## EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)





# Study of the production of charged pions, kaons, and protons in pPb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV

The CMS Collaboration[∗](#page-0-0)

## **Abstract**

Spectra of identified charged hadrons are measured in pPb collisions with the CMS  $\Delta t$  detector at the LHC at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. Charged pions, kaons, and protons in the transverse-momentum range  $p_T \approx 0.1$ –1.7 GeV/*c* and laboratory rapidity  $|y| < 1$  are identified via their energy loss in the silicon tracker. The average  $p<sub>T</sub>$  increases with particle mass and the charged multiplicity of the event. The increase of the average  $p<sub>T</sub>$ with charged multiplicity is greater for heavier hadrons. Comparisons to Monte Carlo event generators reveal that EPOS LHC, which incorporates additional hydrodynamic evolution of the created system, is able to reproduce most of the data features, unlike HIJING and AMPT. The  $p<sub>T</sub>$  spectra and integrated yields are also compared to those measured in pp and PbPb collisions at various energies. The average transverse momentum and particle ratio measurements indicate that particle production at LHC energies is strongly correlated with event particle multiplicity.

*Published in the European Physical Journal C as* [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2847-x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2847-x)

c 2014 CERN for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration. [CC-BY-3.0 license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0)

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup>See Appendix [A](#page-24-0) for the list of collaboration members

## **1 Introduction**

The study of hadron production has a long history in high-energy particle and nuclear physics, as well as in cosmic-ray physics. The absolute yields and the transverse momentum  $(p_T)$  spectra of identified hadrons in high-energy hadron-hadron collisions are among the most basic physical observables. They can be used to test the predictions for non-perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) processes like hadronization and soft-parton interactions, and the validity of their implementation in Monte Carlo (MC) event generators. Spectra of identified particles in proton-nucleus collisions also constitute an important reference for studies of highenergy heavy-ion collisions, where final-state effects are known to modify the spectral shape and yields of different hadron species [\[1](#page-19-0)[–7\]](#page-20-0).

The present analysis focuses on the measurement of the  $p<sub>T</sub>$  spectra of charged hadrons, identi-First many sixty of the measurement of the  $p_T$  spectra of charged matrions, identified mostly via their energy deposits in silicon detectors, in pPb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. The analysis procedures are similar to those previously used in the measurement of pion, kaon, and proton production in pp collisions at several center-of-mass energies [\[8\]](#page-20-1). Results on  $\pi$ , K, and p production in pPb collisions have been also reported by the ALICE Collaboration [\[9\]](#page-20-2).

A detailed description of the CMS (Compact Muon Solenoid) detector can be found in Ref. [\[10\]](#page-20-3). The CMS experiment uses a right-handed coordinate system, with the origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) and the *z* axis along the counterclockwise-beam direction. The pseudorapidity *η* and rapidity *y* of a particle (in the laboratory frame) with energy *E*, momentum *p*, and momentum along the *z* axis  $p_z$  are defined as  $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$ , where  $\theta$  is the polar angle with respect to the *z* axis and  $y = \frac{1}{2} \ln[(E + p_z)/(E - p_z)]$ , respectively. The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter. Within the 3.8 T field volume are the silicon pixel and strip tracker, the crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and the brass/scintillator hadron calorimeter. The tracker measures charged particles within the pseudorapidity range |*η*| < 2.4. It has 1440 silicon pixel and 15 148 silicon strip detector modules, ordered in 13 tracking layers in the *y* region studied here. In addition to the barrel and endcap detectors, CMS has extensive forward calorimetry. Steel/quartz-fiber forward calorimeters (HF) cover  $3 < |\eta| < 5$ . Beam Pick-up Timing for the eXperiments (BPTX) devices were used to trigger the detector readout. They are located around the beam pipe at a distance of 175 m from the IP on either side, and are designed to provide precise information on the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) bunch structure and timing of the incoming beams.

