EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)

First measurement of the charge asymmetry in beauty-quark pair production at a hadron collider

The LHCb collaboration[†]

Abstract

The difference in the angular distributions between beauty quarks and antiquarks, referred to as the charge asymmetry, is measured for the first time in $b\bar{b}$ pair production at a hadron collider. The data used correspond to an integrated luminosity of $1.0\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ collected at $7\,\text{TeV}$ center-of-mass energy in proton-proton collisions with the LHCb detector. The measurement is performed in three regions of the invariant mass of the $b\bar{b}$ system. The results obtained are

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\text{C}}^{b\bar{b}}(40 < M_{b\bar{b}} < 75\,\text{GeV}/c^2) &= 0.4 \pm 0.4\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.3\,(\text{syst})\%, \\
A_{\text{C}}^{b\bar{b}}(75 < M_{b\bar{b}} < 105\,\text{GeV}/c^2) &= 2.0 \pm 0.9\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.6\,(\text{syst})\%, \\
A_{\text{C}}^{b\bar{b}}(M_{b\bar{b}} > 105\,\text{GeV}/c^2) &= 1.6 \pm 1.7\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.6\,(\text{syst})\%,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{\text{C}}^{b\bar{b}}$ is defined as the asymmetry in the difference in rapidity between jets formed from the beauty quark and antiquark. The beauty jets are required to satisfy $2 < \eta < 4$, $E_{\text{T}} > 20\,\text{GeV}$, and have an opening angle in the transverse plane $\Delta\phi > 2.6\,\text{rad}$. These measurements are consistent with the predictions of the Standard Model.

[†]Authors are listed on the following pages.

arXiv:1406.4789v2 [hep-ex] 13 Sep 2014

LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij[41], B. Adeva[37], M. Adinolfi[46], A. Affolder[52], Z. Ajaltouni[5], S. Akar[6], J. Albrecht[9], F. Alessio[38], M. Alexander[51], S. Ali[41], G. Alkhazov[30], P. Alvarez Cartelle[37], A.A. Alves Jr[25,38], S. Amato[2], S. Amerio[22], Y. Amhis[7], L. An[3], L. Anderlini[17,g], J. Anderson[40], R. Andreassen[57], M. Andreotti[16,f], J.E. Andrews[58], R.B. Appleby[54], O. Aquines Gutierrez[10], F. Archilli[38], A. Artamonov[35], M. Artuso[59], E. Aslanides[6], G. Auriemma[25,n], M. Baalouch[5], S. Bachmann[11], J.J. Back[48], A. Badalov[36], V. Balagura[31], W. Baldini[16], R.J. Barlow[54], C. Barschel[38], S. Barsuk[7], W. Barter[47], V. Batozskaya[28], V. Battista[39], A. Bay[39], L. Beaucourt[4], J. Beddow[51], F. Bedeschi[23], I. Bediaga[1], S. Belogurov[31], K. Belous[35], I. Belyaev[31], E. Ben-Haim[8], G. Bencivenni[18], S. Benson[38], J. Benton[46], A. Berezhnoy[32], R. Bernet[40], M.-O. Bettler[47], M. van Beuzekom[41], A. Bien[11], S. Bifani[45], T. Bird[54], A. Bizzeti[17,i], P.M. Bjørnstad[54], T. Blake[48], F. Blanc[39], J. Blouw[10], S. Blusk[59], V. Bocci[25], A. Bondar[34], N. Bondar[30,38], W. Bonivento[15,38], S. Borghi[54], A. Borgia[59], M. Borsato[7], T.J.V. Bowcock[52], E. Bowen[40], C. Bozzi[16], T. Brambach[9], J. van den Brand[42], J. Bressieux[39], D. Brett[54], M. Britsch[10], T. Britton[59], J. Brodzicka[54], N.H. Brook[46], H. Brown[52], A. Bursche[40], G. Busetto[22,r], J. Buytaert[38], S. Cadeddu[15], R. Calabrese[16,f], M. Calvi[20,k], M. Calvo Gomez[36,p], A. Camboni[36], P. Campana[18,38], D. Campora Perez[38], A. Carbone[14,d], G. Carboni[24,l], R. Cardinale[19,38,j], A. Cardini[15], H. Carranza-Mejia[50], L. Carson[50], K. Carvalho Akiba[2], G. Casse[52], L. Cassina[20], L. Castillo Garcia[38], M. Cattaneo[38], Ch. Cauet[9], R. Cenci[58], M. Charles[8], Ph. Charpentier[38], S. Chen[54], S.