

INDEFINITE THETA SERIES AND GENERALIZED ERROR FUNCTIONS

SERGEI ALEXANDROV, SIBASISH BANERJEE, JAN MANSCHOT, AND BORIS PIOLINE

ABSTRACT. Theta series for lattices with indefinite signature (n_+, n_-) arise in many areas of mathematics including representation theory and enumerative algebraic geometry. Their modular properties are well understood in the Lorentzian case $(n_+ = 1)$, but have remained obscure when $n_+ \geq 2$. Using a higher-dimensional generalization of the usual (complementary) error function, discovered in an independent physics project, we construct the modular completion of a class of ‘conformal’ holomorphic theta series $(n_+ = 2)$. As an application, we determine the modular properties of a generalized Appell-Lerch sum attached to the lattice A_2 , which arose in the study of rank 3 vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 . The extension of our method to $n_+ > 2$ is outlined.

1. INTRODUCTION

Theta series appear in a variety of subjects in mathematics and physics and provide a large class of functions exhibiting modular properties. Theta series for lattices with positive definite signature are well-known examples of holomorphic modular forms. While holomorphic theta series for lattices with indefinite signature have been studied since Hecke [20], their modular properties are much less understood. Motivated by Donaldson invariants of four-manifolds, Göttsche and Zagier [19] studied holomorphic theta series obtained by summing over subsets of lattices with Lorentzian signature $(1, n - 1)$. Zwegers [38] succeeded in determining their modular properties by constructing a non-holomorphic modular completion. This has led to the modern understanding of Ramanujan’s mock theta functions [37, 38].

An important open question is to understand the modularity of indefinite theta series for lattices with general signature (n_+, n_-) . We investigate in this work a general class of convergent theta series for lattices with signature $(2, n - 2)$. Since $O(2, n - 2)$ is the group of conformal symmetries of $\mathbb{R}^{1, n-3}$, we refer to these objects as ‘conformal theta series’. Holomorphic conformal theta series are obtained by introducing an appropriate (locally constant) kernel $\Phi_2(x)$ in the sum, which restricts it to a subset of the lattice where the quadratic form is positive (rather, negative in our conventions). We obtain the modular completion by replacing $\Phi_2(x)$ with a smooth kernel $\widehat{\Phi}_2(x)$ which asymptotes to $\Phi_2(x)$ exponentially fast in the limit $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and satisfies the assumptions of Vignéras’ theorem [33]. The kernel $\widehat{\Phi}_2(x)$ is expressed in terms of special functions E_2 and M_2 generalizing the usual (complementary) error function. For special choices of parameters, $\widehat{\Phi}_2(x)$ may factorize in to a product of two kernels $\widehat{\Phi}_1$ for Lorentzian lattices. For such degenerate cases recent results were obtained in [12, 27, 34]. The functions E_2 and M_2 were discovered in our study of instanton effects in string theory using twistor techniques [2]. No familiarity with these subjects is however required for the present paper. While we focus on the conformal case for most of this work, in the last section we introduce higher dimensional versions of E_2 and M_2 , which can be used to determine the modular completion of indefinite theta series for lattices with arbitrary signature (n_+, n_-) .

This progress on indefinite theta series allows us to address the modularity of another important class of q -series with a wide range of applications in mathematics and mathematical physics, namely Appell-Lerch sums. The classical Appell-Lerch sum introduced in [6, 24] is well-known to be related to a Lorentzian theta series, which allows to deduce its modular properties [38]. Generalized Appell-Lerch sums involving denominators of higher degree have appeared in the context of topological invariants of moduli spaces of vector bundles on 4-manifolds [26]. Upon expanding the factors in the denominator into geometric series, they can be recast as indefinite

theta series for lattices with signature (n_+, n_-) . Our techniques can be used to characterize the modular properties of such generalized Appell-Lerch sums. As a demonstration of the power of our approach, we determine the modular completion of the generalized Appell-Lerch sum attached to the lattice A_2 , which arises in the study of rank 3 vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 .

In the rest of this introduction, we review Appell-Lerch sums and their generalization. Moreover, we give a brief summary for the interested reader on the appearance of indefinite theta series in the context of gauge theory and vector bundles, and on the physical background which led to the new techniques described in this work. (The hurried reader can however safely skip to Section 2.)

1.1. Indefinite theta series and Appell-Lerch sums. As mentioned above, a class of functions which are closely related to theta series for Lorentzian lattices are Appell-Lerch sums [6, 24]. The classical Appell-Lerch sum is a meromorphic function of $u, v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\tau\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z})$, which is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \mu(u, v, \tau) = \frac{e^{\pi i u}}{\theta_1(v, \tau)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2} e^{2\pi i v n}}{1 - e^{2\pi i u} q^n},$$

where $\theta_1(v, \tau)$ is the Jacobi theta function

$$(1.2) \quad \theta_1(v, \tau) = i \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{r - \frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{r^2}{2}} e^{2\pi i v r}.$$

This function plays a central role in the theory of q -series, and in particular in recent advances on mock modular forms [38]. Furthermore, the coefficients of $\mu(u, v)$ are related to various enumeration problems. For example, specializations of $\mu(u, v)$ are known to enumerate partition statistics (in particular the rank of the partition [37]) and class numbers, i.e. the numbers of equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms with fixed determinant [9, 10, 36]. The classical Appell-Lerch sum also appears in various other areas of mathematics and physics, including the representation theory of superconformal algebras [16, 22], mirror symmetry for elliptic curves [29] and black hole counting in string theory [14]. It also shows up in the context of gauge theories as reviewed in the next subsection.

The form of $\mu(u, v)$ naturally lends itself to higher-dimensional generalizations. To state the most general form, we consider a positive definite lattice of dimension n_- with associated quadratic form \mathcal{Q} and bilinear form \mathcal{B} . Let $\{m_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_+$, be a set of n_+ vectors in \mathbb{Z}^{n_-} ; let $u \in \mathbb{C}^{n_+} \setminus (\tau\mathbb{Z}^{n_+} + \mathbb{Z}^{n_+})$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n_-} \setminus (\text{zeroes of } \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}(v, \tau))$. Then we define the generalized Appell-Lerch sum by

$$(1.3) \quad \mu_{\mathcal{Q}, \{m_i\}}(u, v, \tau) = \frac{e^{\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{n_+} u_j}}{\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}(v, \tau)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_-}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}(k)} e^{2\pi i \mathcal{B}(v, k)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n_+} (1 - e^{2\pi i u_j} q^{\mathcal{B}(m_j, k)})},$$

with

$$(1.4) \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}(v, \tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_-}} q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}(k)} e^{2\pi i \mathcal{B}(v, k)}.$$

We refer to the pair (n_+, n_-) as the “signature” of the Appell-Lerch sum, since it is the signature of the quadratic form obtained after expanding the n_+ factors in the denominator of (1.3) into geometric sums.¹

When $n_+ = 1$, these functions reduce to the multi-variable Appell-Lerch sums studied in [40]. For $n_+ > 1$, functions similar to $\mu_{\mathcal{Q}, \{m_i\}}(u, v, \tau)$ appear as characters of affine superalgebras [23, Eq. (0.13)] and as generating functions of Gromov-Witten invariants of elliptic orbifolds [12]. They also occur in the study of vector bundles on rational surfaces [26, Section 5]. In the

¹Note that the quadratic forms in (1.3) and (1.4) have opposite sign compared to our convention (2.1) for indefinite theta functions. The signature (n_+, n_-) corresponds to the signature of Q in (2.1).

latter case, the vectors $\{m_i\}$ are such that $\mu_{\mathcal{Q},\{m_i\}}(u, v)$ does not reduce to products of classical Appell-Lerch sums.

The classical Appell-Lerch sum can be viewed as an indefinite theta function for a signature $(1, 1)$ lattice as in Section 2.2, with one of the reference vectors C' taken to be null. Its modular completion $\widehat{\mu}(u, v)$ then follows from Zwegers' results on indefinite theta functions [38]. The techniques discussed in Sections 3 and 4 also allow us to determine the modular properties of the generalized Appell-Lerch sums (1.3) for $n_+ = 2$. In Section 5 we will illustrate this for a specific example where \mathcal{Q} corresponds to the quadratic form of the A_2 root lattice. Following the procedure outlined in Section 6 one may also determine the modular completion for $n_+ > 2$.

1.2. Indefinite theta series, vector bundles and gauge theory. An important motivation and source of insight for the theory of indefinite theta series is the context of vector bundles on 4-manifolds [18, 19, 26], which we will now review briefly. Given a polarization J of a smooth algebraic surface S , one considers the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_J(\gamma)$ of vector bundles with fixed Chern character $\gamma = (r, c_1, c_2)$ which are semi-stable with respect to J . In favorable circumstances, these moduli spaces are smooth and projective, and one can consider their topological invariants such as the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{M}_J(\gamma)$ or the Donaldson invariant.

To explain the appearance of indefinite theta series in this context, let $\Omega(\gamma, w; J)$ be the following variant of the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{M}_J(\gamma)$:

$$(1.5) \quad \Omega(\gamma, w; J) = w^{-d(\gamma)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{d(\gamma)} b_j(\dim(\mathcal{M}_J(\gamma))) w^j,$$

where $b_i(X) = \dim H^i(X)$ is the i 'th Betti number of X , and $d(\gamma) = \dim(\mathcal{M}_J(\gamma))$. It is natural to consider generating functions of the $\Omega(\gamma, w; J)$'s, holding the rank r and first Chern class c_1 fixed, and summing over the second Chern class c_2

$$(1.6) \quad h_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J) = \sum_{c_2} \Omega(\gamma, w; J) q^{c_2},$$

with $w = e^{2\pi iz}$. For $w = -1$, these generating functions are also known as Vafa-Witten partition functions, since they appear in the path integral of topologically twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric gauge theory with gauge group $U(r)$ [32]. The path integral in general however involves additional non-holomorphic terms (except in special cases, e.g. when S is a K3 surface). Invariance under electric-magnetic duality predicts that the path integral transforms as a modular form, and thus in turn that $h_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ possesses modular properties. However the non-holomorphic terms are poorly understood and the precise modular properties of $h_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ are in general obscure.

A class of algebraic surfaces for which $h_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ can be determined explicitly are the rational surfaces whose second homology group is a lattice Λ of Lorentzian signature. For these surfaces in rank 2, $h_{2,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ was found to involve an indefinite theta function, which sums over a subset of Λ determined by J [18, 19]. For rank $r > 2$, $h_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ can be expressed in terms of indefinite theta functions for lattices of signature (n_+, n_-) with $n_+ \leq r - 1$ [25, 26, 31]. Specializing to the projective plane $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $r = 2$, the theta series can be brought to the form of the classical Appell-Lerch sum [10, 35] using the blow-up formula. For $r > 2$, $h_{r,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ can be instead expressed in terms of generalized Appell-Lerch sums (1.3) [26]. The main building block for $r = 3$, is a generalized Appell-Lerch sum based on the A_2 root lattice, for which various identities were proven in [11]. We determine its modular completion in Section 5. The application of this modular completion to the functions $h_{3,c_1}(z, \tau; J)$ will be discussed elsewhere [30].

1.3. Physical background. The main building blocks used to construct completions of theta series of signature $(2, n - 2)$ are the 'double error' functions M_2 and E_2 . These functions were found by studying the following physics problem: compute D-instanton corrections to the hypermultiplet moduli space \mathcal{M}_H in type II string theory compactified on a compact Calabi-Yau

three-fold \mathfrak{Q} (see e.g. [1, 4] for surveys of recent progress on this issue). In the context of type IIB theory, a subset of these D-instantons consists of D3-branes wrapping a divisor \mathcal{D} , bound to an arbitrary number of D1-branes and D(-1) instantons. The S-duality symmetry of type IIB string theory requires these instanton corrections to be modular invariant, while supersymmetry demands that they should be encoded in terms of a modular completion of some holomorphic theta series on the twistor space \mathcal{Z} associated to \mathcal{M}_H . In the one-instanton approximation, it was shown in [5] that these theta series are indefinite theta series of Lorentzian signature $(1, b_2(\mathfrak{Q}) - 1)$ à la Zagier. In [3, 2], we consider two-instanton contributions to the metric, where wall-crossing phenomena start playing a rôle, and find that double D3-instantons are described by the completion of holomorphic theta series of conformal signature $(2, 2b_2(\mathfrak{Q}) - 2)$, of the type analyzed in this paper. The special functions M_2 and E_2 originate as Penrose-type integrals of natural holomorphic functions on \mathcal{Z} . The present work, however, does not assume any familiarity with D-instantons or twistors.

1.4. Outline. In §2, we recall Vignéras' theorem, which is the main tool in our analysis, and Zagier's construction of holomorphic theta series for Lorentzian lattices. As a warm up for the higher signature case, we provide suggestive integral representations of the error functions E_1 and M_1 which appear prominently in Zagier's work. In §3, we introduce special functions M_2 (a solution of Vignéras' equation on \mathbb{R}^2 with discontinuities on real codimension one loci, exponentially suppressed at infinity) and E_2 (a smooth solution of Vignéras' equation on \mathbb{R}^2 , locally constant at infinity), and use them to construct solutions of Vignéras' equation on $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$. In §4, we use these building blocks to construct holomorphic theta series for lattices with signature $(2, n-2)$, parametrized by two pairs of time-like vectors, and find their modular completion. In §5, we apply this technology to the generalized Appell-Lerch sum which arises in the study of vector bundles with rank 3 on \mathbb{P}^2 . In §6, we outline the extension of our method to signature (n_+, n_-) with $n_+ > 2$, and as a first step, construct the triple error functions E_3 and M_3 relevant for the case $n_+ = 3$.

1.5. Acknowledgments. J. M. thanks Kathrin Bringmann, Thomas Creutzig, Robert Osburn, Larry Rolin, Martin Westerholt-Raum, Don Zagier and Sander Zagier for discussions about the generating functions derived in [25, 26]. B. P. is grateful to Trinity College Dublin for hospitality during part of this work.

Note added: After posting the first version of this manuscript on arXiv, we were informed that similar results to ours for signature $(2, n-2)$ have been obtained independently by Sander Zagier in unpublished work from 2003. In upcoming work, Don Zagier and Sander Zagier will discuss the modularity of indefinite theta series for lattices with general signature. The extension of the functions $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ and $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ to the case $\Delta_{12} = 0$ discussed around (3.58), and the discussion of the case $C_1 = C_2$ in Section 4.4, were added in the second release of this work.

2. VIGNÉRAS' THEOREM AND LORENTZIAN THETA SERIES

In this section, we recall Vignéras' theorem [33], which provides a general framework for indefinite theta series of arbitrary signature. We then review Zagier's construction of holomorphic theta series of signature $(1, n-1)$, and discuss some useful integral representations of the error and complementary error functions $E_1(u) = \text{Erf}(u\sqrt{\pi})$ and $M_1(u) = -\text{sign}(u) \text{Erfc}(|u|\sqrt{\pi})$ which play a central role in obtaining the modular completion of these theta series.

2.1. Vignéras' theorem. Let Λ be an n -dimensional lattice equipped with a symmetric bilinear form $B(x, y)$, where $x, y \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$, such that its associated quadratic form $Q(x) = B(x, x)$ has signature (n_+, n_-) and is integer valued, i.e. $Q(k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $k \in \Lambda$. Furthermore, let $p \in \Lambda$ be a characteristic vector (such that $Q(k) + B(k, p) \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \forall k \in \Lambda$), $\mu \in \Lambda^*/\Lambda$ a glue vector, and λ an

arbitrary integer. With the usual notation $q = \mathbf{E}(\tau) \equiv e^{2\pi i\tau}$, $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $b, c \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$, we consider the following family of theta series

$$(2.1) \quad \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b, c) = \tau_2^{-\lambda/2} \sum_{k \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2}p} (-1)^{B(k,p)} \Phi(\sqrt{2\tau_2}(k+b)) q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k+b)} \mathbf{E}(B(c, k + \frac{1}{2}b))$$

defined by the kernel $\Phi(x)$. The theta series (2.1) is independent of the choice characteristic vector p so we omit it in the notation. We choose the kernel $\Phi(x)$ so that $f(x) = \Phi(x) e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q(x)} \in L^1(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R})$, which ensures the absolute convergence of the sum. For any such Φ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, (2.1) satisfies the following quasi-periodicity properties

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b+k, c) &= (-1)^{B(k,p)} \mathbf{E}(-\frac{1}{2}B(c, k)) \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b, c), \\ \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b, c+k) &= (-1)^{B(k,p)} \mathbf{E}(\frac{1}{2}B(b, k)) \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b, c). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us require that Φ, λ satisfy the following two conditions:

- i) Let $D(x)$ be any differential operator of order ≤ 2 , and $R(x)$ any polynomial of degree ≤ 2 . Then $f(x) = \Phi(x) e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q(x)}$ is such that $f(x)$, $D(x)f(x)$ and $R(x)f(x) \in L^2(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R})$.
- ii) $\Phi(x)$ and λ satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad [B^{-1}(\partial_x, \partial_x) + 2\pi x \partial_x] \Phi(x) = 2\pi \lambda \Phi(x),$$

where B^{-1} is the bilinear form of the dual lattice Λ^* , whose matrix representation is the inverse of the matrix representation of B .