The reconstruction of charged particles in CMS is bounded by the acceptance of the tracker  $(|\eta| < 2.4)$  and by the decreasing tracking efficiency at low momentum (greater than about 60%) for  $p > 0.05$ , 0.10, 0.20, and 0.40 GeV/*c* for e,  $\pi$ , K, and p, respectively). Particle identification capabilities using specific ionization are restricted to *p* < 0.15 GeV/*c* for electrons, *p* < 1.20 GeV/*c* for pions,  $p < 1.05$  GeV/*c* for kaons, and  $p < 1.70$  GeV/*c* for protons. Pions are identified up to a higher momentum than kaons because of their high relative abundance. In view of the  $(y, p<sub>T</sub>)$  regions where pions, kaons, and protons can all be identified  $(p = p<sub>T</sub> \cosh y)$ , the band −1 < *y* < 1 (in the laboratory frame) was chosen for this measurement, since it is a good compromise between the  $p_T$  range and *y* coverage.

In this paper, comparisons are made to predictions from three MC event generators. The HI-JING [\[11\]](#page-20-4) event generator is based on a two-component model for hadron production in highenergy nucleon and nuclear collisions. Hard parton scatterings are assumed to be described by perturbative QCD and soft interactions are approximated by string excitations with an effective cross section. In version 2.1 [\[12\]](#page-20-5), in addition to modification of initial parton distributions, multiple scatterings inside a nucleus lead to transverse momentum broadening of both initial and final-state partons. This is responsible for the enhancement of intermediate- $p_T$  (2–

6 GeV/*c*) hadron spectra in proton-nucleus collisions, with respect to the properly scaled spectra of proton-proton collisions (Cronin effect). The AMPT [\[13\]](#page-20-6) event generator is a multi-phase transport model. It starts from the same initial conditions as HIJING, contains a partonic transport phase, the description of the bulk hadronization, and finally a hadronic rescattering phase. These processes lead to hydrodynamic-like effects in simulated nucleus-nucleus collisions, but not necessarily in proton-nucleus collisions. The latest available version (1.26/2.26) is used. The EPOS [\[14\]](#page-20-7) event generator uses a quantum mechanical multiple scattering approach based on partons and strings, where cross sections and particle production are calculated consistently, taking into account energy conservation in both cases. Nuclear effects related to transverse momentum broadening, parton saturation, and screening have been introduced. The model can be used both for extensive air shower simulations and accelerator physics. EPOS LHC [\[15\]](#page-20-8) is an improvement of version 1.99 (v3400) and contains a three-dimensional viscous event-by-event hydrodynamic treatment. This is a major difference with respect to the HIJING and AMPT models for proton-nucleus collisions.

## **2 Data analysis**

The data were taken in September 2012 during a 4-hour-long pPb run with very low probability of multiple interactions (0.15% "pileup"). A total of 2.0 million collisions were collected, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of approximately  $1 \mu b^{-1}$ . The dominant uncertainty for the reported measurements is systematic in nature. The beam energies were 4 TeV for protons and 1.58 TeV per nucleon for lead nuclei, resulting in a center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair  $\frac{d}{d\sigma}$  and 1.38 TeV per nucleon for lead nuclei, resulting in a center-or-mass energy per nucleon pair of  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV. Due to the asymmetric beam energies the nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass in the pPb collisions was not at rest with respect to the laboratory frame but was moving with a velocity  $\beta = -0.434$  or rapidity  $-0.465$ . Since the higher-energy proton beam traveled in the clockwise direction, i.e. at  $\theta = \pi$ , the rapidity of a particle emitted at  $y_{cm}$  in the nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass frame is detected in the laboratory frame with a shift,  $y - y_{cm} = -0.465$ , i.e. a particle with  $y = 0$  moves with rapidity 0.465 in the Pb-beam direction in the center-of-mass system. The particle yields reported in this paper have been measured for laboratory rapidity  $|y|$  < 1 to match the experimentally accessible region.

