PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Multi-loop calculations: numerical methods and applications

To cite this article: S. Borowka et al 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 920 012003

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- <u>Numerical multi-loop calculations with the</u> program SecDec Sophia Borowka and Gudrun Heinrich
- Numerical multi-loop calculations: tools and applications
 S. Borowka, G. Heinrich, S. Jahn et al.
- <u>Multi-loop Control System Design for</u> <u>Biodiesel Process using Waste Cooking</u> <u>Oil</u>

Dipesh S Patle, Ahmad Z and G P Rangaiah



IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

Multi-loop calculations: numerical methods and applications

S. Borowka¹, G. Heinrich², S. Jahn², S. P. Jones², M. Kerner², J. Schlenk³

¹ Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

 2 Max Planck Institute for Physics, Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 Munich, Germany

³ IPPP, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

Abstract. We briefly review numerical methods for calculations beyond one loop and then describe new developments within the method of sector decomposition in more detail. We also discuss applications to two-loop integrals involving several mass scales.

1. Introduction

Precision calculations are of primary importance to scrutinise the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics and in particular the Higgs sector, where experiments moved from the discovery phase to the phase of precision measurements of the Higgs properties. Small deviations from the expected values may be our only hints to physics beyond the SM for some time, and therefore precise theoretical predictions are mandatory.

In the last decade, predictions at next-to-leading order (NLO) in perturbation theory in the strong coupling constant α_s got a large boost due to advances in calculational methods, and, together with NLO matching to parton shower Monte Carlo programs, became the state of the art to describe the data. However, for the phases II and III of the LHC, and even more so at future colliders, the situation is drastically different: the experimental precision for many important SM processes already has reached a level where NLO QCD predictions fall short. Therefore, a lot of effort has been spent in the past years to come up with corrections going beyond NLO QCD, ideally not only for total cross sections, but also for differential distributions.

A measure of complexity for the calculation of higher order corrections in perturbation theory involves the number of loops in the virtual amplitude, the number of scales (Mandelstam invariants, masses) and the number of external legs. While the problem of infrared subtractions is more severe the more massless particles are involved, the difficulty to obtain analytic expressions for master integrals at two loops and beyond increases rapidly as the number of mass scales grows. Therefore numerical methods to calculate loop integrals seem particularly well suited for integrals with several mass scales.

For processes involving only massless particles, virtual two-loop 4-point amplitudes have been calculated about 15 years ago [1–5]. After that, the main bottleneck to be overcome to achieve NNLO predictions for processes involving massless two-loop 4-point amplitudes was the lack of an efficient subtraction scheme for the infrared singularities occurring in the *real radiation part*,

where up to two particles can become unresolved. Filling this gap has been a very active field of research in the past years. Various methods have been devised and are still under active development; they will be listed briefly below.

The next big step in the field of NNLO QCD corrections for $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering processes was the availability of results for processes involving massive particles. Here the main problem currently resides in the *virtual two-loop part* of the calculation. Two problems are hampering progress here: (a) the *reduction* of the two-loop amplitudes to a minimal set of "master integrals" times coefficients gets increasingly complicated as the number of mass scales grows, and (b) the *analytic calculation* of the master integrals is extremely difficult, entering unexplored territory in terms of mathematical functions to express the occurring parameter integrals. Only very recently, analytic representations of two-loop integrals and amplitudes for $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering processes involving massive particles became available, see e.g. [6–14].

2. Methods and tools for two-loop calculations and beyond

The steps to perform for the calculation of a (multi-)loop amplitude can be roughly divided into four stages: (1) generation of algebraic expressions for the amplitude, (2) reduction of the amplitude to a set of "master integrals" times coefficients, (3) isolation of the ultraviolet and infrared poles and (4) evaluation of the master integrals and combination with the coefficients to obtain the amplitude. To calculate a full cross section, loop amplitudes and real radiation contributions need to be combined, which requires a suitable scheme for the isolation of infrareddivergent real radiation, which is highly non-trivial beyond one loop. It also requires the construction of a stable and fast Monte Carlo program to perform the phase space integration.

It should be mentioned that stage (2) above is not mandatory. Reducing the set of integrals to a minimal "basis set" is usually beneficial, to reduce the number of integrals to calculate and to avoid large cancellations between linearly dependent integrals. However, it is also possible to evaluate the occurring integrals without reduction in a numerical approach, see e.g. [15–17]. Further, there are methods which aim to avoid the problems with IR singularities related to the split into real and virtual contributions by not performing such a partition at all [18–21].