Theorem 2.1 ([33]). *Under the conditions i) and ii), the theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b, c)$ transforms as a vector-valued Jacobi form of weight $(\lambda + \frac{n}{2}, 0)$. Namely, it satisfies (2.2) and*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](-1/\tau, c, -b) &= \frac{(-i\tau)^{\lambda + \frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{|\Lambda^*/\Lambda|}} \mathbf{E}(\frac{1}{4}Q(p)) \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda^*/\Lambda} \mathbf{E}(B(\mu, \nu)) \vartheta_\nu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b, c), \\ \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau + 1, b, c + b) &= \mathbf{E}(-\frac{1}{2}Q(\mu + \frac{1}{2}p)) \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b, c). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. The elliptic and modular transformations above are those of a theta function with characteristics b and $c \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$. They are related to the standard multi-variable Jacobi forms in the sense of [17] under the change of variables

$$(2.5) \quad \vartheta_\mu(\tau, b, c) = e^{i\pi B(b, b\tau - c)} \tilde{\vartheta}_\mu(\tau, z), \quad z = b\tau - c.$$

Later in this work, remarks on the holomorphy of ϑ_μ with respect to τ or z have to be understood as statements about $\tilde{\vartheta}_\mu(\tau, z)$.

Remark 2.3. The class of theta series (2.1) is closed under the action of the Maass raising and lowering operators $\tau_2^2 \partial_{\bar{\tau}}$ and $\partial_\tau - \frac{iw}{2\tau_2}$, which map modular forms of weight w to modular forms of weight $w \mp 2$:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_2^2 \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda] &= \vartheta_\mu\left[\frac{i}{4}(x\partial_x \Phi - \lambda\Phi), \lambda - 2\right], \\ \left(\partial_\tau - \frac{i(\lambda + \frac{n}{2})}{2\tau_2}\right) \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda] &= \vartheta_\mu\left[-\frac{i}{4}(x\partial_x \Phi + [\lambda + n + 2\pi Q(x)]\Phi), \lambda + 2\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We refer to $\tau_2^2 \partial_{\bar{\tau}}$ and to its counterpart $\frac{i}{4}(x\partial_x - \lambda)$, as the ‘shadow’ operators, and we occasionally omit the argument λ when it is determined from Φ via (2.3).

Remark 2.4. For reasons which will become clear shortly, we shall be interested in functions $\widehat{\Phi}(x)$ which asymptote to a locally polynomial, homogeneous function $\Phi(x)$ of degree λ with exponential accuracy as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ along generic radial rays. In this case, $\widehat{\Phi}(x)$ can be recovered

from its shadow $\Psi = \frac{i}{4}(x\partial_x - \lambda)\widehat{\Phi}$ and from its value at infinity Φ by integrating along radial rays,

$$(2.7) \quad \widehat{\Phi}(x) = \Phi(x) + 4i \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{\lambda+1}} \Psi(tx).$$

Inserting this in $\vartheta_{p,\mu}[\widehat{\Phi}]$ and changing variable from t to $\bar{w} = \tau - 2i\tau_2 t^2$, we find that $\vartheta_{p,\mu}[\widehat{\Phi}]$ and $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi]$ differ by a term proportional to the Eichler integral of $\vartheta_\mu[\Psi]$,

$$(2.8) \quad \vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}, \lambda](\tau, b, c) = \vartheta_\mu[\Phi, \lambda](\tau, b, c) - 4 \int_{-\infty}^{\bar{\tau}} \frac{d\bar{w}}{(\tau - \bar{w})^2} \vartheta_\mu[\Psi, \lambda - 2](\tau, \bar{w}, b, c).$$

Here, for a real-analytic function $\Psi(x)$ on $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$, $\vartheta_\mu[\Psi](\tau, \bar{w}, b, c)$ is defined by analytically extending (2.1) away from the locus $\bar{\tau} = \tau_1 - i\tau_2$, i.e by replacing $\bar{\tau}$ with \bar{w} .

Remark 2.5. The simplest application of this theorem is to choose an n_+ -dimensional time-like plane \mathcal{P} inside $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and decompose $x = x_+ + x_-$ where $x_+ \in \mathcal{P}$ and $B(x_+, x_-) = 0$. The function $\Phi(x) = e^{-\pi Q(x_+)}$ then satisfies the assumptions (i), (ii) with $\lambda = -n_+$. The resulting theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}]$ is a vector-valued Jacobi form of weight $\frac{n_- - n_+}{2}$, the familiar Siegel theta series, which is however not holomorphic in τ . The more general Siegel theta series depending on a homogenous polynomial of degree (m_+, m_-) in (x_+, x_-) constructed in [7] can also be understood in this framework.

2.2. Lorentzian theta series. In order to obtain a theta series which is holomorphic in τ , it is necessary to choose a function $\Phi(x)$ which is locally constant. However, such functions do not satisfy the conditions i) and ii) above (except if Φ is strictly constant, which is only admissible if B is negative definite), and the resulting theta series will not be modular. For Lorentzian signature $(n_+, n_-) = (1, n - 1)$, the modular anomalies of such indefinite theta series are now well understood, thanks to the work of Göttsche and Zagier [19] and Zwegers [38]. We recall the following theorem from their work:

Theorem 2.6. [19, 37, 38] *Let $Q(x)$ be a quadratic form of signature $(1, n - 1)$. For any vector C with $Q(C) > 0$, we denote $E_1(C; x) = E_1\left(\frac{B(C, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C)}}\right)$ where $E_1(u) = \text{Erf}(u\sqrt{\pi})$ is the (rescaled) error function. For any pair of linearly independent vectors $C, C' \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$ such that $Q(C), Q(C'), B(C, C') > 0$, the following holds:*

i) *The theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_1, 0]$ with kernel*

$$(2.9) \quad \Phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\text{sign } B(C, x) - \text{sign } B(C', x) \right]$$

is convergent, holomorphic in τ and z (in the sense of Remark 2.2), away from real codimension-1 loci where $B(C, k + b) = 0$ or $B(C', k + b) = 0$ for some $k \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2}p$.

ii) *The theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_1, 0]$ with kernel*

$$(2.10) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[E_1(C; x) - E_1(C'; x) \right]$$

is a non-holomorphic vector-valued Jacobi form of weight $(\frac{n}{2}, 0)$.

iii) *The shadow of $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_1, 0]$ is the Gaussian theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Psi_1, -2]$ with kernel*

$$(2.11) \quad \Psi_1(x) = \frac{i}{4} \left[\frac{B(C, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C, x)^2}{Q(C)}} - \frac{B(C', x)}{\sqrt{Q(C')}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C', x)^2}{Q(C')}} \right].$$

Proof. To establish the convergence of $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_1, 0]$, observe that whenever $\{x, C, C'\}$ are linearly independent, they span a signature $(1, 2)$ plane, so the determinant $\Delta(x, C, C')$ of the Gram matrix of B on $\{x, C, C'\}$ is strictly positive. The latter evaluates to

$$(2.12) \quad \Delta(x, C, C') = Q_{C, C'}(x) \Delta(C, C') - [Q(C) B(C', x)^2 + Q(C') B(C, x)^2],$$

where $\Delta(C, C') = Q(C)Q(C') - B(C, C')^2 < 0$ and

$$(2.13) \quad Q_{C, C'}(x) \equiv Q(x) + \frac{2B(C, C')B(C, x)B(C', x)}{\Delta(C, C')}.$$

Thus $Q_{C, C'}(x) < 0$. If $\{x, C, C'\}$ are linearly dependent, $\Delta(x, C, C')$ vanishes, so $Q_{C, C'}(x) \leq 0$, with equality when $B(C, x) = B(C', x) = 0$, hence $x = 0$. Therefore, the quadratic form $Q_{C, C'}(x)$ is negative definite. Now, $\Phi_1(x)$ vanishes unless $B(C, x)B(C', x) \leq 0$. For those x , since $B(C, C') > 0$, we have $Q(x) \leq Q_{C, C'}(x)$, so $f(x) = \Phi_1(x)e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q(x)}$ is dominated by $e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q_{C, C'}(x)}$. In particular, it lies in $L^1(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R})$, so $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_1, 0]$ converges. Holomorphy in τ and z follows from the fact that $\Phi_1(x)$ is locally constant.

To establish the convergence of $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_1]$, we decompose $E_1(u) = M_1(u) + \text{sign}(u)$ where

$$(2.14) \quad M_1(u) = -\text{sign}(u) \text{Erfc}(|u|\sqrt{\pi})$$

is exponentially suppressed at large u , obtaining

$$(2.15) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_1(x) = \Phi_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}M_1(C; x) - \frac{1}{2}M_1(C'; x),$$

where we denote $M_1(C; x) = M_1\left(\frac{B(C, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C)}}\right)$. Focusing on the second term in (2.15), we observe that whenever $\{x, C\}$ are linearly independent, $\Delta(x, C) \equiv Q(x)Q(C) - B(C, x)^2 < 0$, so $Q_C(x) \equiv Q(x) - 2\frac{B(C, x)^2}{Q(C)} \leq Q(x) - \frac{B(C, x)^2}{Q(C)} < 0$, while equality holds only for $x = 0$. Thus the quadratic form $Q_C(x)$ is negative definite. Since $|M_1(u)| \leq e^{-\pi u^2}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $f(x) \equiv M_1(C; x)e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q(x)}$ is dominated by $e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q_C(x)}$. The same argument applies to the last term in (2.15). Thus, $\widehat{\Phi}_1(x)$ satisfies condition i) of Vignéras' theorem. Moreover, using $E_1'(u) = 2e^{-\pi u^2}$ one can check that $\widehat{\Phi}_1$ satisfies (2.3) with $\lambda = 0$. This proves that $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_1]$ is a vector-valued Jacobi form of weight $(\frac{n}{2}, 0)$. Its shadow is easily computed using (2.6). \square

Remark 2.7. For the case at hand, Eq. (2.8) shows that the non-holomorphic theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_1]$ decomposes into the sum of the holomorphic theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_1]$ and an Eichler integral of the Gaussian theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Psi_1]$. Both terms transform anomalously under modular transformations, but the anomalies cancel in the sum.

Remark 2.8. When C and C' degenerate to null vectors in Λ , the shadow Ψ_1 vanishes and $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_1]$ becomes a meromorphic Jacobi form, away from the loci where $B(C, k+b) = 0$ or $B(C', k+b) = 0$ for some $k \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2}p$ [19].

2.3. Integral representations of M_1 and E_1 . Our aim in the remainder of this work will be to generalize this construction to holomorphic theta series of signature (n_+, n_-) with $n_+ > 1$. To prepare the ground, it will be useful to note that the complementary error function $M_1(u)$ defined in (2.14) has a contour integral representation

$$(2.16) \quad M_1(u) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\ell} \frac{dz}{z} e^{-\pi z^2 - 2\pi i z u},$$

where the contour $\ell = \mathbb{R} - iu$ runs parallel to the real axis through the saddle point at $z = -iu$. Indeed, setting $z = u(v - i)$, one finds

$$(2.17) \quad M_1(u) = \frac{i}{\pi} \text{sign}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dv}{v - i} e^{-\pi u^2(v^2+1)} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \text{sign}(u) \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{v^2+1} e^{-\pi u^2(v^2+1)}.$$

Using $\text{Erfc}(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{v^2+1} e^{-v^2(z^2+1)}$ for $|\text{Arg}(z)| \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ [15, 7.7.1], we recover (2.14). The representations (2.16) and (2.17) make several facts obvious. First, from (2.17) $M_1(u)$ is a real-valued, odd function of u , C^∞ away from $u = 0$, and exponentially suppressed as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$. Second, from the pole at $z = 0$ in (2.16), we see that $M_1(0^+) - M_1(0^-) = -2$. Third, the fact

that $M_1(u)$ satisfies Vignéras' equation (2.3) with $\lambda = 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is easily seen by acting with the differential operator $\partial_u^2 + 2\pi u \partial_u$ on the integrand in (2.16),

$$(2.18) \quad (\partial_u^2 + 2\pi u \partial_u) e^{-\pi z^2 - 2\pi i u z} = 2\pi z \partial_z e^{-\pi z^2 - 2\pi i u z},$$

which becomes a total derivative after dividing by z . Its shadow $\frac{1}{4}u\partial_u M_1(u) = \frac{1}{2}u e^{-\pi u^2}$ is also easily computed by acting with $\frac{1}{4}u\partial_u$ on the integrand in (2.16).

Similarly, it will be useful to note that the error function $E_1(u)$ can be represented as

$$(2.19) \quad E_1(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} du' e^{-\pi(u-u')^2} \text{sign}(u'),$$

upon using $\int_{-\infty}^u du' e^{-\pi u'^2} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \text{Erf}(u\sqrt{\pi}))$. Eq. (2.19) means that $E_1(u)$ is the image of the function $\text{sign}(u)$ under the heat kernel operator $e^{\frac{1}{4\pi}\partial_u^2}$. This makes it manifest that E_1 is a C^∞ function on \mathbb{R} which asymptotes to $\text{sign}(u)$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$. The fact that $E_1(u)$ is a solution of Vignéras' equation on \mathbb{R} with $\lambda = 0$ with the same shadow as M_1 is also easily seen by acting with the differential operators $\partial_u^2 + 2\pi u \partial_u$ and $\frac{1}{4}u\partial_u$ on the integrand in (2.19).

To show that the integrals (2.16) and (2.19) satisfy $E_1(u) = M_1(u) + \text{sign}(u)$, we first move the contour ℓ in (2.16) towards the real axis, such that it avoids the pole at $z = 0$ from below when $u > 0$, or from above when $u < 0$. Equivalently, we write

$$(2.20) \quad M_1(u) = \frac{i}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\ell} \frac{dz}{z - i\epsilon \text{sign } u} e^{-\pi z^2 - 2\pi i z u}.$$

Using $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{z - i\epsilon} = \text{Pv}(1/z) + i\pi\delta(z)$, where $\text{Pv}(1/z) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{z}{z^2 + \epsilon^2}$ is the principal value, we see that

$$(2.21) \quad M_1(u) + \text{sign}(u) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \text{Pv}(1/z) e^{-\pi z^2 - 2\pi i z u}.$$

Using the fact that $\text{Pv}(1/z)$ is the Fourier transform of $-i\pi \text{sign } u$, we recognize the right-hand side of (2.20) as $E_1(u)$. The representations (2.16) and (2.19) will be key for generalizing the error functions M_1 and E_1 relevant for Lorentzian theta series to the case of signature (n_+, n_-) with $n_+ > 1$.

3. DOUBLE ERROR FUNCTIONS

In this section we construct special functions $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ and $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$, analogous to the error and complementary error functions $E_1(u)$ and $M_1(u)$ of the previous section, which satisfy Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 (away from codimension one loci, in the case of M_2). The parameter α controls the angle between the two lines where M_2 jumps. We then promote these functions to solutions $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ and $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ of Vignéras' equation on $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$, parametrized by pairs of vectors C_1, C_2 spanning a time-like two-plane.

3.1. Double error functions. In this subsection we define the double error functions M_2 and E_2 and, in Proposition 3.3, establish their main analytic and asymptotic properties. Proposition 3.4 proves that E_2 and M_2 are solutions of Vignéras' equation, while Proposition 3.8 expresses M_2 and E_2 in terms of new functions m_2 and e_2 which are convenient for analytic and numerical studies. Theorem 3.11 gives an alternative definition of E_2 which generalizes naturally to higher dimensions. Two-parameter versions of M_2 and E_2 are briefly mentioned at the end.

