



CERN-EP-2017-021
30 Jan 2017

Flow dominance and factorization of transverse momentum correlations in Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC

ALICE Collaboration*

Abstract

We present the first measurement of the two-particle transverse momentum differential correlation function, $P_2 \equiv \langle \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \rangle / \langle p_T \rangle^2$, in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. Results for P_2 are reported as a function of relative pseudorapidity ($\Delta\eta$) and azimuthal angle ($\Delta\phi$) between two particles for different collision centralities. The $\Delta\phi$ dependence is found to be largely independent of $\Delta\eta$ for $|\Delta\eta| \geq 0.9$. In 5% most central Pb–Pb collisions, the two-particle transverse momentum correlation function exhibits a clear double-hump structure around $\Delta\phi = \pi$ (i.e., on the away side), which is not observed in number correlations in the same centrality range, and thus provides an indication of the dominance of triangular flow in this collision centrality. Fourier decompositions of P_2 , studied as a function of collision centrality, show that correlations at $|\Delta\eta| \geq 0.9$ can be well reproduced by a flow ansatz based on the notion that measured transverse momentum correlations are strictly determined by the collective motion of the system.

arXiv:1702.02665v2 [nucl-ex] 21 May 2017

© 2017 CERN for the benefit of the ALICE Collaboration.

Reproduction of this article or parts of it is allowed as specified in the CC-BY-4.0 license.

*See Appendix A for the list of collaboration members

Measurements of particle production and their correlations in heavy-ion collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have provided very compelling evidence that the produced matter is characterized by extremely high temperatures and energy densities consistent with a deconfined, but strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma (sQGP). Evidence for the production of the sQGP is provided by observations of large suppression of particle production at momenta $p_T \gtrsim 3$ GeV/c relative to that observed in pp collisions, strong suppression of away-side particles observed in two-particle number correlations, as well as by anisotropic flow studies (anisotropies in particle azimuthal distributions relative to the reaction plane defined by the beam axis and a line connecting the centers of colliding nuclei) [1–11]. The comparison of measured flow coefficients, v_n , with predictions from hydrodynamical models indicate that the sQGP has a vanishingly small shear viscosity over entropy density ratio [12]. Furthermore, the observation of an approximate number of constituent quark scaling of flow coefficients in the $2 < p_T < 4$ GeV/c range, suggested as a signature of a deconfined medium [13], was reported by RHIC and LHC experiments [14, 15]. These results imply that the two-particle number correlations observed in the region of low p_T (< 2 GeV/c), corresponding to the bulk of particle production, are largely determined by anisotropic flow. Such flow dominance is manifested, in particular, by an approximate factorization of the measured flow coefficients, $V_{n\Delta}(\eta_1, p_{T,1}, \eta_2, p_{T,2}) = \langle \cos(n\Delta\phi) \rangle = \langle v_n(\eta_1, p_{T,1})v_n(\eta_2, p_{T,2}) \rangle$, observed for pairs of particles at relative pseudorapidity $\Delta\eta > 0.8$, in different transverse momentum bins up to $p_T \approx 3 - 5$ GeV/c [16].

Two-particle transverse momentum correlations [17–21] provide additional insights into the dynamics of multiparticle production and can be used to further examine the flow dominance of two-particle correlation functions. One expects, in particular, that in the presence of anisotropic flow, the differential transverse momentum correlator $\langle \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \rangle$ should feature azimuthal Fourier decomposition coefficients calculable with a simple formula, hereafter called the *flow ansatz*, in terms of the regular and p_T weighted flow coefficients [17]. Such a simple relation, discussed in more details below, is not expected for particle production arising from processes not related to the common symmetry plane, known as non-flow, such as jets or resonance decays. An agreement between the Fourier coefficients of the $\langle \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \rangle$ correlator and those calculated with the flow ansatz should thus provide additional evidence of the dominance of collective flow effects.

In this Letter, we present the first measurements of the differential transverse momentum correlations in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV in terms of the dimensionless correlator P_2 defined as

$$P_2 = \frac{\langle \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \rangle (\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)}{\langle p_T \rangle^2} = \frac{1}{\langle p_T \rangle^2} \frac{\int_{p_{T,\min}}^{p_{T,\max}} \rho_2(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) \Delta p_{T,1} \Delta p_{T,2} dp_{T,1} dp_{T,2}}{\int_{p_{T,\min}}^{p_{T,\max}} \rho_2(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) dp_{T,1} dp_{T,2}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta p_{T,i} = p_{T,i} - \langle p_T \rangle$, with $\langle p_T \rangle = \int \rho_1 p_T dp_T / \int \rho_1 dp_T$, the inclusive average transverse momentum of particles observed in the $p_{T,\min} \leq p_T \leq p_{T,\max}$ range. The quantities ρ_1 and ρ_2 represent single and two-particle densities, respectively. For particle correlations induced strictly by anisotropic emission relative to the reaction plane, the Fourier coefficients of P_2 , $v_n[P_2]$, should be determined by regular and the p_T weighted flow coefficients defined according to the following *flow ansatz* [17]:

$$v_n[P_2] \cong v_n^{p_T} / \langle p_T \rangle - v_n, \quad (2)$$

where v_n and $v_n^{p_T} = \int \rho_1 v_n(p_T) p_T dp_T / \int \rho_1 dp_T$ are the regular, and p_T weighted coefficients, respectively [17, 22]. Thus, we shall compare the Fourier coefficients of the P_2 correlator to values expected from this ansatz based on coefficients v_n and $v_n^{p_T}$ measured with traditional flow methods, e.g., the scalar product method [22].

This study is based on an analysis of a 14×10^6 events subset of a sample of minimum bias (MB) trigger events recorded with the ALICE detector during the LHC Run 1 in 2010. Detailed descriptions of the ALICE detector, its subsystems, and their respective performance have been reported in [23–26]. For this study, the Inner Tracking System (ITS) and the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) were used to reconstruct

charged-particle tracks, while the V0 detector and the Silicon Pixel Detector (SPD) formed the basis of the online minimum bias trigger used to acquire the data, as described in [5, 6].

The ALICE solenoidal magnet was operated with a field of 0.5 T with both positive and negative polarities. Events included in this analysis were required to have a single reconstructed primary vertex within 10 cm of the nominal interaction point along the beam axis, hereafter taken to be the z -axis. The fraction of pile-up events in the analysis sample is found to be negligible after applying dedicated pile-up removal criteria [26].

Correlation functions reported in this article are based on charged-particle tracks measured in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 1.0$ and with full azimuthal coverage $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$. The analysis was limited to particles produced with $0.2 < p_T < 2.0$ GeV/c corresponding largely to particles emerging from the bulk of the matter. Only tracks with a minimum of 70 reconstructed space points in the TPC, out of a maximum of 159, were included in the analysis. Contributions from photon conversions into e^+e^- pairs were suppressed based on an electron rejection criterion relying on the truncated average of the specific ionization energy loss $\langle dE/dx \rangle$ measured in the TPC. Tracks with $\langle dE/dx \rangle$ lying within $3\sigma_{dE/dx}$ of the Bethe-Bloch parametrization of the dE/dx expectation value for electrons and at least $3\sigma_{dE/dx}$ away from the relevant parameterizations for π , K , p were removed. In addition, suppression of the contamination from secondary particles originating from weak decays and from interaction of particles with the detector material was accomplished by imposing upper limits of 3.2 cm and 2.4 cm (RMS ~ 0.36 cm) for the distance of closest approach (DCA) of a track to the reconstructed vertex in the longitudinal (DCA_z) and radial (DCA_{xy}) directions, respectively. These criteria lead to a reconstruction efficiency of about 80% for primary particles and contamination from secondaries of about 5% at $p_T = 1$ GeV/c [27]. No filters were used to suppress like-sign (LS) particle correlations resulting from Hanbury Brown and Twiss (HBT) effects, which produce a strong and narrow peak centered at $\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi = 0$ in LS correlation functions. Corrections for single track losses were carried out using the weight technique described in [28] with weights calculated separately for positively and negatively charged tracks, positive and negative solenoidal magnetic fields, and with 40 vertex position bins in the fiducial range $|z| \leq 10$ cm. Pair inefficiencies associated with track merging or crossing (e.g. two tracks being partly or entirely reconstructed as a single track) within the TPC were corrected for based on track charge and momentum ordering techniques [29]. The P_2 correlators were measured separately for charge pair combinations $++$, $+-$, and $--$ and were combined with equal weights to produce the charge independent correlation functions reported in this article.