-F. Cheung[55], N. Chiapolini[40], M. Chrzaszcz[40,26], K. Ciba[38], X. Cid Vidal[38], G. Ciezarek[53], P.E.L. Clarke[50], M. Clemencic[38], H.V. Cliff[47], J. Closier[38], V. Coco[38], J. Cogan[6], E. Cogneras[5], P. Collins[38], A. Comerma-Montells[11], A. Contu[15], A. Cook[46], M. Coombes[46], S. Coquereau[8], G. Corti[38], M. Corvo[16,f], I. Counts[56], B. Couturier[38], G.A. Cowan[50], D.C. Craik[48], M. Cruz Torres[60], S. Cunliffe[53], R. Currie[50], C. D'Ambrosio[38], J. Dalseno[46], P. David[8], P.N.Y. David[41], A. Davis[57], K. De Bruyn[41], S. De Capua[54], M. De Cian[11], J.M. De Miranda[1], L. De Paula[2], W. De Silva[57], P. De Simone[18], D. Decamp[4], M. Deckenhoff[9], L. Del Buono[8], N. Déléage[4], D. Derkach[55], O. Deschamps[5], F. Dettori[38], A. Di Canto[38], H. Dijkstra[38], S. Donleavy[52], F. Dordei[11], M. Dorigo[39], A. Dosil Suárez[37], D. Dossett[48], A. Dovbnya[43], K. Dreimanis[52], G. Dujany[54], F. Dupertuis[39], P. Durante[38], R. Dzhelyadin[35], A. Dziurda[26], A. Dzyuba[30], S. Easo[49,38], U. Egede[53], V. Egorychev[31], S. Eidelman[34], S. Eisenhardt[50], U. Eitschberger[9], R. Ekelhof[9], L. Eklund[51,38], I. El Rifai[5], Ch. Elsasser[40], S. Ely[59], S. Esen[11], H.-M. Evans[47], T. Evans[55], A. Falabella[14], C. Färber[11], C. Farinelli[41], N. Farley[45], S. Farry[52], RF Fay[52], D. Ferguson[50], V. Fernandez Albor[37], F. Ferreira Rodrigues[1], M. Ferro-Luzzi[38], S. Filippov[33], M. Fiore[16,f], M. Fiorini[16,f], M. Firlej[27], C. Fitzpatrick[38], T. Fiutowski[27], M. Fontana[10], F. Fontanelli[19,j], R. Forty[38], O. Francisco[2], M. Frank[38], C. Frei[38], M. Frosini[17,38,g], J. Fu[21,38], E. Furfaro[24,l], A. Gallas Torreira[37], D. Galli[14,d], S. Gallorini[22], S. Gambetta[19,j], M. Gandelman[2], P. Gandini[59], Y. Gao[3], J. García Pardiñas[37], J. Garofoli[59], J. Garra Tico[47], L. Garrido[36], C. Gaspar[38], R. Gauld[55], L. Gavardi[9], G. Gavrilov[30], E. Gersabeck[11], M. Gersabeck[54], T. Gershon[48], Ph. Ghez[4], A. Gianelle[22], S. Giani'[39], V. Gibson[47], L. Giubega[29], V.V. Gligorov[38], C. Göbel[60], D. Golubkov[31], A. Golutvin[53,31,38], A. Gomes[1,a], H. Gordon[38], C. Gotti[20], M. Grabalosa Gándara[5], R. Graciani Diaz[36], L.A. Granado Cardoso[38], E. Graugés[36], G. Graziani[17], A. Grecu[29], E. Greening[55], S. Gregson[47], P. Griffith[45], L. Grillo[11], O. Grünberg[62], B. Gui[59], E. Gushchin[33], Yu. Guz[35,38], T. Gys[38], C. Hadjivasiliou[59], G. Haefeli[39], C. Haen[38], S.C. Haines[47], S. Hall[53], B. Hamilton[58], T. Hampson[46], X. Han[11], S. Hansmann-Menzemer[11], N. Harnew[55], S.T. Harnew[46], J. Harrison[54], J. He[38], T. Head[38], V. Heijne[41], K. Hennessy[52], P. Henrard[5], L. Henry[8], J.A. Hernando Morata[37], E. van Herwijnen[38], M. Heß[62], A. Hicheur[1], D. Hill[55], M. Hoballah[5], C. Hombach[54], W. Hulsbergen[41], P. Hunt[55], N. Hussain[55], D. Hutchcroft[52], D. Hynds[51], M. Idzik[27], P. Ilten[56], R. Jacobsson[38], A. Jaeger[11], J. Jalocha[55], E. Jans[41], P. Jaton[39], A. Jawahery[58], F. Jing[3], M. John[55], D. Johnson[55], C.R. Jones[47],

