### Radiative decays at LHCb

Jean-François Marchand (on behalf of the LHCb experiement)

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules

CKM 2014 Vienna, Austria 8-12 September 2014





### Introduction

- Radiative decays  $b \rightarrow s \gamma$  proceed through FCNC
- These electromagnetic penguin loops are sensitive to new physics, via new particles in the loop



• In the SM the quark level  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  vertex is given as (without QCD correction):

$$\bar{s}\Gamma^{b\to s\gamma}_{\mu}b = \frac{e}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{g^2}{2M_W^2} V_{ts}^* V_{tb} F_2 \bar{s} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} \left( \underbrace{m_b \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}}_{\text{Describes}} + \underbrace{m_s \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}}_{\text{Describes}} \right) b$$

• Maximal parity violation up to small corrections  $\propto \frac{m_s}{m_h} \approx 0.02$ 

- Emitted photon predominantly left-handed
- Several SM extensions predict an enhancement of the right-handed compenent

### Outline

 $\Rightarrow$  This presentation focuses on the latest results on photon polarization in radiative *B* decays

- Photon polarization in radiative B decays
- Photon polarization in  $B \to K \pi \pi \gamma$ : Effect of the different  $K_{res}$  and SM expectations
- Angular distribution in  $B \rightarrow K \pi \pi \gamma$ : how to extract the polarization
- $B \rightarrow K \pi \pi \gamma$  in LHCb: Event selection and results of the angular analysis
- Conclusions and next steps

### Photon polarization in radiative B decays

- Indirect measurements:
  - Measurement of the time-dependent decay rate of the radiative neutral B-mesons decays  $B_s^0 \to \phi \gamma$
  - Transverse asymmetries in the semileptonic  $B o K^*( o K\pi) \ell^+ \ell^-$  decay
- Direct measurements:
  - b-baryons radiative decays:  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda^* \gamma$ ,  $\Xi_b \to \Xi^* \gamma$
  - Study of the angular distribution of the three-body hadronic final state  $K\pi\pi$ , from  $B \to K_{res}(\to K\pi\pi)\gamma$

⇒ This presentation

# Photon polarization in $B \rightarrow K \pi \pi \gamma$

• The photon polarization in  $\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{K}_{res}^{(i)} \gamma$  is given by:

$$\lambda_{\gamma}^{(i)} = \frac{\left|c_{R}^{(i)}\right|^{2} - \left|c_{L}^{(i)}\right|^{2}}{\left|c_{R}^{(i)}\right|^{2} + \left|c_{L}^{(i)}\right|^{2}}$$

where  $c_L^{(i)} \equiv A(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}_{res}^{(i)} \gamma_L)$  and  $c_R^{(i)} \equiv A(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}_{res}^{(i)} \gamma_R)$  are the weak amplitudes

For a given resonance, the weak amplitude c<sub>R</sub><sup>(i)</sup> and c<sub>L</sub><sup>(i)</sup> are proportional to the Wilson coefficients C<sub>7R</sub> and C<sub>7L</sub> up to a sign (*Gronau and Pirjol, PRD 66, 054008* (2002))

( $C_{7R}$  and  $C_{7L}$  describe the amplitude of  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  for right- and left- handed photons in the effective weak radiative Hamiltonian)

$$\frac{\left| c_{R}^{(i)} \right|}{\left| c_{L}^{(i)} \right|} = \frac{\left| C_{7R} \right|}{\left| C_{7L} \right|} \Rightarrow \lambda_{\gamma}^{(i)} = \frac{\left| C_{7R} \right|^{2} - \left| C_{7L} \right|^{2}}{\left| C_{7R} \right|^{2} + \left| C_{7L} \right|^{2}} \equiv \lambda_{\gamma}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  The photon polarization is independent from the  $K_{res}$ 

• In the SM: 
$$\left|\frac{C_{7R}}{C_{7L}}\right| \approx \frac{m_s}{m_b} \Rightarrow \lambda_{\gamma} = -1 \ (+1) \ +\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_s^2}{m_b^2}\right) \ \text{for } \bar{B} \ (B) \ \text{decays}$$

# Angular distribution in $B o K_1(1^+) ( o K\pi\pi) \gamma$

• Experimentally, we measure the decay width (sum of left and right-handed contributions):  $\frac{d\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}_{1}\gamma \to (P_{1}P_{2}P_{3})\gamma)}{ds \, ds_{13} \, ds_{23} \, dcos\theta} \propto \sum_{L,R} \Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}_{1L,R}\gamma_{L,R}) \left| \mathcal{M}(\bar{K}_{1L,R} \to P_{1}P_{2}P_{3}) \right|^{2}$ 

