

Scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills/Einstein supergravity

Marco Chiodaroli^a, Murat Günaydin^b, Henrik Johansson^c and Radu Roiban^b

^a Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik
Albert-Einstein-Institut
Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam, Germany
mchiodar@aei.mpg.de

^b Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park PA 16802, USA
murat,radu@phys.psu.edu

^c Theory Division,
Physics Department, CERN
CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
henrik.johansson@cern.ch

Abstract

We expose a double-copy structure in the scattering amplitudes of the generic Jordan family of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills/Einstein supergravity theories in four and five dimensions. The Maxwell-Einstein supergravity amplitudes are obtained through the color/kinematics duality as a product of two gauge-theory factors; one originating from pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-Yang-Mills theory and the other from the dimensional reduction of a bosonic higher-dimensional pure Yang-Mills theory. We identify a specific symplectic frame in four dimensions for which the on-shell fields and amplitudes from the double-copy construction can be identified with the ones obtained from the supergravity Lagrangian and Feynman-rule computations. The Yang-Mills/Einstein supergravity theories are obtained by gauging a compact subgroup of the isometry group of their Maxwell-Einstein counterparts. For the generic Jordan family this process is identified with the introduction of cubic scalar couplings on the bosonic gauge-theory side, which through the double copy are responsible for the non-abelian vector interactions in the supergravity theory. As a demonstration of the power of this structure, we present explicit computations at tree-level and one loop. The double-copy construction allows us to obtain compact expressions for the supergravity superamplitudes, which are naturally organized as polynomials in the gauge coupling constant.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Designer gauge and supergravity theories	9
2.1	Color/kinematics duality: a brief review	9
2.2	Minimal couplings and the double-copy construction	11
3	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in four and five dimensions	13
3.1	General five-dimensional Maxwell-Einstein Lagrangian	13
3.2	YMESGTs in five dimensions	17
3.3	Four Dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs and YMESGTs via dimensional reduction	19
3.4	Generic Jordan family of $4D$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ YMESGTs	22
4	Color/kinematics duality and the double-copy $\mathcal{N} = 2$ YMESGTs	28
4.1	The two gauge-theory factors	28
4.2	Color/kinematics duality of Yang-Mills-scalar theories	32
5	Tree-level amplitudes	33
5.1	Three-point amplitudes and the field and parameter map	34
5.2	Four-point amplitudes	37
5.3	Five-point amplitudes	38
6	One-loop four-point amplitudes	41
6.1	The four-scalar gauge-theory amplitude	42
6.2	The four-vector Yang-Mills-gravity amplitude	44
7	Conclusions and outlook	47
A	Notation	51
B	Expansions for the generic Jordan family	51

1 Introduction

Perturbative S matrices of gravitational theories in flat spacetime enjoy a particularly simple structure that remains highly obscure at the level of the Lagrangians and in covariant computations based on Feynman diagrams. Evidence for this structure was first brought to light through the Kawai-Lewellen-Tye (KLT) relations [1, 2], which demonstrate that the information encoded in a gauge-theory tree-level S matrix is sufficient to construct a tree-level gravity S matrix.

More recently, Bern, Carrasco and Johansson (BCJ) proposed a set of Lie-algebraic relations for the kinematic building blocks of gauge-theory loop-level amplitudes [3, 4], which mirror analogous relations obeyed by the corresponding color building blocks. Their existence mandates that gravity amplitudes can be obtained from gauge-theory amplitudes through a double-copy construction. The double copy trivializes the construction of gravity loop-level integrands when the corresponding gauge amplitudes are available in a presentation that manifestly satisfies such duality between color and kinematics. The existence of amplitudes presentations that obey color/kinematics duality has been conjectured for broad classes of Yang-Mills (YM) theories to all loop orders and multiplicities [4].

There is by now substantial evidence for the duality at tree level. Multiple versions of duality-satisfying tree amplitudes in pure super-Yang-Mills (sYM) theories, including arbitrary number of legs and in D dimensions [5, 6, 7], have been constructed [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. The duality enforces the so-called BCJ relations between color-ordered tree amplitudes, which have been proven from both string- [15, 16] and field-theory [17, 18, 19] perspectives. Duality-satisfying structures are known to naturally arise from the field-theory limit of string theory using the pure-spinor formalism [7, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. Attempts toward a Lagrangian understanding of the duality are ongoing [25, 26], and the study of the kinematic Lie algebra underlying the duality has made significant advances [9, 27, 28, 29, 30]. Color/kinematics duality played a key role in the advent of the scattering equations [19, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. That the duality is not limited to YM theories, but applies to certain Chern-Simons-matter theories, was observed in refs. [36, 37, 38].

Amplitude presentations with manifest color/kinematics duality at loop-level were first constructed for the maximal sYM theory [39, 40, 41] and have played a critical role in enabling state-of-the-art multiloop computations in (ungauged) $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ supergravities [42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. These calculations, as well as earlier ones, have exposed unexpected ultraviolet (UV) cancellations. The four-point amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity were shown to be manifestly UV-finite through four loops [48, 49, 50, 41], and later work proved that the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory cannot have a divergence before seven loops in four dimensions [51, 52, 53, 54]. Interestingly, finiteness until this loop order agrees with earlier naïve power-counting based on the assumed existence of an off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superspace [55]. The potential existence of seven-loop divergences has been suggested from several perspectives, including an analysis of string theory dualities [56], a first-quantized world-line approach [57], and

light-cone supergraphs [58]. However, it has also been argued that the theory may remain finite beyond seven loops [59]. Pure $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity has been shown to be UV-finite at three loops [44, 45], and to diverge at four loops [47]. The authors of ref. [47] suggested that the appearance of the four-loop divergence should be related to the quantum anomaly of a $U(1)$ subgroup of the $SU(1, 1)$ global symmetry of the theory [60].

More recent work studied duality-satisfying presentations for one-loop gauge-theory amplitudes, with and without adjoint matter, for $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$ supersymmetric theories [61, 62, 63, 64].¹ In ref. [61] it was first shown that there exists double-copy structures for particular classes of gravity theories with $\mathcal{N} < 4$ that can be constructed as field-theory orbifolds of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity. To access a broader spectrum of supergravities with reduced $\mathcal{N} < 4$ supersymmetry it is necessary to generalize color/kinematics duality by including matter fields that do not transform in the adjoint representation; the bi-fundamental [69] and fundamental [70] representations are natural generalizations. In these cases, each line of a gauge-theory graph has a definite gauge-group representation through its color factor, and, generically, proper double copies are obtained by matching up pairs of numerator factors that belong to conjugate (or, alternatively, identical) color representations [69, 70].

Further generalizations (deformations) of supergravity theories are achieved by gauging. In supergravity theories with abelian vector fields, one can gauge a subgroup of the global symmetry group, and/or R -symmetry group, such that some of the vector fields become gauge fields of the gauged group. This (sub)set of vector fields must transform in the adjoint representation of the corresponding gauge group. The minimal couplings introduced by this gauging break supersymmetry; however, it is restored by introducing additional terms into the Lagrangian. For example, one can gauge the $SO(8)$ subgroup of the full U -duality group $E_{7(7)}$ of maximal supergravity in $D = 4$ [71], thereby turning all vector fields into non-abelian gauge fields of $SO(8)$ [72]. The gauging-induced potential does not vanish for the maximally ($\mathcal{N} = 8$) supersymmetric ground state; instead, it produces a stable anti-de Sitter (AdS) vacuum. This means that, in this maximally supersymmetric background, all the fields of $SO(8)$ gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity form an irreducible supermultiplet of the AdS superalgebra $OSp(8|4, \mathbb{R})$ with even subalgebra $SO(8) \oplus Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$.

In five dimensions, the maximal Poincaré supergravity theory has 27 vector fields [73]; however, there is no simple group of dimension 27. The problem of gauging the maximal supergravity theory was unresolved until the massless supermultiplets of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry algebra $SU(2, 2|4)$ in AdS_5 were constructed in ref. [74]. The massless five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ AdS graviton supermultiplet turned out to have only 15 vector fields; the remaining 12 vector fields must instead be dualized to tensor fields. Hence it was pointed out in ref. [74] that one can at most gauge a $SU(4)$ subgroup of the R -symmetry group $USp(8)$ in five dimensions. Gauged maximal supergravity in $D = 5$ with simple gauge groups

¹See also refs. [65, 66, 67, 68] for explicit one-loop calculations related to color/kinematics duality.

$SO(6-p, p)$ was subsequently constructed in refs. [75, 76].² In these $SO(6-p, p)$ gaugings of maximal supergravity all the supersymmetric vacua turned out to be AdS. Shortly thereafter an $SU(3, 1)$ gauged version of maximal supergravity in five dimensions, which admits an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric ground state with a Minkowski vacuum, was discovered [78]. In this ground state of the $SU(3, 1)$ gauged maximal supergravity, one has unbroken $SU(3) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry and an $SU(2)_R$ local R -symmetry. The $SO(8)$ gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity in $D = 4$ and $SO(6)$ gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity in $D = 5$ describe the low-energy effective theories of M -theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7$ and IIB superstring over $AdS_5 \times S^5$, respectively. In general compactification of M -theory or superstring theories with fluxes leads to gauged supergravity theories. In recent years the embedding tensor formalism for constructing gauged supergravities was developed, which is especially well suited for studying flux compactifications. For reviews and references on the subject we refer to refs. [98, 99].

The double-copy construction of the amplitudes of ungauged maximal supergravity has not yet been consistently or comprehensively extended to gauged versions of the theory. One conceivable obstacle in this endeavor is the fact that fully-supersymmetric ground states of gauged maximal supergravity theories are AdS and the methods for double-copy construction to date require a flat background. However, the fact that the $SU(3, 1)$ gauged maximal supergravity in $D = 5$ admits a stable $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric ground state with vanishing cosmological constant, does suggest that flat-space techniques for the double-copy construction can be used for this theory.

Indeed, one should expect that such double-copy constructions are allowed. Quite some time ago, Bern, De Freitas and Wong [79] constructed tree-level amplitudes in Einstein gravity coupled to YM theory, in four dimensions and without supersymmetry, through a clever use of the KLT relations. They realized that color-dressed YM amplitudes could be written in circular ways as KLT products between color-stripped YM amplitudes and a scalar ϕ^3 theory. The scalar theory is invariant under two Lie groups, color and flavor (see refs. [25, 82, 83, 27, 34] for more recent work on this theory). Remarkably, after applying the KLT formula to the color-stripped amplitudes, the global flavor group of the scalars was promoted to the gauge group of the gluons. They then minimally coupled the ϕ^3 theory to YM theory, which, through KLT, allowed them to compute single-trace tree-level amplitudes in a Yang-Mills/Einstein theory [79], i.e. the amplitudes with the highest power of the trilinear scalar coupling g' . The gravitationally-dressed Parke-Taylor amplitudes obtained this way match those previously constructed by Selivanov in [81, 80] through a generating function method. Unfortunately, without the modern framework of color/kinematics duality, it was not clear how to generalize this construction to subleading powers of g' , multiple-trace amplitudes, and more importantly to loop-level amplitudes. Instead, this generalization will be achieved in the current work.

Focusing on suitable theories with flat-space vacuum, it is well known that four- and five-

²Compact $SO(6)$ gauged supergravity was also constructed in ref. [77].

dimensional $\mathcal{N} \leq 4$ supergravities with additional matter multiplets admit a very rich family of gaugings that preserve supersymmetry. For example, the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity coupled to n vector supermultiplets has the global symmetry group $SO(6, n) \times SU(1, 1)$ in $D = 4$ and $SO(5, n) \times SO(1, 1)$ in $D = 5$. The family of four- and five-dimensional Maxwell-Einstein supergravity theories (MESGTs) corresponding to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity coupled to n vector multiplets is even richer. A general construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs in $D = 5$ was given in ref. [84] and a construction of matter-coupled $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories in $D = 4$ was given in refs. [85, 86]. An important feature of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs is the fact that all the bosonic fields are R -symmetry singlets. As a consequence, gauging a subgroup of their global symmetry groups in $D = 5$ does not introduce a potential, and hence one always has supersymmetric Minkowski vacua in the resulting Yang-Mills/Einstein supergravity theories (YMESGTs) in both $D = 5$ and $D = 4$. Gaugings of five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs were thoroughly studied in refs. [87, 88, 89, 90]. The gaugings of four-dimensional MESGTs were originally studied in refs. [91, 92]. For a complete list of references, we refer the reader to the book by Freedman and van Proeyen [93].

Ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs coupled to hypermultiplets in four and five dimensions arise as low-energy effective theories of type-II superstring and M -theory compactified over a Calabi-Yau threefold, respectively. They can also be obtained as low-energy effective theories of the heterotic string compactified on a $K3$ surface down to six dimensions, followed by toroidal compactifications to five and four dimensions. In general, six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity coupled to n_T self-dual tensor multiplets and n_V vector multiplets reduces to a $D = 5$ MESGT with $(n_T + n_V + 1)$ vector multiplets. Such six-dimensional supergravity theories, coupled in general to hypermultiplets, can be obtained from M -theory compactified to six dimensions on $K3 \times S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$ [94, 95]. They can also be obtained from F -theory on an elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau threefold [96].³ If we restrict the low-energy effective theory to its vector sector, the resulting MESGT Lagrangian in five dimensions can be fully constructed by knowing a particular set of trilinear vector couplings. These are completely specified by a symmetric tensor C_{IJK} , where the indices I, J, K label all the vectors in the theory including the graviphoton [84]. For the MESGT sector of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory obtained by compactification on a Calabi-Yau threefold, the C tensor simply corresponds to the triple intersection numbers, which are topological invariants. Whenever the C tensor is invariant under some symmetry transformation, the $D = 5$ MESGT Lagrangian will possess a corresponding (global) symmetry. Indeed, this is a consequence of the fact that $D = 5$ MESGT theories are uniquely defined by their C tensors [84].⁴

The special cases in which the five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGT has a symmetric target

³For a review and further references on the subject of M/superstring-theory origins of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravities in $D = 5$ and $D = 4$ as well as $D = 6$ see ref. [97].

⁴The only physical requirement is that the C tensor must lead to positive definite kinetic-energy terms for the vector and scalar fields.

space have long been known in the literature [84, 100, 101, 102]. The MESGTs with symmetric scalar manifolds (target spaces) G/K such that their C tensors are G -invariant are in one-to-one correspondence with Euclidean Jordan algebras of degree three, whose norm forms are given by the C tensor. There exist an infinite family of reducible Jordan algebras $\mathbb{R} \oplus \Gamma_n$ of degree three, which describes the coupling of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity to an arbitrary number ($n \geq 1$) of vector multiplets. This class of theories is named *the generic Jordan family* in the literature, and yields target spaces of the form

$$\frac{SO(n-1, 1)}{SO(n-1)} \times SO(1, 1) . \quad (1.1)$$

Additionally, there exist four unified *magical* MESGTs constructed from the four simple Jordan algebras of degree three, which can be realized as 3×3 hermitian matrices over the four division algebras $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$. These theories describe the coupling of five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity to 5, 8, 14 and 26 vector multiplets, respectively.

As a first step in the systematic study of amplitudes in gauged supergravity theories, in this paper we initiate the study of amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ YMESGTs constructed as double copies using color/kinematics duality. In particular, we focus on those $\mathcal{N} = 2$ YMESGTs whose gauging corresponds to a subgroup of the global symmetry group of the generic Jordan family of MESGTs in $D = 5$ and $D = 4$ Minkowski spacetime. Furthermore, we restrict our study to compact gauge groups, and leave to future work the study of more general gaugings in generic Jordan family as well as in magical supergravity theories. We note that the gauging of the generic Jordan family of supergravities is quite important from a string-theory perspective. The low-energy effective theory that arises from the heterotic string compactified over $K3$ is described by six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity coupled to one self-dual tensor multiplet together with some sYM multiplets and hypermultiplets. Under dimensional reduction this theory yields $D = 5$ YMESGTs belonging to the generic Jordan family with a compact gauge group coupled to certain number of hypermultiplets. We will not discuss the interactions of hypermultiplets in the current work; since they always appear in pairs in the effective action, they can be consistently truncated away.

Our main results are: (1) the construction of the ungauged amplitudes as double copies between elements from a pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory and a family of $\mathcal{N} = 0$ YM theories that can be viewed as the dimensional reductions of $D = n + 4$ pure YM theories; (2) the introduction of relevant cubic scalar couplings to the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ gauge theory, which, through the double copy, are responsible for the interactions of the non-abelian gauge fields of the YMESGT. As in ref. [79], the gauge symmetry in the supergravity theory originates from a global symmetry in the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ YM theory employed in the double copy. Our construction is expected to give the complete perturbative expansion of the S matrix in these theories, including the full power series in the gauge coupling and all multi-trace terms, at arbitrary loop orders.⁵ Although the current work is limited to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories, we

⁵Modulo issues that are common to all formalisms: possible UV divergences and quantum anomalies.

expect that our construction straightforwardly extends to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity coupled to vector multiplets as well as to some matter-coupled supergravities with $\mathcal{N} < 2$. The former theory can be obtained by promoting the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM, and the latter theories can be obtained by truncating the spectrum of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory, while in both cases leaving the bosonic YM theory unaltered.

In section 2, we provide a brief review of color/kinematics duality, identify the types of gauged supergravities that can most straightforwardly be made consistent with the double-copy construction and show that, on dimensional grounds, gauge interactions in the supergravity theory require the introduction of cubic scalar couplings in one of the gauge factors.

In section 3, we review the Lagrangians for general MESGTs and YMESGTs in five and four dimensions, giving particular attention to gauged and ungauged theories belonging to the generic Jordan family. We show how the full Lagrangian can be constructed from the C tensors controlling the $F \wedge F \wedge A$ interactions in five dimensions. Moreover, we discuss the fundamental role played by duality transformations in four dimensions and the corresponding symplectic structure. We also show that, for theories belonging to the generic Jordan family, it is possible to find a symplectic frame for which (1) the linearized supersymmetry transformations act diagonally on the flavor indices of the fields when the Lagrangian is expanded around a base point, and (2) the three-point amplitudes have manifest $SO(n)$ symmetry.

Section 4 discusses in detail the gauge-theory factors entering the double-copy construction for theories in the generic Jordan family. We show that we can take as one of the factor the dimensional reduction to four dimensions of the pure YM theory in $n + 4$ dimensions. We also identify particular cubic couplings that are responsible for the non-abelian interactions in the YMESGT obtained with the double-copy. These couplings have the mass dimension required by the general argument presented in section 2.

In section 5, we compare the amplitudes from the double-copy construction with the ones from the Lagrangian discussed in section 3. Introducing particular constrained on-shell superfields, we obtain compact expressions for the superamplitudes of the theory. We show that, when we employ the Feynman rules obtained from the Lagrangian in the particular symplectic frame identified at the end of section 3, the two computations lead to the same three-point amplitudes and that the double-copy superfields are mapped trivially (i.e. by the identity map) into the Lagrangian on-shell superfields. We argue that, since the C tensors are fixed by the three-point interactions, the double-copy construction should continue to yield the correct amplitudes at higher points. The fact that the double-copy construction naturally extends to five dimensions plays a critical role in the argument. We run some further checks on the amplitudes at four points and present compact expressions for the five-point amplitudes.

In section 6 we present some amplitudes at one loop, while our concluding remarks are collected in section 7.

For readers' convenience, in appendix A we list our conventions and provide a summary of the notation employed throughout the paper. Finally, in appendix B we present expansions for the various quantities entering the bosonic Lagrangian in the symplectic frame discussed in section 3. These expansions can be used to obtain the Feynman rules for the computation outlined in section 5.

2 Designer gauge and supergravity theories

2.1 Color/kinematics duality: a brief review

It has long been known [1, 2] that, at tree level, the scattering amplitudes of gravity and supergravity theories related to string theory compactifications on tori exhibit a double-copy structure, being expressible as sums of products of amplitudes of certain gauge theories. This structure was more recently clarified in refs. [3, 4], where it was realized that there exist underlying kinematical Lie-algebraic relations that control the double-copy factorization. The integrands of gauge-theory amplitudes are best arranged in a cubic (trivalent) graph-based presentation that exhibits a particular duality between their color and kinematic numerator factors. Once such a presentation is obtained, the double-copy relation between the integrands of gauge-theory and gravity amplitudes extends smoothly to loop level.

In this organization, the gauge-theory L -loop m -point amplitude for adjoint particles is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_m^{L\text{-loop}} = i^L g^{m-2+2L} \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \int \prod_{l=1}^L \frac{d^D p_l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{S_i} \frac{n_i c_i}{\prod_{\alpha_i} p_{\alpha_i}^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here the sum runs over the complete set Γ of L -loop m -point graphs with only cubic vertices, including all permutations of external legs, the integration is over the L independent loop momenta p_l and the denominator is given by the product of all propagators of the corresponding graph. The coefficients c_i are the color factors obtained by assigning to each trivalent vertex in a graph a factor of the gauge group structure constant $\tilde{f}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} = i\sqrt{2}f^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} = \text{Tr}([T^{\hat{a}}, T^{\hat{b}}]T^{\hat{c}})$ while respecting the cyclic ordering of edges at the vertex. The hermitian generators $T^{\hat{a}}$ of the gauge group are normalized so that $\text{Tr}(T^{\hat{a}}T^{\hat{b}}) = \delta^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}$. The coefficients n_i are kinematic numerator factors depending on momenta, polarization vectors and spinors. For supersymmetric amplitudes in an on-shell superspace they will also contain Grassmann parameters representing the odd superspace directions. These Grassmann parameters transform in the fundamental representation of the on-shell R -symmetry group of the theory. There is one such parameter for each external state; in four-dimensional theories there is a close relation between the number of Grassmann parameters and the helicity of the corresponding external state. The symmetry factors S_i of each graph remove any overcount introduced by summation over all permutations of external legs (included in the definition of the set Γ), as well as any internal automorphisms of the graph (i.e. symmetries of the graph with fixed external

legs).