Systematic uncertainties were investigated by repeating the analysis for different operational and analysis conditions including two solenoidal magnetic field polarities, different event and track selection criteria, as well as different track reconstruction methods. Track selection criteria, most particularly the maximum value of distance of closest approach to the primary vertex, dominate systematic effects. The systematic uncertainties assigned to the measurements of v_n coefficients are the quadratic sums of individual contributions and range from 4% in central 0 – 10% collisions to 14% in peripheral 70 – 80% collisions.

Figure 1 (a) presents the correlator P_2 measured as a function of $\Delta\eta$ and $\Delta\varphi$ in the 5% most central Pb–Pb collisions. The central range around $\Delta\eta \sim 0$ and $\Delta\varphi \sim 0(\text{rad})$ is left under-corrected by the weight correction procedure mainly due to track merging effects. It is thus not considered in this analysis.¹ The correlator P_2 features a prominent near-side ridge centered at $\Delta\varphi = 0$, extending across the full pseudorapidity range of the measurement. It also features two distinct away-side humps at $|\Delta\varphi - \pi| \approx 60^\circ$ separated by a weak dip centered at $\Delta\varphi = \pi$ and also extending across the full pseudorapidity range of the acceptance. Such an away-side correlation feature, which indicates the presence of a strong third harmonic, was previously reported in ultra-central (0–2%) Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC [16, 31, 32]

¹The central range around $\Delta\eta \sim 0$ and $\Delta\varphi \sim 0(\text{rad})$ is considered, however, in a related ALICE analysis carried out with a mixed event technique [30].




Fig. 1: (Color online) (a) $P_2(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ in the 5% most central Pb–Pb collisions. The region $|\Delta\eta| < 0.15$ and $|\Delta\phi| < 0.13$ rad, where the weight technique used in this analysis does not provide a reliable efficiency correction, is excluded. (b) $P_2(\Delta\phi)$ for $|\Delta\eta| \geq 0.9$. Systematic errors are shown as gray boxes. Note the statistically significant dip at $\Delta\phi \sim \pi$.

as well as in central Au–Au collisions at RHIC but for the latter case only after the subtraction of a correlated component whose shape was exclusively attributed to elliptic flow [33–35].

To further study the azimuthal angle dependence of transverse momentum correlations, projections of the measured P_2 correlation function are fitted with an unconstrained 6-th order Fourier decomposition in $\Delta\phi$ according to $F(\Delta\phi) = b_0 + 2\sum_{n=1}^6 b_n \cos(n\Delta\phi)$, as illustrated in Fig. 1 (b). We verified that higher-order contributions, with $n > 6$, do not significantly improve the fits for $|\Delta\eta| \geq 0.9$. Coefficients b_5, b_6 feature large relative errors and are thus not reported in this paper. The double-hump at $|\Delta\phi - \pi| \approx 60^\circ$ implies the presence of a strong third harmonic, v_3 , in the Fourier decompositions of the correlation functions. The large v_3 likely originates from fluctuations in the initial density profile of colliding nuclei [36].

The flow coefficients obtained from two-particle transverse momentum correlations, $v_n[P_2]$, calculated according to $v_n = \sqrt{b_n/(b_0 + 1)}$, are plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of centrality for central (0–5%) up to peripheral collisions (70–80%). The $v_n[P_2]$ coefficients exhibit a collision centrality dependence qualitatively similar to that of regular flow coefficients obtained from standard flow measurement methods [22]. In addition, they feature a hierarchy such that $v_2 > v_3 > v_4$ at all centralities except in the 5% most central Pb–Pb collisions where the third is slightly larger than the second harmonic, thereby explaining the presence of the away-side double-hump seen in Fig. 1. This is at variance with the dependence of the regular flow coefficients which, even in the centrality range 0–5%, exhibit the basic hierarchy $v_2 > v_3 > v_4$. The observed higher value of $v_3[P_2]$ relative to $v_2[P_2]$ implies that v_3 should rise faster with increasing p_T than v_2 , in agreement with explicit measurements of the flow coefficients dependence on p_T [37].

We next consider the possible role of non-flow correlations on the correlator P_2 by comparing, in Fig. 2, the $v_n[P_2]$ coefficients obtained in ranges $0.2 \leq |\Delta\eta| \leq 0.9$ and $0.9 \leq |\Delta\eta| \leq 1.9$ with values predicted by the flow ansatz, introduced above. In the range $0.9 \leq |\Delta\eta| \leq 1.9$ (see Fig. 2(c)), one observes that the coefficients $v_n[P_2]$ are in a very good agreement, at all measured collision centralities, with expectations from the flow ansatz. This agreement provides additional evidence that two-particle correlations in this relative pseudorapidity range are predominantly determined by the collective nature of particle emission at low p_T , which motivates the factorization hypothesis used to derive Eq. (2). It also suggests that away-side jets, that might be associated with the near-side peak, are significantly suppressed and contribute minimally to the away-side correlated yield in that η range. In contrast, in the range $0.2 \leq |\Delta\eta| \leq 0.9$ (see Fig. 2(a)), the $v_n[P_2]$ coefficients exhibit a stronger and monotonic centrality evolution. In particular, the $v_n[P_2]$ deviate significantly from the flow ansatz for collision centralities larger than 40%, where one




Fig. 2: (Color online) v_n coefficients where $n = 2, 3, 4$ in the range (a) $0.2 \leq |\Delta\eta| \leq 0.9$ and (c) $0.9 \leq |\Delta\eta| \leq 1.9$ obtained from the P_2 correlation function. The coefficients are compared with the expectations from the flow ansatz obtained in their respective $\Delta\eta$ ranges in Pb–Pb collisions. Statistical errors are shown as vertical solid lines, whereas systematic errors are displayed as colored bands. Ratios of the v_n coefficients and their corresponding flow ansatz values are shown in (b) and (d). The errors on the ratios are only statistical.

expects the largest non-flow contributions associated with the presence of the correlation function near-side peak.

Using the same measurement technique, we further compare features of the P_2 correlation function to that of the number correlation function, R_2 , defined as

$$R_2 + 1 = \int_{p_{T,\min}}^{p_{T,\max}} \rho_2(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) dp_{T,1} dp_{T,2} / \int_{p_{T,\min}}^{p_{T,\max}} \rho_1(\vec{p}_1) \rho_1(\vec{p}_2) dp_{T,1} dp_{T,2}. \quad (3)$$

Figure 3 presents the $\Delta\eta$ dependence of v_n , $n = 2, 3$ and 4, coefficients obtained from these correlation functions for the 5% most central collisions. In this centrality interval one finds that the hierarchies $v_3[P_2] > v_2[P_2]$ and $v_2[R_2] > v_3[R_2]$ indeed hold for all measured $\Delta\eta$. The dominance of $v_3[P_2]$ across all $\Delta\eta$ is likely a consequence of the third harmonic's (triangular flow) stronger dependence on p_T relative to that of the second harmonic (elliptic flow). The v_2 , v_3 , and v_4 dependencies on $\Delta\eta$ reveal additional interesting features. In the case of the R_2 correlation, the coefficients v_2 and v_3 monotonically decrease over the entire $\Delta\eta$ range, whereas coefficients extracted from P_2 exhibit a more pronounced decrease for $|\Delta\eta| \leq 0.9$. From $|\Delta\eta| \sim 1.0$ to ~ 2.0 , the relative decrease of v_2 is about 5% for both correlators, and somewhat smaller for v_3 . These contrasting dependencies reflect the different shapes of the near-side peaks of the two correlation functions. The narrower shape of the near-side peak of the P_2 distribution suggests that the near-side peak of R_2 might involve two components, one of which is characterized by a vanishing $\langle \Delta p_T \Delta p_T \rangle$ for pairs with $|\Delta\eta| \leq 0.9$. While the origin of this behavior is not fully understood, it offers the benefit of enabling the determination of flow coefficients with smaller non-flow effects using a narrower $\Delta\eta$ gap.

