Study of the characteristics of GEM detectors for the future FAIR experiment CBM

S. Biswas^{a,*}, A. Abuhoza^{a,b}, U. Frankenfeld^a, J. Hehner^a, C. J. Schmidt^a, H.R. Schmidt^c, M. Träger^a, S. Colafranceschi^d, A. Marinov^d, A. Sharma^d

> ^aGSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany-64291 ^bKing Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology (KACST), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia ^cEberhard-Karls-Universität, Tübingen, Germany ^dPhysics Department, CERN - Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract

der the extreme condition of the CBM experiment; (c) to study usual parameters e.g., efficiency, rate capability, long term stability, spark probability by varying conditions like temperature, gas composition or radiation dose.

In this article, we would like to present the initial results of characterisation of triple GEM detectors for CBM.

Abstract

Abstract

Characteristics of triple GEM detector has been studied systematically. The variation of the effective gain and energy resolution of GEM with variation of the applied voltage has been performed.

Keywords: FAIR, CBM, Gas Electron Multiplier, Gas gain, Resolution *PACS:* 29.40.Cs

Distruct Facility for Antiproton and Ion Rescarch (FAIR) in Darmstadt, Germany will use proton and heavy ion beams to study matter at extreme conditions [1, 2]. The CBM experiment at the region of high baryon densities [3]. This will only be possible with the application of advanced instrumentation, includin, highly segmented and fast gaseous detectors.
At triple GEM detector, consisting of double mask foils, ob-triansfer and induction gaps of the detector are 3 mm. 2 mm and respectively. The voltage to the drift plane and individual GEM plates has been applied through a voltage divider the region of high baryon densities [3]. This will only be possible with the application of advanced instrumentation, includin, highly segmented and fast gaseous detectors.
At triple GEM detectors ream of the Silicon Tracking system (STS) of the CBM experiment along with other sophis ticated detectors [4]. The main goal of MUCH is to detect the source is shown in Fig. 1. The variation of the gain and energy solution of this R&D program are: (a) to verify the clear of the detector free to a Severifytic on the detector (b) to establish the theoretistics of GEM detectors for the CBM experiment (c) to study was abased for different gas mixtures and with different gas bow rates.

Control Contr



Figure 1: Fe55 X-ray spectrum obtained with triple GEM detector.

*Corresponding author

Email address: S.Biswas@gsi.de (S. Biswas)

3. Results

The detector has been operated with Argon and CO₂ in 60/40, 70/30, 80/20 mixing ratios with different flow rates e.g. 50 ml/min, 100 ml/min and 200 ml/min. The variation of effective gain and energy resolution with that of global voltage $(\Delta V_1 + \Delta V_2 + \Delta V_3)$ are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 respectively for the gas mixture Ar/CO₂ in 70/30 with different flow rates. It is clear that the gain increases exponentially and the energy resolution improves with the increase of voltage. The gain is relatively higher with the higher gas flow rate. This is due to the less oxygen contents (shown in Fig. 2) during higher flow rate. Same behavior is also observed for the other two gas mixtures.

The detector is operated continuously for five days with Ar/CO_2 in 70/30 ratio with 100 ml/min flow rate at a global voltage 1176 V. The gain and resolution as function of time are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 respectively.



Figure 2: Gain vs. global voltage.



Figure 3: Resolution vs. global voltage.

4. Conclusions and outlook

Variation of gain and resolution with voltage for different gas mixtures and with different gas flow rates has been measured in GEM detectors. It is clear that oxygen content in the gas has a very important role in GEM performance even in a few ppm level.

In an initial continuous operation of five days the gain fluctuates with time, and resolution varies about 4%. Further investigation is necessary to correlate this variation with other ambient parameters.



Figure 4: Gain as a function of period of operation.



Figure 5: Resolution as a function of period of operation.



Figure 6: New GEM box designed at GSI.

Fabrication of new $10 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$ GEM detector with newly designed box (shown in Fig. 6) and their characterisation is also carried out. Further studies are still in progress and will be communicated at a later stage.

5. Acknowledgement

We are thankful to Dr. Ingo Fröhlich of University of Frankfurt, Prof. Dr. Peter Fischer of Institut für Technische Informatik der Universität Heidelberg, Prof. Dr. Peter Senger, CBM Spokeperson and Dr. Subhasis Chattopadhyay of VECC, India for their support in course of this work.

References

- [1] http://www.gsi.de/forschung/fair_experiments/CBM/
- [2] http://www.fair-center.org/
- [3] CBM Progress report 2008.
- [4] F. Sauli, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 386 (1997) 531.