A gauge-theory amplitude organized as in equation (2.1) is said to manifestly exhibit color/kinematics duality [3, 4] if the kinematic numerator factors n_i of the amplitude are antisymmetric at each vertex, and satisfy Jacobi relations around each propagator in one-to-one correspondence with the color factors. Schematically, the latter constraint is

$$c_i + c_j + c_k = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad n_i + n_j + n_k = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

It was conjectured in refs. [3, 4] that such a representation exists to all loop orders and multiplicities for wide classes of gauge theories.⁶

While amplitudes with manifest color/kinematics duality have been explicitly constructed both at tree-level and at loop-level in various theories [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 39, 40, 41, 61, 62, 63, 65, 64, 69, 70], they are often somewhat difficult to find because of the non-uniqueness of the numerators. At tree-level it is possible to test whether such a representation exists by verifying relations between color-stripped partial amplitudes that follow from the duality [3]. Examples of such BCJ relations for adjoint-representation color-ordered tree amplitudes are

$$\begin{aligned} s_{24}A_4^{(0)}(1, 2, 4, 3) &= A_4^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4)s_{14}, \\ s_{24}A_5^{(0)}(1, 2, 4, 3, 5) &= A_5^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)(s_{14} + s_{45}) + A_5^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 5, 4)s_{14}, \\ s_{24}A_6^{(0)}(1, 2, 4, 3, 5, 6) &= A_6^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)(s_{14} + s_{46} + s_{45}) \\ &\quad + A_6^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 5, 4, 6)(s_{14} + s_{46}) + A_6^{(0)}(1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 4)s_{14}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We refer the reader to ref. [3] for a detailed description of all-multiplicity amplitude relations. In section 4.2 we shall use these relations to demonstrate that a certain Yang-Mills-scalar theory, which appears in our construction, exhibits color/kinematics duality.

Starting from two copies of gauge theories with amplitudes obeying color/kinematics duality and assuming that at least one of them does so manifestly, the amplitudes of the related supergravity theory are then trivially given in the same graph organization but with the color factors of one replaced by the numerator factors of the other:

$$\mathcal{M}_m^{L\text{-loop}} = i^{L+1} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^{m-2+2L} \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \int \prod_{l=1}^L \frac{d^D p_l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{S_i} \frac{n_i \tilde{n}_i}{\prod_{\alpha_i} p_{\alpha_i}^2} \equiv \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^{m-2+2L} M_m^{L\text{-loop}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here κ is the gravitational coupling. In writing this expression one formally identifies $g^2 \rightarrow \kappa/2$; additional parameters that may appear in the gauge-theory numerator factors are to be identified separately and on a case-by-case basis with supergravity parameters. The Grassmann parameters that may appear in n_i and/or \tilde{n}_i are inherited by the corresponding supergravity amplitudes; they imply a particular organization of the asymptotic states

⁶Constraints following from the requirement that the theory be renormalizable in four dimensions have been discussed in ref. [69].

labeling supergravity amplitudes in multiplets of linearized supersymmetry. Since these linearized transformations – given by shifts of the Grassmann parameters – are inherited from the supersymmetry transformations of the gauge-theory factors, they need not be the same as the natural linearized supersymmetry transformations following from the supergravity Lagrangian and a nontrivial transformation may be necessary to align the double-copy and Lagrangian asymptotic states.⁷

2.2 Minimal couplings and the double-copy construction

It is interesting to explore on general grounds what types of gauged supergravity theories are consistent with a double-copy structure with the two factors being local field theories. We discuss here the constraints related to the kinematical structure of amplitudes; further constraints related to the field content of the theory may be studied on a case-by-case basis. To this end let us consider a four-dimensional supergravity theory coupled to non-abelian gauge fields, which has a supersymmetric Minkowski vacuum. While the matter interactions depend on the details of the theory, the gravitational interactions of matter fields and those related to them by linearized supersymmetry are universal, being determined by diffeomorphism invariance. Similarly, the terms linear in the non-abelian gauge fields are also universal, being determined by gauge invariance.

For gauged supergravities that have a string-theory origin one may expect that a KLT-like construction [1, 2] should correctly yield their scattering amplitudes. Similarly, it is natural to expect that a double-copy-like construction [3] would then extend this result to loop-level [4]. In either construction, the three-point supergravity amplitudes are simply products of three-point amplitudes of the two gauge-theory factors. Assuming that this property should hold generally, the dimensionality of these amplitudes imposes strong constraints in the structure the two gauge-theory factors. Let us look first at the interactions of scalars and spin-1/2 fermions. Since they are minimally coupled to non-abelian vector fields,

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi^{\text{gSG}} \sim |D_\mu \phi|^2 + \bar{\zeta} D_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu \zeta \dots , \quad (2.5)$$

the scalar-vector and fermion-vector three-point amplitudes $M_3^{(0)}(\phi, \phi, A)$ and $M_3^{(0)}(\zeta, \zeta, A)$ have unit mass dimension.

This is the same dimension as that of three-point amplitudes in a classically scale-invariant gauge theory in $D = 4$,⁸

$$[A_3^{(0)}] = 1 , \quad (2.6)$$

⁷In the case of scalar fields this transformation needs not be linear, e.g. see ref. [60] for an example of a non-linear transformation. Supersymmetry then implies that other fields should also be transformed nonlinearly, e.g. fields in nontrivial representations of the Lorentz group may be dressed with functions of the scalar fields as it is natural from a Lagrangian standpoint, see section 3.

⁸The analysis of this subsection can be repeated in D dimensions, leading to the same conclusions.

because the relevant interactions are either uniquely determined by gauge invariance to be the same as (2.5) or are constrained by scale invariance. Multiplying two such amplitudes as suggested by the expected KLT/double-copy construction leads to a gravity amplitude of dimension

$$[M_3^{(0)}] = 2 , \quad (2.7)$$

where we have stripped off the dimensionful gravitational coupling. Thus, the double-copy of classically scale-invariant gauge theories cannot lead to standard non-abelian gauge field interactions. To obtain a supergravity amplitude of unit dimension, classical scale invariance must be broken in one of the two gauge-theory factors to allow for the existence of amplitudes of vanishing mass dimension. Using the fact that supergravity vectors, spin-1/2 fermions and scalars are written in terms of gauge theory fields as

$$V_{\pm} = A_{\pm} \otimes \phi \quad \zeta_{\pm} = \lambda_{\pm} \otimes \phi \quad S = \phi \otimes \phi , \quad (2.8)$$

it is easy to see that the constraints imposed by the dimensionality of amplitudes can be satisfied if one of the gauge-theory factors contains a cubic scalar coupling. The dimensionful parameter g' governing such a coupling should then be related to the product of the gravitational coupling and the coupling of the supergravity gauge group, $g' \sim \kappa g$.

The same analysis implies that the supergravity fields that are identified with products of gauge-theory fields with nonzero helicity,

$$\psi_{-} = A_{-} \otimes \lambda_{+} \quad \phi_1 = A_{-} \otimes A_{+} \quad \phi_2 = \lambda_{-} \otimes \lambda_{+} , \quad (2.9)$$

cannot couple directly to the non-abelian vector potential but only to its field strength. It is indeed not difficult to see that any three-point amplitude, in a conventional gauge theory, with at least one field with nonzero spin has unit dimension. Thus any supergravity amplitude obtained from such a double copy with at least one field of the type (2.9) has dimension 2, and therefore cannot be given by a minimal coupling term.

It is easy to extend the analysis above to gravitino gauge interactions.⁹ Such interactions arise in theories in which part of the R -symmetry group is gauged. In this case the minimal coupling is

$$\mathcal{L}_3 \sim \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho} D_{\nu} \psi_{\rho} \quad (2.10)$$

and, consequently, the two-gravitini-vector amplitudes have again unit dimension. Supplementing this with the helicity structure following from the structure of the Lagrangian (2.10) it follows that

$$M_3^{(0)}(1^{\psi_{+}}, 2^{V_{+}}, 3^{\psi_{-}}) \sim \frac{[12]^4}{[13][23]^2} . \quad (2.11)$$

Since

$$\psi_{+} = A_{+} \otimes \lambda_{+}, \quad \psi_{-} = A_{-} \otimes \lambda_{-}, \quad V_{+} = A_{+} \otimes \phi \oplus \lambda_{+} \otimes \lambda_{+} , \quad (2.12)$$

⁹See ref. [103] for a thorough discussion of gravitino interactions in ungauged supergravities from the perspective of the gauge-theory factors.

Lorentz invariance implies that the only way to realize this field content is by double-copying a three-vector and a two-fermion-scalar amplitude. The helicity structure however is incompatible with the latter amplitude originating from a standard Lorentz-invariant Yukawa interaction, which requires that the two fermions have the same helicity. Together with the fact that such a construction leads to an amplitude of dimension two and the fact that the three-point vector amplitudes are uniquely determined by gauge invariance, this implies that one of the two gauge-theory factors must have a non-conventional dimension 3 fermion-scalar interaction. We leave the identification of such possible interactions for the future and instead focus in the remainder of this paper on trilinear scalar deformations and the corresponding YMESGTs.

3 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in four and five dimensions

3.1 General five-dimensional Maxwell-Einstein Lagrangian

In the present section we summarize the relevant results on MESGTs in five dimensions following refs. [75, 76, 84, 87, 88, 89, 90, 100] and adopt the conventions therein. We use a five-dimensional spacetime metric of “mostly plus” signature $(- + + + +)$ and impose a symplectic-Majorana condition of the form

$$\chi^{\hat{i}} = \mathcal{C}(\bar{\chi}^t)^{\hat{i}} \quad (3.1)$$

on all fermionic quantities where $\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \dots = 1, 2$. \mathcal{C} is the charge conjugation matrix and the Dirac conjugate is defined as $\bar{\chi}^{\hat{i}} = \chi_{\hat{i}}^{\dagger} \Gamma^0$. The field content of the general five-dimensional MESGT with \tilde{n} vector multiplets is

$$\left\{ e_{\mu}^m, \Psi_{\mu}^{\hat{i}}, A_{\mu}^I, \lambda^{ia}, \varphi^x \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the indices $I, J = 0, 1, \dots, \tilde{n}$ label the vector fields. The scalar fields φ^x can be thought of as coordinates of a \tilde{n} -dimensional target-space manifold \mathcal{M} ; $a, b = 1, \dots, \tilde{n}$ and $x, y = 1, \dots, \tilde{n}$ are flat and curved indices in the target-space manifold, respectively. R -symmetry $SU(2)_R$ indices \hat{i}, \hat{j}, \dots are raised and lowered by the symplectic metric $\epsilon_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}$ and its inverse $\epsilon^{\hat{i}\hat{j}}$. Since our analysis focuses on amplitudes involving bosons, we write explicitly here only the bosonic part of the Lagrangian, which takes on the form,

$$e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = -\frac{R}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{a}_{IJ} F_{\mu\nu}^I F^{J\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{xy} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^x \partial^{\mu} \varphi^y + \frac{e^{-1}}{6\sqrt{6}} C_{IJK} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} F_{\mu\nu}^I F_{\rho\sigma}^J A_{\lambda}^K, \quad (3.3)$$

where g_{xy} is the metric of the \tilde{n} -dimensional target-space manifold \mathcal{M} . The theory is uniquely specified by the symmetric constant tensor C_{IJK} that appears in the $F \wedge F \wedge A$ term. The only constraint on the C tensor is the physical requirement that the kinetic-energy terms of all fields be positive-definite. The connection between the C tensor and the “field-space

metrics” of the kinetic-energy terms of the vector and scalar fields proceeds via the associated cubic prepotential,

$$N(\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3/2} C_{IJK} \xi^I \xi^J \xi^K . \quad (3.4)$$

The scalar manifold \mathcal{M} can always be interpreted as the codimension-1 hypersurface with equation $N(\xi) = 1$ in the $\tilde{n}+1$ -dimensional ambient space \mathcal{C} with coordinates ξ^I and endowed with the metric

$$a_{IJ} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_I \partial_J \ln N(\xi) . \quad (3.5)$$

The metric of the kinetic energy term for the vector fields is the restriction of the ambient-space metric a_{IJ} to the hypersurface \mathcal{M} , while the target space metric g_{xy} is the induced metric on \mathcal{M} ,

$$\mathring{a}_{IJ}(\varphi) = a_{IJ}|_{N(\xi)=1} , \quad g_{xy}(\varphi) = \mathring{a}_{IJ} \partial_x \xi^I \partial_y \xi^J . \quad (3.6)$$

The vielbeine (h_I, h_I^a) and their inverses (h^I, h_a^I) for the metric \mathring{a}_{IJ} obey the standard algebraic relations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{a}_{IJ} &= h_I h_J + h_I^a h_J^a , & h_a^I h_b^J \mathring{a}_{IJ} &= \delta_{ab} , \\ h^I h^J \mathring{a}_{IJ} &= 1 , & h^I h_{Ia} &= h_I h^{Ia} = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

They may be expressed in terms of the (derivatives of the) prepotential and the embedding coordinate ξ and their derivatives as

$$h^I(\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \xi^I|_{N=1} , \quad (3.8)$$

$$h_I(\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \partial_I \ln N(\xi)|_{N=1} , \quad (3.9)$$

$$h_x^I(\varphi) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \partial_x h^I , \quad (3.10)$$

$$h_{Ix}(\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \partial_x h_I . \quad (3.11)$$

Last but not least, the kinetic-energy term of the scalar fields can also be expressed in terms of the C tensor as

$$-\frac{1}{2} g_{xy} (\partial_\mu \phi^x) (\partial^\mu \phi^y) = \frac{3}{2} C_{IJK} h^I (\partial_\mu h^J) (\partial^\mu h^K) . \quad (3.12)$$

The supersymmetry transformation laws of MESGTs are (to leading order in fermion fields)

$$\delta e_\mu^m = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon}^{\hat{i}} \Gamma^m \Psi_{\mu \hat{i}} ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Psi_\mu^{\hat{i}} &= \nabla_\mu\varepsilon^{\hat{i}} + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{6}}h_I(\Gamma_\mu^{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_\mu^\nu\Gamma^\rho)F_{\nu\rho}^IF_{\nu\rho}^{\hat{i}}, \\
\delta A_\mu^I &= -\frac{1}{2}h_a^I\bar{\varepsilon}^{\hat{i}}\Gamma_\mu\lambda_i^a + \frac{i\sqrt{6}}{4}h^IF_{\nu\rho}^{\hat{i}}\varepsilon^{\hat{i}}, \\
\delta\lambda^{ia} &= -\frac{i}{2}f_x^a\Gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu\varphi^x)\varepsilon^{\hat{i}} + \frac{1}{4}h_a^I\Gamma^{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\hat{i}}F_{\mu\nu}^I, \\
\delta\varphi^x &= \frac{i}{2}f_a^x\bar{\varepsilon}^{\hat{i}}\lambda_i^a,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where f_a^x denotes the \tilde{n} -bein on the target space. From the above transformation laws, one can identify the field strength of the “physical” (dressed) graviphoton to be $h_IF_{\nu\rho}^I$ and the linear combinations of the vector field strengths that are superpartners of dressed spin-1/2 fields $\lambda^{a\hat{i}}$, given by $h_a^IF_{\mu\nu}^I$. The requirement that the C tensor must lead to positive-definite kinetic-energy terms implies that at a certain point in the ambient space \mathcal{C} the metric \hat{a}_{IJ} can be brought to the diagonal form $\hat{a}_{IJ}|_c = \delta_{IJ}$ by a coordinate transformation. This point is referred to as the base point c^I . The base point c^I lies in the domain of positivity with respect to the metric a_{IJ} of the ambient space \mathcal{C} . Choosing the base point as

$$c^I = \left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \tag{3.14}$$

one finds that the most general C tensor compatible with positivity can be brought to the form

$$C_{000} = 1, \quad C_{0ab} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}, \quad C_{00a} = 0, \quad a, b, c = 1, \dots, \tilde{n}, \tag{3.15}$$

with the remaining components C_{abc} being completely arbitrary.

The global symmetry group of the MESGT is simply given by the invariance group of the tensor C_{IJK} . These global symmetry transformations correspond to isometries of the target space \mathcal{M} . The converse is however not true. There exist theories in which not all the isometries of the target manifold extend to global symmetries of the full $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGT, e.g. the generic non-Jordan family to be discussed below.

Since our main goal is to understand the double-copy structure of gauged or ungauged YMESGTs, we will consider only theories whose C tensors admit symmetries, in particular, those theories whose scalar manifolds are symmetric spaces $\mathcal{M} = G/H$ such that G is a symmetry of the C tensor.¹⁰ In the latter theories, the cubic form defined by the C tensor can be identified with the norm form of a Euclidean Jordan algebra of degree three. There are

¹⁰In addition to the MESGTs defined by Euclidean Jordan algebras of degree three, there exists an infinite family of theories whose scalar manifolds are symmetric spaces of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{SO(1, \tilde{n})}{SO(\tilde{n})}, \quad \tilde{n} > 1.$$

This infinite set of MESGTs is called the generic non-Jordan family. The isometry group $SO(1, \tilde{n})$ of the scalar manifold of the generic non-Jordan family is broken by supergravity couplings down to the semi-direct product subgroup $[SO(1, 1) \times SO(\tilde{n} - 1)] \ltimes T_{(\tilde{n}-1)}$ [101].

four simple Jordan algebras of degree three denoted as $J_3^{\mathbb{A}}$, which can be realized as hermitian 3×3 matrices over the four division algebras $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$. The scalar manifolds $\mathcal{M}_5(J^{\mathbb{A}})$ of MESGTs defined by the simple Jordan algebras in five dimensions are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_5(J_3^{\mathbb{R}}) &= \frac{SL(3, \mathbb{R})}{SO(3)}, & \mathcal{M}_5(J_3^{\mathbb{H}}) &= \frac{SU^*(6)}{USp(6)}, \\ \mathcal{M}_5(J_3^{\mathbb{C}}) &= \frac{SL(3, \mathbb{C})}{SU(3)}, & \mathcal{M}_5(J_3^{\mathbb{O}}) &= \frac{E_{6(-26)}}{F_4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

They describe the coupling of 5, 8, 14 and 26 vector multiplets to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity and are referred to as ‘‘Magical supergravity theories’’. Their global symmetry groups G are simple and all the vector fields including the graviphoton transform in a single irreducible representation under G . Hence they are unified theories. The quaternionic magical theory with the global symmetry group $SU^*(6)$ can be obtained by a maximal truncation of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity in five dimensions.

There also exists an infinite family of reducible Jordan algebras $\mathbb{R} \oplus \Gamma_{\tilde{n}}$ of degree three whose cubic norms factorize as a product of linear and quadratic form. The MESGTs defined by them are referred to as the generic Jordan family; their scalar manifolds are of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_5(\mathbb{R} \oplus \Gamma_{\tilde{n}}) = \frac{SO(\tilde{n} - 1, 1) \times SO(1, 1)}{SO(\tilde{n} - 1)}, \quad \tilde{n} \geq 1. \quad (3.17)$$

and describe the coupling of \tilde{n} vector multiplets to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity.

The magical supergravity theories can be truncated to MESGTs belonging to the generic Jordan family. Below we list the target spaces of maximal truncations of this type:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_5(J_3^{\mathbb{R}}) &= \frac{SL(3, \mathbb{R})}{SO(3)} \longrightarrow \frac{SO(2, 1) \times SO(1, 1)}{SO(2)}, \\ \mathcal{M}_5(J_3^{\mathbb{C}}) &= \frac{SL(3, \mathbb{C})}{SU(3)} \longrightarrow \frac{SO(3, 1) \times SO(1, 1)}{SO(3)}, \\ \mathcal{M}_5(J_3^{\mathbb{H}}) &= \frac{SU^*(6)}{USp(6)} \longrightarrow \frac{SO(5, 1) \times SO(1, 1)}{SO(5)}, \\ \mathcal{M}_5(J_3^{\mathbb{O}}) &= \frac{E_{6(-26)}}{F_4} \longrightarrow \frac{SO(9, 1) \times SO(1, 1)}{SO(9)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

We should note that the C tensors of MESGTs defined by Euclidean Jordan algebras of degree three, generic Jordan as well as the magical theories, satisfy the adjoint identity

$$C^{IJK} C_{J(MN} C_{PQ)K} = \delta_{(M}^I C_{NPQ)}, \quad (3.19)$$

where the indices are raised with the inverse $\overset{\circ}{a}{}^{IJ}$ of the vector field space metric $\overset{\circ}{a}{}_{IJ}$. Furthermore the C tensor is an invariant tensor of their global symmetry groups G such that we have

$$C_{IJK} = C^{IJK}. \quad (3.20)$$

$K \subseteq SU(2)_R$	Gauged Maxwell-Einstein supergravity
$K \subseteq SO(\tilde{n} - 1)$	Compact Yang-Mills/Einstein supergravity
$K \subseteq SO(\tilde{n} - 1, 1) \times SO(1, 1)$	Non-compact Yang-Mills/Einstein supergravity
$K \subseteq SU(2)_R \times SO(\tilde{n} - 1)$	Compact gauged Yang-Mills/Einstein sugra
$K \subseteq SU(2)_R \times SO(\tilde{n} - 1, 1) \times SO(1, 1)$	Non-compact gauged Yang-Mills/Einstein sugra

Table 1: List of possible gaugings of generic Jordan family of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs without tensor fields.

