



Determination of the strong coupling constant α_s from transverse energy–energy correlations in multijet events at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration

Measurements of transverse energy–energy correlations and their associated asymmetries in multi-jet events using the ATLAS detector at the LHC are presented. The data used correspond to $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV proton–proton collisions with an integrated luminosity of 20.2 fb^{-1} . The results are presented in bins of the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the two leading jets, unfolded to the particle level and compared to the predictions from Monte Carlo simulations. A comparison with next-to-leading-order perturbative QCD is also performed, showing excellent agreement within the uncertainties. From this comparison, the value of the strong coupling constant is extracted for different energy regimes, thus testing the running of $\alpha_s(\mu)$ predicted in QCD up to scales over 1 TeV. A global fit to the transverse energy–energy correlation distributions yields $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1162 \pm 0.0011$ (exp.) $^{+0.0084}_{-0.0070}$ (theo.), while a global fit to the asymmetry distributions yields a value of $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1196 \pm 0.0013$ (exp.) $^{+0.0075}_{-0.0045}$ (theo.).

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	ATLAS detector	4
3	Monte Carlo simulation	4
4	Data sample and jet calibration	5
5	Results at the detector level	6
6	Correction to particle level	6
7	Systematic uncertainties	8
8	Experimental results	9
9	Theoretical predictions	12
9.1	Non-perturbative corrections	12
9.2	Theoretical uncertainties	13
10	Comparison of theoretical predictions and experimental results	14
11	Determination of α_s and test of asymptotic freedom	15
11.1	Fits to individual TEEC functions	16
11.2	Global TEEC fit	18
11.3	Fits to individual ATEEC functions	20
11.4	Global ATEEC fit	22
12	Conclusion	24
	Appendix	31

1 Introduction

Experimental studies of the energy dependence of event shape variables have proved very useful in precision tests of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Event shape variables have been measured in e^+e^- experiments from PETRA–PEP [1–3] to LEP–SLC [4–7] energies, at the ep collider HERA [8–12] as well as in hadron colliders from Tevatron [13] to LHC energies [14, 15].

Most event shape variables are based on the determination of the thrust’s principal axis [16] or the sphericity tensor [17]. A notable exception is given by the energy–energy correlations (EEC), originally proposed by Basham *et al.* [18], and measurements [19–31] of these have significantly improved the precision tests of perturbative QCD (pQCD). The EEC is defined as the energy-weighted angular distribution of hadron pairs produced in e^+e^- annihilation and, by construction, the EEC as well as its associated asymmetry (ATEEC) are infrared safe. The second-order corrections to these functions were found to be significantly smaller [32–35] than for other event shape variables such as thrust.

The transverse energy–energy correlation (TEEC) and its associated asymmetry (ATEEC) were proposed as the appropriate generalisation to hadron colliders in Ref. [36], where leading-order (LO) predictions were also presented. As a jet-based quantity, it makes use of the jet transverse energy $E_T = E \sin \theta$ since the energy alone is not Lorentz-invariant under longitudinal boosts along the beam direction. Here θ refers to the polar angle of the jet axis, while E is the jet energy.¹ The next-to-leading-order (NLO) corrections were obtained recently [37] by using the NLOJET++ program [38, 39]. They are found to be of moderate size so that the TEEC and ATEEC functions are well suited for precision tests of QCD, including a precise determination of the strong coupling constant α_s . The TEEC is defined as [40]

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\Sigma}{d \cos \phi} \equiv \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{ij} \int \frac{d\sigma}{dx_{Ti} dx_{Tj} d \cos \phi} x_{Ti} x_{Tj} dx_{Ti} dx_{Tj} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{A=1}^N \sum_{ij} \frac{E_{Ti}^A E_{Tj}^A}{\left(\sum_k E_{Tk}^A\right)^2} \delta(\cos \phi - \cos \phi_{ij}), \quad (1)$$

where the last expression is valid for a sample of N hard-scattering multi-jet events, labelled by the index A , and the indices i and j run over all jets in a given event. Here, x_{Ti} is the fraction of transverse energy of jet i with respect to the total transverse energy, i.e. $x_{Ti} = E_{Ti} / \sum_k E_{Tk}$, ϕ_{ij} is the angle in the transverse plane between jet i and jet j and $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function, which ensures $\phi = \phi_{ij}$.

The associated asymmetry ATEEC is then defined as the difference between the forward ($\cos \phi > 0$) and the backward ($\cos \phi < 0$) parts of the TEEC, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\Sigma^{asym}}{d \cos \phi} \equiv \left. \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\Sigma}{d \cos \phi} \right|_{\phi} - \left. \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\Sigma}{d \cos \phi} \right|_{\pi-\phi}.$$

Recently, the ATLAS Collaboration presented a measurement of the TEEC and ATEEC [41], where these observables were used for a determination of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ at an energy regime of $\langle Q \rangle = 305$ GeV. This paper extends the previous measurement to higher energy scales up to values close to 1 TeV. The analysis consists in the measurement of the TEEC and ATEEC distributions in different energy regimes, determining $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ in each of them, and using these determinations to test the running of α_s predicted by the QCD β -function. Precise knowledge of the running of α_s is not only important as a precision test of QCD at large scales but also as a test for new physics, as the existence of new coloured fermions would imply modifications to the β -function [42, 43].

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upward. Cylindrical coordinates (r, φ) are used in the transverse plane, φ being the azimuthal angle around the beam pipe. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$.

2 ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [44] is a multipurpose particle physics detector with a forward-backward symmetric cylindrical geometry and a solid angle coverage of almost 4π .

The inner tracking system covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of a silicon pixel detector, a silicon microstrip detector and, for $|\eta| < 2.0$, a transition radiation tracker. It is surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing a 2 T magnetic field along the beam direction. A high-granularity liquid-argon sampling electromagnetic calorimeter covers the region $|\eta| < 3.2$. A steel/scintillator tile hadronic calorimeter provides coverage in the range $|\eta| < 1.7$. The endcap and forward regions, spanning $1.5 < |\eta| < 4.9$, are instrumented with liquid-argon calorimeters for electromagnetic and hadronic measurements. The muon spectrometer surrounds the calorimeters. It consists of three large air-core superconducting toroid systems and separate trigger and high-precision tracking chambers providing accurate muon tracking for $|\eta| < 2.7$.

The trigger system [45] has three consecutive levels: level 1, level 2 and the event filter. The level 1 triggers are hardware-based and use coarse detector information to identify regions of interest, whereas the level 2 triggers are software-based and perform a fast online data reconstruction. Finally, the event filter uses reconstruction algorithms similar to the offline versions with the full detector granularity.

3 Monte Carlo simulation

Multi-jet production in pp collisions is described by the convolution of the production cross-sections for parton-parton scattering with the parton distribution functions (PDFs). Monte Carlo (MC) event generators differ in the approximations used to calculate the underlying short-distance QCD processes, in the way parton showers are built to take into account higher-order effects and in the fragmentation scheme responsible for long-distance effects. PYTHIA and HERWIG++ event generators were used for the description of multi-jet production in pp collisions. These event generators differ in the modelling of the parton shower, hadronisation and underlying event. PYTHIA uses p_T -ordered parton showers, in which the p_T of the emitted parton is decreased in each step, while for the angle-ordered parton showers in HERWIG++, the relevant scale is related to the angle between the emitted and the incoming parton. The generated events were processed with the ATLAS full detector simulation [46] based on GEANT4 [47].

The baseline MC samples were generated using PYTHIA 8.160 [48] with the matrix elements for the underlying $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes calculated at LO using the CT10 LO PDFs [49] and matched to p_T -ordered parton showers. A set of tuned parameters called the AU2CT10 tune [50] was used to model the underlying event (UE). The hadronisation follows the Lund string model [51].

A different set of samples were generated with HERWIG++ 2.5.2 [52], using the LO CTEQ6L1 PDFs [53] and the CTEQ6L1-UE-EE-3 tune for the underlying event [54]. HERWIG++ uses angle-ordered parton showers, a cluster hadronisation scheme and the underlying-event parameterisation is given by JIMMY [55]. Additional samples are generated using SHERPA 1.4.5 [56], which calculates matrix elements for $2 \rightarrow N$ processes at LO, which are then convolved with the CT10 LO PDFs, and uses the CKKW [57] method for the parton shower matching. These samples were generated with up to three hard-scattering partons in the final state.

In order to compensate for the steeply falling p_T spectrum, MC samples are generated in seven intervals of the leading-jet transverse momentum. Each of these samples contain of the order of 6×10^6 events for PYTHIA8 and 1.4×10^6 events for HERWIG++ and SHERPA.

All MC simulated samples described above are subject to a reweighting algorithm in order to match

the average number of pp interactions per bunch-crossing observed in the data. The average number of interactions per bunch-crossing amounts to $\langle\mu\rangle = 20.4$ in data, and to $\langle\mu\rangle = 22.0$ in the MC simulation.

4 Data sample and jet calibration

The data used were recorded in 2012 at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and collected using a single-jet trigger. It requires at least one jet, reconstructed with the anti- k_t algorithm [58] with radius parameter $R = 0.4$ as implemented in FASTJET [59]. The jet transverse energy measured by the trigger system is required to be greater than 360 GeV at the trigger level. This trigger is fully efficient for values of the scalar sum of the calibrated transverse momenta of the two leading jets, $p_{T1} + p_{T2}$, denoted hereafter by H_{T2} , above 730 GeV. This is the lowest unrescaled trigger for the 2012 data-taking period, and the integrated luminosity of the full data sample is 20.2 fb^{-1} .

Events are required to have at least one vertex, with two or more associated tracks with transverse momentum $p_T > 400$ MeV. The vertex maximising $\sum p_T^2$, where the sum is performed over tracks, is chosen as the primary vertex.

In the analysis, jets are reconstructed with the same algorithm as used in the trigger, the anti- k_t algorithm with radius parameter $R = 0.4$. The input objects to the jet algorithm are topological clusters of energy deposits in the calorimeters [60]. The baseline calibration for these clusters corrects their energy using local hadronic calibration [61, 62]. The four-momentum of an uncalibrated jet is defined as the sum of the four-momenta of its constituent clusters, which are considered massless. Thus, the resulting jets are massive. However, the effect of this mass is marginal for jets in the kinematic range considered in this paper, as the difference between transverse energy and transverse momentum is at the per-mille level for these jets.

The jet calibration procedure includes energy corrections for multiple pp interactions in the same or neighbouring bunch crossings, known as ‘‘pile-up’’, as well as angular corrections to ensure that the jet originates from the primary vertex. Effects due to energy losses in inactive material, shower leakage, the magnetic field, as well as inefficiencies in energy clustering and jet reconstruction, are taken into account. This is done using an MC-based correction, in bins of η and p_T , derived from the relation of the reconstructed jet energy to the energy of the corresponding particle-level jet, not including muons or non-interacting particles. In a final step, an in situ calibration corrects for residual differences in the jet response between the MC simulation and the data using p_T -balance techniques for dijet, γ +jet, Z+jet and multi-jet final states. The total jet energy scale (JES) uncertainty is given by a set of independent sources, correlated in p_T . The uncertainty in the p_T value of individual jets due to the JES increases from (1–4)% for $|\eta| < 1.8$ to 5% for $1.8 < |\eta| < 4.5$ [63].

The selected jets must fulfill $p_T > 100$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The two leading jets are further required to fulfill $H_{T2} > 800$ GeV. In addition, jets are required to satisfy quality criteria that reject beam-induced backgrounds (jet cleaning) [64].

The number of selected events in data is 6.2×10^6 , with an average jet multiplicity $\langle N_{\text{jet}} \rangle = 2.3$. In order to study the dependence of the TEEC and ATEEC on the energy scale, and thus the running of the strong coupling, the data are further binned in H_{T2} . The binning is chosen as a compromise between reaching the highest available energy scales while keeping a sufficient statistical precision in the TEEC distributions, and thus in the determination of α_s . Table 1 summarises this choice, as well as the number of events in each energy bin and the average value of the chosen scale $Q = H_{T2}/2$, obtained from detector-level data.

H_{T2} range [GeV]	Number of events	$\langle Q \rangle = \langle H_{T2} \rangle / 2$ [GeV]
[800, 850]	1 809 497	412
[850, 900]	1 240 059	437
[900, 1000]	1 465 814	472
[1000, 1100]	745 898	522
[1100, 1400]	740 563	604
[1400, 5000]	192 204	810

Table 1: Summary of the H_{T2} bins used in the analysis. The table shows the number of events falling into each energy bin together with the value of the scale Q at which the coupling constant α_s is measured.

5 Results at the detector level

The data sample described in Section 4 is used to measure the TEEC and ATEEC functions. In order to study the kinematical dependence of such observables, and thus the running of the strong coupling with the energy scale involved in the hard process, the binning introduced in Table 1 is used. Figure 1 compares the TEEC and ATEEC distributions, measured in two of these bins, with the MC predictions from PYTHIA8, HERWIG++ and SHERPA.

The TEEC distributions show two peaks in the regions close to the kinematical endpoints $\cos \phi = \pm 1$. The first one, at $\cos \phi = -1$ is due to the back-to-back configuration in two-jet events, which dominate the sample, while the second peak at $\cos \phi = +1$ is due to the self-correlations of one jet with itself. These self-correlations are included in Equation (1) and are necessary for the correct normalisation of the TEEC functions. The central regions of the TEEC distributions shown in Figure 1 are dominated by gluon radiation, which is decorrelated from the main event axis as predicted by QCD and measured in Refs. [65, 66].

Among the MC predictions considered here, PYTHIA8 and SHERPA are the ones which fit the data best, while HERWIG++ shows significant discrepancies with the data.

6 Correction to particle level

In order to allow comparison with particle-level MC predictions, as well as NLO theoretical predictions, the detector-level distributions presented in Section 5 need to be corrected for detector effects. Particle-level jets are reconstructed in the MC samples using the anti- k_t algorithm with $R = 0.4$, applied to final-state particles with an average lifetime $\tau > 10^{-11}$ s, including muons and neutrinos. The kinematical requirements for particle-level jets are the same as for the definition of TEEC / ATEEC at the detector level.

In the data, an unfolding procedure is used which relies on an iterative Bayesian unfolding method [67] as implemented in the RooUNFOLD program [68]. The method makes use of a transfer matrix for each distribution, which takes into account any inefficiencies in the detector, as well as its finite resolution. The PYTHIA8 MC sample is used to determine the transfer matrices from the particle-level to detector-level TEEC distributions. Pairs of jets not entering the transfer matrices are accounted for using inefficiency correction factors.




Figure 1: Detector-level distributions for the TEEC (top) and ATEEC functions (bottom) for the first and the last H_{T2} intervals chosen in this analysis, together with MC predictions from PYTHIA8, HERWIG++ and SHERPA. The total uncertainty, including statistical and detector experimental sources, i.e. those not related to unfolding corrections, is also indicated using an error bar for the distributions and a green-shaded band for the ratios. The systematic uncertainties are discussed in Section 7.

The excellent azimuthal resolution of the ATLAS detector, together with the reduction of the energy scale and resolution effects by the weighting procedure involved in the definition of the TEEC function, are reflected in the fact that the transfer matrices have very small off-diagonal terms (smaller than 10%), leading to very small migrations between bins.

The statistical uncertainty is propagated through the unfolding procedure by using pseudo-experiments. A set of 10^3 replicas is considered for each measured distribution by applying a Poisson-distributed fluctuation around the nominal measured distribution. Each of these replicas is unfolded using a fluctuated version of the transfer matrix, which produces the corresponding set of 10^3 replicas of the unfolded spectra. The statistical uncertainty is defined as the standard deviation of all replicas.

7 Systematic uncertainties

The dominant sources are those associated with the MC model used in the unfolding procedure and the JES uncertainty in the jet calibration procedure.

- **Jet Energy Scale:** The uncertainty in the jet calibration procedure [63] is propagated to the TEEC by varying each jet energy and transverse momentum by one standard deviation of each of the 67 nuisance parameters of the JES uncertainty, which depend on both the jet transverse momentum and pseudorapidity. The total JES uncertainty is evaluated as the sum in quadrature of all nuisance parameters, and amounts to 2%.




Figure 2: Systematic uncertainties in the measured TEEC (top) and ATEEC distributions (bottom) for the first and the last bins in H_{T2} . The total uncertainty is below 5% in all bins of the TEEC distributions.

- **Jet Energy Resolution:** The effect on the TEEC function of the jet energy resolution uncertainty [69] is estimated by smearing the energy and transverse momentum by a smearing factor depending on both p_T and η . This amounts to approximately 1% in the TEEC distributions.
- **Monte Carlo modelling:** The modelling uncertainty is estimated by performing the unfolding procedure described in Section 6 with different MC approaches. The difference between the unfolded distributions using PYTHIA and HERWIG++ defines the envelope of the uncertainty. This was cross-checked using the difference between PYTHIA and SHERPA, leading to similar results. This is the dominant experimental uncertainty for this measurement, being always below 5% for the TEEC distributions, and being larger for low H_{T2} .
- **Unfolding:** The mismodelling of the data made by the MC simulation is accounted for as an additional source of uncertainty. This is assessed by reweighting the transfer matrices so that the level of agreement between the detector-level projection and the data is enhanced. The modified detector-level distributions are then unfolded using the method described in Section 6. The difference between the modified particle-level distribution and the nominal one is then taken as the uncertainty. This uncertainty is smaller than 0.5% for the full $\cos\phi$ range for all bins in H_{T2} . The impact of this uncertainty on the TEEC function is below 1%.
- **Jet Angular Resolution:** The uncertainty in the jet angular resolution is propagated to the TEEC measurements by smearing the azimuthal coordinate φ of each jet by 10% of the resolution in the MC simulation. This is motivated by the track-to-cluster matching studies done in Ref. [65]. This impacts the TEEC measurement at the level of 0.5%.
- **Jet cleaning:** The modelling of the efficiency of the jet-cleaning cuts is considered as an additional source of experimental uncertainty. This is studied by tightening the jet cleaning-requirements in both data and MC simulation, and considering the double ratio between them. The differences are below 0.5%.

In order to mitigate statistical fluctuations, the resulting systematic uncertainties are smoothed using a Gaussian kernel algorithm. The impact of these systematic uncertainties is summarised in Figure 2, where the relative errors are shown for the TEEC and ATEEC distributions for each H_{T2} bin considered.

8 Experimental results

The results of the unfolding are compared with particle-level MC predictions, including the estimated systematic uncertainties. Figure 3 shows this comparison for the TEEC, while the ATEEC results are shown in Figure 4. The level of agreement seen here between data and MC simulation is similar to that at detector level. PYTHIA and SHERPA broadly describe the data, while the HERWIG++ description is disfavoured.




Figure 3: Particle-level distributions for the TEEC functions in each of the H_{T2} intervals chosen in this analysis, together with MC predictions from PYTHIA8, HERWIG++ and SHERPA. The total uncertainty, including statistical and other experimental sources is also indicated using an error bar for the distributions and a green-shaded band for the ratios.




Figure 4: Particle-level distributions for the ATEEC functions in each of the H_{T2} intervals chosen in this analysis, together with MC predictions from PYTHIA8, HERWIG++ and SHERPA. The total uncertainty, including statistical and other experimental sources is also indicated using an error bar for the distributions and a green-shaded band for the ratios.

9 Theoretical predictions

The theoretical predictions for the TEEC and ATEEC functions are calculated using perturbative QCD at NLO as implemented in NLOJET++ [38, 39]. Typically $\mathcal{O}(10^{10})$ events are generated for the calculation. The partonic cross-sections, $\hat{\sigma}$, are convolved with the NNLO PDF sets from MMHT 2014 [70], CT14 [71], NNPDF 3.0 [72] and HERAPDF 2.0 [73] using the LHAPDF6 package [74]. The value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ used in the partonic matrix-element calculation is chosen to be the same as that of the PDF. At leading order in α_s , the TEEC function defined in Equation (1) can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\Sigma}{d\phi} = \frac{\Sigma_{a_i, b_i} f_{a_1/p}(x_1) f_{a_2/p}(x_2) \otimes \hat{\Sigma}^{a_1 a_2 \rightarrow b_1 b_2 b_3}}{\Sigma_{a_i, b_i} f_{a_1/p}(x_1) f_{a_2/p}(x_2) \otimes \hat{\sigma}^{a_1 a_2 \rightarrow b_1 b_2}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}^{a_1 a_2 \rightarrow b_1 b_2 b_3}$ is the partonic cross-section weighted by the fractions of transverse energy of the outgoing partons, $x_{T_i} x_{T_j}$ as in Equation (1); x_i ($i = 1, 2$) are the fractional longitudinal momenta carried by the initial-state partons, $f_{a_1/p}(x_1)$ and $f_{a_2/p}(x_2)$ are the PDFs and \otimes denotes a convolution over x_1, x_2 . At $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$, the numerator in Equation (2) entails calculations of the $2 \rightarrow 3$ partonic subprocesses at NLO accuracy, and the $2 \rightarrow 4$ partonic subprocesses at LO. In order to avoid the double collinear singularities appearing in the latter, the angular range is restricted to $|\cos \phi| \leq 0.92$. This avoids calculating the two-loop virtual corrections to the $2 \rightarrow 2$ subprocesses. Thus, with the azimuthal angle cut, the denominator in Equation (2) includes the $2 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 3$ subprocesses up to and including the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ corrections. The nominal renormalisation and factorisation scales are defined as a function of the transverse momenta of the two leading jets as follows [75]

$$\mu_R = \frac{p_{T1} + p_{T2}}{2}; \quad \mu_F = \frac{p_{T1} + p_{T2}}{4}.$$

This choice eases the comparison with the previous measurement at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [41], where the renormalisation scale was the same. The relevant scale for the perturbative calculation is the renormalisation scale, as variations of the factorisation scale lead to small variations of the physical observable. The scale choice for the NLO pQCD templates used to extract α_s as well as for the presentation of the measurement is not uniquely defined. The nominal scale choice, $H_{T2}/2$, used in this paper is based on previous publications [41, 76]. However, it should be noted that other scale choices, which explicitly take into account the kinematics of the third jet, are also viable options and can be considered in future measurements.