• The differential decay width of  $\bar{K}_{1L,R}$  decay can be described by the helicity amplitude  $\mathcal{J}_{\mu}$  and the left- and right-handed circular-polarization vector  $\epsilon^{\mu}_{K_1L,R}$ 

$$\mathcal{M}(\bar{K}_{1\,L,R} \rightarrow P_1P_2P_3) = \epsilon^{\mu}_{K_1\,L,R} \mathcal{J}_{\mu}$$

• Decay width for the isolated single  $1^+$  resonance:

 $\frac{d\Gamma\big(\bar{B}\to\bar{K}_{1}\gamma\to(P_{1}P_{2}P_{3})\gamma\big)}{ds\,ds_{13}\,ds_{23}\,dcos\theta}\propto\frac{1}{4}|\vec{\mathcal{J}}|^{2}\big(1+cos^{2}\theta\big)+\lambda_{\gamma}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Im}\left(\vec{n}\cdot\left(\vec{\mathcal{J}}\times\vec{\mathcal{J}}^{*}\right)\right)\!cos\theta$ 



- Difference between the left- and right-handed polarization amplitudes comes from  $\operatorname{Im}(\vec{n} \cdot (\vec{\mathcal{J}} \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}^*))$
- To be nonvanishing: requires the amplitude  $\mathcal J$  to contain more than one amplitude with a nonvanishing relative phase
- Condition realized for  $K_1 \rightarrow K\pi\pi$ (nonvanishing relative phase originating from Breit-Wigner forms)

Kou et al. PRD 83, 094007 (2011), Gronau, Pirjol, PRD 66, 054008 (2002), Gronau et al., PRL 88, 051802 (2002)

JF Marchand (LAPP)

# Angular distribution in $B \to K \pi \pi \gamma$

• Considering the interference between the different  $J^P$  contributions 1<sup>+</sup>, 1<sup>-</sup>, 2<sup>+</sup> ( $K_1(1400)$ ,  $K^*(1410)$  and  $K_2^*(1430)$ ), the decay width is:

$$\frac{d\Gamma(B \to K\pi\pi\gamma)}{ds\,ds_{23}\,dcos\theta} \propto \sum_{j=0,2,4} a_j(s,s_{13},s_{23})\cos^j\theta + \lambda_{\gamma}\sum_{j=1,3} a_j(s,s_{13},s_{23})\cos^j\theta$$

 $\lambda_{\gamma}$  only in odd  $\cos\theta$  terms  $\Rightarrow$  we can access the polarization via up-down asymmetry (wrt  $\kappa \pi \pi$  plan)

• The integrated up-down asymmetry of the photon momentum wrt K<sub>1</sub> decay plane is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{up-down} &= \frac{\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}cos\theta} \mathrm{d}cos\theta - \int_{-1}^{0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}cos\theta} \mathrm{d}cos\theta}{\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}cos\theta} \mathrm{d}cos\theta} \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \lambda_{\gamma} \frac{\int \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}s_{13} \, \mathrm{d}s_{23} \operatorname{Im}\left(\vec{n} \cdot (\vec{\mathcal{J}} \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}^{*})\right)}{\int \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}s_{13} \, \mathrm{d}s_{23} |\vec{\mathcal{J}}|^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  The up-down asymmetry is proportional to the photon polarization  $\lambda_{\gamma}$ 

• For example, in case of  $K_1(1400)$ , integrating over the entire Dalitz plot:  $\mathcal{A} = (0.34 \pm 0.05)\lambda_{\gamma}$ 

Kou et al. PRD 83, 094007 (2011), Gronau, Pirjol, PRD 66, 054008 (2002), Gronau et al., PRL 88, 051802 (2002) JF Marchand (LAPP) CKM 2014 - September 11, 2014 7 / 18

# The LHCb detector



# $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \pi^{\pm} \gamma$ in LHCb - Selection

• Analysis based on data collected from *pp* collisions in 2011 ( $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ ) and 2012 ( $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ), corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3 fb<sup>-1</sup>

•  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \pi^{\pm} \gamma$  candidates built using:

• Photons:

- Built from energy deposit in ECAL, no track pointing to the ECAL cluster
- MVA based on EM cluster shape to reject  $\approx 65\%~\pi^0\to\gamma\gamma$  where 2 photons in the same cluster:  $\epsilon_\gamma\approx 95\%$
- Hadrons:
  - Satisfying particle identification requirements
- Topology cuts:
  - $K\pi\pi$  mass required to be in [1.1, 1.9] GeV
  - $B^{\pm}$  candidate mass required to be in [4.3, 6.9] GeV
- Background cuts:
  - All candidates consistent with  $\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  or  $\rho^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$  are removed (to remove peaking background  $B^+ \to \overline{D}^0 \rho^+$ , where  $\pi^0$  is mis-id as a photon)
  - BDT used to further improve the separation between signal and background

Observation of Photon Polarization in the  $b \rightarrow s$  Transition [PRL 112, 161801 (2014)]