Definition 3.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $u_1 \neq 0$, $u_2 \neq \alpha u_1$. The 'complementary double error function' $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ is defined by the absolutely convergent integral

$$(3.1) \quad M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R} - iu_1} dz_1 \int_{\mathbb{R} - iu_2} dz_2 \frac{e^{-\pi z_1^2 - \pi z_2^2 - 2\pi i(u_1 z_1 + u_2 z_2)}}{z_1(z_2 - \alpha z_1)}.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For $u_1 \neq 0$ and $u_2 \neq \alpha u_1$, the ‘double error function’ $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ is defined in terms of $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ by

$$(3.2) \quad E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) + \text{sign}(u_1) M_1(u_2) + \text{sign}(u_2 - \alpha u_1) M_1\left(\frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right) \\ + \text{sign}(u_2) \text{sign}(u_1 + \alpha u_2).$$

Proposition 3.3. *The functions M_2 and E_2 satisfy:*

- i) For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ is a real valued C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^2 away from the loci $u_1 = 0$ and $u_2 = \alpha u_1$. It is discontinuous across these loci, with jumps given by

$$(3.3) \quad M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) \sim -\text{sign}(u_1) M_1(u_2) \quad \text{as } u_1 \rightarrow 0, \\ M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) \sim -\text{sign}(u_2 - \alpha u_1) M_1\left(\frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right) \quad \text{as } u_2 - \alpha u_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

In contrast, $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ extends to a continuous, C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^2 .

- ii) For $\alpha = 0$, M_2 and E_2 factorize into products of M_1 and E_1 respectively,

$$(3.4) \quad M_2(0; u_1, u_2) = M_1(u_1) M_1(u_2), \quad E_2(0; u_1, u_2) = E_1(u_1) E_1(u_2).$$

- iii) For large (u_1, u_2) , $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ is exponentially suppressed, and behaves as

$$(3.5) \quad M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) \sim -\frac{e^{-\pi(u_1^2 + u_2^2)}}{\pi^2 u_1 (u_2 - \alpha u_1)}.$$

In contrast, $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ is locally constant at infinity,

$$(3.6) \quad E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) \sim \text{sign}(u_2) \text{sign}(u_1 + \alpha u_2).$$

Proof.

- i) To prove (3.3), we make the change of variables $v_1 = \frac{z_1}{u_1} + i$ and $v_2 = \frac{z_2 - \alpha z_1}{u_2 - \alpha u_1} + i$ in (3.1). This brings M_2 to the form

$$(3.7) \quad M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \text{sign}(u_1) \text{sign}(u_2 - \alpha u_1) e^{-\pi u_1^2 - \pi u_2^2} \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dv_1 dv_2}{(v_1 - i)(v_2 - i)} e^{-\pi u_1^2 v_1^2 - \pi [\alpha u_1 v_1 + v_2 (u_2 - \alpha u_1)]^2},$$

from which (3.3) follows. The last two terms in (3.2) ensure that $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ is continuous across the loci $u_1 = 0$ and $u_2 = \alpha u_1$. The apparent discontinuities in (3.2) on the loci $u_2 = 0$ and $u_1 = -\alpha u_2$ also cancel due to the fact that $M_1(x) \sim -\text{sign}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. The partial derivatives of $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ are obtained by acting with ∂_{u_1} and ∂_{u_2} on (3.1) and using (2.16),

$$(3.8) \quad \partial_{u_1} M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} e^{-\frac{\pi(u_1 + \alpha u_2)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}} M_1\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right), \\ \partial_{u_2} M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = 2e^{-\pi u_2^2} M_1(u_2) + \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} e^{-\frac{\pi(u_1 + \alpha u_2)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}} M_1\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right).$$

The partial derivatives of $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$, computed from (3.2) and (3.8),

$$(3.9) \quad \partial_{u_1} E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} e^{-\frac{\pi(u_1 + \alpha u_2)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}} E_1\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right), \\ \partial_{u_2} E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = 2e^{-\pi u_2^2} E_1(u_2) + \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} e^{-\frac{\pi(u_1 + \alpha u_2)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}} E_1\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right),$$

are C^∞ , therefore $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ extends to a C^∞ function of $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

- ii) This is an immediate consequence of the definitions.
iii) Eq. (3.5) is obtained by the saddle point method on the integral (3.1). Eq. (3.6) follows from (3.5) and from the fact that $M_1(u)$ is exponentially suppressed as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$. □

Proposition 3.4. *The functions $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ and $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ are solutions of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 equipped with the quadratic form $Q(u) = Q(u_1, u_2) = u_1^2 + u_2^2$ in their respective domains of definition,*

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\partial_{u_1}^2 + \partial_{u_2}^2 + 2\pi(u_1\partial_{u_1} + u_2\partial_{u_2})] M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = 0, \\ & [\partial_{u_1}^2 + \partial_{u_2}^2 + 2\pi(u_1\partial_{u_1} + u_2\partial_{u_2})] E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Their shadows are given by

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{i}{4} (u_1\partial_{u_1} + u_2\partial_{u_2}) M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = \frac{i}{2} \left[u_2 e^{-\pi u_2^2} M_1(u_1) + \frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} e^{-\frac{\pi(u_1 + \alpha u_2)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}} M_1\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right) \right],$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{i}{4} (u_1\partial_{u_1} + u_2\partial_{u_2}) E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = \frac{i}{2} \left[u_2 e^{-\pi u_2^2} E_1(u_1) + \frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} e^{-\frac{\pi(u_1 + \alpha u_2)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}} E_1\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right) \right].$$

Proof. Acting with Vignéras' operator on the integral representation (3.1) of M_2 gives

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\ell_1} \int_{\ell_2} \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{z_1(z_2 - \alpha z_1)} [z_1\partial_{z_1} + z_2\partial_{z_2}] e^{-\pi(z_1^2 + z_2^2) - 2\pi i(u_1 z_1 + u_2 z_2)} \\ & = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\ell_1} \int_{\ell_2} dz_1 dz_2 \left[\partial_{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{z_2 - \alpha z_1} \right) + \partial_{z_2} \left(\frac{z_2}{z_1(z_2 - \alpha z_1)} \right) \right] e^{-\pi(z_1^2 + z_2^2) - 2\pi i(u_1 z_1 + u_2 z_2)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from the first by partial integration. This proves the first line in (3.10). The additional terms in (3.2) are solutions of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$, which proves the second line. The shadows follow from (3.8) and (3.9). \square

We now introduce two functions $m_2(u_1, u_2)$ and $e_2(u_1, u_2)$ which serve as building blocks for M_2 and E_2 .

Definition 3.5. For $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $u_1 \neq 0$, we define

$$(3.14) \quad m_2(u_1, u_2) = 2u_2 \int_1^\infty dt e^{-\pi t^2 u_2^2} M_1(tu_1).$$

Definition 3.6. For $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we define

$$(3.15) \quad e_2(u_1, u_2) = 2u_2 \int_0^1 dt e^{-\pi t^2 u_2^2} E_1(tu_1).$$

Proposition 3.7. *The functions $m_2(u_1, u_2)$ and $e_2(u_1, u_2)$ satisfy the following properties:*

- i) m_2 is a solution of Vignéras' equation on \mathbb{R}^2 with $\lambda = 0$, C^∞ away from the locus $u_1 = 0$, odd with respect to either of its arguments, exponentially suppressed at infinity; near $u_1 = 0$, it behaves as $m_2(u_1, u_2) \sim \text{sign}(u_1) M_1(u_2)$.
- ii) e_2 is a C^∞ solution of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 , odd with respect to either of its arguments, which asymptotes to $e_2(tu_1, tu_2) \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \text{Arctan}(u_1/u_2)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.
- iii) For small (u_1, u_2) , e_2 is given by the convergent series

$$(3.16) \quad e_2(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\pi)^{k+\ell} u_1^{2k+1} u_2^{2\ell+1}}{k! \ell! (k + \frac{1}{2})(k + \ell + 1)}.$$

- iv) m_2 is uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$(3.17) \quad |m_2(u_1, u_2)| < \frac{|u_2|}{\sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2}} e^{-\pi(u_1^2 + u_2^2)}.$$

- v) For large (u_1, u_2) , m_2 has the asymptotic expansion

$$(3.18) \quad m_2(u_1, u_2) = u_2 e^{-\pi(u_1^2 + u_2^2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+\ell+1} \Gamma(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) (\ell + k)!}{\pi^{k+\ell+\frac{5}{2}} \ell! u_1^{2\ell+1} (u_1^2 + u_2^2)^{k+1}}.$$

vi) m_2 and e_2 satisfy

$$(3.19) \quad m_2(u_1, u_2) + e_2(u_1, u_2) = \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{u_1}{u_2} + \operatorname{sign}(u_1) M_1(u_2),$$

$$(3.20) \quad e_2(u_1, u_2) + e_2(u_2, u_1) = E(u_1) E(u_2).$$

Proof.

i-ii) One verifies that m_2 and e_2 satisfy Vignéras' equation using $E_1'(u) = 2e^{-\pi u^2} = M_1'(u)$ (away from $u = 0$). The last statement in i) follows by substituting $M_1(tu_1) \sim -\operatorname{sign}(tu_1)$ in (3.14) and using $M_1(u) = -2u \int_1^\infty dv e^{-\pi u^2 v^2}$. The last statement in ii) can be shown by using $E_1(u) = 2u \int_0^1 dv e^{-\pi u^2 v^2}$ and exchanging the t and v integrals.

iii) Eq. (3.16) follows by inserting the Taylor expansion $E_1(u) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-\pi)^k u^{2k+1}}{k!(k+\frac{1}{2})}$ in (3.15).

iv) Eq. (3.17) follows from the fact that $|M_1(u)|$ is uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R} by $e^{-\pi u^2}$ so that

$$(3.21) \quad |m_2(u_1, u_2)| < 2|u_2| \int_1^\infty dt e^{-\pi(u_1^2+u_2^2)t^2} = \frac{|u_2|}{\sqrt{u_1^2+u_2^2}} \operatorname{Erfc}\left(\sqrt{\pi(u_1^2+u_2^2)}\right) < \frac{|u_2| e^{-\pi(u_1^2+u_2^2)}}{\sqrt{u_1^2+u_2^2}}.$$

v) Eq. (3.18) follows by inserting the asymptotic expansion $M_1(u) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(u) e^{-\pi u^2} \times \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) |u\sqrt{\pi}|^{-2n-1}$ in (3.14).

vi) Eq. (3.19) follows by using $M_1(tu_1) = E_1(tu_1) - \operatorname{sign}(tu_1)$. Eq. (3.20) is a consequence of (3.16). □

Proposition 3.8. $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ and $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ can be expressed in terms of m_2 and e_2 via

$$(3.22) \quad M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = -m_2(u_1, u_2) - m_2\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}, \frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\right),$$

$$(3.23) \quad E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = e_2(u_1, u_2) + e_2\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}, \frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\right) + \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Arctan} \alpha.$$

Proof. (3.22) follows by integrating the differential equation (3.11) along a radial ray $t(u_1, u_2)$, $t \in [1, \infty[$, and using the fact that $M_2(\alpha; tu_1, tu_2)$ is exponentially suppressed as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, integrating (3.12) along a radial ray and using (3.6) leads to

$$(3.24) \quad E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = -\tilde{e}_2(u_1, u_2) - \tilde{e}_2\left(\frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}, \frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\right) + \operatorname{sign}(u_2) \operatorname{sign}(u_1 + \alpha u_2),$$

where

$$(3.25) \quad \tilde{e}_2(u_1, u_2) = 2u_2 \int_1^\infty dt e^{-\pi t^2 u_2^2} E_1(tu_1) = \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{u_1}{u_2} - e_2(u_1, u_2).$$

This reduces to (3.23) upon using the identity

$$(3.26) \quad \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{u_1 + \alpha u_2} + \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{u_1}{u_2} + \operatorname{Arctan} \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sign}(u_2) \operatorname{sign}(u_1 + \alpha u_2).$$

□

Remark 3.9. Eq. (3.22), (3.23), (3.19), (3.16) provide an efficient way of evaluating M_2 and E_2 numerically. For illustration, plots of $m_2(u_1, u_2)$, $e_2(u_1, u_2)$, $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ and $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ for $\alpha = 1$ are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

As an immediate consequence of Propositions 3.7 i) and 3.8, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ and $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ satisfy the discrete symmetries

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) &= -M_2(-\alpha; -u_1, u_2) = -M_2(-\alpha; u_1, -u_2) = M_2(\alpha; -u_1, -u_2) \\ &= M_2\left(\alpha; \frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}, \frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) &= -E_2(-\alpha; -u_1, u_2) = -E_2(-\alpha; u_1, -u_2) = E_2(\alpha; -u_1, -u_2) \\ &= E_2\left(\alpha; \frac{u_2 - \alpha u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}, \frac{u_1 + \alpha u_2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem gives an alternative definition of the double error function $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$, which naturally suggests the higher-dimensional generalization discussed in Section 6:

Theorem 3.11. $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ is obtained by acting with the heat kernel operator $e^{\frac{1}{4\pi}(\partial_{u_1}^2 + \partial_{u_2}^2)}$ on the locally constant function $\text{sign}(u_2) \text{sign}(u_1 + \alpha u_2)$. Equivalently, it admits the integral representation

$$(3.29) \quad E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} du'_1 du'_2 e^{-\pi(u_1 - u'_1)^2 - \pi(u_2 - u'_2)^2} \text{sign}(u'_2) \text{sign}(u'_1 + \alpha u'_2)$$

Proof. The right-hand side of (3.29) has the same asymptotic behavior (3.6) as $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ in the limit where (u_1, u_2) goes to infinity along a fixed ray. Its shadow can be computed easily using (2.19) and matches that of $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$. Two C^∞ functions with the same shadow and same asymptotics along radial rays are necessarily equal. \square

Remark 3.12. The integral representations (3.1) and (3.29) are related by Fourier transform over u'_1 and u'_2 , similarly to the relation between (2.16) and (2.19).

Remark 3.13. The operator $e^{\frac{1}{4\pi}(\partial_{u_1}^2 + \partial_{u_2}^2)}$ is a special case of the operator $e^{-\frac{\Delta}{4\pi}}$ introduced by Borcherds [7] in order to construct Siegel theta functions depending on homogeneous polynomial $P(x_+, x_-)$ of degree (m_+, m_-) (see Remark 2.5). More generally, for any *locally* polynomial, homogeneous function $P(x_+, x_-)$ of degree (m_+, m_-) , the kernel $\Phi(x) = [e^{-\frac{\Delta}{4\pi}} P(x_+, x_-)] e^{-\pi Q(x_+)}$ is a C^∞ solution of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = -n_+ + m_- - m_+$, and thus gives rise to a generalized Siegel-Narain theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi]$ of weight $\frac{n_- - n_+}{2} + m_- - m_+$.

Finally, we briefly consider a natural two-parameter generalization of (3.1),

$$(3.30) \quad M_2(\alpha, \beta; u_1, u_2) = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R} - iu_1} dz_1 \int_{\mathbb{R} - iu_2} dz_2 \frac{e^{-\pi(z_1^2 + z_2^2) - 2\pi i(u_1 z_1 + u_2 z_2)}}{(z_1 - \alpha z_2)(z_1 - \beta z_2)}.$$

Using $\frac{\alpha}{z_1 - \alpha z_2} - \frac{\beta}{z_1 - \beta z_2} = \frac{(\alpha - \beta)z_1}{(z_1 - \alpha z_2)(z_1 - \beta z_2)}$, we can express (3.30) in terms of the one-parameter M_2 ,

$$(3.31) \quad M_2(\alpha, \beta; u_1, u_2) = M_2(1/\alpha; u_1, u_2) - M_2(1/\beta; u_1, u_2) = M_2\left(\frac{1 + \alpha\beta}{\alpha - \beta}; \frac{u_1 - \beta u_2}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}}, \frac{u_2 + \beta u_1}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}}\right).$$



Similarly, the natural two-parameter generalization of (3.2)

$$(3.32) \quad E_2(\alpha, \beta; u_1, u_2) = \text{sign}(\alpha - \beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} du'_1 du'_2 e^{-\pi(u_1 - u'_1)^2 - \pi(u_2 - u'_2)^2} \text{sign}(u'_2 + \alpha u'_1) \text{sign}(u'_2 + \beta u'_1)$$

can be shown to satisfy (3.31) with M_2 replaced by E_2 .