The following comments are in order. The NLOJET++ calculations are performed in the limit of massless quarks. PDFs are based on the $n_f = 5$ scheme. There is therefore a residual uncertainty due to the mass of the top quark. This is expected to be small since at LHC energies $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \ll \sigma_{\text{QCD}}$. The correct treatment of top quark mass effects in the initial as well as in final state is not yet available.

9.1 Non-perturbative corrections

The pQCD predictions obtained using NLOJET++ are generated at the parton level only. In order to compare these predictions with the data, one needs to correct for non-perturbative (NP) effects, namely hadronisation and the underlying event. Here, doing this relies on bin-by-bin correction factors calculated as the ratio of the MC predictions for TEEC distributions with hadronisation and UE turned on to those with hadronisation and UE turned off. These factors, which are calculated using several MC models, are used to correct the pQCD prediction to the particle level by multiplying each bin of the theoretical distributions. Figure 5 shows the distributions of the factors for the TEEC as a function of $\cos \phi$ and

for two bins in the energy scale H_{T2} . They were calculated using several models, namely PYTHIA8 with the AU2 [77] and 4C [78] and HERWIG++ with the LHC-UE-EE-3-CTEQ6L1 and LHC-UE-EE-3-LOMOD tunes [54]. From these four possibilities, PYTHIA8 with the AU2 tune is used for the nominal corrections.

9.2 Theoretical uncertainties

The theoretical uncertainties are divided into three classes: those corresponding to the renormalisation and factorisation scale variations, the ones corresponding to the PDF eigenvectors, and the ones for the non-perturbative corrections.




Figure 5: Non-perturbative correction factors for TEEC in the first and last bins of H_{T2} as a function of $\cos \phi$.

- The theoretical uncertainty due to the choice of renormalisation and factorisation scales is defined as the envelope of all the variations of the TEEC and ATEEC distributions obtained by varying up and down the scales μ_R, μ_F by a factor of two, excluding those configurations in which both scales are varied in opposite directions. This is the dominant theoretical uncertainty in this measurement, which can reach 20% in the central region of the TEEC distributions.
- The parton distribution functions are varied following the set of eigenvectors / replicas provided by each PDF group [70–73]. The propagation of the corresponding uncertainty to the TEEC and ATEEC is done following the recommendations for each particular set of distribution functions. The size of this uncertainty is around 1% for each TEEC bin.
- The uncertainty in the non-perturbative corrections is estimated as the envelope of all models used for the calculation of the correction factors in Figure 5. This uncertainty is around 1% for each of the TEEC bins considered in the NLO predictions, i.e. those with $|\cos \phi| \leq 0.92$.
- The uncertainty due to α_s is also considered for the comparison of the data with the theoretical predictions. This is estimated by varying α_s by the uncertainty in its value for each PDF set, as indicated in Refs. [70–73].

The total theoretical uncertainty is obtained by adding these four theoretical uncertainties in quadrature. The total uncertainty can reach 20% for the central part of the TEEC, due to the large value of the scale uncertainty in this region.

10 Comparison of theoretical predictions and experimental results

The unfolded data obtained in Section 8 are compared to the pQCD predictions, once corrected for non-perturbative effects. Figures 6 and 7 show the ratios of the data to the theoretical predictions for the TEEC and ATEEC functions, respectively. The theoretical predictions were calculated, as a function of $\cos \phi$ and for each of the H_{T2} bins considered, using the NNPDF 3.0 PDFs with $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1180$.




Figure 6: Ratios of the TEEC data in each H_{T2} bin to the NLO pQCD predictions obtained using the NNPDF 3.0 parton distribution functions, and corrected for non-perturbative effects.




Figure 7: Ratios of the ATEEC data in each H_{T2} bin to the NLO pQCD predictions obtained using the NNPDF 3.0 parton distribution functions, and corrected for non-perturbative effects.

From the comparisons in Figures 6 and 7, one can conclude that perturbative QCD correctly describes the data within the experimental and theoretical uncertainties.

11 Determination of α_s and test of asymptotic freedom

From the comparisons made in the previous section, one can determine the strong coupling constant at the scale given by the pole mass of the Z boson, $\alpha_s(m_Z)$, by considering the following χ^2 function

$$\chi^2(\alpha_s, \vec{\lambda}) = \sum_{\text{bins}} \frac{(x_i - F_i(\alpha_s, \vec{\lambda}))^2}{\Delta x_i^2 + \Delta \xi_i^2} + \sum_k \lambda_k^2, \quad (3)$$

where the theoretical predictions are varied according to

$$F_i(\alpha_s, \vec{\lambda}) = \psi_i(\alpha_s) \left(1 + \sum_k \lambda_k \sigma_k^{(i)} \right). \quad (4)$$

In Equations (3) and (4), α_s stands for $\alpha_s(m_Z)$; x_i is the value of the i -th point of the distribution as measured in data, while Δx_i is its statistical uncertainty. The statistical uncertainty in the theoretical predictions is also included as $\Delta \xi_i$, while $\sigma_k^{(i)}$ is the relative value of the k -th source of systematic uncertainty in bin i . This technique takes into account the correlations between the different sources of systematic uncertainty discussed in Section 7 by introducing the nuisance parameters $\{\lambda_k\}$, one for each source of experimental uncertainty. Thus, the minimum of the χ^2 function defined in Equation (3) is found in a 74-dimensional space, in which 73 correspond to nuisance parameters $\{\lambda_i\}$ and one to $\alpha_s(m_Z)$.

The method also requires an analytical expression for the dependence of the fitted observable on the strong coupling constant, which is given by $\psi_i(\alpha_s)$ for bin i . For each PDF set, the corresponding $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ variation range is considered and the theoretical prediction is obtained for each value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$. The functions $\psi_i(\alpha_s)$ are then obtained by fitting the values of the TEEC (ATEEC) in each $(H_{T2}, \cos \phi)$ bin to a second-order polynomial. For both the TEEC and ATEEC functions, the fits to extract $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ are repeated separately for each H_{T2} interval, thus determining a value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ for each energy bin. The theoretical uncertainties are determined by shifting the theory distributions by each of the uncertainties separately, recalculating the functions $\psi_i(\alpha_s)$ and determining a new value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$. The uncertainty is determined by taking the difference between this value and the nominal one.

Each of the obtained values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ is then evolved to the corresponding measured scale using the NLO solution to the renormalisation group equation (RGE), given by

$$\alpha_s(Q^2) = \frac{1}{\beta_0 \log x} \left[1 - \frac{\beta_1 \log(\log x)}{\beta_0^2 \log x} \right]; \quad x = \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad (5)$$

where the coefficients β_0 and β_1 are given by

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(11 - \frac{2}{3} n_f \right); \quad \beta_1 = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(102 - \frac{38}{3} n_f \right),$$

and Λ is the QCD scale, determined in each case from the fitted value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$. Here, n_f is the number of active flavours at the scale Q , i.e. the number of quarks with mass $m < Q$. Therefore, $n_f = 6$ in the six bins considered in Table 1. When evolving $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ to $\alpha_s(Q)$, the proper transition rules for $n_f = 5$ to $n_f = 6$ are applied so that $\alpha_s(Q)$ is a continuous function across quark thresholds. Finally, the results are combined by performing a global fit, where all bins are merged together.

11.1 Fits to individual TEEC functions

The values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ obtained from fits to the TEEC function in each H_{T2} bin are summarised in Table 2. The theoretical predictions used for this extraction use NNPDF 3.0 as the nominal PDF set.

$\langle Q \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(m_Z)$ value (NNPDF 3.0)	χ^2/N_{dof}
412	0.1171 ± 0.0021 (exp.) $^{+0.0081}_{-0.0022}$ (scale) ± 0.0013 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)	24.3 / 21
437	0.1178 ± 0.0017 (exp.) $^{+0.0073}_{-0.0017}$ (scale) ± 0.0014 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)	28.3 / 21
472	0.1177 ± 0.0017 (exp.) $^{+0.0079}_{-0.0023}$ (scale) ± 0.0015 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)	27.7 / 21
522	0.1163 ± 0.0017 (exp.) $^{+0.0067}_{-0.0016}$ (scale) ± 0.0016 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)	22.8 / 21
604	0.1181 ± 0.0017 (exp.) $^{+0.0082}_{-0.0022}$ (scale) ± 0.0017 (PDF) ± 0.0005 (NP)	24.3 / 21
810	0.1186 ± 0.0023 (exp.) $^{+0.0085}_{-0.0035}$ (scale) ± 0.0020 (PDF) ± 0.0004 (NP)	23.7 / 21

Table 2: Values of the strong coupling constant at the Z boson mass scale, $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ obtained from fits to the TEEC function for each H_{T2} interval using the NNPDF 3.0 parton distribution functions. The values of the average scale $\langle Q \rangle$ for each energy bin are shown in the first column, while the values of the χ^2 function at the minimum are shown in the third column. The uncertainty referred to as NP is the one related to the non-perturbative corrections.

The values summarised in Table 2 are in good agreement with the 2016 world average value [79], as well as with previous measurements, in particular with previous extractions using LHC data [41, 76, 80–84]. The values of the χ^2 indicate that agreement between the data and the theoretical predictions is good. The nuisance parameters for the TEEC fits are generally compatible with zero. One remarkable exception is the nuisance parameter associated to the modelling uncertainty, which deviates by half standard deviation with a very small error bar. This is an indication that these data can be used to further tune MC event generators which model multi-jet production.

Figure 8 compares the data with the theoretical predictions after the fit, i.e. where the fitted values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ and the nuisance parameters are already constrained. Table 3 shows the values of α_s evolved from m_Z to the corresponding scale Q using Equation (5). The appendix includes tables in which the values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ obtained from the TEEC fits are extrapolated to different values of Q , given by the averages of kinematical quantities other than $H_{T2}/2$.

$\langle Q \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(Q^2)$ value (NNPDF 3.0)
412	0.0966 ± 0.0014 (exp.) $^{+0.0054}_{-0.0015}$ (scale) ± 0.0009 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
437	0.0964 ± 0.0012 (exp.) $^{+0.0048}_{-0.0011}$ (scale) ± 0.0009 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)
472	0.0955 ± 0.0011 (exp.) $^{+0.0051}_{-0.0015}$ (scale) ± 0.0009 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
522	0.0936 ± 0.0011 (exp.) $^{+0.0043}_{-0.0010}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
604	0.0933 ± 0.0011 (exp.) $^{+0.0050}_{-0.0014}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
810	0.0907 ± 0.0013 (exp.) $^{+0.0049}_{-0.0020}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)

Table 3: Values of the strong coupling constant at the measurement scales, $\alpha_s(Q^2)$ obtained from fits to the TEEC function for each H_{T2} interval using the NNPDF 3.0 parton distribution functions. The uncertainty referred to as NP is the one related to the non-perturbative corrections.




Figure 8: Comparison of the TEEC data and the theoretical predictions after the fit. The value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ used in this comparison is fitted independently for each energy bin.

11.2 Global TEEC fit

The combination of the previous results is done by considering all the H_{T2} bins into a single, global fit. The result obtained using the NNPDF 3.0 PDF set has the largest PDF uncertainty and thus, in order to be conservative, it is the one quoted as the final value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$.

The impact of the correlations of the JES uncertainties on the result is studied by considering two additional correlation scenarios, one with stronger and one with weaker correlation assumptions [63]. From the envelope of these results, an additional uncertainty of 0.0007 is assigned in order to cover this difference.

The results for $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ are summarised in Table 4 for each of the four PDF sets investigated in this analysis

PDF	$\alpha_s(m_Z)$ value	χ^2/N_{dof}
MMHT 2014	0.1151 ± 0.0008 (exp.) $^{+0.0064}_{-0.0047}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)	173 / 131
CT14	0.1165 ± 0.0010 (exp.) $^{+0.0067}_{-0.0061}$ (scale) ± 0.0016 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)	161 / 131
NNPDF 3.0	0.1162 ± 0.0011 (exp.) $^{+0.0076}_{-0.0061}$ (scale) ± 0.0018 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)	174 / 131
HERAPDF 2.0	0.1177 ± 0.0008 (exp.) $^{+0.0064}_{-0.0040}$ (scale) ± 0.0005 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP) $^{+0.0008}_{-0.0007}$ (mod)	169 / 131

Table 4: The results for α_s from fits to the TEEC using different PDFs. The uncertainty referred to as NP is the one related to the non-perturbative corrections. The uncertainty labelled as ‘mod’ corresponds to the HERAPDF modelling and parameterisation uncertainty.

As a result of considering all the data, the experimental uncertainties are reduced with respect to the partial fits. Also, it should be noted that the values of α_s extracted with different PDF sets show good agreement with each other within the PDF uncertainties, and are compatible with the latest world average value $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0011$ [79].

The final result for the TEEC fit is

$$\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1162 \pm 0.0011 \text{ (exp.) } ^{+0.0076}_{-0.0061} \text{ (scale)} \pm 0.0018 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 0.0003 \text{ (NP)}.$$

A comparison of the results for α_s from the global and partial fits is shown in Figure 9. In this figure, the results from previous experiments [41, 76, 80–83, 85, 86] are also shown, together with the world average band [79]. Agreement between this result and the ones from other experiments is very good, even though the experimental uncertainties in this analysis are smaller than in previous measurements in hadron colliders.




Figure 9: Comparison of the values of $\alpha_s(Q)$ obtained from fits to the TEEC functions at the energy scales given by $\langle H_{T2} \rangle / 2$ (red star points) with the uncertainty band from the global fit (orange full band) and the 2016 world average (green hatched band). Determinations from other experiments are also shown as data points. The error bars, as well as the orange full band, include all experimental and theoretical sources of uncertainty. The strong coupling constant is assumed to run according to the two-loop solution of the RGE.

11.3 Fits to individual ATEEC functions

The values of α_s extracted from the fits to the measured ATEEC functions are summarised in Table 5, together with the values of the χ^2 functions at the minima.

$\langle Q \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(m_Z)$ value (NNPDF 3.0)	χ^2/N_{dof}
412	0.1209 ± 0.0036 (exp.) $^{+0.0085}_{-0.0031}$ (scale) ± 0.0013 (PDF) ± 0.0004 (NP)	10.6 / 10
437	0.1211 ± 0.0026 (exp.) $^{+0.0064}_{-0.0014}$ (scale) ± 0.0015 (PDF) ± 0.0010 (NP)	6.8 / 10
472	0.1203 ± 0.0028 (exp.) $^{+0.0060}_{-0.0013}$ (scale) ± 0.0016 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)	8.8 / 10
522	0.1196 ± 0.0025 (exp.) $^{+0.0054}_{-0.0010}$ (scale) ± 0.0017 (PDF) ± 0.0004 (NP)	10.9 / 10
604	0.1176 ± 0.0031 (exp.) $^{+0.0058}_{-0.0008}$ (scale) ± 0.0020 (PDF) ± 0.0005 (NP)	6.4 / 10
810	0.1172 ± 0.0037 (exp.) $^{+0.0053}_{-0.0009}$ (scale) ± 0.0022 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)	9.8 / 10

Table 5: Values of the strong coupling constant at the Z boson mass scale, $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ obtained from fits to the ATEEC function for each H_{T2} interval using the NNPDF 3.0 parton distribution functions. The values of the average scale $\langle Q \rangle$ for each energy bin are shown in the first column, while the values of the χ^2 function at the minimum are shown in the third column. The uncertainty referred to as NP is the one related to the non-perturbative corrections.

The values extracted from the ATEEC show smaller scale uncertainties than their counterpart values from TEEC. This is understood to be due to the fact that the scale dependence is mitigated for the ATEEC distributions because, for the TEEC, this dependence shows some azimuthal symmetry. Also, it is important to note that the values of the χ^2 indicate excellent compatibility between the data and the theoretical predictions. Good agreement, within the scale uncertainty, is also observed between these values and the ones extracted from fits to the TEEC, as well as among themselves and with the current world average. The nuisance parameters are compatible with zero within one standard deviation.

As before, the values of $\alpha_s(Q^2)$ at the scales of the measurement are obtained by evolving the values in Table 5 using Equation (5). The results are given in Table 6. As in the TEEC case, Figure 10 compares the data with the theoretical predictions after the fit. The appendix includes tables in which the values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ obtained from the ATEEC fits are extrapolated to different values of Q , given by the averages of kinematic quantities other than $H_{T2}/2$.

$\langle Q \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(Q^2)$ value (NNPDF 3.0)
412	0.0992 ± 0.0024 (exp.) $^{+0.0056}_{-0.0020}$ (scale) ± 0.0009 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)
437	0.0986 ± 0.0017 (exp.) $^{+0.0041}_{-0.0009}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0007 (NP)
472	0.0973 ± 0.0018 (exp.) $^{+0.0038}_{-0.0008}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
522	0.0957 ± 0.0016 (exp.) $^{+0.0034}_{-0.0006}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
604	0.0930 ± 0.0019 (exp.) $^{+0.0035}_{-0.0005}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
810	0.0899 ± 0.0021 (exp.) $^{+0.0031}_{-0.0005}$ (scale) ± 0.0013 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)

Table 6: Values of the strong coupling constant at the measurement scales, $\alpha_s(Q^2)$ obtained from fits to the ATEEC function for each H_{T2} interval using the NNPDF 3.0 parton distribution functions. The uncertainty referred to as NP is the one related to the non-perturbative corrections.




Figure 10: Comparison of the ATEEC data and the theoretical predictions after the fit. The value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ used in this comparison is fitted independently for each energy bin.

11.4 Global ATEEC fit

As before, the global value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ is obtained from the combined fit of the ATEEC data in the six bins of H_{T2} . Again, the NNPDF 3.0 PDF set is used for the final result as it provides the most conservative choice. Also, as in the TEEC case, two additional correlation scenarios have been considered for the JES uncertainty. An additional uncertainty of 0.0003 is assigned in order to cover the differences.

The results are summarised in Table 7 for the four sets of PDFs considered in the theoretical predictions.

PDF	$\alpha_s(m_Z)$ value	χ^2/N_{dof}
MMHT 2014	0.1185 ± 0.0012 (exp.) $^{+0.0047}_{-0.0010}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0004 (NP)	57.0 / 65
CT14	0.1203 ± 0.0013 (exp.) $^{+0.0053}_{-0.0014}$ (scale) ± 0.0015 (PDF) ± 0.0004 (NP)	55.4 / 65
NNPDF 3.0	0.1196 ± 0.0013 (exp.) $^{+0.0061}_{-0.0013}$ (scale) ± 0.0017 (PDF) ± 0.0004 (NP)	60.3 / 65
HERAPDF 2.0	0.1206 ± 0.0012 (exp.) $^{+0.0050}_{-0.0014}$ (scale) ± 0.0005 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP) ± 0.0007 (mod)	54.2 / 65

Table 7: The results for α_s from fits to the ATEEC using different PDFs. The uncertainty referred to as NP is the one related to the non-perturbative corrections. The uncertainty labelled as ‘mod’ corresponds to the HERAPDF modelling and parameterisation uncertainty.

The values shown in Table 7 are in good agreement with the values in Table 4, obtained from fits to the TEEC functions. Also, it is important to note that the scale uncertainty is smaller in ATEEC fits than in TEEC fits. The values of the χ^2 function at the minima show excellent agreement between the data and the pQCD predictions.

The final result for the ATEEC fit is

$$\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1196 \pm 0.0013 \text{ (exp.) } ^{+0.0061}_{-0.0013} \text{ (scale)} \pm 0.0017 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 0.0004 \text{ (NP)}.$$

The values from Table 6 are compared with previous experimental results from Refs. [41, 76, 80–83, 85, 86] in Figure 11, showing good compatibility, as well as with the value from the current world average [79].




Figure 11: Comparison of the values of $\alpha_s(Q)$ obtained from fits to the ATEEC functions at the energy scales given by $\langle H_{T2} \rangle / 2$ (red star points) with the uncertainty band from the global fit (orange full band) and the 2016 world average (green hatched band). Determinations from other experiments are also shown as data points. The error bars, as well as the orange full band, include all experimental and theoretical sources of uncertainty. The strong coupling constant is assumed to run according to the two-loop solution of the RGE.