In Table 1 we give a list of some publicly available multi-purpose tools which have been developed to perform the specific tasks described above, focusing on the numerical evaluation of the loop integrals. Certainly this list is incomplete and omits a multitude of codes which may be more efficient, but are tailored to more specific classes of integrals or amplitudes. Efforts towards the development of a package that can provide all the steps listed in Table 1 by combining QGRAF [22], FORM [23,24], REDUZE [25,26] and pySECDEC [27] are described in [28].

Table 2 shows some of the subtraction schemes for infrared divergent real radiation at NNLO.

Step to be performed	available public tools	
Diagram generation	QGRAF [22], FEYNARTS/FORMCALC [29,30]	
Amplitude manipulations	DIANA [31], FEYNCALC [32,33]	
Reduction	REDUZE [25, 26], FIRE [34, 35], LITERED [36, 37], AIR [38]	
Numerical evaluation	sector_decomposition [39], SECDEC [27, 40], FIESTA4 [41],	
of the loop integrals	NICODEMOS [42], AMBRE/MBNUMERICS [43, 44]	

Table 1. Public tools for various steps of loop amplitude calculations beyond one loop.

Concerning the reduction, we only listed the publicly available tools which, based on the integration-by-parts (IBP) method [45], can be used within a completely automated setup. Ideas how to reduce the computational complexity of IBP algorithms can be found in [46]. A fully automated system for amplitude generation and evaluation is also given by the GRACE system [47–49].

Novel reduction methods (see e.g. [50–61]), based on ideas such as integrand reduction and maximal cuts, are very promising, but have not reached the level of automation yet which is provided by the tools listed in Table 1.

Numerous methods for the numerical calculation of multi-loop integrals have been developed in addition to the ones mentioned above, we list only a few more recent ones here: direct numerical integration in momentum space [16], dispersion relations [62], use of the loop-tree duality [63], a toolbox of various dedicated numerical techniques [64, 65], numerical solution of differential equations [66, 67], numerical extrapolation method [68, 69], numerical evaluation of Mellin-Barnes integrals [70–72], private implementations of sector decomposition [64, 73–77].

		0
method	analytic integration of subtraction terms	type/restrictions
antenna subtraction [78]	yes	subtraction
q_T subtraction [79]	yes	slicing; colourless final states
N-jettiness [80,81]	yes	slicing
sector-improved residue subtraction [82–88]	no	subtraction
colourful subtraction [89,90]	partly	subtraction; colourless initial states

Table 2. Methods for the isolation of IR divergent real radiation at NNLO.

3. Sector decomposition

Now we will describe the program SECDEC [27, 40, 91, 92] in more detail. The sector decomposition algorithm is described in [93,94], which was inspired by earlier ideas as contained in [73,95].

Higher order calculations in perturbation theory have in common that they involve multidimensional integrations over some parameters: Feynman (or Schwinger) parameters in the case of (multi-)loop integrals, or parameters related to the integration of subtraction terms over a factorised phase space in the case of infrared-divergent real radiation. Usually, these calculations are performed within the framework of dimensional regularisation, and one of the challenges is to factorise the poles in the regulator ϵ .

The program SECDEC [27, 40, 91, 92] is designed to perform this task in an automated way, and to integrate the coefficients of the resulting Laurent series in ϵ numerically.

The original sector decomposition algorithm described in Ref. [93] is based on an iterative procedure, which may run into an infinite recursion. It was pointed out however [39] that the structure of Feynman integrals is such that a decomposition algorithm must exist which is guaranteed to stop, as the procedure can be mapped to a known problem in convex geometry. In Ref. [96], an algorithm was presented which cannot lead to infinite recursion and is more efficient than previously employed algorithms with this property. SECDEC-3 and pySECDEC contain the implementation of a decomposition strategy (called G_2 in SECDEC-3 and geometric in pySECDEC), based on a modification of the method of Ref. [96], which usually outperforms the original iterative strategy (called X, or iterative).

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/920/1/012003

3.1. Feynman parameter integrals

Multi-loop Feynman integrals can be written in a generic form. For ease of notation, we limit ourselves to scalar integrals here. Integrals with loop momenta in the numerator, or inverse propagators, only lead to an additional function in the numerator, and can be treated in the same way. We refer to [40,94,97] for further details.

A scalar Feynman integral G in D dimensions at L loops with N propagators, where the propagators can have arbitrary, not necessarily integer powers ν_j , has the following representation in momentum space:

$$G = \int \prod_{l=1}^{L} d^{D} \kappa_{l} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} P_{j}^{\nu_{j}}(\{k\}, \{p\}, m_{j}^{2})}$$
(1)
$$d^{D} \kappa_{l} = \frac{\mu^{4-D}}{i\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}} d^{D} k_{l} , P_{j}(\{k\}, \{p\}, m_{j}^{2}) = q_{j}^{2} - m_{j}^{2} + i\delta ,$$

where the q_i are linear combinations of external momenta p_i and loop momenta k_l .

