



# Associated production with onia, double onia production at the LHC

Evelina Bouhova-Thacker

(Lancaster University)

On behalf of the ATLAS, CMS and LHCb Collaborations

FPCP2017, Prague, Czech Republic, June 5 – 9, 2017

The study of double onia and associated onia production offers new tests of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

Distinguish between production of a heavy quark system in colour-singlet (CS) and colour-octet (CO) states

The production of two objects in the same pp collision can be due to

Single Parton Scattering (SPS):

Double Parton Scattering (DPS):

$$\sigma_{A+B}^{\mathrm{DPS}} = rac{1}{1+\delta_{AB}}rac{\sigma_A\sigma_B}{\sigma_{\mathrm{eff}}} \ \ _{\delta_{AB}=\left\{ egin{smallmatrix} 1,A=B\ 0,A
eq B \end{smallmatrix} 
ight.}$$

simultaneous interaction of two pairs of partons, each producing one of the two objects independent and uncorrelated

the two objects are produced

by some process in a single

interaction of two partons

 $\sigma_{\rm eff}$  effective cross section ~(2 - 20) mb assumed to be independent of the scattering process and  $\sqrt{s}$ 

0000

LO CO

DPS

DPS not distinguishable on an event-by-event basis from SPS but expected to differ in overall kinematic features, such as angular correlations

Large uncertainties due to possible higher-order SPS contributions, feed-down and limited knowledge of the proton's transverse profile

#### Associated production with onia

Measurement of the production cross sect with a W <sup>±</sup> boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ =	ion of prompt J/Ψ meson 7 TeV with the ATLAS det	s in association ector
JHEP 04 (2014) 172	arXiv:1401.2831	ATLAS
Observation and measurements of the produin and in association with a Z boson	action of prompt and non- i in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$	-prompt J/ψ mesons 3 TeV
Eur. Phys. J. C75 (2015) 229	arXiv:1412.6428	ATLAS
Production of associated $\Upsilon$ and open charm via double particular	n hadrons in $pp$ collisions arton scattering	at $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 and 8 TeV
JHEP 07 (2016) 052	arXiv:1510.05949	LHCb
Observation of double charm productio at $\sqrt{s}$ =	on involving open charm ir = 7 TeV	n pp collisions
J. High Energy Phys., 06 (2012) 141	arXiv:1205.0975	LHCb

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  $\mathcal{L} = 4.51 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  $J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^ W^{\pm} \to \mu \nu_{\mu}$ 

# **Prompt** $J/\psi$ in association with a $W^{\pm}$



fiducial phase space  $8.5 < p_T^{J/\psi} < 30 \text{ GeV } |y^{J/\psi}| < 2.1$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} p_T^{\mu} > 3.5 \ {\rm GeV} & |\eta^{\mu}| < 1.3 & |\eta^{\mu}| < 2.5 \ \ {\rm at \ least \ one \ } p_T^{\mu} > 4 \ {\rm GeV} \\ p_T^{\mu} > 2.5 \ {\rm GeV} & |\eta^{\mu}| > 1.3 & p_T^{\mu(W)} > 25 \ {\rm GeV} & |\eta^{\mu(W)}| < 2.4 \end{array}$ 

unbinned maximum likelihood (ML) fit in the  $J/\psi$  invariant mass and pseudo-proper time  $\tau$  to obtain yields for prompt and non-prompt  $J/\psi$  and backgrounds assign weights with sPlot arXiv:physics/0402083

 $\tau \equiv \frac{\vec{L} \cdot \vec{p_T}^{J/\psi}}{p_T^{J/\psi}} \frac{m_{\mu^+\mu^-}}{p_T^{J/\psi}}$ 

fit to the weighted W boson transverse mass using templates to extract

signal yield: 
$$29.2^{+7.5}_{-6.5}$$
 5.1 $\sigma$   
including  $1.8 \pm 0.2$  from pile-up:  
DPS yield:  $10.8 \pm 4.2$   
assuming  $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 15 \pm 3 \text{ (stat)} ^{+5}_{-3} \text{ (syst)}$  mb  
arXiv:1301.6872  
and  $\sigma_{J/\psi}$  from arXiv:1104.3038