12 Conclusion

The TEEC and ATEEC functions are measured in 20.2 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ using the ATLAS detector at the LHC. The data, binned in six intervals of the sum of transverse momenta of the two leading jets, $H_{T2} = p_{T1} + p_{T2}$, are corrected for detector effects and compared to the predictions of perturbative QCD, corrected for hadronisation and multi-parton interaction effects. The results show that the data are compatible with the theoretical predictions, within the uncertainties.

The data are used to determine the strong coupling constant α_s and its evolution with the interaction scale $Q = (p_{T1} + p_{T2})/2$ by means of a χ^2 fit to the theoretical predictions for both TEEC and ATEEC in each energy bin. Additionally, global fits to the TEEC and ATEEC data are performed, leading to

$$\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1162 \pm 0.0011 \text{ (exp.) } \begin{matrix} +0.0076 \\ -0.0061 \end{matrix} \text{ (scale)} \pm 0.0018 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 0.0003 \text{ (NP)},$$
$$\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1196 \pm 0.0013 \text{ (exp.) } \begin{matrix} +0.0061 \\ -0.0013 \end{matrix} \text{ (scale)} \pm 0.0017 \text{ (PDF)} \pm 0.0004 \text{ (NP)},$$

respectively. Conservatively, the values obtained using the NNPDF 3.0 PDF set are chosen, as they provide the largest PDF uncertainty among the four PDF sets investigated. These two values are in good agreement with the determinations in previous experiments and with the current world average $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1181 \pm 0.0011$. The correlation coefficient between the two determinations is $\rho = 0.60$.

The present results are limited by the theoretical scale uncertainties, which amount to 6% of the value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ in the case of the TEEC determination and to 4% in the case of the ATEEC. This uncertainty is expected to decrease as higher orders are calculated for the perturbative expansion.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSF, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF, I-CORE and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, CANARIE, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, ERDF, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, Région Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF;

BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [87].

References

- [1] PLUTO Collaboration, Ch. Berger et al., *Energy Dependence of Jet Measures in e^+e^- Annihilation*, *Z. Phys. C* **12**, 297 (1982).
- [2] TASSO Collaboration, W. Braunschweig et al., *Global jet properties at 14-44 GeV center of mass energy in e^+e^- annihilation*, *Z. Phys. C* **47**, 187 (1990).
- [3] JADE Collaboration, S. Bethke et al., *Determination of the Strong Coupling α_s from hadronic Event Shapes and NNLO QCD predictions using JADE Data*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **64**, 351 (2009), arXiv: [0810.1389 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [4] OPAL Collaboration, G. Abbiendi et al., *Determination of α_s using OPAL hadronic event shapes at $\sqrt{s} = 91 - 209$ GeV and resummed NNLO calculations*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1733 (2011), arXiv: [1101.1470 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [5] ALEPH Collaboration, A. Heister et al., *Studies of QCD at e^+e^- centre of mass energies between 91 and 209 GeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **35**, 457 (2003).
- [6] DELPHI Collaboration, J. Abdallah et al., *A study of the energy evolution of event shape distributions and their means with the DELPHI detector at LEP*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **29**, 285 (2003), arXiv: [hep-ex/0307048](#).
- [7] L3 Collaboration, P. Achard et al., *Determination of α_s from Hadronic Event Shapes in e^+e^- Annihilation at $192 < \sqrt{s} < 208$ GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **536**, 217 (2002), arXiv: [hep-ex/0206052](#).
- [8] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov et al., *Measurement of event shapes in deep inelastic scattering at HERA*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **27**, 531 (2003), arXiv: [hep-ex/0211040](#).
- [9] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov et al., *Event shapes in deep inelastic scattering at HERA*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **767**, 1 (2007), arXiv: [hep-ex/0604032](#).
- [10] H1 Collaboration, C. Adloff et al., *Measurement of Event Shape Variables in Deep Inelastic e^+ Scattering*, *Phys. Lett. B* **406**, 256 (1997), arXiv: [hep-ex/9706002](#).
- [11] H1 Collaboration, C. Adloff et al., *Investigation of Power Corrections to Event Shape Variables measured in Deep-Inelastic Scattering*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **14**, 255 (2000), arXiv: [hep-ex/9912052](#).

- [12] H1 Collaboration, H. Aktas et al.,
Measurement of Event Shape Variables in Deep-Inelastic Scattering at HERA,
Eur. Phys. J. C **46**, 343 (2006), arXiv: [hep-ex/0512014](#).
- [13] CDF Collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., *Measurement of Event Shapes in Proton-Antiproton Collisions at Center-of-Mass Energy 1.96 TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 112007 (2011), arXiv: [1103.5143 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [14] CMS Collaboration,
First Measurement of Hadronic Event Shapes in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV,
Phys. Lett. B **699**, 48 (2011), arXiv: [1102.0068 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of event shapes at large momentum transfer with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2211 (2012), arXiv: [1206.2135 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [16] S. Brandt, C. Peyrou, R. Sosnowski and A. Wroblewski,
The principal axis of jets - an attempt to analyse high-energy collisions as two-body processes,
Phys. Lett. **12**, 57 (1964).
- [17] J.D. Bjorken and S.J. Brodsky, *Statistical Model for Electron-Positron Annihilation into Hadrons*,
Phys. Rev. D **1**, 1416 (1970).
- [18] C.L. Basham, L.S. Brown, S.D. Ellis and S.T. Love,
Energy Correlations in Electron-Positron Annihilation: Testing Quantum Chromodynamics,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1585 (1978).
- [19] PLUTO Collaboration, Ch. Berger et al.,
Energy-energy correlations in e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons, *Phys. Lett. B* **99**, 292 (1981).
- [20] CELLO Collaboration, H.J. Behrend et al., *On the model dependence of the determination of the strong coupling constant in second order QCD from e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons*,
Phys. Lett. B **138**, 311 (1984).
- [21] JADE Collaboration, W. Bartel et al., *Measurements of energy correlations in $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons*,
Z. Phys. C **25**, 231 (1984).
- [22] TASSO Collaboration, W. Braunschweig et al.,
A study of energy-energy correlations between 12 and 46.8 GeV c.m. energies,
Z. Phys. C **36**, 349 (1987).
- [23] MARKJ Collaboration, B. Adeva et al.,
Model-Independent Second-Order Determination of the Strong-Coupling Constant α_s ,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 2051 (1983).
- [24] MARKII Collaboration, D. Schlatter et al.,
Measurement of Energy Correlations in $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ Hadrons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 521 (1982).
- [25] MAC Collaboration, E. Fernández et al.,
Measurement of energy-energy correlations in $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons at $\sqrt{s} = 29$ GeV,
Phys. Rev. D **31**, 2724 (1985).
- [26] TOPAZ Collaboration, I. Adachi et al.,
Measurements of α_s in e^+e^- annihilation at $\sqrt{s} = 53.3$ GeV and 59.5 GeV,
Phys. Lett. B **227**, 495 (1989).

- [27] ALEPH Collaboration, D. Decamp et al.,
Measurement of α_s from the structure of particle clusters produced in hadronic Z decays,
Phys. Lett. B **257**, 479 (1991).
- [28] DELPHI Collaboration, P. Abreu et al.,
Energy-energy correlations in hadronic final states from Z^0 decays,
Phys. Lett. B **252**, 149 (1990).
- [29] OPAL Collaboration, M.Z. Akrawy et al., *A measurement of energy correlations and a determination of $\alpha_s(M_{Z^0}^2)$ in e^+e^- annihilations at $\sqrt{s} = 91$ GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **252**, 159 (1990).
- [30] L3 Collaboration, B. Adeva et al.,
Determination of α_s from energy-energy correlations measured on the Z^0 resonance,
Phys. Lett. B **257**, 469 (1991).
- [31] SLD Collaboration, K. Abe et al.,
Measurement of $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$ from hadronic event observables at the Z^0 resonance,
Phys. Rev. D **51**, 962 (1995).
- [32] A. Ali and F. Barreiro, *An $O(\alpha_s)^2$ calculation of energy-energy correlation in e^+e^- annihilation and comparison with experimental data*, *Phys. Lett. B* **118**, 155 (1982).
- [33] A. Ali and F. Barreiro, *Energy-energy correlations in e^+e^- annihilation*,
Nucl. Phys. B **236**, 269 (1984).
- [34] D.G. Richards, W.J. Stirling and S.D. Ellis,
Second order corrections to the energy-energy correlation function in quantum chromodynamics,
Phys. Lett. B **119**, 193 (1982).
- [35] E.W.N. Glover and M.R. Sutton, *The Energy-Energy Correlation Function Revisited*,
Phys. Lett. B **342**, 375 (1995), arXiv: [hep-ph/9410234](#).
- [36] A. Ali, E. Pietarinen and W.J. Stirling, *Transverse energy-energy correlations: A test of perturbative QCD for the proton-antiproton collider*, *Phys. Lett. B* **141**, 447 (1984).
- [37] A. Ali, F. Barreiro, J. Llorente and W. Wang,
Transverse Energy-Energy Correlations in Next-to-Leading Order in α_s at the LHC,
Phys. Rev. D **86**, 114017 (2012), arXiv: [1205.1689 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [38] Z. Nagy, *Three-jet cross-sections in hadron-hadron collisions at NLO*,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 122003 (2002), arXiv: [hep-ph/0110315](#).
- [39] Z. Nagy, *Next-to-leading order calculation of three-jet observables in hadron-hadron collisions*,
Phys. Rev. D **68**, 094002 (2003), arXiv: [hep-ph/0307268](#).
- [40] G. Altarelli, *The development of Perturbative QCD*, *World Scientific* (1994).
- [41] ATLAS Collaboration,
Measurement of transverse energy-energy correlations in multi-jet events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector and determination of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(m_Z)$,
Phys. Lett. B **750**, 427 (2015), arXiv: [1508.01579 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [42] D.E. Kaplan and M.D. Schwartz, *Constraining Light Colored Particles with Event Shapes*,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 022002 (2008), arXiv: [0804.2477 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [43] D. Becciolini et al.,
Constraining new coloured matter from the ratio of 3- to 2-jets cross sections at the LHC,
Phys. Rev. D **91**, 015010 (2015), arXiv: [1403.7411 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, [JINST **3**, S08003 \(2008\)](#).
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS Trigger System in 2010*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 1849 \(2012\)](#), arXiv: [1110.1530 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Simulation Infrastructure*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **70**, 823 \(2010\)](#), arXiv: [1005.4568 \[physics.ins-det\]](#).
- [47] GEANT4 Collaboration, S. Agostinelli et al., *Geant4 - a simulation toolkit*, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **506**, 250-303 \(2003\)](#).
- [48] T. Sjöstrand et al., *High-Energy-Physics Event Generation with PYTHIA 6.1*, [Comp. Phys. Comm. **135**, 238 \(2001\)](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/0010017](#).
- [49] H.L. Lai et al., *New parton distributions for collider physics*, [Phys. Rev. D **82**, 074024 \(2010\)](#), arXiv: [1007.2241 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [50] ATLAS Collaboration, *Summary of ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003 (2012), URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1474107>.
- [51] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman and T. Sjöstrand, *Parton fragmentation and string dynamics*, [Phys. Rep. **97**, 31 \(1983\)](#).
- [52] M. Bahr et al., *Herwig++ Physics and Manual*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **58**, 639 \(2008\)](#), arXiv: [0803.0883 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [53] J. Pumplin et al., *New Generation of Parton Distributions with Uncertainties from Global QCD Analysis*, [JHEP **0207**, 012 \(2002\)](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/0201195](#).
- [54] S. Gieseke, C. Röhr and A. Siódmok, *Colour reconnections in Herwig++*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2225 \(2012\)](#), arXiv: [1206.0041 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [55] J.M. Butterworth, J.R. Forshaw, M.H. Seymour, *Multiparton Interactions in Photoproduction at HERA*, [Z. Phys. C **72**, 637 \(1996\)](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/9601371](#).
- [56] T. Gleisberg et al., *Event generation with SHERPA 1.1*, [JHEP **0902**, 007 \(2008\)](#), arXiv: [0811.4622](#).
- [57] S. Catani, F. Krauss, R. Kuhn and B.R. Webber, *QCD Matrix Elements + Parton Showers*, [JHEP **0111**, 063 \(2001\)](#), arXiv: [hep-ph/0109231](#).
- [58] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm*, [JHEP **063**, 0804 \(2008\)](#), arXiv: [0802.1189 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [59] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *FastJet user manual*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **072**, 1896 \(2012\)](#), arXiv: [1111.6097 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [60] W. Lampl et al., *Calorimeter Clustering Algorithms: Description and Performance*, ATLAS-LARG-PUB-2008-002 (2008), URL: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1099735>.
- [61] C. Issever, K. Borrás and D. Wegener, *An Improved Weighting Algorithm to Achieve Software Compensation in a Fine Grained LAr Calorimeter*, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **545**, 803 \(2005\)](#), arXiv: [physics/0408129](#).
- [62] ATLAS Collaboration, *Local Hadronic Calibration*, ATL-LARG-PUB-2009-001-2 (2009), URL: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1112035>.

- [63] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy measurement and its systematic uncertainty in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 17 (2015), arXiv: [1406.0076](#).
- [64] ATLAS Collaboration, *Characterisation and mitigation of beam-induced backgrounds observed in the ATLAS detector during the 2011 proton-proton run*, *JINST* **8**, P07004 (2013), arXiv: [1303.0223 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [65] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of Dijet Azimuthal Decorrelations in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 172002 (2011), arXiv: [1102.2696 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [66] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurements of jet vetoes and azimuthal decorrelations in dijet events produced in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 3117 (2014), arXiv: [1407.5756 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [67] G. D'Agostini, *A multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes' theorem*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **362**, 487 (1995).
- [68] T. Adye, *Unfolding algorithms and tests using RooUnfold*, (2011), arXiv: [1105.1160 \[physics.data-an\]](#).
- [69] ATLAS Collaboration, *Jet energy resolution in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV recorded in 2010 with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2306 (2013), arXiv: [1210.6210 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [70] L.A. Harland-Lang, A.D. Martin, P. Motylinski and R.S. Thorne, *Parton distributions in the LHC era: MMHT 2014 PDFs*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 204 (2015), arXiv: [1412.3989 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [71] S. Dulat et al., *New parton distribution functions from a global analysis of quantum chromodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 033006 (2016), arXiv: [1506.07443 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [72] R.D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions for the LHC Run II*, *JHEP* **04**, 040 (2015), arXiv: [1410.8849 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [73] H1 and ZEUS Collaborations, F.D. Aaron et al., *Combined Measurement and QCD Analysis of the Inclusive ep Scattering Cross Sections at HERA*, *JHEP* **01**, 109 (2010), arXiv: [0911.0884 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [74] A. Buckley et al., *LHAPDF6: parton density access in the LHC precision era*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 132 (2015), arXiv: [1412.7420 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [75] F. Maltoni, T. McElmurry, R. Putman and S. Willenbrock, *Choosing the Factorization Scale in Perturbative QCD*, (2007), arXiv: [hep-ph/0703156](#).
- [76] CMS Collaboration, *Measurement of the ratio of the inclusive 3-jet cross section to the inclusive 2-jet cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and first determination of the strong coupling constant in the TeV range*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2604 (2013), arXiv: [1304.7498 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [77] ATLAS Collaboration, *Further ATLAS tunes of PYTHIA 6 and Pythia 8*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-014 (2011), URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1400677>.
- [78] R. Corke and T. Sjöstrand, *Interleaved Parton Showers and Tuning Prospects*, *JHEP* **03**, 032 (2011).
- [79] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), *Review of Particle Physics*, *Chin. Phys. C* **40**, 100001 (2016).

- [80] CMS Collaboration, *Measurement of the inclusive 3-jet production differential cross section in proton-proton collisions at 7 TeV and determination of the strong coupling constant in the TeV range*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 186 (2015), arXiv: [1412.1633 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [81] CMS Collaboration, *Constraints on parton distribution functions and extraction of the strong coupling constant from the inclusive jet cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 288 (2015), arXiv: [1410.6765 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [82] CMS Collaboration, *Measurement and QCD analysis of double-differential inclusive jet cross-sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and ratios to 2.76 and 7 TeV*, *JHEP* **1703**, 156 (2017), arXiv: [1609.05331 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [83] CMS Collaboration, *Determination of the top-quark pole mass and strong coupling constant from the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **728**, 496 (2013), arXiv: [1307.1907 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [84] B. Malaescu and P. Starovoitov, *Evaluation of the Strong Coupling Constant α_s Using the ATLAS Inclusive Jet Cross-Section Data*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2041 (2012), arXiv: [1203.5416 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [85] D0 Collaboration, V.M. Abazov et al., *Measurement of angular correlations of jets at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV and determination of the strong coupling at high momentum transfers*, *Phys. Lett. B* **718**, 56 (2012), arXiv: [1207.4957 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [86] D0 Collaboration, V.M. Abazov et al., *Determination of the strong coupling constant from the inclusive jet cross section in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 111107 (2009), arXiv: [0911.2710 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [87] ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements 2016–2017*, ATL-GEN-PUB-2016-002, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2202407>.

Appendix

This appendix contains tables in which the measured values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ are extrapolated to different values of Q from the central results, given by the average p_T of the third jet, $\langle p_{T3} \rangle$, the average value of the three leading jets, $\langle (p_{T1} + p_{T2} + p_{T3}) \rangle / 3$ and the average value of the transverse momentum for each pair of jets (i, j) , $\langle (p_{T1} + p_{T2}) \rangle / 2$ (Tables 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13).

$\langle p_{T3} \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(\langle p_{T3} \rangle)$ value (TEEC, NNPDF 3.0)
169	0.1072 ± 0.0017 (exp.) $^{+0.0067}_{-0.0019}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
174	0.1074 ± 0.0014 (exp.) $^{+0.0060}_{-0.0014}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)
179	0.1068 ± 0.0014 (exp.) $^{+0.0064}_{-0.0019}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
186	0.1052 ± 0.0014 (exp.) $^{+0.0054}_{-0.0013}$ (scale) ± 0.0013 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
197	0.1060 ± 0.0014 (exp.) $^{+0.0065}_{-0.0018}$ (scale) ± 0.0014 (PDF) ± 0.0004 (NP)
215	0.1052 ± 0.0018 (exp.) $^{+0.0066}_{-0.0027}$ (scale) ± 0.0015 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)

Table 8: Values of α_s , obtained from TEEC fits, evolved to the average value of the third-jet transverse momentum in each event, $\langle p_{T3} \rangle$ for each bin in H_{T2} .

$\langle H_{T3}/3 \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(\langle H_{T3}/3 \rangle)$ value (TEEC, NNPDF 3.0)
289	0.1005 ± 0.0015 (exp.) $^{+0.0059}_{-0.0016}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
307	0.1004 ± 0.0013 (exp.) $^{+0.0052}_{-0.0012}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)
332	0.0994 ± 0.0012 (exp.) $^{+0.0055}_{-0.0016}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
366	0.0973 ± 0.0012 (exp.) $^{+0.0046}_{-0.0011}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
423	0.0970 ± 0.0012 (exp.) $^{+0.0054}_{-0.0015}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
564	0.0943 ± 0.0014 (exp.) $^{+0.0053}_{-0.0022}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)

Table 9: Values of α_s , obtained from TEEC fits, evolved to the average value of the average transverse momentum of the three leading jets in each event, $\langle (p_{T1} + p_{T2} + p_{T3}) \rangle / 3$ for each bin in H_{T2} .

$\langle H_{Tij}/2 \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(\langle H_{Tij}/2 \rangle)$ value (TEEC, NNPDF 3.0)
366	0.0979 ± 0.0014 (exp.) $^{+0.0055}_{-0.0015}$ (scale) ± 0.0009 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
386	0.0978 ± 0.0012 (exp.) $^{+0.0049}_{-0.0012}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)
413	0.0969 ± 0.0011 (exp.) $^{+0.0052}_{-0.0016}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
452	0.0951 ± 0.0011 (exp.) $^{+0.0044}_{-0.0011}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
515	0.0949 ± 0.0011 (exp.) $^{+0.0052}_{-0.0014}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
672	0.0925 ± 0.0014 (exp.) $^{+0.0051}_{-0.0021}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)

Table 10: Values of α_s , obtained from TEEC fits, evolved to the average value of transverse momentum for every pair of jets in each event, $\langle (p_{Ti} + p_{Tj}) \rangle / 2$ for each bin in H_{T2} .

