



# LHCb Luminosity Monitoring and Control

#### R. Jacobsson (LHCb)

### Reyes Alemany-Fernandez, Fabio Follin (LHC)

#### Outline

- LHCb physics strategy
- LHCb key requirements
- Luminosity control motivations
- Luminosity monitoring and control implementation
- Performance
- Conclusion

#### Complementary paper:

"Online Luminosity Optimization at the LHC", F. Follin, R. Alemany, R. Jacobsson, THPPC123

14<sup>th</sup> ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013



### LHCb New Physics Search Strategy



- Focus on measuring *indirect* effects of New Physics in CP violation and Rare decays using FCNC processes mediated by loop (box and penguin) diagrams
  - Strongly suppressed processes allow distinguishing NP sources
  - Virtual effects allow probing energies much higher than the E<sub>cms</sub> of the LHC
  - → Complementary to the direct searches by Atlas and CMS



• While initial aim of LHCb was b-physics, has also demonstrated that it can do

- Charm physics (oscillations, CP violation)
- QCD physics (PDFs via Z/W production, Central Exclusive Production,...)

➔ In beyond design conditions, LHCb has earned the title of « General Purpose Forward Detector »

14th ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013

<u>2</u>

### Key Requirements for Flavour Physics at LHC

- Collect high statistics of a large variety of B and D final states in an environment with very large background
  - >100 000  $b\overline{b}$  pairs per second at LHCb interaction point and  $c\overline{c}$  production 20x more
  - Fast and efficient trigger for both hadronic and leptonic final states
  - Requires reconstruction of decay chains
- Resolve fast oscillations, background reduction and flavour tagging
  - Very good vertex resolution
  - Determination of track parameters
  - Charge determination and momentum resolution
  - Mass resolution
  - $K/\pi$  separation in a wide momentum range
  - $\gamma / \pi^0$  reconstruction, electron identification
  - Muon identification

➔ Difficult task in conditions of large event pileup

14<sup>th</sup> ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013

#### A typical (tagging) B and (signal) B event





### Key Requirements for Flavour Physics at LHC

- Requirements from precision physics programme
  - Accurate knowledge about the integrated luminosity
  - Systematics errors must be negligible compared to statistical errors to reach sensitivity below the predictions of SM.
- Systematic effects from changing running configuration and conditions
  - → Attenuated by the initial design specification for nominal running conditions of LHCb
    - Maximize the probability of a single interaction per bunch crossing, minimizing pileup
    - $\rightarrow$  Average number of interactions per bunch crossing  $\mu \sim 0.4$
    - Valid up to June 2010...
  - In June 2010, LHC changed commissioning strategy:
    - Commissioning many bunches with low intensity → Commissioning bunch intensity
    - LHCb pileup reached ~3 due to chosen over-focussing!
  - → Detector and reconstruction performs well with event pileup
  - → Forced a healthy change of strategy in LHCb at all levels

#### Compensatory measure: Luminosity/pileup control

→ Experiment with luminosity control with separated beams for the first time July 18, 2010 ..... By phone...!

14<sup>th</sup> ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013



### LHCb Luminosity Control



- Direct tool to maximize LHCb physics yield
- Allows optimizing the efficiency of luminosity integration
  - → Running constantly at the optimal pileup for physics
- Stable luminosity (pileup) through fills (no decay!) / over months
  - Same trigger settings
  - Predictable detector performance and ageing

#### • Optimum luminosity is also a function of dynamic readout system parameters

- Full event readout rate (<1.1 MHz)
- Average number of interactions per crossing (<2.7)
- Max readout network through-put (<70 GB/s)
- High-Level Trigger CPU time/event at 1 MHz, ~30ms in 2011 and ~40ms in 2012
- Physics trigger overall dead-time ( <5%)
- High Level Trigger output rate to storage (<~5 kHz)
- Detector stability, still exploring
- Translate into equivalent luminosity limits which may depend on experimental conditions (e.g. background) and system status
- → Target luminosity determined real-time with slow time constants of O(seconds)



### Luminosity Control

• Many ways by which luminosity control may be performed

$$L = \frac{n_{bb} * N^2 * f_{rev}}{A} * R(\beta^*, \theta, \sigma_z, \phi_P, \delta_s, \delta_c, \Delta t)$$

• Simplest consist of semi-continuous adjustment of transversal offset of colliding beams



