

Monte Carlo modeling of Standard Model multi-boson production processes for vs = 13 TeV ATLAS analyses Shu Li, Duke University, USA Shu.Li@cern.ch on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

Abstract

Multi-boson production measurements provide an important test of the electroweak sector of the Standard Model (SM). The production of multiple gauge bosons V (= W[±], Z, γ) opens up a multitude of potential decay channels categorized according to the number of charged leptons in the final state.

We present the Monte Carlo (MC) setup used by ATLAS to model multi-boson processes in \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV proton-proton collisions. The baseline Monte Carlo generators are compared with each other in key kinematic distributions of the processes under study. Sample normalization and systematic uncertainties are discussed.

Fully Leptonic $qq \rightarrow VV$

Modeled Process List

 \diamond Fully leptonic $qq \rightarrow VV$

 \diamond Electroweak $qq \rightarrow VV jj$

 \diamond Loop-induced gg \rightarrow VV

 \diamond Triboson $qq \rightarrow VVV$

 \diamond Leptonic/Hadronic $qq \rightarrow V\gamma$

Generators: Sherpa v2.1.1/2.2, PowhegBox v2, MadGraph5_aMC@NLO, MC@NLO Parton Shower (PS): Pythia8, Herwig++

 \diamond Skipped: Semileptonic $qq \rightarrow VV(jj)$

Loop-induced $gg \rightarrow VV$



- **QCD** scale uncertainty of PowhegBox: 60% (the ONLY NLO Generator in $gg \rightarrow VV$)
- included continuum, resonant production and the IR interference in MCFM and Sherpa for high mass 4lep



PowhegBox 2nd jet from PS

- \Rightarrow MadGraph5_aMC@NLO predicts softer p_T^j and m_{ii} than Sherpa
- **Additional reweighting for PowhegBox to approximate NNLO QCD accuracy**

 $Electroweak aa \rightarrow 1/1/ii$

Triboson $qq \rightarrow VVV$

Modeled Process accuracies

		VVV + 0j	VVV + 1j	VVV + 2j	$VVV+ \ge 3j$	
VVV on-shell	Sherpa v2.2	NLO	LO	LO	\mathbf{PS}	
$6\ell, 5\ell 1\nu, 4\ell 2\nu, 3\ell 3\nu, 2\ell 4\nu$	Sherpa v2.2	LO	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}	
$3\ell 3\nu$	VBFNLO+PYTHIA8	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}	



		qq	$\rightarrow v$	'Y			
Modeled Process accuracies							
		$V\gamma + 0j$	$V\gamma + 1j$	$V\gamma + 2j$	$V\gamma + 3j$	$V\gamma + \ge 4j$	
00 0	Sherpa v2.1.1	LO	LO	LO	LO	PS	
$V = \ell\ell, \ell\nu, \nu\nu$	Sherpa v2.2	NLO	NLO	LO	LO	PS	
Transverse pho 10^2 10^2 10^1 10^1 10^1	ton energy Sherpa v2.2 N 1.35×Sherpa v2.1.1	LO	Arbitrary Units (A.U.) 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 9.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ATLAS Simulat s = 13 TeV, pp → 200 <p<sub>T(J)<500 Ge¹ Particle level</p<sub>	ion Preliminary Z(qq)+γ V, p _τ (γ)>175 GeV	Sherpa v2.1.1 — MG5 + Pythia8	

	LICCUI OVEUN 99			
		VV + 2j	VV + 3j	$VV+ \ge 4j$
	VBFNLO+PYTHIA8	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}
$VVjj = \ell^{\pm}\ell^{\mp}2\nu jj$	$MadGraph5_aMC@NLO+PYTHIA8$	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}
$VVjj = \ell^{\pm}\ell^{\pm}2\nu jj$	Sherpa	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}
	PowhegBox+PYTHIA8	NLO	LO	\mathbf{PS}
$VVjj = \ell\ell/\ell\nu/\nu\nu jj jj$	Sherpa	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}
	$MadGraph5_aMC@NLO+PYTHIA8$	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}
$Z\gamma jj=2\ell\gamma jj$	Sherpa	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}
	VBFNLO+PYTHIA8	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}
	$MadGraph5_aMC@NLO+PYTHIA8$	LO	\mathbf{PS}	\mathbf{PS}



Public references

Public Website: http://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PUBNOTES/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-005/

Full Text: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2261933/files/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-005.pdf