Introducing Feynman parameters in Eq. (1) leads to

$$G = \frac{\Gamma(N_{\nu})}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(\nu_{j})} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{N} dx_{j} x_{j}^{\nu_{j}-1} \delta(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i})$$

$$\cdot \int d^{D} \kappa_{1} \dots d^{D} \kappa_{L} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^{L} k_{i}^{T} M_{ij} k_{j} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{L} k_{j}^{T} \cdot Q_{j} + J + i \delta \right]^{-N\nu}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{N_{\nu}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(\nu_{j})} \Gamma(N_{\nu} - LD/2) \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{N} dx_{j} x_{j}^{\nu_{j}-1} \delta(1 - \sum_{l=1}^{N} x_{l}) \frac{\mathcal{U}^{N_{\nu}-(L+1)D/2}}{\mathcal{F}^{N_{\nu}-LD/2}} ,$$

$$(2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(\vec{x}) = \det(M) \left[\sum_{j,l=1}^{L} Q_j M_{jl}^{-1} Q_l - J - i \delta \right] , \qquad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\vec{x}) = \det(M), \ N_{\nu} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nu_j .$$
 (4)

In the expressions above, M is an $L \times L$ matrix containing Feynman parameters, Q is an L-dimensional vector, where each entry is a linear combination of external momenta and Feynman parameters, and J is a scalar expression containing kinematic invariants and Feynman parameters.

 \mathcal{U} is a positive semi-definite function, which vanishes at the UV subdivergences of the graph. In the region where all invariants formed from external momenta are negative ("Euclidean region"), \mathcal{F} is also a positive semi-definite function of the Feynman parameters x_j . If some of the invariants are zero, for example if some of the external momenta are light-like, an IR divergence may appear and \mathcal{F} vanishes for certain points in parameter space. In the Euclidean region, the necessary condition $\mathcal{F} = 0$ for an IR divergence can only be fulfilled if some of the parameters x_i are zero. The endpoint singularities of both UV and IR nature can be regulated by dimensional regularisation and factored out of the functions \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{F} using sector decomposition.

The basic concept of sector decomposition is the following: We consider a two-dimensional

4th Computational Particle Physics Workshop

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 920 (2017) 012003 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/920/1/012003

parameter integral which contains a singular region where both x and y vanish:

$$I = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \, x^{-1-a\epsilon} \, y^{-b\epsilon} \, (x+y)^{-1} \, . \tag{5}$$

IOP Publishing

Our aim is to factorise the singularities for $x \to 0$ and $y \to 0$. Therefore we divide the integration range into two sectors where x and y are ordered:

$$I = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \, x^{-1-a\epsilon} \, y^{-b\epsilon} \, (x+y)^{-1} \left[\underbrace{\Theta(x-y)}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\Theta(y-x)}_{(2)} \right] \, .$$

Now we substitute y = xt in sector (1) and x = yt in sector (2) to remap the integration range to the unit square and obtain

$$I = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{-1-(a+b)\epsilon} \int_0^1 dt \, t^{-b\epsilon} \, (1+t)^{-1} + \int_0^1 dy \, y^{-1-(a+b)\epsilon} \int_0^1 dt \, t^{-1-a\epsilon} \, (1+t)^{-1} \, . \tag{6}$$

This way the singularities are factorised into monomials, while the remaining denominator goes to a constant if the integration variables approach zero. For more complicated integrands, this procedure can be iterated until a complete factorisation is achieved.

However, after the UV and IR singularities have been extracted as poles in $1/\epsilon$, for non-Euclidean kinematics integrable singularities related to kinematic thresholds remain. These singularities imply that \mathcal{F} is vanishing inside the integration region for some combinations of Feynman parameter values and values of the kinematic invariants. However, the integrals can be evaluated by deforming the integration contour into the complex plane [18], as explained in detail in Refs. [92, 98].

3.2. Program structure

The program consists of two main parts, an algebraic and a numerical part. The algebraic part constructs the integrand from the list of propagators or from the graph labels, performs the sector decomposition procedure to factorise the poles in the regulator ϵ , the subtractions and the expansion in ϵ , and prepares the contour deformation in the case of non-Euclidean kinematics. In SECDEC-3, all the algebraic steps are performed in Mathematica. In the new version [27], the algebraic part has been completely restructured and implemented in python, therefore the new version is called pySECDEC.