3.2. Boosted error functions. We shall now use the functions $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ and $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ to construct solutions $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ and $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ of Vignéras' equation on $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$ (away from suitable loci in the case of M_2), parametrized by a pair of linearly independent vectors C_1, C_2 spanning a time-like two-plane. The latter condition means that

$$(3.33) \quad Q(C_1) > 0, \quad Q(C_2) > 0, \quad \Delta(C_1, C_2) \equiv Q(C_1)Q(C_2) - B(C_1, C_2)^2 > 0.$$


 FIGURE 1. Plots of the functions $m_2(u_1, u_2)$ (left) and $e_2(u_1, u_2)$ (right).

 FIGURE 2. Plots of the functions $M_2(1; u_1, u_2)$ (left) and $E_2(1; u_1, u_2)$ (right).

Let us introduce some useful notations. We define the vectors

$$(3.34) \quad C_{1\perp 2} = C_1 - \frac{B(C_1, C_2)}{Q(C_2)} C_2, \quad C_{2\perp 1} = C_2 - \frac{B(C_1, C_2)}{Q(C_1)} C_1,$$

such that $B(C_{1\perp 2}, C_2) = B(C_{2\perp 1}, C_1) = 0$, and the linear forms

$$(3.35) \quad u_1(x) = \frac{B(C_{1\perp 2}, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_{1\perp 2})}}, \quad u_2(x) = \frac{B(C_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}},$$

such that

$$(3.36) \quad \frac{u_2(x) - \alpha u_1(x)}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} = \frac{B(C_{2\perp 1}, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_{2\perp 1})}}, \quad \frac{u_1(x) + \alpha u_2(x)}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} = \frac{B(C_1, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_1)}},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{B(C_1, C_2)}{\sqrt{\Delta(C_1, C_2)}}$. To motivate these definitions, we note that in a basis where the quadratic form $Q(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - \sum_{i=3..n} x_i^2$ is diagonal and the vectors C_1, C_2 are chosen as

$$(3.37) \quad C_1 = \frac{t_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}} (1, \alpha, 0, \dots), \quad C_2 = t_2 (0, 1, 0, \dots), \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

the linear forms $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$ reduce to x_1 and x_2 . The function $E_2(\alpha; u_1(x), u_2(x))$ is then a solution of Vignéras' equation on $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$ which asymptotes to $\text{sign}[u_1(x) + \alpha u_2(x)] \text{sign}[u_2(x)] = \text{sign} B(C_1, x) \text{sign} B(C_2, x)$.

Definition 3.14. Let C_1, C_2 be a pair of time-like vectors with $\Delta(C_1, C_2) > 0$. We define the boosted double error function $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ by

$$(3.38) \quad E_2(C_1, C_2; x) = E_2\left(\frac{B(C_1, C_2)}{\sqrt{\Delta(C_1, C_2)}}, \frac{B(C_{1\perp 2}, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_{1\perp 2})}}, \frac{B(C_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}}\right).$$

Proposition 3.15. *The function E_2 satisfies:*

- i) $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ is a C^∞ function on $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$, invariant under independent rescalings of C_1 and C_2 by a positive real number, invariant under $C_1 \leftrightarrow C_2$, and odd under $C_1 \mapsto -C_1$ or $C_2 \mapsto -C_2$.

ii) In the limit where C_1 and C_2 are orthogonal, $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ factorizes

$$(3.39) \quad \lim_{B(C_1, C_2) \rightarrow 0} E_2(C_1, C_2; x) = E_1(C_1; x) E_1(C_2; x).$$

iii) In the asymptotic region where $|(C_1, x)| \rightarrow \infty$, keeping (C_2, x) finite,

$$(3.40) \quad E_2(C_1, C_2; x) \sim \text{sign } B(C_1, x) E_1(C_2; x).$$

iv) In the asymptotic region where both $|(C_1, x)|, |(C_2, x)| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.41) \quad E_2(C_1, C_2; x) \sim \text{sign } B(C_1, x) \text{sign } B(C_2, x).$$

v) $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ satisfies Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$,

$$(3.42) \quad [B^{-1}(\partial_x, \partial_x) + 2\pi x \partial_x] E_2(C_1, C_2; x) = 0,$$

and its shadow is given by

$$(3.43) \quad \frac{i}{2} \left[\frac{B(C_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C_2, x)^2}{Q(C_2)}} E_1(C_{1\perp 2}; x) + \frac{B(C_1, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_1)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C_1, x)^2}{Q(C_1)}} E_1(C_{2\perp 1}; x) \right].$$

vi) $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ admits the integral representation

$$(3.44) \quad E_2(C_1, C_2; x) = \int_{\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle} d^2 y \text{sign } B(C_1, y) \text{sign } B(C_2, y) e^{-\pi Q(y-x)},$$

where $d^2 y$ is the uniform measure on the two-plane $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$ spanned by $\{C_1, C_2\}$, normalized such that $\int_{\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle} d^2 y e^{-\pi Q(y)} = 1$, and

$$(3.45) \quad x_+ = \frac{B(C_1, x)Q(C_2) - B(C_2, x)B(C_1, C_2)}{\Delta(C_1, C_2)} C_1 + \frac{B(C_2, x)Q(C_1) - B(C_1, x)B(C_1, C_2)}{\Delta(C_1, C_2)} C_2$$

is the orthogonal projection of x on $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$.

Proof.

- i) $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ is a C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^{2n-2} since by Prop. 3.3 i) $E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$ is a C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^2 . The symmetries of $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ under $C_1 \leftrightarrow C_2$, $C_1 \mapsto -C_1$ and $C_2 \mapsto -C_2$ follow from Corollary 3.10.
- ii) This is a direct consequence of Prop. 3.3 ii).
- iii) This follows from the Definitions 3.2 and 3.14, and the asymptotic behavior of M_1 and M_2 (Prop. 3.3 iii)).
- iv) This is a direct consequence of the previous point and the asymptotic behavior of M_1 .
- v) Given Definition 3.14 together with the relations (3.35) and (3.36), we have

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{aligned} x \partial_x E_2(C_1, C_2; x) &= [u_1 \partial_{u_1} + u_2 \partial_{u_2}] E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2), \\ B^{-1}(\partial_x, \partial_x) E_2(C_1, C_2; x) &= [\partial_{u_1}^2 + \partial_{u_2}^2] E_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2). \end{aligned}$$

The claim now follows from Prop. 3.4.

vi) This follows from (3.29). □

Definition 3.16. Let C_1, C_2 be a pair of time-like vectors with $\Delta(C_1, C_2) > 0$. We define the boosted complementary double error function $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ by

$$(3.47) \quad M_2(C_1, C_2; x) = M_2 \left(\frac{B(C_1, C_2)}{\sqrt{\Delta(C_1, C_2)}}, \frac{B(C_{1\perp 2}, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_{1\perp 2})}}, \frac{B(C_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}} \right).$$

Proposition 3.17. M_2 satisfies the following properties:

- i) $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ is a C^∞ function of x away from the loci $B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) = 0$ and $B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) = 0$, invariant under independent rescalings of C_1 and C_2 by a positive real number, invariant under $C_1 \leftrightarrow C_2$, and odd under $C_1 \mapsto -C_1$ or $C_2 \mapsto -C_2$.
- ii) In the limit where C_1 and C_2 are orthogonal, $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ factorizes

$$(3.48) \quad \lim_{B(C_1, C_2) \rightarrow 0} M_2(C_1, C_2; x) = M_1(C_1; x) M_1(C_2; x).$$

iii) $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ is uniformly bounded by

$$(3.49) \quad |M_2(C_1, C_2; x)| < \frac{\left| \frac{B(C_1, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_1)}} \right| + \left| \frac{B(C_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}} \right|}{\sqrt{Q(x_+)}} e^{-\pi Q(x_+)},$$

where x_+ is the orthogonal projection (3.45) of x on the plane spanned by $\{C_1, C_2\}$.

iv) $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ is a solution of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$ away from the aforementioned loci.

v) $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ has the integral representation

$$(3.50) \quad M_2(C_1, C_2; x) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle - ix_+} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(C_{1\perp 2}, C_{2\perp 1})} d^2 z}{B(C_{1\perp 2}, z) B(C_{2\perp 1}, z)} e^{-\pi Q(z) - 2\pi i B(x, z)},$$

where $d^2 z$ is the uniform measure on the two-plane $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$, normalized as indicated below (3.44), and $\Delta(C_{1\perp 2}, C_{2\perp 1}) = Q(C_{1\perp 2})Q(C_{2\perp 1}) - B(C_{1\perp 2}, C_{2\perp 1})^2$.

Proof.

i-ii) These statements follow from the corresponding statements about $M_2(\alpha; u_1, u_2)$, analogously to the proofs of Prop. 3.15 i-ii).

iii) This statement follows from (3.17) and (3.22), upon noting that

$$(3.51) \quad \frac{\pi B(C_1, x)^2}{Q(C_1)} + \frac{\pi B(C_{2\perp 1}, x)^2}{Q(C_{2\perp 1})} = \frac{Q(C_1)B(C_2, x)^2 + Q(C_2)B(C_1, x)^2 - 2B(C_1, C_2)B(C_1, x)B(C_2, x)}{\Delta(C_1, C_2)} = Q(x_+).$$

iv) The proof is identical to the proof of Prop. 3.15 v).

v) Upon decomposing

$$(3.52) \quad z = z_1 \frac{C_{1\perp 2}}{\sqrt{Q(C_{1\perp 2})}} + z_2 \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}}, \quad x = x_1 \frac{C_{1\perp 2}}{\sqrt{Q(C_{1\perp 2})}} + x_2 \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}} + x_-$$

and using $\Delta(C_{1\perp 2}, C_{2\perp 1}) = \frac{\Delta(C_1, C_2)^3}{Q(C_1)Q(C_2)}$, Eq. (3.50) reduces to (3.1) with the same parameters u, x_1, x_2 as in (3.47). □

Proposition 3.18. *The functions $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ and $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ are related by*

$$(3.53) \quad \begin{aligned} E_2(C_1, C_2; x) &= M_2(C_1, C_2; x) + \text{sign } B(C_1, x) \text{sign } B(C_2, x) \\ &\quad + \text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) M_1(C_2; x) + \text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) M_1(C_1; x) \\ &= M_2(C_1, C_2; x) - \text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) \text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) \\ &\quad + \text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) E_1(C_2; x) + \text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) E_1(C_1; x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equation follows directly from (3.2), (3.38), (3.47). The second equation follows from the first by using

$$(3.54) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{sign } B(C_1, x) \text{sign } B(C_2, x) + \text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) \text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) \\ &= \text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) \text{sign } B(C_2, x) + \text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) \text{sign } B(C_1, x), \end{aligned}$$

which itself follows from the sign rule

$$(3.55) \quad \left[\text{sign } u_1 - \text{sign}(u_1 + \alpha u_2) \right] \left[\text{sign } u_2 - \text{sign}(u_2 - \alpha u_1) \right] = 0$$

valid for any $u_1, u_2, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3$. □

It will be useful to relax in part the conditions (3.33), and define the function $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ when either C_1 or C_2 are null, and $\Delta_{12} \geq 0$. In particular, the last condition implies that in such special cases $B(C_1, C_2)$ necessarily vanishes. Thus, we define $E_2(C_1, C_2; x)$ for null C_1 by taking the limit $Q(C_1) \rightarrow 0$ in (3.39),

$$(3.56) \quad E_2(C_1, C_2; x) = \text{sign } B(C_1, x) E_1(C_2; x).$$

The case where both C_1 and C_2 are null is obtained by further taking the limit $Q(C_2) \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.57) \quad E_2(C_1, C_2; x) = \text{sign } B(C_1, x) \text{ sign } B(C_2, x).$$

Finally, when C_1 and C_2 are timelike with $\Delta_{12} = 0$, the parameter $\alpha = \frac{B(C_1, C_2)}{\sqrt{\Delta(C_1, C_2)}}$ in (3.47) becomes infinite, so from (3.22) we get $M_2(C_1, C_2; x) = 0$. Moreover, taking the limit $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.36), we get $\text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) = -\text{sign } B(C_1, C_2) \text{ sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x)$ and $\text{sign } B(C_1, x) = \text{sign } B(C_1, C_2) \text{ sign } B(C_2, x)$. Using these equalities it then follows that

$$(3.58) \quad E_2(C_1, C_2; x) = \text{sign } B(C_1, C_2) + \text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) \left[M_1(C_1; x) - \text{sign } B(C_1, C_2) M_1(C_2; x) \right].$$

In the special case where C_1 and C_2 are collinear, $C_{2\perp 1} = 0$ so the second term in (3.58) vanishes, leaving only the first term.

4. INDEFINITE THETA SERIES OF SIGNATURE $(2, n - 2)$

In this section, we turn to the construction of holomorphic theta series of signature $(2, n - 2)$ and their modular completion, using the special functions E_2 and M_2 introduced in the previous section.

4.1. Conformal theta series. In analogy with (2.9), we consider the locally constant function

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\text{sign } B(C_1, x) - \text{sign } B(C'_1, x) \right] \left[\text{sign } B(C_2, x) - \text{sign } B(C'_2, x) \right],$$

where the four vectors C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2 are time-like. Our goal is to find sufficient conditions on these vectors in order for the theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2, 0]$ to be convergent and to admit a modular completion. In order to state our result, we let $C_{j'} = C'_j$ and shall denote by $\Delta_{\mathcal{I}}$ the determinant of the Gram matrix $B(C_i, C_j)_{i,j \in \mathcal{I}}$, where \mathcal{I} is a subset of indices $\{1, 1', 2, 2'\}$. We furthermore let D_{ij} be off-diagonal cofactors of the Gram matrix $B(C_i, C_j)_{i,j \in \{1, 1', 2, 2'\}}$. The conditions for convergence of $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2, 0]$ are given by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the vectors C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2 satisfy the following conditions:*

$$(4.2a) \quad Q(C_1), Q(C'_1), Q(C_2), Q(C'_2) > 0,$$

$$(4.2b) \quad \Delta_{11'22'} > 0,$$

$$(4.2c) \quad D_{11'}, D_{22'} \geq 0,$$

$$(4.2d) \quad M \text{ is negative definite},$$

where M is the symmetric matrix

$$(4.3) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{1'22'} & 0 & D_{12} & D_{12'} \\ 0 & \Delta_{122'} & D_{1'2} & D_{1'2'} \\ D_{12} & D_{1'2} & \Delta_{11'2'} & 0 \\ D_{12'} & D_{1'2'} & 0 & \Delta_{11'2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2, 0]$ with kernel (4.1) is convergent. Moreover, it is holomorphic in τ and z (in the sense of Remark 2.2), away from the loci where $B(C, k + b) = 0$ for $C \in \{C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2\}$ and $k \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2}p$.

Proof. To establish the convergence of $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2, 0]$, we note that (4.2a) and (4.2b) imply that $\langle C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2 \rangle$ span a signature $(2, 2)$ four-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$. Thus, for any x linearly independent from these vectors, $\langle x, C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2 \rangle$ span a signature $(2, 3)$ five-plane, so $\Delta(x, C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2) < 0$. The latter evaluates to

$$(4.4) \quad \Delta(x, C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2) = \Delta_{11'22'} Q_-(x) - XMX^t,$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad Q_-(x) \equiv Q(x) - 2 \frac{B(C_1, x)B(C'_1, x) D_{11'} + B(C_2, x)B(C'_2, x) D_{22'}}{\Delta_{11'22'}}$$

and X is the vector $(B(C_1, x), B(C'_1, x), B(C_2, x), B(C'_2, x))$. Since by assumption M is negative definite, it follows that $Q_-(x) < 0$. If instead x lies in the four-plane $\langle C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2 \rangle$, then $\Delta(x, C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2) = 0$, so $Q_-(x)$ vanishes if $X = 0$, which implies $x = 0$. Thus, the quadratic form $Q_-(x)$ is negative definite. Now, the only vectors x for which $\Phi_2(x) \neq 0$ are such that $B(C_1, x)B(C'_1, x) \leq 0$ and $B(C_2, x)B(C'_2, x) \leq 0$. Since $D_{11'}$ and $D_{22'}$ are assumed to be both ≥ 0 and $\Delta_{11'22'} > 0$, it follows that $Q(x) \leq Q_-(x)$ unless $\Phi_2(x) = 0$. Thus, $f(x) = \Phi_2(x) e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q(x)}$ is dominated by $e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q_-(x)}$ and so lies in $L^1(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R})$. This ensures the convergence of $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2]$. Holomorphy in τ and z follows from the fact that $\Phi_2(x)$ is locally constant. \square

The next theorem gives sufficient conditions for the existence of a modular completion of $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2, 0]$ using the double error function $E_2(C_1, C_2, x)$ defined in (3.2).