C. Joram[38], B. Jost[38], N. Jurik[59], M. Kaballo[9], S. Kandybei[43], W. Kanso[6], M. Karacson[38],
T.M. Karbach[38], S. Karodia[51], M. Kelsey[59], I.R. Kenyon[45], T. Ketel[42], B. Khanji[20],
C. Khurewathanakul[39], S. Klaver[54], K. Klimaszewski[28], O. Kochebina[7], M. Kolpin[11], I. Komarov[39],
R.F. Koopman[42], P. Koppenburg[41,38], M. Korolev[32], A. Kozlinskiy[41], L. Kravchuk[33], K. Kreplin[11],
M. Kreps[48], G. Krocker[11], P. Krokovny[34], F. Kruse[9], W. Kucewicz[26,o], M. Kucharczyk[20,26,38,k],
V. Kudryavtsev[34], K. Kurek[28], T. Kvaratskheliya[31], V.N. La Thi[39], D. Lacarrere[38], G. Lafferty[54],
A. Lai[15], D. Lambert[50], R.W. Lambert[42], E. Lanciotti[38], G. Lanfranchi[18], C. Langenbruch[38],
B. Langhans[38], T. Latham[48], C. Lazzeroni[45], R. Le Gac[6], J. van Leerdam[41], J.-P. Lees[4], R. Lefèvre[5],
A. Leflat[32], J. Lefrançois[7], S. Leo[23], O. Leroy[6], T. Lesiak[26], B. Leverington[11], Y. Li[3], M. Liles[52],
R. Lindner[38], C. Linn[38], F. Lionetto[40], B. Liu[15], G. Liu[38], S. Lohn[38], I. Longstaff[51], J.H. Lopes[2],
N. Lopez-March[39], P. Lowdon[40], H. Lu[3], D. Lucchesi[22,r], H. Luo[50], A. Lupato[22], E. Luppi[16,f],
O. Lupton[55], F. Machefert[7], I.V. Machikhiliyan[31], F. Maciuc[29], O. Maev[30], S. Malde[55], G. Manca[15,e],
G. Mancinelli[6], J. Maratas[5], J.F. Marchand[4], U. Marconi[14], C. Marin Benito[36], P. Marino[23,t], R. Märki[39],
J. Marks[11], G. Martellotti[25], A. Martens[8], A. Martín Sánchez[7], M. Martinelli[41], D. Martinez Santos[42],
F. Martinez Vidal[64], D. Martins Tostes[2], A. Massafferri[1], R. Matev[38], Z. Mathe[38], C. Matteuzzi[20],
A. Mazurov[16,f], M. McCann[53], J. McCarthy[45], A. McNab[54], R. McNulty[12], B. McSkelly[52], B. Meadows[57],
F. Meier[9], M. Meissner[11], M. Merk[41], D.A. Milanes[8], M.-N. Minard[4], N. Moggi[14], J. Molina Rodriguez[60],
S. Monteil[5], M. Morandin[22], P. Morawski[27], A. Mordà[6], M.J. Morello[23,t], J. Moron[27], A.-B. Morris[50],
R. Mountain[59], F. Muheim[50], K. Müller[40], R. Muresan[29], M. Mussini[14], B. Muster[39], P. Naik[46],
T. Nakada[39], R. Nandakumar[49], I. Nasteva[2], M. Needham[50], N. Neri[21], S. Neubert[38], N. Neufeld[38],
M. Neuner[11], A.D. Nguyen[39], T.D. Nguyen[39], C. Nguyen-Mau[39,q], M. Nicol[7], V. Niess[5], R. Niet[9],
N. Nikitin[32], T. Nikodem[11], A. Novoselov[35], D.P. O'Hanlon[48], A. Oblakowska-Mucha[27], V. Obraztsov[35],
S. Oggero[41], S. Ogilvy[51], O. Okhrimenko[44], R. Oldeman[15,e], G. Onderwater[65], M. Orlandea[29],
J.M. Otalora Goicochea[2], P. Owen[53], A. Oyanguren[64], B.K. Pal[59], A. Palano[13,c], F. Palombo[21,u],
M. Palutan[18], J. Panman[38], A. Papanestis[49,38], M. Pappagallo[51], C. Parkes[54], C.J. Parkinson[9,45],
G. Passaleva[17], G.D. Patel[52], M. Patel[53], C. Patrignani[19,j], A. Pazos Alvarez[37], A. Pearce[54],
A. Pellegrino[41], M. Pepe Altarelli[38], S. Perazzini[14,d], E. Perez Trigo[37], P. Perret[5], M. Perrin-Terrin[6],
L. Pescatore[45], E. Pesen[66], K. Petridis[53], A. Petrolini[19,j], E. Picatoste Olloqui[36], B. Pietrzyk[4], T. Pilař[48],
D. Pinci[25], A. Pistone[19], S. Playfer[50], M. Plo Casasus[37], F. Polci[8], A. Poluektov[48,34], E. Polycarpo[2],
A. Popov[35], D. Popov[10], B. Popovici[29], C. Potterat[2], E. Price[46], J. Prisciandaro[39], A. Pritchard[52],
C. Prouve[46], V. Pugatch[44], A. Puig Navarro[39], G. Punzi[23,s], W. Qian[4], B. Rachwal[26], J.H. Rademacker[46],
B. Rakotomiaramanana[39], M. Rama[18], M.S. Rangel[2], I. Raniuk[43], N. Rauschmayr[38], G. Raven[42],
S. Reichert[54], M.M. Reid[48], A.C. dos Reis[1], S. Ricciardi[49], S. Richards[46], M. Rihl[38], K. Rinnert[52],
V. Rives Molina[36], D.A. Roa Romero[5], P. Robbe[7], A.B. Rodrigues[1], E. Rodrigues[54],
P. Rodriguez Perez[54], S. Roiser[38], V. Romanovsky[35], A. Romero Vidal[37], M. Rotondo[22], J. Rouvinet[39],
T. Ruf[38], F. Ruffini[23], H. Ruiz[36], P. Ruiz Valls[64], G. Sabatino[25,l], J.J. Saborido Silva[37], N. Sagidova[30],
P. Sail[51], B. Saitta[15,e], V. Salustino Guimaraes[2], C. Sanchez Mayordomo[64], B. Sanmartin Sedes[37],
R. Santacesaria[25], C. Santamarina Rios[37], E. Santovetti[24,l], M. Sapunov[6], A. Sarti[18,m], C. Satriano[25,n],
A. Satta[24], D.M. Saunders[46], M. Savrie[16,f], D. Savrina[31,32], M. Schiller[42], H. Schindler[38], M. Schlupp[9],
M. Schmelling[10], B. Schmidt[38], O. Schneider[39], A. Schopper[38], M.-H. Schune[7], R. Schwemmer[38],
B. Sciascia[18], A. Sciubba[25], M. Seco[37], A. Semennikov[31], I. Sepp[53], N. Serra[40], J. Serrano[6], L. Sestini[22],
P. Seyfert[11], M. Shapkin[35], I. Shapoval[16,43,f], Y. Shcheglov[30], T. Shears[52], L. Shekhtman[34],
V. Shevchenko[63], A. Shires[9], R. Silva Coutinho[48], G. Simi[22], M. Sirendi[47], N. Skidmore[46],
T. Skwarnicki[59], N.A. Smith[52], E. Smith[55,49], E. Smith[53], J. Smith[47], M. Smith[54], H. Snoek[41],
M.D. Sokoloff[57], F.J.P. Soler[51], F. Soomro[39], D. Souza[46], B. Souza De Paula[2], B. Spaan[9], A. Sparkes[50],
P. Spradlin[51], F. Stagni[38], M. Stahl[11], S. Stahl[11], O. Steinkamp[40], O. Stenyakin[35], S. Stevenson[55],