The event selection consisted of the following requirements:

- at the trigger level, the coincidence of signals from both BPTX devices, indicating the presence of both proton and lead bunches crossing the interaction point; in addition, at least one track with  $p_T > 0.4$  GeV/*c* in the pixel tracker;
- offline, the presence of at least one tower with energy above 3 GeV in each of the HF calorimeters; at least one reconstructed interaction vertex; beam-halo and beaminduced background events, which usually produce an anomalously large number of pixel hits [\[16\]](#page-20-9), are suppressed.

The efficiencies for event selection, tracking, and vertexing were evaluated using simulated event samples produced with the HIJING 2.1 MC event generator, where the CMS detector response simulation was based on GEANT4 [\[17\]](#page-20-10). Simulated events were reconstructed in the same way as collision data events. The final results were corrected to a particle level selection applied to the direct MC output, which is very similar to the data selection described above: at least one particle (proper lifetime  $\tau > 10^{-18}$  s) with  $E > 3$  GeV in the range  $-5 < \eta < -3$  and at least one in the range  $3 < \eta < 5$ ; this selection is referred to in the following as the "doublesided" (DS) selection. These requirements are expected to suppress single-diffractive collisions in both the data and MC samples. From the MC event generators studied in this paper, the DS

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

selection efficiency for inelastic, hadronic collisions is found to be 94–97%.

Figure 1: Left: the ratio of selected events to double-sided (DS) events (ratio of the corresponding efficiencies in the inelastic sample), according to EPOS LHC and HIJING MC simulations, as a function of the reconstructed primary charged-particle multiplicity. Right: acceptance, tracking efficiency (left scale), and misreconstructed-track rate (right scale) in the range |*η*| < 2.4 as a function of  $p<sub>T</sub>$  for positively charged pions, kaons, and protons.

The simulated ratio of the data selection efficiency to the DS selection efficiency is shown as a function of the reconstructed track multiplicity in the left panel of Fig. [1.](#page-4-0) The ratio is used to correct the measured events. The results are also corrected for the fraction of DS events without a reconstructed track. This fraction, as given by the simulation, is about 0.1%.

The extrapolation of particle spectra into the unmeasured  $(\gamma, p_T)$  regions is model dependent, particularly at low  $p<sub>T</sub>$ . A high-precision measurement therefore requires reliable track reconstruction down to the lowest possible  $p<sub>T</sub>$ . The present analysis extends to  $p<sub>T</sub> \approx 0.1$  GeV/*c* by exploiting special tracking algorithms [\[18\]](#page-20-11), used in previous studies [\[8,](#page-20-1) [16,](#page-20-9) [19\]](#page-20-12), to provide high reconstruction efficiency and low background rate. The charged-pion mass was assumed when fitting particle momenta.

The acceptance of the tracker  $(C_a)$  is defined as the fraction of primary charged particles leaving at least two hits in the pixel detector. It is flat in the region  $-2 < \eta < 2$  and  $p<sub>T</sub> > 0.4$  GeV/*c*, and its value is 96–98% (Fig. [1,](#page-4-0) right panel). The loss of acceptance at  $p<sub>T</sub> < 0.4$  GeV/*c* is caused by energy loss and multiple scattering of particles, both depending on the particle mass. Likewise, the reconstruction efficiency  $(C_e)$  is about 75–85%, degrading at low  $p<sub>T</sub>$ , also in a massdependent way. The misreconstructed-track rate  $(C_f)$  is very small, reaching 1% only for  $p_T <$ 0.2 GeV/ $c$ . The probability of reconstructing multiple tracks  $(C_m)$  from a single true track is about 0.1%, mostly due to particles spiralling in the strong magnetic field of the CMS solenoid. The efficiencies and background rates do not depend on the charged-multiplicity of the event. They largely factorize in  $\eta$  and  $p_T$ , but for the final corrections an  $(\eta, p_T)$  matrix is used.