# Mass distribution



- Simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit for 2011 and 2012
- Signal: Double sided Crystal Ball, 4 tail parameters fixed from MC
- Combinatorial background: Exponential
- Partially reconstructed background: ARGUS convolved with Gaussian - Parameters for missing  $\pi$  background fixed from MC
- Same shape parameters for 2011 and 2012 but the width to account for differences in calorimeter calibration

# $K\pi\pi$ mass distribution



- Background substracted  $K\pi\pi$  mass spectrum (using sPlot) after constraining the B mass to its nominal value
- Interferences between the different resonances
- Up-down asymmetry studied inclusively in 4 bins

### Angular analysis

• For each  $K\pi\pi$  interval: Simultaneous fit to the *B* mass in bins of the photon angle to determine the background substracted angular distribution

• As the sign of the photon polarization depends on the sign of the electric charge of *B*, we use the variable:

$$cos\hat{\theta} \equiv charge(B) cos\theta$$

- Resulting background substracted distributions are corrected for the trigger/selection acceptance
- Fit with a 4<sup>th</sup>-order polynomial normalized to unit area:

$$f(\cos\hat{\theta}, c_0 = 0.5, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} c_i L_i(\cos\hat{\theta})$$

where  $L_i$  are Legendre polynomial of order i

• The up-down asymmetry can be expressed by:

$$\mathcal{A}_{up\text{-down}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{4} \left( \int_{0}^{1} c_{i} L^{i}(\cos\hat{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\cos\hat{\theta} - \int_{-1}^{0} c_{i} L^{i}(\cos\hat{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\cos\hat{\theta} \right)}{\sum_{i=0}^{4} \int_{-1}^{1} c_{i} L^{i}(\cos\hat{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\cos\hat{\theta}}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}_{up\text{-down}} = \frac{c_{1} - \frac{c_{3}}{4}}{2c_{0}}$$

# Angular analysis: Results



JF Marchand (LAPP)

# Angular analysis: Results and cross-checks



#### [PRL 112, 161801 (2014)]

#### Results

- Quoted uncertainties contain statistical and systematic contributions
- Combined significance determined from a  $\chi^2$  test where the null hypothesis is defined as  $\lambda_{\gamma} = 0$ , implying  $A_{up-down} = 0$  in each mass interval
- 5.2 $\sigma$  significance for non-zero up-down asymmetry
- First observation of a parity-violating photon polarization different from 0

#### Cross-checks

- Adding further orders in Legendre polynomials: negligible effect
- Further cross-checks performed with counting experiment and give:
  - Compatible up-down asymmetry
  - Lower significance (5.0 $\sigma$ ) but in agreement with expectations from pseudo experiments

 $M(K\pi\pi)$  [MeV/ $c^2$ ]

### Conclusions

- $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \pi^{\pm} \gamma$  decay studied using the full 2011-2012 LHCb data sample (3 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
- First observation of the photon polarization in  $b 
  ightarrow s\gamma$  transitions
- Next steps (ongoing work):
  - Full amplitude analysis of the  $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \pi^{\pm} \gamma$  decay
  - Angular analysis of  $B^0 o K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ ,  $B^+ o \phi K^+ \gamma$
  - Proper time distribution of  $B_s^0 \to \phi \gamma$
  - Radiative b-baryons decays:  $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda^* \gamma$ ,  $\Xi_b \to \Xi^* \gamma$

#### Thanks for your attention!

# BACKUP

Combinatorial background		
Partially reconstructed background	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{Missing } \pi \\ B^0 \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \\ B^+ \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0 \gamma \\ \text{Missing } \gamma \\ B^+ \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \eta (\to \gamma \gamma) \\ \text{General partially reconstructed} \end{array} $	Estimated to be neglected
Contamination from	$B^0  ightarrow K_1^0 \gamma  ightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$ (Missing $\pi^0$ + random extra $\pi$ )	Estimated to be neglected
Peaking background	$ \begin{array}{l} B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 (\to K^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \pi^+ \\ B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 (\to K^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \rho^+ (\to \pi^+ \pi^0) \\ B^+ \to \bar{D}^{*0} (\to \bar{D}^0 (\to K^+ \pi^-) \gamma) \pi^+ \\ B^+ \to K^{*+} (\to K^+ \pi^0) \pi^+ \pi^- \end{array} $	Removed by $\bar{D}^0$ cuts Removed by $\bar{D}^0$ , $\rho^+$ cuts Removed by $\gamma$ cuts Removed by $\gamma$ cuts
Crossfeed from	$B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\gamma$	Estimated to be neglected

#### Systematics include

- Effect of bin migration: Evaluated with pseudo-experiments
- Fit model: evaluated by testing alternative models
- Parameters fixed from simulation, including acceptance: Evaluated using pseudo experiments