#### **Prompt** $J/\psi$ in association with a $W^{\pm}$

Ratios of the  $W^{\pm}$  + prompt  $J/\psi$  cross section to the inclusive  $W^{\pm}$  cross section normalised to unit rapidity

fiducial 
$$R_{J/\psi}^{\text{fid}} = (51 \pm 13 \pm 4) \times 10^{-8}$$

inclusive  $R_{J/\psi}^{\rm incl} = (126 \pm 32 \pm 9^{+41}_{-25}) \times 10^{-8}$ 

corrected for the fiducial acceptance of the muons from  $J/\psi$  isotropic spin-alignment assumed last uncertainty from variations with 5 extreme scenarios

DPS subtracted  $R_{J/\psi}^{\rm DPS \ sub} = (78 \pm 32 \pm 22^{+41}_{-25}) \times 10^{-8}$ 

compared to LO CS and NLO CO predictions

 $W + J/\psi$  dominated by CS production



#### Inclusive differential cross section ratio





 $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Prompt and non-prompt } J/\psi \mbox{ in association with a } Z \\ \sqrt{s} = 8 \ {\rm TeV} \\ \mathcal{L} = 20.3 \ {\rm fb}^{-1} \\ J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-} \\ Z \rightarrow \ell\ell, \ \ell = \mu, e \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{fiducial phase space } 8.5 < p_{T}^{J/\psi} < 100 \ {\rm GeV} \ |y^{J/\psi}| < 2.1 \\ p_{T}^{\mu/2} > 15 \ {\rm GeV} \ |\eta^{\mu/2}| < 2.5 \\ p_{T}^{\mu/2} > 15 \ {\rm GeV} \ |\eta^{\mu/2}| < 2.5 \\ p_{T}^{\mu/2} > 15 \ {\rm GeV} \ |\eta^{\mu/2}| < 2.47 \end{array}$ 

unbinned ML fit in  $J/\psi$  invariant mass and pseudo-proper time to obtain yields for prompt and non-prompt  $J/\psi$  and backgrounds assign weights with sPlot signal and multi-jet background templates for weighted Z mass used to extract signal yield separately for  $Z \to \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $Z \to e^+e^-$ 

 Yields:
 prompt
 non-prompt

  $56 \pm 10 \pm 5 (5\sigma)$   $95 \pm 12 \pm 8 (9\sigma)$  

 from DPS
  $11.1^{+5.7}_{-5.0}$   $5.8^{+2.8}_{-2.6}$  

 assuming  $\sigma_{eff} = 15 \pm 3 (\text{stat}) \stackrel{+5}{-3} (\text{syst})$  mb

 arXiv:1301.6872

 and  $\sigma_{J/\psi}$  from arXiv:1104.3038

assuming all observed signal in the first bin  $(<\frac{\pi}{5})$ is due to DPS, a lower limit is set on  $\sigma_{eff} > 5.3 \text{ mb}$ 