In this paper we will be considering MESGTs belonging to the generic Jordan family. The *natural basis* for defining the C tensor for this family is given by identifying the prepotential with the cubic norm of the underlying Jordan algebra, which is

$$N(\xi) = \sqrt{2}\xi^0 \left((\xi^1)^2 - (\xi^2)^2 - \dots - (\xi^{\tilde{n}})^2 \right). \quad (3.21)$$

The C tensor in the natural basis is given by

$$C_{011} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad C_{0rs} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\delta_{rs}, \quad r, s = 2, \dots, \tilde{n}, \quad (3.22)$$

and the corresponding base point is at

$$c^I = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \right). \quad (3.23)$$

3.2 YMESGTs in five dimensions

Let us now review the gaugings of a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGT in five dimensions whose full symmetry group is the product of R -symmetry $SU(2)_R$ with the global invariance group G of the C tensor. If one gauges only a subgroup K of the global symmetry G , the resulting theories are referred to as YMESGTs, which can be compact or non-compact. If one gauges only a subgroup of $SU(2)_R$ the resulting theories are called gauged MESGTs. If one gauges a subgroup of $SU(2)_R$ as well as a subgroup of G simultaneously then they are called gauged YMESGTs. In gauging a subgroup of the global symmetry group G those non-gauge vector fields that transform non-trivially under the non-abelian gauge group must be dualized to tensor fields that satisfy odd-dimensional self-duality conditions [90]. We list the possibilities for gauging the generic Jordan family of MESGTs without tensor fields in Table 1.

In this paper we will focus on YMESGTs with compact gauge groups obtained by gauging a subgroup of the global symmetry group of generic Jordan family. We leave the study of more general gaugings in generic Jordan as well as magical supergravity theories in the framework provided by the double-copy to future work.

Consider a group G of symmetry transformations acting on the coordinates of the ambient space as

$$\delta_\alpha \xi^I = (M_r)^I{}_J \xi^J \alpha^r, \quad (3.24)$$

where M_r are constant (anti-hermitian) matrices that satisfy the commutation relations of G ,

$$[M_r, M_s] = f_{rs}{}^t M_t . \quad (3.25)$$

If G is a symmetry of the Lagrangian of the MESGT, it must satisfy

$$(M_r)_{(I}{}^L C_{JK)L} = 0 . \quad (3.26)$$

The bosonic fields of the theory transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha \varphi^x &= K_r^x \alpha^r , \\ \delta_\alpha A_\mu^I &= (M_r)^I{}_J A_\mu^J \alpha^r , \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where the field-dependent quantity K_r^x is the Killing vector that generates the corresponding isometry of the scalar manifold \mathcal{M} . It can be expressed as

$$K_r^x = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (M_r)^J{}_I h_J h^{Ix} . \quad (3.28)$$

The scalar field dependent quantities $h^I(\varphi^x)$ transform just like the vector fields

$$\delta_\alpha h^I(\varphi^x) = (M_r)^I{}_J h^J(\varphi^x) \alpha^r \quad (3.29)$$

Spin-1/2 fields transform under the maximal compact subgroup of the global symmetry group as

$$\delta_\alpha \lambda_i^a = L_r^{ab} \lambda_i^b \alpha^r \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$L_r^{ab} = (M_r)^J{}_I h_J^{[a} h^{I|b]} - \Omega_x^{ab} K_r^x .$$

with Ω_x^{ab} denoting the spin connection over the scalar manifold \mathcal{M} . The remaining fields (gravitinos and graviton) are invariant under the action of global symmetry group G .

To gauge a subgroup K of the global symmetry group G of a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGT in five dimensions, we then split the vector fields as $A_\mu^I = (A_\mu^0, A_\mu^m, A_\mu^r)$ so that A_μ^r ($r, s, t = \tilde{n} + 1 - \dim K, \dots, \tilde{n}$) transform in the adjoint of a compact subgroup K of G , and A_μ^0, A_μ^m are K -singlets ($m, n, o = 1, \dots, \tilde{n} - \dim K$). We shall refer to the latter as spectator fields and pick a set of matrices M such that

$$(M_r)^s{}_t = f^{rst} , \quad (M_r)^m{}_s = (M_r)^s{}_m = (M_r)^m{}_n = 0 . \quad (3.31)$$

Compact YMESGTs obtained with this construction from the generic Jordan family of MESGTs in five dimensions always have at least two spectator fields – the vector field in the $D = 5$ gravity multiplet and an additional one. For the sake of simplicity we will not consider theories with more spectators and assume that

$$\tilde{n} = \dim K + 1 \quad (3.32)$$

from now on.

The Lagrangian of the desired YMESGT is then obtained from (3.3) replacing

$$\partial_\mu \varphi^x \rightarrow \partial_\mu \varphi^x + g A_\mu^s K_s^x, \quad (3.33)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \lambda^{\hat{a}} \rightarrow \nabla_\mu \lambda^{\hat{a}} + g L_t^{ab} A_\mu^t \lambda^{\hat{b}}, \quad (3.34)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^I = 2\partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]}^I + g f_{JK}^I A_\mu^J A_\nu^K. \quad (3.35)$$

with the caveat that the $F \wedge F \wedge A$ term for the gauge fields must be covariantized as follows,

$$\frac{e^{-1}}{6\sqrt{6}} C_{IJK} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \left\{ F_{\mu\nu}^I F_{\rho\sigma}^J A_\lambda^K + \frac{3}{2} g f_{J'K'}^K F_{\mu\nu}^I A_\rho^J A_\sigma^{J'} A_\lambda^{K'} + \frac{3}{5} g^2 A_\mu^I f_{I'J'}^J A_\nu^{I'} A_\rho^{J'} f_{K'L'}^K A_\sigma^{K'} A_\lambda^{L'} \right\} \quad (3.36)$$

where we formally introduced the structure constants f_{JK}^I , which vanish when any one of the indices corresponds to the spectator fields. The only non-vanishing components are those of the compact gauge group K , i.e. f_{st}^r with $r, s, t = 2, 3, \dots, \tilde{n}$.

The bosonic sector of the resulting YMESGT is then given by

$$e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = -\frac{R}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{a}_{IJ} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^I \mathcal{F}^{J\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{xy} \mathcal{D}_\mu \varphi^x \mathcal{D}^\mu \varphi^y + \frac{e^{-1}}{6\sqrt{6}} C_{IJK} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \left\{ F_{\mu\nu}^I F_{\rho\sigma}^J A_\lambda^K + \frac{3}{2} g f_{J'K'}^K F_{\mu\nu}^I A_\rho^J A_\sigma^{J'} A_\lambda^{K'} + \frac{3}{5} g^2 A_\mu^I f_{I'J'}^J A_\nu^{I'} A_\rho^{J'} f_{K'L'}^K A_\sigma^{K'} A_\lambda^{L'} \right\}, \quad (3.37)$$

Note that the five-dimensional YMESGT Lagrangian does not have a potential term and hence admits Minkowski ground states. However, to preserve supersymmetry under gauging one introduces a Yukawa-like term involving scalar fields and spin-1/2 fields

$$\mathcal{L}' = -\frac{i}{2} g \bar{\lambda}^{ia} \lambda_i^b K_{r[a} h_{b]}^r. \quad (3.38)$$

One may understand the need for such a term by noticing that in the limit of vanishing gravitational constant YMESGTs should become flat space non-abelian gauge theories, which necessarily exhibit Yukawa couplings.

3.3 Four Dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs and YMESGTs via dimensional reduction

Since in later sections we will compute scattering amplitudes of YMESGTs (and MESGTs) in four dimensions, it is useful to review following ref. [104] the construction of the bosonic sector of these theories by dimensional reduction from five dimensions. To distinguish the four- and five-dimensional fields we shall denote the five-dimensional field indices with hats in this section.

For dimensional reduction we make the Ansatz for the fünfbein as follows

$$\hat{e}^{\hat{m}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} e^m_\mu & 2W_\mu e^\sigma \\ 0 & e^\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.39)$$

which implies $\hat{e} = e^{-\sigma} e$, where $e = \det(e^m_\mu)$. We shall denote the field strength of the vector W_μ coming from the graviton in five dimensions as $W_{\mu\nu}$:

$$W_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu} W_{\nu]} . \quad (3.40)$$

The five-dimensional vector fields $a^I_{\hat{\mu}}$ decompose into four-dimensional vector fields A^I_μ and four-dimensional scalars A^I as follows

$$a^I_{\hat{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} A^I_\mu \\ A^I_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^I_\mu + 2W_\mu A^I \\ A^I \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.41)$$

Note that the four-dimensional abelian field strengths $F^I_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^I_\nu - \partial_\nu A^I_\mu$ are invariant with respect to the $U(1)$ symmetry of the compactified circle. The bosonic sector of dimensionally-reduced, four-dimensional action of the MESGT is then [104]

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{(4)} &= -\frac{1}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}e^{3\sigma}W_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{4}\partial_\mu\sigma\partial^\mu\sigma \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}e^\sigma \hat{a}_{IJ}(F^I_{\mu\nu} + 2W_{\mu\nu}A^I)(F^{J\mu\nu} + 2W^{\mu\nu}A^J) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\sigma} \hat{a}_{IJ}\partial_\mu A^I \partial^\mu A^J - \frac{3}{4}\hat{a}_{IJ}\partial_\mu h^I \partial^\mu h^J \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{-1}}{2\sqrt{6}}C_{IJK}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\{F^I_{\mu\nu}F^J_{\rho\sigma}A^K + 2F^I_{\mu\nu}W_{\rho\sigma}A^JA^K + \frac{4}{3}W_{\mu\nu}W_{\rho\sigma}A^IA^JA^K\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

The four-dimensional scalar manifold geometry is defined by $(\tilde{n} + 1)$ complex coordinates [84]

$$z^I := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A^I + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ie^\sigma h^I . \quad (3.43)$$

One can write the four-dimensional Lagrangian as

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}R - g_{I\bar{J}}\partial_\mu z^I \partial^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{J}} + \frac{1}{4}\text{Im}\mathcal{N}_{AB}F^A_{\mu\nu}F^{B\mu\nu} - \frac{e^{-1}}{8}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\text{Re}\mathcal{N}_{AB}F^A_{\mu\nu}F^B_{\rho\sigma}, \quad (3.44)$$

where $A, B = -1, 0, 1, \dots, \tilde{n}$, $g_{I\bar{J}} = \hat{a}_{IJ}$, and the period matrix \mathcal{N}_{AB} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{-1-1} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}}C_{IJK}A^IA^JA^K - \frac{i}{2}\left(e^\sigma \hat{a}_{IJ}A^IA^J + \frac{1}{2}e^{3\sigma}\right), \\ \mathcal{N}_{-1I} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}C_{IJK}A^JA^K + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}e^\sigma \hat{a}_{IJ}A^J, \\ \mathcal{N}_{IJ} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}C_{IJK}A^K - ie^\sigma \hat{a}_{IJ}. \end{aligned}$$

The vector field $-2\sqrt{2}W_\mu$ is denoted as A_μ^{-1} and its field strength as

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{-1} := -2\sqrt{2}W_{\mu\nu} . \quad (3.45)$$

The scalar manifolds of magical supergravity theories defined by simple Jordan algebras of degree three in four dimensions are the following hermitian symmetric spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_4(J_3^{\mathbb{R}}) &= \frac{Sp(6, \mathbb{R})}{U(3)}, & \mathcal{M}_4(J_3^{\mathbb{H}}) &= \frac{SO^*(12)}{U(6)}, \\ \mathcal{M}_4(J_3^{\mathbb{C}}) &= \frac{SU(3, 3)}{S(U(3) \times U(3))}, & \mathcal{M}_4(J_3^{\mathbb{O}}) &= \frac{E_{7(-25)}}{E_6 \times U(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

The scalar manifolds of generic Jordan family of MESGTs in $D = 4$ are

$$\mathcal{M}_4(\mathbb{R} \oplus \Gamma_{\tilde{n}}) = \frac{SO(\tilde{n}, 2) \times SU(1, 1)}{SO(\tilde{n}) \times SO(2) \times U(1)}, \quad \tilde{n} \geq 1. \quad (3.47)$$

Our focus in the paper will be mainly on gaugings of the generic Jordan family of MESGTs. Motivation for studying the generic Jordan family from a string theory perspective derives from the fact that the vector-multiplet moduli spaces of heterotic string theories compactified on $K3 \times S^1$ are precisely of the generic Jordan type. In the corresponding superpotential

$$\mathcal{F} = s(t^1 t^1 - t^r t^r) \quad (3.48)$$

the singlet modulus s is simply the dilaton. The cubic superpotential is exact in five dimensions. The dilaton factor corresponding to the scale symmetry $SO(1, 1)$ of the five-dimensional U -duality group gets extended by an extra scalar, the axion, under dimensional reduction to four dimensions and together they parametrize the $SU(1, 1)/U(1)$ factor in the four-dimensional moduli space $SU(1, 1)/U(1) \times SO(\tilde{n}, 2)/SO(\tilde{n}) \times SO(2)$. The four-dimensional supergravity moduli space of the generic Jordan family gets corrections due to target space instantons in the string theory. There is a corresponding picture in the type-IIA string due to the duality between type-IIA theory on a Calabi-Yau threefold and heterotic string on $K3 \times T^2$. We refer the reader to the review [97] for a detailed discussion of this duality and the references on the subject. We should note that non-abelian gauge interactions in lower dimensional effective theories of heterotic string theory descend, in general, directly from the non-abelian gauge symmetries in ten dimensions. This is to be contrasted with compactifications of M -theory or type-II superstring theories on Calabi-Yau manifolds without any isometries. The latter theories can develop enhanced non-abelian symmetries at certain points in their moduli spaces and the corresponding low-energy effective theories are described by YMESGTs coupled to hypermultiplets. Detailed examples of such symmetry enhancement both in five and four dimensions were studied in refs. [105, 106].

The dimensional reduction of the five-dimensional YMESGTs without tensor fields leads to the four-dimensional Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{(4)} &= -\frac{1}{2}R - \frac{3}{4}\overset{\circ}{a}_{IJ}(\mathcal{D}_\mu\tilde{h}^I)(\mathcal{D}^\mu\tilde{h}^J) - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\sigma}\overset{\circ}{a}_{IJ}(\mathcal{D}_\mu A^I)(\mathcal{D}^\mu A^J) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}e^\sigma\overset{\circ}{a}_{IJ}(\mathcal{F}^I_{\mu\nu} + 2W_{\mu\nu}A^I)(\mathcal{F}^{J\mu\nu} + 2W^{\mu\nu}A^J) - \frac{1}{2}e^{3\sigma}W_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{-1}}{2\sqrt{6}}C_{IJK}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left\{\mathcal{F}^I_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^J_{\rho\sigma}A^K + 2\mathcal{F}^I_{\mu\nu}W_{\rho\sigma}A^JA^K + \frac{4}{3}W_{\mu\nu}W_{\rho\sigma}A^IA^JA^K\right\} \\
&\quad - g^2P_4, \tag{3.49}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu A^I \equiv \partial_\mu A^I + gA_\mu^J f_{JK}^I A^K \tag{3.50}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^I_{\mu\nu} \equiv 2\partial_{[\mu}A^I_{\nu]} + gf_{JK}^IA^JA^K \tag{3.51}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\tilde{h}^I \equiv \partial_\mu\tilde{h}^I + gA_\mu^r(M_r)^I{}_K\tilde{h}^K, \tag{3.52}$$

and the four-dimensional scalar potential, P_4 , is given by

$$P_4 = \frac{3}{4}e^{-3\sigma}\overset{\circ}{a}_{IJ}(A^r(M_r)^I{}_K h^K)(A^s(M_s)^J{}_L h^L). \tag{3.53}$$

The appearance of a nontrivial potential may be understood by recalling that in the limit of vanishing gravitational coupling a four-dimensional YMESGT reduces to the dimensional reduction of a five-dimensional gauge theory and, as such, it has a quartic scalar coupling that is bilinear in the gauge-group structure constants.

3.4 Generic Jordan family of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ YMESGTs

In this section we shall study in detail the symplectic formulation of the generic Jordan family of four-dimensional YMESGTs defined by the cubic form (3.22). Four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories coupled to vector and hypermultiplets were constructed in refs. [85, 86, 91], which showed that the prepotentials for $D = 4$ MESGTs must be homogeneous functions of degree two in terms of the complex scalars. For those $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs originating from five dimensions the prepotential is given by the C tensor [84, 92]. Later on, a symplectic covariant formulation of $D = 4$ MESGTs was developed [107, 108] (also see ref. [93] for a review and further references). Before we proceed, we recall some basic facts about choice of symplectic sections and existence of a prepotential. In a symplectic formulation, the four-dimensional ungauged Lagrangian (3.44) can be obtained from the prepotential

$$F(Z^A) = -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}C_{IJK}\frac{Z^IZ^JZ^K}{Z^{-1}}, \tag{3.54}$$

where $Z^{-1} \equiv Z^{A=-1}$. One considers a holomorphic symplectic vector of the form

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} Z^A(z) \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z^A}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.55)$$

where the Z^A are $\tilde{n} + 2$ arbitrary holomorphic functions of $\tilde{n} + 1$ complex variables z^I , which need to satisfy a non-degeneracy condition. A standard choice is to set $Z^0 \equiv 1$ and to pick an appropriate set of $\tilde{n} + 1$ linear functions for the remaining $Z^I(z)$. Next, one introduces a Kähler potential $\mathcal{K}(z, \bar{z})$ defined by

$$e^{-\mathcal{K}} = -i\langle v, \bar{v} \rangle = -i \left(Z^A \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial \bar{Z}^A} - \bar{Z}^A \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z^A} \right). \quad (3.56)$$

The metric for the scalar manifold is then readily obtained as

$$g_{I\bar{J}} = \partial_I \partial_{\bar{J}} \mathcal{K}. \quad (3.57)$$

A little more work is necessary to obtain the period matrix appearing in the kinetic term for the vector fields. We first introduce a second symplectic vector defined as

$$V(z, \bar{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} X^A \\ F_A \end{pmatrix} = e^{\frac{\mathcal{K}}{2}} v(z), \quad (3.58)$$

and the corresponding target-space covariant derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\bar{I}} \bar{X}^A &= \partial_{\bar{I}} \bar{X}^A + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\bar{I}} \mathcal{K}) \bar{X}^A, \\ D_{\bar{I}} \bar{F}_A &= \partial_{\bar{I}} \bar{F}_A + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\bar{I}} \mathcal{K}) \bar{F}_A. \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

The $(\tilde{n} + 2) \times (\tilde{n} + 2)$ period matrix \mathcal{N} can be expressed in terms of the quantities above as

$$\mathcal{N}_{AB} = (F_A \ D_{\bar{I}} \bar{F}_A) (X^B \ D_{\bar{I}} \bar{X}^B)^{-1}. \quad (3.60)$$

We should note that the set of $\tilde{n} + 2$ holomorphic functions Z^I of $\tilde{n} + 1$ complex variables need to be chosen such that the matrix $(X^B \ D_{\bar{I}} \bar{X}^B)$ entering the expression above is invertible. It can be shown that with the definition (3.60) the period matrix is symmetric as desired (see for example [107]).

For the generic Jordan family with the symplectic vector that comes directly from dimensional reduction from five dimensions we have $Z^{-1} \equiv 1$ and

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial Z^{-1}} = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} C_{IJK} Z^I Z^J Z^K \quad (3.61)$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial Z^I} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} C_{IJK} Z^J Z^K. \quad (3.62)$$

Only the compact subgroup $SO(\tilde{n} - 1)$ of the full U -duality group $SU(1, 1) \times SO(\tilde{n}, 2)$ is realized linearly. One can go to a symplectic section in which the full $SO(\tilde{n}, 2)$ symmetry is realized linearly. However this symplectic section does not admit a prepotential [108].

