

Geant4 Maintainability Assessed with Respect to Software Engineering References*

Elisabetta Ronchieri[†] Maria Grazia Pia[‡] Tullio Basaglia[§] Marco Canaparo[¶]

April 21, 2017

Abstract

We report a methodology developed to quantitatively assess the maintainability of Geant4 with respect to software engineering references. The level of maintainability is determined by combining a set of metrics values whose references are documented in literature.

arXiv:1704.05911v1 [cs.SE] 19 Apr 2017

*Presented at 2016 IEEE NSS/MIC - Strasbourg, France, 29 October - 5 November, 2016

[†]INFN CNAF at Bologna. Email: elisabetta.ronchieri@cnaf.infn.it

[‡]INFN at Genoa. Email: maria.grazia.pia@ge.infn.it

[§]CERN. Email: Tullio.Basaglia@cern.ch

[¶]INFN CNAF at Bologna. Email: marco.canaparo@cnaf.infn.it

1 Introduction

This report documents what has been done so far to assess Geant4 maintainability - one of software characteristics defined in software quality standards, such as ISO/IEC 25010:2011 (former ISO/IEC 9126) [ISO11].

Maintainable software allows development teams to fix bugs, add new features, improve usability and increase performance. Organizations that deal with software in different domains, such as telecommunications, aerospace and simulations, monitor such software characteristic to maintain skills and knowledge in order to understand and make changes to their software.

Software characteristics are measured by metrics values. We identified and assessed software metrics tools (both free and under commercial licenses) to collect a large number of measurements [RPG14], [RPG15]. As a result of this assessment, we selected Imagix4D [Ima]: this tool measures several product metrics at different levels, such as file, class, directory, namespace, function and variable, and its vendor positively collaborates with research communities. Metrics used in this study provide code information about size, coupling, inheritance, control-flow structuredness, cohesion, staticness. Existing literature gives references of such metrics for different programming languages. We identified C++ metric thresholds to determine the goodness of code.

2 Method

The methods used to perform this maintainability assessment is characterized by the following steps:

1. collecting the source code of all Geant4 [AAA⁺03, AAea06] versions from 0 to the current one (10.2);
2. loading the Geant4 source code into Imagix 4D version 8.0.4 to measure a large number of metrics in order to obtain code information about size, coupling, inheritance, control-flow structuredness, cohesion, staticness;
3. saving all the collected data at different levels of granularity, such as file, function, class, directory, variable and namespace;
4. application of statistical methods for the analysis of metric values;
5. identification of quality (goodness ranges of) references with respect to size, coupling, inheritance, control-flow structuredness, cohesion, staticness, derived from relevant peer-reviewed papers, conference proceedings and technical reports [RC16].

Some of the metrics we collected are listed in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

Size metrics [LK94] quantify code size. They are estimators of software cost and effort.

Complexity metrics, such as McCabe [McC76] and Halstead [Hal77] metrics, measure the simplicity of the system design. McCabes complexity, also called cyclomatic complexity, quantifies the control flow within a program by counting the independent paths on a control flow graph. The path indicates a certain degree of well structuredness of an application.

Object-oriented metrics [CK94] measure complexity, maintenance and clarity; they estimate to which extent the system adheres to the object orientation.

Table 1: Some Metrics of the Size Group

Group	Size Metric	Source
File	Comment Ratio	Lorenz and Kidd
	Declarations in File	Lorenz and Kidd
	File Size	Lorenz and Kidd
	Functions in File	Lorenz and Kidd
	Lines in File	Lorenz and Kidd
	Lines of Source Code	Lorenz and Kidd
	Lines of Comments	Lorenz and Kidd
	Number of Statements	Lorenz and Kidd
	Variables in File	Lorenz and Kidd
Function	Lines in Function	Lorenz and Kidd
	Lines of Source Code	Lorenz and Kidd
	Variables in Function	Lorenz and Kidd

Table 2: Some Metrics of the Complexity Group

Group	Complexity Metric	Source
File, Function, Class	Intelligent Content	Halstead
	Mental Effort	Halstead
	Program Volume	Halstead
	Program Difficulty	Halstead
File, Class	Average Cyclomatic Complexity	McCabe
	Maximum Cyclomatic Complexity	McCabe
	Total Cyclomatic Complexity	McCabe
File	Maintainability Index	Welker
Function	McCabe Cyclomatic Complexity	McCabe
	McCabe Decision Density	McCabe
	McCabe Essential Complexity	McCabe
	McCabe Essential Density	McCabe