presence of both SPS and DPS contributions

#### Prompt and non-prompt $J/\psi$ in association with a Z

Ratios of the  $Z~+~J/\psi$  cross section to the inclusive Z cross section



arXiv:1412.6428

#### prompt non-prompt ${}^{\mathrm{p}}R_{Z+J/\psi}^{\mathrm{fid}} = (36.8 \pm 6.7 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-7} \quad {}^{\mathrm{np}}R_{Z+J/\psi}^{\mathrm{fid}} = (65.8 \pm 9.2 \pm 4.2) \times 10^{-7}$ fiducial ${}^{\mathrm{p}}R_{Z+J/\psi}^{\mathrm{incl}} = (63 \pm 13 \pm 5 \pm 10) \times 10^{-7}$ ${}^{\mathrm{np}}R_{Z+J/\psi}^{\mathrm{incl}} = (102 \pm 15 \pm 5 \pm 3) \times 10^{-7}$ inclusive DPS subtracted ${}^{\mathrm{p}}R_{Z+J/\psi}^{\mathrm{DPS \ sub}} = (45 \pm 13 \pm 6 \pm 10) \times 10^{-7} {}^{\mathrm{np}}R_{Z+J/\psi}^{\mathrm{DPS \ sub}} = (94 \pm 15 \pm 5 \pm 3) \times 10^{-7}$ ${}^{\rm p}f_{\rm DPS} = (29 \pm 9)\%$ ${}^{\rm np} f_{\rm DPS} = (8 \pm 2)\%$ **DPS** fraction Inclusive differential cross section ratio 20 × 10<sup>-6</sup> $\frac{d\sigma(Z+J/\psi)}{dp_T}[1/GeV]$ ATLAS, $\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV, 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> pp $\rightarrow$ prompt J/ $\psi$ +Z : pp $\rightarrow$ Z ly $_{J/\psi}$ < 2.1, 8.5 < $p_{T}^{J/\psi}$ < 100 GeV 10<sup>-5</sup> B(J/ψ→μμ) × α(Z+J/ψ) ATLAS, vs=8 TeV, 20.3 fb<sup>-</sup> $pp \rightarrow prompt J/\psi + Z : pp \rightarrow Z$ 10<sup>-6</sup> Spin-alignment uncert Total theoretical uncert. NLO NRQCD CO NLO NRQCD CS Spin-alignment uncertainty 10<sup>-7</sup> DPS uncert. NLO NRQCD CS <sup>5</sup> (<sup>Ω(Δ)</sup>/<sub>0</sub> × (<sup>Ω(Δ)</sup>/<sub>10</sub> × (<sup>Ω(Δ)</sup>/<sub>10</sub>)<sup>9</sup>)<sup>10</sup> NLO NRQCD CO NLO NRQCD CO+CS 10 LO CSM 10<sup>-10</sup> 2 NLO 0 10<sup>-11</sup> Fiducial Inclusive DPS-subtracted 20 $10^{2}$ 10 30 40 50 p\_<sup>J/ψ</sup> [GeV]

a higher production rate predicted through CO than through CS, CO dominant at high transverse momentum

The expected production rate from the sum of CO and CS is lower than the data by a factor of 2 to 5 discrepancy increasing with transverse momentum

#### Production of associated $\Upsilon$ and open charm hadrons



 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV } \mathcal{L} = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1} \qquad \text{fiducial phase space } p_T^{\Upsilon} < 15 \text{ GeV} \qquad 2.0 < y^{\Upsilon} < 4.5 \qquad \underset{\text{arXiv:1510.05949}}{\text{arXiv:1205.0975}} \\ \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV } \mathcal{L} = 2 \text{ fb}^{-1} \qquad 1 < p_T^C < 20 \text{ GeV} \qquad 2.0 < y^C < 4.5 \end{cases}$ 

#### Production of associated $J/\psi$ and open charm hadrons

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV } \mathcal{L} = 355 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  fiducial phase space  $p_T^{J/\psi} < 15 \text{ GeV } 2 < y^{J/\psi} < 4$  $3 < p_T^C < 12 \text{ GeV} \quad 2 < y^C < 4$ 

 $C = D^0 (K^- \pi^+), \ D^+ (K^- \pi^+ \pi^+), \ D^+_s ((K^+ K^-)_\phi \pi^+), \ \Lambda^+_c (pK^- \pi^+)$ 

Signal yield from an unbinned extended ML fit to the 2D  $\Upsilon C$  and  $J/\psi C$  mass distributions:

	$D^0$	$D^+$	$D_s^+$	$\Lambda_c^+$
$\Upsilon(1S)$	$980 \pm 50$	$556\pm35$	$31\pm7$	$11\pm 6$
	$26\sigma$	$19\sigma$	$6\sigma$	$2.5\sigma$
$\Upsilon(2S)$	$184\pm27$	$116\pm20$	$9\pm5$	$1\pm4$
	$7.7\sigma$	$6.4\sigma$	$2.5\sigma$	$0.9\sigma$
$\Upsilon(3S)$	$60 \pm 22$	$55 \pm 17$	$6 \pm 4$	$1\pm3$
	$3.1\sigma$	$4\sigma$	$1.9\sigma$	$0.9\sigma$
$J/\psi$	$4875\pm86$	$3323\pm71$	$328\pm22$	$116 \pm 14$
	$> 8\sigma$	$> 8\sigma$	$> 8\sigma$	$7.3\sigma$

background subtracted (weights from sPlot)



# $\Upsilon C$ and $J/\psi C$ results

#### Model independent production cross sections in the fiducial volume



 $\Upsilon C$  using trigger matched subsample (~20% yield reduction)  $J/\psi C$  corrected for efficiency of global event cuts

$$\Upsilon C$$
 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ DPS expectations $\mathcal{B}_{\mu^+\mu^-} \times \sigma^{\Upsilon(1S)D^0} = 155 \pm 21 \pm 7 \text{ pb}$  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu^+\mu^-} \times \sigma^{\Upsilon(1S)D^0} = 250 \pm 28 \pm 11 \text{ pb}$  $206 \pm 17 \text{ pb}$  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu^+\mu^-} \times \sigma^{\Upsilon(1S)D^+} = 82 \pm 19 \pm 5 \text{ pb}$  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu^+\mu^-} \times \sigma^{\Upsilon(1S)D^+} = 80 \pm 16 \pm 5 \text{ pb}$  $86 \pm 10 \text{ pb}$ Ratios to inclusive production

$$R^{\Upsilon(1S)D^{0}} = (6.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2)\% \qquad \qquad R^{\Upsilon(1S)D^{0}} = (7.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3)\% R^{\Upsilon(1S)D^{+}} = (3.4 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2)\% \qquad \qquad R^{\Upsilon(1S)D^{+}} = (2.5 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1)\%$$

extrapolating down to  $p_T^C = 0$  and using fragmentation functions measured at  $\Upsilon(4S)$ **DPS** expectation

$$R^{\Upsilon(1S)c\bar{c}} = (7.7 \pm 1.0)\% \qquad \qquad R^{\Upsilon(1S)c\bar{c}} = (8.0 \pm 0.9)\% \qquad \qquad \qquad R_{\text{DPS}} \sim 10\%$$
in agreement

Significantly larger than SPS predictions:  $R_{SPS} = (0.2 - 0.6)\%$  (LO NRQCD)  $R_{\rm SPS} = (0.1 - 0.3)\%$  (k<sub>T</sub>-factorisation approach)

$J/\psi C$		$D^0$	$D^+$	$D_s^+$	$\Lambda_c^+$	
	$\sigma_{J/\psi C}$ [nb]	$161.0 \pm 3.7 \pm 12.2$	$56.6 \pm 1.7 \pm 5.9$	$30.5 \pm 2.6 \pm 3.4$	$43.2 \pm 7.0 \pm 12.0$	
	$LO \sigma^{gg}$ [nb]	$10\pm 6$	$5\pm3$	$1\pm0.8$	$0.8\pm0.5$	
	LO $\sigma^{gg}$ [nb]	$7.4 \pm 3.7$	$2.6\pm1.3$	$1.5\pm0.7$	$0.9\pm0.5$	
	$\sigma^{\rm DPS}$ [nb]	$146\pm 39$	$60 \pm 17$	$24\pm7$	$56 \pm 22$	$\sigma^{ m DPS}$ using