iv

S. Stoica[29], S. Stone[59], B. Storaci[40], S. Stracka[23,38], M. Straticiuc[29], U. Straumann[40], R. Stroili[22], V.K. Subbiah[38], L. Sun[57], W. Sutcliffe[53], K. Swientek[27], S. Swientek[9], V. Syropoulos[42], M. Szczekowski[28], P. Szczypka[39,38], D. Szilard[2], T. Szumlak[27], S. T'Jampens[4], M. Teklishyn[7], G. Tellarini[16,f], F. Teubert[38], C. Thomas[55], E. Thomas[38], J. van Tilburg[41], V. Tisserand[4], M. Tobin[39], S. Tolk[42], L. Tomassetti[16,f], S. Topp-Joergensen[55], N. Torr[55], E. Tournefier[4], S. Tourneur[39], M.T. Tran[39], M. Tresch[40], A. Tsaregorodtsev[6], P. Tsopelas[41], N. Tuning[41], M. Ubeda Garcia[38], A. Ukleja[28], A. Ustyuzhanin[63], U. Uwer[11], V. Vagnoni[14], G. Valenti[14], A. Vallier[7], R. Vazquez Gomez[18], P. Vazquez Regueiro[37], C. Vázquez Sierra[37], S. Vecchi[16], J.J. Velthuis[46], M. Veltri[17,h], G. Veneziano[39], M. Vesterinen[11], B. Viaud[7], D. Vieira[2], M. Vieites Diaz[37], X. Vilasis-Cardona[36,p], A. Vollhardt[40], D. Volyanskyy[10], D. Voong[46], A. Vorobyev[30], V. Vorobyev[34], C. Voß[62], H. Voss[10], J.A. de Vries[41], R. Waldi[62], C. Wallace[48], R. Wallace[12], J. Walsh[23], S. Wandernoth[11], J. Wang[59], D.R. Ward[47], N.K. Watson[45], D. Websdale[53], M. Whitehead[48], J. Wicht[38], D. Wiedner[11], G. Wilkinson[55], M.P. Williams[45], M. Williams[56], F.F. Wilson[49], J. Wimberley[58], J. Wishahi[9], W. Wislicki[28], M. Witek[26], G. Wormser[7], S.A. Wotton[47], S. Wright[47], S. Wu[3], K. Wyllie[38], Y. Xie[61], Z. Xing[59], Z. Xu[39], Z. Yang[3], X. Yuan[3], O. Yushchenko[35], M. Zangoli[14], M. Zavertyaev[10,b], L. Zhang[59], W.C. Zhang[12], Y. Zhang[3], A. Zhelezov[11], A. Zhokhov[31], L. Zhong[3], A. Zvyagin[38].

[1] *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
[2] *Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
[3] *Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*
[4] *LAPP, Université de Savoie, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy-Le-Vieux, France*
[5] *Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
[6] *CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France*
[7] *LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France*
[8] *LPNHE, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France*
[9] *Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany*
[10] *Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany*
[11] *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
[12] *School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
[13] *Sezione INFN di Bari, Bari, Italy*
[14] *Sezione INFN di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
[15] *Sezione INFN di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
[16] *Sezione INFN di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*
[17] *Sezione INFN di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
[18] *Laboratori Nazionali dell'INFN di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
[19] *Sezione INFN di Genova, Genova, Italy*
[20] *Sezione INFN di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
[21] *Sezione INFN di Milano, Milano, Italy*
[22] *Sezione INFN di Padova, Padova, Italy*
[23] *Sezione INFN di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
[24] *Sezione INFN di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
[25] *Sezione INFN di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy*
[26] *Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland*
[27] *AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland*
[28] *National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland*
[29] *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania*
[30] *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI), Gatchina, Russia*
[31] *Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia*
[32] *Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia*
[33] *Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAN), Moscow, Russia*

[34] Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS) and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

[35] Institute for High Energy Physics (IHEP), Protvino, Russia

[36] Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

[37] Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

[38] European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

[39] Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

[40] Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

[41] Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[42] Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[43] NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine

[44] Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine

[45] University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

[46] H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

[47] Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

[48] Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

[49] STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

[50] School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

[51] School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

[52] Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

[53] Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

[54] School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

[55] Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

[56] Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States

[57] University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States

[58] University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States

[59] Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States

[60] Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to [2]

[61] Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China, associated to [3]

[62] Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, associated to [11]

[63] National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia, associated to [31]

[64] Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (IFIC), Universitat de Valencia-CSIC, Valencia, Spain, associated to [36]

[65] KVI - University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, associated to [41]

[66] Celal Bayar University, Manisa, Turkey, associated to [38]

[a] Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil

[b] P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia

[c] Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

[d] Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

[e] Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

[f] Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

[g] Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

[h] Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy

[i] Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

[j] Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

[k] Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy

[l] Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

[m] Università di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

[n] Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

[o] AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Kraków, Poland

[p] LIFAELS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain

[q] Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Viet Nam

[r] *Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
[s] *Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
[t] *Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy*
[u] *Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy*

Measurements in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron [1–6] suggest that (anti)top quarks are produced along the (anti)proton beam direction more often than predicted by the Standard Model (SM) [7]. Many extensions to the SM have been proposed to explain this discrepancy (for a review, see Ref. [8]) that couple new particles to quarks in a variety of ways. Therefore, constraints on quark-antiquark production charge asymmetries other than top-anti-top ($t\bar{t}$) could discriminate between models and be used as a probe of non-SM physics. For example, some theories proposed to explain the Tevatron results also predict a large charge asymmetry in $b\bar{b}$ production [9,10]. No measurement has been made to date of the $b\bar{b}$ charge asymmetry at a hadron collider.