The region where pPb collisions occur (beam spot) is measured by reconstructing vertices from many events. Since the bunches are very narrow in the transverse direction, the *xy* location of the interaction vertices is well constrained; conversely, their *z* coordinates are spread over a relatively long distance and must be determined on an event-by-event basis. The vertex position is determined using reconstructed tracks which have  $p_T > 0.1$  GeV/*c* and originate from the vicinity of the beam spot, i.e. their transverse impact parameters  $d<sub>T</sub>$  satisfy the condition

 $d_{\text{T}} < 3 \sigma_{\text{T}}$ . Here  $\sigma_{\text{T}}$  is the quadratic sum of the uncertainty in the value of  $d_{\text{T}}$  and the rootmean-square of the beam spot distribution in the transverse plane. The agglomerative vertexreconstruction algorithm [\[20\]](#page-21-0) was used, with the *z* coordinates (and their uncertainties) of the tracks at the point of closest approach to the beam axis as input. For single-vertex events, there is no minimum requirement on the number of tracks associated with the vertex, even one-track vertices are allowed. Only tracks associated with a primary vertex are used in the analysis. If multiple vertices are present, the tracks from the highest multiplicity vertex are used. The resultant bias is negligible since the pileup rate is extremely small.

The vertex reconstruction resolution in the *z* direction is a strong function of the number of reconstructed tracks and it is always smaller than 0.1 cm. The distribution of the *z* coordinates of the reconstructed primary vertices is Gaussian, with a standard deviation of 7.1 cm. The simulated data were reweighted so as to have the same vertex *z* coordinate distribution as the data.

The hadron spectra were corrected for particles of non-primary origin ( $\tau > 10^{-12}$  s). The main sources of secondary particles are weakly decaying particles, mostly K<sup>0</sup><sub>S</sub>,  $\Lambda/\overline{\Lambda}$ , and  $\Sigma^+/\overline{\Sigma}^-$ . While the correction ( $C_s$ ) is around 1% for pions, it rises up to 15% for protons with  $p_T \approx$  $0.2 \text{GeV/c}$ . As none of the mentioned weakly decaying particles decay into kaons, the correction for kaons is small. Based on studies comparing reconstructed  $K_S^0$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  spectra and predictions from the HIJING event generator, the corrections are reweighted by a  $p_T$ -dependent factor.

For  $p < 0.15$  GeV/*c*, electrons can be clearly identified. The overall  $e^{\pm}$  contamination of the hadron yields is below 0.2%. Although muons cannot be separated from pions, their fraction is very small, below 0.05%. Since both contaminations are negligible, no corrections are applied for them.

## **3 Estimation of energy loss rate and yield extraction**

In this paper an analytical parametrization [\[21\]](#page-21-1) has been used to approximate the energy loss of charged particles in the silicon detectors. The method provides the probability density  $P(\Delta|\varepsilon, l)$ of energy deposit Δ, if the most probable energy loss rate  $ε$  at a reference path-length  $l_0$  = 450 *µ*m and the path-length *l* are known. It was used in conjunction with a maximum likelihood method, for the estimate of *ε*.

For pixel clusters, the energy deposits were calculated as the sum of individual pixel deposits. In the case of strips, the energy deposits were corrected for capacitive coupling and cross-talk between neighboring strips. The readout threshold, the coupling parameter, and the standard deviation of the Gaussian noise for strips were determined from data, using tracks with closeto-normal incidence.

For an accurate determination of *ε*, the response of all readout chips was calibrated with multiplicative gain correction factors. The measured energy deposit spectra were compared to the energy loss parametrization and hit-level corrections (affine transformation of energy deposits using scale factors and shifts) were introduced. The corrections were applied to individual hits during the determination of the ln *ε* fit templates (described below).

The best value of *ε* for each track was calculated with the corrected energy deposits by minimizing the joint energy deposit negative log-likelihood of all hits on the trajectory (index *i*),  $\chi^2 = -2\sum_i \ln P(\Delta_i|\varepsilon, l_i)$ . Hits with incompatible energy deposits (contributing more than 12 to the joint  $\chi^2$ ) were excluded. At most one hit was removed; this affected about 1.5% of the

tracks.