While we will omit the fermionic part of the action as before, the supersymmetry transformations of the gravitinos and spin-1/2 fermions will be relevant. They are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta e_\mu^m &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\epsilon}^{\hat{i}} \gamma^m \psi_{\mu\hat{i}} + \text{h.c.} , \\
\delta \psi_\mu^{\hat{i}} &= D_\mu \epsilon^{\hat{i}} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} F_{\mu\nu}^{A-} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \text{Im} \mathcal{N}_{AB} X^B \gamma_\mu \epsilon_{\hat{j}} , \\
\delta A_\mu^A &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \bar{\epsilon}_{\hat{i}} \gamma_\mu \lambda_{\hat{j}}^I D_I X^A + \epsilon^{\hat{i}\hat{j}} \bar{\epsilon}_{\hat{i}} \psi_{\mu\hat{j}} X^A + \text{h.c.} , \\
\delta \lambda_i^I &= \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu z^I \epsilon_i - \frac{1}{2} g^{I\bar{J}} D_{\bar{J}} \bar{X}^A \text{Im} \mathcal{N}_{AB} F_{\mu\nu}^{B-} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_i , \\
\delta z^I &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\epsilon}^{\hat{i}} \lambda_i^I .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

Here $F_{\mu\nu}^{A\pm}$ indicate the self-dual and anti-self-dual field strengths. With this notation $F_{\mu\nu}^{A+}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}^{A-}$ are complex conjugate to each other. Moreover, the dual field strengths are given by

$$G_A^+ = 2ie^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta F_{A+}} = \mathcal{N}_{AB} F^{B+} . \tag{3.64}$$

One can introduce an $Sp(2\tilde{n} + 4, \mathbb{R})$ group of duality transformations acting as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}^+ \\ \tilde{G}^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F^+ \\ G^+ \end{pmatrix} , \tag{3.65}$$

with

$$A^t C = C^t A , \quad B^t D = D^t B , \quad A^t D - C^t B = \mathbf{1} . \tag{3.66}$$

Under such transformations the target space metric $g_{I\bar{J}}$ is invariant and the period matrix \mathcal{N}_{AB} transforms as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = (C + DN)(A + BN)^{-1} . \tag{3.67}$$

The action is invariant under a duality transformation provided that $B = 0$; transformations with $B \neq 0$ are non-perturbative (i.e. involve S -duality as seen from an higher-dimensional perspective) and are called *symplectic reparameterizations*. A duality transformation can also be enacted directly on the prepotential. Adopting this perspective, one needs to introduce a new prepotential

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{1}{2} V^t(X) \begin{pmatrix} C^t A & C^t B \\ D^t A & D^t B \end{pmatrix} V(X) , \tag{3.68}$$

where the old coordinates X^A now depend on new coordinates

$$\tilde{X}^A = A^A_B X^B + B^{AB} F_B(X) . \tag{3.69}$$

Finally, we note that a duality transformation also acts as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}^A & D_{\bar{I}}\tilde{\bar{X}}^A \end{pmatrix} = (A + BN)^A{}_B \begin{pmatrix} X^B & D_{\bar{I}}\bar{X}^B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.70)$$

We now consider the four-dimensional theory specified by the prepotential (3.54) obtained from dimensional reduction, and expand the Lagrangian for the generic Jordan family around the base point c^I of the five-dimensional parent theory while introducing the special coordinates z^I as follows,

$$Z^A = \left(1, \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}c^I + z^I\right). \quad (3.71)$$

With this choice, all scalar fields vanish at the base point; the standard choice of c^I for the generic Jordan family is given by equation (3.23). At the base point, we have a canonically normalized scalar metric $g_{IJ} = \delta_{IJ}$ and a matrix \mathcal{N}_{AB} given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{AB} = -\text{diag}\left(\frac{i}{4}, i, \dots, i\right). \quad (3.72)$$

We encounter however difficulties with interpreting the supersymmetry transformations (3.63). Indeed one may see that at the base point (3.23) the $(\tilde{n} + 2) \times (\tilde{n} + 2)$ matrix $(X^A \ D_{\bar{I}}\bar{X}^A)^t$, which appears in the supersymmetry transformations of the fermionic fields (3.63) is not diagonal and presents some imaginary entries. This implies that both field strengths and dual field strengths of spectator fields appear in the linearized supersymmetry variations of the fermionic fields.¹¹

To make contact between scattering amplitudes computed from the supergravity Lagrangian with the ones obtained employing a double-copy construction (which we shall detail in later sections), it is desirable to go to a symplectic frame in which (1) supersymmetry acts diagonally at the base point without mixing fields with different matter indices $I, J = 0, 1, \dots, \tilde{n}$ (so that scalars and vectors with the same matter index belong to the same supermultiplet) and (2) the cubic couplings of the theory are invariant under the maximal compact subgroup $SO(\tilde{n})$ of the U -duality group of the ungauged theory (and hence $SO(\tilde{n})$ is a manifest symmetry of the resulting scattering amplitudes). It turns out this can be achieved in three steps.

1. We first dualize the extra spectator coming from dimensional reduction, $F_{\mu\nu}^{-1}$. Using the language introduced at the beginning of this section, we use a duality transformation defined by

$$A = D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\tilde{n}+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = -C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{\tilde{n}+1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.73)$$

After this duality transformation, we have the following expressions,

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^A \\ D_{\bar{I}}\bar{X}^B \end{pmatrix} = J_1 O J_2, \quad \mathcal{N}_{AB} = -i(J_2)^{-2}, \quad (3.74)$$

¹¹Note that at the base point $G_A^+ = iF^{A+}$.

where O is an orthogonal matrix that acts non-trivially only on the spectator fields and J_1, J_2 are diagonal matrices,

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{diag}(-i, 1, \dots, 1), \quad J_2 = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, 1\right). \quad (3.75)$$

2. To obtain diagonal supersymmetry transformations at the linearized level, a second $Sp(2\tilde{n} + 4, \mathbb{R})$ transformation is necessary:

$$A = O(J_2)^{-1}, \quad D = OJ_2, \quad B = C = 0. \quad (3.76)$$

Note that this transformation, having $B = C = 0$, does not involve the dualization of any field and can be thought of as a mere field redefinition involving the three vector spectator fields.¹² After this redefinition the supersymmetry transformations act diagonally with respect to the matter vector indices $I, J = 0.1. \dots, \tilde{n}$. We obtain a simple expression for the period matrix \mathcal{N}_{AB} ,

$$\mathcal{N}_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -i & 2z^J \\ 2z^I & -i - \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} C_{IJK} \bar{z}^K \end{array} \right) + \dots, \quad (3.77)$$

where the C tensor is the one corresponding to the generic Jordan family, given by (3.22).

3. We finally dualize the extra spectator vector $F_{\mu\nu}^1$ employing a transformation specified by the matrices

$$A = D = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\tilde{n}-1} \end{array} \right), \quad B = -C = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{\tilde{n}-1} \end{array} \right). \quad (3.78)$$

In order to avoid an additional factor of i in the supersymmetry transformation of the scalar field z^1 , we need to accompany this last duality transformation with the field redefinition

$$z^1 \rightarrow -iz^1. \quad (3.79)$$

In the end, the period matrix assumes the following expression up to linear terms in the scalar fields

$$\mathcal{N}_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -i & 2z^J \\ 2z^I & -i + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \tilde{C}_{IJK} \bar{z}^K \end{array} \right) + \dots, \quad (3.80)$$

where we have defined a new tensor \tilde{C}_{IJK} with non-zero entries

$$\tilde{C}_{0ab} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \delta_{ab}, \quad a, b = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{n}. \quad (3.81)$$

¹²We recall that, for simplicity, we restricted ourselves to theories with the minimal number of spectator fields. The discussion here can be generalized without difficulty to more spectators.

We note that \tilde{C}_{IJK} is manifestly invariant under the $SO(\tilde{n})$ symmetry.¹³

In appendix B we collect the expansions for the period matrix \mathcal{N}_{AB} , the scalar metric $g_{I\bar{J}}$ and the Kähler potential \mathcal{K} in the symplectic frame specified above and up to quadratic terms in the scalar fields.

The final action for a YMESGT with compact gauge group obtained from the generic Jordan family takes on the following form,

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}R - g_{I\bar{J}}\mathcal{D}_\mu z^I \mathcal{D}^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{J}} + \frac{1}{4}\text{Im}\mathcal{N}_{AB}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^A \mathcal{F}^{B\mu\nu} - \frac{e^{-1}}{8}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\text{Re}\mathcal{N}_{AB}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^A \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma}^B + g^2\mathcal{P}_4, \quad (3.82)$$

where the gauge covariant derivatives are standard,

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu z^I \equiv \partial_\mu z^I + gA_\mu^J f_{JK}^I z^K, \quad (3.83)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^I \equiv 2\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}^I + gf_{JK}^I A_\mu^J A_\nu^K, \quad (3.84)$$

with g denoting the gauge coupling and f^{rst} the group structure constants. The four-dimensional potential term \mathcal{P}_4 is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_4 = -e^{\mathcal{K}}g_{rs}f^{rtu}f^{svw}z^t\bar{z}^u z^v\bar{z}^w. \quad (3.85)$$

As mentioned previously, this is the expected form of the scalar potential, based on the fact that in the limit of vanishing gravitational constant the YMESGTs reduce to the dimensional reduction of a five-dimensional gauge theory.

It should be noted that the duality transformation that we have employed does not touch fields charged under the gauge group. By employing the Lagrangian (3.82) and the expansions collected in appendix B it is straightforward to derive the Feynman rules used to obtain the amplitudes presented in the following sections.

¹³We stress that only $SO(\tilde{n}-1)$ is linearly realized in the symplectic frame we have chosen and that only the cubic vector-vector-scalar couplings possess the extended $SO(\tilde{n})$ symmetry. To reach a symplectic frame in which the full $SO(\tilde{n})$ is linearly realized (such as the one in ref. [108]), a further nonlinear field redefinition is necessary. Since this redefinition becomes the identity map when nonlinearities are removed, it does not affect the S matrix, which is already invariant under $SO(\tilde{n})$ transformations.

4 Color/kinematics duality and the double-copy $\mathcal{N} = 2$ YMESGTs

In section 2 we discussed the properties of gauge theories that can generate, through the double-copy construction, minimal couplings between non-abelian gauge fields, spin-0 and spin-1/2 matter fields. In this section we expand that discussion and identify the two gauge theories whose double copy can yield the generic Jordan family of YMESGTs in $D = 4, 5$ dimensions. One of them is the standard $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory and the other is a particular scalar-vector theory; we will demonstrate that the amplitudes of the latter obey color/kinematics duality through at least six points. Thus, even though we will not construct its higher-point amplitudes in a form manifestly obeying the duality, they may be used in the double-copy construction. Our construction can be carried out in any dimension in which the half-maximal sYM theory exists. In four and five dimensions it yields the generic Jordan family of YMESGTs; we shall focus on the four-dimensional case because of the advantage provided by the spinor-helicity formalism. The six-dimensional supergravity generated by our construction contains a graviton multiplet, a $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ self-dual tensor multiplet and $\tilde{n} - 2$ Yang-Mills multiplets. The $6D$ supergravity theories that one obtains by compactifying heterotic string over a $K3$ surface belong to this family of theories coupled to hypermultiplets. Modulo the coupling to hypermultiplets they reduce to the five- and four-dimensional generic Jordan family theories (as one can easily see at the level of their scattering amplitudes).¹⁴

4.1 The two gauge-theory factors

To identify the relevant gauge theories we begin by satisfying the constraints imposed in the vanishing gauge coupling limit by the corresponding MESGT, i.e. that the asymptotic spectrum is a sum of tensor products of vector and matter multiplets. Supergravities of this sort, which may be embedded in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity and have at least minimal supersymmetry, as well as general algorithms for their construction, have been discussed in refs. [109, 61]. Extensions of these theories to include further matter (i.e. vector and chiral/hyper multiplets) have also been discussed. Moreover, theories whose spectra are truncations of sums of tensor products of matter multiplets have been discussed in refs. [69, 70]. It is not difficult to see that the on-shell spectrum of the generic Jordan family of MESGTs may be written as the tensor product of an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet with a vector and \tilde{n} real scalar fields,

$$\{A_+, \phi^a, A_-\} \otimes \{A_+, \lambda_+, \varphi, \bar{\varphi}, \lambda_-, A_-\}, \quad (4.1)$$

¹⁴We should however note that generic Jordan family of $5D$ MESGTs can also be obtained from $6D$, $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity coupled to arbitrary number $\tilde{n} - 1$ of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ self-dual tensor multiplets. However interacting non-abelian theories of tensor fields are not known. Therefore it is not clear how one can extend our results to such interacting non-abelian tensor theories.

with real scalars ϕ^a , $a = 1, \dots, \tilde{n}$.

Unlike $\mathcal{N} > 2$ supergravity theories, supergravities with $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$ are not uniquely specified by their field content. Since $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs are specified by their trilinear couplings, to identify the correct double-copy construction, it suffices to make sure that the trilinear interaction terms around the standard base point are correctly reproduced. Detailed calculations for MESGTs with various numbers of vector multiplets as well as general constructions of such theories as orbifold truncations of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity imply that the relevant gauge theories are $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory and a Yang-Mills-scalar theory that is the dimensional reduction of $D = 4 + \tilde{n}$ pure YM theory.

Starting with such a pair of gauge theories for some number \tilde{n} of scalar fields, the next task is to modify one of them such that a S -matrix element originating from a minimal coupling of supergravity fields is reproduced by the double-copy construction. As discussed in section 3.2, from a Lagrangian perspective we may contemplate gauging a subgroup K of the compact part of the off-shell global symmetry group G of the theory. For four-dimensional theories in the generic Jordan family this is

$$K \subset G = SO(\tilde{n}) \quad \dim(K) \leq \tilde{n} . \quad (4.2)$$

The manifest global symmetry of the double-copy construction is the product of the global symmetry groups of two gauge-theory factors, $G_L \otimes G_R$. In general, this is only a subgroup of the global symmetry group G of the resulting supergravity theory. Since the non-manifest generators act simultaneously on the fields of the two gauge-theory factors, it is natural to expect that such a formulation allows only for a gauge group of the type

$$K \subset G_L \otimes G_R \subset G . \quad (4.3)$$

Certain supergravity theories admit two (or perhaps several) different double-copy formulations and the manifest symmetry group of each of them may be different and each of them may allow for a different gauge group. A simple example is $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity coupled to two vector multiplets. If realized as the double-copy of two $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theories it exhibits no manifest global symmetries (apart from R -symmetry). If realized as the product of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM and YM theory coupled to two scalars, it has a global $U(1)$ symmetry rotating the two scalars into each other, which may in principle be gauged.

The double-copy construction of MESGTs in the generic Jordan family described above has a manifest $SO(\tilde{n})$ symmetry rotating the \tilde{n} scalars into each other. This is part of the maximal compact subgroup of the Lagrangian (albeit not in a prepotential formulation).¹⁵

Following the discussion in section 2, to generate the minimal coupling of YMESGTs between scalars, spin-1/2 fermions and non-abelian gauge fields it is necessary that one of

¹⁵This construction departs slightly from the theories reviewed in section 3.3. In that case it was assumed that there are always three spectator fields. While it is possible to make such an assumption here as well, it does not simplify any of the considerations; therefore we shall not make it.

the two gauge-theory factors contains a dimension-three operator (in $D = 4$ counting). Since the minimal coupling is proportional to the supergravity gauge-group structure constants, the desired gauge-theory operator should be proportional to it as well. If only a subgroup of the manifest symmetry is gauged then only a subset of gauge-theory scalars appear in this trilinear coupling; in such a situation the global symmetry of the theory is broken to the subgroup leaving the trilinear coupling invariant. The scalars transforming in its complement should lead to the supergravity spectator fields.¹⁶

We are therefore led to the following two Lagrangians (using mostly-minus metric):

$$L_{\mathcal{N}=2}^{D=4} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^{\hat{a}}F_{\hat{a}}^{\mu\nu} + (\overline{D_{\mu}\phi})^{\hat{a}}(D^{\mu}\phi)_{\hat{a}} - \frac{g^2}{2}(if_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}\phi^{\hat{b}}\bar{\phi}^{\hat{c}})(if_{\hat{b}'\hat{c}'}\phi^{\hat{b}'}\bar{\phi}^{\hat{c}'}) + i\bar{\lambda}D_{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\lambda + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}(if_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}})(\lambda^{\hat{a}\alpha\xi}\phi^{\hat{b}}\lambda_{\xi}^{\hat{c}\beta})\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}(if_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}})(\bar{\lambda}^{\hat{a}\alpha\xi}\bar{\phi}^{\hat{b}}\bar{\lambda}_{\xi}^{\hat{c}\beta})\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4.4)$$

where α, β are $SU(2)$ indices and

$$L_{\mathcal{N}=0} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^{\hat{a}}F_{\hat{a}}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(D_{\mu}\phi^a)^{\hat{a}}(D^{\mu}\phi^b)_{\hat{a}}\delta_{ab} + \frac{g^2}{4}(if_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}\phi^{\hat{b}\hat{b}}\phi^{\hat{c}\hat{c}})(if_{\hat{b}'\hat{c}'}\phi^{\hat{b}'\hat{b}'}\phi^{\hat{c}'\hat{c}'})\delta_{bb'}\delta_{cc'} + \frac{gg'}{3!}(if_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}})F_{abc}\phi^{\hat{a}a}\phi^{\hat{b}b}\phi^{\hat{c}c}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{\hat{a}} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{\hat{a}} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}^{\hat{a}} + g f_{\hat{b}\hat{c}}^{\hat{a}}A_{\mu}^{\hat{b}}A_{\nu}^{\hat{c}}, \quad (D_{\mu}\phi^a)^{\hat{a}} = \partial_{\mu}\phi^{\hat{a}a} + g f_{\hat{b}\hat{c}}^{\hat{a}}A_{\mu}^{\hat{b}}\phi^{\hat{c}a}, \quad (4.6)$$

where the gauge-group generators are assumed to be hermitian, $[T_{\hat{a}}, T_{\hat{b}}] = if_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}^{\hat{c}}T_{\hat{c}}$ and the coefficient g' is arbitrary and dimensionful.¹⁷ The indices a, b, \dots take values $1, \dots, \tilde{n}$. The rank-three tensor F has entries F^{rst} , with $r, s, t = 2, 3, \dots, \tilde{n}$, given by the structure constants of a subgroup K of $SO(\tilde{n})$, and all other entries set to zero.¹⁸ To use the double-copy construction we need the scattering amplitudes of both the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory and the Yang-Mills-scalar theory (4.5) to obey color/kinematics duality, albeit only one of them is needed in a form that obeys it manifestly. Since the amplitudes of the former theory have this property (and their manifestly color/kinematic-satisfying form may be obtained by a \mathbb{Z}_2 projection from the corresponding amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM theory) we only need to make sure that the vector/scalar theory obeys the duality as well. We shall explore this question in the next subsection with a positive conclusion.

Denoting by

$$G_{+} = g_{+} + \eta_{\alpha}\lambda_{+}^{\alpha} + \eta^2\phi, \quad G_{-} = \bar{\phi} + \eta_{\alpha}\lambda_{-}^{\alpha} + \eta^2g_{-} \quad (4.7)$$

¹⁶ This relation is somewhat reminiscent of the AdS/CFT correspondence, where supergravity gauge fields are dual to conserved currents for global symmetries.

¹⁷The gauge-group structure constants f are related to the structure constants \tilde{f} , naturally appearing in color-dressed scattering amplitudes, through

$$f_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{f}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}.$$

¹⁸A possible proportionality coefficient is absorbed in the coefficient g' .

the two CPT -conjugate on-shell vector multiplets of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM, the on-shell $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets of the supergravity theory are

$$\begin{aligned}
H_+ &= A_+ \otimes G_+ = h_{++} + \eta_\alpha \psi_+^\alpha + \eta^2 V_+ , & H_- &= A_- \otimes G_- = V_- + \eta_\alpha \psi_-^\alpha + \eta^2 h_{--} , \\
\tilde{V}_+ &= A_+ \otimes G_- = \tilde{V}_+ + \eta_\alpha \tilde{\zeta}_+^\alpha + \eta^2 S_{+-} , & \tilde{V}_- &= A_- \otimes G_+ = S_{-+} + \eta_\alpha \tilde{\zeta}_-^\alpha + \eta^2 \tilde{V}_- , \\
V_+^m &= \phi^m \otimes G_+ = V_+^m + \eta_\alpha \zeta_+^{m\alpha} + \eta_+^2 S^m , & V_-^m &= \phi^m \otimes G_- = \bar{S}^m + \eta_\alpha \zeta_-^{m\alpha} + \eta^2 V_-^m , \\
V_+^r &= \phi^r \otimes G_+ = V_+^r + \eta_\alpha \zeta_+^{r\alpha} + \eta_+^2 S^r , & V_-^r &= \phi^r \otimes G_- = \bar{S}^r + \eta_\alpha \zeta_-^{r\alpha} + \eta^2 V_-^r ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

with the index r transforming in the adjoint representation of K . The component fields are the same as in the corresponding MESGT; denoting by subscript 1 and 2 the component fields of the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory respectively, they are

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{spin} = 2 : & \quad h_{++} = A_{1+} \otimes A_{2+} , & h_{--} &= A_{1-} \otimes A_{2-} , \\
\text{spin} = 3/2 : & \quad \psi_+^\alpha = A_{1+} \otimes \lambda_{2+}^\alpha , & \psi_-^\alpha &= A_{1-} \otimes \lambda_{2-}^\alpha , \\
\text{spin} = 1 : & \quad V_+ = A_{1+} \otimes \varphi_2 , & \tilde{V}_- &= A_{1-} \otimes \varphi_2 , \\
& \quad \tilde{V}_+ = A_{1+} \otimes \bar{\varphi}_2 , & V_- &= A_{1-} \otimes \bar{\varphi}_2 , \\
& \quad V_+^a = \phi_1^a \otimes A_{2+} , & V_-^a &= \phi_1^a \otimes A_{2-} , \\
\text{spin} = 1/2 : & \quad \tilde{\zeta}_+^\alpha = A_{1+} \otimes \lambda_{2+}^\alpha , & \tilde{\zeta}_-^\alpha &= A_{1-} \otimes \lambda_{2+}^\alpha , \\
& \quad \zeta_+^{a\alpha} = \phi_1^a \otimes \lambda_{2+}^\alpha , & \zeta_-^{a\alpha} &= \phi_1^a \otimes \lambda_{2-}^\alpha , \\
\text{spin} = 0 : & \quad S^a = \phi_1^a \otimes \varphi_2 , & \bar{S}^a &= \phi_1^a \otimes \bar{\varphi}_2 , \\
& \quad S_{+-} = A_{1+} \otimes A_{2-} , & S_{-+} &= A_{1-} \otimes A_{2+} .
\end{aligned}$$

As we shall see in the next section, multiplets carrying indices r, s, \dots will be identified with the gauge field multiplets of supergravity, while those carrying indices m, n, \dots will be related to the supergravity spectator multiplets with the same indices while V and \tilde{V} will be related to the “universal” spectator vector fields of the generic Jordan family YMESGTs. The resulting theory will have an $SO(\tilde{n} - \dim(K))$ global symmetry acting on the indices m, n, \dots .¹⁹ The fields labeling the amplitudes obtained through the double-copy construction need not *a priori* be the same as the natural asymptotic states around a Minkowski vacuum following from the Lagrangian (3.82) and a field redefinition may be required. Such redefinitions are to be constructed on a case-by-case basis, for the specific choice of Lagrangian asymptotic fields. As we shall see in section 5, for the choice of symplectic section in section 3.3 the map between the Lagrangian and the double-copy asymptotic states is trivial; additional nonlinear field redefinitions such as those needed to restore $SO(\tilde{n})$ symmetry of the Lagrangian should not affect the S matrix.

¹⁹We note that, by simply replacing the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory, the double-copy theory becomes $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity coupled with $\tilde{n} - \dim(K)$ abelian and $\dim(K)$ non-abelian vector multiplets, which is uniquely specified by its field content and symmetries (up to symmetry and duality transformations of abelian vector fields).