Theorem 4.2. *In addition to the conditions in Theorem 4.1, we require that C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2 satisfy the following conditions:*

$$(4.6a) \quad \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{1'2}, \Delta_{12'}, \Delta_{1'2'} > 0,$$

$$(4.6b) \quad B(C_{2\perp 1}, C_{2'\perp 1}) = B(C_2, C'_2) - \frac{B(C_1, C_2)B(C_1, C'_2)}{Q(C_1)} \geq 0,$$

$$(4.6c) \quad B(C_{1\perp 2}, C_{1'\perp 2}) = B(C_1, C'_1) - \frac{B(C_2, C_1)B(C_2, C'_1)}{Q(C_2)} \geq 0,$$

$$(4.6d) \quad B(C_{2\perp 1'}, C_{2'\perp 1'}) = B(C_2, C'_2) - \frac{B(C'_1, C_2)B(C'_1, C'_2)}{Q(C'_1)} \geq 0,$$

$$(4.6e) \quad B(C_{1\perp 2'}, C_{1'\perp 2'}) = B(C_1, C'_1) - \frac{B(C'_2, C_1)B(C'_2, C'_1)}{Q(C'_2)} \geq 0.$$

Then, the theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2, 0]$ with kernel

$$(4.7) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_2(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left[E_2(C_1, C_2; x) - E_2(C_1, C'_2; x) - E_2(C'_1, C_2; x) + E_2(C'_1, C'_2; x) \right]$$

is a non-holomorphic vector-valued Jacobi form of weight $(\frac{n}{2}, 0)$. Its shadow is the non-holomorphic Lorentzian theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Psi_2, -2]$ with kernel

$$(4.8) \quad \Psi_2(x) = \frac{i}{8} \left\{ \frac{B(C_1, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_1)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C_1, x)^2}{Q(C_1)}} \left[E_1(C_{2\perp 1}; x) - E_1(C_{2'\perp 1}; x) \right] \right. \\ + \frac{B(C_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C_2, x)^2}{Q(C_2)}} \left[E_1(C_{1\perp 2}; x) - E_1(C_{1'\perp 2}; x) \right] \\ + \frac{B(C'_1, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C'_1)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C'_1, x)^2}{Q(C'_1)}} \left[E_1(C_{2'\perp 1'}; x) - E_1(C_{2\perp 1'}; x) \right] \\ \left. + \frac{B(C'_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C'_2)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C'_2, x)^2}{Q(C'_2)}} \left[E_1(C_{1'\perp 2'}; x) - E_1(C_{1\perp 2'}; x) \right] \right\}.$$

Proof. We first address the convergence of $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2]$. Using (3.53), the difference between (4.7) and (4.1) can be written as

$$(4.9) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_2 - \Phi_2 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ M_2(C_1, C_2; x) - M_2(C_1, C'_2; x) - M_2(C'_1, C_2; x) + M_2(C'_1, C'_2; x) \right. \\ + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{2'\perp 1}, x) \right] M_1(C_1; x) \\ + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{1'\perp 2}, x) \right] M_1(C_2; x) \\ + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{2'\perp 1'}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1'}, x) \right] M_1(C'_1; x) \\ \left. + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{1'\perp 2'}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2'}, x) \right] M_1(C'_2; x) \right\}.$$

To see that the theta series based on the first term $M_2(C_1, C_2, x)$ in (4.9) is convergent, we use the uniform bound (3.49). The condition (4.6a) implies that $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$ spans a signature $(2, 0)$ plane. Therefore $\Delta(x, C_1, C_2) \leq 0$ for any x , with the equality saturated when x lies in this plane. Evaluating the determinant as in (2.12), this shows that $Q(x) - Q(x_+)$ is negative semi-definite, and that $Q(x) - 2Q(x_+)$ is negative definite. Thus, $f(x) \equiv M_2(C_1, C_2; x) e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q(x)}$ is dominated by $e^{\frac{\pi}{2}[Q(x)-2Q(x_+)]}$ so lies in $L^1(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R})$. The same argument applies to the three other terms on the first line of (4.9).

For the second line of (4.9), we note that the vectors $C_{2\perp 1}, C_{2'\perp 1}$ are time-like vectors in the signature $(1, n-2)$ hyperplane $\langle C_1 \rangle^\perp$ orthogonal to C_1 , with positive inner product due to (4.6b). The same argument which was used to prove the convergence of (2.9), shows that $f(x) = [\text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{2'\perp 1}, x)] e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q_\perp(x)}$ lies in $L^1(\langle C_1 \rangle^\perp)$, where $Q_\perp(x)$ is the norm of the projection of x on $\langle C_1 \rangle^\perp$. On the other hand, $\left| M_1(x) e^{\frac{\pi x^2}{2}} \right| \leq e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{2}}$ is in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ on the orthogonal complement $\langle C_1 \rangle$. Thus, $f(x) = [\text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{2'\perp 1}, x)] M_1(C_1; x) e^{\frac{\pi}{2}Q(x)}$ lies in $L^1(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R})$. Repeating this reasoning for the other three contributions in (4.9) and combining this with the result in the previous paragraph, we see that the theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2 - \Phi_2]$ is convergent.

Finally, from (3.42) we see that the kernel (4.7) satisfies condition ii) of Vignéras' theorem with $\lambda = 0$. It follows that $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2]$ is a non-holomorphic modular form of weight $(\frac{n}{2}, 0)$. Its shadow follows easily from (3.43). \square

Remark 4.3. A few comments on the conditions (4.2) and (4.6) are in order:

- The condition $M < 0$ implies that all diagonal elements of M are strictly negative. Thus, assuming that (4.2a) holds, each of $\langle C'_1, C_2, C'_2 \rangle, \langle C_1, C_2, C'_2 \rangle, \langle C_1, C'_1, C_2 \rangle, \langle C_1, C'_1, C'_2 \rangle$ span a signature $(2, 1)$ three-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{2, n-2}$. The restriction of B on the $\langle C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2 \rangle$ is then either non-degenerate of signature $(2, 2)$, in which case $\Delta_{11'22'} > 0$, or degenerate, in which case $\Delta_{11'22'} = 0$. The condition (4.2b) ensures that it is non-degenerate.
- In the special case where $B(C_1, C_2) = B(C_1, C'_2) = B(C'_1, C_2) = B(C'_1, C'_2) = 0$, the conditions (4.2) and (4.6) reduce to (4.2a) along with $B(C_1, C'_1), B(C_2, C'_2) \geq 0$ and $\Delta_{11'}, \Delta_{22'} < 0$.
- The conditions (4.2), (4.6) all follow from the stronger conditions

$$(4.10a) \quad Q(C_1), Q(C'_1), Q(C_2), Q(C'_2) > 0, \quad B(C_1, C'_1), B(C_2, C'_2) \geq 0,$$

$$(4.10b) \quad \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{1'2}, \Delta_{12'}, \Delta_{1'2'} > 0, \quad \Delta_{11'}, \Delta_{22'} < 0,$$

$$(4.10c) \quad D_{11'}, D_{22'} \geq 0,$$

$$(4.10d) \quad M \text{ is negative definite.}$$

This is easily seen by writing

$$(4.11) \quad 0 > \Delta_{122'} = Q(C_2) \Delta_{12'} + Q(C'_2) \Delta_{12} - Q(C_1) \Delta_{22'} \\ - 2B(C_2, C'_2) [Q(C_1)B(C_2, C'_2) - B(C_1, C_2)B(C_1, C'_2)],$$

and similarly for $\Delta_{122'}, \Delta_{11'2'}, \Delta_{11'2}$. However, it is easy to find solutions of (4.2) which violate the conditions $\Delta_{11'}, \Delta_{22'} < 0$ in (4.10).

- Numerical searches seem to indicate that the conditions (4.6) follow from (4.2), but we have not been able to establish this analytically.

Remark 4.4. For the case at hand, Eq. (2.8) shows that the non-holomorphic theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2]$ decomposes into the sum of the holomorphic theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2]$ and an Eichler integral of the Lorentzian theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Psi_2]$. Both terms transforms anomalously under modular transformations, but the anomalies cancel in the sum.

4.2. Null limit . Let us now extend this construction to the case where one vector, say C'_1 , degenerates to a primitive null vector in Λ , while the other vectors C_1, C_2, C'_2 remain time-like. We assume furthermore that $B(C'_1, C_2) = B(C'_1, C'_2) = 0$, in order to ensure that $\Delta_{1'2}, \Delta_{1'2'} \geq 0$. As a result, the determinant $\Delta_{11'22'}$ and cofactors D_{ij} simplify to

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{11'22'} &= -B(C_1, C'_1)^2 \Delta_{22'}, \\ D_{11'} &= -B(C_1, C'_1) \Delta_{22'}, \quad D_{22'} = B(C_1, C'_1)^2 B(C_2, C'_2), \\ D_{1'2} &= B(C_1, C'_1) [B(C_1, C_2) Q(C'_2) - B(C_1, C'_2) B(C_2, C'_2)], \\ D_{1'2'} &= B(C_1, C'_1) [B(C_1, C'_2) Q(C_2) - B(C_1, C_2) B(C_2, C'_2)], \end{aligned}$$

and $\Delta_{1'22'} = D_{12} = D_{12'} = 0$. The conditions (4.2) for the convergence of $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2]$ now become

$$(4.13a) \quad Q(C_1), Q(C_2), Q(C'_2) > 0, \quad Q(C'_1) = 0,$$

$$(4.13b) \quad \Delta_{22'} < 0, \quad B(C_1, C'_1) > 0, \quad B(C_2, C'_2) \geq 0,$$

$$(4.13c) \quad M_0 \text{ is negative definite,}$$

where M_0 is the lower right 3×3 matrix of M ,

$$(4.14) \quad M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{122'} & D_{1'2} & D_{1'2'} \\ D_{1'2} & \Delta_{11'2'} & 0 \\ D_{1'2'} & 0 & \Delta_{11'2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For later use, we deduce a few inequalities from these conditions. The $(2, 2)$ minor of M_0 equals $-B(C_1, C'_1)^2 \Delta_{12} \Delta_{22'}$, and the $(3, 3)$ minor equals $-B(C_1, C'_1)^2 \Delta_{12'} \Delta_{22'}$. Therefore (4.13c) implies $\Delta_{12} > 0$ and $\Delta_{12'} > 0$. From these inequalities and $\Delta_{122'} < 0$ (by (4.13b)), we deduce that the first line on the right-hand side of (4.11) is negative definite, and therefore $B(C_{2\perp 1}, C'_{2\perp 1}) > 0$. Noting that $\Delta_{122'} = Q(C_1) \Delta(C_{2\perp 1}, C'_{2\perp 1})$, we see that the condition $\Delta_{122'} < 0$ implies that $C_{2\perp 1}$ and $C'_{2\perp 1}$ span a subspace of signature $(1, 1)$ inside $\langle C_1 \rangle^\perp$.

Following the idea of the proof in [38, §2.2], in $\vartheta[\Phi_2]$ we decompose $k \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{p}{2}$ into $k = k_0 + m_1 C'_1$ with $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_0 \in \Lambda_1$ where

$$(4.15) \quad \Lambda_1 = \left\{ k_0 \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{p}{2} : \frac{B(C_1, k_0 + b)}{B(C_1, C'_1)} \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Replacing the sum over k by a sum over k_0 and m_1 , $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2]$ takes the form

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_\mu[\Phi_2](\tau, b, c) &= \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k_0 \in \Lambda_1} (-1)^{B(k_0, p) + m_1 B(C'_1, p)} \Phi_2(k_0 + m_1 C'_1 + b) \\ &\times q^{-\frac{1}{2} Q(k_0 + b) - m_1 B(k_0 + b, C'_1)} \mathbf{E}(B(c, k_0 + m_1 C'_1 + \frac{1}{2} b)) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_2(k_0 + m_1 C'_1 + b) &= \frac{1}{4} \left[\text{sign}(B(C_1, k_0 + b) + m_1 B(C_1, C'_1)) - \text{sign} B(C'_1, k_0 + b) \right] \\ &\times \left[\text{sign} B(C_2, k_0 + b) - \text{sign} B(C'_2, k_0 + b) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The sum over m_1 converges provided $B(C'_1, k_0 + b) \neq 0$ for all $k_0 \in \Lambda_1$. Summing up the geometric series, we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2](\tau, b, c) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_0 \in \Lambda_1} (-1)^{B(k_0, p)} \Phi_1(k_0 + b) q^{-\frac{1}{2} Q(k_0 + b)} \mathbf{E}(B(c, k_0 + \frac{1}{2} b)) \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{E}(B(C'_1, c - \tau(k_0 + b) + \frac{p}{2}))} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{B(C_1, k_0 + b), 0} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} [\text{sign} B(C_2, x) - \text{sign} B(C'_2, x)]$ and $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

The prove of convergence proceeds as in [38]. The second line in (4.18) is bounded for all k_0 in the sum since $B(C'_1, k_0 + b) \neq 0$. It remains to show that $Q(x)$ is negative definite for

$x \in \langle C_1 \rangle^\perp$ and over the range where $\Phi_1(x)$ is non-vanishing. To this end, note that Q has signature $(1, n-2)$ on $\langle C_1 \rangle^\perp$. As noted below (4.14), the projections of C_2 and C'_2 on $\langle C_1 \rangle^\perp$ satisfy $Q(C_{2\perp 1}), Q(C_{2'\perp 1}), B(C_{2\perp 1}, C_{2'\perp 1}) > 0$, therefore the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 shows that the sum over k_0 is absolutely convergent.

Note that the convergence of $\vartheta[\Phi_2]$ requires $B(C'_1, k_0 + b) \neq 0$. However, setting $z = b\tau - c$, the right-hand side of (4.18) can be analytically continued to the larger domain $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathcal{P}_{C'_1, \mu}$ where $\mathcal{P}_{C'_1, \mu}$ is the divisor

$$(4.19) \quad \mathcal{P}_{C'_1, \mu} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \in \Lambda_1, B(C'_1, z + \tau k - \frac{p}{2}) \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Using (3.53), the additional terms in the modular completion $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2]$ can be written as

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\Phi}_2 - \Phi_2 = & \frac{1}{4} \left\{ M_2(C_1, C_2; x) - M_2(C_1, C'_2; x) + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{2'\perp 1}, x) \right] M_1(C_1; x) \right. \\ & + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{1'}, x) \right] M_1(C_2; x) \\ & \left. + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{1'}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2'}, x) \right] M_1(C'_2; x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Convergence follows from the conditions (4.13) and inequalities below (4.14). The shadow of $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2]$ is now the theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Psi_2]$ with kernel

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_2(x) = & \frac{i}{8} \left\{ \frac{B(C_1, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_1)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C_1, x)^2}{Q(C_1)}} \left[E_1(C_{2\perp 1}; x) - E_1(C_{2'\perp 1}; x) \right] \right. \\ & + \frac{B(C_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_2)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C_2, x)^2}{Q(C_2)}} \left[E_1(C_{1\perp 2}; x) - \text{sign } B(C'_1, x) \right] \\ & \left. + \frac{B(C'_2, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C'_2)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C'_2, x)^2}{Q(C'_2)}} \left[\text{sign } B(C'_1, x) - E_1(C_{1\perp 2'}, x) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Double null limit. Finally, we consider the case where both C'_1 and C'_2 degenerate to linearly independent null vectors in Λ , with $B(C'_1, C_2) = B(C'_1, C'_2) = B(C_1, C'_2) = 0$. The vectors C_1 and C_2 remain time-like. Then the determinants and cofactors reduce to

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{11'22'} &= B(C_1, C'_1)^2 B(C_2, C'_2)^2, & D_{1'2'} &= -B(C_1, C'_1) B(C_1, C_2) B(C_2, C'_2), \\ D_{11'} &= B(C_1, C'_1) B(C_2, C'_2)^2, & D_{22'} &= B(C_1, C'_1)^2 B(C_2, C'_2), \end{aligned}$$

and $\Delta_{1'22'} = \Delta_{11'2'} = D_{12} = D_{12'} = D_{1'2} = 0$. The conditions (4.2) for the convergence of $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2]$ now become

$$(4.23a) \quad Q(C_1), Q(C_2) > 0, \quad Q(C'_1) = Q(C'_2) = 0,$$

$$(4.23b) \quad B(C_1, C'_1) > 0, \quad B(C_2, C'_2) > 0,$$

$$(4.23c) \quad M_{00} \text{ is negative definite,}$$

where $M_{00} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{122'} & D_{1'2'} \\ D_{1'2'} & \Delta_{11'2'} \end{pmatrix}$. It follows from the positivity of $\det(M_{00})$ that $\Delta_{12} > 0$.