The numerical part consists of C++ functions which are integrated numerically with the CUBA library [99]. The new program pySECDEC produces C++ code using Form [23, 24], and in addition produces C++ libraries such that the finite parametric functions representing an integral after the algebraic procedure can be linked to other programs. The basic workflow is shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

3.3. Recent program developments

In addition to the new possibilities of usage, there are various new features in pySECDEC compared to SECDEC-3.0:

- the functions can have any number of different regulators, not only the dimensional regulator ϵ , needed for example in analytic regularisation within Soft-Collinear Effective Theory [100];
- numerators of loop integrals can be defined in terms of contracted Lorentz vectors or inverse propagators or a combination of both;
- the distinction between "general functions" and "loop integrands" is removed in the sense that all features which are not loop-integral-specific are also available for general polynomial functions;



Figure 1. Flowchart showing the main steps the program performs to produce the numerical result as a Laurent series in ϵ . *L* denotes the number of loops.



Figure 2. Basic workflow of pySECDEC.

- the inclusion of "user-defined" functions which do not enter the decomposition has been facilitated and extended;
- the treatment of poles which are higher than logarithmic has been improved;
- a procedure has been implemented to detect and remap spurious singularities which cannot be cured by contour deformation;
- a symmetry finder has been added which can detect possible isomorphisms between sectors.

Version 1 of pySecDec [27] is available at http://secdec.hepforge.org/.

3.4. Phenomenological application



Figure 3. Higgs boson pair invariant mass distribution with full top quark mass dependence compared to various approximations. B-i. NLO HEFT denotes the Born-improved HEFT approximation, while "basic HEFT" is without the rescaling by the full Born level result. "FTapprox" stands for an approximation where the real radiation part is calculated with full mass dependence, while the virtual part is given by the Born-improved HEFT approximation.

The numerical approach based on SECDEC has been applied to calculate massive two-loop integrals entering $gg \rightarrow HH$ at NLO, retaining the full top quark mass dependence [17,101,102]. The calculation is based on the setup described in Refs. [28,101,103]. The amplitude generation leads to about 10000 integrals before any symmetries are taken into account, which have been reduced to $\mathcal{O}(300)$ integrals using REDUZE [25,26]. A complete reduction could not be obtained for the non-planar 4-point integrals. The inverse propagators appearing in unreduced integrals were rewritten in terms of scalar products and directly computed with SECDEC.

For the total cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$, we found a reduction of about 14% when including the full top quark mass dependence as compared to the Born-improved HEFT ("Higgs Effective Field Theory") approximation, where in the latter the NLO corrections are calculated in the $m_t \to \infty$ limit, and "Born-improved" means that the result obtained in the $m_t \to \infty$ limit is rescaled with the full Born level result divided by the HEFT Born level result. Fig. 3 shows results for the Higgs boson pair invariant mass distribution. For further details we refer

4. Conclusions

to [17, 101, 102].

We have given a brief overview on numerical methods to calculate integrals (and cross sections) beyond one-loop order, before focusing on the program SECDEC, in particular the new version pySECDEC. We pointed to its application within a context that goes beyond the calculation of individual master integrals, for example the possibility to use it as a library to evaluate two-loop amplitudes where the analytic expressions for the master integrals are not known.

Acknowledgments

G.H. would like to thank the organizers of CPP2016 for the great workshop, and dedicate these proceedings to the memory of Shimizu-Sensei. We also would like to thank Nicolas Greiner and Tom Zirke for collaboration on parts of the projects presented here. This research was supported in part by the Research Executive Agency (REA) of the European Union under the Grant Agreement PITN-GA2012316704 (HiggsTools) and the ERC Advanced Grant MC@NNLO (340983). S. Borowka gratefully acknowledges financial support by the ERC Starting Grant "MathAm" (39568).