In summary, we presented the first measurements of the two-particle transverse momentum differential




Fig. 3: (Color online) v_n coefficients, $n = 2, 3, 4$, obtained from (a) P_2 and (b) R_2 correlators, as a function of $|\Delta\eta|$ in the 5% most central Pb – Pb collisions. Statistical errors are shown as vertical solid lines whereas systematic uncertainties are displayed as shaded bands. Panels (c – d): ratios of the v_n , $n = 2, 3, 4$, by the corresponding values of v_n measured at $\Delta\eta = 0.3$.

correlation function P_2 from Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. In the 5% most central Pb–Pb collisions, P_2 has a shape qualitatively different to that observed in measurements of the number density correlations, with a relatively narrow near-side peak near $|\Delta\eta|$, $|\Delta\phi| < 0.5$, and a longitudinally broad and double-hump structure on the away-side. The double-hump structure in the 5% most central P_2 correlation indicates that this observable is more sensitive to the presence of a triangular flow component than the number correlations R_2 , and consequently provides an indication that triangular flow features a stronger dependence on p_T than elliptic flow does. Comparison of the Fourier decompositions of the R_2 and P_2 correlators, calculated as a function of $|\Delta\eta|$, suggests that the v_2 , v_3 , and v_4 coefficients extracted from P_2 reach approximately constant values beyond $|\Delta\eta| \sim 0.9$, while coefficients v_2 , v_3 obtained from R_2 decrease monotonically for increasing $|\Delta\eta|$. The observed agreement between the flow coefficients measured from P_2 correlations, at $|\Delta\eta| > 0.9$, and the values predicted from the flow ansatz provide new and independent support to the notion that the observed long range correlations are largely due to the initial collision geometry. These results may be used to further constrain particle production models. This agreement to the flow ansatz also provides further evidence for flow coefficient factorization in heavy-ion collisions.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation (ANSL), State Committee of Science and World Federation of Scientists (WFS), Armenia; Austrian Academy of Sciences and Nationalstiftung für Forschung, Technologie und Entwicklung, Austria; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (Finep) and Fundação de

Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Brazil; Ministry of Science & Technology of China (MSTC), National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) and Ministry of Education of China (MOEC), China; Ministry of Science, Education and Sport and Croatian Science Foundation, Croatia; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic; The Danish Council for Independent Research — Natural Sciences, the Carlsberg Foundation and Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Denmark; Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland; Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique (CEA) and Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France; Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF) and GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Germany; Ministry of Education, Research and Religious Affairs, Greece; National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary; Department of Atomic Energy Government of India (DAE) and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India; Indonesian Institute of Science, Indonesia; Centro Fermi - Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Italy; Institute for Innovative Science and Technology, Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science (IIST), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI and Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia (CONACYT) y Tecnología, through Fondo de Cooperación Internacional en Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCICYT) and Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico (DGAPA), Mexico; Nationaal instituut voor subatomaire fysica (Nikhef), Netherlands; The Research Council of Norway, Norway; Commission on Science and Technology for Sustainable Development in the South (COMSATS), Pakistan; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru; Ministry of Science and Higher Education and National Science Centre, Poland; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), Republic of Korea; Ministry of Education and Scientific Research, Institute of Atomic Physics and Romanian National Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation, Romania; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation and National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Russia; Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, Slovakia; National Research Foundation of South Africa, South Africa; Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Spain; Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW), Sweden; European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland; National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSDTA), Suranaree University of Technology (SUT) and Office of the Higher Education Commission under NRU project of Thailand, Thailand; Turkish Atomic Energy Agency (TAEK), Turkey; National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine; Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), United Kingdom; National Science Foundation of the United States of America (NSF) and United States Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics (DOE NP), United States of America.

References

- [1] **STAR** Collaboration, S. Oh, A. Morsch, C. Loizides, and T. Schuster, “Correction methods for finite-acceptance effects in two-particle correlation analyses,” *Nucl. Phys.* **A757** (2005) 102–183, arXiv:nucl-ex/0501009 [nucl-ex].
- [2] **PHENIX** Collaboration, K. Adcox *et al.*, “Formation of dense partonic matter in relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions at RHIC: Experimental evaluation by the PHENIX collaboration,” *Nucl. Phys.* **A757** (2005) 184–283, arXiv:nucl-ex/0410003 [nucl-ex].
- [3] **BRAHMS** Collaboration, I. Arsene *et al.*, “Quark gluon plasma and color glass condensate at RHIC? The Perspective from the BRAHMS experiment,” *Nucl. Phys.* **A757** (2005) 1–27, arXiv:nucl-ex/0410020 [nucl-ex].

- [4] **PHOBOS** Collaboration, B. B. Back *et al.*, “The PHOBOS perspective on discoveries at RHIC,” *Nucl. Phys.* **A757** (2005) 28–101, arXiv:nucl-ex/0410022 [nucl-ex].
- [5] **ALICE** Collaboration, K. Aamodt *et al.*, “Charged-particle multiplicity density at mid-rapidity in central Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 252301, arXiv:1011.3916 [nucl-ex].
- [6] **ALICE** Collaboration, J. Adam *et al.*, “Centrality dependence of the charged-particle multiplicity density at midrapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 22, (2016) 222302, arXiv:1512.06104 [nucl-ex].
- [7] **CMS** Collaboration, K. Krajczar, “Charged hadron multiplicity and transverse energy densities in Pb Pb collisions from CMS,” *J. Phys.* **G38** (2011) 124041.
- [8] **ALICE** Collaboration, K. Aamodt *et al.*, “Elliptic flow of charged particles in Pb-Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 252302, arXiv:1011.3914 [nucl-ex].
- [9] **ALICE** Collaboration, B. Abelev *et al.*, “Centrality Dependence of Charged Particle Production at Large Transverse Momentum in Pb–Pb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” *Phys. Lett.* **B720** (2013) 52–62, arXiv:1208.2711 [hep-ex].
- [10] **CMS** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, “Dependence on pseudorapidity and centrality of charged hadron production in PbPb collisions at a nucleon-nucleon centre-of-mass energy of 2.76 TeV,” *JHEP* **08** (2011) 141, arXiv:1107.4800 [nucl-ex].
- [11] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, “Observation of a Centrality-Dependent Dijet Asymmetry in Lead-Lead Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector at the LHC,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** no. arXiv:1011.6182. CERN-PH-EP-2010-062, (Nov, 2010) 252303. 19 p. <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1309851>. Accepted by *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (25 Nov 2010).
- [12] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, “Collective flow and viscosity in relativistic heavy-ion collisions,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **63** (2013) 123–151, arXiv:1301.2826 [nucl-th].
- [13] D. Molnár and S. A. Voloshin, “Elliptic flow at large transverse momenta from quark coalescence,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (Aug, 2003) 092301. <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.092301>.
- [14] **STAR** Collaboration, B. I. Abelev *et al.*, “Mass, quark-number, and $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ dependence of the second and fourth flow harmonics in ultra-relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions,” *Phys. Rev.* **C75** (2007) 054906, arXiv:nucl-ex/0701010 [nucl-ex].
- [15] **ALICE** Collaboration, B. B. Abelev *et al.*, “Elliptic flow of identified hadrons in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” *JHEP* **06** (2015) 190, arXiv:1405.4632 [nucl-ex].
- [16] **ALICE** Collaboration, K. Aamodt *et al.*, “Harmonic decomposition of two-particle angular correlations in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **708** no. arXiv:1109.2501. CERN-PH-EP-2011-152, (Sep, 2011) 249–264. 25 p. <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1381944>.
- [17] M. Sharma and C. A. Pruneau, “Methods for the Study of Transverse Momentum Differential Correlations,” *Phys. Rev.* **C79** (2009) 024905, arXiv:0810.0716 [nucl-ex].
- [18] S. A. Voloshin, V. Koch, and H. G. Ritter, “Event-by-event fluctuations in collective quantities,” *Phys. Rev.* **C60** (1999) 024901, arXiv:nucl-th/9903060 [nucl-th].