$\langle p_{T3} \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(\langle p_{T3} \rangle)$ value (ATEEC, NNPDF 3.0)
169	0.1104 ± 0.0030 (exp.) $^{+0.0070}_{-0.0025}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
174	0.1101 ± 0.0022 (exp.) $^{+0.0052}_{-0.0011}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0008 (NP)
179	0.1090 ± 0.0023 (exp.) $^{+0.0049}_{-0.0011}$ (scale) ± 0.0013 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)
186	0.1079 ± 0.0021 (exp.) $^{+0.0044}_{-0.0008}$ (scale) ± 0.0014 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
197	0.1056 ± 0.0025 (exp.) $^{+0.0046}_{-0.0006}$ (scale) ± 0.0016 (PDF) ± 0.0004 (NP)
215	0.1041 ± 0.0029 (exp.) $^{+0.0042}_{-0.0007}$ (scale) ± 0.0017 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)

Table 11: Values of α_s , obtained from ATEEC fits, evolved to the average value of the third-jet transverse momentum in each event, $\langle p_{T3} \rangle$ for each bin in H_{T2}

$\langle H_{T3}/3 \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(\langle H_{T3}/3 \rangle)$ value (ATEEC, NNPDF 3.0)
289	0.1033 ± 0.0026 (exp.) $^{+0.0061}_{-0.0022}$ (scale) ± 0.0009 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
307	0.1027 ± 0.0019 (exp.) $^{+0.0045}_{-0.0010}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0007 (NP)
332	0.1013 ± 0.0019 (exp.) $^{+0.0042}_{-0.0009}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
366	0.0996 ± 0.0017 (exp.) $^{+0.0037}_{-0.0007}$ (scale) ± 0.0012 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
423	0.0966 ± 0.0021 (exp.) $^{+0.0038}_{-0.0005}$ (scale) ± 0.0013 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
564	0.0934 ± 0.0023 (exp.) $^{+0.0033}_{-0.0006}$ (scale) ± 0.0014 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)

Table 12: Values of α_s , obtained from ATEEC fits, evolved to the average value of the average transverse momentum of the three leading jets in each event, $\langle (p_{T1} + p_{T2} + p_{T3}) \rangle / 3$ for each bin in H_{T2}

$\langle H_{Tij}/2 \rangle$ (GeV)	$\alpha_s(\langle H_{Tij}/2 \rangle)$ value (ATEEC, NNPDF 3.0)
366	0.1005 ± 0.0025 (exp.) $^{+0.0058}_{-0.0021}$ (scale) ± 0.0009 (PDF) ± 0.0002 (NP)
386	0.1000 ± 0.0018 (exp.) $^{+0.0043}_{-0.0009}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0007 (NP)
413	0.0987 ± 0.0018 (exp.) $^{+0.0040}_{-0.0009}$ (scale) ± 0.0010 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)
452	0.0973 ± 0.0017 (exp.) $^{+0.0035}_{-0.0007}$ (scale) ± 0.0011 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
515	0.0946 ± 0.0020 (exp.) $^{+0.0037}_{-0.0005}$ (scale) ± 0.0013 (PDF) ± 0.0003 (NP)
672	0.0917 ± 0.0022 (exp.) $^{+0.0032}_{-0.0006}$ (scale) ± 0.0013 (PDF) ± 0.0001 (NP)

Table 13: Values of α_s , obtained from ATEEC fits, evolved to the average value of transverse momentum for every pair of jets in each event, $\langle (p_{Ti} + p_{Tj}) \rangle / 2$ for each bin in H_{T2} .

The ATLAS Collaboration

M. Aaboud^{137d}, G. Aad⁸⁸, B. Abbott¹¹⁵, J. Abdallah⁸, O. Abidinov^{12,*}, B. Abeloos¹¹⁹, S.H. Abidi¹⁶¹, O.S. AbouZeid¹³⁹, N.L. Abraham¹⁵¹, H. Abramowicz¹⁵⁵, H. Abreu¹⁵⁴, R. Abreu¹¹⁸, Y. Abulaiti^{148a,148b}, B.S. Acharya^{167a,167b,a}, S. Adachi¹⁵⁷, L. Adamczyk^{41a}, J. Adelman¹¹⁰, M. Adersberger¹⁰², T. Adye¹³³, A.A. Affolder¹³⁹, T. Agatonovic-Jovin¹⁴, C. Agheorghiesei^{28c}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{128a,128f}, S.P. Ahlen²⁴, F. Ahmadov^{68,b}, G. Aielli^{135a,135b}, S. Akatsuka⁷¹, H. Akerstedt^{148a,148b}, T.P.A. Åkesson⁸⁴, E. Akilli⁵², A.V. Akimov⁹⁸, G.L. Alberghi^{22a,22b}, J. Albert¹⁷², P. Albicocco⁵⁰, M.J. Alconada Verzini⁷⁴, M. Aleksa³², I.N. Aleksandrov⁶⁸, C. Alexa^{28b}, G. Alexander¹⁵⁵, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰, M. Alhroob¹¹⁵, B. Ali¹³⁰, M. Aliev^{76a,76b}, G. Alimonti^{94a}, J. Alison³³, S.P. Alkire³⁸, B.M.M. Allbrooke¹⁵¹, B.W. Allen¹¹⁸, P.P. Allport¹⁹, A. Aloisio^{106a,106b}, A. Alonso³⁹, F. Alonso⁷⁴, C. Alpigianni¹⁴⁰, A.A. Alshehri⁵⁶, M.I. Alstady⁸⁸, B. Alvarez Gonzalez³², D. Álvarez Piqueras¹⁷⁰, M.G. Alviggi^{106a,106b}, B.T. Amadio¹⁶, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{26a}, C. Amelung²⁵, D. Amidei⁹², S.P. Amor Dos Santos^{128a,128c}, A. Amorim^{128a,128b}, S. Amoroso³², G. Amundsen²⁵, C. Anastopoulos¹⁴¹, L.S. Ancu⁵², N. Andari¹⁹, T. Andeen¹¹, C.F. Anders^{60b}, J.K. Anders⁷⁷, K.J. Anderson³³, A. Andreazza^{94a,94b}, V. Andrei^{60a}, S. Angelidakis⁹, I. Angelozzi¹⁰⁹, A. Angerami³⁸, A.V. Anisenkov^{111,c}, N. Anjos¹³, A. Annovi^{126a,126b}, C. Antel^{60a}, M. Antonelli⁵⁰, A. Antonov^{100,*}, D.J. Antrim¹⁶⁶, F. Anulli^{134a}, M. Aoki⁶⁹, L. Aperio Bella³², G. Arabidze⁹³, Y. Arai⁶⁹, J.P. Araque^{128a}, V. Araujo Ferraz^{26a}, A.T.H. Arce⁴⁸, R.E. Ardell⁸⁰, F.A. Arduh⁷⁴, J-F. Arguin⁹⁷, S. Argyropoulos⁶⁶, M. Arik^{20a}, A.J. Armbruster³², L.J. Armitage⁷⁹, O. Arnaez¹⁶¹, H. Arnold⁵¹, M. Arratia³⁰, O. Arslan²³, A. Artamonov⁹⁹, G. Artoni¹²², S. Artz⁸⁶, S. Asai¹⁵⁷, N. Asbah⁴⁵, A. Ashkenazi¹⁵⁵, L. Asquith¹⁵¹, K. Assamagan²⁷, R. Astalos^{146a}, M. Atkinson¹⁶⁹, N.B. Atlay¹⁴³, K. Augsten¹³⁰, G. Avolio³², B. Axen¹⁶, M.K. Ayoub¹¹⁹, G. Azuelos^{97,d}, A.E. Baas^{60a}, M.J. Baca¹⁹, H. Bachacou¹³⁸, K. Bachas^{76a,76b}, M. Backes¹²², M. Backhaus³², P. Bagnaia^{134a,134b}, H. Bahrasemani¹⁴⁴, J.T. Baines¹³³, M. Bajic³⁹, O.K. Baker¹⁷⁹, E.M. Baldin^{111,c}, P. Balek¹⁷⁵, F. Balli¹³⁸, W.K. Balunas¹²⁴, E. Banas⁴², Sw. Banerjee^{176,e}, A.A.E. Bannoura¹⁷⁸, L. Barak³², E.L. Barberio⁹¹, D. Barberis^{53a,53b}, M. Barbero⁸⁸, T. Barillari¹⁰³, M-S Barisits³², J.T. Barkeloo¹¹⁸, T. Barklow¹⁴⁵, N. Barlow³⁰, S.L. Barnes^{36c}, B.M. Barnett¹³³, R.M. Barnett¹⁶, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy^{36a}, A. Baroncelli^{136a}, G. Barone²⁵, A.J. Barr¹²², L. Barranco Navarro¹⁷⁰, F. Barreiro⁸⁵, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa^{35a}, R. Bartoldus¹⁴⁵, A.E. Barton⁷⁵, P. Bartos^{146a}, A. Basalae¹²⁵, A. Bassalat^{119,f}, R.L. Bates⁵⁶, S.J. Batista¹⁶¹, J.R. Batley³⁰, M. Battaglia¹³⁹, M. Baucé^{134a,134b}, F. Bauer¹³⁸, H.S. Bawa^{145,g}, J.B. Beacham¹¹³, M.D. Beattie⁷⁵, T. Beau⁸³, P.H. Beauchemin¹⁶⁵, P. Bechtel²³, H.P. Beck^{18,h}, K. Becker¹²², M. Becker⁸⁶, M. Beckingham¹⁷³, C. Becot¹¹², A.J. Beddall^{20e}, A. Beddall^{20b}, V.A. Bednyakov⁶⁸, M. Bedognetti¹⁰⁹, C.P. Bee¹⁵⁰, T.A. Beermann³², M. Begalli^{26a}, M. Begel²⁷, J.K. Behr⁴⁵, A.S. Bell⁸¹, G. Bella¹⁵⁵, L. Bellagamba^{22a}, A. Bellerive³¹, M. Bellomo¹⁵⁴, K. Belotskiy¹⁰⁰, O. Beltramello³², N.L. Belyaev¹⁰⁰, O. Benary^{155,*}, D. Benchekroun^{137a}, M. Bender¹⁰², K. Bendtz^{148a,148b}, N. Benekos¹⁰, Y. Benhammou¹⁵⁵, E. Benhar Nocchioli¹⁷⁹, J. Benitez⁶⁶, D.P. Benjamin⁴⁸, M. Benoit⁵², J.R. Bensinger²⁵, S. Bentvelsen¹⁰⁹, L. Beresford¹²², M. Beretta⁵⁰, D. Berge¹⁰⁹, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann¹⁶⁸, N. Berger⁵, J. Beringer¹⁶, S. Berlendis⁵⁸, N.R. Bernard⁸⁹, G. Bernardi⁸³, C. Bernius¹⁴⁵, F.U. Bernlochner²³, T. Berry⁸⁰, P. Berta¹³¹, C. Bertella^{35a}, G. Bertoli^{148a,148b}, F. Bertolucci^{126a,126b}, I.A. Bertram⁷⁵, C. Bertsche⁴⁵, D. Bertsche¹¹⁵, G.J. Besjes³⁹, O. Bessidskaia Bylund^{148a,148b}, M. Bessner⁴⁵, N. Besson¹³⁸, C. Betancourt⁵¹, A. Bethani⁸⁷, S. Bethke¹⁰³, A.J. Bevan⁷⁹, J. Beyer¹⁰³, R.M. Bianchi¹²⁷, O. Biebel¹⁰², D. Biedermann¹⁷, R. Bielski⁸⁷, N.V. Biesuz^{126a,126b}, M. Biglietti^{136a}, J. Bilbao De Mendizabal⁵², T.R.V. Billoud⁹⁷, H. Bilokon⁵⁰, M. Bindi⁵⁷, A. Bingul^{20b}, C. Bini^{134a,134b}, S. Biondi^{22a,22b}, T. Bisanz⁵⁷, C. Bittrich⁴⁷, D.M. Bjergaard⁴⁸, C.W. Black¹⁵², J.E. Black¹⁴⁵, K.M. Black²⁴, R.E. Blair⁶, T. Blazek^{146a}, I. Bloch⁴⁵, C. Blocker²⁵, A. Blue⁵⁶, W. Blum^{86,*}, U. Blumenschein⁷⁹, S. Blunier^{34a}, G.J. Bobbink¹⁰⁹, V.S. Bobrovnikov^{111,c},

S.S. Bocchetta⁸⁴, A. Bocci⁴⁸, C. Bock¹⁰², M. Boehler⁵¹, D. Boerner¹⁷⁸, D. Bogavac¹⁰²,
 A.G. Bogdanchikov¹¹¹, C. Bohm^{148a}, V. Boisvert⁸⁰, P. Bokan^{168,i}, T. Bold^{41a}, A.S. Boldyrev¹⁰¹,
 A.E. Bolz^{60b}, M. Bomben⁸³, M. Bona⁷⁹, M. Boonekamp¹³⁸, A. Borisov¹³², G. Borissov⁷⁵, J. Bortfeldt³²,
 D. Bortoletto¹²², V. Bortolotto^{62a,62b,62c}, D. Boscherini^{22a}, M. Bosman¹³, J.D. Bossio Sola²⁹,
 J. Boudreau¹²⁷, J. Bouffard², E.V. Bouhova-Thacker⁷⁵, D. Boumediene³⁷, C. Bourdarios¹¹⁹,
 S.K. Boutle⁵⁶, A. Boveia¹¹³, J. Boyd³², I.R. Boyko⁶⁸, J. Bracinik¹⁹, A. Brandt⁸, G. Brandt⁵⁷,
 O. Brandt^{60a}, U. Bratzler¹⁵⁸, B. Brau⁸⁹, J.E. Brau¹¹⁸, W.D. Breaden Madden⁵⁶, K. Brendlinger⁴⁵,
 A.J. Brennan⁹¹, L. Brenner¹⁰⁹, R. Brenner¹⁶⁸, S. Bressler¹⁷⁵, D.L. Briglin¹⁹, T.M. Bristow⁴⁹,
 D. Britton⁵⁶, D. Britzger⁴⁵, F.M. Brochu³⁰, I. Brock²³, R. Brock⁹³, G. Brooijmans³⁸, T. Brooks⁸⁰,
 W.K. Brooks^{34b}, J. Brosamer¹⁶, E. Brost¹¹⁰, J.H. Broughton¹⁹, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom⁴²,
 D. Bruncko^{146b}, A. Bruni^{22a}, G. Bruni^{22a}, L.S. Bruni¹⁰⁹, B.H. Brunt³⁰, M. Bruschi^{22a}, N. Bruscino²³,
 P. Bryant³³, L. Bryngemark⁴⁵, T. Buanes¹⁵, Q. Buat¹⁴⁴, P. Buchholz¹⁴³, A.G. Buckley⁵⁶, I.A. Budagov⁶⁸,
 F. Buehrer⁵¹, M.K. Bugge¹²¹, O. Bulekov¹⁰⁰, D. Bullock⁸, T.J. Burch¹¹⁰, S. Burdin⁷⁷, C.D. Burgard⁵¹,
 A.M. Burger⁵, B. Burghgrave¹¹⁰, K. Burka⁴², S. Burke¹³³, I. Burmeister⁴⁶, J.T.P. Burr¹²², E. Busato³⁷,
 D. Büscher⁵¹, V. Büscher⁸⁶, P. Bussey⁵⁶, J.M. Butler²⁴, C.M. Buttar⁵⁶, J.M. Butterworth⁸¹, P. Butti³²,
 W. Buttinger²⁷, A. Buzatu^{35c}, A.R. Buzykaev^{111,c}, S. Cabrera Urbán¹⁷⁰, D. Caforio¹³⁰,
 V.M. Cairo^{40a,40b}, O. Cakir^{4a}, N. Calace⁵², P. Calafiura¹⁶, A. Calandri⁸⁸, G. Calderini⁸³, P. Calfayan⁶⁴,
 G. Callea^{40a,40b}, L.P. Caloba^{26a}, S. Calvente Lopez⁸⁵, D. Calvet³⁷, S. Calvet³⁷, T.P. Calvet⁸⁸,
 R. Camacho Toro³³, S. Camarda³², P. Camarri^{135a,135b}, D. Cameron¹²¹, R. Caminal Armadans¹⁶⁹,
 C. Camincher⁵⁸, S. Campana³², M. Campanelli⁸¹, A. Camplani^{94a,94b}, A. Campoverde¹⁴³,
 V. Canale^{106a,106b}, M. Cano Bret^{36c}, J. Cantero¹¹⁶, T. Cao¹⁵⁵, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido³², I. Caprini^{28b},
 M. Caprini^{28b}, M. Capua^{40a,40b}, R.M. Carbone³⁸, R. Cardarelli^{135a}, F. Cardillo⁵¹, I. Carli¹³¹, T. Carli³²,
 G. Carlino^{106a}, B.T. Carlson¹²⁷, L. Carminati^{94a,94b}, R.M.D. Carney^{148a,148b}, S. Caron¹⁰⁸, E. Carquin^{34b},
 S. Carrá^{94a,94b}, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya³², J. Carvalho^{128a,128c}, D. Casadei¹⁹, M.P. Casado^{13,j},
 M. Casolino¹³, D.W. Casper¹⁶⁶, R. Castelijin¹⁰⁹, V. Castillo Gimenez¹⁷⁰, N.F. Castro^{128a,k},
 A. Catinaccio³², J.R. Catmore¹²¹, A. Cattai³², J. Caudron²³, V. Cavaliere¹⁶⁹, E. Cavallaro¹³,
 D. Cavalli^{94a}, M. Cavalli-Sforza¹³, V. Cavasinni^{126a,126b}, E. Celebi^{20a}, F. Ceradini^{136a,136b},
 L. Cerda Alberich¹⁷⁰, A.S. Cerqueira^{26b}, A. Cerri¹⁵¹, L. Cerrito^{135a,135b}, F. Cerutti¹⁶, A. Cervelli¹⁸,
 S.A. Cetin^{20d}, A. Chafaq^{137a}, D. Chakraborty¹¹⁰, S.K. Chan⁵⁹, W.S. Chan¹⁰⁹, Y.L. Chan^{62a}, P. Chang¹⁶⁹,
 J.D. Chapman³⁰, D.G. Charlton¹⁹, C.C. Chau¹⁶¹, C.A. Chavez Barajas¹⁵¹, S. Che¹¹³,
 S. Cheatham^{167a,167c}, A. Chegwidan⁹³, S. Chekanov⁶, S.V. Chekulaev^{163a}, G.A. Chelkov^{68,l},
 M.A. Chelstowska³², C. Chen⁶⁷, H. Chen²⁷, S. Chen^{35b}, S. Chen¹⁵⁷, X. Chen^{35c,m}, Y. Chen⁷⁰,
 H.C. Cheng⁹², H.J. Cheng^{35a}, A. Cheplakov⁶⁸, E. Cheremushkina¹³², R. Cherkaoui El Moursli^{137e},
 V. Chernyatin^{27,*}, E. Cheu⁷, K. Cheung⁶³, L. Chevalier¹³⁸, V. Chiarella⁵⁰, G. Chiarelli^{126a,126b},
 G. Chiodini^{76a}, A.S. Chisholm³², A. Chitan^{28b}, Y.H. Chiu¹⁷², M.V. Chizhov⁶⁸, K. Choi⁶⁴,
 A.R. Chomont³⁷, S. Chouridou¹⁵⁶, V. Christodoulou⁸¹, D. Chromek-Burckhart³², M.C. Chu^{62a},
 J. Chudoba¹²⁹, A.J. Chuinard⁹⁰, J.J. Chwastowski⁴², L. Chytka¹¹⁷, A.K. Ciftci^{4a}, D. Cinca⁴⁶,
 V. Cindro⁷⁸, I.A. Cioara²³, C. Ciocca^{22a,22b}, A. Ciocio¹⁶, F. Ciotto^{106a,106b}, Z.H. Citron¹⁷⁵,
 M. Citterio^{94a}, M. Ciubancan^{28b}, A. Clark⁵², B.L. Clark⁵⁹, M.R. Clark³⁸, P.J. Clark⁴⁹, R.N. Clarke¹⁶,
 C. Clement^{148a,148b}, Y. Coadou⁸⁸, M. Cobal^{167a,167c}, A. Coccaro⁵², J. Cochran⁶⁷, L. Colasurdo¹⁰⁸,
 B. Cole³⁸, A.P. Colijn¹⁰⁹, J. Collot⁵⁸, T. Colombo¹⁶⁶, P. Conde Muiño^{128a,128b}, E. Coniavitis⁵¹,
 S.H. Connell^{147b}, I.A. Connelly⁸⁷, S. Constantinescu^{28b}, G. Conti³², F. Conventi^{106a,n}, M. Cooke¹⁶,
 A.M. Cooper-Sarkar¹²², F. Cormier¹⁷¹, K.J.R. Cormier¹⁶¹, M. Corradi^{134a,134b}, F. Corriveau^{90,o},
 A. Cortes-Gonzalez³², G. Cortiana¹⁰³, G. Costa^{94a}, M.J. Costa¹⁷⁰, D. Costanzo¹⁴¹, G. Cottin³⁰,
 G. Cowan⁸⁰, B.E. Cox⁸⁷, K. Cranmer¹¹², S.J. Crawley⁵⁶, R.A. Creager¹²⁴, G. Cree³¹,
 S. Crépe-Renaudin⁵⁸, F. Crescioli⁸³, W.A. Cribbs^{148a,148b}, M. Cristinziani²³, V. Croft¹⁰⁸,
 G. Crosetti^{40a,40b}, A. Cueto⁸⁵, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann¹⁴¹, A.R. Cukierman¹⁴⁵, J. Cummings¹⁷⁹,