Predictions from gluon-gluon fusion significantly smaller than observed Better agreement with DPS models

arXiv:1103.0423

 $\sigma_{\rm eff} = 14.5 \pm 1.7^{+1.7}_{-2.3} \,\mathrm{mb}$ 

## $\Upsilon C$ and $J/\psi C$ results for $\sigma_{ m eff}$



in good agreement with multi-jet production at the Tevatron  $\sigma^{\text{eff}} = 14.5 \pm 1.7^{+1.7}_{-2.3} \text{ mb}$  Phys. Rev. D56 (1997) 3811

Neglecting the SPS contributions: incl. cross sections from arXiv:1509.02372 arXiv:1302.2864



Good agreement with the DPS expectations as the main production mechanism

#### Double onia production

Measurement of prompt J/ $\psi$  pair production in pp collisions at s $\sqrt{}$  = 7 TeV CMS arXiv:1406.0484 [HEP 09 (2014) 094 Measurement of the prompt J/ $\psi$  pair production cross-section in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector ATLAS Eur. Phys. J. C77 (2017) 76 arXiv:1612.02950 Measurement of the  $J/\psi$  pair production cross-section in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV LHCb Submitted to JHEP arXiv:1612.07451 Observation of  $\Upsilon(IS)$  pair production in proton-proton collisions at  $s\sqrt{=8}$  TeV Accepted by JHEP arXiv:1610.07095 CMS

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  $\mathcal{L} = 4.73 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 

#### $J/\psi$ pair production





extended ML fit to the two invariant masses,

the proper-transverse decay length  $ct_{xy}$  of the higher- $p_T J/\psi$  and the separation significance  $\delta d$  between the vertices of the two  $J/\psi$  signal weights with sPlot

 $N_{J/\psi J/\psi} = 446 \pm 23$ 

cross section assuming isotropic decays after efficiency and acceptance corrections  $\sigma_{J/\psi J/\psi} = 1.49 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.13$  nb

The differential cross section in bins of  $|\Delta y|$  is sensitive to DPS

non-zero value measured in the bin between 2.6 and 4.4 predicted to be populated via DPS production

 $\sigma_{
m eff} = 8.2 \pm 2.2 \ {
m mb}$  extracted by Lansberg and Shao arXiv:1410.8822





 $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$  $\mathcal{L} = 11.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 

#### **Prompt** $J/\psi$ pair production



fiducial phase space  $p_T^{J/\psi} > 8.5 \text{ GeV} |y^{J/\psi}| < 2.1$  $p_T^{\mu} > 2.5 \text{ GeV} |\eta^{\mu}| < 2.3$ 

unbinned ML fit to the two invariant masses to extract di- $J/\psi$  signal

signal used to create prompt-prompt event weights from a 2D fit to the transverse decay length distributions of the two  $J/\psi$ 

cross sections reported for two rapidity regions based on the sub-leading  $J/\psi$  rapidity  $|y_{J/\psi_2}| < 1.05$   $N_{J/\psi_J/\psi} = 3310 \pm 330$   $\sigma_{J/\psi_J/\psi}^{\text{fid}} = 15.6 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.2 \ (\mathcal{B}) \pm 0.3(\mathcal{L}) \text{ pb}$  $\sigma_{J/\psi_J/\psi}^{\text{fid}} = 13.5 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.2 \ (\mathcal{B}) \pm 0.3(\mathcal{L}) \text{ pb}$ 

after correcting for muon acceptance and assuming unpolarised production

 $\sigma_{J/\psi J/\psi} = 82.2 \pm 8.3 \pm 6.3 \pm 0.9 \ (\mathcal{B}) \pm 1.6 \ (\mathcal{L}) \text{ pb}$ 

 $\sigma_{J/\psi J/\psi} = 78.3 \pm 9.2 \pm 6.6 \pm 0.9 \,(\mathcal{B}) \pm 1.5(\mathcal{L}) \,\mathrm{pb}$ 

the fraction of DPS events is determined by fitting DPS and SPS templates to the data  $|\Delta y| vs |\Delta \phi|$ assign DPS and SPS event weights

$$f_{\rm DPS} = (9.2 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.5)\%$$
 from  $|\Delta y|$ 

$$\sigma_{J/\psi J/\psi}^{\text{DPS}} = 14.8 \pm 3.5 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.2 \ (\mathcal{B}) \pm 0.3 (\mathcal{L}) \text{ pb}$$