- [19] **PHENIX** Collaboration, S. S. Adler *et al.*, “Systematic studies of the centrality and $s_{NN}^{1/2}$ dependence of the $dE(T)/d\eta$ and $dN(ch)/d\eta$ in heavy ion collisions at mid-rapidity,” *Phys. Rev. C* **71** (2005) 034908, arXiv:nucl-ex/0409015 [nucl-ex]. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. C* **71**, 049901 (2005)].
- [20] **CERES** Collaboration, D. Adamova *et al.*, “Scale-dependence of transverse momentum correlations in Pb-Au collisions at 158A-GeV/c,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **811** (2008) 179–196, arXiv:0803.2407 [nucl-ex].
- [21] **ALICE** Collaboration, B. B. Abelev *et al.*, “Event-by-event mean p_T fluctuations in pp and Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** no. 10, (2014) 3077, arXiv:1407.5530 [nucl-ex].
- [22] S. A. Voloshin, A. M. Poskanzer, and R. Snellings, “Collective phenomena in non-central nuclear collisions,” arXiv:0809.2949 [nucl-ex].
- [23] **ALICE** Collaboration, P. Cortese *et al.*, “ALICE: Physics performance report, volume I,” *J. Phys. G* **30** (2004) 1517–1763.
- [24] **ALICE** Collaboration, P. Cortese *et al.*, “ALICE: Physics performance report, volume II,” *J. Phys. G* **32** (2006) 1295–2040.
- [25] **ALICE** Collaboration, K. K. Aamodt *et al.*, “The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC,” *Journal of Instrumentation* **3** no. 08, (2008) S08002. <http://stacks.iop.org/1748-0221/3/i=08/a=S08002>.
- [26] **ALICE** Collaboration, B. B. Abelev *et al.*, “Performance of the ALICE Experiment at the CERN LHC,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29** (2014) 1430044, arXiv:1402.4476 [nucl-ex].
- [27] **ALICE** Collaboration, B. B. Abelev *et al.*, “Multiplicity dependence of the average transverse momentum in pp, p-Pb, and Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC,” *Phys. Lett. B* **727** (2013) 371–380, arXiv:1307.1094 [nucl-ex].
- [28] S. Ravan, P. Pujahari, S. Prasad, and C. A. Pruneau, “Correcting correlation function measurements,” *Phys. Rev. C* **89** (Feb, 2014) 024906. <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.024906>.
- [29] **STAR** Collaboration, H. Agakishiev *et al.*, “Evolution of the differential transverse momentum correlation function with centrality in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **704** (2011) 467–473, arXiv:1106.4334 [nucl-ex].
- [30] **ALICE** Collaboration, J. Adam *et al.*, “Evolution of the longitudinal and azimuthal structure of the near-side jet peak in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” arXiv:1609.06667 [nucl-ex].
- [31] **ALICE** Collaboration, J. Adam *et al.*, “Pseudorapidity dependence of the anisotropic flow of charged particles in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” *Phys. Lett. B* **762** (2016) 376–388, arXiv:1605.02035 [nucl-ex].
- [32] S. Mohapatra, *Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy for charged particle production in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=2.76$ TeV and in p+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=5.02$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*. PhD thesis, SUNY, Stony Brook, 2013-08-15. http://inspirehep.net/record/1296500/files/986024289_CERN-THESIS-2013-181.pdf.
- [33] **STAR** Collaboration, M. M. Aggarwal *et al.*, “Azimuthal di-hadron correlations in d+Au and Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV from STAR,” *Phys. Rev. C* **82** (2010) 024912, arXiv:1004.2377 [nucl-ex].

- [34] **STAR** Collaboration, B. I. Abelev *et al.*, “Long range rapidity correlations and jet production in high energy nuclear collisions,” *Phys. Rev.* **C80** (2009) 064912, arXiv:0909.0191 [nucl-ex].
- [35] **PHOBOS** Collaboration, B. Alver *et al.*, “High transverse momentum triggered correlations over a large pseudorapidity acceptance in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (Feb, 2010) 062301. <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.062301>.
- [36] B. Alver and G. Roland, “Collision geometry fluctuations and triangular flow in heavy-ion collisions,” *Phys. Rev.* **C81** (2010) 054905, arXiv:1003.0194 [nucl-th]. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* **C82**,039903(2010)].
- [37] **ALICE** Collaboration, J. Adam *et al.*, “Anisotropic flow of charged particles in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 13, (2016) 132302, arXiv:1602.01119 [nucl-ex].

