# HIGH-ACCURACY DIAGNOSTIC TOOL FOR ELECTRON CLOUD OBSERVATION IN THE LHC BASED ON SYNCHRONOUS PHASE MEASUREMENTS

J. F. Esteban Müller<sup>\*</sup>, P. Baudrenghien, E. Shaposhnikova, D. Valuch, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland T. Mastoridis, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, USA

#### Abstract

Electron cloud effects such as heat load in the cryogenic system, pressure rise and beam instabilities are among the main limitations for the LHC operation with 25 ns spaced bunches. A new observation tool was developed to monitor the e-cloud activity and has been successfully used in the LHC during Run 1 (2010-2012). The power loss of each bunch due to the e-cloud can be estimated using very precise bunch-by-bunch measurement of the synchronous phase shift. In order to achieve the required accuracy, corrections for reflection in the cables and some systematic errors need to be applied followed by a post-processing of the measurements. Results clearly show the e-cloud build-up along the bunch trains and its evolution during each LHC fill as well as from fill to fill. Measurements during the 2012 LHC scrubbing run reveal a progressive reduction in the e-cloud activity and therefore a decrease in the secondary electron yield (SEY). The total beam power loss can be computed as a sum of the contributions from all bunches and compared with the heat load deposited in the cryogenic system. The plan to use this method in the LHC operation is also presented.

## **INTRODUCTION**

At the beginning of the LHC Run 1, in 2011, e-cloud effects were limiting the LHC operation with beams with 50 ns bunch spacing, leading to an excessive heat load in the cryogenic system, a degradation of the vacuum, transverse instabilities, emittance growth, and particle losses [1–3]. Scrubbing with beam was proven to be effective for the SEY reduction, although requiring a long time.

The e-cloud is currently considered to be the main limitation for operation of the LHC with beams with 25 ns bunch spacing and a further decrease of the SEY is needed. Future LHC operation relies on efficient scrubbing of the beam pipe surface and observation tools are required for optimization of the scrubbing time [4].

In this paper we present a new method for e-cloud observation that uses synchronous phase measurements. The main advantage in respect to the available observations is that it shows the bunch-by-bunch structure of the e-cloud build-up in real time and with sufficient accuracy. A diagnostics tool based on this method will be available soon in the LHC control room and will provide useful information for optimization of the scrubbing process. In addition, the SEY can be also estimated by comparing the synchronous phase measurements with particle simulations [5].

### SYNCHRONOUS PHASE SHIFT

E-cloud build-up can be observed as an increasing bunchby-bunch power loss along the bunch trains. The bunch energy loss per turn U is compensated by the Radio frequency (RF) system and can expressed by a synchronous phase shift,  $\Delta \varphi_s$ :

$$U = N \, e \, V \, \sin(\Delta \varphi_s), \tag{1}$$

where N is the bunch intensity and V the RF voltage. A negative phase shift indicates an energy loss.

The synchronous phase was measured using the beam Phase Module (PM) from the LHC Low-level RF [6] as the bunch-by-bunch phase shift between the beam and the vector sum of the voltage in the 8 RF cavities, shown in Fig. 1 (blue circles). In this way, the effect of the beam loading is excluded.



Figure 1: Raw phase shift along the bunch train averaged over 73 acquisitions (blue circles), then after corrections for systematic errors (green squares), and after post-processing (red diamonds). Measurements taken during the 2012 scrubbing run (25 ns spaced bunches).

Bunch positions measured by the Beam Quality Monitor (BQM) [7] were also considered to extract the synchronous phase shift, but this method was finally rejected due to the lower accuracy and the fact that it includes the phase shift due to beam loading.

In general, the synchronous phase shift is defined also by the contributions to the energy loss due to synchrotron radiation and resistive impedance. The former is the same for

06 Instrumentation, Controls, Feedback & Operational Aspects

<sup>\*</sup> juan.fem@cern.ch

**Experimental Results** 

during the acceleration.

100

200

25

20

15

10

5

0∟ -100

Heat load [kW]

all bunches. The energy loss due to the resistive impedance depends on bunch length and distribution, but the energy loss difference from bunch to bunch is in general small compared to the one caused by e-cloud [8]. These contributions to the bunch energy loss are taken into account by using as a phase reference the average phase of the first bunch train, which is shorter (12 bunches for beams with 25 ns spaced bunches) and has the Abort Gap in front (3  $\mu$ s without beam); thus, it is practically not affected by the e-cloud.

Despite the very high accuracy of the PM (around 1 deg at 400 MHz), the raw data is quite noisy (see Fig. 1). Two corrections were applied to the measurements to minimize systematic errors together with a post-processing which improves the precision and are presented below.