 $\sigma_{\rm eff}^{J/\psi J/\psi} = 6.3 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.0(\mathcal{B}) \pm 0.1(\mathcal{L}) \,\mathrm{mb}$ 

 $\sigma^{\rm eff}\,$  measured from prompt di- $J/\psi\,$  lower than from other final states

## Prompt $J/\psi$ pair production

Differential cross sections as a function of the sub-leading  $J/\psi p_T$ , the di- $J/\psi p_T$  and invariant mass



central and forward  $J/\psi_2$  rapidity ranges



Total and DPS cross sections (full rapidity range) in the muon fiducial volume:

```
\mathrm{di}\text{-}J/\psi~p_T and invariant mass, \Delta y and \Delta\phi
```

compared to: NLO\* SPS with a feed-down correction factor LO DPS normalised to measured

disagreement for large invariant mass, large  $\Delta y$  and in the low-  $p_T$  region



Data largely in agreement with NLO\* SPS + LO DPS

contributions from feed-down and/or intrinsic parton transverse momentum needed

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$  $\mathcal{L} = 279 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ 

#### $J/\psi$ pair production



fiducial phase space  $p_T^{J/\psi} < 10 \text{ GeV} \quad 2.0 < y^{J/\psi} < 4.5$ 

 $p_T^{\mu} > 650 \,\mathrm{MeV} \quad 6 < p^{\mu} > 200 \,\mathrm{GeV} \quad 2 < \eta^{\mu} < 5$ 

signal yield from an unbinned extended ML fit to the efficiency corrected 2D mass distribution

corrected for acceptance, trigger, reconstruction, selection and particle identification efficiencies

 $N^{\rm cor} = (15.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^3$ 

fraction of candidates with a  $J/\psi$  from b-decays: 4.5%

#### assuming unpolarised production

 $\sigma_{J/\psi J/\psi} = 15.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.9 \text{ nb} \pm 7\% \text{ if } \lambda_0 = \pm 20\%$ 

#### compare measured $\sigma_{J/\psi J/\psi}$ to theoretical predictions

LO CS	LO CO	LO $k_T$	NLO* CS''	NLO CS	DPS	$\sigma^{ m DPS}$ using
$1.3 \pm 0.1^{+3.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.45 \pm 0.09^{+1.42+0.25}_{-0.36-0.34}$	$6.3^{+3.8+3.8}_{-1.6-2.6}$	$15.4 \pm 2.2^{+51}_{-12}$	$11.9^{+4.6}_{-3.2}$	$8.1 \pm 0.9^{+1.6}_{-1.3}$	arXiv:1509.00771 $\sigma_{ m eff} = 14.5 \pm 1.7^{+1.7}_{-2.3} { m mb}$ CDF Phys Rev D56 (1997)

if all  $J/\psi$  pairs are produced through DPS and  $\sigma_{J/\psi}$  from arXiv:1509.00771

$$\sigma_{\rm eff}^{J/\psi J/\psi} = 7.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.0 \,\mathrm{mb}$$

LHCb measurement of  $\sigma_{J/\psi J/\psi} = 5.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.1 \text{ nb}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  not precise enough to distinguish between DPS and SPS arXiv:1407.5973 I5

 $J/\psi_1$  and  $J/\psi_2$  assigned randomly



## $J/\psi$ pair production





Neither the DPS model, nor any of the SPS models can describe simultaneously the measured cross section and the differential shapes, however a sum can

A template fit to the differential distributions for the different SPS models is used to get the DPS fraction  $\frac{d\sigma}{dv} = \sigma_{\text{DPS}}F_{\text{DPS}}(v) + \sigma_{\text{SPS}}F_{\text{SPS}}(v)$ 