A The ALICE Collaboration

J. Adam³⁸, D. Adamová⁸⁷, M.M. Aggarwal⁹¹, G. Aglieri Rinella³⁴, M. Agnello^{30,113}, N. Agrawal⁴⁷, Z. Ahammed¹³⁷, S. Ahmad¹⁷, S.U. Ahn⁷⁰, S. Aiola¹⁴¹, A. Akindinov⁵⁴, S.N. Alam¹³⁷, D.S.D. Albuquerque¹²⁴, D. Aleksandrov⁸³, B. Alessandro¹¹³, D. Alexandre¹⁰⁴, R. Alfaro Molina⁶⁴, A. Alici^{12,107}, A. Alkin³, J. Alme^{21,36}, T. Alt⁴¹, S. Altinpinar²¹, I. Altsybeev¹³⁶, C. Alves Garcia Prado¹²³, M. An⁷, C. Andrei⁸¹, H.A. Andrews¹⁰⁴, A. Andronic¹⁰⁰, V. Anguelov⁹⁶, C. Anson⁹⁰, T. Antičić¹⁰¹, F. Antinori¹¹⁰, P. Antonioli¹⁰⁷, R. Anwar¹²⁶, L. Aphecetche¹¹⁶, H. Appelshäuser⁶⁰, S. Arcelli²⁶, R. Arnaldi¹¹³, O.W. Arnold^{97,35}, I.C. Arsene²⁰, M. Arslandok⁶⁰, B. Audurier¹¹⁶, A. Augustinus³⁴, R. Averbek¹⁰⁰, M.D. Azmi¹⁷, A. Badalà¹⁰⁹, Y.W. Baek⁶⁹, S. Bagnasco¹¹³, R. Bailhache⁶⁰, R. Bala⁹³, A. Baldisseri⁶⁶, R.C. Baral⁵⁷, A.M. Barbaño²⁵, R. Barbera²⁷, F. Barile³², L. Barioglio²⁵, G.G. Barnaföldi¹⁴⁰, L.S. Barnby^{104,34}, V. Barret⁷², P. Bartalini⁷, K. Barth³⁴, J. Bartke^{120,i}, E. Bartsch⁶⁰, M. Basile²⁶, N. Bastid⁷², S. Basu¹³⁷, B. Bathen⁶¹, G. Batigne¹¹⁶, A. Batista Camejo⁷², B. Batyunya⁶⁸, P.C. Batzing²⁰, I.G. Bearden⁸⁴, H. Beck⁹⁶, C. Bedda³⁰, N.K. Behera⁵⁰, I. Belikov⁶⁵, F. Bellini²⁶, H. Bello Martinez², R. Bellwied¹²⁶, L.G.E. Beltran¹²², V. Belyaev⁷⁷, G. Bencedi¹⁴⁰, S. Beole²⁵, A. Bercuci⁸¹, Y. Berdnikov⁸⁹, D. Berenyi¹⁴⁰, R.A. Bertens^{53,129}, D. Berzano³⁴, L. Betev³⁴, A. Bhasin⁹³, I.R. Bhat⁹³, A.K. Bhati⁹¹, B. Bhattacharjee⁴³, J. Bhom¹²⁰, L. Bianchi¹²⁶, N. Bianchi⁷⁴, C. Bianchin¹³⁹, J. Bielčik³⁸, J. Bielčiková⁸⁷, A. Bilandžić^{35,97}, G. Biro¹⁴⁰, R. Biswas⁴, S. Biswas⁴, J.T. Blair¹²¹, D. Blau⁸³, C. Blume⁶⁰, F. Bock^{76,96}, A. Bogdanov⁷⁷, L. Boldizsár¹⁴⁰, M. Bombara³⁹, M. Bonora³⁴, J. Book⁶⁰, H. Borel⁶⁶, A. Borissov⁹⁹, M. Borri¹²⁸, E. Botta²⁵, C. Bourjau⁸⁴, P. Braun-Munzinger¹⁰⁰, M. Bregant¹²³, T.A. Broker⁶⁰, T.A. Browning⁹⁸, M. Broz³⁸, E.J. Brucken⁴⁵, E. Bruna¹¹³, G.E. Bruno³², D. Budnikov¹⁰², H. Buesching⁶⁰, S. Bufalino^{30,25}, P. Buhler¹¹⁵, S.A.I. Buitron⁶², P. Buncic³⁴, O. Busch¹³², Z. Buthelezi⁶⁷, J.B. Butt¹⁵, J.T. Buxton¹⁸, J. Cabala¹¹⁸, D. Caffarri³⁴, H. Caines¹⁴¹, A. Caliva⁵³, E. Calvo Villar¹⁰⁵, P. Camerini²⁴, A.A. Capon¹¹⁵, F. Carena³⁴, W. Carena³⁴, F. Carnesecchi^{26,12}, J. Castillo Castellanos⁶⁶, A.J. Castro¹²⁹, E.A.R. Casula^{23,108}, C. Ceballos Sanchez⁹, P. Cerello¹¹³, J. Cerkala¹¹⁸, B. Chang¹²⁷, S. Chapeland³⁴, M. Chartier¹²⁸, J.L. Charvet⁶⁶, S. Chattopadhyay¹³⁷, S. Chattopadhyay¹⁰³, A. Chauvin^{97,35}, M. Cherney⁹⁰, C. Cheshkov¹³⁴, B. Cheynis¹³⁴, V. Chibante Barroso³⁴, D.D. Chinellato¹²⁴, S. Cho⁵⁰, P. Chochula³⁴, K. Choi⁹⁹, M. Chojnacki⁸⁴, S. Choudhury¹³⁷, P. Christakoglou⁸⁵, C.H. Christensen⁸⁴, P. Christiansen³³, T. Chujo¹³², S.U. Chung⁹⁹, C. Cicalo¹⁰⁸, L. Cifarelli^{12,26}, F. Cindolo¹⁰⁷, J. Cleymans⁹², F. Colamaria³², D. Colella^{55,34}, A. Collu⁷⁶, M. Colocci²⁶, G. Conesa Balbastre⁷³, Z. Conesa del Valle⁵¹, M.E. Connors^{141,ii}, J.G. Contreras³⁸, T.M. Cormier⁸⁸, Y. Corrales Morales¹¹³, I. Cortés Maldonado², P. Cortese³¹, M.R. Cosentino^{123,125}, F. Costa³⁴, J. Crkovská⁵¹, P. Crochet⁷², R. Cruz Albino¹¹, E. Cuautle⁶², L. Cunqueiro⁶¹, T. Dahms^{35,97}, A. Dainese¹¹⁰, M.C. Danisch⁹⁶, A. Danu⁵⁸, D. Das¹⁰³, I. Das¹⁰³, S. Das⁴, A. Dash⁸², S. Dash⁴⁷, S. De^{48,123}, A. De Caro²⁹, G. de Cataldo¹⁰⁶, C. de Conti¹²³, J. de Cuveland⁴¹, A. De Falco²³, D. De Gruttola^{12,29}, N. De Marco¹¹³, S. De Pasquale²⁹, R.D. De Souza¹²⁴, H.F. Degenhardt¹²³, A. Deisting^{100,96}, A. Deloff⁸⁰, C. Deplano⁸⁵, P. Dhankher⁴⁷, D. Di Bari³², A. Di Mauro³⁴, P. Di Nezza⁷⁴, B. Di Ruzza¹¹⁰, M.A. Diaz Corchero¹⁰, T. Dietel⁹², P. Dillenseger⁶⁰, R. Divià³⁴, Ø. Djuvsland²¹, A. Dobrin^{58,34}, D. Domenicis Gimenez¹²³, B. Dönigus⁶⁰, O. Dordic²⁰, T. Drozhzhova⁶⁰, A.K. Dubey¹³⁷, A. Dubla¹⁰⁰, L. Ducroux¹³⁴, A.K. Duggal⁹¹, P. Dupieux⁷², R.J. Ehlers¹⁴¹, D. Elia¹⁰⁶, E. Endress¹⁰⁵, H. Engel⁵⁹, E. Epple¹⁴¹, B. Erazmus¹¹⁶, F. Erhardt¹³³, B. Espagnon⁵¹, S. Esumi¹³², G. Eulisse³⁴, J. Eum⁹⁹, D. Evans¹⁰⁴, S. Evdokimov¹¹⁴, L. Fabbietti^{35,97}, D. Fabris¹¹⁰, J. Faivre⁷³, A. Fantoni⁷⁴, M. Fasel^{88,76}, L. Feldkamp⁶¹, A. Feliciello¹¹³, G. Feofilov¹³⁶, J. Ferencei⁸⁷, A. Fernández Téllez², E.G. Ferreira¹⁶, A. Ferretti²⁵, A. Festanti²⁸, V.J.G. Feuillard^{72,66}, J. Figiel¹²⁰, M.A.S. Figueredo¹²³, S. Filchagin¹⁰², D. Finogeev⁵², F.M. Fionda²³, E.M. Fiore³², M. Floris³⁴, S. Foertsch⁶⁷, P. Foka¹⁰⁰, S. Fokin⁸³, E. Fragiaco¹¹², A. Francescon³⁴, A. Francisco¹¹⁶, U. Frankendorf¹⁰⁰, G.G. Fronze²⁵, U. Fuchs³⁴, C. Furget⁷³, A. Furs⁵², M. Fusco Girard²⁹, J.J. Gaardhøje⁸⁴, M. Gagliardi²⁵, A.M. Gago¹⁰⁵, K. Gajdosova⁸⁴, M. Gallio²⁵, C.D. Galvan¹²², D.R. Gangadharan⁷⁶, P. Ganoti⁷⁹, C. Gao⁷, C. Garabatos¹⁰⁰, E. Garcia-Solis¹³, K. Garg²⁷, P. Garg⁴⁸, C. Gargiulo³⁴, P. Gasik^{35,97}, E.F. Gauger¹²¹, M.B. Gay Ducati⁶³, M. Germain¹¹⁶, P. Ghosh¹³⁷, S.K. Ghosh⁴, P. Gianotti⁷⁴, P. Giubellino^{34,113}, P. Giubilato²⁸, E. Gladysz-Dziadus¹²⁰, P. Glässel⁹⁶, D.M. Gómez Coral⁶⁴, A. Gomez Ramirez⁵⁹, A.S. Gonzalez³⁴, V. Gonzalez¹⁰, P. González-Zamora¹⁰, S. Gorbunov⁴¹, L. Görlich¹²⁰, S. Gotovac¹¹⁹, V. Grabski⁶⁴, L.K. Graczykowski¹³⁸, K.L. Graham¹⁰⁴, L. Greiner⁷⁶, A. Grelli⁵³, C. Grigoras³⁴, V. Grigoriev⁷⁷, A. Grigoryan¹, S. Grigoryan⁶⁸, N. Grion¹¹², J.M. Gronefeld¹⁰⁰, F. Grosa³⁰, J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus³⁴, R. Grosso¹⁰⁰, L. Gruber¹¹⁵, F.R. Grull⁵⁹, F. Guber⁵², R. Guernane^{34,73}, B. Guerzoni²⁶, K. Gulbrandsen⁸⁴, T. Gunji¹³¹, A. Gupta⁹³, R. Gupta⁹³, I.B. Guzman², R. Haake^{34,61}, C. Hadjidakis⁵¹, H. Hamagaki^{78,131}, G. Hamar¹⁴⁰, J.C. Hamon⁶⁵, J.W. Harris¹⁴¹, A. Harton¹³, D. Hatzifotiadou¹⁰⁷, S. Hayashi¹³¹, S.T. Heckel⁶⁰, E. Hellbär⁶⁰, H. Helstrup³⁶, A. Herghelegiu⁸¹, G. Herrera Corral¹¹, F. Herrmann⁶¹, B.A. Hess⁹⁵, K.F. Hetland³⁶, H. Hillemanns³⁴, B. Hippolyte⁶⁵, J. Hladky⁵⁶, D. Horak³⁸, R. Hosokawa¹³², P. Hristov³⁴, C. Hughes¹²⁹, T.J. Humanic¹⁸, N. Hussain⁴³, T. Hussain¹⁷, D. Hutter⁴¹, D.S. Hwang¹⁹, R. Ilkaev¹⁰², M. Inaba¹³², M. Ippolitov^{83,77}, M. Irfan¹⁷, V. Isakov⁵², M.S. Islam⁴⁸,