M. Curatolo⁵⁰, J. Cúth⁸⁶, H. Czirr¹⁴³, P. Czodrowski³², G. D'amen^{22a,22b}, S. D'Auria⁵⁶, L. D'eraimo⁸³,
M. D'Onofrio⁷⁷, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa^{128a,128b}, C. Da Via⁸⁷, W. Dabrowski^{41a}, T. Dado^{146a},
T. Dai⁹², O. Dale¹⁵, F. Dallaire⁹⁷, C. Dallapiccola⁸⁹, M. Dam³⁹, J.R. Dandoy¹²⁴, M.F. Daneri²⁹,
N.P. Dang¹⁷⁶, A.C. Daniells¹⁹, N.S. Dann⁸⁷, M. Danninger¹⁷¹, M. Dano Hoffmann¹³⁸, V. Dao¹⁵⁰,
G. Darbo^{53a}, S. Darmora⁸, J. Dassoulas³, A. Dattagupta¹¹⁸, T. Daubney⁴⁵, W. Davey²³, C. David⁴⁵,
T. Davidek¹³¹, M. Davies¹⁵⁵, D.R. Davis⁴⁸, P. Davison⁸¹, E. Dawe⁹¹, I. Dawson¹⁴¹, K. De⁸,
R. de Asmundis^{106a}, A. De Benedetti¹¹⁵, S. De Castro^{22a,22b}, S. De Cecco⁸³, N. De Groot¹⁰⁸,
P. de Jong¹⁰⁹, H. De la Torre⁹³, F. De Lorenzi⁶⁷, A. De Maria⁵⁷, D. De Pedis^{134a}, A. De Salvo^{134a},
U. De Sanctis^{135a,135b}, A. De Santo¹⁵¹, K. De Vasconcelos Corga⁸⁸, J.B. De Vivie De Regie¹¹⁹,
W.J. Dearnaley⁷⁵, R. Debbe²⁷, C. Debenedetti¹³⁹, D.V. Dedovich⁶⁸, N. Dehghanian³, I. Deigaard¹⁰⁹,
M. Del Gaudio^{40a,40b}, J. Del Peso⁸⁵, T. Del Prete^{126a,126b}, D. Delgove¹¹⁹, F. Deliot¹³⁸, C.M. Delitzsch⁵²,
A. Dell'Acqua³², L. Dell'Asta²⁴, M. Dell'Orso^{126a,126b}, M. Della Pietra^{106a,106b}, D. della Volpe⁵²,
M. Delmastro⁵, C. Delporte¹¹⁹, P.A. Delsart⁵⁸, D.A. DeMarco¹⁶¹, S. Demers¹⁷⁹, M. Demichev⁶⁸,
A. Demilly⁸³, S.P. Denisov¹³², D. Denysiuk¹³⁸, D. Derendarz⁴², J.E. Derkaoui^{137d}, F. Derue⁸³,
P. Dervan⁷⁷, K. Desch²³, C. Deterre⁴⁵, K. Dette⁴⁶, M.R. Devesa²⁹, P.O. Deviveiros³², A. Dewhurst¹³³,
S. Dhaliwal²⁵, F.A. Di Bello⁵², A. Di Ciaccio^{135a,135b}, L. Di Ciaccio⁵, W.K. Di Clemente¹²⁴,
C. Di Donato^{106a,106b}, A. Di Girolamo³², B. Di Girolamo³², B. Di Micco^{136a,136b}, R. Di Nardo³²,
K.F. Di Petrillo⁵⁹, A. Di Simone⁵¹, R. Di Sipio¹⁶¹, D. Di Valentino³¹, C. Diaconu⁸⁸, M. Diamond¹⁶¹,
F.A. Dias³⁹, M.A. Diaz^{34a}, E.B. Diehl⁹², J. Dietrich¹⁷, S. Díez Cornell⁴⁵, A. Dimitrievska¹⁴,
J. Dingfelder²³, P. Dita^{28b}, S. Dita^{28b}, F. Dittus³², F. Djama⁸⁸, T. Djobava^{54b}, J.I. Djuvsland^{60a},
M.A.B. do Vale^{26c}, D. Dobos³², M. Dobre^{28b}, C. Doglioni⁸⁴, J. Dolejsi¹³¹, Z. Dolezal¹³¹,
M. Donadelli^{26d}, S. Donati^{126a,126b}, P. Dondero^{123a,123b}, J. Donini³⁷, J. Dopke¹³³, A. Doria^{106a},
M.T. Dova⁷⁴, A.T. Doyle⁵⁶, E. Drechsler⁵⁷, M. Dris¹⁰, Y. Du^{36b}, J. Duarte-Campderros¹⁵⁵,
A. Dubreuil⁵², E. Duchovni¹⁷⁵, G. Duckeck¹⁰², A. Ducourthial⁸³, O.A. Ducu^{97,p}, D. Duda¹⁰⁹,
A. Dudarev³², A.Chr. Dudder⁸⁶, E.M. Duffield¹⁶, L. Dufлот¹¹⁹, M. Dührssen³², M. Dumancic¹⁷⁵,
A.E. Dumitriu^{28b}, A.K. Duncan⁵⁶, M. Dunford^{60a}, H. Duran Yildiz^{4a}, M. Düren⁵⁵, A. Durglishvili^{54b},
D. Duschinger⁴⁷, B. Dutta⁴⁵, M. Dyndal⁴⁵, B.S. Dziedzic⁴², C. Eckardt⁴⁵, K.M. Ecker¹⁰³, R.C. Edgar⁹²,
T. Eifert³², G. Eigen¹⁵, K. Einsweiler¹⁶, T. Ekelof¹⁶⁸, M. El Kacimi^{137c}, R. El Kosseifi⁸⁸,
V. Ellajosyula⁸⁸, M. Ellert¹⁶⁸, S. Elles⁵, F. Ellinghaus¹⁷⁸, A.A. Elliot¹⁷², N. Ellis³², J. Elmsheuser²⁷,
M. Elsing³², D. Emelianov¹³³, Y. Enari¹⁵⁷, O.C. Endner⁸⁶, J.S. Ennis¹⁷³, J. Erdmann⁴⁶, A. Ereditato¹⁸,
G. Ernis¹⁷⁸, M. Ernst²⁷, S. Errede¹⁶⁹, M. Escalier¹¹⁹, C. Escobar¹⁷⁰, B. Esposito⁵⁰, O. Estrada Pastor¹⁷⁰,
A.I. Etienne¹³⁸, E. Etzion¹⁵⁵, H. Evans⁶⁴, A. Ezhilov¹²⁵, M. Ezzi^{137e}, F. Fabbri^{22a,22b}, L. Fabbri^{22a,22b},
V. Fabiani¹⁰⁸, G. Facini³³, R.M. Fakhruddinov¹³², S. Falciano^{134a}, R.J. Falla⁸¹, J. Faltova³², Y. Fang^{35a},
M. Fanti^{94a,94b}, A. Farbin⁸, A. Farilla^{136a}, C. Farina¹²⁷, E.M. Farina^{123a,123b}, T. Faroque⁹³, S. Farrell¹⁶,
S.M. Farrington¹⁷³, P. Farthouat³², F. Fassi^{137e}, P. Fassnacht³², D. Fassouliotis⁹, M. Faucci Giannelli⁸⁰,
A. Favareto^{53a,53b}, W.J. Fawcett¹²², L. Fayard¹¹⁹, O.L. Fedin^{125,q}, W. Fedorko¹⁷¹, S. Feigl¹²¹,
L. Feligioni⁸⁸, C. Feng^{36b}, E.J. Feng³², H. Feng⁹², M.J. Fenton⁵⁶, A.B. Fenyuk¹³², L. Feremenga⁸,
P. Fernandez Martinez¹⁷⁰, S. Fernandez Perez¹³, J. Ferrando⁴⁵, A. Ferrari¹⁶⁸, P. Ferrari¹⁰⁹, R. Ferrari^{123a},
D.E. Ferreira de Lima^{60b}, A. Ferrer¹⁷⁰, D. Ferrere⁵², C. Ferretti⁹², F. Fiedler⁸⁶, A. Filipčič⁷⁸,
M. Filipuzzi⁴⁵, F. Filthaut¹⁰⁸, M. Fincke-Keeler¹⁷², K.D. Finelli¹⁵², M.C.N. Fiolhais^{128a,128c,r},
L. Fiorini¹⁷⁰, A. Fischer², C. Fischer¹³, J. Fischer¹⁷⁸, W.C. Fisher⁹³, N. Flaschel⁴⁵, I. Fleck¹⁴³,
P. Fleischmann⁹², R.R.M. Fletcher¹²⁴, T. Flick¹⁷⁸, B.M. Flierl¹⁰², L.R. Flores Castillo^{62a},
M.J. Flowerdew¹⁰³, G.T. Forcolin⁸⁷, A. Formica¹³⁸, F.A. Förster¹³, A. Forti⁸⁷, A.G. Foster¹⁹,
D. Fournier¹¹⁹, H. Fox⁷⁵, S. Fracchia¹⁴¹, P. Francavilla⁸³, M. Franchini^{22a,22b}, S. Franchino^{60a},
D. Francis³², L. Franconi¹²¹, M. Franklin⁵⁹, M. Frate¹⁶⁶, M. Fraternali^{123a,123b}, D. Freeborn⁸¹,
S.M. Fressard-Batraneanu³², B. Freund⁹⁷, D. Froidevaux³², J.A. Frost¹²², C. Fukunaga¹⁵⁸,
T. Fusayasu¹⁰⁴, J. Fuster¹⁷⁰, C. Gabaldon⁵⁸, O. Gabizon¹⁵⁴, A. Gabrielli^{22a,22b}, A. Gabrielli¹⁶,

G.P. Gach^{41a}, S. Gadatsch³², S. Gadomski⁸⁰, G. Gagliardi^{53a,53b}, L.G. Gagnon⁹⁷, C. Galea¹⁰⁸, B. Galhardo^{128a,128c}, E.J. Gallas¹²², B.J. Gallop¹³³, P. Gallus¹³⁰, G. Galster³⁹, K.K. Gan¹¹³, S. Ganguly³⁷, Y. Gao⁷⁷, Y.S. Gao^{145.g}, F.M. Garay Walls⁴⁹, C. García¹⁷⁰, J.E. García Navarro¹⁷⁰, J.A. García Pascual^{35a}, M. Garcia-Sciveres¹⁶, R.W. Gardner³³, N. Garelli¹⁴⁵, V. Garonne¹²¹, A. Gascon Bravo⁴⁵, K. Gasnikova⁴⁵, C. Gatti⁵⁰, A. Gaudiello^{53a,53b}, G. Gaudio^{123a}, I.L. Gavrilenko⁹⁸, C. Gay¹⁷¹, G. Gaycken²³, E.N. Gazis¹⁰, C.N.P. Gee¹³³, J. Geisen⁵⁷, M. Geisen⁸⁶, M.P. Geisler^{60a}, K. Gellerstedt^{148a,148b}, C. Gemme^{53a}, M.H. Genest⁵⁸, C. Geng⁹², S. Gentile^{134a,134b}, C. Gentsos¹⁵⁶, S. George⁸⁰, D. Gerbaudo¹³, A. Gershon¹⁵⁵, G. Geßner⁴⁶, S. Ghasemi¹⁴³, M. Ghneimat²³, B. Giacobbe^{22a}, S. Giagu^{134a,134b}, P. Giannetti^{126a,126b}, S.M. Gibson⁸⁰, M. Gignac¹⁷¹, M. Gilchriese¹⁶, D. Gillberg³¹, G. Gilles¹⁷⁸, D.M. Gingrich^{3,d}, N. Giokaris^{9,*}, M.P. Giordani^{167a,167c}, F.M. Giorgi^{22a}, P.F. Giraud¹³⁸, P. Giromini⁵⁹, D. Giugni^{94a}, F. Giuli¹²², C. Giuliani¹⁰³, M. Giuliani^{60b}, B.K. Gjølsten¹²¹, S. Gkaitatzis¹⁵⁶, I. Gkialas^{9,s}, E.L. Gkoukousis¹³⁹, P. Gkoutoumis¹⁰, L.K. Gladilin¹⁰¹, C. Glasman⁸⁵, J. Glatzer¹³, P.C.F. Glaysher⁴⁵, A. Glazov⁴⁵, M. Goblirsch-Kolb²⁵, J. Godlewski⁴², S. Goldfarb⁹¹, T. Golling⁵², D. Golubkov¹³², A. Gomes^{128a,128b,128d}, R. Gonçalo^{128a}, R. Goncalves Gama^{26a}, J. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa¹³⁸, G. Gonella⁵¹, L. Gonella¹⁹, A. Gongadze⁶⁸, S. González de la Hoz¹⁷⁰, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁵², L. Goossens³², P.A. Gorbounov⁹⁹, H.A. Gordon²⁷, I. Gorelov¹⁰⁷, B. Gorini³², E. Gorini^{76a,76b}, A. Gorišek⁷⁸, A.T. Goshaw⁴⁸, C. Gössling⁴⁶, M.I. Gostkin⁶⁸, C.A. Gottardo²³, C.R. Goudet¹¹⁹, D. Goujdami^{137c}, A.G. Goussiou¹⁴⁰, N. Govender^{147b,t}, E. Gozani¹⁵⁴, L. Graber⁵⁷, I. Grabowska-Bold^{41a}, P.O.J. Gradin¹⁶⁸, J. Gramling¹⁶⁶, E. Gramstad¹²¹, S. Grancagnolo¹⁷, V. Gratchev¹²⁵, P.M. Gravila^{28f}, C. Gray⁵⁶, H.M. Gray¹⁶, Z.D. Greenwood^{82,u}, C. Grefe²³, K. Gregersen⁸¹, I.M. Gregor⁴⁵, P. Grenier¹⁴⁵, K. Grevtsov⁵, J. Griffiths⁸, A.A. Grillo¹³⁹, K. Grimm⁷⁵, S. Grinstein^{13,v}, Ph. Gris³⁷, J.-F. Grivaz¹¹⁹, S. Groh⁸⁶, E. Gross¹⁷⁵, J. Grosse-Knetter⁵⁷, G.C. Grossi⁸², Z.J. Grout⁸¹, A. Grummer¹⁰⁷, L. Guan⁹², W. Guan¹⁷⁶, J. Guenther⁶⁵, F. Guescini^{163a}, D. Guest¹⁶⁶, O. Gueta¹⁵⁵, B. Gui¹¹³, E. Guido^{53a,53b}, T. Guillemin⁵, S. Guindon², U. Gul⁵⁶, C. Gumpert³², J. Guo^{36c}, W. Guo⁹², Y. Guo^{36a}, R. Gupta⁴³, S. Gupta¹²², G. Gustavino^{134a,134b}, P. Gutierrez¹¹⁵, N.G. Gutierrez Ortiz⁸¹, C. Gutsche⁸¹, C. Guyot¹³⁸, M.P. Guzik^{41a}, C. Gwenlan¹²², C.B. Gwilliam⁷⁷, A. Haas¹¹², C. Haber¹⁶, H.K. Hadavand⁸, N. Haddad^{137e}, A. Hadeef⁸⁸, S. Hageböck²³, M. Hagihara¹⁶⁴, H. Hakobyan^{180,*}, M. Haleem⁴⁵, J. Haley¹¹⁶, G. Halladjian⁹³, G.D. Hallewell⁸⁸, K. Hamacher¹⁷⁸, P. Hamal¹¹⁷, K. Hamano¹⁷², A. Hamilton^{147a}, G.N. Hamity¹⁴¹, P.G. Hamnett⁴⁵, L. Han^{36a}, S. Han^{35a}, K. Hanagaki^{69,w}, K. Hanawa¹⁵⁷, M. Hance¹³⁹, B. Haney¹²⁴, P. Hanke^{60a}, J.B. Hansen³⁹, J.D. Hansen³⁹, M.C. Hansen²³, P.H. Hansen³⁹, K. Hara¹⁶⁴, A.S. Hard¹⁷⁶, T. Harenberg¹⁷⁸, F. Hariri¹¹⁹, S. Harkusha⁹⁵, R.D. Harrington⁴⁹, P.F. Harrison¹⁷³, N.M. Hartmann¹⁰², M. Hasegawa⁷⁰, Y. Hasegawa¹⁴², A. Hasib⁴⁹, S. Hassani¹³⁸, S. Haug¹⁸, R. Hauser⁹³, L. Hauswald⁴⁷, L.B. Havener³⁸, M. Havranek¹³⁰, C.M. Hawkes¹⁹, R.J. Hawkins³², D. Hayakawa¹⁵⁹, D. Hayden⁹³, C.P. Hays¹²², J.M. Hays⁷⁹, H.S. Hayward⁷⁷, S.J. Haywood¹³³, S.J. Head¹⁹, T. Heck⁸⁶, V. Hedberg⁸⁴, L. Heelan⁸, K.K. Heidegger⁵¹, S. Heim⁴⁵, T. Heim¹⁶, B. Heinemann^{45,x}, J.J. Heinrich¹⁰², L. Heinrich¹¹², C. Heinz⁵⁵, J. Hejbal¹²⁹, L. Helary³², A. Held¹⁷¹, S. Hellman^{148a,148b}, C. Helsen³², R.C.W. Henderson⁷⁵, Y. Heng¹⁷⁶, S. Henkelmann¹⁷¹, A.M. Henriques Correia³², S. Henrot-Versille¹¹⁹, G.H. Herbert¹⁷, H. Herde²⁵, V. Herget¹⁷⁷, Y. Hernández Jiménez^{147c}, H. Herr⁸⁶, G. Herten⁵¹, R. Hertenberger¹⁰², L. Hervas³², T.C. Herwig¹²⁴, G.G. Hesketh⁸¹, N.P. Hessey^{163a}, J.W. Hetherly⁴³, S. Higashino⁶⁹, E. Higón-Rodríguez¹⁷⁰, E. Hill¹⁷², J.C. Hill³⁰, K.H. Hiller⁴⁵, S.J. Hillier¹⁹, M. Hils⁴⁷, I. Hinchliffe¹⁶, M. Hirose⁵¹, D. Hirschbuehl¹⁷⁸, B. Hiti⁷⁸, O. Hladik¹²⁹, X. Hoad⁴⁹, J. Hobbs¹⁵⁰, N. Hod^{163a}, M.C. Hodgkinson¹⁴¹, P. Hodgson¹⁴¹, A. Hoecker³², M.R. Hoefkamp¹⁰⁷, F. Hoenig¹⁰², D. Hohn²³, T.R. Holmes³³, M. Homann⁴⁶, S. Honda¹⁶⁴, T. Honda⁶⁹, T.M. Hong¹²⁷, B.H. Hooberman¹⁶⁹, W.H. Hopkins¹¹⁸, Y. Horii¹⁰⁵, A.J. Horton¹⁴⁴, J.-Y. Hostachy⁵⁸, S. Hou¹⁵³, A. Hoummada^{137a}, J. Howarth⁸⁷, J. Hoya⁷⁴, M. Hrabovsky¹¹⁷, J. Hrdinka³², I. Hristova¹⁷, J. Hrivnac¹¹⁹, T. Hryn'ova⁵, A. Hrynevich⁹⁶, P.J. Hsu⁶³, S.-C. Hsu¹⁴⁰, Q. Hu^{36a}, S. Hu^{36c}, Y. Huang^{35a}, Z. Hubacek¹³⁰, F. Hubaut⁸⁸,