4.2 Color/kinematics duality of Yang-Mills-scalar theories

To use the scattering amplitudes from the Lagrangian (4.5) to find supergravity amplitudes either through the KLT or through the double-copy construction, it is necessary to check that, in principle, they can be put in a form obeying color/kinematics duality in D dimensions.²⁰ Since at $g' = 0$, the equation (4.5) reduces to the dimensional reduction of a $(4 + \tilde{n})$ -dimensional pure YM theory, which is known to obey the duality; we need to check only g' -dependent terms.

For the four-point amplitudes this can be done simply by inspection. The only g' -dependent amplitude involving four scalars is given by ref. [69]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_4^{(0)}(1^{\phi^{a_1}} 2^{\phi^{a_2}} 3^{\phi^{a_3}} 4^{\phi^{a_4}}) \Big|_{g'^2 \text{ terms}} &= g^2 g'^2 \left(\frac{1}{s} F^{a_1 a_2 b} F^{a_3 a_4 b} f^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \hat{b}} f^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{u} F^{a_3 a_1 b} F^{a_2 a_4 b} f^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_1 \hat{b}} f^{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}} + \frac{1}{t} F^{a_2 a_3 b} F^{a_1 a_4 b} f^{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_3 \hat{b}} f^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

We therefore see that color/kinematics duality is satisfied if the nonzero part of F_{abc} obeys the Jacobi identity and, therefore, it is proportional to the structure constants of some group. This is consistent with the expectation that the trilinear scalar coupling is responsible for generating the minimal couplings of the supergravity gauge fields. One may similarly check that the g' -dependent terms in the four-point scalar amplitude with pairwise identical scalars have a similar property [69].

An amplitude that probes the scalar interactions both on their own as well as together with the scalar-vector interactions is $\mathcal{A}_5^{\text{tree}}(1^{\phi^{a_1}} 2^{\phi^{a_2}} 3^{\phi^{a_3}} 4^{\phi^{a_3}} 5^{\phi^{a_3}})$ involving only three distinct scalars. The $\mathcal{O}(g'^3)$ part of this amplitude is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{(0)}(1^{\phi^{a_1}} 2^{\phi^{a_2}} 3^{\phi^{a_3}} 4^{\phi^{a_3}} 5^{\phi^{a_3}}) \Big|_{g'^3 \text{ terms}} &= g^3 g'^3 F^{a_1 a_3 b} F^{b a_3 c} F^{c a_3 a_2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(3,4,5)} \frac{1}{s_{1\sigma(3)} s_{2\sigma(5)}} f^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_{\sigma(3)} \hat{b}} f^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_{\sigma(4)} \hat{c}} f^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_{\sigma(5)} \hat{a}_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

By construction this amplitude obeys color/kinematics duality, or rather ‘‘color/color duality’’. Moreover, it is easy to check that it satisfies the BCJ amplitude relations [83].

The $\mathcal{A}_5^{(0)}(1^{\phi^{a_1}} 2^{\phi^{a_2}} 3^{\phi^{a_3}} 4^{\phi^{a_3}} 5^{\phi^{a_3}})$ receives contributions from both the cubic and quartic terms of the Lagrangian. Defining $k_{ij\dots} = k_i + k_j + \dots$ and $k_{i\bar{j}\dots} = k_i - k_j + \dots$ it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{(0)}(1^{\phi^{a_1}} 2^{\phi^{a_2}} 3^{\phi^{a_3}} 4^{\phi^{a_3}} 5^{\phi^{a_3}}) \Big|_{g' \text{ terms}} &= \frac{1}{2} g^3 g' F^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \left[\left(\frac{k_{12\bar{3}} \cdot k_{4\bar{5}}}{s_{12} s_{45}} f^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \hat{b}} f^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_3 \hat{c}} + \frac{k_{\bar{1}23} \cdot k_{4\bar{5}}}{s_{23} s_{45}} f^{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_3 \hat{b}} f^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_1 \hat{c}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{k_{1\bar{2}3} \cdot k_{4\bar{5}}}{s_{13} s_{45}} f^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_1 \hat{b}} f^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_2 \hat{c}} \right) f^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_4 \hat{a}_5} + (3 \leftrightarrow 4) + (3 \leftrightarrow 5) \right] \end{aligned}$$

²⁰This is related to the need of regularization at loop level.

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} g^3 g' F^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \left[- \left(\frac{1}{s_{13}} + \frac{1}{s_{24}} \right) f^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_3 \hat{b}} f^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_5 \hat{c}} f^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_4} - \left(\frac{1}{s_{13}} + \frac{1}{s_{25}} \right) f^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_3 \hat{b}} f^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_4 \hat{c}} f^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_5} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (3 \leftrightarrow 4) + (3 \leftrightarrow 5) \right] . \tag{4.11}
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to check that the color-ordered amplitudes following from this expression obey the five-point amplitudes relation (2.3) and its images under permutations of external lines; it therefore follows that there exists a sequence of generalized gauge transformations [3] that casts this amplitude into a form manifestly obeying color/kinematics duality. Since $\mathcal{N} = 2$ amplitudes manifestly obeying the duality are known [69], for the purpose of constructing YMESGT amplitudes, it is not necessary to also have a manifest representation for the amplitudes of Yang-Mills-scalar theory (albeit it might lead to more structured expressions if one were available).

We have also checked that the tree-level six-point amplitudes following from the Lagrangian (4.5) obey the relevant amplitude relations [3] and therefore they should also have a presentation manifestly obeying the duality. Beyond six points, we conjecture that the tree amplitudes of (4.5) always satisfy the BCJ relations [3], and thus the theory should satisfy color-kinematics duality at tree level. From this one can expect that it may also satisfy the duality at loop level [4].

5 Tree-level amplitudes

Having established that the scattering amplitudes of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory (4.5) obey color/kinematics duality, we proceed to use it to evaluate explicitly the double-copy three- and four-point amplitudes and compare them with the analogous amplitudes computed from the Lagrangian (3.82).

The color structure of supergravity amplitudes is the same as that of a gauge theory coupled to fields that are singlets under gauge transformations. In a structure-constant basis they are given by open (at tree-level) and closed (at loop-level) strings of structure constants and color-space Kronecker symbols. In the trace basis, this implies that the structure of tree amplitudes is similar to that of loop amplitudes in that, unlike pure gauge theories, it is not restricted to having only single-trace terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_n &= \sum_{\mathcal{S}_m} \text{Tr}[T^{a_1} \dots T^{a_m}] A_{n,1}(1 \dots n) \\
&+ \sum_{m_1+m_2=m} \sum_{\mathcal{S}_{m_1} \times \mathcal{S}_{m_2}} \text{Tr}[T^{a_1} \dots T^{a_{m_1}}] \text{Tr}[T^{a_{m_1+1}} \dots T^{a_{m_1+m_2}}] A_{n,2}(1 \dots n) + \dots , \tag{5.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{S}_{m_i} is the set of non-cyclic permutations. Different traces are “connected” by exchange of color singlets.

In theories with less-than-maximal supersymmetry, scattering superamplitudes are organized following the number of on-shell multiplets the asymptotic states belong to. In our

case, using the fact that $\mathcal{N} = 2$ algebra is a \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ algebra we can use a slightly more compact organization. To this end we organize the supergravity multiplets (4.8) as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_+ &= \text{H}_+ + \eta^3\eta^4\tilde{\text{V}}_+ = h_{++} + \eta_\alpha\psi_+^\alpha + \eta^1\eta^2V_+ + \eta^3\eta^4\tilde{V}_+ + \eta^3\eta^4\eta_\alpha\tilde{\zeta}_+^\alpha + \eta^1\eta^2\eta^3\eta^4S_{+-} , \\ \mathcal{H}_- &= \tilde{\text{V}}_- + \eta^3\eta^4\text{H}_- = S_{-+} + \eta_\alpha\tilde{\zeta}_-^\alpha + \eta^1\eta^2\tilde{V}_- + \eta^3\eta^4V_- + \eta^3\eta^4\eta_\alpha\psi_-^\alpha + \eta^1\eta^2\eta^3\eta^4h_{--} , \\ \mathcal{V}^a &= \text{V}_+^a + \eta^3\eta^4\text{V}_-^a = V_+^a + \eta_\alpha\zeta_+^{a\alpha} + \eta^1\eta^2S^a + \eta^3\eta^4\bar{S}^a + \eta^3\eta^4\eta_\alpha\zeta_-^{a\alpha} + \eta^1\eta^2\eta^3\eta^4V_-^a ,\end{aligned}\quad (5.2)$$

and the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge multiplet as

$$\mathcal{G} = \text{G}_+ + \eta^3\eta^4\text{G}_- = g_+ + \eta_\alpha\lambda_+^\alpha + \eta^1\eta^2\phi + \eta^3\eta^4\bar{\phi} + \eta^3\eta^4\eta_\alpha\lambda_-^\alpha + \eta^1\eta^2\eta^3\eta^4g_- , \quad (5.3)$$

where $\eta^{3,4}$ are auxiliary Grassmann variables.²¹ One may think of \mathcal{H}_\pm and \mathcal{V} as constrained $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity and vector multiplets, respectively, which are invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_2 projection.²² With the asymptotic states assembled in these superfields, superamplitudes are polynomials in the pairs $\eta_i^3\eta_i^4$ with i labeling the external legs. The monomial with n_+ such pairs represents the superamplitudes with n_+ supermultiplets of type +.

5.1 Three-point amplitudes and the field and parameter map

Three-point amplitudes verify the structure of minimal couplings and of other trilinear couplings demanded supersymmetry and consistency of the YMESGT, such as the reduction to four dimensions of the fermion bilinear (3.38). They also determine the map between the double-copy and Lagrangian fields and parameters.

The kinematic parts of the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ amplitudes involving at least one gluon are the same as in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM; the three-scalar amplitude – the only three-point amplitude dependent on g' – is momentum-independent. Up to conjugation and relabeling of external legs, the non-vanishing amplitudes of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory are

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{(0),\mathcal{N}=0}(1g^{\hat{a}}, 2g^{\hat{b}}, 3g^{\hat{c}}) = ig\frac{\langle 12 \rangle^3}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \tilde{\text{f}}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} , \quad (5.4)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{(0),\mathcal{N}=0}(1\phi^{aa}, 2\phi^{\hat{b}\hat{b}}, 3\phi^{\hat{c}\hat{c}}) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}g g' \tilde{\text{f}}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} F^{abc} , \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{(0),\mathcal{N}=0}(1\phi^{\hat{a}a}, 2\phi^{\hat{b}\hat{b}}, 3g^{\hat{c}}) = ig\frac{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle}{\langle 12 \rangle} \tilde{\text{f}}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} \delta^{ab} , \quad (5.6)$$

where $\tilde{\text{f}}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} = i\sqrt{2}\text{f}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}$.

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superamplitudes, labeled in terms of the multiplets \mathcal{G} , may be obtained from those of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM theory through the supersymmetric \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold projection acting on the

²¹Since they always appear as a product one may also replace $\eta^3\eta^4$ by a nilpotent Grassmann-even variable.

²²One may find the amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity coupled to n_s abelian and $\dim(K)$ non-abelian vector multiplets by simply forgetting the \mathbb{Z}_2 projection.

η^3 and η^4 Grassmann variables. This effectively amounts to modifying the super-momentum conservation constraint as

$$\delta^{(8)}\left(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle\right) \mapsto -\mathcal{Q}_n^{34} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle\right), \quad \delta^{(4)}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} [ij] \eta_k^\alpha\right) \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_3^{34} \delta^{(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} [ij] \eta_k^\alpha\right) \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}_n^{34} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle ij \rangle^2 (\eta_i^3 \eta_i^4) (\eta_j^3 \eta_j^4) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_3^{34} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j \neq k=1}^3 [ij]^2 (\eta_k^3 \eta_k^4). \quad (5.8)$$

Of course, for higher-multiplicity amplitudes other projected supersymmetry invariants appear as well. With this notation, the three-point superamplitudes are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{(0),\text{MHV},\mathcal{N}=2}(1^{\mathcal{G}}, 2^{\mathcal{G}}, 3^{\mathcal{G}}) &= -i g \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} \mathcal{Q}_3^{34} \frac{\delta^{(4)}(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle)}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle}, \\ \mathcal{A}_3^{(0),\overline{\text{MHV}},\mathcal{N}=2}(1^{\mathcal{G}}, 2^{\mathcal{G}}, 3^{\mathcal{G}}) &= i g \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_3^{34} \frac{\delta^{(2)}(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} [ij] \eta_k^\alpha)}{[12][23][31]}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

The two superamplitudes (5.9) are related by conjugation and Grassmann-Fourier transform. From the perspective of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory, \mathcal{Q}_n^{34} and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_3^{34}$ are the \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant combination of the η^3 and η^4 Grassmann variables.²³

Using equations (5.9) and (5.5), (5.6) and (5.4) it is easy to construct the double-copy three-point amplitudes; some of them vanish identically because of special properties of three-particle complex momentum kinematics (which e.g. implies that the product of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic spinor products vanishes identically). Up to conjugation, the non-vanishing superamplitudes are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_3^{(0)}(1^{\mathcal{H}-}, 2^{\mathcal{H}-}, 3^{\mathcal{H}+}) &= i \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^2}{\langle 23 \rangle^2 \langle 31 \rangle^2} \mathcal{Q}_3^{34} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle\right), \\ \mathcal{M}_3^{(0)}(1^{\mathcal{V}^a}, 2^{\mathcal{V}^b}, 3^{\mathcal{V}^c}) &= i \frac{\kappa}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{g' F^{abc}}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \mathcal{Q}_3^{34} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle\right), \\ \mathcal{M}_3^{(0)}(1^{\mathcal{V}^a}, 2^{\mathcal{V}^b}, 3^{\mathcal{H}-}) &= i \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\delta^{ab}}{\langle 12 \rangle^2} \mathcal{Q}_3^{34} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

The superamplitudes labeled by $\mathcal{N} = 2$ on-shell supermultiplets may be extracted as shown in footnote 23. The component amplitudes extracted from these superamplitudes and their

²³ To extract from equation (5.9) scattering amplitudes labeled by the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets G_\pm one simply extracts the coefficients of the various monomials in $\eta^3 \eta^4$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{(0),\text{MHV},\mathcal{N}=2}(1^{G_+}, 2^{G_-}, 3^{G_-}) &= -i g \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} \frac{\langle 23 \rangle^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle\right), \\ \mathcal{A}_3^{(0),\overline{\text{MHV}},\mathcal{N}=2}(1^{G_+}, 2^{G_+}, 3^{G_-}) &= i g \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} \frac{[12]^2}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} [ij] \eta_k^\alpha\right). \end{aligned}$$

conjugates are very similar to the component amplitudes following from the supergravity Lagrangian (3.82).²⁴ Indeed, the kinematic factors are fixed by little-group scaling and gauge invariance and the numerical coefficients can be mapped into each other by identifying the structure constants of the global symmetry group of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory with the structure constants of the supergravity gauge group as²⁵

$$g' F^{rst} = 2ig f^{rst} . \quad (5.11)$$

All the double-copy and Lagrangian multiplets are then trivially mapped into each other,²⁶

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ &= H_+^{\mathcal{L}} , & H_- &= H_-^{\mathcal{L}} , & V_+^a &= V_+^{\mathcal{L}a} , & V_-^a &= V_-^{\mathcal{L}a} , \\ \tilde{V}_+ &= \tilde{V}_+^{\mathcal{L}} , & \tilde{V}_- &= \tilde{V}_-^{\mathcal{L}} , & V_+^r &= V_+^{\mathcal{L}r} , & V_-^r &= V_-^{\mathcal{L}r} , \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where the field map is presented in terms of on-shell superfields and we have added the superscript "L" to the superfields from the supergravity Lagrangian (3.82). The above identity map also shows that the linearized Lagrangian and double-copy supersymmetry generators are essentially the same. The Lagrangian matter multiplets are²⁷

$$V_+^{\mathcal{L}} = V_+^{\mathcal{L}} + \eta_\alpha \zeta_+^{\mathcal{L}\alpha} + i\eta^2 S^{\mathcal{L}} . \quad (5.13)$$

We note here that the second amplitude (5.10) and its *CPT*-conjugate contain the *S*-matrix element originating from the four-dimensional analog of the Yukawa interaction (3.38), which is required by consistency of gauge interactions and supersymmetry. The fact that this amplitude correctly reproduces the interaction is a strong indication that the double-copy construction proposed here is capturing correctly all features of the generic Jordan family of four-dimensional YMESGTs.

²⁴ To compare the amplitudes from the Lagrangian with those from the double-copy, we also need to employ analytic continuation, as the former are obtained with a mostly-plus metric and the latter with a mostly-minus metric.

²⁵We may formally separate the identification of the gauge coupling from that of the structure constants through the relation

$$g^{2m}(g')^l \mapsto \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^{2m} g^l .$$

²⁶ More in general, one can introduce a parameter θ in the identification of the multiplets,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\pm\pm} &= h_{\pm\pm}^{\mathcal{L}} & V_{\pm} &= \pm i e^{\mp i\theta} V_{\pm}^{\mathcal{L}} \\ \tilde{V}_{\pm} &= \pm i e^{\pm i\theta} \tilde{V}_{\pm}^{\mathcal{L}} & S_{+-} &= -i S_{+-}^{\mathcal{L}} & S_{-+} &= +i S_{-+}^{\mathcal{L}} \\ V_{\pm}^a &= V_{\pm}^{a\mathcal{L}} & S^a &= e^{-i\theta} S^a{}^{\mathcal{L}} & \bar{S}^a &= e^{i\theta} \bar{S}^a{}^{\mathcal{L}} \\ V_{\pm}^r &= V_{\pm}^{r\mathcal{L}} & S^r &= e^{-i\theta} S^r{}^{\mathcal{L}} & \bar{S}^r &= e^{i\theta} \bar{S}^r{}^{\mathcal{L}} \end{aligned}$$

The parameter θ is free and its presence is a reflection of the classical *U*(1) electric/magnetic duality of the theory. The choice $\theta = \pi/2$ is a consequence of the symplectic section chosen in section 3.3.

²⁷The factor of *i* can be understood by noticing that in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity dilatonic scalars are of the form $\phi^{ABCD} + \phi_{ABCD}$ while in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ language the dilatonic scalars are the imaginary parts of the complex scalars that appear in the Lagrangian.

We also note that the map above establishes an off-shell double-copy structure for the minimal couplings of YMESGTs. Indeed, the off-shell double-copy of the three-scalar vertices of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory and the vertices of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory simply replaced the color structure constants of the latter with the structure constants of the YMESGT gauge group. This is consistent the YMESGTs minimal couplings of a standard $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory, as it can be seen trivially in the $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ limit.

5.2 Four-point amplitudes

To reinforce the validity of the construction for YMESGTs we proceed to compare the four-point amplitudes obtained for the Lagrangian with those obtained through the double copy. Since the g' -independent terms are the same as in the ungauged theory, we shall focus here on the g' -dependent amplitudes; we inspect separately the terms quadratic and linear in g' .

From a double-copy point of view, the former must contain a four-scalar amplitude in the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ factor. The amplitude with four independently-labeled scalars can be found in equation (4.9) in a color/kinematics-satisfying form; from here we may construct the amplitude with one pair or two pairs of identical scalars.

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ four-point amplitude labeled in terms of the constrained superfield (5.2) is

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{(0),\mathcal{N}=2}(1^{\mathcal{G}}2^{\mathcal{G}}3^{\mathcal{G}}4^{\mathcal{G}}) = g^2 \left(\frac{\hat{n}_s c_s}{s} + \frac{\hat{n}_t c_t}{t} + \frac{\hat{n}_u c_u}{u} \right) \mathcal{Q}_4^{34} \delta^4 \left(\sum_i \eta_i |i\rangle \right), \quad (5.14)$$

with numerator factors obeying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hat{n}_s}{s} - \frac{\hat{n}_t}{t} &= \frac{i}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle}, \\ \frac{\hat{n}_t}{t} - \frac{\hat{n}_u}{u} &= \frac{i}{\langle 14 \rangle \langle 42 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle}, \\ \frac{\hat{n}_u}{u} - \frac{\hat{n}_s}{s} &= \frac{i}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 42 \rangle \langle 21 \rangle}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

and color factors given by

$$c_s = \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \hat{b}} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}}, \quad c_t = \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_3 \hat{b}}, \quad c_u = \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_3 \hat{b}} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_4 \hat{a}_2 \hat{b}}. \quad (5.16)$$

It is convenient to choose the kinematic coefficient of one of the color structures to vanish; we will choose $\hat{n}_t = 0$. The kinematics Jacobi identity obeyed by the numerator factors \hat{n} implies that $\hat{n}_s = -\hat{n}_u$. Then, the four-point superamplitude proportional to $(g')^2$ is:

$$M_4(1^{\nu^{a_1}} 2^{\nu^{a_2}} 3^{\nu^{a_3}} 4^{\nu^{a_4}}) = \frac{i}{2} g'^2 \mathcal{Q}_4^{34} \delta^{(4)} \left(\sum_i \eta_i |i\rangle \right) \left(\frac{F^{a_3 a_1 b} F^{a_2 a_4 b}}{\langle 14 \rangle \langle 42 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} - \frac{F^{a_1 a_2 b} F^{a_3 a_4 b}}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle} \right). \quad (5.17)$$

The four-point amplitudes of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory have contributions linear in g' corresponding to three scalars and one gluon. It is not hard to see from the Lagrangian (4.5) that, up to permutation of external legs and conjugation, these are given by

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{(0),\mathcal{N}=0}(1^{\phi^{a_1}} 2^{\phi^{a_2}} 3^{\phi^{a_3}} 4^-) = \frac{i g^2 g'}{\sqrt{2}} F^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \frac{\langle 14 \rangle \langle 43 \rangle}{\langle 13 \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{s} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \hat{b}} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}} - \frac{1}{t} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_3 \hat{b}} \right), \quad (5.18)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{(0),\mathcal{N}=0}(1^{\phi^{a_1}} 2^{\phi^{a_2}} 3^{\phi^{a_3}} 4^+) = \frac{i g^2 g'}{\sqrt{2}} F^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \frac{[14][43]}{[13]} \left(\frac{1}{s} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \hat{b}} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}} - \frac{1}{t} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}} \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_3 \hat{b}} \right), \quad (5.19)$$

where we picked the reference vector in the gluon polarization vector to be k_2 .