One can again decompose $k \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{p}{2}$ into $k = k_0 + m_1 C'_1 + m_2 C'_2$ with $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_0 \in \Lambda_{12}$ where

$$(4.24) \quad \Lambda_{12} = \left\{ k_0 \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{p}{2} : \frac{B(C_1, k_0 + b)}{B(C_1, C'_1)} \in [0, 1) \text{ and } \frac{B(C_2, k_0 + b)}{B(C_2, C'_2)} \in [0, 1) \right\}.$$

The sum over m_1 and m_2 is convergent provided $B(C'_1, k_0 + b) \neq 0$ and $B(C'_2, k_0 + b) \neq 0$ for all $k_0 \in \Lambda_{12}$. Summing up the double geometric series, one obtains

$$(4.25) \quad \vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2] = \sum_{k_0 \in \Lambda_{12}} (-1)^{B(k_0, p)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k_0+b)} \mathbf{E}\left(B(c, k_0 + \frac{1}{2}b)\right) \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{E}(B(C'_1, c - \tau(k_0+b) + \frac{p}{2}))} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{B(C_1, k_0+b), 0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{E}(B(C'_2, c - \tau(k_0+b) + \frac{p}{2}))} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{B(C_2, k_0+b), 0} \right).$$

As in the discussion below (4.18), the second line is bounded for all k_0 in the sum. Furthermore, since $\Delta_{12} > 0$, $Q(k)$ is negative definite on the orthogonal complement $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2, n-2} : B(C_1, x) = B(C_2, x) = 0\}$, one concludes that $\vartheta[\Phi_2]$ is convergent. Setting $z = b\tau - c$, the right-hand side of (4.25) can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function of $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (in the sense of Remark 2.2), with simple poles on the divisors $\mathcal{P}_{C'_1, \mu}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{C'_2, \mu}$.

The additional terms in the modular completion $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2]$ are given by

$$(4.26) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_2 - \Phi_2 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ M_2(C_1, C_2; x) + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{2'}, x) \right] M_1(C_1; x) \right. \\ \left. + \left[\text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) - \text{sign } B(C_{1'}, x) \right] M_1(C_2; x) \right\}.$$

Convergence follows by the same arguments as before. The shadow of $\vartheta_\mu[\widehat{\Phi}_2]$ is now the theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Psi_2]$ with

$$(4.27) \quad \Psi_2(x) = \frac{i}{8} \left\{ \frac{B(C_1, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_1)}} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C_1, x)^2}{Q(C_1)}} \left[E_1(C_{2\perp 1}; x) - \text{sign } B(C'_2, x) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{B(C_2, x)}{Q(C_2)} e^{-\frac{\pi B(C_2, x)^2}{Q(C_2)}} \left[E_1(C_{1\perp 2}; x) - \text{sign } B(C'_1, x) \right] \right\}.$$

In addition to C'_1 and C'_2 , one may also let C_1 and C_2 degenerate to null vectors in Λ , analogously to Remark 2.8 for Lorentzian lattices. In such cases, Ψ_2 vanishes and $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2]$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic Jacobi form.

4.4. Signature (2, 1) and special case $C_1 = C_2$. In the previous construction it was important that $\Delta_{11'22'} > 0$. Unfortunately, this condition rules out the case $n = 3$, where $\Delta_{11'22'}$ necessarily vanishes. It is therefore natural to ask if convergent conformal theta series can be constructed using only three vectors.² Indeed, Ramanujan's fifth order mock theta functions, upon multiplication by the Dedekind eta function [39], are examples of signature (2, 1) theta series of the form (4.1) with

$$(4.28) \quad Q = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_1 = C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Another example arises in the context of quantum invariants of torus knots: after multiplication by η^3/θ_1 , the colored Jones polynomial for the torus knot $T_{(2, 2t+1)}$ is given by a signature (2, 1) theta series of the form (4.1) with [21, Thm. 1.3]

$$(4.29) \quad Q = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{4t+3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{4t+3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_1 = C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2t-1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2t+1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2t+1 \\ 2t+1 \\ -2(2t^2+3t+1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

²We thank Don Zagier for suggesting this possibility, Jeffrey Harvey for drawing our attention to [39], and Kathrin Bringmann and Larry Rolin for a discussion about the theta series in [12].

Yet another example is the theta series $F(z_1, z_2, z_3; \tau)$ considered in [12] in the context of open Gromov-Witten invariants, which is a signature $(2, 1)$ theta series of the form (4.1) with

$$(4.30) \quad Q = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_1 = C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Motivated by these examples, let us investigate the convergence of conformal theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2, 0]$ with Φ_2 given by (4.1) with $C_1 = C_2$. For this purpose we write the Gram determinant $\Delta(x, C_1, C'_1, C'_2)$ as

$$(4.31) \quad \Delta(x, C_1, C'_1, C'_2) = Q_-(x) \Delta_{11'2'} - \Delta_{1'2'} [B(C_1, x)]^2 - \Delta_{12'} [B(C'_1, x)]^2 - \Delta_{11'} [B(C'_2, x)]^2,$$

where

$$(4.32) \quad Q_-(x) = Q(x) + 2 \frac{E_{11'} B(C_1, x) B(C'_1, x) + E_{12'} B(C_1, x) B(C'_2, x) + E_{1'2'} B(C'_1, x) B(C'_2, x)}{\Delta_{11'2'}}$$

and

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{11'} &= Q(C'_2) B(C_1, C'_1) - B(C'_1, C'_2) B(C_1, C'_2) = Q(C'_2) B(C_{1\perp 2'}, C_{1'\perp 2'}), \\ E_{12'} &= Q(C'_1) B(C_1, C'_2) - B(C'_1, C'_2) B(C_1, C'_1) = Q(C'_1) B(C_{1\perp 1'}, C_{2'\perp 1'}), \\ E_{1'2'} &= Q(C_1) B(C'_1, C'_2) - B(C_1, C'_1) B(C_1, C'_2) = Q(C_1) B(C_{2'\perp 11}, C_{1'\perp 11}). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $\langle C_1, C'_1, C'_2 \rangle$ span a signature $(2, 1)$ plane, so that $\Delta_{11'2'} < 0$, and moreover that

$$(4.34) \quad \Delta_{1'2'}, \Delta_{12'}, \Delta_{11'} > 0, \quad E_{11'}, E_{12'} \geq 0, \quad E_{1'2'} \leq 0.$$

A similar argument as below (4.4) shows that the quadratic form $Q_-(x)$ is negative definite. Moreover, the locally constant function (4.1) vanishes unless $B(C_1, x)B(C'_1, x) \leq 0$ and $B(C_1, x)B(C'_2, x) \leq 0$. These two conditions imply $B(C'_1, x)B(C'_2, x) \geq 0$, unless $B(C_1, x) = 0$. Assuming that b is chosen such that $B(C_1, k + b)$ never vanishes for $k \in \Lambda + \mu + \frac{1}{2}p$, one has $Q(x) \leq Q_-(x)$ for all terms contributing to the sum, which ensures that $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2, 0]$ is convergent. The modular completion is obtained by adding the same terms as (4.9), except that the terms $M_2(C_1, C_2; x)$, $\text{sign } B(C_{2\perp 1}, x)$, $\text{sign } B(C_{1\perp 2}, x)$ all vanish (see the discussion around (3.58)). The conditions $E_{11'}, E_{12'} \geq 0$, $E_{1'2'} \leq 0$ guarantee that these additional terms are themselves convergent.

The conditions (4.34) are indeed satisfied for the choice (4.28) of quadratic form Q and vectors C_1, C'_1, C'_2 relevant for the fifth order mock theta functions, where

$$(4.35) \quad \Delta_{11'2'} = -5^5, \quad \Delta_{1'2'} = \Delta_{11'} = \Delta_{12'} = 5^3, \quad E_{11'} = E_{12'} = -E_{1'2'} = 250.$$

In contrast, the quadratic form and vectors (4.29) relevant for the quantum invariants of torus knots satisfy weaker conditions,

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{11'2'} &= -4(2t+1)^5, \quad \Delta_{11'} = 0, \quad \Delta_{12'} = \Delta_{1'2'} = (2t+1)^3, \\ E_{11'} &= (2t+1)^3(4t+3), \quad E_{12'} = -E_{1'2'} = 2(2t+1)^3, \end{aligned}$$

so $Q_-(x)$ has one null direction, which can be treated similarly as in §4.2. Similarly, the quadratic form and vectors (4.30) relevant for the signature $(2, 1)$ theta series $F(z_1, z_2, z_3; \tau)$ satisfy

$$(4.37) \quad \Delta_{11'2'} = -1, \quad \Delta_{11'} = \Delta_{12'} = 0, \quad \Delta_{1'2'} = 1, \quad E_{11'} = E_{12'} = -E_{1'2'} = 1,$$

so that $Q_-(x)$ has two null directions. Since $B(C'_1, C'_2) = 0$ and $\Delta_{11'} = \Delta_{12'} = 0$, the modular completion of $F(z_1, z_2, z_3; \tau)$ involves only M_1 functions, in agreement with the fact that it can be written in terms of the standard Appell-Lerch sum [12].

More generally, one could consider theta series of the form (4.1) where C_2 is a linear combination of C_1 and C'_1 , although we expect that this can always be reduced to the case $C_2 = C_1$.

5. APPLICATION: GENERALIZED APPELL-LERCH SUMS

In this section, we apply the techniques introduced in Sections 3 and 4 to find the modular completion of the generalized Appell-Lerch sum $\mu_{2,2}(u, v)$, which will be defined in Definition 5.1 and which is based on the A_2 root lattice. The subscript denotes here the signature of the indefinite lattice obtained after expanding the denominators as a geometric series. A specialization of this function appears in the generating function of invariants of moduli spaces of rank 3 vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 as explained in some more detail in the Introduction. We start with a few preliminary facts on theta series associated to the A_2 lattice, which will be useful for the following.

5.1. Preliminaries. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$. Let \mathcal{Q}_r be the quadratic form of the A_r root lattice. For $r = 2$, we choose the basis of the lattice such that $\mathcal{Q}_2(k) = 2k_1^2 + 2k_2^2 + 2k_1k_2$. The corresponding theta functions are known as ‘‘cubic theta functions’’ (see for example [8]), and defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,j}(v, \tau) = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{j}{3}} e^{2\pi i v(k_1 + 2k_2)} q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_2(k)}.$$

They satisfy the quasi-periodicity property³

$$(5.2) \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,j}(v + \lambda\tau + \mu) = q^{-\lambda^2} e^{-4\pi i \lambda v} \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,j}(v).$$

Under the generators S and T of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, they transform as a vector valued modular form

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S: \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,j}\left(\frac{v}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) &= -i\tau e^{2\pi i v^2/\tau} \sum_{\ell \bmod 3} e^{-2\pi i j \ell/3} \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,\ell}(v, \tau), \\ T: \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,j}(v, \tau + 1) &= e^{2\pi i j^2/3} \Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,j}(v, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,0}(v)$ will occur repeatedly in the following, we denote it for brevity by $\Theta(v)$. It is a Jacobi form of weight 1 and index 1 for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(3)$ with multiplier $\left(\frac{d}{3}\right)$ (the Legendre symbol modulo 3) [8]. The zeroes of $\Theta(v)$ are at $v_{\pm} = \pm\left(\frac{1}{2}\tau + \frac{1}{4} + \nu(\tau)\right)$ modulo $\tau\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$, where $\nu(\tau) = -2\pi \int_{\tau}^{i\infty} (t - \tau) F(t) dt$, with $F(\tau)$ the modular form [26]

$$(5.4) \quad F(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\tau)^{12} \eta(3\tau)^6}{\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{\lambda, \mu=0,1} \Theta\left(\frac{\lambda\tau + \mu}{4}, \tau\right)\right)^{3/2}}.$$

5.2. Generalized Appell-Lerch sums. We define the following generalized Appell-Lerch sums of signature $(2, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$.

Definition 5.1. Let $u \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\tau\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z})$ and $v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (v_{\pm} + \tau\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z})$. Then we define $\mu_{2,1}(u, v)$ and $\mu_{2,2}(u, v)$ by

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{2,1}(u, v, \tau) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{e^{\pi i u}}{\Theta(v)} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{2\pi i v(k_1 + 2k_2)} q^{k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_1 k_2 + 2k_1 + k_2}}{1 - e^{2\pi i u} q^{2k_1 + k_2}}, \\ \mu_{2,2}(u, v, \tau) &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{e^{2\pi i u}}{\Theta(v)} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{2\pi i v(k_1 + 2k_2)} q^{k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_1 k_2 + 2k_1 + k_2}}{(1 - e^{2\pi i u} q^{2k_1 + k_2})(1 - e^{2\pi i u} q^{k_2 - k_1})}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we included only two elliptic variables u and v in the definition of $\mu_{2,1}(u, v)$ and $\mu_{2,2}(u, v)$. It is straightforward to refine the functions with one or two more elliptic variables. The functions are specializations of $\mu_{\mathcal{Q}_2, \{m_j\}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ (1.3) up to a constant term. For example, for $\mu_{2,2}(u, v)$, one sets $m_1 = (1, 0)$, $m_2 = (-1, 1)$, $\mathbf{u} = (u, u)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\tau, v)$.

³We will often omit τ from the arguments of a function in the following. For example, $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,j}(v, \tau)$ will be referred to as $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}_2,j}(v)$.

The modular completion of $\mu_{2,1}(u, v)$ is readily determined after expressing $\mu_{2,1}(u, v)$ in terms of the classical Appell-Lerch sum $\mu(u, v)$ (1.1) and Jacobi theta functions. To state the modular completion $\widehat{\mu}_{2,2}(u, v)$ of $\mu_{2,2}(u, v)$ and prove its modular properties in Theorem 5.3, we make the following

Definition 5.2. Let u and v be in the same domain as in Definition 5.1 with $a = \text{Im}(u)/\tau_2$. Then we define $R_{2,2}(u, v)$ by

$$R_{2,2}(u, v, \tau) = R_2(u - v) + 4e^{\pi i u} \mu_{2,1}(u, v) R_{1,0}(u - v) + 4e^{\pi i v} q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\mu_{2,1}(u, v - \tau) - \frac{1}{2} \right) R_{1,1}(u - v),$$

where $\mu_{2,1}(u, v)$ is as in Definition 5.1. Moreover, $R_{1,j}(u)$ with $j = 0, 1$, is defined by

$$(5.6) \quad R_{1,j}(u, \tau) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{j}{2}} \left[\text{sign}(\ell) - E_1(\sqrt{\tau_2}(2\ell - a)) \right] e^{3\pi i \ell u} q^{-3\ell^2},$$

with $E_1(u)$ as in Theorem 2.6, and $R_2(u)$ is defined by

$$(5.7) \quad R_2(u, \tau) = \sum_{k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \text{sign}(k_3) \text{sign}(k_4) - 2 \left[\text{sign}(k_4) - E_1(\sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 - a)) \right] \text{sign}(2k_3 - k_4) - E_2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}; \sqrt{\tau_2}(2k_3 - k_4 - a), \sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 - a)\right) \right\} e^{2\pi i(k_3+k_4)u} q^{-k_3^2 - k_4^2 + k_3 k_4}$$

with $E_2(\alpha, u_1, u_2)$ as in Definition 3.2.