M. Ivanov^{34,100}, V. Ivanov⁸⁹, V. Izucheev¹¹⁴, B. Jacak⁷⁶, N. Jacazio²⁶, P.M. Jacobs⁷⁶, M.B. Jadhav⁴⁷, S. Jadlovská¹¹⁸, J. Jadlovsky¹¹⁸, C. Jahnke³⁵, M.J. Jakubowska¹³⁸, M.A. Janik¹³⁸, P.H.S.Y. Jayarathna¹²⁶, C. Jena⁸², S. Jena¹²⁶, M. Jercic¹³³, R.T. Jimenez Bustamante¹⁰⁰, P.G. Jones¹⁰⁴, A. Jusko¹⁰⁴, P. Kalinak⁵⁵, A. Kalweit³⁴, J.H. Kang¹⁴², V. Kaplin⁷⁷, S. Kar¹³⁷, A. Karasu Uysal⁷¹, O. Karavichev⁵², T. Karavicheva⁵², L. Karayan^{100,96}, E. Karpechev⁵², U. Kebschull⁵⁹, R. Keidel¹⁴³, D.L.D. Keijdener⁵³, M. Keil³⁴, M. Mohisin Khan^{17,iii}, P. Khan¹⁰³, S.A. Khan¹³⁷, A. Khanzadeev⁸⁹, Y. Kharlov¹¹⁴, A. Khatun¹⁷, A. Khuntia⁴⁸, M.M. Kielbowicz¹²⁰, B. Kileng³⁶, D.W. Kim⁴², D.J. Kim¹²⁷, D. Kim¹⁴², H. Kim¹⁴², J.S. Kim⁴², J. Kim⁹⁶, M. Kim⁵⁰, M. Kim¹⁴², S. Kim¹⁹, T. Kim¹⁴², S. Kirsch⁴¹, I. Kisel⁴¹, S. Kiselev⁵⁴, A. Kisiel¹³⁸, G. Kiss¹⁴⁰, J.L. Klay⁶, C. Klein⁶⁰, J. Klein³⁴, C. Klein-Bösing⁶¹, S. Klewin⁹⁶, A. Kluge³⁴, M.L. Knichel⁹⁶, A.G. Knospe¹²⁶, C. Kobdaj¹¹⁷, M. Kofarago³⁴, T. Kollegger¹⁰⁰, A. Kolojvari¹³⁶, V. Kondratiev¹³⁶, N. Kondratyeva⁷⁷, E. Kondratyuk¹¹⁴, A. Konevskikh⁵², M. Kopcik¹¹⁸, M. Kour⁹³, C. Kouzinopoulos³⁴, O. Kovalenko⁸⁰, V. Kovalenko¹³⁶, M. Kowalski¹²⁰, G. Koyithatta Meethalevedu⁴⁷, I. Králik⁵⁵, A. Kravčáková³⁹, M. Krivda^{55,104}, F. Krizek⁸⁷, E. Kryshen⁸⁹, M. Krzewicki⁴¹, A.M. Kubera¹⁸, V. Kučera⁸⁷, C. Kuhn⁶⁵, P.G. Kuijer⁸⁵, A. Kumar⁹³, J. Kumar⁴⁷, L. Kumar⁹¹, S. Kumar⁴⁷, S. Kundu⁸², P. Kurashvili⁸⁰, A. Kurepin⁵², A.B. Kurepin⁵², A. Kuryakin¹⁰², S. Kushpil⁸⁷, M.J. Kweon⁵⁰, Y. Kwon¹⁴², S.L. La Pointe⁴¹, P. La Rocca²⁷, C. Lagana Fernandes¹²³, I. Lakomov³⁴, R. Langoy⁴⁰, K. Lapidus¹⁴¹, C. Lara⁵⁹, A. Lardeux^{66,20}, A. Lattuca²⁵, E. Laudi³⁴, R. Lavicka³⁸, L. Lazaridis³⁴, R. Lea²⁴, L. Leardini⁹⁶, S. Lee¹⁴², F. Lehas⁸⁵, S. Lehner¹¹⁵, J. Lehrbach⁴¹, R.C. Lemmon⁸⁶, V. Lenti¹⁰⁶, E. Leogrande⁵³, I. León Monzón¹²², P. Lévai¹⁴⁰, S. Li⁷, X. Li¹⁴, J. Lien⁴⁰, R. Lietava¹⁰⁴, S. Lindal²⁰, V. Lindenstruth⁴¹, C. Lippmann¹⁰⁰, M.A. Lisa¹⁸, V. Litichevskiy⁴⁵, H.M. Ljunggren³³, W.J. Llope¹³⁹, D.F. Lodato⁵³, P.I. Loenne²¹, V. Loginov⁷⁷, C. Loizides⁷⁶, P. Loncar¹¹⁹, X. Lopez⁷², E. López Torres⁹, A. Lowe¹⁴⁰, P. Luetig⁶⁰, M. Lunardon²⁸, G. Luparello²⁴, M. Lupi³⁴, T.H. Lutz¹⁴¹, A. Maevskaya⁵², M. Mager³⁴, S. Mahajan⁹³, S.M. Mahmood²⁰, A. Maire⁶⁵, R.D. Majka¹⁴¹, M. Malaev⁸⁹, I. Maldonado Cervantes⁶², L. Malinina^{68,iv}, D. Mal'Kevich⁵⁴, P. Malzacher¹⁰⁰, A. Mamonov¹⁰², V. Manko⁸³, F. Manso⁷², V. Manzari¹⁰⁶, Y. Mao⁷, M. Marchisone^{67,130}, J. Mareš⁵⁶, G.V. Margagliotti²⁴, A. Margotti¹⁰⁷, J. Margutti⁵³, A. Marín¹⁰⁰, C. Markert¹²¹, M. Marquard⁶⁰, N.A. Martin¹⁰⁰, P. Martinengo³⁴, J.A.L. Martínez⁵⁹, M.I. Martínez², G. Martínez García¹¹⁶, M. Martínez Pedreira³⁴, A. Mas¹²³, S. Masciocchi¹⁰⁰, M. Maserà²⁵, A. Masoni¹⁰⁸, A. Mastroserio³², A.M. Mathis^{97,35}, A. Matyja^{129,120}, C. Mayer¹²⁰, J. Mazer¹²⁹, M. Mazzilli³², M.A. Mazzoni¹¹¹, F. Meddi²², Y. Melikyan⁷⁷, A. Menchaca-Rocha⁶⁴, E. Meninno²⁹, J. Mercado Pérez⁹⁶, M. Meres³⁷, S. Mhlanga⁹², Y. Miake¹³², M.M. Mieskolainen⁴⁵, D. Mihaylov⁹⁷, K. Mikhaylov^{54,68}, L. Milano⁷⁶, J. Milosevic²⁰, A. Mischke⁵³, A.N. Mishra⁴⁸, T. Mishra⁵⁷, D. Miśkowiec¹⁰⁰, J. Mitra¹³⁷, C.M. Mitu⁵⁸, N. Mohammadi⁵³, B. Mohanty⁸², E. Montes¹⁰, D.A. Moreira De Godoy⁶¹, L.A.P. Moreno², S. Moretto²⁸, A. Morreale¹¹⁶, A. Morsch³⁴, V. Muccifora⁷⁴, E. Mudnic¹¹⁹, D. Mühlheim⁶¹, S. Muhuri¹³⁷, M. Mukherjee¹³⁷, J.D. Mulligan¹⁴¹, M.G. Munhoz¹²³, K. Munnig⁴⁴, R.H. Munzer^{35,60,97}, H. Murakami¹³¹, S. Murray⁶⁷, L. Musa³⁴, J. Musinsky⁵⁵, C.J. Myers¹²⁶, B. Naik⁴⁷, R. Nair⁸⁰, B.K. Nandi⁴⁷, R. Nania¹⁰⁷, E. Nappi¹⁰⁶, M.U. Naru¹⁵, H. Natal da Luz¹²³, C. Nattrass¹²⁹, S.R. Navarro², K. Nayak⁸², R. Nayak⁴⁷, T.K. Nayak¹³⁷, S. Nazarenko¹⁰², A. Nedosekin⁵⁴, R.A. Negrao De Oliveira³⁴, L. Nellen⁶², S.V. Nesbo³⁶, F. Ng¹²⁶, M. Nicassio¹⁰⁰, M. Niculescu⁵⁸, J. Niedziela³⁴, B.S. Nielsen⁸⁴, S. Nikolaev⁸³, S. Nikulin⁸³, V. Nikulin⁸⁹, F. Noferini^{107,12}, P. Nomokonov⁶⁸, G. Nooren⁵³, J.C.C. Noris², J. Norman¹²⁸, A. Nyanin⁸³, J. Nystrand²¹, H. Oeschler⁹⁶, S. Oh¹⁴¹, A. Ohlson^{96,34}, T. Okubo⁴⁶, L. Olah¹⁴⁰, J. Oleniacz¹³⁸, A.C. Oliveira Da Silva¹²³, M.H. Oliver¹⁴¹, J. Onderwaater¹⁰⁰, C. Oppedisano¹¹³, R. Orava⁴⁵, M. Oravec¹¹⁸, A. Ortiz Velasquez⁶², A. Oskarsson³³, J. Otwinowski¹²⁰, K. Oyama⁷⁸, M. Ozdemir⁶⁰, Y. Pachmayer⁹⁶, V. Pacik⁸⁴, D. Pagano^{135,25}, P. Pagano²⁹, G. Paic⁶², S.K. Pal¹³⁷, P. Palni⁷, J. Pan¹³⁹, A.K. Pandey⁴⁷, S. Panebianco⁶⁶, V. Papikyan¹, G.S. Pappalardo¹⁰⁹, P. Pareek⁴⁸, J. Park⁵⁰, W.J. Park¹⁰⁰, S. Parmar⁹¹, A. Passfeld⁶¹, V. Paticchio¹⁰⁶, R.N. Patra¹³⁷, B. Paul¹¹³, H. Pei⁷, T. Peitzmann⁵³, X. Peng⁷, L.G. Pereira⁶³, H. Pereira Da Costa⁶⁶, D. Peresunko^{83,77}, E. Perez Lezama⁶⁰, V. Peskov⁶⁰, Y. Pestov⁵, V. Petráček³⁸, V. Petrov¹¹⁴, M. Petrovici⁸¹, C. Petta²⁷, R.P. Pezzi⁶³, S. Piano¹¹², M. Pikna³⁷, P. Pillot¹¹⁶, L.O.D.L. Pimentel⁸⁴, O. Pinazza^{107,34}, L. Pinsky¹²⁶, D.B. Piyarathna¹²⁶, M. Płoskoń⁷⁶, M. Planinic¹³³, J. Pluta¹³⁸, S. Pochybova¹⁴⁰, P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma¹²², M.G. Poghosyan⁸⁸, B. Polichtchouk¹¹⁴, N. Poljak¹³³, W. Poonsawat¹¹⁷, A. Pop⁸¹, H. Poppenborg⁶¹, S. Porteboeuf-Houssais⁷², J. Porter⁷⁶, J. Pospisil⁸⁷, V. Pozdniakov⁶⁸, S.K. Prasad⁴, R. Preghenella^{34,107}, F. Prino¹¹³, C.A. Pruneau¹³⁹, I. Pshenichnov⁵², M. Puccio²⁵, G. Puddu²³, P. Pujahari¹³⁹, V. Punin¹⁰², J. Putschke¹³⁹, H. Qvigstad²⁰, A. Rachevski¹¹², S. Raha⁴, S. Rajput⁹³, J. Rak¹²⁷, A. Rakotozafindrabe⁶⁶, L. Ramello³¹, F. Rami⁶⁵, D.B. Rana¹²⁶, R. Raniwala⁹⁴, S. Raniwala⁹⁴, S.S. Räsänen⁴⁵, B.T. Rascanu⁶⁰, D. Rathee⁹¹, V. Ratza⁴⁴, I. Ravasenga³⁰, K.F. Read^{88,129}, K. Redlich⁸⁰, A. Rehman²¹, P. Reichelt⁶⁰, F. Reidt³⁴, X. Ren⁷, R. Renfordt⁶⁰, A.R. Reolon⁷⁴, A. Reshetin⁵², K. Reygers⁹⁶, V. Riabov⁸⁹, R.A. Ricci⁷⁵, T. Richert^{53,33}, M. Richter²⁰, P. Riedler³⁴, W. Riegler³⁴, F. Riggi²⁷, C. Ristea⁵⁸, M. Rodríguez Cahuanti², K. Røed²⁰, E. Rogochaya⁶⁸, D. Rohr⁴¹, D. Röhrich²¹, F. Ronchetti^{74,34}, L. Ronflette¹¹⁶,