<u>6</u>



14th ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013

Richard Jacobsson



### Luminosity Control Protocol



#### The luminosity adjustment is performed by an iterative procedure:

#### • LHCb Luminosity Controller publishes:

- Current Luminosity: Measured luminosity
- Luminosity Status : Depends on source of luminosity, reliable or not
- Target luminosity: Dynamically computed by LHCb leveling controller
- Leveling Request: Dynamical signal requesting leveling to target
  - Request will only ON if the LHCb data acquisition is running, even if it is far away from target
  - If request if OFF, target is not (should not be) considered
  - When luminosity is not reliable, request is OFF whatever target luminosity is
- Step size [percentage of beam sigma]: Depends on separation
- LHC Luminosity Leveling Application publishes:
  - XPlaneOptimizationDone : Set when the crossing plane optimization has been done
    - LHCb Luminosity Controller will only start requesting luminosity ramp when this is received
    - Must always be done before leveling starts.
  - Enable: ON if luminosity leveling application is running
  - Active: Leveling to target is in progress
  - StepSize : Beam movement used in the last leveling step in mm



14<sup>th</sup> ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013

**Richard Jacobsson** 

### Luminosity Monitoring

ODIN = Single FPGA-based readout master with two redundant "copies" for fail-over

Two different methods for offline and online determination of luminosity

ERN



## CERN

### LHCb Luminosity Control Procedure



#### ADJUST - idle

- 1. LHC luminosity control OFF, LHCb luminosity control OFF
- 2. Collapse separation bump to constant offset (e.g. ~2- $3\sigma$ )  $\rightarrow$  L ~ 1.5x10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> in vertical
- 3. Optimize in horizontal (crossing plane) keeping vertical separation constant
- STABLE BEAMS ramp
  - 1. LHCb Vertex Locator (VELO) detector closing to its final data taking position with initial luminosity
  - 2. Luminosity increase to target over a few minutes
- Coast levelling
  - Continuous publication of instantaneous luminosity and target luminosity
  - Luminosity leveling requested when current luminosity and target different by >  $\pm 3\%$



### Luminosity Monitor



#### Procedure require no actions from the people on shift

ERN



14th ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013



### Luminosity Control Performance



• 95% of integrated luminosity in 2011–2012 recorded within 3% of desired luminosity

14<sup>th</sup> ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013

Richard Jacobsson 13

LHC

### Running conditions 2010 - 2012





14th ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013

ER





### Conclusions



#### • Luminosity control has been part of routine operation in LHCb 2011 – 2012

- It has also been used in a similar way for the ALICE experiment
- Great experience in developing a close feed-back system between experiment and accelerator
- Allowed LHCb to venture well beyond the design specs and operating detector at twice the luminosity, collecting up 3x more luminosity in Run 1
- Operating LHCb constantly in the optimal conditions
- Important reduction in the systematics effects
- Stability of the detector performance and trigger configuration
- Luminosity control will continued to be a vital tool for LHCb in the future, both in Run 2 and after the LHCb upgrade
- Important experience to pave the way for luminosity control in the future by all experiments
  - Method of luminosity control may be different but procedure well established
  - Exploiting LHC at maximum benefits from handling procedures with mechanical routine

#### Acknowledgement:

#### Thanks to

- the many people from the machine who contributed to the vital task of ensuring the understanding of the effects of operating the LHC with offset collisions
- The LHC operators for their particular attention to the LHCb interaction point!

14<sup>th</sup> ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013





# **RESERVE SLIDES**

14<sup>th</sup> ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013





Two different methods for offline and online determination of luminosity

- → Both implemented in ODIN hardware
- <u>Offline</u>:

 $\odot$ 

- Random sampling of beam-beam crossings with observables proportional to luminosity
- Random sampling of beam1 alone, beam2 alone, and empty crossings for background subtraction.
  - Luminosity trigger implemented in ODIN based on an advanced pseudo-random generator producing two 32-bit random numbers at 40 MHz
  - →Events carry special flags that allow offline analysis of any data set
- <u>Online:</u>
  - Counting of minimum bias trigger condition with maximum acceptance on beam-beam crossings
    - Transverse energy criteria, together with muon minimum bias and noPV condition as stability check
  - Conditions counted on beam1 alone and beam2 alone for background correction
  - Instantaneous luminosity determined from Poisson statistics ( $P_0 = e^{-\mu}$ )

$$\mu = -ln \frac{1 - \rho_{trg}}{f_{rev} * n_{bb}} \qquad \qquad L = \frac{\mu * f_{rev} * nbb}{\sigma_{mbias} * \varepsilon_{det}}$$

- LHC filling scheme loaded real-time into ODIN sequencer during filling of LHC
- Online integrated luminosity well within 1% of best value from offline

14th ICALEPCS, San Francisco, 6 – 11 October 2013