All fits indicate a large DPS contribution: (42 - 88)%

the CO contribution is significantly smaller than the CS one

including a CO component does not have a large effect on the determination of the DPS fraction

these translate into corresponding values for  $\sigma_{eff}$ : (10 – 12.5) mb ( $\sigma_{J/\psi}$  from arXiv:1509.00771)

Smaller than the LHCb measurements in associated onia production

Slightly larger than the central production measurements by ATLAS and CMS



Smaller than multi-jet measurements (12 - 20) mb

## Summary

Many results from the LHC experiments shedding light on double onia and associated onia production

Data largely in agreement with NLO\* SPS + LO DPS

CMS ( $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV,  $\Upsilon(1S) + \Upsilon(1S)$ , 2016) LHCb ( $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV,  $J/\psi + J/\psi$ , 2017) CMS + Lansberg, Shao ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV,  $J/\psi + J/\psi$ , 2014)

#### ATLAS

Experiment (energy, final state, year)

ATLAS ( $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV,  $J/\psi + J/\psi$ , 2016) ю DØ ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV, J/ $\psi$  + J/ $\psi$ , 2014) DØ ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV, J/ $\psi + \Upsilon$ , 2016) **H** LHCb ( $\sqrt{s} = 7\&8$  TeV,  $\Upsilon(1S) + D^{0,+}$ , 2015) LHCb ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV,  $J/\psi + \Lambda_c^+$ , 2012) -√--+-LHCb ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, J/ $\psi$  + D<sup>+</sup><sub>s</sub>, 2012) LHCb ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, J/ $\psi$  + D<sup>+</sup>, 2012) LHCb ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, J/ $\psi$  + D<sup>0</sup>, 2012) ATLAS ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, 4 jets, 2016) CDF ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV, 4 jets, 1993) UA2 ( $\sqrt{s} = 630$  GeV, 4 jets, 1991) AFS ( $\sqrt{s} = 63$  GeV, 4 jets, 1986) DØ ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV,  $2\gamma + 2$  jets, 2016) DØ ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV,  $\gamma + 3$  jets, 2014) **H**☆**H** DØ ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV,  $\gamma + b/c + 2$  jets, 2014) ┝━━┥╞━━┥ DØ ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV,  $\gamma + 3$  jets, 2010) CDF ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV,  $\gamma + 3$  jets, 1997) ┣╋┨┣╋┫ ATLAS ( $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV,  $Z + J/\psi$ , 2015) CMS ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, W + 2 jets, 2014) ATLAS ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, W + 2 jets, 2013)

0

5

10 15

contributions from feed-down and/or intrinsic parton transverse momentum may be needed

 $\sigma^{\rm eff}\,$  measured from prompt di- $J/\psi\,$  generally lower than from other final states

Theoretical predictions of the dependence of  $\sigma_{\rm eff}$  on the process and the centre-of-mass energy are needed

Expect a lot more  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV results

 $\sigma_{\rm eff} \, [{\rm mb}]$ 

25

30

20

# Backup slides

#### The Large Hadron Collider And Its Experiments





A. Bay Beijing October 2005

55



#### CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp



LHCb Integrated Recorded Luminosity in pp, 2010-2016



## Prompt $J/\psi$ pair production





#### Differential cross sections as a function of the sub-leading $J/\psi p_T$ and the invariant mass

Total and DPS cross sections (full rapidity range) in the muon fiducial volume:



di- $J/\psi~p_T$  and invariant mass,  $\Delta y$  and  $\Delta \phi$ 

#### Prompt $J/\psi$ pair production



 $\Delta y \ge 1.8$ 



 $\Delta\phi \leq \pi/2$ 



#### $J/\psi$ pair production



Differential production cross sections:

transverse momenta and rapidities of each  $J/\psi$  and the  $J/\psi$  pair,  $\Delta\phi$ ,  $\Delta y$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{p_T^{J/\psi_1} - p_T^{J/\psi_2}}{p_T^{J/\psi_1} + p_T^{J/\psi_2}}$ 









[mb]