References

- E. W. N. Glover, C. Oleari and M. E. Tejeda-Yeomans, Two loop QCD corrections to gluon-gluon scattering, Nucl. Phys. B605 (2001) 467–485, [hep-ph/0102201].
- [2] L. W. Garland, T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover, A. Koukoutsakis and E. Remiddi, The Two loop QCD matrix element for e⁺e⁻ → 3 jets, Nucl. Phys. B627 (2002) 107–188, [hep-ph/0112081].
- [3] Z. Bern, A. De Freitas and L. J. Dixon, Two loop helicity amplitudes for gluon-gluon scattering in QCD and supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, JHEP 03 (2002) 018, [hep-ph/0201161].
- [4] S. Moch, P. Uwer and S. Weinzierl, Two loop amplitudes with nested sums: Fermionic contributions to $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g$, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 114001, [hep-ph/0207043].
- [5] T. Binoth, E. W. N. Glover, P. Marquard and J. J. van der Bij, Two loop corrections to light by light scattering in supersymmetric QED, JHEP 05 (2002) 060, [hep-ph/0202266].
- [6] J. M. Henn, Multiloop integrals in dimensional regularization made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 251601, [1304.1806].
- [7] J. M. Henn, K. Melnikov and V. A. Smirnov, Two-loop planar master integrals for the production of off-shell vector bosons in hadron collisions, JHEP 05 (2014) 090, [1402.7078].
- [8] F. Caola, J. M. Henn, K. Melnikov and V. A. Smirnov, Non-planar master integrals for the production of two off-shell vector bosons in collisions of massless partons, JHEP 09 (2014) 043, [1404.5590].
- [9] T. Gehrmann, A. von Manteuffel and L. Tancredi, The two-loop helicity amplitudes for qq̄' → V₁V₂ → 4 leptons, JHEP 09 (2015) 128, [1503.04812].
- [10] A. von Manteuffel and L. Tancredi, The two-loop helicity amplitudes for $gg \rightarrow V_1V_2 \rightarrow 4$ leptons, JHEP 06 (2015) 197, [1503.08835].
- [11] F. Caola, J. M. Henn, K. Melnikov, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, Two-loop helicity amplitudes for the production of two off-shell electroweak bosons in gluon fusion, JHEP 06 (2015) 129, [1503.08759].
- [12] R. Bonciani, S. Di Vita, P. Mastrolia and U. Schubert, Two-Loop Master Integrals for the mixed EW-QCD virtual corrections to Drell-Yan scattering, JHEP 09 (2016) 091, [1604.08581].
- [13] R. Bonciani, V. Del Duca, H. Frellesvig, J. M. Henn, F. Moriello and V. A. Smirnov, Two-loop planar master integrals for Higgs → 3 partons with full heavy-quark mass dependence, JHEP 12 (2016) 096, [1609.06685].
- [14] A. Primo and L. Tancredi, On the maximal cut of Feynman integrals and the solution of their differential equations, Nucl. Phys. B916 (2017) 94–116, [1610.08397].