The symmetric initial state of proton-proton collisions at the LHC does not permit a charge asymmetry to be manifest as an observable defined using the direction of one beam relative to the other. However, the asymmetry in the momentum fraction of quarks and antiquarks inside the proton means that a charge asymmetry can lead to a difference in the rapidity distributions of beauty quarks and antiquarks. The $b\bar{b}$ charge asymmetry in pp collisions is defined as

$$A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}} \equiv \frac{N(\Delta y > 0) - N(\Delta y < 0)}{N(\Delta y > 0) + N(\Delta y < 0)}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta y \equiv |y_b| - |y_{\bar{b}}|$ is the rapidity difference between jets formed from the b and $\bar{b}$ quarks. Measurements of the top-quark charge asymmetry by the ATLAS and CMS experiments are consistent with the SM expectations [11–13]. However, the large $gg \to t\bar{t}$ cross-section at the LHC dilutes the observable signal of new physics entering the $q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}$ process that dominates $t\bar{t}$ production at the Tevatron.

In the SM the only sizable leading-order (LO) contribution to $A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}$ comes from $Z \to b\bar{b}$ decays. The contribution of $Z \to b\bar{b}$ to $A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}$ in a re-gion of invariant mass of the $b\bar{b}$ system ($M_{b\bar{b}}$) around the Z boson mass is expected to be about 2% based on simulation. Production of $b\bar{b}$ pairs at LO in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is symmetric under the exchange of b and $\bar{b}$ quarks. At higher orders, radiative corrections to the $q\bar{q} \to b\bar{b}$ process generate an asymmetry in the differential distributions of the b and $\bar{b}$ quarks and induce a correlation between the direction of the b ($\bar{b}$) quark and that of the incoming q ($\bar{q}$) quark. Such higher-order corrections are expected to be negligible at low $M_{b\bar{b}}$ and to increase in importance at larger $M_{b\bar{b}}$. The contribution to $A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}$ from higher-order terms is expected to reach 1% near the Z boson mass [14]. Precision measurements of $A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}$ as a function of $M_{b\bar{b}}$ are sensitive probes of physics beyond the SM.

This Letter reports the first measurement of the charge asymmetry in beauty-quark pair production at a hadron collider. The data used correspond to an integrated luminosity of $1.0\,\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ collected at $7\,\mathrm{TeV}$ center-of-mass energy in pp collisions with the LHCb detector. The measurement is performed in three regions of $M_{b\bar{b}}$: $40 < M_{b\bar{b}} < 75\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$, $75 < M_{b\bar{b}} < 105\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$ and $M_{b\bar{b}} > 105\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$. This scheme is chosen such that the middle region is centered around the mass of the Z boson and contains most of the $Z \to b\bar{b}$ candidates. The measurement is corrected to a pair of particle-level jets, each with a pseudorapidity $2 < \eta < 4$, transverse energy $E_{\mathrm{T}} > 20\,\mathrm{GeV}$, and an opening angle between the jets in the transverse plane $\Delta\phi > 2.6\,\mathrm{rad}$.

The LHCb detector is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the range $2 < \eta < 5$ designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks, described in detail in Refs. [15–18]. The trigger [19] consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction. Iden-

1

tification of beauty-hadron decays in the software trigger requires a two-, three- or four-track secondary vertex with a large sum of the transverse momentum (p_T) of the tracks and a significant displacement from the primary pp interaction vertices. A multivariate algorithm [20] is used for the identification of vertices consistent with the decay of a beauty hadron. This so-called topological trigger algorithm (TOPO) is also used in this analysis to identify the hadrons that contain the beauty quark and antiquark in $b\bar{b}$ pair production. The charge of the beauty (anti)quarks is determined by the charge of muons originating from semileptonic beauty-hadron decays.

Simulated events are used to calibrate the jet energy scale, to determine the reconstruction and selection efficiencies and to unfold the detector response. In the simulation, pp collisions are generated using PYTHIA [21] with a specific LHCb configuration [22]. Decays of hadronic particles are described by EVTGEN [23], in which final state radiation is generated using PHOTOS [24]. The interaction of particles with the detector and its response are implemented using the GEANT4 toolkit [25] as described in Ref. [26].

The $b\bar{b}$ are reconstructed as jets using the anti-k_T algorithm [27] with distance parameter $R = 0.7$, as implemented in FASTJET [28]. The inputs to the jet reconstruction are selected using a particle flow approach [29]. Information from all the detector sub-systems is used to create charged and neutral particle inputs to the jet algorithm. Jet-quality criteria are applied to remove jets for which a large fraction of the energy is likely due to sources other than a pp collision, *e.g.*, detector noise or poorly reconstructed tracks. The per-jet efficiency of these criteria is $90 - 95\%$ depending on the jet kinematic properties. The mean number of pp collisions per event is only 1.8 making it unlikely to produce $b\bar{b}$ in separate collisions;

however, to prevent this, both jets are required to originate from the same pp collision.