Theorem 5.3. With $\mu_{2,2}(u, v)$ as in (5.5), we define $\widehat{\mu}_{2,2}(u, v)$ by

$$(5.8) \quad \widehat{\mu}_{2,2}(u, v, \tau) = \mu_{2,2}(u, v, \tau) - \frac{1}{4} R_{2,2}(u, v, \tau).$$

Then $\widehat{\mu}_{2,2}(u, v)$ transforms as a two-variable Jacobi form of weight 1 under the modular group $\Gamma_0(3)$ with multiplier $(\frac{d}{3})$, and matrix-valued index $m = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. The theorem is proven by first expressing $\mu_{2,2}(u, v)$ in terms of an indefinite theta function for signature (2, 2) with both C'_1 and C'_2 null as in Section 4.3, and then using the results of Section 4.1 to determine its modular completion. To this end, we start by defining the generalized Appell function⁴

$$(5.9) \quad A_{2,2}(u, v, \tau) = e^{2\pi i u} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{2\pi i v(k_1+2k_2)} q^{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_1 k_2+2k_1+k_2}}{(1 - e^{2\pi i u} q^{2k_1+k_2})(1 - e^{2\pi i u} q^{k_2-k_1})} = \Theta(v) \left(\mu_{2,2}(u, v) - \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

and expand the denominator as a double geometric series,

$$(5.10) \quad A_{2,2}(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4} [\text{sign}(k_3 + \epsilon) + \text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a)] [\text{sign}(k_4 + \epsilon) + \text{sign}(k_2 - k_1 + a)] \times e^{2\pi i(v(k_1+2k_2)+u(k_3+k_4+1))} q^{k_1^2+k_2^2+k_1 k_2+(2k_1+k_2)(k_3+1)+(k_2-k_1)k_4},$$

where $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $a = \text{Im}(u)/\tau_2$ as before. In the notation of Section 2, this corresponds to an indefinite theta series of the form (2.1) for the signature (2, 2) bilinear form $B(x, y)$ with matrix representation

$$(5.11) \quad B = - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

⁴We use the terminology ‘‘generalized Appell function’’ for functions which can be written as a generalized Appell-Lerch function (1.3) multiplied by $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}(v)$.

Indeed, shifting $k_3 \mapsto k_3 - 1$ in (5.10), the second line is recognized as $e^{-2\pi i B(k,z)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k)}$ with $Q(k) = B(k, k)$ and $z = (0, u, v - u, v - u)$. Denoting $b = \text{Im}(v)/\tau_2$, $A_{2,2}(u, v)$ can be rewritten as

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{2,2}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \left[\text{sign}(k_3 + b - a) + \text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) \right] \\ &\times \left[\text{sign}(k_4 + b - a) + \text{sign}(k_2 - k_1 + a) \right] e^{-2\pi i B(k,z)} q^{-Q(k)/2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4} s(k, z, \epsilon) e^{-2\pi i B(k,z)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k)} \end{aligned}$$

with $s(k, z, \epsilon)$ equal to the following combination of signs:

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} s(k, z, \epsilon) &= \left[\text{sign}(k_3 - 1 + \epsilon) - \text{sign}(k_3 + b - a) \right] \text{sign}(k_2 - k_1 + a) \\ &+ \left[\text{sign}(k_4 + \epsilon) - \text{sign}(k_4 + b - a) \right] \text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) \\ &+ \text{sign}(k_3 - 1 + \epsilon) \text{sign}(k_4 + \epsilon) - \text{sign}(k_3 + b - a) \text{sign}(k_4 + b - a). \end{aligned}$$

The first two lines of (5.12) have precisely the form of an indefinite theta function $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_2]$ studied in Section 4, with vanishing characteristic and glue vectors $p = \mu = 0$ and with

$$(5.14) \quad C_1 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

These vectors have norms $Q(C_1) = Q(C_2) = \frac{2}{3}$, $Q(C'_1) = Q(C'_2) = 0$, and satisfy the properties listed in (4.23). Following the discussion of the previous section, the completion of $A_{2,2}(u, v)$ is obtained by appropriately replacing the products of sign functions on the first two lines of (5.12) and subtracting the third line. The replacements are as follows

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{sign}(k_3 + b - a) \text{sign}(k_4 + b - a) &\rightarrow E_2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}; \sqrt{\tau_2}(2k_3 - k_4 + b - a), \sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 + b - a)\right), \\ \text{sign}(k_3 + b - a) \text{sign}(k_2 - k_1 + a) &\rightarrow \text{sign}(k_2 - k_1 + a) E_1(\sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_3 + b - a)), \\ \text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) \text{sign}(k_4 + b - a) &\rightarrow \text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) E_1(\sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 + b - a)). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above prescription, we define the completion of $A_{2,2}(u, v, \tau)$ as

$$(5.16) \quad \widehat{A}_{2,2}(u, v, \tau) = A_{2,2}(u, v) - \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}(u, v, \tau)$$

with

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}(u, v, \tau) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \left\{ \left[\text{sign}(k_3 - 1 + \epsilon) - E_1(\sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_3 + b - a)) \right] \text{sign}(k_2 - k_1 + a) \right. \\ &+ \left[\text{sign}(k_4 + \epsilon) - E_1(\sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 + b - a)) \right] \text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) \\ &+ \text{sign}(k_3 - 1 + \epsilon) \text{sign}(k_4 + \epsilon) \\ &\left. - E_2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}; \sqrt{\tau_2}(2k_3 - k_4 + b - a), \sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 + b - a)\right) \right\} e^{-2\pi i B(k,z)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem will follow if we prove that $\widetilde{R}(u, v) = \Theta(v) (R_{2,2}(u, v) - 1)$ with $R_{2,2}(u, v)$ as in Definition 5.2. To this end, note that the transformation

$$(5.18) \quad k_1 \mapsto -k_1, \quad k_2 \mapsto k_2 + k_1, \quad k_3 \mapsto k_4, \quad k_4 \mapsto k_3,$$

is a symmetry of $B(k, z)$ and $Q(k)$. Using this symmetry, we rewrite (5.17) as

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \left[2 \left[\text{sign}(k_4) - E_1(\sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 + b - a)) \right] \text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) \right. \\ & + \text{sign}(k_3) \text{sign}(k_4) - E_2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}; \sqrt{\tau_2}(2k_3 - k_4 + b - a), \sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 + b - a)\right) \\ & \left. + s(k, (0, a, 0, 0), \epsilon) \right] e^{-2\pi i B(k, z)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

We will now show that the contribution of the third line in (5.19) equals $-\Theta(v)$. We distinguish four cases, depending on whether $k_3 = 0$ or $\neq 0$, $k_4 = 0$ or $\neq 0$. Using the symmetry (5.18), one verifies easily that the contribution for $k_3 = k_4 = 0$ equals

$$(5.20) \quad - \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \\ k_3 = k_4 = 0}} e^{-2\pi i B(k, z)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k)} = -\Theta(v).$$

When $k_3 = 0$ and $k_4 \neq 0$, the contribution is

$$(5.21) \quad - \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \\ k_3 = 0, k_4 \neq 0}} \left[\text{sign}(k_4 + \epsilon) + \text{sign}(k_2 - k_1 + a) \right] e^{-2\pi i B(k, z)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k)},$$

and similarly when $k_3 \neq 0$ and $k_4 = 0$, the contribution is

$$(5.22) \quad \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \\ k_3 \neq 0, k_4 = 0}} \left[\text{sign}(k_3 - 1 + \epsilon) + \text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) \right] e^{-2\pi i B(k, z)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(k)},$$

and when both $k_3, k_4 \neq 0$, the contribution is zero. Using again the symmetry (5.18) one can show that the sum of (5.21) and (5.22) vanishes.

Next we turn to the first line of (5.19) and show that it naturally factors in $\Theta(v)$ and other terms involving $\mu_{2,1}(u, v)$ and $R_{1,j}(u, v)$ defined respectively in Definitions 5.1 and 5.2. We replace $\text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a)$ by $\text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) + \text{sign}(2k_3 - k_4) - \text{sign}(2k_3 - k_4)$. The terms multiplied by $\text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) + \text{sign}(2k_3 - k_4)$ can be resummed to the Appell function $\Theta(v) \mu_{2,1}(u, v)$. To this end, we make the following shifts

$$(5.23) \quad k_1 \mapsto k_1 + \frac{1}{2}k_4, \quad k_2 \mapsto k_2 - k_4, \quad k_3 \mapsto k_3, \quad k_4 \mapsto k_4,$$

such that these terms become

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{\substack{k_2, k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \frac{1}{2}k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left[\text{sign}(2k_1 + k_2 + a) + \text{sign}(2k_3 - k_4) \right] \left[\text{sign}(k_4) - E_1(\sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 + b - a)) \right] \\ & \times e^{2\pi i v(k_1 + 2k_2 - \frac{3}{2}k_4) + 2\pi i u(k_3 + k_4)} q^{k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_1 k_2 + (2k_1 + k_2)(k_3 - \frac{1}{2}k_4) - \frac{3}{2}k_4^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum over k_3 can now be carried out as a geometric sum. Distinguishing between k_4 even or odd, the sum over k_1 and k_2 is proportional to either $\Theta(v) \mu_{2,1}(u, v)$ or $\Theta(v) (\mu_{2,1}(u, v - \tau) - \frac{1}{2})$. Finally, use in the remaining term of the first line in (5.19), i.e. the term multiplying $-\text{sign}(2k_3 - k_4)$, the transformation

$$(5.25) \quad k_1 \mapsto k_1 - k_3 + k_4, \quad k_2 \mapsto k_2 - k_4, \quad k_3 \mapsto k_3, \quad k_4 \mapsto k_4.$$

Then the sum over k_1 and k_2 can be split off as the theta function $\Theta(v)$. We then obtain that the first line in (5.19) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\Theta(v) e^{\pi i u} \mu_{2,1}(u, v) R_{1,0}(u - v) + 4\Theta(v) e^{\pi i v} q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\mu_{2,1}(u, v - \tau) - \frac{1}{2} \right) R_{1,1}(u - v) \\ & - 2\Theta(v) \sum_{k_3, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\text{sign } k_4 - E_1(\sqrt{3\tau_2}(k_4 + b - a)) \right] \text{sign}(2k_3 - k_4) e^{2\pi i(k_3 + k_4)(u - v)} q^{-k_3^2 - k_4^2 + k_3 k_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this result with the second line in (5.19), we arrive at

$$(5.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(u, v) &= 4\Theta(v) e^{\pi i u} \mu_{2,1}(u, v) R_{1,0}(u - v) \\ &+ 4\Theta(v) e^{\pi i v} q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\mu_{2,1}(u, v - \tau) - \frac{1}{2} \right) R_{1,1}(u - v) \\ &+ \Theta(v) R_2(u - v) - \Theta(v) \end{aligned}$$

with $R_2(u)$ defined in (5.7). After dividing by $\Theta(v)$ we arrive at the desired result for $R_{2,2}(u, v)$.

To discuss the modular properties of $\hat{A}_{2,2}(u, v)$ we bring B into a block diagonal form using the transformation (5.25). The two blocks correspond each to a copy of the A_2 root lattice,

$$(5.27) \quad - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to the general results of Section 4, the modular properties of $\hat{A}_{2,2}(u, v)$ are completely determined by this quadratic form and $\hat{\Phi}_2$. In particular, $\hat{A}_{2,2}(u, v)$ has weight 2 and transforms under the same congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(3)$ as $\Theta(v)$, but with multiplier $\left(\frac{d}{3}\right)^2 = 1$. Therefore, for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(3)$ we have the following transformation for $\hat{A}_{2,2}(u, v)$

$$(5.28) \quad \hat{A}_{2,2} \left(\frac{u}{c\tau + d}, \frac{v}{c\tau + d}, \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = (c\tau + d)^2 e^{-\pi i \frac{cQ(z)}{c\tau + d}} \hat{A}_{2,2}(u, v, \tau),$$

where $z = (0, u, v - u, v - u)$ as before. As a result, we obtain that $\hat{\mu}_{2,2}(u, v)$ transforms as a two-variable Jacobi form of weight 1 under $\Gamma_0(3)$ with multiplier $\left(\frac{d}{3}\right)$. The two-variable index follows from $-v^2 - \frac{1}{2}Q(z) = -(u - v)^2$, where $-v^2$ arises due to the division by $\Theta(v)$. The matrix-valued index is therefore equal to $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. □

6. EXTENSION TO SIGNATURE (n_+, n_-) WITH $n_+ > 2$

6.1. General procedure. The generalization of our construction to signature (n_+, n_-) with $n_+ > 2$ is conceptually straightforward. In analogy to (2.19) and (3.44), for any set of linearly independent vectors C_1, \dots, C_{n_+} spanning a time-like n_+ -dimensional plane in \mathbb{R}^{n_+, n_-} , we define the generalized error function $E_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x)$ on \mathbb{R}^{n_+, n_-} by

$$(6.1) \quad E_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x) = \int_{\langle C_1, \dots, C_{n_+} \rangle} d^{n_+} y \operatorname{sign} B(C_1, y) \cdots \operatorname{sign} B(C_{n_+}, y) e^{-\pi Q(y - x_+)},$$

where $d^{n_+} y$ is the uniform measure on the plane $\langle C_1, \dots, C_{n_+} \rangle$ which is normalized such that $\int_{\langle C_1, \dots, C_{n_+} \rangle} d^{n_+} y e^{-\pi Q(y)} = 1$, and x_+ is the orthogonal projection of x on the same plane. It is easy to see⁵ that (6.1) is a C^∞ solution of Vignéras' equation on \mathbb{R}^{n_+, n_-} , which asymptotes to the locally constant function $\prod_{i=1}^{n_+} \operatorname{sign} B(C_i, x)$ with exponential accuracy when all $|B(C_i, x)| \rightarrow \infty$. More generally, in the asymptotic region where $B(C_{n_1}, x), \dots, B(C_{n_r}, x)$ are kept finite while $|B(C_j, x)| \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $j \neq \{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$, $E_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x)$ behaves as

$$(6.2) \quad E_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x) \sim E_r(\{C_{n_1}, \dots, C_{n_r}\}; x) \prod_{j \neq \{n_1, \dots, n_r\}} \operatorname{sign} B(C_j, x),$$

where E_r is a generalized error function of lower order.

⁵More generally, substituting the product of signs in (6.1) by a locally polynomial function of y , homogeneous of degree m , would lead to a C^∞ solution of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = m$.

Similarly, in analogy with (2.16) and (3.50), we define the complementary error function $M_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x)$ by

$$(6.3) \quad M_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x) = \left(\frac{i}{\pi}\right)^{n_+} \int_{\langle C_1, \dots, C_{n_+} \rangle - ix} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(\{C_i^*\})} d^{n_+}z}{B(C_1^*, z) \cdots B(C_{n_+}^*, z)} e^{-\pi Q(z) - 2\pi i B(z, x)},$$

where C_i^* is the dual basis to C_i in the plane $\langle C_1, \dots, C_{n_+} \rangle$ and $d^{n_+}z$ is the uniform measure on the same plane, normalized as indicated below (6.1). It is easy to see⁶ that $M_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x)$ is a solution of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$, C^∞ away from the hyperplanes $B(C_i^*, x) = 0$, exponentially suppressed away from the origin.

By Fourier transforming (6.1) over y using the results of [13] and deforming the contour of integration, one can in principle relate $E_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x)$ to $M_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x)$. While we have not carried out this computation in detail, evidence from the $n_+ = 1, 2$ cases discussed above and $n_+ = 3$ discussed below suggests that

$$(6.4) \quad E_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x) = M_{n_+}(\{C_i\}; x) + \cdots + \prod_{i=1}^{n_+} \text{sign } B(C_i, x),$$

where the dots denote linear combinations of M_r , $1 \leq r < n_+$ with locally constant coefficients. This decomposition generalizes (3.53) to $n_+ > 2$.

Now we can use the functions E_{n_+} to construct modular completions of indefinite theta series of signature (n_+, n_-) as follows: for suitable choices of n_+ -tuples $\{C_i\}$ and $\{C'_i\}$, which we shall not attempt to characterize here, the locally constant function

$$(6.5) \quad \Phi_{n_+}(x) = \frac{1}{2^{n_+}} \prod_{i=1}^{n_+} [\text{sign } B(C_i, x) - \text{sign } B(C'_i, x)]$$

defines a convergent holomorphic theta series $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_{n_+}, 0]$, whose modular completion is obtained by expanding out the product in (6.5) and replacing each product of n_+ signs by the corresponding E_{n_+} function.