#### Measurement Corrections

Reflections in the cables affect subsequent bunch phase measurements after a bunch arrival. It is important to remove these perturbations. The transfer function of the cable was measured with a single bunch and then applied for correction of the multi-bunch data.

Another correction is necessary to minimize a systematic error that is determined as the average value of the noise signal measured in the empty buckets (assuming white noise). The standard deviation of this noise can be used to estimate the remaining error of the phase shift measurements. For a bunch intensity of  $1.1 \times 10^{11}$ , the noise amplitude after corrections is ~ 1/700 of the bunch amplitude signal. In the worst case, which can happen when the angle between the noise and the bunch signal is 90 deg, it would lead to a phase error of ±0.08 deg. The phase shift after these corrections can be observed in Fig. 1 (green squares).

## Data Post-Processing

Bunch amplitude and phase, phase shift, as well as cavity voltage amplitude  $V_{RF}$  are acquired for each bucket over the whole ring 73 times at an adjustable rate (memory limit of the PM), which is usually set to 5 turns (0.45 ms). A measurement therefore covers 33 ms, almost 2 synchrotron periods  $T_s$  at 450 GeV ( $T_s = 18$  ms for  $V_{RF} = 6$  MV).

First the variation of the phase in the 73 acquisitions for each bunch is checked. If it is smaller than  $\pm 1$  deg, we can assume that the bunch is not oscillating and the value of the phase shift can be calculated as the average of the 73 acquisitions. In this case, the maximum error would be  $\pm 1 \text{ deg}/\sqrt{73} = \pm 0.12 \text{ deg}$ , which is acceptable compared to the typical phase shift due to e-cloud (in the order of 1 deg). If the variation of the bunch phase is larger than  $\pm 1$  deg, the phase shift is extracted from a sine-wave fit of the dipole synchrotron oscillations.

Then, as the synchronous phase is changing slowly compared to the time between measurements (usually  $\sim 10-15$  s), the phase variation of each bunch is smoothed by applying a local linear regression with a moving window of 10 measurements. The resulting phase shift after corrections and post-processing is cleaner, as shown in Fig. 1 (red diamonds).

#### ISBN 978-3-95450-132-8

06 Instrumentation, Controls, Feedback & Operational Aspects

T03 Beam Diagnostics and Instrumentation

ပီ **3678** 

Figure 2: Total beam power loss found from the phase shift (black line) and the heat load measured by the cryogenic system (green line) [9, 10], for a fill with 25 ns beams accelerated to 4 TeV in December 2012. An estimation to the cryogenic heat load (magenta line) was calculated from the phase shift measurements as described in the text (scale factor 0.76 to fit the part at 4 TeV).

E-cloud build-up can be observed in Fig. 1 as a difference

of about 1 deg between the first and the last bunch of the

train for beams with 25 ns spaced bunches during the 2012

scrubbing run. A similar effect could be seen for beams

with 50 ns spaced bunches in 2011 before the scrubbing run,

but no visible e-cloud effect was observed with these beams

bunches, allows the time evolution of the e-cloud density in

the ring during the cycle to be seen. An example of the total

beam power loss during a fill with beams with 25 ns spaced

bunches is shown in Fig. 2 (black line). Note that the heat

load (HL) increases after each bunch train injection and then

Int. B1

Int. B2

HL from phase shift

HL from cryogenics

400

500

600

repr. cryo HL

300

Time [min]

1.0

0.8

0 7 9.0 10<sup>14</sup> 10<sup>14</sup>

0 2

\_\_\_0.0 700 d

The total beam power loss, found as the sum over all

after the surface conditioning of 2011.

The maximum of the average power loss per particle gives some idea of the maximum e-cloud activity during one fill. A comparison of different fills during the 2012 scrubbing run is shown in Fig. 3 and the reduction of this parameter is a clear indicator of the SEY reduction (scrubbing).

# COMPARISON WITH OTHER OBSERVATIONS

#### Cryogenic Heat Load Measurements

The energy lost by the beam due to electron cloud is transferred to the electrons and it is finally deposited in the beam screens, where it has to be absorbed by the cryogenic system. Therefore, the beam power loss calculated from the phase shift can be compared with the heat load measured by the cryogenic system [9, 10]. However, the cryogenic system sees the heat load only in the superconducting magnets in the arcs. As the cryostat is shared by the two beam chambers, the contributions of both beams are added. Additionally, the cryogenic system has a slow time response ( $\sim 5 \text{ min}$ ) due to its large thermal inertia. Note also that the calculated heat



Figure 3: Evolution of the maximum power loss per particle at 450 GeV during the scrubbing run (25 ns beams) in 2012 for Beam 1 (blue circles) and for Beam 2 (red squares). The dashed lines represent the scrubbing level achieved in 2011 for both beams. Error-bars are calculated from the noise of the bunch-by-bunch phase measurements.

load due to image currents and synchrotron radiation should be subtracted from the total heat load to obtain the effect of e-cloud.