Then, the resulting supergravity superamplitudes that are linear in the gauge coupling are given by

$$M_4(1^{\nu^{a_1}} 2^{\nu^{a_2}} 3^{\nu^{a_3}} 4^{\mathcal{H}^-}) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} g' F^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \mathcal{Q}_4^{34} \frac{\delta^4(\sum_i \eta_i |i\rangle)}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \quad (5.20)$$

and the CPT -conjugate amplitude. It is straightforward (albeit quite tedious) to derive the g' -dependent terms of four-point amplitudes using standard Feynman diagrammatics and see that the maps (5.11) and (5.12) relate them to the double-copy amplitudes listed in this section.

We note here that supergravity scattering amplitudes obey color/kinematics duality on all legs for which a Jacobi relation can be constructed (and do so manifestly if the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM amplitudes obey the duality). It is not hard to check this assertion, which may be understood as a consequence of the color/kinematics duality of the gauge theory factors, on equations (5.17) and (5.20). Indeed, the internal legs on which Jacobi identities can be constructed are color non-singlets and therefore, from the perspective of the double-copy construction, start and end at a trilinear scalar vertex in the Yang-Mills-scalar theory. The part of the numerator factors due to these vertices is momentum-independent and depends only on the structure constants $F^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$. Thus, whenever the gauge-group color factors obey the Jacobi identity, the global symmetry group factors obey it as well. In the scattering amplitudes of the corresponding double-copy YMESGT the global symmetry group factors of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory become color factors and are multiplied by the numerator factors of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory, which are assumed to obey the kinematic Jacobi relations. It therefore follows that whenever the YMESGT color factors of an amplitude obey Jacobi relations (on a leg on which such a relation may be defined) then so do the kinematics numerator factors, i.e. the amplitude exhibits manifest color/kinematics duality.

5.3 Five-point amplitudes

Having gained confidence that the construction proposed here describes the generic Jordan family of YMESGTs, we can proceed to compute higher-point amplitudes. The double-copy construction of the five-point superamplitudes of YMSGTs is slightly more involved due

to the more complicated structure of the color/kinematics-satisfying representations of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superamplitudes. Such a representation may be obtained as a \mathbb{Z}_2 projection of the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 4$ five-point superamplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{(0)} = & g^3 \left(\frac{n_1 c_1}{s_{12} s_{45}} + \frac{n_2 c_2}{s_{23} s_{51}} + \frac{n_3 c_3}{s_{34} s_{12}} + \frac{n_4 c_4}{s_{45} s_{23}} + \frac{n_5 c_5}{s_{51} s_{34}} + \frac{n_6 c_6}{s_{14} s_{25}} \right. \\ & + \frac{n_7 c_7}{s_{32} s_{14}} + \frac{n_8 c_8}{s_{25} s_{43}} + \frac{n_9 c_9}{s_{13} s_{25}} + \frac{n_{10} c_{10}}{s_{42} s_{13}} + \frac{n_{11} c_{11}}{s_{51} s_{42}} + \frac{n_{12} c_{12}}{s_{12} s_{35}} \\ & \left. + \frac{n_{13} c_{13}}{s_{35} s_{24}} + \frac{n_{14} c_{14}}{s_{14} s_{35}} + \frac{n_{15} c_{15}}{s_{13} s_{45}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

where the color factors are explicitly given by ref. [3],

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_3} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_4} \hat{a}_5, & c_2 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_3} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_4} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_5} \hat{a}_1, & c_3 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_5} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_1} \hat{a}_2, \\ c_4 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_4 \hat{a}_5} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_1} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_2} \hat{a}_3, & c_5 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_5 \hat{a}_1} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_2} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_3} \hat{a}_4, & c_6 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_4} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_3} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_2} \hat{a}_5, \\ c_7 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_2} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_5} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_1} \hat{a}_4, & c_8 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_5} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_1} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_4} \hat{a}_3, & c_9 &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_3} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_4} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_2} \hat{a}_5, \\ c_{10} &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_4 \hat{a}_2} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_5} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_1} \hat{a}_3, & c_{11} &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_5 \hat{a}_1} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_3} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_4} \hat{a}_2, & c_{12} &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_4} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_3} \hat{a}_5, \\ c_{13} &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_5} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_1} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_2} \hat{a}_4, & c_{14} &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_4} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_2} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_3} \hat{a}_5, & c_{15} &\equiv \tilde{f}^{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_3} \tilde{b}^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_2} \tilde{c}^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_4} \hat{a}_5. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

In the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory the numerator factors n_i have many different forms, see e.g. ref. [10] and for each of them the orbifold projection yields an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superamplitude with the desired properties. For five-point amplitudes this projection amounts to replacing super-momentum-conserving delta function as in equation (5.7). An example of numerator factors is

$$\begin{aligned} n(a, b, c, d, e) = & \frac{1}{10} \left(\left(\frac{1}{s_{cd}} - \frac{1}{s_{ce}} \right) \gamma_{ab} + \left(\frac{1}{s_{ac}} - \frac{1}{s_{bc}} \right) \gamma_{ed} \right. \\ & \left. - \left[\frac{\beta_{edcba}}{s_{ae}} + \frac{\beta_{decab}}{s_{bd}} - \frac{\beta_{edcab}}{s_{be}} - \frac{\beta_{decba}}{s_{ad}} \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{12345} &\equiv -\mathcal{Q}_5^{34} \delta^{(4)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 \eta_i |i\rangle \right) \frac{[12][23][34][45][51]}{4 \varepsilon(1, 2, 3, 4)}, \\ \gamma_{ij} &\equiv \gamma_{ijklm} = \beta_{ijklm} - \beta_{jiklm}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

The order of arguments is given by the order of free indices of the color factor.

The $\mathcal{N} = 0$ five-scalar amplitude has the same form as (5.21) except that the numerator factors are (quadratic) polynomials in g' :

$$n_i = n_{i,0} + \frac{1}{2} g' F^{a_1 a_2 a_3} n_{i,1} + g'^3 F^{a_1 a_3 b} F^{b a_3 c} F^{c a_3 a_2} n_{i,2} \quad (5.25)$$

with $n_{i,0} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 15$. Eqs. (4.10) and (4.11) determine $n_{i,1}$ and $n_{i,2}$ to be²⁸

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{1,1} &= k_{12\bar{3}} \cdot k_{4\bar{5}} & n_{2,1} &= -(s_{15} + s_{23}) & n_{3,1} &= -k_{12\bar{5}} \cdot k_{3\bar{4}} \\
n_{4,1} &= k_{\bar{1}23} \cdot k_{4\bar{5}} & n_{5,1} &= -k_{\bar{1}2\bar{5}} \cdot k_{3\bar{4}} & n_{6,1} &= -(s_{14} + s_{25}) \\
n_{7,1} &= -(s_{14} + s_{23}) & n_{8,1} &= -k_{\bar{1}2\bar{5}} \cdot k_{3\bar{4}} & n_{9,1} &= -(s_{13} + s_{25}) \\
n_{10,1} &= -(s_{13} + s_{24}) & n_{11,1} &= -(s_{15} + s_{24}) & n_{12,1} &= k_{12\bar{4}} \cdot k_{3\bar{5}} \\
n_{13,1} &= -k_{\bar{1}24} \cdot k_{3\bar{5}} & n_{14,1} &= -k_{\bar{1}24} \cdot k_{3\bar{5}} & n_{15,1} &= -k_{\bar{1}2\bar{3}} \cdot k_{4\bar{5}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{1,2} &= 0 & n_{2,2} &= -1 & n_{3,2} &= 0 & n_{4,2} &= 0 & n_{5,2} &= 0 \\
n_{6,2} &= -1 & n_{7,2} &= -1 & n_{8,2} &= 0 & n_{9,2} &= -1 & n_{10,2} &= -1 \\
n_{11,2} &= -1 & n_{12,2} &= 0 & n_{13,2} &= 0 & n_{14,2} &= 0 & n_{15,2} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

For a more general choice of scalars than considered here all 15 numerator factors are nonzero. The $\mathcal{O}(g'^3)$ part of the corresponding five-vector superamplitude is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_5^{(0)}(1^{\nu^{a_1}} 2^{\nu^{a_2}} 3^{\nu^{a_3}} 4^{\nu^{a_3}} 5^{\nu^{a_3}})|_{(g')^3} &= -i \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^3 (g')^3 F^{a_1 a_3 b} F^{b a_3 c} F^{c a_3 a_2} \\
&\times \left(\frac{n_2}{s_{23} s_{51}} + \frac{n_6}{s_{14} s_{25}} + \frac{n_7}{s_{32} s_{14}} + \frac{n_9}{s_{13} s_{25}} + \frac{n_{10}}{s_{42} s_{13}} + \frac{n_{11}}{s_{51} s_{42}} + \frac{n_{12}}{s_{12} s_{35}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

We notice that the numerator factors are simply those of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory and thus they obey the Jacobi relations simultaneously with the F color factors.

The $\mathcal{O}(g')$ part of the five-vector superamplitude with three different gauge indices is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_5^{(0)}(1^{\nu^{a_1}} 2^{\nu^{a_2}} 3^{\nu^{a_3}} 4^{\nu^{a_3}} 5^{\nu^{a_3}})|_{g'} &= \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^3 g' F^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \\
&\times \left(\frac{n_1 n_{1,1}}{s_{12} s_{45}} + \frac{n_2 n_{2,1}}{s_{23} s_{51}} + \frac{n_3 n_{3,1}}{s_{34} s_{12}} + \frac{n_4 n_{4,1}}{s_{45} s_{23}} + \frac{n_5 n_{5,1}}{s_{51} s_{34}} + \frac{n_6 n_{6,1}}{s_{14} s_{25}} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{n_7 n_{7,1}}{s_{32} s_{14}} + \frac{n_8 n_{8,1}}{s_{25} s_{43}} + \frac{n_9 n_{9,1}}{s_{13} s_{25}} + \frac{n_{10} n_{10,1}}{s_{42} s_{13}} + \frac{n_{11} n_{11,1}}{s_{51} s_{42}} + \frac{n_{12} n_{12,1}}{s_{12} s_{35}} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{n_{13} n_{13,1}}{s_{35} s_{24}} + \frac{n_{14} n_{14,1}}{s_{14} s_{35}} + \frac{n_{15} n_{15,1}}{s_{13} s_{45}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

By undoing the projection (5.7) one recovers the five-point amplitude of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity coupled with abelian and non-abelian vector multiplets.

Using similar higher-point color/kinematics-satisfying representations of tree-level $\mathcal{N} = 2$ amplitudes, perhaps constructed in terms of color-ordered amplitudes or by some other methods, and Feynman-graph generated amplitudes of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory, it is easy to construct tree-level amplitudes of any multiplicity for YMESGTs in the generic Jordan family.

The analysis described in this section can also be carried out in five dimensions with similar conclusions: a five-dimensional YMESGT in the generic Jordan family can be described as

²⁸We use the notation $k_{12\bar{3}} = k_1 + k_2 - k_3$, etc.

a double-copy of the half-maximal sYM theory and a vector-scalar theory with trilinear couplings. On the double-copy side there is a trivial map between the calculation described in this section and its five-dimensional version given by (inverse) dimensional reduction. Since five-dimensional MESGTs and YMESGTs are uniquely specified by their trilinear couplings, this suggests that our identification of the supergravity theory is unique. At the level of the supergravity Lagrangian this may not be obvious because on the one hand we use the $SO(\tilde{n})$ symplectic frame to carry out the discussion in this section while on the other hand the lift to five dimensions is natural in a different frame. At tree level one should simply invert the transformations discussed in sec. 3.4. At loop level the presence of duality anomalies might prevent this program from being successful. One may however carry out this program at the level of the dimensionally-regularized integrands of loop amplitudes which are, by construction, the quantities that have a smooth continuation in dimension. From this perspective, the loop integrands of the scattering amplitudes relevant for the four-dimensional duality anomaly are part of the integrands of typical five-dimensional amplitudes (e.g. the $4D$ two-graviton-two-holomorphic-scalar amplitude is part of the $5D$ four-graviton amplitude); the anomaly manifests itself as such only after the loop momentum integral is evaluated close to four dimensions. We therefore conclude that the four-dimensional analysis described in this section uniquely singles out the YMESGTs as being given by the double-copy construction using $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM and a vector-scalar theory with trilinear couplings.

6 One-loop four-point amplitudes

Similarly to tree amplitudes, loop amplitudes in YMESGTs can be organized following the dependence on the gauge coupling; each component with a different gauge coupling factor is separately gauge invariant. For the YMESGTs considered here it is not difficult to argue both from a Lagrangian and double-copy point of view that, to any loop order and multiplicity, the terms with the highest power of the gauge coupling in the n -vector amplitudes are given by the amplitudes of a pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory with the same gauge group as that of the supergravity theory.²⁹ From a double-copy perspective these terms are given by the amplitudes of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory with only scalar vertices; since these amplitudes have constant numerator factors, when double-copied with the amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ or $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM theory (or any other theory for that matter), they simply replace the color factors of the latter with the color factors of the supergravity gauge group. From a Lagrangian perspective the terms with highest power of the gauge coupling in the vector amplitudes are given by the $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ limit of the full amplitude and thus are given by a pure gauge-theory computation.

To illustrate the construction of loop amplitudes in the generic Jordan family of YMESGTs we shall compute the simplest one-loop amplitude that is sensitive to the supergravity gauge coupling—the four-vector amplitude. To this end we will first find the bosonic Yang-Mills-

²⁹The same assertion holds in $\mathcal{N} = 4, 1, 0$ YMESGTs.

scalar amplitude with external scalar matter in a form that manifestly obeys color/kinematics duality. Then, through the double copy, this amplitude will be promoted to be a four-vector amplitude in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ YMESGTs.

6.1 The four-scalar gauge-theory amplitude

The three classes of Feynman graphs contributing to the $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$, $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(g^0)$ terms in the four-scalar amplitude of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory (4.6) are schematically shown in fig. 1. The $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$ is the simplest as it fully correspond to the four-scalar amplitude in the ϕ^3 theory. The numerator of the box diagram, shown in fig. 1(a), is entirely expressed in terms of the structure constants of the global group,

$$n_{\text{box}}^{(a)}(1, 2, 3, 4) = -ig'^4 F^{ba_1c} F^{ca_2d} F^{da_3e} F^{ea_4b}, \quad (6.1)$$

where we stripped off the color factor of the gauge group given by $c_{\text{box}}^{(a)} = f^{\hat{b}\hat{a}_1\hat{c}} f^{\hat{c}\hat{a}_2\hat{d}} f^{\hat{d}\hat{a}_3\hat{e}} f^{\hat{e}\hat{a}_4\hat{b}}$.

Next we consider the $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$ contributions, which correspond to mixed interactions in the Yang-Mills-scalar theory. For the box diagram, these contributions are given by fig. 1(b) and its cyclic permutations. While a good approximation for the duality-satisfying box numerator can be obtained using the Feynman rules that follow from the Lagrangian (4.6), we construct the full numerator using an Ansatz constrained to give the correct unitarity cuts. In the labeling convention of fig. 1, this gives the following numerator:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\text{box}}^{(b)}(1, 2, 3, 4, \ell) = & -\frac{i}{12}g'^2 \left\{ (N_V + 2)(F^{a_1a_4b} F^{ba_3a_2}(\ell_2^2 + \ell_4^2) + F^{a_1a_2b} F^{ba_3a_4}(\ell_1^2 + \ell_3^2)) \right. \\ & + 24(sF^{a_1a_4b} F^{ba_3a_2} + tF^{a_1a_2b} F^{ba_3a_4}) + \delta^{a_3a_4} \text{Tr}_{12}(6\ell_3^2 - \ell_2^2 - \ell_4^2) \\ & + \delta^{a_2a_3} \text{Tr}_{14}(6\ell_2^2 - \ell_1^2 - \ell_3^2) + \delta^{a_1a_4} \text{Tr}_{23}(6\ell_4^2 - \ell_1^2 - \ell_3^2) \\ & \left. + \delta^{a_1a_2} \text{Tr}_{34}(6\ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2 - \ell_4^2) + (\ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2 + \ell_3^2 + \ell_4^2)(\delta^{a_2a_4} \text{Tr}_{13} + \delta^{a_1a_3} \text{Tr}_{24}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where $\ell_j = \ell - (k_1 + \dots + k_j)$, and we use the shorthand notation $\text{Tr}_{ij} = F^{ba_i c} F^{ca_j b}$. The parameter $N_V = \delta^{ab} \delta_{ab}$ is the number of scalars in the four-dimensional theory (or the number of vectors after double-copying it with another YM numerator). In D dimensions, one should replace $N_V \rightarrow N_V + D - 4$ for a consistent state counting. Note that the box numerator is designed to satisfy the following automorphism identities: $n_{\text{box}}^{(b)}(1, 2, 3, 4, \ell) = n_{\text{box}}^{(b)}(4, 3, 2, 1, -\ell) = n_{\text{box}}^{(b)}(2, 3, 4, 1, \ell - k_1)$.

Finally, the $\mathcal{O}(g^0)$ contributions, illustrated by fig. 1(c) and its cyclic permutations, correspond to YM interactions at all vertices. Using the same procedure, an Ansatz constrained by the unitarity cuts of the theory (4.6), the resulting duality-satisfying box numerator is




Figure 1: The three types of diagrams that contribute at different orders in the g' coupling to the box numerator of a four-scalar one-loop amplitude. All distinct cyclic permutations of these diagrams should be included. Dashed lines denote scalar fields while curly lines denote vector fields. Note that quartic-scalar interactions are implicitly included in these diagrams, according to their power in the g' coupling.

given by

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{\text{box}}^{(c)}(1, 2, 3, 4, \ell) = & -\frac{i}{24} \left\{ \delta^{a_1 a_2} \delta^{a_3 a_4} \left[24t(t - 2\ell_1^2 - 2\ell_3^2) + 2(N_V + 2)(3\ell_1^2 \ell_3^2 - \ell_2^2 \ell_4^2) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + (N_V + 18)(t(\ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2 + \ell_3^2 + \ell_4^2) - u(\ell_1^2 + \ell_3^2)) \right] \right. \\
& + \delta^{a_2 a_3} \delta^{a_1 a_4} \left[24s(s - 2\ell_2^2 - 2\ell_4^2) + 2(N_V + 2)(3\ell_2^2 \ell_4^2 - \ell_1^2 \ell_3^2) \right. \\
& \left. \left. + (N_V + 18)(s(\ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2 + \ell_3^2 + \ell_4^2) - u(\ell_2^2 + \ell_4^2)) \right] \right. \\
& + \delta^{a_1 a_3} \delta^{a_2 a_4} \left[2(N_V + 2)(\ell_1^2 \ell_3^2 + \ell_2^2 \ell_4^2) \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (N_V + 18)(s(\ell_1^2 + \ell_3^2) + t(\ell_2^2 + \ell_4^2)) \right] \right\}, \quad (6.3)
\end{aligned}$$

where ℓ_i , N_V and the automorphism identities are the same as for the $\mathcal{O}(g'^2)$ numerator. Certain terms in (6.2) and (6.3) can be directly identified as contributions from the Feynman rules for the box diagram; however, most terms have different origin. They are moved into the box numerator from bubble and triangle graphs by a generalized gauge transformation [3, 4]. This explains the presence of global-group invariants naively not associated with the box diagram.

The box numerators (6.1), (6.2), (6.3) are constructed so that the amplitude manifestly obeys color/kinematics duality. In particular, the numerator factors for the remaining contributing diagrams, the triangles and bubbles, are given by the color-kinematical Lie algebra relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{\text{tri.}}^{(x)}([1, 2], 3, 4, \ell) &= n_{\text{box}}^{(x)}(1, 2, 3, 4, \ell) - n_{\text{box}}^{(x)}(2, 1, 3, 4, \ell), \\
n_{\text{bub.}}^{(x)}([1, 2], [3, 4], \ell) &= n_{\text{tri.}}^{(x)}([1, 2], 3, 4, \ell) - n_{\text{tri.}}^{(x)}([1, 2], 4, 3, \ell), \quad (6.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where the notation $[i, j]$ implies that external legs i and j meet at the same vertex. Similarly, the gauge-group color factors for all box diagrams are given by

$$c_{\text{box}} = c_{\text{box}}^{(a)} = c_{\text{box}}^{(b)} = c_{\text{box}}^{(c)} = f^{\hat{b} \hat{a}_1 \hat{c}} f^{\hat{c} \hat{a}_2 \hat{d}} f^{\hat{d} \hat{a}_3 \hat{e}} f^{\hat{e} \hat{a}_4 \hat{b}}, \quad (6.5)$$




Figure 2: The three types of diagrams that contribute to the box numerator in YMESG can be obtained through a double copy between the diagrams in fig. 1 and a sYM numerator that obeys color/kinematics duality. All distinct cyclic permutations of these diagrams should be included. We use dashed lines to denote scalar fields, curly lines to denote vector fields or vector multiplets (as the case may be) and wavy lines to denote the graviton multiplet.

and the remaining color factors are determined by the Jacobi relations of the gauge-group Lie algebra,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{\text{tri}}([1, 2], 3, 4) &= c_{\text{box}}(1, 2, 3, 4) - c_{\text{box}}(2, 1, 3, 4) , \\
 c_{\text{bub}}([1, 2], [3, 4]) &= c_{\text{tri}}([1, 2], 3, 4) - c_{\text{tri}}([1, 2], 4, 3) .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.6}$$

The complete numerators are given by the sum of the $\mathcal{O}(g'^4)$, $\mathcal{O}(g'^2)$, $\mathcal{O}(g'^0)$ contributions,

$$n_i = n_i^{(\text{a})} + n_i^{(\text{b})} + n_i^{(\text{c})} .
 \tag{6.7}$$

We have verified that these numerators are correct on all unitarity cuts in D dimensions; in particular non-planar single-particle cuts $\sum_{\text{states}} A_6^{(0)}(1, 2, \ell, 3, 4, -\ell)$ were used in this check.

