliminary combined results using LEP data collected at energies up to 209 GeV, arXiv:hep-ex/0107031.
- [75] P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, G. Weiglein, and K. E. Williams, HIGGSBOUNDS: Confronting arbitrary Higgs sectors with exclusion bounds from LEP and the Tevatron, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181, 138 (2010); HiggsBounds 2.0.0: Confronting neutral and charged Higgs sector predictions with exclusion bounds from LEP and the Tevatron, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182, 2605 (2011); P. Bechtle, O. Brein, S. Heinemeyer, O. Stal, T. Stefaniak, G. Weiglein, and K. E. Williams, Recent developments in HIGGSBOUNDS and a preview of HIGGSSIGNALS, *Proc. Sci.*, CHARGED2012 (2012) 024 [arXiv:1301.2345]; HIGGS-BOUNDS-4: Improved tests of extended Higgs sectors against exclusion bounds from LEP, the Tevatron and the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 2693 (2014).