The observed energy of each jet is corrected to the particle-level energy accounting for the following effects: imperfect detector response; the presence of detector noise; energy contributions from pp interactions other than the one in which the $b\bar{b}$ are produced; beauty (anti)quark energy flowing out of the jet cone; and the presence of a neutrino from the semileptonic decay of a beauty hadron in the jet. The jet energy correction varies in the range $0 - 20\%(\pm 10\%)$ for jets that do(do not) contain a neutrino from a semileptonic beauty-hadron decay. The mean value for jets that do not contain a semileptonic-decay neutrino is about 1%. This correction is obtained from simulation and depends on the jet η, E_T, and the number of pp interactions in the event. Only jets in a well-understood kinematic regime of LHCb, $E_T > 20\,\text{GeV}$ and $2 < \eta < 4$, are considered in this analysis. The relative resolution on $M_{b\bar{b}}$ obtained using these jets is about 15%.

Jets in events selected by the TOPO need to be identified (tagged) as containing a beauty quark or antiquark (bTAG). For this task, an association is made between jets and the multitrack TOPO objects. If at least 60% of the detector hits that make up the tracks forming the TOPO object also belong to tracks within the jet, then the jet satisfies a bTAG requirement. At least one jet in the event is required to contain a beauty-hadron decay selected by the TOPO which caused the event to be recorded. The TOPO is applied to offline-reconstructed tracks with a looser requirement to search for a second beauty-hadron decay in the event. If such a decay is found, and if it can be associated to another jet, then the event is identified as containing a $b\bar{b}$ pair. The mean di-bTAG efficiency for di-jet events used in this analysis is about 30%, while the per-jet mistag efficiency for jets initiated by light quarks

and gluons is less than 0.1%. To enhance the contribution of non-gg production mechanisms, $\Delta\phi > 2.6$ rad is required between the two jets that satisfy the bTAG requirement.

The largest background contribution is due to charm jets. The level of background contamination is determined using the so-called corrected mass

$$M_{\rm corr} = \sqrt{M^2 + \left(\frac{p}{c}\right)^2 \sin^2\theta} + \frac{p}{c}\sin\theta, \quad (2)$$

where M and p are the invariant mass and momentum of all tracks in the jet that are inconsistent with originating directly from a pp collision and have a minimum distance of closest approach to a track used in the TOPO less than 0.2 mm. The angle θ is between the momentum and the direction from the pp collision to the TOPO object vertex. The corrected mass is the minimum mass the long-lived hadron can have that is consistent with the direction of flight.

Figure 1 shows the corrected-mass distribution. The corrected-mass probability density functions (PDFs) for beauty and charm are obtained from simulation. Imperfect measurement of the direction of flight can result in a larger corrected mass than the true hadron mass. For charm-hadron decays, the particles originate from a single point in space and typically the missing momentum is carried by a single low-mass particle; thus, the corrected mass peaks near the known charm-meson mass. The vast majority of beauty-hadron decays involve intermediate charm hadrons which results in not all stable particles originating from the same spatial point. The missing momentum is typically carried away by multiple particles and the invariant mass of the missing momentum may be large. Hence, the corrected mass for beauty decays peaks below the known beauty-meson mass and has worse resolution than for charm. The result of a fit to the data shown in

Figure 1: (top) Corrected mass of TOPO objects associated to bTAG jets in the final event sample. Less than 2% of jets are found to originate from charm. (bottom) Corrected mass of TOPO objects associated to sub-leading vs leading jets in the final event sample. A small $c\bar{c}$ contribution is visible near $(2,2)\,\text{GeV}/c^2$.

Fig. 1 is that $3.6 \pm 1.2\%$ of events in the final sample are not $b\bar{b}$, where the uncertainty is due to the corrected-mass PDFs. The contribution from jets initiated by light quarks or gluons is found to be negligible. Furthermore, the limited acceptance of the LHCb detector for $b\bar{b}$ originating from $t\bar{t}$ makes this contribution negligible as well.

To measure the charge asymmetry, the charge of the beauty (anti)quark needs to be

identified in at least one of the jets (qTAG). The qTAG requirement is that a track in the TOPO object and in the jet is identified as a muon. The muon is required to satisfy $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 2\,\mathrm{GeV}/c$ and $p > 10\,\mathrm{GeV}/c$ to reduce the charge asymmetry due to detector biases. This strategy is designed to look for muons coming from semileptonic beauty-hadron decays; thus, the charge of the muon tags the charge of the beauty quark or antiquark. Decays of the type $b \to c \to \mu$ contaminate the charge tagging. To mitigate this, the tagging muon is required to have the highest momentum of all displaced tracks in the jet. A further dilution to the charge-tagging purity arises due to oscillations of the B^0 and B_s^0 mesons.

The expected qTAG purity, defined as the probability to correctly assign the charge of the beauty quark in a qTAG jet, can be estimated using the following: the measured b-hadron production fractions [30, 31]; the b-hadron and c-hadron semileptonic branching fractions [32]; the charge-tagging efficiencies for b and c-hadron semileptonic decays obtained from simulation; the B^0 and B_s^0 oscillation frequencies [33, 34] and the reconstruction efficiency as a function of b-hadron lifetime obtained from simulation. Combining all of this information yields an expected qTAG purity of $73 \pm 4\%$. The purity is expected to decrease by a few percent with increasing jet energy due to an increase in the neutral-beauty-meson production fractions.