F. Huegging²³, T.B. Huffman¹²², E.W. Hughes³⁸, G. Hughes⁷⁵, M. Huhtinen³², P. Huo¹⁵⁰, N. Huseynov^{68,b}, J. Huston⁹³, J. Huth⁵⁹, G. Iacobucci⁵², G. Iakovidis²⁷, I. Ibragimov¹⁴³, L. Iconomidou-Fayard¹¹⁹, Z. Idrissi^{137e}, P. Iengo³², O. Igonkina^{109,y}, T. Iizawa¹⁷⁴, Y. Ikegami⁶⁹, M. Ikeno⁶⁹, Y. Ilchenko^{11,z}, D. Iliadis¹⁵⁶, N. Ilic¹⁴⁵, G. Introzzi^{123a,123b}, P. Ioannou^{9,*}, M. Iodice^{136a}, K. Iordanidou³⁸, V. Ippolito⁵⁹, M.F. Isacson¹⁶⁸, N. Ishijima¹²⁰, M. Ishino¹⁵⁷, M. Ishitsuka¹⁵⁹, C. Issever¹²², S. Istin^{20a}, F. Ito¹⁶⁴, J.M. Iturbe Ponce⁸⁷, R. Iuppa^{162a,162b}, H. Iwasaki⁶⁹, J.M. Izen⁴⁴, V. Izzo^{106a}, S. Jabbar³, P. Jackson¹, R.M. Jacobs²³, V. Jain², K.B. Jakobi⁸⁶, K. Jakobs⁵¹, S. Jakobsen⁶⁵, T. Jakoubek¹²⁹, D.O. Jamin¹¹⁶, D.K. Jana⁸², R. Jansky⁵², J. Janssen²³, M. Janus⁵⁷, P.A. Janus^{41a}, G. Jarlskog⁸⁴, N. Javadov^{68,b}, T. Javůrek⁵¹, M. Javurkova⁵¹, F. Jeanneau¹³⁸, L. Jeanty¹⁶, J. Jejelava^{54a,aa}, A. Jelinskas¹⁷³, P. Jenni^{51,ab}, C. Jeske¹⁷³, S. Jézéquel⁵, H. Ji¹⁷⁶, J. Jia¹⁵⁰, H. Jiang⁶⁷, Y. Jiang^{36a}, Z. Jiang¹⁴⁵, S. Jiggins⁸¹, J. Jimenez Pena¹⁷⁰, S. Jin^{35a}, A. Jinaru^{28b}, O. Jinnouchi¹⁵⁹, H. Jivan^{147c}, P. Johansson¹⁴¹, K.A. Johns⁷, C.A. Johnson⁶⁴, W.J. Johnson¹⁴⁰, K. Jon-And^{148a,148b}, R.W.L. Jones⁷⁵, S.D. Jones¹⁵¹, S. Jones⁷, T.J. Jones⁷⁷, J. Jongmanns^{60a}, P.M. Jorge^{128a,128b}, J. Jovicevic^{163a}, X. Ju¹⁷⁶, A. Juste Rozas^{13,v}, M.K. Köhler¹⁷⁵, A. Kaczmarek⁴², M. Kado¹¹⁹, H. Kagan¹¹³, M. Kagan¹⁴⁵, S.J. Kahn⁸⁸, T. Kaji¹⁷⁴, E. Kajomovitz⁴⁸, C.W. Kalderon⁸⁴, A. Kaluza⁸⁶, S. Kama⁴³, A. Kamenshchikov¹³², N. Kanaya¹⁵⁷, L. Kanjir⁷⁸, V.A. Kantserov¹⁰⁰, J. Kanzaki⁶⁹, B. Kaplan¹¹², L.S. Kaplan¹⁷⁶, D. Kar^{147c}, K. Karakostas¹⁰, N. Karastathis¹⁰, M.J. Kareem⁵⁷, E. Karentzos¹⁰, S.N. Karpov⁶⁸, Z.M. Karpova⁶⁸, K. Karthik¹¹², V. Kartvelishvili⁷⁵, A.N. Karyukhin¹³², K. Kasahara¹⁶⁴, L. Kashif¹⁷⁶, R.D. Kass¹¹³, A. Kastanas¹⁴⁹, Y. Kataoka¹⁵⁷, C. Kato¹⁵⁷, A. Katre⁵², J. Katzy⁴⁵, K. Kawade⁷⁰, K. Kawagoe⁷³, T. Kawamoto¹⁵⁷, G. Kawamura⁵⁷, E.F. Kay⁷⁷, V.F. Kazanin^{111,c}, R. Keeler¹⁷², R. Kehoe⁴³, J.S. Keller³¹, J.J. Kempster⁸⁰, J. Kendrick¹⁹, H. Keoshkerian¹⁶¹, O. Kepka¹²⁹, B.P. Kerševan⁷⁸, S. Kersten¹⁷⁸, R.A. Keyes⁹⁰, M. Khader¹⁶⁹, F. Khalil-zada¹², A. Khanov¹¹⁶, A.G. Kharlamov^{111,c}, T. Kharlamova^{111,c}, A. Khodinov¹⁶⁰, T.J. Khoo⁵², V. Khovanskiy^{99,*}, E. Khramov⁶⁸, J. Khubua^{54b,ac}, S. Kido⁷⁰, C.R. Kilby⁸⁰, H.Y. Kim⁸, S.H. Kim¹⁶⁴, Y.K. Kim³³, N. Kimura¹⁵⁶, O.M. Kind¹⁷, B.T. King⁷⁷, D. Kirchmeier⁴⁷, J. Kirk¹³³, A.E. Kiryunin¹⁰³, T. Kishimoto¹⁵⁷, D. Kisielewska^{41a}, V. Kitali⁴⁵, K. Kiuchi¹⁶⁴, O. Kivernyk⁵, E. Kladiva^{146b}, T. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus⁵¹, M.H. Klein³⁸, M. Klein⁷⁷, U. Klein⁷⁷, K. Kleinknecht⁸⁶, P. Klimek¹¹⁰, A. Klimentov²⁷, R. Klingenberg⁴⁶, T. Klingl²³, T. Klioutchnikova³², E.-E. Kluge^{60a}, P. Kluit¹⁰⁹, S. Kluth¹⁰³, E. Kneringer⁶⁵, E.B.F.G. Knoops⁸⁸, A. Knue¹⁰³, A. Kobayashi¹⁵⁷, D. Kobayashi¹⁵⁹, T. Kobayashi¹⁵⁷, M. Kobel⁴⁷, M. Kocian¹⁴⁵, P. Kodys¹³¹, T. Koffas³¹, E. Koffeman¹⁰⁹, N.M. Köhler¹⁰³, T. Koi¹⁴⁵, M. Kolb^{60b}, I. Koletsou⁵, A.A. Komar^{98,*}, Y. Komori¹⁵⁷, T. Kondo⁶⁹, N. Kondrashova^{36c}, K. Köneke⁵¹, A.C. König¹⁰⁸, T. Kono^{69,ad}, R. Konoplich^{112,ae}, N. Konstantinidis⁸¹, R. Kopeliansky⁶⁴, S. Koperny^{41a}, A.K. Kopp⁵¹, K. Korcyl⁴², K. Kordas¹⁵⁶, A. Korn⁸¹, A.A. Korol^{111,c}, I. Korolkov¹³, E.V. Korolkova¹⁴¹, O. Kortner¹⁰³, S. Kortner¹⁰³, T. Kosek¹³¹, V.V. Kostyukhin²³, A. Kotwal⁴⁸, A. Koulouris¹⁰, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi^{123a,123b}, C. Kourkoumelis⁹, E. Kourlitis¹⁴¹, V. Kouskoura²⁷, A.B. Kowalewska⁴², R. Kowalewski¹⁷², T.Z. Kowalski^{41a}, C. Kozakai¹⁵⁷, W. Kozanecki¹³⁸, A.S. Kozhin¹³², V.A. Kramarenko¹⁰¹, G. Kramberger⁷⁸, D. Krasnopevtsev¹⁰⁰, M.W. Krasny⁸³, A. Krasznahorkay³², D. Krauss¹⁰³, J.A. Kremer^{41a}, J. Kretzschmar⁷⁷, K. Kreutzfeldt⁵⁵, P. Krieger¹⁶¹, K. Krizka³³, K. Kroeninger⁴⁶, H. Kroha¹⁰³, J. Kroll¹²⁹, J. Kroll¹²⁴, J. Kroseberg²³, J. Krstic¹⁴, U. Kruchonak⁶⁸, H. Krüger²³, N. Krumnack⁶⁷, M.C. Kruse⁴⁸, T. Kubota⁹¹, H. Kucuk⁸¹, S. Kudah^{4b}, J.T. Kuechler¹⁷⁸, S. Kuehn³², A. Kugel^{60a}, F. Kuger¹⁷⁷, T. Kuhl⁴⁵, V. Kukhtin⁶⁸, R. Kukla⁸⁸, Y. Kulchitsky⁹⁵, S. Kuleshov^{34b}, Y.P. Kulinich¹⁶⁹, M. Kuna^{134a,134b}, T. Kunigo⁷¹, A. Kupco¹²⁹, T. Kupfer⁴⁶, O. Kuprash¹⁵⁵, H. Kurashige⁷⁰, L.L. Kurchaninov^{163a}, Y.A. Kurochkin⁹⁵, M.G. Kurth^{35a}, V. Kus¹²⁹, E.S. Kuwertz¹⁷², M. Kuze¹⁵⁹, J. Kvita¹¹⁷, T. Kwan¹⁷², D. Kyriazopoulos¹⁴¹, A. La Rosa¹⁰³, J.L. La Rosa Navarro^{26d}, L. La Rotonda^{40a,40b}, F. La Ruffa^{40a,40b}, C. Lacasta¹⁷⁰, F. Lacava^{134a,134b}, J. Lacey⁴⁵, H. Lacker¹⁷, D. Lacour⁸³, E. Ladygin⁶⁸, R. Lafaye⁵, B. Laforge⁸³, T. Lagouri¹⁷⁹, S. Lai⁵⁷, S. Lammers⁶⁴, W. Lampl⁷, E. Lançon²⁷, U. Landgraf⁵¹, M.P.J. Landon⁷⁹, M.C. Lanfermann⁵²,

V.S. Lang^{60a}, J.C. Lange¹³, R.J. Langenberg³², A.J. Lankford¹⁶⁶, F. Lanni²⁷, K. Lantsch²³, A. Lanza^{123a}, A. Lapertosa^{53a,53b}, S. Laplace⁸³, J.F. Laporte¹³⁸, T. Lari^{94a}, F. Lasagni Manghi^{22a,22b}, M. Lassnig³², P. Laurelli⁵⁰, W. Lavrijsen¹⁶, A.T. Law¹³⁹, P. Laycock⁷⁷, T. Lazovich⁵⁹, M. Lazzaroni^{94a,94b}, B. Le⁹¹, O. Le Dortz⁸³, E. Le Guirriec⁸⁸, E.P. Le Quilleuc¹³⁸, M. LeBlanc¹⁷², T. LeCompte⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon⁵⁸, C.A. Lee²⁷, G.R. Lee^{133,af}, S.C. Lee¹⁵³, L. Lee⁵⁹, B. Lefebvre⁹⁰, G. Lefebvre⁸³, M. Lefebvre¹⁷², F. Legger¹⁰², C. Leggett¹⁶, A. Lehan⁷⁷, G. Lehmann Miotto³², X. Lei⁷, W.A. Leight⁴⁵, M.A.L. Leite^{26d}, R. Leitner¹³¹, D. Lellouch¹⁷⁵, B. Lemmer⁵⁷, K.J.C. Leney⁸¹, T. Lenz²³, B. Lenzi³², R. Leone⁷, S. Leone^{126a,126b}, C. Leonidopoulos⁴⁹, G. Lerner¹⁵¹, C. Leroy⁹⁷, A.A.J. Lesage¹³⁸, C.G. Lester³⁰, M. Levchenko¹²⁵, J. Levêque⁵, D. Levin⁹², L.J. Levinson¹⁷⁵, M. Levy¹⁹, D. Lewis⁷⁹, B. Li^{36a,ag}, Changqiao Li^{36a}, H. Li¹⁵⁰, L. Li^{36c}, Q. Li^{35a}, S. Li⁴⁸, X. Li^{36c}, Y. Li¹⁴³, Z. Liang^{35a}, B. Liberti^{135a}, A. Liblong¹⁶¹, K. Lie^{62c}, J. Liebal²³, W. Liebig¹⁵, A. Limosani¹⁵², S.C. Lin¹⁸², T.H. Lin⁸⁶, B.E. Lindquist¹⁵⁰, A.E. Lioni⁵², E. Lipeles¹²⁴, A. Lipniacka¹⁵, M. Lisovyi^{60b}, T.M. Liss^{169,ah}, A. Lister¹⁷¹, A.M. Litke¹³⁹, B. Liu^{153,ai}, H. Liu⁹², H. Liu²⁷, J.K.K. Liu¹²², J. Liu^{36b}, J.B. Liu^{36a}, K. Liu⁸⁸, L. Liu¹⁶⁹, M. Liu^{36a}, Y.L. Liu^{36a}, Y. Liu^{36a}, M. Livan^{123a,123b}, A. Lleres⁵⁸, J. Llorente Merino^{35a}, S.L. Lloyd⁷⁹, C.Y. Lo^{62b}, F. Lo Sterzo¹⁵³, E.M. Lobodzinska⁴⁵, P. Loch⁷, F.K. Loebinger⁸⁷, A. Loesle⁵¹, K.M. Loew²⁵, A. Loginov^{179,*}, T. Lohse¹⁷, K. Lohwasser¹⁴¹, M. Lokajicek¹²⁹, B.A. Long²⁴, J.D. Long¹⁶⁹, R.E. Long⁷⁵, L. Longo^{76a,76b}, K.A. Looper¹¹³, J.A. Lopez^{34b}, D. Lopez Mateos⁵⁹, I. Lopez Paz¹³, A. Lopez Solis⁸³, J. Lorenz¹⁰², N. Lorenzo Martinez⁵, M. Losada²¹, P.J. Lösel¹⁰², X. Lou^{35a}, A. Lounis¹¹⁹, J. Love⁶, P.A. Love⁷⁵, H. Lu^{62a}, N. Lu⁹², Y.J. Lu⁶³, H.J. Lubatti¹⁴⁰, C. Luci^{134a,134b}, A. Lucotte⁵⁸, C. Luedtke⁵¹, F. Luehring⁶⁴, W. Lukas⁶⁵, L. Luminari^{134a}, O. Lundberg^{148a,148b}, B. Lund-Jensen¹⁴⁹, P.M. Luzi⁸³, D. Lynn²⁷, R. Lysak¹²⁹, E. Lytken⁸⁴, F. Lyu^{35a}, V. Lyubushkin⁶⁸, H. Ma²⁷, L.L. Ma^{36b}, Y. Ma^{36b}, G. Maccarrone⁵⁰, A. Macchiolo¹⁰³, C.M. Macdonald¹⁴¹, B. Maček⁷⁸, J. Machado Miguens^{124,128b}, D. Madaffari¹⁷⁰, R. Madar³⁷, W.F. Mader⁴⁷, A. Madsen⁴⁵, J. Maeda⁷⁰, S. Maeland¹⁵, T. Maeno²⁷, A.S. Maevskiy¹⁰¹, E. Magradze⁵⁷, J. Mahlstedt¹⁰⁹, C. Maiani¹¹⁹, C. Maidantchik^{26a}, A.A. Maier¹⁰³, T. Maier¹⁰², A. Maio^{128a,128b,128d}, O. Majersky^{146a}, S. Majewski¹¹⁸, Y. Makida⁶⁹, N. Makovec¹¹⁹, B. Malaescu⁸³, Pa. Malecki⁴², V.P. Maleev¹²⁵, F. Malek⁵⁸, U. Mallik⁶⁶, D. Malon⁶, C. Malone³⁰, S. Maltezos¹⁰, S. Malyukov³², J. Mamuzic¹⁷⁰, G. Mancini⁵⁰, L. Mandelli^{94a}, I. Mandić⁷⁸, J. Maneira^{128a,128b}, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho^{26b}, J. Manjarres Ramos⁴⁷, K.H. Mankinen⁸⁴, A. Mann¹⁰², A. Manousos³², B. Mansoulie¹³⁸, J.D. Mansour^{35a}, R. Mantifel⁹⁰, M. Mantoani⁵⁷, S. Manzoni^{94a,94b}, L. Mapelli³², G. Marceca²⁹, L. March⁵², L. Marchese¹²², G. Marchiori⁸³, M. Marcisovsky¹²⁹, M. Marjanovic³⁷, D.E. Marley⁹², F. Marroquim^{26a}, S.P. Marsden⁸⁷, Z. Marshall¹⁶, M.U.F. Martensson¹⁶⁸, S. Marti-Garcia¹⁷⁰, C.B. Martin¹¹³, T.A. Martin¹⁷³, V.J. Martin⁴⁹, B. Martin dit Latour¹⁵, M. Martinez^{13,v}, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn¹⁶⁹, S. Martin-Haugh¹³³, V.S. Martoiu^{28b}, A.C. Martyniuk⁸¹, A. Marzin³², L. Masetti⁸⁶, T. Mashimo¹⁵⁷, R. Mashinistov⁹⁸, J. Masik⁸⁷, A.L. Maslennikov^{111,c}, L. Massa^{135a,135b}, P. Mastrandrea⁵, A. Mastroberardino^{40a,40b}, T. Masubuchi¹⁵⁷, P. Mättig¹⁷⁸, J. Maurer^{28b}, S.J. Maxfield⁷⁷, D.A. Maximov^{111,c}, R. Mazini¹⁵³, I. Maznas¹⁵⁶, S.M. Mazza^{94a,94b}, N.C. Mc Fadden¹⁰⁷, G. Mc Goldrick¹⁶¹, S.P. Mc Kee⁹², A. McCarn⁹², R.L. McCarthy¹⁵⁰, T.G. McCarthy¹⁰³, L.I. McClymont⁸¹, E.F. McDonald⁹¹, J.A. Mcfayden⁸¹, G. Mchedlidze⁵⁷, S.J. McMahon¹³³, P.C. McNamara⁹¹, R.A. McPherson^{172,o}, S. Meehan¹⁴⁰, T.J. Megy⁵¹, S. Mehlhase¹⁰², A. Mehta⁷⁷, T. Meideck⁵⁸, K. Meier^{60a}, B. Meirose⁴⁴, D. Melini^{170,aj}, B.R. Mellado Garcia^{147c}, J.D. Mellenthin⁵⁷, M. Melo^{146a}, F. Meloni¹⁸, S.B. Menary⁸⁷, L. Meng⁷⁷, X.T. Meng⁹², A. Mengarelli^{22a,22b}, S. Menke¹⁰³, E. Meoni^{40a,40b}, S. Mergelmeyer¹⁷, P. Mermod⁵², L. Merola^{106a,106b}, C. Meroni^{94a}, F.S. Merritt³³, A. Messina^{134a,134b}, J. Metcalfe⁶, A.S. Mete¹⁶⁶, C. Meyer¹²⁴, J-P. Meyer¹³⁸, J. Meyer¹⁰⁹, H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen^{60a}, F. Miano¹⁵¹, R.P. Middleton¹³³, S. Miglioranzi^{53a,53b}, L. Mijović⁴⁹, G. Mikenberg¹⁷⁵, M. Mikestikova¹²⁹, M. Mikuž⁷⁸, M. Milesi⁹¹, A. Milic¹⁶¹, D.W. Miller³³, C. Mills⁴⁹, A. Milov¹⁷⁵, D.A. Milstead^{148a,148b}, A.A. Minaenko¹³², Y. Minami¹⁵⁷,