The heat load measurement can be reproduced from the measured phase shift by applying a moving average filter with a window of 5 min to the beam power loss, similar to the cryogenic system, and then applying a scale factor. An example of the estimation of the cryogenic heat load from the phase shift for a fill at 450 GeV is shown in Fig. 2. The scale factor giving the best agreement with the cryogenic heat load measurements found for several fills is ~ 0.79 at 4 TeV and ~ 0.7 at 450 GeV. This means that the dependence of the heat load on beam energy is stronger in the arcs than in the straight sections.

#### Simulations

The bunch-by-bunch power loss due to e-cloud has been calculated [5] from simulations performed with the code PyECLOUD. The details of the e-cloud build-up can be reproduced in a very good agreement with phase shift observations using the measured beam parameters as filling pattern, bunch lengths and intensities.

## LHC OPERATION

A new diagnostic tool using the measured phase shift is planned to be implemented in the LHC control room for operational purposes. A practical application will be the monitoring of the e-cloud activity during the scrubbing run, with special importance for taking the decision on when to dump the beam and inject again (for time optimization). The decision would be based on the e-cloud activity seen in the bunch-by-bunch power loss.

Another possibility is to use this tool as a feedback signal for the cryogenic system. As it was mentioned above, the instantaneous heat load deposited in the cryogenic system can be seen much faster than the cryogenic heat load measurement so that necessary changes in the cryogenics can be anticipated. To improve the accuracy of the predictions, a calibration of the scale factor for the heat load estimation can be done regularly using the cryogenics measurement.

# CONCLUSIONS

Bunch-by-bunch synchronous phase shift measurements have been proven to be a good diagnostic tool for the e-cloud effect. This novel method can be used to observe the e-cloud build-up along the bunch trains and to calculate the total beam power loss. Measurements of the heat load in the cryogenic system are well reproduced, although a scale factor that depends on the beam energy needs to be applied. Phase shift measurements have a very good agreement with simulations of the e-cloud build-up [5]. The use of this method in operation could ease the scrubbing run optimization and can be also used as an additional input for the cryogenic system feedback.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank L. Tavian for providing measurements of the heat load in the cryogenic system. We are grateful to G. Rumolo and G. Iadarola for discussions and comparison of our measurements with simulations. Thanks to T. Bohl for his valuable advice. We would also like to thank the LHC OP team for their assistance during the measurements.

# REFERENCES

- F. Zimmermann, "Review of single bunch instabilities driven by an electron cloud," Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 7, 124801 (2004).
- [2] G. Rumolo *et al.*, "Electron cloud observations in LHC," IPAC'11, THOBA01, San Sebastian, Spain (2011).
- [3] Proceedings of ECLOUD'12, Isola d'Elba, Italy (2012).
- [4] G. Iadarola *et al.*, "Electron cloud and scrubbing studies for the LHC," IPAC'13, TUPFI002, Shanghai, China (2012).
- [5] G. Iadarola and G. Rumolo, "Electron cloud simulations with PyECLOUD," ICAP2012, WESAI4, Rostock-Warnemünde, Germany (2012).
- [6] D. Valuch and P. Baudrenghien, "Beam phase measurement and transverse position measurement module for the LHC," LLRF07 Workshop, Knoxville TN, USA (2007).
- [7] G. Papotti *et al.*, "Longitudinal Beam Measurements at the LHC: The LHC Beam Quality Monitor," IPAC'11, TUPZ022, San Sebastian, Spain (2011).
- [8] J. F. Esteban Müller and E. Shaposhnikova, "Synchronous phase measurements in the LHC for electron cloud observations," CERN-ACC-NOTE-2013-0007 PERF.
- [9] K. Brodzinski and L. Tavian, "First measurements of beaminduced heating on the LHC cryogenic system," ICEC 24 -ICMC 2012, Fukoaka, Japan (2012).
- [10] L. Tavian, "Performance limitations of the LHC cryogenics: 2012 review and 2015 outlook," LHC Beam Operation workshop, Evian, France (2012).

# **T03 Beam Diagnostics and Instrumentation**