The qTAG purity is measured directly using events where both bTAG jets also satisfy the qTAG requirement using the fraction of events where the two muons have opposite charges. This gives an integrated qTAG purity of $70.3 \pm 0.3\%$, which agrees with the predicted value, and values of $71.6 \pm 0.5\%$, $68.8 \pm 0.8\%$ and $66.1 \pm 1.9\%$ for $40 < M_{b\bar{b}} < 75\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$, $75 < M_{b\bar{b}} < 105\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$ and $M_{b\bar{b}} > 105\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$, respectively. The observed decrease in purity


Figure 2: Reconstructed Δy distribution for all selected events after background subtraction and correction for qTAG impurity. The dashed line shows the distribution reflected about the vertical axis.

agrees with expectations. The qTAG purity is found to be consistent in data for all Δy. As a further consistency check, a separate study of the qTAG purity is performed using events with a jet and a fully reconstructed self-tagging $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+$ or $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$ decay. In these events, the charge of the B^+ provides an unambiguous qTAG of the beauty jet for $b\bar{b}$ pair production. Using B^++jet events where the jet satisfies the qTAG, the qTAG purity is determined to be $73 \pm 3\%$. This result agrees with both the predicted and di-qTAG results. The di-qTAG purity measurement is used to obtain the final $A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}$ results below.

Figure 2 shows the Δy distribution after background subtraction and correcting for qTAG impurity. The reconstructed distributions of Δy and $M_{b\bar{b}}$ are corrected for the effects of detector resolution and for event reconstruction and selection efficiency. The correction for detector resolution is achieved by applying a two-dimensional unfolding procedure to the

Figure 3: Migration matrix in Δy and $M_{b\bar{b}}$.

Figure 4: Corrected Δy distribution for all selected events. The statistical uncertainties are negligible. The systematic uncertainties are highly correlated from bin to bin and largely cancel in the determination of $A_{\rm C}^{b\bar{b}}$. The LO SM prediction obtained from PYTHIA [36, 37] is also shown.

data [35]. The migration matrix in Δy and $M_{b\bar{b}}$ is shown in Fig. 3. The selection efficiency is obtained from simulated events as a function of Δy and $M_{b\bar{b}}$. The residual dependence of the efficiency on other jet kinematic variables has a negligible impact on the resulting measurement of $A_{\rm C}^{b\bar{b}}$.

The main sources of systematic uncertainties on the measurement of $A_{\rm C}^{b\bar{b}}$ are as follows: precision of the qTAG purity and its dependence on jet kinematic properties; uncertainty in the unfolding; determination of the selection efficiency; and any residual detector-related asymmetries. Table 1 summarizes the values of the systematic uncertainties assigned to the measurement of $A_{\rm C}^{b\bar{b}}$ in each $M_{b\bar{b}}$ region. Measurement of the qTAG purity is data-driven and the statistical uncertainties are propagated to $A_{\rm C}^{b\bar{b}}$ to determine the systematic uncertainty. The uncertainty due to unfolding accounts for the choice of data sample used to generate the migration matrix and mismodeling of the detector response in the simulation. The uncertainty due to efficiency is dominated by the statistical uncertainty of the simulation. The polarity of

the LHCb dipole magnet is reversed periodically. This coupled with the hard momentum spectrum of the tagging muons results in only small detection-based asymmetries. Additionally, due to the definition of Δy, these detection asymmetries cancel to very good approximation when summing over μ^+ and μ^- tags. The detection asymmetry of charged kaons causes a negligible bias in $A_{\rm C}^{b\bar{b}}$.

Figure 4 shows the corrected Δy distribution summed over all $M_{b\bar{b}}$ regions considered ($M_{b\bar{b}} > 40 \, {\rm GeV}/c^2$). The LO SM prediction, which includes LO QCD and $Z \to b\bar{b}$, obtained from PYTHIA [36, 37] is also shown. The SM uncertainty includes contributions from the renormalization and factorization scales, and from the parton distribution functions. A next-to-LO SM calculation is required to obtain $A_{\rm C}^{b\bar{b}}$ at the percent level. However, the LO result is sufficient to demonstrate agreement between the theory and unfolded $b\bar{b}$ pair-production dis-

Table 1: Absolute systematic uncertainties (%).

| | $M_{b\bar{b}}$ (GeV/c^2) | | |
Source	$(40, 75)$	$(75, 105)$	> 105
Mis-qTAG	$-$	0.1	0.2
Unfolding	0.3	0.6	0.4
$\varepsilon(M_{b\bar{b}}, \Delta y)$	0.1	0.1	0.2
$\varepsilon(b) - \varepsilon(\bar{b})$	$-$	$-$	0.3
Total	0.3	0.6	0.6

tribution.

The measurement of $A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}$ is performed in three regions of $M_{b\bar{b}}$ and the results obtained are

$$A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}(40, 75) = 0.4 \pm 0.4\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.3\,(\mathrm{syst})\%,$$
$$A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}(75, 105) = 2.0 \pm 0.9\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.6\,(\mathrm{syst})\%,$$
$$A_{\mathrm{C}}^{b\bar{b}}(> 105) = 1.6 \pm 1.7\,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.6\,(\mathrm{syst})\%,$$

where the ranges denote the regions of $M_{b\bar{b}}$ in units of GeV/c^2. These measurements are the first to date of the charge asymmetry in $b\bar{b}$ pair production at a hadron collider. The results are corrected to a pair of particle-level jets each with $2 < \eta < 4$, $E_{\mathrm{T}} > 20\,\mathrm{GeV}$ and $\Delta\phi > 2.6\,\mathrm{rad}$ between the jets. All results are consistent with the SM expectations.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] The D0 collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, *Forward-backward asymmetry in top quark-antiquark production*, Phys. Rev. **D84** (2011) 112005, `arXiv:1107.4995`.

[2] The D0 collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, *Measurement of the asymmetry in angular distributions of leptons produced in dilepton $t\bar{t}$ final states in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96\,TeV$*, Phys. Rev. **D88** (2013) 112002, `arXiv:1308.6690`.