Distributions of ln *ε* as a function of total momentum *p* for positive particles are plotted in the left panel of Fig. [2](#page-6-0) and compared to the predictions of the energy loss method [\[21\]](#page-21-1) for electrons, pions, kaons, and protons. The remaining deviations were taken into account by means of track-level corrections mentioned above (affine transformation of templates using scale factors and shifts,  $\ln \varepsilon \to \alpha \ln \varepsilon + \delta$ ).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 2: Left: distribution of ln *ε* as a function of total momentum *p*, for positively charged particles ( $\varepsilon$  is the most probable energy loss rate at a reference path length  $l_0 = 450 \,\mu \text{m}$ ). The *z* scale is shown in arbitrary units and is linear. The curves show the expected ln *ε* for electrons, pions, kaons, and protons (Eq. (30.11) in Ref. [\[22\]](#page-21-2)). Right: example ln *ε* distribution at *η* = 0.35 and  $p_T = 0.775 \text{ GeV}/c$ , with bin widths  $\Delta \eta = 0.1$  and  $\Delta p_T = 0.05 \text{ GeV}/c$ . Scale factors (*α*) and shifts  $(\delta)$  are indicated (see text). The inset shows the distribution with logarithmic vertical scale.

Low-momentum particles can be identified unambiguously and can therefore be counted. Conversely, at high momentum, the ln *ε* bands overlap (above about 0.5 GeV/*c* for pions and kaons and 1.2 GeV/*c* for protons); the particle yields therefore need to be determined by means of a series of template fits in ln  $\varepsilon$ , in bins of  $\eta$  and  $p_T$  (Fig. [2,](#page-6-0) right panel). Finally, fit templates, giving the expected ln *ε* distributions for all particle species (electrons, pions, kaons, and protons), were built from tracks. All kinematical parameters and hit-related observables were kept, but the energy deposits were regenerated by sampling from the analytical parametrization. For a less biased determination of track-level residual corrections, enhanced samples of each particle type were employed. These were used for setting starting values of the fits. For electrons and positrons, photon conversions in the beam-pipe and innermost first pixel layer were used. For high-purity  $\pi$  and enhanced p samples, weakly decaying hadrons were selected (K<sup>0</sup><sub>S</sub>,  $\Lambda/\overline{\Lambda}$ ). The relations and constraints described in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-20-1) were also exploited, this way better constraining the parameters of the fits: fitting the  $\ln \varepsilon$  distributions in number of hits ( $n_{\text{hits}}$ ) and track-fit *χ* <sup>2</sup>/ndf slices simultaneously; fixing the distribution *n*hits of particle species, relative to each other; using the expected continuity for refinement of track-level residual corrections, in neighboring  $(\eta, p_T)$  bins; using the expected convergence for track-level residual corrections, as the ln *ε* values of two particle species approach each other.

The results of the (iterative) ln *ε* fits are the yields for each particle species and charge in bins of  $(\eta, p_T)$  or  $(y, p_T)$ , both inclusive and divided into classes of reconstructed primary chargedtrack multiplicity. In the end, the histogram fit  $\chi^2$ /ndf values were usually close to unity. Although pion and kaon yields could not be determined for  $p > 1.30 \text{ GeV}/c$ , their sum was measured. This information is an important constraint when fitting the  $p<sub>T</sub>$  spectra.

The statistical uncertainties for the extracted yields are given by the fits. The observed local variations of parameters in the  $(\eta, p_T)$  plane for track-level corrections cannot be attributed to statistical fluctuations and indicate that the average systematic uncertainties in the scale factors and shifts are about  $10^{-2}$  and  $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ , respectively. These scale factors and shifts agree with those seen in the high-purity samples to well within a factor of two. The systematic uncertainties in the yields in each bin were obtained by refitting the histograms with the parameters changed by these amounts.