P. Rosnet⁷², A. Rossi²⁸, F. Roukoutakis⁷⁹, A. Roy⁴⁸, C. Roy⁶⁵, P. Roy¹⁰³, A.J. Rubio Montero¹⁰, R. Rui²⁴, R. Russo²⁵, E. Ryabinkin⁸³, Y. Ryabov⁸⁹, A. Rybicki¹²⁰, S. Saarinen⁴⁵, S. Sadhu¹³⁷, S. Sadovsky¹¹⁴, K. Šafařík³⁴, B. Sahlmuller⁶⁰, B. Sahoo⁴⁷, P. Sahoo⁴⁸, R. Sahoo⁴⁸, S. Sahoo⁵⁷, P.K. Sahu⁵⁷, J. Saini¹³⁷, S. Sakai^{74,132}, M.A. Saleh¹³⁹, J. Salzwedel¹⁸, S. Sambyal⁹³, V. Samsonov^{77,89}, A. Sandoval⁶⁴, D. Sarkar¹³⁷, N. Sarkar¹³⁷, P. Sarma⁴³, M.H.P. Sas⁵³, E. Scapparone¹⁰⁷, F. Scarlassara²⁸, R.P. Scharenberg⁹⁸, C. Schiaua⁸¹, R. Schicker⁹⁶, C. Schmidt¹⁰⁰, H.R. Schmidt⁹⁵, M.O. Schmidt⁹⁶, M. Schmidt⁹⁵, J. Schukraft³⁴, Y. Schutz^{116,34,65}, K. Schwarz¹⁰⁰, K. Schweda¹⁰⁰, G. Scioli²⁶, E. Scomparin¹¹³, R. Scott¹²⁹, M. Šefčík³⁹, J.E. Seger⁹⁰, Y. Sekiguchi¹³¹, D. Sekihata⁴⁶, I. Selyuzhenkov^{77,100}, K. Senosi⁶⁷, S. Senyukov^{3,65,34}, E. Serradilla^{64,10}, P. Sett⁴⁷, A. Sevcenco⁵⁸, A. Shabanov⁵², A. Shabetai¹¹⁶, O. Shadura³, R. Shahoyan³⁴, A. Shangaraev¹¹⁴, A. Sharma⁹³, A. Sharma⁹¹, M. Sharma⁹³, M. Sharma⁹³, N. Sharma^{129,91}, A.I. Sheikh¹³⁷, K. Shigaki⁴⁶, Q. Shou⁷, K. Shtejer^{25,9}, Y. Sibiriak⁸³, S. Siddhanta¹⁰⁸, K.M. Sielewicz³⁴, T. Siemiarczuk⁸⁰, D. Silvermyr³³, C. Silvestre⁷³, G. Simatovic¹³³, G. Simonetti³⁴, R. Singaraju¹³⁷, R. Singh⁸², V. Singhal¹³⁷, T. Sinha¹⁰³, B. Sitar³⁷, M. Sitta³¹, T.B. Skaali²⁰, M. Slupecki¹²⁷, N. Smirnov¹⁴¹, R.J.M. Snellings⁵³, T.W. Snellman¹²⁷, J. Song⁹⁹, M. Song¹⁴², F. Soramel²⁸, S. Sorensen¹²⁹, F. Sozzi¹⁰⁰, E. Spiriti⁷⁴, I. Sputowska¹²⁰, B.K. Srivastava⁹⁸, J. Stachel⁹⁶, I. Stan⁵⁸, P. Stankus⁸⁸, E. Stenlund³³, J.H. Stiller⁹⁶, D. Stocco¹¹⁶, P. Strmen³⁷, A.A.P. Suaide¹²³, T. Sugitate⁴⁶, C. Suire⁵¹, M. Suleymanov¹⁵, M. Suljic²⁴, R. Sultanov⁵⁴, M. Šumbera⁸⁷, S. Sumowidagdo⁴⁹, K. Suzuki¹¹⁵, S. Swain⁵⁷, A. Szabo³⁷, I. Szarka³⁷, A. Szczepankiewicz¹³⁸, M. Szymanski¹³⁸, U. Tabassam¹⁵, J. Takahashi¹²⁴, G.J. Tambave²¹, N. Tanaka¹³², M. Tarhini⁵¹, M. Tariq¹⁷, M.G. Tarzila⁸¹, A. Tauro³⁴, G. Tejada Muñoz², A. Telesca³⁴, K. Terasaki¹³¹, C. Terrevoli²⁸, B. Teyssier¹³⁴, D. Thakur⁴⁸, D. Thomas¹²¹, R. Tieulent¹³⁴, A. Tikhonov⁵², A.R. Timmins¹²⁶, A. Toia⁶⁰, S. Tripathy⁴⁸, S. Trogolo²⁵, G. Trombetta³², V. Trubnikov³, W.H. Trzaska¹²⁷, B.A. Trzeciak⁵³, T. Tsuji¹³¹, A. Tumkin¹⁰², R. Turrisi¹¹⁰, T.S. Tveter²⁰, K. Ullaland²¹, E.N. Umaka¹²⁶, A. Uras¹³⁴, G.L. Usai²³, A. Utrobicic¹³³, M. Vala^{118,55}, J. Van Der Maarel⁵³, J.W. Van Hoorne³⁴, M. van Leeuwen⁵³, T. Vanat⁸⁷, P. Vande Vyvre³⁴, D. Varga¹⁴⁰, A. Vargas², M. Vargyas¹²⁷, R. Varma⁴⁷, M. Vasileiou⁷⁹, A. Vasiliev⁸³, A. Vauthier⁷³, O. Vázquez Doce^{97,35}, V. Vechernin¹³⁶, A.M. Veen⁵³, A. Velure²¹, E. Vercellin²⁵, S. Vergara Limón², R. Vernet⁸, R. Vértesi¹⁴⁰, L. Vickovic¹¹⁹, S. Vigolo⁵³, J. Viinikainen¹²⁷, Z. Vilakazi¹³⁰, O. Villalobos Baillie¹⁰⁴, A. Villatoro Tello², A. Vinogradov⁸³, L. Vinogradov¹³⁶, T. Virgili²⁹, V. Vislavicius³³, A. Vodopyanov⁶⁸, M.A. Völkl⁹⁶, K. Voloshin⁵⁴, S.A. Voloshin¹³⁹, G. Volpe³², B. von Haller³⁴, I. Vorobyev^{97,35}, D. Voscek¹¹⁸, D. Vranic^{34,100}, J. Vrláková³⁹, B. Wagner²¹, J. Wagner¹⁰⁰, H. Wang⁵³, M. Wang⁷, D. Watanabe¹³², Y. Watanabe¹³¹, M. Weber¹¹⁵, S.G. Weber¹⁰⁰, D.F. Weiser⁹⁶, J.P. Wessels⁶¹, U. Westerhoff⁶¹, A.M. Whitehead⁹², J. Wiechula⁶⁰, J. Wikne²⁰, G. Wilk⁸⁰, J. Wilkinson⁹⁶, G.A. Willems⁶¹, M.C.S. Williams¹⁰⁷, B. Windelband⁹⁶, W.E. Witt¹²⁹, S. Yalcin⁷¹, P. Yang⁷, S. Yano⁴⁶, Z. Yin⁷, H. Yokoyama^{132,73}, I.-K. Yoo^{34,99}, J.H. Yoon⁵⁰, V. Yurchenko³, V. Zaccolo^{84,113}, A. Zaman¹⁵, C. Zampolli³⁴, H.J.C. Zanolini¹²³, S. Zaporozhets⁶⁸, N. Zardoshti¹⁰⁴, A. Zarochentsev¹³⁶, P. Závada⁵⁶, N. Zaviyalov¹⁰², H. Zbroszczyk¹³⁸, M. Zhalov⁸⁹, H. Zhang^{7,21}, X. Zhang^{76,7}, Y. Zhang⁷, C. Zhang⁵³, Z. Zhang⁷, C. Zhao²⁰, N. Zhigareva⁵⁴, D. Zhou⁷, Y. Zhou⁸⁴, Z. Zhou²¹, H. Zhu^{21,7}, J. Zhu^{7,116}, X. Zhu⁷, A. Zichichi^{12,26}, A. Zimmermann⁹⁶, M.B. Zimmermann^{34,61}, S. Zimmermann¹¹⁵, G. Zinovjev³, J. Zmeskal¹¹⁵