6.2. Triple error functions. To illustrate this procedure, we now construct the special functions E_3 and M_3 in some detail. In a basis where the quadratic form $Q = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - \sum_{i=4 \dots n} x_i^2$ is diagonal, any three unit vectors C_1, C_2, C_3 spanning a time-like three-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{3, n-3}$ can be rotated via a $O(3, n-3)$ transformation into

$$(6.6) \quad C_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_2^2(1+\alpha_1^2)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha_1\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_3^2(1+\alpha_2^2)}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_3 \\ 1 \\ \alpha_2\alpha_3 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_1^2(1+\alpha_3^2)}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1\alpha_3 \\ \alpha_1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 \neq 1$. Their normalized dual basis is then

$$(6.7) \quad C_1^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_3^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\alpha_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_2^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_1^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -\alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_3^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_2^2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

so that C_1^* points along the orthogonal projection of C_1 on the plane orthogonal to C_2 and C_3 . For this choice of vectors, setting $u_i = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, the definitions (6.1) and (6.3) specialize

⁶More generally, substituting the product of $1/B(C_i^*, z)$ in (6.3) by a rational function of z , homogeneous of degree $-m$, would lead to a solution of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = m - n_+$.

to

(6.8)

$$E_3(\alpha_i; u_i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 e^{-\pi[(u_1-y_1)^2+(u_2-y_2)^2+(u_3-y_3)^2]} \\ \times \text{sign}(y_1 + \alpha_1\alpha_2y_2 + \alpha_2y_3) \text{sign}(y_2 + \alpha_2\alpha_3y_3 + \alpha_3y_1) \text{sign}(y_3 + \alpha_3\alpha_1y_1 + \alpha_1y_2)$$

and

(6.9)

$$M_3(\alpha_i; u_i) = \left(\frac{i}{\pi}\right)^3 \int \frac{|1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3| dz_1 dz_2 dz_3}{(z_1 - \alpha_3z_2)(z_2 - \alpha_1z_3)(z_3 - \alpha_2z_1)} e^{-\pi(z_1^2+z_2^2+z_3^2)-2\pi i(u_1z_1+u_2z_2+u_3z_3)},$$

where z_i is integrated over $\mathbb{R} - iu_i$. $E_3(\alpha_i; u_i)$ is a C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^3 , while $M_3(\alpha_i; u_i)$ is a C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^3 away from the loci $u_1 = \alpha_3u_2$, $u_2 = \alpha_1u_3$, $u_3 = \alpha_2u_1$. When $\alpha_i = 0$, so that the three vectors C_i form an orthogonal basis, E_3 and M_3 factorize into the product of three error functions,

$$(6.10) \quad E_3(0, 0, 0; u_i) = E_1(u_1) E_1(u_2) E_1(u_3), \quad M_3(0, 0, 0; u_i) = M_1(u_1) M_1(u_2) M_1(u_3).$$

Similarly, when $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$ so that C_1 is orthogonal to C_2 and C_3 , E_3 and M_3 reduce to products of error and double error functions,

$$(6.11) \quad E_3(\alpha_1, 0, 0; u_i) = E_1(u_1) E_2(\alpha_1; u_3, u_2), \quad M_3(\alpha_1, 0, 0; u_i) = M_1(u_1) M_2(\alpha_1; u_3, u_2).$$

For arbitrary values of the α_i 's, E_3 and M_3 are easily checked to be solutions of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^3 and $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(u_1 - \alpha_3u_2)(u_2 - \alpha_1u_3)(u_3 - \alpha_2u_1) = 0\}$, respectively. For $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ along a fixed ray,

(6.12)

$$E_3(\alpha_i; u_i) \sim \text{sign}(u_1 + \alpha_1\alpha_2u_2 + \alpha_2u_3) \text{sign}(u_2 + \alpha_2\alpha_3u_3 + \alpha_3u_1) \text{sign}(u_3 + \alpha_3\alpha_1u_1 + \alpha_1u_2), \\ M_3(\alpha_i; u_i) \sim - \frac{|1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3| e^{-\pi(u_1^2+u_2^2+u_3^2)}}{\pi^3 (u_1 - \alpha_3u_2) (u_2 - \alpha_1u_3) (u_3 - \alpha_2u_1)}.$$

Across the locus $u_1 = \alpha_3u_2$, the function $M_3(\alpha_i; u_i)$ is discontinuous, and behaves as

(6.13)

$$M_3(\alpha_i; u_i) \sim - \text{sign}(u_1 - \alpha_3u_2) M_2\left(\frac{\alpha_1(1+\alpha_3^2)+\alpha_2\alpha_3}{(1-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)\sqrt{1+\alpha_3^2}}, \frac{\alpha_3u_1+u_2-\alpha_1(1+\alpha_3^2)u_3}{\sqrt{(1+\alpha_3^2)[1+\alpha_1^2(1+\alpha_3^2)]}}, \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_3u_1+\alpha_1u_2+u_3}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_1^2(1+\alpha_3^2)}}\right).$$

The behavior across across the loci $u_2 = \alpha_1u_3$ and $u_3 = \alpha_2u_1$ is obtained by circular permutations. By acting with the operator $\frac{i}{4} u_i \partial_{u_i}$ on (6.8) and using (3.29), one finds that the shadow of E_3 is given by

$$(6.14) \quad \frac{i}{4} u_i \partial_{u_i} E_3(\alpha_i; u_i) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{u_1+\alpha_2(\alpha_1u_2+u_3)}{\sqrt{1+(1+\alpha_1^2)\alpha_2^2}} e^{-\frac{\pi[u_1+\alpha_2(\alpha_1u_2+u_3)]^2}{1+(1+\alpha_1^2)\alpha_2^2}} \\ \times E_3\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\sqrt{1+(1+\alpha_1^2)\alpha_2^2}}, \frac{u_3-\alpha_2u_1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha_2^2}}, \frac{(1+\alpha_2^2)u_2-\alpha_1\alpha_2(u_1+\alpha_2u_3)}{\sqrt{(1+\alpha_2^2)(1+(1+\alpha_1^2)\alpha_2^2)}}\right) + \text{circ.}$$

The shadow of M_3 is similarly given by (6.14) with E_2 replaced by M_2 .

More generally, for any triplet of vectors C_i spanning a time-like three-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{3,n-3}$, the functions $E_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x)$ and $M_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x)$ are equal to $E_3(\alpha_i; u_i(x))$ and $M_3(\alpha_i; u_i(x))$ where α_i are determined from the conditions

$$(6.15) \quad \frac{B(C_i^*, C_j^*)}{\sqrt{Q(C_i^*)Q(C_j^*)}} = - \frac{\alpha_k}{\sqrt{(1+\alpha_i^2)(1+\alpha_k^2)}}$$

for (ijk) a circular permutation of (123), and the linear forms $u_i(x)$ are given by

$$(6.16) \quad u_i(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} \left[\sqrt{1 + \alpha_j^2(1 + \alpha_i^2)} \frac{(C_i, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_i)}} - \alpha_j \sqrt{1 + \alpha_i^2(1 + \alpha_k^2)} \frac{(C_k, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_k)}} \right].$$

Indeed, these definitions are invariant under $O(3, n-3)$, and in the special case where the C_i 's are chosen as in (6.6), they reduce to (6.8), (6.9).

By construction, $E_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x)$ is a C^∞ solution of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3, n-3}$ which asymptotes to $\text{sign}(C_1, x) \text{sign}(C_2, x) \text{sign}(C_3, x)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, while $M_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x)$ is a C^∞ solution of Vignéras' equation with $\lambda = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3, n-3} \setminus \{B(C_1^*, x)B(C_2^*, x)B(C_3^*, x) = 0\}$, exponentially suppressed as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. The shadow (6.14) of E_3 becomes

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{i}{4} x \partial_x E_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{B(C_1, x)}{\sqrt{Q(C_1)}} e^{-\pi \frac{B(C_1, x)^2}{Q(C_1)}} E_2(C_{2\perp 1}, C_{3\perp 1}; x) + \text{circ.},$$

while (6.13) translates into $M_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x) \sim -\text{sign} B(C_1^*, x) M_2(C_2, C_3; x)$ near the locus $B(C_1^*, x) = 0$. We claim that the following precise version of (6.4) holds,

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{aligned} E_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x) &= M_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x) + \text{sign} B(C_1^*, x) M_2(C_2, C_3; x) + \text{sign} B(C_2^*, x) M_2(C_3, C_1; x) \\ &+ \text{sign} B(C_3^*, x) M_2(C_1, C_2; x) + \text{sign} B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) \text{sign} B(C_{3\perp 1}, x) M_1(C_1; x) \\ &+ \text{sign} B(C_{3\perp 2}, x) \text{sign} B(C_{1\perp 2}, x) M_1(C_2; x) + \text{sign} B(C_{1\perp 3}, x) \text{sign} B(C_{2\perp 3}, x) M_1(C_3; x) \\ &+ \text{sign} B(C_1, x) \text{sign} B(C_2, x) \text{sign} B(C_3, x). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the right-hand side of (6.18) is smooth across the loci $B(C_1^*, x) = 0$, $B(C_2^*, x) = 0$, $B(C_3^*, x) = 0$, and has the same shadow and asymptotics at large $|u|$ as $E_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x)$. Thus, these two functions must coincide. Moreover, in the asymptotic region where $|B(C_3, x)| \rightarrow \infty$ keeping $B(C_1, x)$ and $B(C_2, x)$ finite, one has $B(C_{3\perp 1}, x) \sim B(C_{3\perp 2}, x) \sim B(C_3^*, x) \sim B(C_3, x)$, so (6.18) reduces to

$$(6.19) \quad E_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x) \sim \text{sign} B(C_3, x) E_2(C_1, C_2; x),$$

in agreement with (6.2). Similarly, in the region where both $|B(C_2, x)|, |B(C_3, x)| \rightarrow \infty$ keeping $B(C_1, x)$ finite, one has $B(C_{2\perp 1}, x) \sim B(C_2, x)$, $B(C_{3\perp 1}, x) \sim B(C_3, x)$ so (6.18) reduces to

$$(6.20) \quad E_3(C_1, C_2, C_3; x) \sim \text{sign} B(C_2, x) \text{sign} B(C_3, x) E_1(C_1; x),$$

again in agreement with (6.2).

Following the same strategy as in Section 4, the triple error functions E_3 and M_3 can be used to find the modular completion of any indefinite theta series of the form $\vartheta_\mu[\Phi_3, 0]$ with $\Phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{8} \prod_{i=1,2,3} [\text{sign} B(C_i, x) - \text{sign} B(C'_i, x)]$. We leave the detailed study of such theta series for future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Alexandrov. Twistor Approach to String Compactifications: a Review. *Phys.Rept.*, 522:1–57, 2013, arXiv:1111.2892.
- [2] S. Alexandrov, S. Banerjee, J. Manschot, and B. Pioline. Multiple D3-instantons and Mock Modular Forms II. To appear.
- [3] S. Alexandrov, S. Banerjee, J. Manschot, and B. Pioline. Multiple D3-instantons and mock modular forms I. 2016, arXiv:1605.05945.
- [4] S. Alexandrov, J. Manschot, D. Persson, and B. Pioline. Quantum hypermultiplet moduli spaces in N=2 string vacua: a review. In *Proceedings, String-Math 2012, Bonn, Germany, July 16-21, 2012*, pages 181–212, 2013, arXiv:1304.0766.
- [5] S. Alexandrov, J. Manschot, and B. Pioline. D3-instantons, Mock Theta Series and Twistors. *JHEP*, 1304:002, 2013, arXiv:1207.1109.
- [6] P. E. Appell. Sur les fonctions doublement périodique de troisième espèce. *Annales scientifiques de l'E.N.S.*, pages 9–42, 1886.
- [7] R. E. Borcherds. Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians. *Invent. Math.*, 132(3):491–562, 1998.
- [8] J. M. Borwein, P. B. Borwein, and F. G. Garvan. Some cubic identities of Ramanujan. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 343:35–47, 1994.
- [9] K. Bringmann and J. Lovejoy. Overpartitions and class numbers of binary quadratic forms. *ArXiv e-prints*, Dec. 2007, arXiv:0712.0631.

- [10] K. Bringmann and J. Manschot. From sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 to a generalization of the Rademacher expansion. *A. J. of Math.*, 135:1039–1065, 2013, arXiv:1006.0915.
- [11] K. Bringmann, J. Manschot, and L. Rolén. Identities for generalized Appell functions and the blow-up formula. *ArXiv e-prints*, 2015, arXiv:1510.00630.
- [12] K. Bringmann, L. Rolén, and S. Zwegers. On the modularity of certain functions from the Gromov-Witten theory of elliptic orbifolds. *Royal Society Open Science*, 2(15):150310, Nov. 2015, arXiv:1506.07833.
- [13] M. Brion and M. Vergne. Arrangement of hyperplanes. I: Rational functions and Jeffrey-Kirwan residue. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 32(5):715–741, 1999.
- [14] A. Dabholkar, S. Murthy, and D. Zagier. Quantum Black Holes, Wall Crossing, and Mock Modular Forms. 2012, arXiv:1208.4074.
- [15] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.0.10 of 2015-08-07. Online companion to [28].
- [16] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina. On the Unitary Representations of $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ Superconformal Algebras. *Phys. Lett.*, B210:125–132, 1988.
- [17] M. Eichler and D. Zagier. *The theory of Jacobi forms*, volume 55 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [18] L. Göttsche. Theta functions and Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of sheaves on rational surfaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 206:105, 1999.
- [19] L. Göttsche and D. Zagier. Jacobi forms and the structure of Donaldson invariants for 4-manifolds with $b_+ = 1$. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 4(1):69–115, 1998.
- [20] E. Hecke. Über einen neuen Zusammenhang zwischen elliptischen Modulfunktionen und indefiniten quadratischen Formen. *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl.*, 1925:35–44, 1925.
- [21] K. Hikami and J. Lovejoy. Torus knots and quantum modular forms. *Res. Math. Sci.* 2:15, 2015, arXiv:1409.6243.
- [22] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto. Integrable Highest Weight Modules over Affine Superalgebras and Appell’s Function. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 215:631–682, 2001, arXiv:math-ph/0006007.
- [23] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto. Representations of affine superalgebras and mock theta functions. *ArXiv e-prints*, Aug. 2013, arXiv:1308.1261.
- [24] M. Lerch. Bemerkungen zur Theorie der elliptischen Funktionen. *Jahrbuch über die Fortschritte der Mathematik*, 24:442–445, 1892.
- [25] J. Manschot, The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 3 on \mathbb{P}^2 , *Lett. Math. Phys.* 98:65, 2011, arXiv:1009.1775.
- [26] J. Manschot. Sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 and generalized Appell functions. 2014, arXiv:1407.7785.
- [27] E. T. Mortenson, A double-sum Kronecker type identity. 2016, arXiv:1601.01913.
- [28] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, editors. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2010. Print companion to [15].
- [29] A. Polishchuk. M. P. Appell’s function and vector bundles of rank 2 on elliptic curve. *The Ramanujan Journal*, 5:111–128, 2001, arXiv:math/9810084.
- [30] To appear.
- [31] Y. Toda. Generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants on the local projective plane. 2014, arXiv:1405.3366.
- [32] C. Vafa and E. Witten. A Strong coupling test of S duality. *Nucl.Phys.*, B431:3–77, 1994, arXiv:hep-th/9408074.
- [33] M.-F. Vignéras. Séries thêta des formes quadratiques indéfinies. *Springer Lecture Notes*, 627:227 – 239, 1977.
- [34] M. Westerholt-Raum. H-Harmonic Maass-Jacobi Forms of Degree 1: The Analytic Theory of Some Indefinite Theta Series. *Res. Math. Sciences*, 2.12, 2015, arXiv:1207.5603.
- [35] K. Yoshioka. The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on \mathbb{P}^2 . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 453:193–220, 1994.
- [36] D. Zagier. Nombres de classes et formes modulaires de poids $3/2$. *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, 281:883–886, 1975.
- [37] D. Zagier. Ramanujan’s mock theta functions and their applications (after Zwegers and Ono-Bringmann). *Astérisque*, (326):Exp. No. 986, vii–viii, 143–164 (2010), 2009. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008.
- [38] S. Zwegers. Mock theta functions. PhD dissertation, Utrecht University, 2002.
- [39] S. Zwegers. On two fifth order mock theta functions. *Ramanujan J.* 20 no. 2, 207214, 2009.
- [40] S. Zwegers. Multivariable Appell functions. *preprint*, 2010.

LABORATOIRE CHARLES COULOMB (L2C), UMR 5221 CNRS-UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTPELLIER, F-34095, MONTPELLIER, FRANCE

E-mail address: salexand@univ-montp2.fr

IPHT, CEA, SACLAY, GIF-SUR-YVETTE, F-91191, FRANCE

E-mail address: sibasish.banerjee@cea.fr

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE, DUBLIN 2, IRELAND

E-mail address: manschot@maths.tcd.ie

CERN, TH DEPARTMENT, CASE C01600, CERN, CH-1211 GENEVA 23, SWITZERLAND

SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉS, UPMC UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 06, UMR 7589, LP THE, F-75005, PARIS, FRANCE

CNRS, UMR 7589, LP THE, 4 PLACE JUSSIEU, F-75005, PARIS, FRANCE

E-mail address: boris.pioline@cern.ch, pioline@lpthe.jussieu.fr