[3] The D0 collaboration, V. M. Abazov *et al.*, *Measurements of the forward-backward asymmetry in the distribution of leptons in $t\bar{t}$ in the lepton+jets channel*, `arXiv:1403.1294`, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.

[4] The CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, *Measurement of the top quark forward-backward production asymmetry and its dependence on event kinematic properties*, Phys. Rev. **D87** (2013) 092002, `arXiv:1211.1003`.

[5] The CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, *Measurement of the leptonic asymmetry in $t\bar{t}$ events produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=1.96 TeV*, Phys. Rev. **D88** (2013) 072003, `arXiv:1308.1120`.

[6] The CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, *Measurement of the inclusive leptonic asymmetry in top-quark pairs that decay to two charged leptons at CDF*, `arXiv:1404.3698`, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.

[7] W. Bernreuther and Z.-G. Si, *Top quark and leptonic charge asymmetries for the Tevatron and LHC*, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 034026, `arXiv:1205.6580`.

[8] J. Aguilar-Saavedra, D. Amidei, A. Juste and M. Perez-Victoria, *Asymmetries in top quark pair production*, `arXiv:1406.1798`.

[9] D. Kahawala, D. Krohn, and M. J. Strassler, *Measuring the bottom-quark forward-central asymmetry at the LHC*, JHEP **01** (2012) 069, `arXiv:1108.3301`.

[10] B. Grinstein, and C. W. Murphy, *Bottom-Quark Forward-Backward Asymmetry in the Standard Model and Beyond*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** (2013) 062003, `arXiv:1302.6995`.

[11] CMS collaboration, A. Chatrchyan *et al.*, *Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ charge asymmetry using the dilepton decay channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV* , JHEP **04** (2014) 191, `arXiv:1402.3803`.

[12] CMS collaboration, A. Chatrchyan *et al.*, *Measurement of the charge asymmetry in top-quark pair production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, Phys. Lett. **B709** (2012) 28, `arXiv:1112.5100`.

[13] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, *Measurement of the charge asymmetry in top quark pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, Eur. Phys. J. **C72** (2012) 2039, `arXiv:1203.4211`.

[14] R. Gauld and U. Haisch. Private communication.

[15] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *The LHCb detector at the LHC*, JINST **3** (2008) S08005.

[16] R. Arink *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb Outer Tracker*, JINST **9** (2014) P01002, `arXiv:1311.3893`.

[17] M. Adinolfi *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb RICH detector at the LHC*, Eur. Phys. J. **C73** (2013) 2431, `arXiv:1211.6759`.

[18] A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb muon system*, JINST **8** (2013) P02022, `arXiv:1211.1346`.

[19] R. Aaij *et al.*, *The LHCb trigger and its performance in 2011*, JINST **8** (2013) P04022, `arXiv:1211.3055`.

[20] V. V. Gligorov and M. Williams, *Efficient, reliable and fast high-level triggering using a bonsai boosted decision tree*, JINST **8** (2013) P02013, `arXiv:1210.6861`.

[21] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual*, JHEP **05** (2006) 026, `arXiv:hep-ph/0603175`.

[22] I. Belyaev *et al.*, *Handling of the generation of primary events in* Gauss, *the LHCb simulation framework*, Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record (NSS/MIC) **IEEE** (2010) 1155.

[23] D. J. Lange, *The EvtGen particle decay simulation package*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A462** (2001) 152.

[24] P. Golonka and Z. Was, *PHOTOS Monte Carlo: a precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays*, Eur. Phys. J. **C45** (2006) 97, arXiv:hep-ph/0506026.

[25] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison *et al.*, *Geant4 developments and applications*, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **53** (2006) 270; Geant4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli *et al.*, *Geant4: a simulation toolkit*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A506** (2003) 250.

[26] M. Clemencic *et al.*, *The LHCb simulation application,* Gauss*: design, evolution and experience*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **331** (2011) 032023.

[27] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, *The anti-k_t jet clustering algorithm*, JHEP **04** (2008) 063, arXiv:0802.1189.

[28] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, *Dispelling the n^3 myth for the k_t jetfinder*, Phys. Lett. **B641** (2006) 57, arXiv:hep-ph/0512210.

[29] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Study of forward Z +jet production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, JHEP **01** (2014) 033, arXiv:1310.8197.

[30] LHCb collaboration, *Updated average f_s/f_d b-hadron production fraction ratio for 7 TeV pp collisions*, LHCb-CONF-2013-011.

[31] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of b hadron production fractions in 7 TeV pp collisions*, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 032008, arXiv:1111.2357.

[32] Particle Data Group, J. Beringer *et al.*, *Review of particle physics*, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 010001, and 2013 partial update for the 2014 edition.

[33] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Precision measurement of the $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$ oscillation frequency Δm_s in the decay $B_s^0 \to D_s^+ \pi^-$*, New J. Phys. **15** (2013) 053021, arXiv:1304.4741.

[34] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of the B^0–$\bar{B}^0$ oscillation frequency Δm_d with the decays $B^0 \to D^- \pi^+$ and $B^0 \to J/\psi K^{*0}$*, Phys. Lett. **B719** (2013) 318, arXiv:1210.6750.

[35] G. D'Agostini *et al.*, *A multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes' theorem*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A362** (1995) 487.

[36] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178** (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820.

[37] J. Pumplin, D. R. Stump, J. Huston, H.-L. Lai, P. Nadolsky, and W.-K. Tung, *New Generation of Parton Distributions with Uncertainties from Global QCD Analysis*, JHEP **07** (2002) 012, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195

.