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/920/1/012003

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 920 (2017) 012003

- [15] M. Spira, A. Djouadi, D. Graudenz and P. M. Zerwas, Higgs boson production at the LHC, Nucl. Phys. B453 (1995) 17–82, [hep-ph/9504378].
- [16] S. Becker and S. Weinzierl, Direct numerical integration for multi-loop integrals, Eur. Phys. J. C73 (2013) 2321, [1211.0509].
- [17] S. Borowka, N. Greiner, G. Heinrich, S. Jones, M. Kerner, J. Schlenk et al., Higgs Boson Pair Production in Gluon Fusion at Next-to-Leading Order with Full Top-Quark Mass Dependence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 012001, [1604.06447].
- [18] D. E. Soper, Techniques for QCD calculations by numerical integration, Phys. Rev. D62 (2000) 014009, [hep-ph/9910292].
- [19] D. A. Forde and A. Signer, Infrared finite amplitudes for massless gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B684 (2004) 125–161, [hep-ph/0311059].
- [20] S. Catani, T. Gleisberg, F. Krauss, G. Rodrigo and J.-C. Winter, From loops to trees by-passing Feynman's theorem, JHEP 09 (2008) 065, [0804.3170].
- [21] G. F. R. Sborlini, F. Driencourt-Mangin and G. Rodrigo, Four-dimensional unsubtraction with massive particles, JHEP 10 (2016) 162, [1608.01584].
- [22] P. Nogueira, Automatic Feynman graph generation, J. Comput. Phys. 105 (1993) 279–289.
- [23] J. Vermaseren, New features of FORM, math-ph/0010025.
- [24] J. Kuipers, T. Ueda and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Code Optimization in FORM, Comput. Phys. Commun. 189 (2015) 1–19, [1310.7007].
- [25] C. Studerus, Reduze-Feynman Integral Reduction in C++, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010) 1293–1300, [0912.2546].
- [26] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, Reduze 2 Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction, 1201.4330.
- [27] S. Borowka, G. Heinrich, S. Jahn, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, J. Schlenk et al., pySecDec: a toolbox for the numerical evaluation of multi-scale integrals, 1703.09692.
- [28] S. P. Jones, Automation of 2-loop Amplitude Calculations, PoS LL2016 (2016) 069, [1608.03846].
- [29] T. Hahn, Generating Feynman diagrams and amplitudes with FeynArts 3, Comput. Phys. Commun. 140 (2001) 418–431, [hep-ph/0012260].
- [30] T. Hahn, S. Paehr and C. Schappacher, FormCalc 9 and Extensions, PoS LL2016 (2016) 068, [1604.04611].
- [31] M. Tentyukov and J. Fleischer, A Feynman diagram analyzer DIANA, Comput. Phys. Commun. 132 (2000) 124–141, [hep-ph/9904258].
- [32] V. Shtabovenko, R. Mertig and F. Orellana, New Developments in FeynCalc 9.0, Comput. Phys. Commun. 207 (2016) 432–444, [1601.01167].
- [33] V. Shtabovenko, FeynHelpers: Connecting FeynCalc to FIRE and Package-X, 1611.06793.
- [34] A. V. Smirnov, Algorithm FIRE Feynman Integral REduction, JHEP 10 (2008) 107, [0807.3243].
- [35] A. V. Smirnov, FIRE5: a C++ implementation of Feynman Integral REduction, Comput. Phys. Commun. 189 (2014) 182–191, [1408.2372].
- [36] R. N. Lee, Presenting LiteRed: a tool for the Loop InTEgrals REDuction, 1212.2685.
- [37] R. N. Lee, LiteRed 1.4: a powerful tool for reduction of multiloop integrals, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 523 (2014) 012059, [1310.1145].
- [38] C. Anastasiou and A. Lazopoulos, Automatic integral reduction for higher order perturbative calculations, JHEP 07 (2004) 046, [hep-ph/0404258].
- [39] C. Bogner and S. Weinzierl, Resolution of singularities for multi-loop integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 596–610, [0709.4092].
- [40] S. Borowka, G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, J. Schlenk and T. Zirke, SecDec-3.0: numerical evaluation of multi-scale integrals beyond one loop, Comput. Phys. Commun. 196 (2015) 470–491, [1502.06595].
- [41] A. V. Smirnov, FIESTA4: Optimized Feynman integral calculations with GPU support, Comput. Phys. Commun. 204 (2016) 189–199, [1511.03614].
- [42] A. Freitas, Numerical evaluation of multi-loop integrals using subtraction terms, JHEP 07 (2012) 132, [1205.3515].
- [43] J. Gluza, K. Kajda, T. Riemann and V. Yundin, Numerical Evaluation of Tensor Feynman Integrals in Euclidean Kinematics, Eur. Phys. J. C71 (2011) 1516, [1010.1667].
- [44] I. Dubovyk, J. Gluza, T. Riemann and J. Usovitsch, Numerical integration of massive two-loop Mellin-Barnes integrals in Minkowskian regions, PoS LL2016 (2016) 034, [1607.07538].
- [45] S. Laporta, High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A15 (2000) 5087–5159, [hep-ph/0102033].
- [46] A. von Manteuffel and R. M. Schabinger, A novel approach to integration by parts reduction, Phys. Lett. B744 (2015) 101–104, [1406.4513].