Affiliation notes

ⁱ Deceased

ⁱⁱ Also at: Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia, United States

ⁱⁱⁱ Also at: Also at Department of Applied Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

^{iv} Also at: M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear, Physics, Moscow, Russia

Collaboration Institutes

¹A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia

²Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

³Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine

⁴Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India

⁵Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia

⁶California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California, United States

⁷Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China

- ⁸Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3, Villeurbanne, Lyon, France
- ⁹Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba
- ¹⁰Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain
- ¹¹Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico
- ¹²Centro Fermi - Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", Rome, Italy
- ¹³Chicago State University, Chicago, Illinois, United States
- ¹⁴China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China
- ¹⁵COMSATS Institute of Information Technology (CIIT), Islamabad, Pakistan
- ¹⁶Departamento de Física de Partículas and IGFAE, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
- ¹⁷Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India
- ¹⁸Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, United States
- ¹⁹Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, South Korea
- ²⁰Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- ²¹Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- ²²Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università 'La Sapienza' and Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy
- ²³Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy
- ²⁴Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy
- ²⁵Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy
- ²⁶Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy
- ²⁷Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy
- ²⁸Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy
- ²⁹Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy
- ³⁰Dipartimento DISAT del Politecnico and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy
- ³¹Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale and INFN Sezione di Torino, Alessandria, Italy
- ³²Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy
- ³³Division of Experimental High Energy Physics, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden
- ³⁴European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland
- ³⁵Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany
- ³⁶Faculty of Engineering, Bergen University College, Bergen, Norway
- ³⁷Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia
- ³⁸Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
- ³⁹Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia
- ⁴⁰Faculty of Technology, Buskerud and Vestfold University College, Tonsberg, Norway
- ⁴¹Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
- ⁴²Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, South Korea
- ⁴³Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India
- ⁴⁴Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany
- ⁴⁵Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland
- ⁴⁶Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan
- ⁴⁷Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India
- ⁴⁸Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, India
- ⁴⁹Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia
- ⁵⁰Inha University, Incheon, South Korea
- ⁵¹Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay (IPNO), Université Paris-Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, Orsay, France
- ⁵²Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- ⁵³Institute for Subatomic Physics of Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands
- ⁵⁴Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
- ⁵⁵Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia
- ⁵⁶Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic
- ⁵⁷Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁵⁸Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania
- ⁵⁹Institut für Informatik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

- ⁶⁰Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
- ⁶¹Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Münster, Germany
- ⁶²Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁶³Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil
- ⁶⁴Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁶⁵Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS-IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
- ⁶⁶IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France, Saclay, France
- ⁶⁷iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa
- ⁶⁸Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia
- ⁶⁹Konkuk University, Seoul, South Korea
- ⁷⁰Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, South Korea
- ⁷¹KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey
- ⁷²Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS-IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- ⁷³Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France
- ⁷⁴Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN, Frascati, Italy
- ⁷⁵Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, INFN, Legnaro, Italy
- ⁷⁶Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, United States
- ⁷⁷Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia
- ⁷⁸Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
- ⁷⁹National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Physics Department, Athens, Greece, Athens, Greece
- ⁸⁰National Centre for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland
- ⁸¹National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania
- ⁸²National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁸³National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia
- ⁸⁴Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ⁸⁵Nikhef, Nationaal instituut voor subatomaire fysica, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ⁸⁶Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁷Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Řež u Prahy, Czech Republic
- ⁸⁸Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, United States
- ⁸⁹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia
- ⁹⁰Physics Department, Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska, United States
- ⁹¹Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
- ⁹²Physics Department, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- ⁹³Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India
- ⁹⁴Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India
- ⁹⁵Physikalisches Institut, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
- ⁹⁶Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁹⁷Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany
- ⁹⁸Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, United States
- ⁹⁹Pusan National University, Pusan, South Korea
- ¹⁰⁰Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany
- ¹⁰¹Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia
- ¹⁰²Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia
- ¹⁰³Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India
- ¹⁰⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- ¹⁰⁵Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru
- ¹⁰⁶Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy
- ¹⁰⁷Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy
- ¹⁰⁸Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy
- ¹⁰⁹Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy
- ¹¹⁰Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy
- ¹¹¹Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy
- ¹¹²Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy

- ¹¹³Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy
- ¹¹⁴SSC IHEP of NRC Kurchatov institute, Protvino, Russia
- ¹¹⁵Stefan Meyer Institut für Subatomare Physik (SMI), Vienna, Austria
- ¹¹⁶SUBATECH, Ecole des Mines de Nantes, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France
- ¹¹⁷Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand
- ¹¹⁸Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovakia
- ¹¹⁹Technical University of Split FESB, Split, Croatia
- ¹²⁰The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland
- ¹²¹The University of Texas at Austin, Physics Department, Austin, Texas, United States
- ¹²²Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico
- ¹²³Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil
- ¹²⁴Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil
- ¹²⁵Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil
- ¹²⁶University of Houston, Houston, Texas, United States
- ¹²⁷University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
- ¹²⁸University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ¹²⁹University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, United States
- ¹³⁰University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- ¹³¹University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹³²University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
- ¹³³University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
- ¹³⁴Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, Villeurbanne, Lyon, France
- ¹³⁵Università di Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- ¹³⁶V. Fock Institute for Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ¹³⁷Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata, India
- ¹³⁸Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland
- ¹³⁹Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, United States
- ¹⁴⁰Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary
- ¹⁴¹Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States
- ¹⁴²Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea
- ¹⁴³Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Fachhochschule Worms, Worms, Germany