6.2 The four-vector Yang-Mills–gravity amplitude

The double-copy recipe provides a straightforward way to construct amplitudes in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ of the generic Jordan family as well as $\mathcal{N} = 4$ YMESGTs. For example, fig. 2 illustrates how the different types of contributions $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$, $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$, $\mathcal{O}(g^0)$ arises as double copies between sYM numerators and numerators computed in the previous section. As in the case of other supergravity theories, one may verify that the unitarity cuts of these amplitudes match the direct evaluation of cuts in terms of tree diagrams.

The complete amplitude is given by the double-copy formula (2.4),

$$\mathcal{M}_4^{1\text{-loop}} = - \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 \sum_{\mathcal{S}_4} \sum_{i \in \{\text{box, tri, bub.}\}} \int \frac{d^D \ell}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{S_i} \frac{n_i \tilde{n}_i}{D_i}, \quad (6.8)$$

where the first sum runs over the permutations \mathcal{S}_4 of all four external leg labels; the symmetry factors are $S_{\text{box}} = 8$, $S_{\text{tri.}} = 4$ and $S_{\text{bub.}} = 16$. The denominator factors, D_i , are

$$D_{\text{box}} = \ell_1^2 \ell_2^2 \ell_3^2 \ell_4^2, \quad D_{\text{tri.}} = s \ell_2^2 \ell_3^2 \ell_4^2, \quad D_{\text{bub.}} = s^2 \ell_2^2 \ell_4^2, \quad (6.9)$$

where $\ell_i = \ell - (k_1 + \dots + k_i)$. The n_i are given in (6.7), and the \tilde{n}_i are numerators of sYM theories. Moreover, as at tree level, we identify the g' parameter of the Yang-Mills-scalar theory with the supergravity gauge coupling g .

Standard on-shell supersymmetry arguments imply that $\mathcal{N} = 2$ one-loop numerator factors may be written as the difference between $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and numerator factors for one adjoint $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet running in the loop,

$$\tilde{n}_i^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1, 2, 3, 4) = \tilde{n}_i^{\mathcal{N}=4}(1, 2, 3, 4) - 2\tilde{n}_i^{\mathcal{N}=2, \text{mat.}}(1, 2, 3, 4, \ell). \quad (6.10)$$

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM box numerator is given by

$$\tilde{n}_{\text{box}}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(1, 2, 3, 4, \ell) = st A^{\text{tree}}(1, 2, 3, 4) = -i \frac{[1\ 2][3\ 4]}{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle \langle 3\ 4 \rangle} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle\right), \quad (6.11)$$

and the triangle and bubble numerator vanishes. Plugging this into (6.8) gives the four-vector amplitude in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ YMESGT.

Color/kinematics-satisfying one-loop numerator factors due to one adjoint hypermultiplet running in the loop may be found in refs. [61, 63, 64, 70]. A manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ -supersymmetric box numerator was given in ref. [70],

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}_{\text{box}}^{\mathcal{N}=2, \text{mat.}}(1, 2, 3, 4, \ell) &= (\kappa_{12} + \kappa_{34}) \frac{(s - \ell_s)^2}{2s^2} + (\kappa_{23} + \kappa_{14}) \frac{\ell_t^2}{2t^2} + (\kappa_{13} + \kappa_{24}) \frac{st + (s + \ell_u)^2}{2u^2} \\ &+ \mu^2 \left(\frac{\kappa_{12} + \kappa_{34}}{s} + \frac{\kappa_{23} + \kappa_{14}}{t} + \frac{\kappa_{13} + \kappa_{24}}{u} \right) \\ &- 2i\epsilon(1, 2, 3, \ell) \frac{\kappa_{13} - \kappa_{24}}{u^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

where $\ell_s = 2\ell \cdot (k_1 + k_2)$, $\ell_t = 2\ell \cdot (k_2 + k_3)$ and $\ell_u = 2\ell \cdot (k_1 + k_3)$. The numerator factors of other box integrals are obtained by relabeling. The parameter μ is the component of the loop momenta that is orthogonal to four-dimensional spacetime. The external multiplet dependence is captured by the variables κ_{ij} ,

$$\kappa_{ij} = i \frac{[1\ 2][3\ 4]}{\langle 1\ 2 \rangle \langle 3\ 4 \rangle} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum \eta_i^\alpha |i\rangle\right) \langle i\ j \rangle^2 (\eta_i^3 \eta_i^4) (\eta_j^3 \eta_j^4). \quad (6.13)$$

As before the triangle and bubble numerators are given by the kinematic Jacobi relations,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{n}_{\text{tri.}}^{\mathcal{N}=2}([1, 2], 3, 4, \ell) &= \tilde{n}_{\text{box}}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1, 2, 3, 4, \ell) - \tilde{n}_{\text{box}}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(2, 1, 3, 4, \ell), \\ \tilde{n}_{\text{bub.}}^{\mathcal{N}=2}([1, 2], [3, 4], \ell) &= \tilde{n}_{\text{tri.}}^{\mathcal{N}=2}([1, 2], 3, 4, \ell) - \tilde{n}_{\text{tri.}}^{\mathcal{N}=2}([1, 2], 4, 3, \ell).\end{aligned}\tag{6.14}$$

Plugging these numerators together with the Yang-Mills-scalar numerators (6.7) into equation (6.8) gives the four-vector amplitude in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ YMESGT. The resulting expression exhibits some of the properties outlined in the beginning of section 6 and at the end of section 5.2. We notice, in particular, that the $\mathcal{O}(g'^4)$ terms are given entirely by the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ numerator factors while the scalar amplitudes in the Yang-Mills-scalar theory provide only the color factors of the supergravity gauge group. As such, these terms are precisely an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM amplitude and, for our choice of numerator factors, manifestly obeys color/kinematics duality.

Part of the divergence structure of the amplitude may be inferred from these properties. The $\mathcal{O}(g'^0)$, being the four-vector amplitude of the corresponding generic Jordan family MESGT, exhibits a one-loop divergence proportional to the square of the vector field stress tensor [110, 111]. Similarly, the $\mathcal{O}(g'^4)$ is the four-gluon amplitude of pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ sYM theory and therefore diverges in four dimensions. Finding the $\mathcal{O}(g'^2)$ require the evaluation of the integrals; carrying this out leads to a finite result in four dimensions and shows that gauging does not worsen the UV behavior of the ungauged theory. It would be interesting to understand how supergravity divergences are affected by the inclusion of the counterterms renormalizing the two (s)YM theories.

Although we will not give the details here, we note that one can easily further generalize this calculation to less supersymmetric theories. In particular, the duality-satisfying four-point one-loop numerators of $\mathcal{N} = 0$ YM and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ sYM, given in refs. [61, 62, 63, 64, 70], can be inserted into (6.8), after which one obtains vector amplitudes in certain $\mathcal{N} = 0$ and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ truncations of the generic Jordan family of YMESGTs.

7 Conclusions and outlook

It is no surprise that MESGTs obtained directly from $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity have a double-copy structure inherited from that of the parent theory. Such theories include $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravities with 1, 3, 5, or 7 vector multiplets in four dimensions, which can be seen as field-theory orbifolds of maximal supergravity and have been studied in [61]. It is however less straightforward that the double-copy structure can be extended to theories that have a richer matter content; a large class of examples is provided by theories of the generic Jordan family of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs, which have particular symmetric target spaces.

In this paper we studied the formalism that follows from the requirement that supergravity theories coupled to non-abelian gauge fields have a double-copy structure. We found that gauging global symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs may be accomplished in this framework by adding a certain relevant trilinear scalar operator to one of the two gauge-theory double-copy factors. The appropriate undeformed theory is a bosonic Yang-Mills-scalar theory that contains quartic scalar interactions consistent with a higher-dimensional pure-YM interpretation. The undeformed gauge theory naturally obeys color/kinematics duality, and we have shown that the deformed gauge theory continues to obey the duality provided that the tensors controlling the trilinear couplings obey Jacobi relations and hence can be identified as the structure constants of a gauge group. The fact that the gauge theories on both sides of the double copy satisfy the BCJ amplitude relations gives confidence that the construction should give gravitational amplitudes belonging to some well-defined class of supergravity theories.

We discussed in detail the theories in the generic Jordan family of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs and YMESGTs, and constructed some simple examples of tree-level and one-loop scattering amplitudes. By comparing the tree-level result of the double-copy construction and that of a Feynman-graph calculation we identified a linearized transformation that relates the Lagrangian and double-copy asymptotic states. In particular, for the specific Lagrangian chosen in section 3.4, the two sets of states are related by the identity map. Thus we have shown that the specific double-copy construction discussed here gives the generic Jordan family of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs and YMESGTs in $D = 4$ and $D = 5$.

Quite generally, there are many possible choices of fields that are classically equivalent. As discussed in section 3.4, different choices are related by a change of symplectic section, i.e. by transformations that include electric/magnetic duality transformations. The double-copy realization of electric/magnetic duality transformations in supergravity was discussed in ref. [60], where the charges of supergravity fields were identified as the difference of helicities in the two gauge-theory factors, whenever the corresponding $U(1)$ transformation is not part of the on-shell R -symmetry. It was also shown in ref. [60] that, while electric/magnetic duality is a tree-level symmetry (tree-level scattering amplitudes carrying nonzero charge vanish), for $\mathcal{N} \leq 4$ theories it acquires a quantum anomaly and certain one-loop scattering amplitudes carrying non-vanishing charge are nonzero. In the current work we implicitly

assume that dimensional regularization is used a loop level, and in that case the anomaly appears because the electric/magnetic duality does not lift smoothly between spacetime dimensions.³⁰ Some amplitudes breaking this duality are the same in the MESGT and in the corresponding YMESGT; an example is the amplitudes with two positive-helicity gravitons and two scalars in the \tilde{V}_- multiplet [60]. In dimensional regularization it is

$$\mathcal{M}_4^{(1)}(h_1^{++}, h_2^{++}, S_3^{+-}, S_4^{+-}) = \frac{i}{2(4\pi)^2}(\tilde{n} + 2) \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 [12]^4 \left[1 + 2\left(1 - \frac{tu}{3s^2}\right)\right], \quad (7.1)$$

where $S^{+-} \equiv S_{-+}$ and \tilde{n} is the number of vector multiplets. In the presence of such an anomaly MESGTs and YMESGTs with symplectic sections related classically by duality transformations are no longer equivalent quantum mechanically and their effective actions differ by finite local terms in a scheme in which the anomaly is one-loop exact (the existence of such a scheme is a consequence of the Adler-Bardeen theorem; see [60] for a more complete discussion). The field identification found in section 5.1 shows that the double-copy construction discussed in this paper realizes a specific symplectic section (through dimensional regularization this gives specific quantum corrections). It would be interesting to see if it is possible to give double-copy constructions for different symplectic sections of the same theory.

Four-dimensional YMESGTs of the generic Jordan family coupled to hypermultiplets appear as low-energy effective theories of the heterotic string compactified on $K3 \times T^2$. The string-theory construction suggests that it should be possible to extend our construction to include hypermultiplets and their interactions; tree-level KLT-like relations should exist at least for the specific numbers of vector and hypermultiplets that can be accommodated in string theory (or even beyond that using the formalism of ref. [70]). In particular, it would be desirable to understand how the introduction of hypermultiplets modifies the two gauge-theory factors and what are the restrictions on the gauge-group representations imposed by coupling $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Yang-Mills-matter theories to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity.

An extension of the double-copy construction to gauge-theory factors with fields in fundamental and bifundamental representations was discussed in refs. [69, 70]. Generically this yields supergravity theories with different matter content than a double-copy construction with fields in the adjoint representation. A natural direction for further research would be to include in the two gauge-theory factors fields transforming in arbitrary representations of the gauge group, and to systematically study the gauged supergravity theories obtained with the double copy. In particular, a construction of this sort may be necessary to obtain some of the magical supergravity theories and to study their gaugings.

In addition to the more formal discussion, we have in this paper also obtained simple expressions for the three-point supergravity superamplitudes. The structure of these ampli-

³⁰In principle, different regularization schemes may lead to different manifestations of this anomaly. In ref [60] as well as here dimensional regularization was assumed, which does not preserve electric/magnetic duality.

tudes should extend to more general $\mathcal{N} = 2$ MESGTs and YMESGTs, which do not belong to the generic Jordan family. The on-shell three-point interactions are universal except for the C tensor, which is used to specify the theory. Using this structure it should be possible to construct amplitudes in more general theories from simple building blocks even when a double-copy construction is not yet available.

Understanding whether it is possible to satisfy the locality and dimensionality constraints from having a double-copy construction of R -symmetry gaugings, as discussed in section 2.2, remains an interesting open problem. If such structure exists, it would be interesting to explore whether it is restricted to scattering amplitudes around Minkowski vacua or if it exists, with appropriate choice of boundary conditions, in the more general case of AdS vacua. This double-copy structure may translate, through the AdS/CFT correspondence, to a double-copy structure for the correlation functions of certain gauge-invariant operators of the dual gauge theory. A direct investigation of double-copy properties of correlation functions of gauge theories, perhaps along the lines of refs. [117, 118, 119], may also provide an alternative approach to answering this question.

As mentioned in the beginning of section 4, directly applying our construction in six dimensions yields a theory that, apart from the graviton multiplet, contains one self-dual tensor and $\tilde{n} - 2$ vector multiplets. The spectrum of our six-dimensional double-copy construction coincides with that of the $D = 6$ YMESGT formulated in refs. [112, 113]. It would be interesting to explore whether the scattering amplitudes following from the Lagrangian of this $D = 6$ YMESGT are the same as those generated by our construction. Such YMESGTs coupled to hypermultiplets arise from compactification of the heterotic string on a $K3$ surface. As remarked earlier the $D = 5$ generic Jordan family of MESGTs can also be obtained from dimensional reduction of six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity coupled to $\tilde{n} - 1$ self-dual $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ tensor multiplets. Since the interacting non-abelian theory of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ tensor multiplets is not known, and assuming that such a theory exists, our construction cannot be applied directly to calculating its amplitudes. For example, since this interacting tensor theory has no vector multiplets it cannot be constructed in terms of scalar-coupled gauge theories. Rather, one may realize chiral tensor fields as bispinors and thus the relevant gauge theories should contain additional fermions [70].

The fact that two very different-looking theories in $D = 6$ reduce to the same five-dimensional MESGT, belonging to the generic Jordan family, has a counterpart in theories with 16 supercharges. Namely, the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM supermultiplet can be obtained from $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ sYM multiplet as well as $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ tensor multiplet in six dimensions. MESGTs describing the coupling of n $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ vector multiplets to $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supergravity in six dimensions have the U -duality groups $SO(n, 4) \times SO(1, 1)$ and we expect the method presented in this paper to extend in a straightforward manner to the construction of the amplitudes of the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ YMESGTs. The formulation of a consistent interacting non-abelian theory of $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ multiplets coupled to $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ supergravity

remains a fascinating open problem.³¹

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Z. Bern, J.J. Carrasco, A. Ochirov and A. Tseytlin for useful discussions as well as collaborations on related topics. One of us (M.G.) would like to thank the CERN Theory Division and the Albert Einstein Institute for their hospitality where part of this work was done. The research of M.G. is supported in part by the US Department of Energy under DOE Grant No: de-sc0010534. The work of R.R. is supported by the US Department of Energy under contract de-sc0008745.

³¹Note that the double-copy construction of the amplitudes of six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ Poincaré supergravity in terms of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ sYM amplitudes is well understood. On the level of spectra, the double-copy construction applied to the $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ theory is expected to give the amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = (4, 0)$ supergravity [114] in six dimensions. The formulation of an interacting $\mathcal{N} = (4, 0)$ supergravity in six dimensions is an open problem as well.

A Notation

In this appendix we present a summary of the various indices used throughout the paper.

\tilde{n}	number of matter vector multiplets in $5D$.
K	compact subgroup of the isometry group G promoted to gauge symmetry.
$A, B, C = -1, 0, \dots, \tilde{n}$	index running over all vector fields in $4D$.
$I, J, K = 0, \dots, \tilde{n}$	index running over matter vectors/multiplets in $4D$; index running over all vector fields in $5D$.
$a, b, c = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{n}$	index running over extra vector fields in $4D$; flat target space index in $5D$.
$x, y = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{n}$	curved target space indices in $5D$.
$m, n = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{n} - \dim(K)$	index running over the extra spectator fields in $4D$.
$r, s, t = \tilde{n} + 1 - \dim(K), \dots, \tilde{n}$	index running over the non-abelian gauge fields.
$\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}$	gauge adjoint indices.
$\hat{i}, \hat{j} = 1, 2$	$SU(2)_R$ indices in $5D$ and $4D$.

With this notation we have

$$A = (-1, I) = (-1, 0, a) = (-1, 0, m, r) . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In the YMESGT Lagrangians we use the quantities f^{rst} and g to denote structure constants and coupling constant for the supergravity gauge group. These should not be confused with $\hat{f}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}$ and \hat{g} , which denote structure constants and coupling constant for the two gauge-theory factors employed in the double-copy construction. Additionally, F^{rst} are the structure constants of the *global* symmetry group of the cubic scalar couplings that are introduced in the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ gauge-theory factor. g' is a proportionality constant that appears in the above couplings.

B Expansions for the generic Jordan family

In this appendix we collect expansions for the period matrix, scalar metric and Kähler potential in the symplectic frame specified in section 3.4. All quantities are expanded up to terms quadratic in the scalar fields. The period matrix \mathcal{N}_{AB} has the following entries,

$$\mathcal{N}_{-1-1} = -i + 2i(z^I)^2 , \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{-10} = 2z^0 + 2i(z^0)^2 + 2i|z^a|^2 , \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{-1a} = 2(1 + \sqrt{2}z^1)z^a + 2iz^0\bar{z}^a + 2i\bar{z}^0z^a - \delta_{1a}\sqrt{2}(z^b)^2 , \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{00} = -i + 2i(z^0)^2 + 2i(\bar{z}^a)^2, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{0a} = 2(1 + \sqrt{2}\bar{z}^1)\bar{z}^a + 2iz^0z^a + 2i\bar{z}^0\bar{z}^a - \delta_{1a}\sqrt{2}(\bar{z}^b)^2, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{ab} = -(i - 2\bar{z}^0)\delta_{ab} + 2iz^az^b + 2i\bar{z}^a\bar{z}^b. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

The Kähler potential is given by

$$e^{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}(z^0 - \bar{z}^0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z^1 + \bar{z}^1) - \frac{1}{2}(z^0 - \bar{z}^0)^2 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(z^0 - \bar{z}^0)(z^1 + \bar{z}^1) + \frac{3}{4}(z^1 + \bar{z}^1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(z^r - \bar{z}^r)^2. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

The scalar manifold metric has the following non-zero entries,

$$g_{00} = 1 + 2i(z^0 - \bar{z}^0) - 3(z^0 - \bar{z}^0)^2, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$g_{11} = 1 + \sqrt{2}(z^1 + \bar{z}^1) + \frac{3}{2}(z^1 + \bar{z}^1)^2 - \frac{3}{2}(z^t - \bar{z}^t)^2, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$g_{1r} = i(\sqrt{2} + 3z^1 + 3\bar{z}^1)(z^r - \bar{z}^r), \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$g_{rs} = \left(1 + \sqrt{2}(z^1 + \bar{z}^1) + \frac{3}{2}(z^1 + \bar{z}^1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(z^t - \bar{z}^t)^2\right)\delta_{rs} - (z^r - \bar{z}^r)(z^s - \bar{z}^s). \quad (\text{B.11})$$

These expansions are employed together with the Lagrangian (3.82) to derive the Feynman rules of the theory and to compare the amplitudes from the supergravity Lagrangian with the ones obtained from the double-copy construction.

References

- [1] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S.-H. H. Tye, “A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes Of Closed And Open Strings, Nucl. Phys. B **269**, 1 (1986);
- [2] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, M. Perelstein and J. S. Rozowsky, “Multileg one loop gravity amplitudes from gauge theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **546**, 423 (1999) [hep-th/9811140].
- [3] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, “New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes,” Phys. Rev. D **78**, 085011 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3993 [hep-ph]].
- [4] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, “Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 061602 (2010) [arXiv:1004.0476 [hep-th]].
- [5] M. Kiermaier, talk presented at Amplitudes 2010, www.strings.ph.qmul.ac.uk/~theory/Amplitudes2010.
- [6] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, T. Sondergaard and P. Vanhove, “The Momentum Kernel of Gauge and Gravity Theories,” JHEP **1101**, 001 (2011) [arXiv:1010.3933 [hep-th]].
- [7] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, “Explicit BCJ Numerators from Pure Spinors,” JHEP **1107**, 092 (2011) [arXiv:1104.5224 [hep-th]].
- [8] S. H. Henry Tye and Y. Zhang, “Dual Identities inside the Gluon and the Graviton Scattering Amplitudes,” JHEP **1006**, 071 (2010) [Erratum-ibid. **1104**, 114 (2011)] [arXiv:1003.1732 [hep-th]].
- [9] R. Monteiro and D. O’Connell, “The Kinematic Algebra From the Self-Dual Sector,” JHEP **1107**, 007 (2011) [arXiv:1105.2565 [hep-th]].
- [10] J. Broedel and J. J. M. Carrasco, “Virtuous Trees at Five and Six Points for Yang-Mills and Gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **84**, 085009 (2011) [arXiv:1107.4802 [hep-th]].
- [11] H. Johansson, A. Sabio Vera, E. Serna Campillo and M. A. Vazquez-Mozo, “Color-Kinematics Duality in Multi-Regge Kinematics and Dimensional Reduction,” JHEP **1310**, 215 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3106 [hep-th]].
- [12] S. Litsey and J. Stankowicz, “Kinematic Numerators and a Double-Copy Formula for $N = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills Residues,” Phys. Rev. D **90**, 025013 (2014) [arXiv:1309.7681 [hep-th]].
- [13] C. -H. Fu, Y. -J. Du and B. Feng, “Note on symmetric BCJ numerator,” [arXiv:1403.6262 [hep-th]].