4th Computational Particle Physics Workshop

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **920** (2017) 012003

- doi:10.1088/1742-6596/920/1/012003
- [47] G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, J. Fujimoto, T. Ishikawa, T. Kaneko, K. Kato et al., Automatic calculations in high energy physics and Grace at one-loop, Phys. Rept. 430 (2006) 117–209, [hep-ph/0308080].
- [48] J. Fujimoto et al., The GRACE project: QCD, SUSY, multi-loop, PoS RADCOR2011 (2011) 012.
- [49] P. H. Khiem et al., Full $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ electroweak radiative corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ at the ILC with GRACE-Loop, Phys. Lett. **B740** (2015) 192–198, [1403.6557].
- [50] D. A. Kosower and K. J. Larsen, Maximal Unitarity at Two Loops, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 045017, [1108.1180].
- [51] P. Mastrolia, E. Mirabella, G. Ossola and T. Peraro, Integrand-Reduction for Two-Loop Scattering Amplitudes through Multivariate Polynomial Division, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 085026, [1209.4319]
- [52] S. Badger, H. Frellesvig and Y. Zhang, Hepta-Cuts of Two-Loop Scattering Amplitudes, JHEP 04 (2012) 055, [1202.2019].
- [53] P. Mastrolia, E. Mirabella, G. Ossola and T. Peraro, Multiloop Integrand Reduction for Dimensionally Regulated Amplitudes, Phys. Lett. B727 (2013) 532–535, [1307.5832].
- [54] K. J. Larsen and Y. Zhang, Integration-by-parts reductions from unitarity cuts and algebraic geometry, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 041701, [1511.01071].
- [55] H. Ita, Two-loop Integrand Decomposition into Master Integrals and Surface Terms, Phys. Rev. D94 (2016) 116015, [1510.05626].
- [56] S. Badger, G. Mogull and T. Peraro, Local integrands for two-loop all-plus Yang-Mills amplitudes, JHEP 08 (2016) 063, [1606.02244].
- [57] P. Mastrolia, T. Peraro and A. Primo, Adaptive Integrand Decomposition in parallel and orthogonal space, JHEP 08 (2016) 164, [1605.03157].
- [58] A. Georgoudis, K. J. Larsen and Y. Zhang, Azurite: An algebraic geometry based package for finding bases of loop integrals, 1612.04252.
- [59] T. Peraro, Scattering amplitudes over finite fields and multivariate functional reconstruction, JHEP 12 (2016) 030, [1608.01902].
- [60] S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, M. Jaquier and B. Page, Subleading Poles in the Numerical Unitarity Method at Two Loops, 1703.05255.
- [61] S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, M. Jaquier, B. Page and M. Zeng, Two-Loop Four-Gluon Amplitudes with the Numerical Unitarity Method, 1703.05273.
- [62] S. Bauberger and A. Freitas, TVID: Three-loop Vacuum Integrals from Dispersion relations, 1702.02996.
- [63] G. Chachamis, S. Buchta, P. Draggiotis and G. Rodrigo, Attacking One-loop Multi-leg Feynman Integrals with the Loop-Tree Duality, PoS DIS2016 (2016) 067, [1607.00875].
- [64] G. Passarino and S. Uccirati, Two-loop vertices in quantum field theory: Infrared and collinear divergent configurations, Nucl. Phys. B747 (2006) 113–189, [hep-ph/0603121].
- [65] S. Actis, G. Passarino, C. Sturm and S. Uccirati, NNLO Computational Techniques: The Cases $H \to \gamma \gamma$ and $H \to gg$, Nucl. Phys. B811 (2009) 182–273, [0809.3667].
- [66] P. Bärnreuther, M. Czakon and P. Fiedler, Virtual amplitudes and threshold behaviour of hadronic top-quark pair-production cross sections, JHEP 02 (2014) 078, [1312.6279].
- [67] M. Czakon, Tops from Light Quarks: Full Mass Dependence at Two-Loops in QCD, Phys. Lett. B664 (2008) 307–314, [0803.1400].
- [68] E. de Doncker, F. Yuasa, K. Kato, T. Ishikawa, J. Kapenga and O. Olagbemi, Regularization with Numerical Extrapolation for Finite and UV-Divergent Multi-loop Integrals, 1702.04904.
- [69] K. Kato, E. de Doncker, T. Ishikawa, J. Kapenga, O. Olagbemi and F. Yuasa, High Performance and Increased Precision Techniques for Feynman Loop Integrals, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 762 (2016) 012070.
- [70] M. Czakon, Automatized analytic continuation of Mellin-Barnes integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 175 (2006) 559–571, [hep-ph/0511200].
- [71] J. Gluza, T. Jelinski and D. A. Kosower, Efficient Evaluation of Massive Mellin-Barnes Integrals, 1609.09111.
- [72] I. Dubovyk, A. Freitas, J. Gluza, T. Riemann and J. Usovitsch, The two-loop electroweak bosonic corrections to sin² θ^b_{eff}, Phys. Lett. B762 (2016) 184–189, [1607.08375].
- [73] M. Roth and A. Denner, High-energy approximation of one-loop Feynman integrals, Nucl. Phys. B479 (1996) 495–514, [hep-ph/9605420].
- [74] A. Denner and S. Pozzorini, An Algorithm for the high-energy expansion of multi-loop diagrams to next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy, Nucl. Phys. B717 (2005) 48–85, [hep-ph/0408068].
- [75] C. Anastasiou, S. Beerli and A. Daleo, Evaluating multi-loop Feynman diagrams with infrared and threshold singularities numerically, JHEP 05 (2007) 071, [hep-ph/0703282].
- [76] T. Ueda and J. Fujimoto, New implementation of the sector decomposition on FORM, PoS ACAT08 (2008) 120, [0902.2656].
- [77] C. Anastasiou, F. Herzog and A. Lazopoulos, On the factorization of overlapping singularities at NNLO,

JHEP 03 (2011) 038, [1011.4867].