I.A. Minashvili⁶⁸, A.I. Mincer¹¹², B. Mindur^{41a}, M. Mineev⁶⁸, Y. Minegishi¹⁵⁷, Y. Ming¹⁷⁶, L.M. Mir¹³, K.P. Mistry¹²⁴, T. Mitani¹⁷⁴, J. Mitrevski¹⁰², V.A. Mitsou¹⁷⁰, A. Miucci¹⁸, P.S. Miyagawa¹⁴¹, A. Mizukami⁶⁹, J.U. Mjörnmark⁸⁴, T. Mkrtychyan¹⁸⁰, M. Mlynarikova¹³¹, T. Moa^{148a,148b}, K. Mochizuki⁹⁷, P. Mogg⁵¹, S. Mohapatra³⁸, S. Molander^{148a,148b}, R. Moles-Valls²³, R. Monden⁷¹, M.C. Mondragon⁹³, K. Mönig⁴⁵, J. Monk³⁹, E. Monnier⁸⁸, A. Montalbano¹⁵⁰, J. Montejo Berlingen³², F. Monticelli⁷⁴, S. Monzani^{94a,94b}, R.W. Moore³, N. Morange¹¹⁹, D. Moreno²¹, M. Moreno Llácer³², P. Moretini^{53a}, S. Morgenstern³², D. Mori¹⁴⁴, T. Mori¹⁵⁷, M. Morii⁵⁹, M. Morinaga¹⁵⁷, V. Morisbak¹²¹, A.K. Morley¹⁵², G. Mornacchi³², J.D. Morris⁷⁹, L. Morvaj¹⁵⁰, P. Moschovakos¹⁰, M. Mosidze^{54b}, H.J. Moss¹⁴¹, J. Moss^{145,ak}, K. Motohashi¹⁵⁹, R. Mount¹⁴⁵, E. Mountricha²⁷, E.J.W. Moyses⁸⁹, S. Muanza⁸⁸, R.D. Mudd¹⁹, F. Mueller¹⁰³, J. Mueller¹²⁷, R.S.P. Mueller¹⁰², D. Muenstermann⁷⁵, P. Mullen⁵⁶, G.A. Mullier¹⁸, F.J. Munoz Sanchez⁸⁷, W.J. Murray^{173,133}, H. Musheghyan³², M. Muškinja⁷⁸, A.G. Myagkov^{132,al}, M. Myska¹³⁰, B.P. Nachman¹⁶, O. Nackenhorst⁵², K. Nagai¹²², R. Nagai^{69,ad}, K. Nagano⁶⁹, Y. Nagasaka⁶¹, K. Nagata¹⁶⁴, M. Nagel⁵¹, E. Nagy⁸⁸, A.M. Nairz³², Y. Nakahama¹⁰⁵, K. Nakamura⁶⁹, T. Nakamura¹⁵⁷, I. Nakano¹¹⁴, R.F. Naranjo Garcia⁴⁵, R. Narayan¹¹, D.I. Narrias Villar^{60a}, I. Naryshkin¹²⁵, T. Naumann⁴⁵, G. Navarro²¹, R. Nayyar⁷, H.A. Neal⁹², P.Yu. Nechaeva⁹⁸, T.J. Neep¹³⁸, A. Negri^{123a,123b}, M. Negrini^{22a}, S. Nektarijevic¹⁰⁸, C. Nellist¹¹⁹, A. Nelson¹⁶⁶, M.E. Nelson¹²², S. Nemecek¹²⁹, P. Nemethy¹¹², M. Nessi^{32,am}, M.S. Neubauer¹⁶⁹, M. Neumann¹⁷⁸, P.R. Newman¹⁹, T.Y. Ng^{62c}, T. Nguyen Manh⁹⁷, R.B. Nickerson¹²², R. Nicolaidou¹³⁸, J. Nielsen¹³⁹, V. Nikolaenko^{132,al}, I. Nikolic-Audit⁸³, K. Nikolopoulos¹⁹, J.K. Nilsen¹²¹, P. Nilsson²⁷, Y. Ninomiya¹⁵⁷, A. Nisati^{134a}, N. Nishu^{35c}, R. Nisius¹⁰³, I. Nitsche⁴⁶, T. Nitta¹⁷⁴, T. Nobe¹⁵⁷, Y. Noguchi⁷¹, M. Nomachi¹²⁰, I. Nomidis³¹, M.A. Nomura²⁷, T. Nooney⁷⁹, M. Nordberg³², N. Norjoharuddeen¹²², O. Novgorodova⁴⁷, S. Nowak¹⁰³, M. Nozaki⁶⁹, L. Nozka¹¹⁷, K. Ntekas¹⁶⁶, E. Nurse⁸¹, F. Nuti⁹¹, K. O'connor²⁵, D.C. O'Neil¹⁴⁴, A.A. O'Rourke⁴⁵, V. O'Shea⁵⁶, F.G. Oakham^{31,d}, H. Oberlack¹⁰³, T. Obermann²³, J. Ocariz⁸³, A. Ochi⁷⁰, I. Ochoa³⁸, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux^{34a}, S. Oda⁷³, S. Odaka⁶⁹, H. Ogren⁶⁴, A. Oh⁸⁷, S.H. Oh⁴⁸, C.C. Ohm¹⁶, H. Ohman¹⁶⁸, H. Oide^{53a,53b}, H. Okawa¹⁶⁴, Y. Okumura¹⁵⁷, T. Okuyama⁶⁹, A. Olariu^{28b}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra^{128a}, S.A. Olivares Pino⁴⁹, D. Oliveira Damazio²⁷, A. Olszewski⁴², J. Olszowska⁴², A. Onofre^{128a,128e}, K. Onogi¹⁰⁵, P.U.E. Onyisi^{11,z}, M.J. Oreglia³³, Y. Oren¹⁵⁵, D. Orestano^{136a,136b}, N. Orlando^{62b}, R.S. Orr¹⁶¹, B. Osculati^{53a,53b,*}, R. Ospanov^{36a}, G. Otero y Garzon²⁹, H. Otono⁷³, M. Ouchrif^{137d}, F. Ould-Saada¹²¹, A. Ouraou¹³⁸, K.P. Oussoren¹⁰⁹, Q. Ouyang^{35a}, M. Owen⁵⁶, R.E. Owen¹⁹, V.E. Ozcan^{20a}, N. Ozturk⁸, K. Pachal¹⁴⁴, A. Pacheco Pages¹³, L. Pacheco Rodriguez¹³⁸, C. Padilla Aranda¹³, S. Pagan Griso¹⁶, M. Paganini¹⁷⁹, F. Paige²⁷, G. Palacino⁶⁴, S. Palazzo^{40a,40b}, S. Palestini³², M. Palka^{41b}, D. Pallin³⁷, E.St. Panagiotopoulou¹⁰, I. Panagoulas¹⁰, C.E. Pandini⁸³, J.G. Panduro Vazquez⁸⁰, P. Pani³², S. Panitkin²⁷, D. Pantea^{28b}, L. Paolozzi⁵², Th.D. Papadopoulou¹⁰, K. Papageorgiou^{9,s}, A. Paramonov⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez¹⁷⁹, A.J. Parker⁷⁵, M.A. Parker³⁰, K.A. Parker⁴⁵, F. Parodi^{53a,53b}, J.A. Parsons³⁸, U. Parzefall⁵¹, V.R. Pascuzzi¹⁶¹, J.M. Pasner¹³⁹, E. Pasqualucci^{134a}, S. Passaggio^{53a}, Fr. Pastore⁸⁰, S. Pataria⁸⁶, J.R. Pater⁸⁷, T. Pauly³², B. Pearson¹⁰³, S. Pedraza Lopez¹⁷⁰, R. Pedro^{128a,128b}, S.V. Peleganchuk^{111,c}, O. Penc¹²⁹, C. Peng^{35a}, H. Peng^{36a}, J. Penwell⁶⁴, B.S. Peralva^{26b}, M.M. Perego¹³⁸, D.V. Perepelitsa²⁷, F. Peri¹⁷, L. Perini^{94a,94b}, H. Pernegger³², S. Perrella^{106a,106b}, R. Peschke⁴⁵, V.D. Peshekhonov^{68,*}, K. Peters⁴⁵, R.F.Y. Peters⁸⁷, B.A. Petersen³², T.C. Petersen³⁹, E. Petit⁵⁸, A. Petridis¹, C. Petridou¹⁵⁶, P. Petroff¹¹⁹, E. Petrolo^{134a}, M. Petrov¹²², F. Petrucci^{136a,136b}, N.E. Pettersson⁸⁹, A. Peyaud¹³⁸, R. Pezoa^{34b}, F.H. Phillips⁹³, P.W. Phillips¹³³, G. Piacquadio¹⁵⁰, E. Pianori¹⁷³, A. Picazio⁸⁹, E. Piccaro⁷⁹, M.A. Pickering¹²², R. Piegaia²⁹, J.E. Pilcher³³, A.D. Pilkington⁸⁷, A.W.J. Pin⁸⁷, M. Pinamonti^{135a,135b}, J.L. Pinfold³, H. Pirumov⁴⁵, M. Pitt¹⁷⁵, L. Plazak^{146a}, M.-A. Pleier²⁷, V. Pleskot⁸⁶, E. Plotnikova⁶⁸, D. Pluth⁶⁷, P. Podberezko¹¹¹, R. Poettgen^{148a,148b}, R. Poggi^{123a,123b}, L. Poggioli¹¹⁹, D. Pohl²³, G. Polesello^{123a}, A. Poley⁴⁵, A. Policicchio^{40a,40b}, R. Polifka³², A. Polini^{22a}, C.S. Pollard⁵⁶, V. Polychronakos²⁷, K. Pommès³²,

D. Ponomarenko¹⁰⁰, L. Pontecorvo^{134a}, B.G. Pope⁹³, G.A. Popeneciu^{28d}, A. Poppleton³², S. Pospisil¹³⁰,
 K. Potamianos¹⁶, I.N. Potrap⁶⁸, C.J. Potter³⁰, G. Poulard³², T. Poulsen⁸⁴, J. Poveda³²,
 M.E. Pozo Astigarraga³², P. Pralavorio⁸⁸, A. Pranko¹⁶, S. Prell⁶⁷, D. Price⁸⁷, L.E. Price⁶,
 M. Primavera^{76a}, S. Prince⁹⁰, N. Proklova¹⁰⁰, K. Prokofiev^{62c}, F. Prokoshin^{34b}, S. Protopopescu²⁷,
 J. Proudfoot⁶, M. Przybycien^{41a}, A. Puri¹⁶⁹, P. Puzo¹¹⁹, J. Qian⁹², G. Qin⁵⁶, Y. Qin⁸⁷, A. Quadt⁵⁷,
 M. Queitsch-Maitland⁴⁵, D. Quilty⁵⁶, S. Raddum¹²¹, V. Radeka²⁷, V. Radescu¹²²,
 S.K. Radhakrishnan¹⁵⁰, P. Radloff¹¹⁸, P. Rados⁹¹, F. Ragusa^{94a,94b}, G. Rahal¹⁸¹, J.A. Raine⁸⁷,
 S. Rajagopalan²⁷, C. Rangel-Smith¹⁶⁸, T. Rashid¹¹⁹, S. Raspopov⁵, M.G. Ratti^{94a,94b}, D.M. Rauch⁴⁵,
 F. Rauscher¹⁰², S. Rave⁸⁶, I. Ravinovich¹⁷⁵, J.H. Rawling⁸⁷, M. Raymond³², A.L. Read¹²¹,
 N.P. Readioff⁵⁸, M. Reale^{76a,76b}, D.M. Rebuffi^{123a,123b}, A. Redelbach¹⁷⁷, G. Redlinger²⁷, R. Reece¹³⁹,
 R.G. Reed^{147c}, K. Reeves⁴⁴, L. Rehnisch¹⁷, J. Reichert¹²⁴, A. Reiss⁸⁶, C. Rembser³², H. Ren^{35a},
 M. Rescigno^{134a}, S. Resconi^{94a}, E.D. Resseguie¹²⁴, S. Rettie¹⁷¹, E. Reynolds¹⁹, O.L. Rezanova^{111.c},
 P. Reznicek¹³¹, R. Rezvani⁹⁷, R. Richter¹⁰³, S. Richter⁸¹, E. Richter-Was^{41b}, O. Ricken²³, M. Ridel⁸³,
 P. Rieck¹⁰³, C.J. Riegel¹⁷⁸, J. Rieger⁵⁷, O. Rifki¹¹⁵, M. Rijssenbeek¹⁵⁰, A. Rimoldi^{123a,123b},
 M. Rimoldi¹⁸, L. Rinaldi^{22a}, G. Ripellino¹⁴⁹, B. Ristic³², E. Ritsch³², I. Riu¹³, F. Rizatdinova¹¹⁶,
 E. Rizvi⁷⁹, C. Rizzi¹³, R.T. Roberts⁸⁷, S.H. Robertson^{90.o}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau⁹⁰, D. Robinson³⁰,
 J.E.M. Robinson⁴⁵, A. Robson⁵⁶, E. Rocco⁸⁶, C. Roda^{126a,126b}, Y. Rodina^{88.an}, S. Rodriguez Bosca¹⁷⁰,
 A. Rodriguez Perez¹³, D. Rodriguez Rodriguez¹⁷⁰, S. Roe³², C.S. Rogan⁵⁹, O. Røhne¹²¹, J. Roloff⁵⁹,
 A. Romaniouk¹⁰⁰, M. Romano^{22a,22b}, S.M. Romano Saez³⁷, E. Romero Adam¹⁷⁰, N. Rompotis⁷⁷,
 M. Ronzani⁵¹, L. Roos⁸³, S. Rosati^{134a}, K. Rosbach⁵¹, P. Rose¹³⁹, N.-A. Rosien⁵⁷, E. Rossi^{106a,106b},
 L.P. Rossi^{53a}, J.H.N. Rosten³⁰, R. Rosten¹⁴⁰, M. Rotaru^{28b}, I. Roth¹⁷⁵, J. Rothberg¹⁴⁰, D. Rousseau¹¹⁹,
 A. Rozanov⁸⁸, Y. Rozen¹⁵⁴, X. Ruan^{147c}, F. Rubbo¹⁴⁵, F. Rühr⁵¹, A. Ruiz-Martinez³¹, Z. Rurikova⁵¹,
 N.A. Rusakovich⁶⁸, H.L. Russell⁹⁰, J.P. Rutherford⁷, N. Ruthmann³², Y.F. Ryabov¹²⁵, M. Rybar¹⁶⁹,
 G. Rybkin¹¹⁹, S. Ryu⁶, A. Ryzhov¹³², G.F. Rzehorz⁵⁷, A.F. Saavedra¹⁵², G. Sabato¹⁰⁹, S. Sacerdoti²⁹,
 H.F.-W. Sadrozinski¹³⁹, R. Sadykov⁶⁸, F. Safai Tehrani^{134a}, P. Saha¹¹⁰, M. Sahinsoy^{60a}, M. Saimpert⁴⁵,
 M. Saito¹⁵⁷, T. Saito¹⁵⁷, H. Sakamoto¹⁵⁷, Y. Sakurai¹⁷⁴, G. Salamanna^{136a,136b}, J.E. Salazar Loyola^{34b},
 D. Salek¹⁰⁹, P.H. Sales De Bruin¹⁶⁸, D. Salihagic¹⁰³, A. Salnikov¹⁴⁵, J. Salt¹⁷⁰, D. Salvatore^{40a,40b},
 F. Salvatore¹⁵¹, A. Salvucci^{62a,62b,62c}, A. Salzburger³², D. Sammel⁵¹, D. Sampsonidis¹⁵⁶,
 D. Sampsonidou¹⁵⁶, J. Sánchez¹⁷⁰, V. Sanchez Martinez¹⁷⁰, A. Sanchez Pineda^{167a,167c}, H. Sandaker¹²¹,
 R.L. Sandbach⁷⁹, C.O. Sander⁴⁵, M. Sandhoff¹⁷⁸, C. Sandoval²¹, D.P.C. Sankey¹³³, M. Sannino^{53a,53b},
 Y. Sano¹⁰⁵, A. Sansoni⁵⁰, C. Santoni³⁷, R. Santonico^{135a,135b}, H. Santos^{128a}, I. Santoyo Castillo¹⁵¹,
 A. Saprosov⁶⁸, J.G. Saraiva^{128a,128d}, B. Sarrazin²³, O. Sasaki⁶⁹, K. Sato¹⁶⁴, E. Sauvan⁵, G. Savage⁸⁰,
 P. Savard^{161.d}, N. Savic¹⁰³, C. Sawyer¹³³, L. Sawyer^{82.u}, J. Saxon³³, C. Sbarra^{22a}, A. Sbrizzi^{22a,22b},
 T. Scanlon⁸¹, D.A. Scannicchio¹⁶⁶, M. Scarcella¹⁵², V. Scarfone^{40a,40b}, J. Schaarschmidt¹⁴⁰,
 P. Schacht¹⁰³, B.M. Schachtner¹⁰², D. Schaefer³², L. Schaefer¹²⁴, R. Schaefer⁴⁵, J. Schaeffer⁸⁶,
 S. Schaepe²³, S. Schaezel^{60b}, U. Schäfer⁸⁶, A.C. Schaffer¹¹⁹, D. Schaile¹⁰², R.D. Schamberger¹⁵⁰,
 V. Scharf^{60a}, V.A. Schegelsky¹²⁵, D. Scheirich¹³¹, M. Schernau¹⁶⁶, C. Schiavi^{53a,53b}, S. Schier¹³⁹,
 L.K. Schildgen²³, C. Schillo⁵¹, M. Schioppa^{40a,40b}, S. Schlenker³², K.R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld¹⁰³,
 K. Schmieden³², C. Schmitt⁸⁶, S. Schmitt⁴⁵, S. Schmitz⁸⁶, U. Schnoor⁵¹, L. Schoeffel¹³⁸,
 A. Schoening^{60b}, B.D. Schoenrock⁹³, E. Schopf²³, M. Schott⁸⁶, J.F.P. Schouwenberg¹⁰⁸,
 J. Schovancova³², S. Schramm⁵², N. Schuh⁸⁶, A. Schulte⁸⁶, M.J. Schultens²³, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon^{60a},
 H. Schulz¹⁷, M. Schumacher⁵¹, B.A. Schumm¹³⁹, Ph. Schune¹³⁸, A. Schwartzman¹⁴⁵, T.A. Schwarz⁹²,
 H. Schweiger⁸⁷, Ph. Schwemling¹³⁸, R. Schwienhorst⁹³, J. Schwindling¹³⁸, A. Sciandra²³, G. Sciolla²⁵,
 M. Scornajenghi^{40a,40b}, F. Scuri^{126a,126b}, F. Scutti⁹¹, J. Searcy⁹², P. Seema²³, S.C. Seidel¹⁰⁷,
 A. Seiden¹³⁹, J.M. Seixas^{26a}, G. Sekhniaidze^{106a}, K. Sekhon⁹², S.J. Sekula⁴³, N. Semprini-Cesari^{22a,22b},
 S. Senkin³⁷, C. Serfon¹²¹, L. Serin¹¹⁹, L. Serkin^{167a,167b}, M. Sessa^{136a,136b}, R. Seuster¹⁷², H. Severini¹¹⁵,
 T. Sfiligoi⁷⁸, F. Sforza³², A. Sfyrla⁵², E. Shabalina⁵⁷, N.W. Shaikh^{148a,148b}, L.Y. Shan^{35a}, R. Shang¹⁶⁹,

J.T. Shank²⁴, M. Shapiro¹⁶, P.B. Shatalov⁹⁹, K. Shaw^{167a,167b}, S.M. Shaw⁸⁷, A. Shcherbakova^{148a,148b}, C.Y. Shehu¹⁵¹, Y. Shen¹¹⁵, N. Sherafati³¹, P. Sherwood⁸¹, L. Shi^{153,ao}, S. Shimizu⁷⁰, C.O. Shimmin¹⁷⁹, M. Shimojima¹⁰⁴, I.P.J. Shipsey¹²², S. Shirabe⁷³, M. Shiyakova^{68.ap}, J. Shlomi¹⁷⁵, A. Shmeleva⁹⁸, D. Shoaleh Saadi⁹⁷, M.J. Shochet³³, S. Shojaii^{94a}, D.R. Shope¹¹⁵, S. Shrestha¹¹³, E. Shulga¹⁰⁰, M.A. Shupe⁷, P. Sicho¹²⁹, A.M. Sickles¹⁶⁹, P.E. Sidebo¹⁴⁹, E. Sideras Haddad^{147c}, O. Sidiropoulou¹⁷⁷, A. Sidoti^{22a,22b}, F. Siegert⁴⁷, Dj. Sijacki¹⁴, J. Silva^{128a,128d}, S.B. Silverstein^{148a}, V. Simak¹³⁰, Lj. Simic¹⁴, S. Simion¹¹⁹, E. Simioni⁸⁶, B. Simmons⁸¹, M. Simon⁸⁶, P. Sinervo¹⁶¹, N.B. Sinev¹¹⁸, M. Sioli^{22a,22b}, G. Siragusa¹⁷⁷, I. Siral⁹², S.Yu. Sivoklov¹⁰¹, J. Sjölin^{148a,148b}, M.B. Skinner⁷⁵, P. Skubic¹¹⁵, M. Slater¹⁹, T. Slavicek¹³⁰, M. Slawinska⁴², K. Sliwa¹⁶⁵, R. Slovak¹³¹, V. Smakhtin¹⁷⁵, B.H. Smart⁵, J. Smiesko^{146a}, N. Smirnov¹⁰⁰, S.Yu. Smirnov¹⁰⁰, Y. Smirnov¹⁰⁰, L.N. Smirnova^{101.aq}, O. Smirnova⁸⁴, J.W. Smith⁵⁷, M.N.K. Smith³⁸, R.W. Smith³⁸, M. Smizanska⁷⁵, K. Smolek¹³⁰, A.A. Snesarev⁹⁸, I.M. Snyder¹¹⁸, S. Snyder²⁷, R. Sobie^{172.o}, F. Socher⁴⁷, A. Soffer¹⁵⁵, D.A. Soh¹⁵³, G. Sokhrannyi⁷⁸, C.A. Solans Sanchez³², M. Solar¹³⁰, E.Yu. Soldatov¹⁰⁰, U. Soldevila¹⁷⁰, A.A. Solodkov¹³², A. Soloshenko⁶⁸, O.V. Solovyanov¹³², V. Solovyev¹²⁵, P. Sommer⁵¹, H. Son¹⁶⁵, A. Sopczak¹³⁰, D. Sosa^{60b}, C.L. Sotiropoulou^{126a,126b}, R. Soualah^{167a,167c}, A.M. Soukharev^{111.c}, D. South⁴⁵, B.C. Sowden⁸⁰, S. Spagnolo^{76a,76b}, M. Spalla^{126a,126b}, M. Spangenberg¹⁷³, F. Spanò⁸⁰, D. Sperlich¹⁷, F. Spettel¹⁰³, T.M. Spieker^{60a}, R. Spighi^{22a}, G. Spigo³², L.A. Spiller⁹¹, M. Spousta¹³¹, R.D. St. Denis^{56.*}, A. Stabile^{94a}, R. Stamen^{60a}, S. Stamm¹⁷, E. Stanecka⁴², R.W. Stanek⁶, C. Stanescu^{136a}, M.M. Stanitzki⁴⁵, B.S. Stapf¹⁰⁹, S. Stapnes¹²¹, E.A. Starchenko¹³², G.H. Stark³³, J. Stark⁵⁸, S.H. Stark³⁹, P. Staroba¹²⁹, P. Starovoitov^{60a}, S. Stärz³², R. Staszewski⁴², P. Steinberg²⁷, B. Stelzer¹⁴⁴, H.J. Stelzer³², O. Stelzer-Chilton^{163a}, H. Stenzel⁵⁵, G.A. Stewart⁵⁶, M.C. Stockton¹¹⁸, M. Stoebe⁹⁰, G. Stoica^{28b}, P. Stolte⁵⁷, S. Stonjek¹⁰³, A.R. Stradling⁸, A. Straessner⁴⁷, M.E. Stramaglia¹⁸, J. Strandberg¹⁴⁹, S. Strandberg^{148a,148b}, M. Strauss¹¹⁵, P. Strizenc^{146b}, R. Ströhmer¹⁷⁷, D.M. Strom¹¹⁸, R. Stroynowski⁴³, A. Strubig¹⁰⁸, S.A. Stucci²⁷, B. Stugu¹⁵, N.A. Styles⁴⁵, D. Su¹⁴⁵, J. Su¹²⁷, S. Suchek^{60a}, Y. Sugaya¹²⁰, M. Suk¹³⁰, V.V. Sulin⁹⁸, DMS Sultan^{162a,162b}, S. Sultansoy^{4c}, T. Sumida⁷¹, S. Sun⁵⁹, X. Sun³, K. Suruliz¹⁵¹, C.J.E. Suster¹⁵², M.R. Sutton¹⁵¹, S. Suzuki⁶⁹, M. Svatos¹²⁹, M. Swiatlowski³³, S.P. Swift², I. Sykora^{146a}, T. Sykora¹³¹, D. Ta⁵¹, K. Tackmann⁴⁵, J. Taenzer¹⁵⁵, A. Taffard¹⁶⁶, R. Tafirout^{163a}, N. Taiblum¹⁵⁵, H. Takai²⁷, R. Takashima⁷², E.H. Takasugi¹⁰³, T. Takeshita¹⁴², Y. Takubo⁶⁹, M. Talby⁸⁸, A.A. Talyshev^{111.c}, J. Tanaka¹⁵⁷, M. Tanaka¹⁵⁹, R. Tanaka¹¹⁹, S. Tanaka⁶⁹, R. Tanioka⁷⁰, B.B. Tannenwald¹¹³, S. Tapia Araya^{34b}, S. Tapprogge⁸⁶, S. Tarem¹⁵⁴, G.F. Tartarelli^{94a}, P. Tas¹³¹, M. Tasevsky¹²⁹, T. Tashiro⁷¹, E. Tassi^{40a,40b}, A. Tavares Delgado^{128a,128b}, Y. Tayalati^{137e}, A.C. Taylor¹⁰⁷, G.N. Taylor⁹¹, P.T.E. Taylor⁹¹, W. Taylor^{163b}, P. Teixeira-Dias⁸⁰, D. Temple¹⁴⁴, H. Ten Kate³², P.K. Teng¹⁵³, J.J. Teoh¹²⁰, F. Tepel¹⁷⁸, S. Terada⁶⁹, K. Terashi¹⁵⁷, J. Terron⁸⁵, S. Terzo¹³, M. Testa⁵⁰, R.J. Teuscher^{161.o}, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer⁸⁸, J.P. Thomas¹⁹, J. Thomas-Wilsker⁸⁰, P.D. Thompson¹⁹, A.S. Thompson⁵⁶, L.A. Thomsen¹⁷⁹, E. Thomson¹²⁴, M.J. Tibbetts¹⁶, R.E. Ticse Torres⁸⁸, V.O. Tikhomirov^{98.ar}, Yu.A. Tikhonov^{111.c}, S. Timoshenko¹⁰⁰, P. Tipton¹⁷⁹, S. Tisserant⁸⁸, K. Todome¹⁵⁹, S. Todorova-Nova⁵, S. Todt⁴⁷, J. Tojo⁷³, S. Tokár^{146a}, K. Tokushuku⁶⁹, E. Tolley⁵⁹, L. Tomlinson⁸⁷, M. Tomoto¹⁰⁵, L. Tompkins^{145.as}, K. Toms¹⁰⁷, B. Tong⁵⁹, P. Tornambe⁵¹, E. Torrence¹¹⁸, H. Torres¹⁴⁴, E. Torró Pastor¹⁴⁰, J. Toth^{88.at}, F. Touchard⁸⁸, D.R. Tovey¹⁴¹, C.J. Treado¹¹², T. Trefzger¹⁷⁷, F. Tresoldi¹⁵¹, A. Tricoli²⁷, I.M. Trigger^{163a}, S. Trincaz-Duvoid⁸³, M.F. Tripiana¹³, W. Trischuk¹⁶¹, B. Trocme⁵⁸, A. Trofymov⁴⁵, C. Troncon^{94a}, M. Trotter-McDonald¹⁶, M. Trovatelli¹⁷², L. Truong^{167a,167c}, M. Trzebinski⁴², A. Trzupek⁴², K.W. Tsang^{62a}, J.C-L. Tseng¹²², P.V. Tsiarehka⁹⁵, G. Tsiapolitis¹⁰, N. Tsirintanis⁹, S. Tsiskaridze¹³, V. Tsiskaridze⁵¹, E.G. Tskhadadze^{54a}, K.M. Tsui^{62a}, I.I. Tsukerman⁹⁹, V. Tsulaia¹⁶, S. Tsuno⁶⁹, D. Tsybychev¹⁵⁰, Y. Tu^{62b}, A. Tudorache^{28b}, V. Tudorache^{28b}, T.T. Tulbure^{28a}, A.N. Tuna⁵⁹, S.A. Tupputi^{22a,22b}, S. Turchikhin⁶⁸, D. Turgeman¹⁷⁵, I. Turk Cakir^{4b.au}, R. Turra^{94a}, P.M. Tuts³⁸, G. Uccielli^{22a,22b}, I. Ueda⁶⁹, M. Ughetto^{148a,148b}, F. Ukegawa¹⁶⁴, G. Unal³², A. Undrus²⁷, G. Unel¹⁶⁶, F.C. Ungaro⁹¹,