## **4 Corrections and systematic uncertainties**

The measured yields in each  $(\eta, p_T)$  bin,  $\Delta N_{\text{measured}}$ , were first corrected for the misreconstructedtrack rate (*C*<sub>f</sub>) and the fraction of secondary particles (*C*<sub>s</sub>):

$$
\Delta N' = \Delta N_{\text{measured}} \cdot (1 - C_{\text{f}}) \cdot (1 - C_{\text{s}}). \tag{1}
$$

The distributions were then unfolded to take into account the finite  $\eta$  and  $p_T$  resolutions. The *η* distribution of the tracks is almost flat and the *η* resolution is very good. Conversely, the *p*<sub>T</sub> distribution is steep in the low-momentum region and separate corrections in each *η* bin were necessary. An unfolding procedure with linear regularization [\[23\]](#page-21-3) was used, based on response matrices obtained from MC samples for each particle species.

The corrected yields were obtained by applying corrections for acceptance (*C*a), efficiency (*C*e), and multiple track reconstruction rate  $(C_m)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{N_{\rm ev}}\frac{d^2N}{d\eta\,d\rho_{\rm T}}_{\rm corrected} = \frac{1}{C_{\rm a}\cdot C_{\rm e}\cdot(1+C_{\rm m})}\frac{\Delta N'}{N_{\rm ev}\Delta\eta\Delta\rho_{\rm T}},\tag{2}
$$

where  $N_{\text{ev}}$  is the corrected number of DS events (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). Bins with acceptance smaller than 50%, efficiency smaller than 50%, multiple-track rate greater than 10%, or containing less than 80 tracks were not used.

Finally, the differential yields  $d^2N/d\eta \, dp_T$  were transformed to invariant yields  $d^2N/d\eta \, dp_T$ by multiplying with the Jacobian  $E/p$  and the  $(\eta, p_T)$  bins were mapped into a  $(\gamma, p_T)$  grid. As expected, there is a small (5–10%) *y* dependence in the narrow region considered ( $|y|$  < 1), depending on event multiplicity. The yields as a function of  $p<sub>T</sub>$  were obtained by averaging over rapidity.

The systematic uncertainties are very similar to those in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-20-1) and are summarized in Table [1.](#page-8-0) The uncertainties of the corrections related to the event selection and pileup are fully or mostly correlated and were treated as normalization uncertainties: 3.0% uncertainty on the yields and 1.0% on the average  $p_T$ . In order to study the influence of the high  $p_T$  extrapolation on  $\langle dN/dy \rangle$ and  $\langle p_T \rangle$ , the 1/*n* parameter of the fitted Tsallis-Pareto function (Sec. [5\)](#page-8-1) was varied. While keeping the function in the measured range,  $1/n$  was increased and decreased by  $\pm 0.1$  above the highest  $p<sub>T</sub>$  measured point, ensuring that the two function pieces are continuous both in value and derivative. The choice of the magnitude for the variation was motivated by the fitted  $1/n$  values and their distance from a Boltzmann distribution. (The resulting functions are plotted in Fig. [3](#page-10-0) as dotted lines.) The high  $p<sub>T</sub>$  extrapolation introduces sizeable systematic uncertainties, 4–6% for  $\langle dN/dy \rangle$ , and 9–15% for  $\langle p_T \rangle$  in case of the DS selection.

The tracker acceptance and the track reconstruction efficiency generally have small uncertainties (1% and 3%, respectively), but change rapidly at very low  $p_T$  (right panel of Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0), leading to a 6% uncertainty on the yields in that range. For the multiple-track and misreconstructedtrack rate corrections, the uncertainty is assumed to be 50% of the correction, while for the case of the correction for secondary particles it was estimated to be 20%. These mostly uncorrelated uncertainties are due to the imperfect modeling of the detector: regions with mismodeled efficiency in the tracker, alignment uncertainties, and channel-by-channel varying hit efficiency. These circumstances can change frequently in momentum space, so can be treated as uncorrelated.