- [78] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann and E. W. N. Glover, Antenna subtraction at NNLO, JHEP 09 (2005) 056, [hep-ph/0505111].
- [79] S. Catani, L. Cieri, G. Ferrera, D. de Florian and M. Grazzini, Vector boson production at hadron colliders: a fully exclusive QCD calculation at NNLO, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 082001, [0903.2120].
- [80] J. Gaunt, M. Stahlhofen, F. J. Tackmann and J. R. Walsh, N-jettiness Subtractions for NNLO QCD Calculations, JHEP 09 (2015) 058, [1505.04794].
- [81] R. Boughezal, C. Focke, X. Liu and F. Petriello, W-boson production in association with a jet at next-to-next-to-leading order in perturbative QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 062002, [1504.02131].
- [82] G. Heinrich, A numerical method for NNLO calculations, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 116 (2003) 368–372, [hep-ph/0211144].
- [83] C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, A new method for real radiation at NNLO, Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 076010, [hep-ph/0311311].
- [84] T. Binoth and G. Heinrich, Numerical evaluation of phase space integrals by sector decomposition, Nucl. Phys. B693 (2004) 134–148, [hep-ph/0402265].
- [85] M. Czakon, A novel subtraction scheme for double-real radiation at NNLO, Phys. Lett. B693 (2010) 259–268, [1005.0274].
- [86] R. Boughezal, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, A subtraction scheme for NNLO computations, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 034025, [1111.7041].
- [87] M. Czakon and D. Heymes, Four-dimensional formulation of the sector-improved residue subtraction scheme, Nucl. Phys. B890 (2014) 152–227, [1408.2500].
- [88] F. Caola, K. Melnikov and R. Röntsch, Nested soft-collinear subtractions in NNLO QCD computations, Eur. Phys. J. C77 (2017) 248, [1702.01352].
- [89] G. Somogyi, Z. Trocsanyi and V. Del Duca, A Subtraction scheme for computing QCD jet cross sections at NNLO: Regularization of doubly-real emissions, JHEP 01 (2007) 070, [hep-ph/0609042].
- [90] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr, A. Kardos, G. Somogyi and Z. Trcsnyi, Three-Jet Production in Electron-Positron Collisions at Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order Accuracy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 152004, [1603.08927].
- [91] J. Carter and G. Heinrich, SecDec: A general program for sector decomposition, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182 (2011) 1566–1581, [1011.5493].
- [92] S. Borowka, J. Carter and G. Heinrich, Numerical Evaluation of Multi-Loop Integrals for Arbitrary Kinematics with SecDec 2.0, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 396–408, [1204.4152].
- [93] T. Binoth and G. Heinrich, An automatized algorithm to compute infrared divergent multiloop integrals, Nucl. Phys. B585 (2000) 741–759, [hep-ph/0004013].
- [94] G. Heinrich, Sector Decomposition, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A23 (2008) 1457–1486, [0803.4177].
- [95] K. Hepp, Proof of the Bogolyubov-Parasiuk theorem on renormalization, Commun. Math. Phys. 2 (1966) 301–326.
- [96] T. Kaneko and T. Ueda, A Geometric method of sector decomposition, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010) 1352–1361, [0908.2897].
- [97] J. Schlenk and T. Zirke, Calculation of Multi-Loop Integrals with SecDec-3.0, in Proceedings, 12th International Symposium on Radiative Corrections (Radcor 2015) and LoopFest XIV (Radiative Corrections for the LHC and Future Colliders): Los Angeles, CA, USA, June 15-19, 2015, 2016. 1601.03982.
- [98] S. C. Borowka, Evaluation of multi-loop multi-scale integrals and phenomenological two-loop applications. PhD thesis, Munich, Tech. U., 2014. 1410.7939.
- [99] T. Hahn, CUBA: A Library for multidimensional numerical integration, Comput. Phys. Commun. 168 (2005) 78–95, [hep-ph/0404043].
- [100] T. Becher and G. Bell, Analytic Regularization in Soft-Collinear Effective Theory, Phys. Lett. B713 (2012) 41–46, [1112.3907].
- [101] S. Borowka, N. Greiner, G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, J. Schlenk et al., Full top quark mass dependence in Higgs boson pair production at NLO, JHEP 10 (2016) 107, [1608.04798].
- [102] G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, G. Luisoni and E. Vryonidou, NLO predictions for Higgs boson pair production with full top quark mass dependence matched to parton showers, 1703.09252.
- [103] M. Kerner, Next-to-Leading Order Corrections to Higgs Boson Pair Production in Gluon Fusion, PoS LL2016 (2016) 023, [1608.03851].