- [14] S. G. Naculich, “Scattering equations and virtuous kinematic numerators and dual-trace functions,” arXiv:1404.7141 [hep-th].
- [15] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard and P. Vanhove, “Minimal Basis for Gauge Theory Amplitudes,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 161602 (2009) [arXiv:0907.1425 [hep-th]].
- [16] S. Stieberger, “Open & Closed vs. Pure Open String Disk Amplitudes,” arXiv:0907.2211 [hep-th].
- [17] B. Feng, R. Huang and Y. Jia, “Gauge Amplitude Identities by On-shell Recursion Relation in S-matrix Program,” Phys. Lett. B **695**, 350 (2011) [arXiv:1004.3417 [hep-th]].
- [18] Y. -X. Chen, Y. -J. Du and B. Feng, “A Proof of the Explicit Minimal-basis Expansion of Tree Amplitudes in Gauge Field Theory,” JHEP **1102**, 112 (2011) [arXiv:1101.0009 [hep-th]].
- [19] F. Cachazo, “Fundamental BCJ Relation in N=4 SYM From The Connected Formulation,” arXiv:1206.5970 [hep-th].
- [20] C. R. Mafra, “Simplifying the Tree-level Superstring Massless Five-point Amplitude,” JHEP **1001**, 007 (2010) [arXiv:0909.5206 [hep-th]].
- [21] C. R. Mafra, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, “Complete N-Point Superstring Disk Amplitude II. Amplitude and Hypergeometric Function Structure,” Nucl. Phys. B **873**, 461 (2013) [arXiv:1106.2646 [hep-th]].
- [22] J. Broedel, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, “Polylogarithms, Multiple Zeta Values and Superstring Amplitudes,” Fortsch. Phys. **61**, 812 (2013) [arXiv:1304.7267 [hep-th]].
- [23] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, “Closed String Amplitudes as Single-Valued Open String Amplitudes,” Nucl. Phys. B **881**, 269 (2014) [arXiv:1401.1218 [hep-th]].
- [24] C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, “Multiparticle SYM equations of motion and pure spinor BRST blocks,” arXiv:1404.4986 [hep-th].
- [25] Z. Bern, T. Dennen, Y. -t. Huang and M. Kiermaier, “Gravity as the Square of Gauge Theory,” Phys. Rev. D **82**, 065003 (2010) [arXiv:1004.0693 [hep-th]].
- [26] M. Tolotti and S. Weinzierl, “Construction of an effective Yang-Mills Lagrangian with manifest BCJ duality,” JHEP **1307**, 111 (2013) [arXiv:1306.2975 [hep-th]].
- [27] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, R. Monteiro and D. O’Connell, “Algebras for Amplitudes,” JHEP **1206**, 061 (2012) [arXiv:1203.0944 [hep-th]].

- [28] C. -H. Fu, Y. -J. Du and B. Feng, “An algebraic approach to BCJ numerators,” *JHEP* **1303**, 050 (2013) [arXiv:1212.6168 [hep-th]].
- [29] R. H. Boels, R. S. Isermann, R. Monteiro and D. O’Connell, “Colour-Kinematics Duality for One-Loop Rational Amplitudes,” *JHEP* **1304**, 107 (2013) [arXiv:1301.4165 [hep-th]].
- [30] R. Monteiro and D. O’Connell, “The Kinematic Algebras from the Scattering Equations,” *JHEP* **1403**, 110 (2014) [arXiv:1311.1151 [hep-th]].
- [31] F. Cachazo and Y. Geyer, “A ’Twistor String’ Inspired Formula For Tree-Level Scattering Amplitudes in N=8 SUGRA,” arXiv:1206.6511 [hep-th].
- [32] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering Equations and KLT Orthogonality,” arXiv:1306.6575 [hep-th].
- [33] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering of Massless Particles in Arbitrary Dimension,” arXiv:1307.2199 [hep-th].
- [34] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering of Massless Particles: Scalars, Gluons and Gravitons,” arXiv:1309.0885 [hep-th].
- [35] S. G. Naculich, “Scattering equations and BCJ relations for gauge and gravitational amplitudes with massive scalar particles,” arXiv:1407.7836 [hep-th].
- [36] T. Bargheer, S. He and T. McLoughlin, “New Relations for Three-Dimensional Supersymmetric Scattering Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 231601 (2012) [arXiv:1203.0562 [hep-th]].
- [37] Y. -t. Huang and H. Johansson, “Equivalent D=3 Supergravity Amplitudes from Double Copies of Three-Algebra and Two-Algebra Gauge Theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 171601 (2013) [arXiv:1210.2255 [hep-th]].
- [38] Y. -t. Huang, H. Johansson and S. Lee, “On Three-Algebra and Bi-Fundamental Matter Amplitudes and Integrability of Supergravity,” *JHEP* **1311**, 050 (2013) [arXiv:1307.2222 [hep-th]].
- [39] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson and R. Roiban, “The Complete Four-Loop Four-Point Amplitude in N=4 Super-Yang-Mills Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 125040 (2010) [arXiv:1008.3327 [hep-th]].
- [40] J. J. Carrasco and H. Johansson, “Five-Point Amplitudes in N=4 Super-Yang-Mills Theory and N=8 Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 025006 (2012) [arXiv:1106.4711 [hep-th]].

- [41] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson and R. Roiban, “Simplifying Multiloop Integrands and Ultraviolet Divergences of Gauge Theory and Gravity Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 105014 (2012) [arXiv:1201.5366 [hep-th]].
- [42] Z. Bern, C. Boucher-Veronneau and H. Johansson, “ $N \geq 4$ Supergravity Amplitudes from Gauge Theory at One Loop,” *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 105035 (2011) [arXiv:1107.1935 [hep-th]].
- [43] C. Boucher-Veronneau and L. J. Dixon, “ $N \geq 4$ Supergravity Amplitudes from Gauge Theory at Two Loops,” *JHEP* **1112**, 046 (2011) [arXiv:1110.1132 [hep-th]].
- [44] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen and Y. -t. Huang, “Absence of Three-Loop Four-Point Divergences in $N=4$ Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 201301 (2012) [arXiv:1202.3423 [hep-th]].
- [45] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen and Y. -t. Huang, “Ultraviolet Cancellations in Half-Maximal Supergravity as a Consequence of the Double-Copy Structure,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 105014 (2012) [arXiv:1209.2472 [hep-th]].
- [46] Z. Bern, S. Davies and T. Dennen, “The Ultraviolet Structure of Half-Maximal Supergravity with Matter Multiplets at Two and Three Loops,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 065007 (2013) [arXiv:1305.4876 [hep-th]].
- [47] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, “Ultraviolet Properties of $N=4$ Supergravity at Four Loops,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, no. 23, 231302 (2013) [arXiv:1309.2498 [hep-th]].
- [48] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson, D. A. Kosower and R. Roiban, “Three-Loop Superfiniteness of $N=8$ Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 161303 (2007) [hep-th/0702112].
- [49] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson and R. Roiban, “Manifest Ultraviolet Behavior for the Three-Loop Four-Point Amplitude of $N=8$ Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 105019 (2008) [arXiv:0808.4112 [hep-th]].
- [50] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson and R. Roiban, “The Ultraviolet Behavior of $N=8$ Supergravity at Four Loops,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 081301 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2326 [hep-th]].
- [51] G. Bossard, C. Hillmann and H. Nicolai, “ $E7(7)$ symmetry in perturbatively quantised $N=8$ supergravity,” *JHEP* **1012**, 052 (2010) [arXiv:1007.5472 [hep-th]].
- [52] G. Bossard, P. S. Howe and K. S. Stelle, “On duality symmetries of supergravity invariants,” *JHEP* **1101**, 020 (2011) [arXiv:1009.0743 [hep-th]].

- [53] N. Beisert, H. Elvang, D. Z. Freedman, M. Kiermaier, A. Morales and S. Stieberger, “E7(7) constraints on counterterms in N=8 supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **694**, 265 (2010) [arXiv:1009.1643 [hep-th]].
- [54] G. Bossard, P. S. Howe, K. S. Stelle and P. Vanhove, “The vanishing volume of D=4 superspace,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 215005 (2011) [arXiv:1105.6087 [hep-th]].
- [55] M. T. Grisaru and W. Siegel, “Supergraphity. 2. Manifestly Covariant Rules and Higher Loop Finiteness,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **201**, 292 (1982) [Erratum-ibid. B **206**, 496 (1982)].
- [56] M. B. Green, J. G. Russo and P. Vanhove, “String theory dualities and supergravity divergences,” *JHEP* **1006**, 075 (2010) [arXiv:1002.3805 [hep-th]].
- [57] J. Björnsson and M. B. Green, “5 loops in 24/5 dimensions,” *JHEP* **1008**, 132 (2010) [arXiv:1004.2692 [hep-th]];
J. Björnsson, “Multi-loop amplitudes in maximally supersymmetric pure spinor JHEP **1101**, 002 (2011) [arXiv:1009.5906 [hep-th]].
- [58] R. Kallosh and P. Ramond, “Light-by-Light Scattering Effect in Light-Cone Supergraphs,” arXiv:1006.4684 [hep-th].
- [59] G. Chalmers, “On the finiteness of N = 8 quantum supergravity,” hep-th/0008162;
M. B. Green, J. G. Russo and P. Vanhove, “Non-renormalisation conditions in type II string theory and maximal JHEP **0702**, 099 (2007) [hep-th/0610299];
Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon and R. Roiban, “Is N = 8 Supergravity Ultraviolet Finite?,” *Phys. Lett. B* **644**, 265 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611086];
M. B. Green, J. G. Russo and P. Vanhove, “Ultraviolet properties of maximal supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 131602 (2007) [hep-th/0611273];
R. Kallosh, “The Ultraviolet Finiteness of N=8 Supergravity,” *JHEP* **1012**, 009 (2010) [arXiv:1009.1135 [hep-th]]; R. Kallosh, “E7(7) Symmetry and Finiteness of N=8 Supergravity,” arXiv:1103.4115 [hep-th]; R. Kallosh, “N=8 Counterterms and E7(7) Current Conservation,” *JHEP* **1106**, 073 (2011) [arXiv:1104.5480 [hep-th]].
- [60] J. J. M. Carrasco, R. Kallosh, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, “On the U(1) duality anomaly and the S-matrix of N=4 supergravity,” *JHEP* **1307**, 029 (2013) [arXiv:1303.6219 [hep-th]].
- [61] J. J. M. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, M. Gunaydin and R. Roiban, “One-loop four-point amplitudes in pure and matter-coupled N ≤ 4 supergravity,” *JHEP* **1303**, 056 (2013) [arXiv:1212.1146 [hep-th]].
- [62] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen, Y. -t. Huang and J. Nohle, “Color-Kinematics Duality for Pure Yang-Mills and Gravity at One and Two Loops,” arXiv:1303.6605 [hep-th].

- [63] J. Nohle, “Color-Kinematics Duality in One-Loop Four-Gluon Amplitudes with Matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 025020 (2014) [arXiv:1309.7416 [hep-th]].
- [64] A. Ochirov and P. Tourkine, “BCJ duality and double copy in the closed string sector,” *JHEP* **1405**, 136 (2014) [arXiv:1312.1326 [hep-th]].
- [65] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, T. Dennen, R. Monteiro and D. O’Connell, “Integrand Oxidation and One-Loop Colour-Dual Numerators in N=4 Gauge Theory,” *JHEP* **1307**, 092 (2013) [arXiv:1303.2913 [hep-th]].
- [66] C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, “The Structure of n-Point One-Loop Open Superstring Amplitudes,” arXiv:1203.6215 [hep-th].
- [67] P. Tourkine and P. Vanhove, “One-loop four-graviton amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity models,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, no. 4, 045001 (2013) [arXiv:1208.1255 [hep-th]].
- [68] M. B. Green, C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, “Multiparticle one-loop amplitudes and S-duality in closed superstring theory,” *JHEP* **1310**, 188 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3534].
- [69] M. Chiodaroli, Q. Jin and R. Roiban, “Color/kinematics duality for general abelian orbifolds of N=4 super Yang-Mills theory,” *JHEP* **1401**, 152 (2014) [arXiv:1311.3600 [hep-th]].
- [70] H. Johansson and A. Ochirov, “Pure Gravities via Color-Kinematics Duality for Fundamental Matter,” arXiv:1407.4772 [hep-th].
- [71] E. Cremmer and B. Julia, “The N=8 Supergravity Theory. 1. The Lagrangian,” *Phys. Lett. B* **80**, 48 (1978).
- [72] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, “N=8 Supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **208**, 323 (1982).
- [73] E. Cremmer, “Supergravities in 5 Dimensions,” In “Salam, A. (ed.), Sezgin, E. (ed.): Supergravities in diverse dimensions, vol. 1” 422-437. (In “Cambridge 1980, Proceedings, Superspace and supergravity” 267-282) and Paris Ec. Norm. Sup. - LPTENS 80-17 (80,rec.Sep.) 17 p. (see Book Index)
- [74] M. Gunaydin and N. Marcus, “The Spectrum of the S^5 Compactification of the Chiral N=2, D=10 Supergravity and the Unitary Supermultiplets of U(2, 2/4),” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2**, L11 (1985).
- [75] M. Gunaydin, L. J. Romans and N. P. Warner, “Gauged N=8 Supergravity in Five-Dimensions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **154**, 268 (1985).
- [76] M. Gunaydin, L. J. Romans and N. P. Warner, “Compact and Noncompact Gauged Supergravity Theories in Five-Dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **272**, 598 (1986).

- [77] M. Pernici, K. Pilch and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Gauged N=8 D=5 Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **259**, 460 (1985).
- [78] M. Gunaydin, L. J. Romans and N. P. Warner, “IIB, or Not IIB: That Is the Question,” Phys. Lett. B **164**, 309 (1985).
- [79] Z. Bern, A. De Freitas and H. L. Wong, “On the coupling of gravitons to matter,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3531 (2000) [hep-th/9912033].
- [80] K. G. Selivanov, “Gravitationally dressed Parke-Taylor amplitudes,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12**, 3087 (1997) [hep-th/9711111].
- [81] K. G. Selivanov, “SD perturbation in Yang-Mills + gravity,” Phys. Lett. B **420**, 274 (1998) [hep-th/9710197].
- [82] Z. Bern and T. Dennen, “A Color Dual Form for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 081601 (2011) [arXiv:1103.0312 [hep-th]].
- [83] Y. -J. Du, B. Feng and C. -H. Fu, “BCJ Relation of Color Scalar Theory and KLT Relation of Gauge Theory,” JHEP **1108**, 129 (2011) [arXiv:1105.3503 [hep-th]].
- [84] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, “The Geometry of N=2 Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity and Jordan Algebras,” Nucl. Phys. B **242**, 244 (1984).
- [85] B. de Wit, P. G. Lauwers, R. Philippe, S. Q. Su and A. Van Proeyen, “Gauge and Matter Fields Coupled to N=2 Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **134**, 37 (1984).
- [86] B. de Wit, P. G. Lauwers and A. Van Proeyen, “Lagrangians of N=2 Supergravity - Matter Systems,” Nucl. Phys. B **255**, 569 (1985).
- [87] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, “Quantization of the Gauge Coupling Constant in a Five-dimensional Yang-Mills / Einstein Supergravity Theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 322 (1984).
- [88] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, “Vanishing Potentials in Gauged $N = 2$ Supergravity: An Application of Jordan Algebras,” Phys. Lett. B **144**, 41 (1984).
- [89] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, “Gauging the $d = 5$ Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity Theories: More on Jordan Algebras,” Nucl. Phys. B **253**, 573 (1985).
- [90] M. Gunaydin and M. Zagermann, “The Gauging of five-dimensional, N=2 MESGTs coupled to tensor multiplets,” Nucl. Phys. B **572**, 131 (2000) [hep-th/9912027].
- [91] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, “Potentials and Symmetries of General Gauged N=2 Supergravity: Yang-Mills Models,” Nucl. Phys. B **245**, 89 (1984).

- [92] E. Cremmer, C. Kounnas, A. Van Proeyen, J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, B. de Wit and L. Girardello, “Vector Multiplets Coupled to N=2 Supergravity: SuperHiggs Effect, Flat Potentials and Geometric Structure,” Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 385 (1985).
- [93] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, ”Supergravity”, Cambridge University Press (2012).
- [94] A. Sen, “M theory on $(K3 \times S^1)/Z_2$,” Phys. Rev. D **53**, 6725 (1996) [hep-th/9602010].
- [95] M. J. Duff, R. Minasian and E. Witten, “Evidence for heterotic / heterotic duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **465**, 413 (1996) [hep-th/9601036].
- [96] S. Ferrara, R. Minasian and A. Sagnotti, “Low-energy analysis of M and F theories on Calabi-Yau threefolds,” Nucl. Phys. B **474**, 323 (1996) [hep-th/9604097].
- [97] P. S. Aspinwall, “Compactification, geometry and duality: N=2,” hep-th/0001001.
- [98] M. Grana, “Flux compactifications in string theory: A Comprehensive review,” Phys. Rept. **423**, 91 (2006) [hep-th/0509003].
- [99] H. Samtleben, “Lectures on Gauged Supergravity and Flux Compactifications,” Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 214002 (2008) [arXiv:0808.4076 [hep-th]].
- [100] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, “More on $d = 5$ Maxwell-einstein Supergravity: Symmetric Spaces and Kinks,” Class. Quant. Grav. **3**, 763 (1986).
- [101] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, “Broken sigma model isometries in very special geometry,” Phys. Lett. B **293**, 94 (1992) [hep-th/9207091].
- [102] B. de Wit, F. Vanderseypen and A. Van Proeyen, “Symmetry structure of special geometries,” Nucl. Phys. B **400**, 463 (1993) [hep-th/9210068].
- [103] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr and O. T. Engelund, “Gravitino Interactions from Yang-Mills Theory,” Phys. Rev. D **81**, 105009 (2010) [arXiv:1002.2279 [hep-th]].
- [104] M. Gunaydin, S. McReynolds and M. Zagermann, “The R-map and the coupling of N=2 tensor multiplets in 5 and 4 dimensions,” JHEP **0601**, 168 (2006) [hep-th/0511025].
- [105] T. Mohaupt and M. Zagermann, “Gauged supergravity and singular Calabi-Yau manifolds,” JHEP **0112**, 026 (2001) [hep-th/0109055].
- [106] J. Louis, T. Mohaupt and M. Zagermann, “Effective actions near singularities,” JHEP **0302**, 053 (2003) [hep-th/0301125].

- [107] B. Craps, F. Roose, W. Troost and A. Van Proeyen, “What is special Kahler geometry?,” Nucl. Phys. B **503**, 565 (1997) [hep-th/9703082].
- [108] A. Ceresole, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and A. Van Proeyen, “Duality transformations in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories coupled to supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **444** (1995) 92 [hep-th/9502072].
- [109] P. H. Damgaard, R. Huang, T. Sondergaard and Y. Zhang, “The Complete KLT-Map Between Gravity and Gauge Theories,” JHEP **1208**, 101 (2012) [arXiv:1206.1577 [hep-th]].
- [110] M. Fischler, “Finiteness Calculations For O(4) Through O(8) Extended Supergravity And O(4) Supergravity Coupled To Selfdual O(4) Matter,” Phys. Rev. D **20**, 396 (1979).
- [111] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, “One Loop Infinities In Dimensionally Reduced Supergravities,” Phys. Lett. B **137**, 357 (1984).
- [112] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, “Matter and Gauge Couplings of N=2 Supergravity in Six-Dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **144**, 187 (1984).
- [113] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, “New couplings of six-dimensional supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **505**, 497 (1997) [hep-th/9703075].
- [114] M. Chiodaroli, M. Gunaydin and R. Roiban, “Superconformal symmetry and maximal supergravity in various dimensions,” JHEP **1203**, 093 (2012) [arXiv:1108.3085 [hep-th]].
- [115] R. Saotome and R. Akhoury, “Relationship Between Gravity and Gauge Scattering in the High Energy Limit,” JHEP **1301**, 123 (2013) [arXiv:1210.8111 [hep-th]].
- [116] M. J. Duff, “Quantum Tree Graphs and the Schwarzschild Solution,” Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2317 (1973).
- [117] J. Broedel and L. J. Dixon, “Color-kinematics duality and double-copy construction for amplitudes from higher-dimension operators,” JHEP **1210**, 091 (2012) [arXiv:1208.0876 [hep-th]].
- [118] O. T. Engelund and R. Roiban, “Correlation functions of local composite operators from generalized unitarity,” JHEP **1303**, 172 (2013) [arXiv:1209.0227 [hep-th]].
- [119] R. H. Boels, B. A. Kniehl, O. V. Tarasov and G. Yang, “Color-kinematic Duality for Form Factors,” JHEP **1302**, 063 (2013) [arXiv:1211.7028 [hep-th]].