Table 3: Some Metrics of the Object-Oriented Group

Group	Object-Oriented Metric	Source
Class	Class Cohesion (LCOM)	Chidamber and Kemerer
	Class Coupling (CBO)	Chidamber and Kemerer
	Depth of Inheritance (DIT)	Chidamber and Kemerer
	Number of Children (NOC)	Chidamber and Kemerer
	Response for Class (RFC)	Chidamber and Kemerer
	Weighted Methods (WMC)	Chidamber and Kemerer

3 A sample of quality references and results

Figure 1 shows the trend of McCabe Maximum Cyclomatic Complexity at class level for Geant4 hadronic physics *diffraction* package, while Figure 2 shows the trend of Halstead' programme volume for the electromagnetic physics *xrays* package.

Table 4 shows a sample of quality references.

4 Conclusions

The use of metrics can contribute to monitor the internal quality of software. Further investigation is in progress to identify appropriate ranges of metric values for Geant4 packages by using statistical methods. More extensive results will be discussed in a forthcoming full paper.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Francesco Giacomini for interesting discussions and INFN CCR for partly funding this work. We also thank the Imagix Corporation that provided an extended free full license of Imagix 4D for performing this work and CERN library for providing papers and books.




Figure 1: McCabe Maximum Cyclomatic Complexity at class level for the diffraction package.




Figure 2: Halsteads programme volume at class level for the xrays package.

Table 4: A Sample of Quality References

Acronym	Reference	Source
Comment Ratio	0.08	McCabe
SLOC (Source Lines Of Code)	60 at file level	McCabe
HPV (Halstead Programme Volume)	1500 at function level	McCabe
	[100,8000] at file level	Verysoft Technology [Tec]
	> 800 too many things at file level	Verysoft Technology [Tec]
	[20, 1000] at function level	Verysoft Technology [Tec]
MI (Maintainability Index)	> 1000 too many things at function level	Verysoft Technology [Tec]
	<65 poor maintainability	Coleman, Lowther, Oman [CLO95]
	[65, 84] fair maintainability	Coleman, Lowther, Oman [CLO95]
MCMCC (McCabes Maximum Cyclomatic Complexity)	≥85 excellent maintainability	Coleman, Lowther, Oman [CLO95]
	[1, 10] low CC	CppDepend [Cpp]
	[11, 15] medium CC	CppDepend [Cpp]
	[16,30] high CC	CppDepend [Cpp]
	>31 very high CC	CppDepend [Cpp]
	[1, 10] low CC	McCabe
[11, 20] medium CC	McCabe	
[21, 50] high CC	McCabe	
>51	McCabe	

References

- [AAA+03] S. Agostinelli, J. Allison, K. Amako, J. Apostolakis, H. Araujo, and et al. Geant4 - a simulation toolkit. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics*, 506(3):250–303, July 2003.
- [AAea06] J Allison, K Amako, and et al. Geant4 Developments and Applications. *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 53(1):270–278, February 2006.
- [CK94] S R Chidamber and C F Kemerer. A Metrics Suite for Object-Oriented Design. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 20:476–493, 6 1994.
- [CLO95] D Coleman, B Lowther, and P Oman. The application of software maintainability models on industrial software systems’. *Journal System Software*, 29(1):3–16, 1995.
- [Cpp] CppDepend. <http://www.cppdepend.com/>.

- [Hal77] M H Halstead. *Elements of Software Science*. Elsevier, North-Holland Inc., 1977.
- [Ima] Imagix. <https://www.imagix.com/products/static-analysis-and-metrics.html>.
- [ISO11] ISO/IEC. 25010:2011 - Systems and software engineering – Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) – System and software quality models, 2011.
- [LK94] M Lorenz and J Kidd. *Object-Oriented Software Metrics: A Practical Guide*. Prentice-Hall, 1994.
- [McC76] T J McCabe. A Complexity Measure. *IEEE Transaction on Software Engineering*, SE-2(4):308–320, 1976.
- [RC16] E Ronchieri and M Canaparo. A Preliminary Mapping Study of Software Metrics Thresholds. In *ICSOFT-EA 2016*, pages 232–240, 2016.
- [RPG14] E Ronchieri, M G Pia, and F Giacomini. Software quality metrics for geant4: An initial assessment. In *ANS RPSD*, 2014.
- [RPG15] E Ronchieri, M G Pia, and F Giacomini. First statistical analysis of geant4 quality software metrics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 664, 2015.
- [Tec] Verifysoft Technology. http://www.verifysoft.com/en_halstead_metrics.html.