Y. Unno⁶⁹, C. Unverdorben¹⁰², J. Urban^{146b}, P. Urquijo⁹¹, P. Urrejola⁸⁶, G. Usai⁸, J. Usui⁶⁹, L. Vacavant⁸⁸, V. Vacek¹³⁰, B. Vachon⁹⁰, A. Vaidya⁸¹, C. Valderanis¹⁰², E. Valdes Santurio^{148a,148b}, S. Valentinetti^{22a,22b}, A. Valero¹⁷⁰, L. Valéry¹³, S. Valkar¹³¹, A. Vallier⁵, J.A. Valls Ferrer¹⁷⁰, W. Van Den Wollenberg¹⁰⁹, H. van der Graaf¹⁰⁹, P. van Gemmeren⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop¹⁴⁴, I. van Vulpen¹⁰⁹, M.C. van Woerden¹⁰⁹, M. Vanadia^{135a,135b}, W. Vandelli³², A. Vaniachine¹⁶⁰, P. Vankov¹⁰⁹, G. Vardanyan¹⁸⁰, R. Vari^{134a}, E.W. Varnes⁷, C. Varni^{53a,53b}, T. Varol⁴³, D. Varouchas¹¹⁹, A. Vartapetian⁸, K.E. Varvell¹⁵², J.G. Vasquez¹⁷⁹, G.A. Vasquez^{34b}, F. Vazeille³⁷, T. Vazquez Schroeder⁹⁰, J. Veatch⁵⁷, V. Veeraraghavan⁷, L.M. Veloce¹⁶¹, F. Veloso^{128a,128c}, S. Veneziano^{134a}, A. Ventura^{76a,76b}, M. Venturi¹⁷², N. Venturi³², A. Venturini²⁵, V. Vercesi^{123a}, M. Verducci^{136a,136b}, W. Verkerke¹⁰⁹, A.T. Vermeulen¹⁰⁹, J.C. Vermeulen¹⁰⁹, M.C. Vetterli^{144,d}, N. Viaux Maira^{34b}, O. Viazlo⁸⁴, I. Vichou^{169,*}, T. Vickey¹⁴¹, O.E. Vickey Boeriu¹⁴¹, G.H.A. Viehhauser¹²², S. Viel¹⁶, L. Vigani¹²², M. Villa^{22a,22b}, M. Villaplana Perez^{94a,94b}, E. Vilucchi⁵⁰, M.G. Vinciter³¹, V.B. Vinogradov⁶⁸, A. Vishwakarma⁴⁵, C. Vittori^{22a,22b}, I. Vivarelli¹⁵¹, S. Vlachos¹⁰, M. Vogel¹⁷⁸, P. Vokac¹³⁰, G. Volpi^{126a,126b}, H. von der Schmitt¹⁰³, E. von Toerne²³, V. Vorobel¹³¹, K. Vorobev¹⁰⁰, M. Vos¹⁷⁰, R. Voss³², J.H. Vosseveld⁷⁷, N. Vranjes¹⁴, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic¹⁴, V. Vrba¹³⁰, M. Vreeswijk¹⁰⁹, R. Vuillermet³², I. Vukotic³³, P. Wagner²³, W. Wagner¹⁷⁸, J. Wagner-Kuhr¹⁰², H. Wahlberg⁷⁴, S. Wahrmund⁴⁷, J. Wakabayashi¹⁰⁵, J. Walder⁷⁵, R. Walker¹⁰², W. Walkowiak¹⁴³, V. Wallangen^{148a,148b}, C. Wang^{35b}, C. Wang^{36b,aw}, F. Wang¹⁷⁶, H. Wang¹⁶, H. Wang³, J. Wang⁴⁵, J. Wang¹⁵², Q. Wang¹¹⁵, R. Wang⁶, S.M. Wang¹⁵³, T. Wang³⁸, W. Wang^{153,aw}, W. Wang^{36a}, Z. Wang^{36c}, C. Wanotayaroj¹¹⁸, A. Warburton⁹⁰, C.P. Ward³⁰, D.R. Wardrope⁸¹, A. Washbrook⁴⁹, P.M. Watkins¹⁹, A.T. Watson¹⁹, M.F. Watson¹⁹, G. Watts¹⁴⁰, S. Watts⁸⁷, B.M. Waugh⁸¹, A.F. Webb¹¹, S. Webb⁸⁶, M.S. Weber¹⁸, S.W. Weber¹⁷⁷, S.A. Weber³¹, J.S. Webster⁶, A.R. Weidberg¹²², B. Weinert⁶⁴, J. Weingarten⁵⁷, M. Weirich⁸⁶, C. Weiser⁵¹, H. Weits¹⁰⁹, P.S. Wells³², T. Wenaus²⁷, T. Wengler³², S. Wenig³², N. Wermes²³, M.D. Werner⁶⁷, P. Werner³², M. Wessels^{60a}, K. Whalen¹¹⁸, N.L. Whallon¹⁴⁰, A.M. Wharton⁷⁵, A.S. White⁹², A. White⁸, M.J. White¹, R. White^{34b}, D. Whiteson¹⁶⁶, B.W. Whitmore⁷⁵, F.J. Wickens¹³³, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷⁶, M. Wielers¹³³, C. Wiglesworth³⁹, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs²³, A. Wildauer¹⁰³, F. Wilk⁸⁷, H.G. Wilkens³², H.H. Williams¹²⁴, S. Williams¹⁰⁹, C. Willis⁹³, S. Willocq⁸⁹, J.A. Wilson¹⁹, I. Wingerter-Seez⁵, E. Winkels¹⁵¹, F. Winklmeier¹¹⁸, O.J. Winston¹⁵¹, B.T. Winter²³, M. Wittgen¹⁴⁵, M. Wobisch^{82,u}, T.M.H. Wolf¹⁰⁹, R. Wolff⁸⁸, M.W. Wolter⁴², H. Wolters^{128a,128c}, V.W.S. Wong¹⁷¹, S.D. Worm¹⁹, B.K. Wosiek⁴², J. Wotschack³², K.W. Wozniak⁴², M. Wu³³, S.L. Wu¹⁷⁶, X. Wu⁵², Y. Wu⁹², T.R. Wyatt⁸⁷, B.M. Wynne⁴⁹, S. Xella³⁹, Z. Xi⁹², L. Xia^{35c}, D. Xu^{35a}, L. Xu²⁷, T. Xu¹³⁸, B. Yabsley¹⁵², S. Yacoob^{147a}, D. Yamaguchi¹⁵⁹, Y. Yamaguchi¹²⁰, A. Yamamoto⁶⁹, S. Yamamoto¹⁵⁷, T. Yamanaka¹⁵⁷, M. Yamatani¹⁵⁷, K. Yamauchi¹⁰⁵, Y. Yamazaki⁷⁰, Z. Yan²⁴, H. Yang^{36c}, H. Yang¹⁶, Y. Yang¹⁵³, Z. Yang¹⁵, W-M. Yao¹⁶, Y.C. Yap⁸³, Y. Yasu⁶⁹, E. Yatsenko⁵, K.H. Yau Wong²³, J. Ye⁴³, S. Ye²⁷, I. Yeletsikh⁶⁸, E. Yigitbasi²⁴, E. Yildirim⁸⁶, K. Yorita¹⁷⁴, K. Yoshihara¹²⁴, C. Young¹⁴⁵, C.J.S. Young³², J. Yu⁸, J. Yu⁶⁷, S.P.Y. Yuen²³, I. Yusuff^{30,ax}, B. Zabinski⁴², G. Zacharis¹⁰, R. Zaidan¹³, A.M. Zaitsev^{132,al}, N. Zakharchuk⁴⁵, J. Zalieckas¹⁵, A. Zaman¹⁵⁰, S. Zambito⁵⁹, D. Zanzi⁹¹, C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁸, G. Zemaityte¹²², A. Zemla^{41a}, J.C. Zeng¹⁶⁹, Q. Zeng¹⁴⁵, O. Zenin¹³², T. Ženiš^{146a}, D. Zerwas¹¹⁹, D. Zhang⁹², F. Zhang¹⁷⁶, G. Zhang^{36a,ay}, H. Zhang^{35b}, J. Zhang⁶, L. Zhang⁵¹, L. Zhang^{36a}, M. Zhang¹⁶⁹, P. Zhang^{35b}, R. Zhang²³, R. Zhang^{36a,av}, X. Zhang^{36b}, Y. Zhang^{35a}, Z. Zhang¹¹⁹, X. Zhao⁴³, Y. Zhao^{36b,az}, Z. Zhao^{36a}, A. Zhemchugov⁶⁸, B. Zhou⁹², C. Zhou¹⁷⁶, L. Zhou⁴³, M. Zhou^{35a}, M. Zhou¹⁵⁰, N. Zhou^{35c}, C.G. Zhu^{36b}, H. Zhu^{35a}, J. Zhu⁹², Y. Zhu^{36a}, X. Zhuang^{35a}, K. Zhukov⁹⁸, A. Zibell¹⁷⁷, D. Zieminska⁶⁴, N.I. Zimine⁶⁸, C. Zimmermann⁸⁶, S. Zimmermann⁵¹, Z. Zinonos¹⁰³, M. Zinser⁸⁶, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴³, L. Živković¹⁴, G. Zobernig¹⁷⁶, A. Zoccoli^{22a,22b}, R. Zou³³, M. zur Nedden¹⁷, L. Zwalinski³².

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

- ² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany NY, United States of America
- ³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB, Canada
- ⁴ ^(a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul; ^(c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁵ LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- ⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL, United States of America
- ⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, United States of America
- ⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX, United States of America
- ⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece
- ¹¹ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States of America
- ¹² Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
- ¹³ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain
- ¹⁴ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- ¹⁵ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- ¹⁶ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley CA, United States of America
- ¹⁷ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany
- ¹⁸ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- ¹⁹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- ²⁰ ^(a) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; ^(b) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; ^(d) Istanbul Bilgi University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; ^(e) Bahcesehir University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey
- ²¹ Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia
- ²² ^(a) INFN Sezione di Bologna; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- ²³ Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
- ²⁴ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA, United States of America
- ²⁵ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA, United States of America
- ²⁶ ^(a) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; ^(b) Electrical Circuits Department, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; ^(c) Federal University of Sao Joao del Rei (UFSJ), Sao Joao del Rei; ^(d) Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil
- ²⁷ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, United States of America
- ²⁸ ^(a) Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; ^(b) Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; ^(c) Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi; ^(d) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj Napoca; ^(e) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; ^(f) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania
- ²⁹ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- ³⁰ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- ³¹ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada
- ³² CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- ³³ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL, United States of America
- ³⁴ ^(a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; ^(b) Departamento de

Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile

³⁵ ^(a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; ^(b) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu; ^(c) Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

³⁶ ^(a) Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui; ^(b) School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong; ^(c) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education; Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai(also at PKU-CHEP), China

³⁷ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France

³⁸ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY, United States of America

³⁹ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark

⁴⁰ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy

⁴¹ ^(a) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; ^(b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland

⁴² Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland

⁴³ Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX, United States of America

⁴⁴ Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX, United States of America

⁴⁵ DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany

⁴⁶ Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

⁴⁷ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

⁴⁸ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC, United States of America

⁴⁹ SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

⁵⁰ INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

⁵¹ Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany

⁵² Departement de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland

⁵³ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Genova; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

⁵⁴ ^(a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhsishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; ^(b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

⁵⁵ II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany

⁵⁶ SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

⁵⁷ II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany

⁵⁸ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France

⁵⁹ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, United States of America

⁶⁰ ^(a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; ^(b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

⁶¹ Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan

⁶² ^(a) Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; ^(b)

Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; ^(c) Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

⁶³ Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan, Taiwan

⁶⁴ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN, United States of America

⁶⁵ Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria

- 66 University of Iowa, Iowa City IA, United States of America
- 67 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA, United States of America
- 68 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia
- 69 KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
- 70 Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
- 71 Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- 72 Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan
- 73 Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- 74 Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
- 75 Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
- 76 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
- 77 Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- 78 Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 79 School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom
- 80 Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom
- 81 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- 82 Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States of America
- 83 Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
- 84 Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
- 85 Departamento de Física Teórica C-15, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
- 86 Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
- 87 School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
- 88 CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- 89 Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, United States of America
- 90 Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC, Canada
- 91 School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- 92 Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States of America
- 93 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI, United States of America
- 94 ^(a) INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy
- 95 B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Republic of Belarus
- 96 Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Republic of Belarus
- 97 Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC, Canada
- 98 P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- 99 Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
- 100 National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- 101 D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 102 Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
- 103 Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
- 104 Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
- 105 Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

- ¹⁰⁶ (a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
- ¹⁰⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, United States of America
- ¹⁰⁸ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- ¹⁰⁹ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ¹¹⁰ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL, United States of America
- ¹¹¹ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ¹¹² Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY, United States of America
- ¹¹³ Ohio State University, Columbus OH, United States of America
- ¹¹⁴ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
- ¹¹⁵ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK, United States of America
- ¹¹⁶ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK, United States of America
- ¹¹⁷ Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic
- ¹¹⁸ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR, United States of America
- ¹¹⁹ LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
- ¹²⁰ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- ¹²¹ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- ¹²² Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
- ¹²³ (a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- ¹²⁴ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA, United States of America
- ¹²⁵ National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute" B.P.Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ¹²⁶ (a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- ¹²⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA, United States of America
- ¹²⁸ (a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa; (b) Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (c) Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra; (d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; (e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; (f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada; (g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
- ¹²⁹ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic
- ¹³⁰ Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
- ¹³¹ Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
- ¹³² State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics (Protvino), NRC KI, Russia
- ¹³³ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- ¹³⁴ (a) INFN Sezione di Roma; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
- ¹³⁵ (a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
- ¹³⁶ (a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; (b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy
- ¹³⁷ (a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca; (b) Centre National de l'Énergie des Sciences Techniques Nucleaires, Rabat; (c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; (d) Faculté des

Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco

¹³⁸ DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹³⁹ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA, United States of America

¹⁴⁰ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA, United States of America

¹⁴¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom

¹⁴² Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan

¹⁴³ Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany

¹⁴⁴ Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, Canada

¹⁴⁵ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA, United States of America

¹⁴⁶ ^(a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; ^(b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic

¹⁴⁷ ^(a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; ^(b) Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; ^(c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

¹⁴⁸ ^(a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b) The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden

¹⁴⁹ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

¹⁵⁰ Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, United States of America

¹⁵¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom

¹⁵² School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

¹⁵³ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

¹⁵⁴ Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

¹⁵⁵ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

¹⁵⁶ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

¹⁵⁷ International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁵⁸ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁵⁹ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁶⁰ Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia

¹⁶¹ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON, Canada

¹⁶² ^(a) INFN-TIFPA; ^(b) University of Trento, Trento, Italy

¹⁶³ ^(a) TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; ^(b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON, Canada

¹⁶⁴ Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, and Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

¹⁶⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA, United States of America

¹⁶⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA, United States of America

¹⁶⁷ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; ^(b) ICTP, Trieste; ^(c) Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy

¹⁶⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden

¹⁶⁹ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL, United States of America

- ¹⁷⁰ Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Spain
- ¹⁷¹ Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, Canada
- ¹⁷² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC, Canada
- ¹⁷³ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
- ¹⁷⁴ Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹⁷⁵ Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
- ¹⁷⁶ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI, United States of America
- ¹⁷⁷ Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany
- ¹⁷⁸ Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
- ¹⁷⁹ Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT, United States of America
- ¹⁸⁰ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
- ¹⁸¹ Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France
- ¹⁸² Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
- ^a Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, United Kingdom
- ^b Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
- ^c Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ^d Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada
- ^e Also at Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, United States of America
- ^f Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine
- ^g Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA, United States of America
- ^h Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland
- ⁱ Also at II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany
- ^j Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- ^k Also at Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Portugal
- ^l Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
- ^m Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing, China
- ⁿ Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy
- ^o Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada
- ^p Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania
- ^q Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ^r Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York City, United States of America
- ^s Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece
- ^t Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town, South Africa
- ^u Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States of America
- ^v Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain
- ^w Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- ^x Also at Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany
- ^y Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- ^z Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States of America
- ^{aa} Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Iliia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ^{ab} Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

- ac* Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia
- ad* Also at Ochadai Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan
- ae* Also at Manhattan College, New York NY, United States of America
- af* Also at Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile
- ag* Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States of America
- ah* Also at The City College of New York, New York NY, United States of America
- ai* Also at School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong, China
- aj* Also at Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos and CAFPE, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Portugal
- ak* Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento CA, United States of America
- al* Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia
- am* Also at Département de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
- an* Also at Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain
- ao* Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
- ap* Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
- aq* Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- ar* Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- as* Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA, United States of America
- at* Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- au* Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Turkey
- av* Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- aw* Also at Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu, China
- ax* Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- ay* Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
- az* Also at LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
- * Deceased