The systematic uncertainties originating from the unfolding procedure were studied. Since the  $p_T$  response matrices are close to diagonal, the unfolding of  $p_T$  distributions did not introduce substantial systematics. At the same time the inherited uncertainties were properly propagated. The introduced correlations between neighboring  $p<sub>T</sub>$  bins were neglected, hence statistical uncertainties were regarded as uncorrelated while systematic uncertainties were expected to be locally correlated in  $p<sub>T</sub>$ . The systematic uncertainty of the fitted yields is in the range 1–10% depending mostly on total momentum.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 1: Summary of the systematic uncertainties affecting the  $p<sub>T</sub>$  spectra. Values in parentheses indicate uncertainties in the  $\langle p_T \rangle$  measurement. The systematic uncertainty related to the low  $p_T$  extrapolation is small compared to the contributions from other sources and therefore not included in the combined systematic uncertainty of the measurement. Representative, particlespecific uncertainties ( $\pi$ , K, p) are given for  $p_T = 0.6$  GeV/*c* in the third group of systematic uncertainties.



## <span id="page-8-1"></span>**5 Results**

In previously published measurements of unidentified and identified particle spectra [\[16,](#page-20-9) [24\]](#page-21-4), the following form of the Tsallis-Pareto-type distribution [\[25,](#page-21-5) [26\]](#page-21-6) was fitted to the data:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N}{\mathrm{d}y \,\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}y} \cdot C \cdot p_{\mathrm{T}} \left[ 1 + \frac{m_{\mathrm{T}} - m}{n\mathrm{T}} \right]^{-n},\tag{3}
$$

where

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
C = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{nT[nT + (n-2)m]}
$$
\n(4)

and  $m_{\rm T} = \sqrt{m^2 + p_{\rm T}^2}$  (factors of *c* are omitted from the preceding formulae). The free parameters are the integrated yield d*N*/d*y*, the exponent *n*, and parameter *T*. The above formula is useful for extrapolating the spectra to zero  $p<sub>T</sub>$  and very high  $p<sub>T</sub>$  and for extracting  $\langle p<sub>T</sub> \rangle$  and d*N*/d*y*. Its validity for different multiplicity bins was cross-checked by fitting MC spectra in the  $p<sub>T</sub>$  ranges where there are data points, and verifying that the fitted values of  $\langle p<sub>T</sub> \rangle$  and d*N*/d*y* were consistent with the generated values. Nevertheless, for a more robust estimation of both quantities ( $\langle p_T \rangle$  and  $\langle dN/dy \rangle$ ), the data points and their uncertainties were used in the measured range and the fitted functions only for the extrapolation in the unmeasured regions. According to some models of particle production based on non-extensive thermodynamics [\[26\]](#page-21-6), the parameter *T* is connected with the average particle energy, while *n* characterizes the "non-extensivity" of the process, i.e. the departure of the spectra from a Boltzmann distribution ( $n = \infty$ ).

As discussed earlier, pions and kaons cannot be unambiguously distinguished at higher momenta. Because of this, the pion-only, the kaon-only, and the joint pion and kaon  $\frac{d^2N}{dy} dp_T$ distributions were fitted for  $|y| < 1$  and  $p < 1.20$  GeV/*c*,  $|y| < 1$  and  $p < 1.05$  GeV/*c*, and |*η*| < 1 and 1.05 < *p* < 1.7 GeV/*c*, respectively. Since the ratio *p*/*E* for the pions (which are more abundant than kaons) at these momenta can be approximated by  $p_T/m_T$  at  $\eta \approx 0$ , Eq. [\(3\)](#page-9-0) becomes:

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N}{\mathrm{d}\eta \,\mathrm{d}\eta_{\rm T}} \approx \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}y} \cdot C \cdot \frac{p_{\rm T}^2}{m_{\rm T}} \left(1 + \frac{m_{\rm T} - m}{n_{\rm T}}\right)^{-n}.\tag{5}
$$

The approximate fractions of particles outside the measured  $p<sub>T</sub>$  range depend on track multiplicity; they are 15–30% for pions, 40–50% for kaons, and 20–35% for protons. The average transverse momentum  $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$  and its uncertainty were obtained using data points in the measured range complemented by numerical integration of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-9-0) with the fitted parameters in the unmeasured regions, under the assumption that the particle yield distributions follow the Tsallis-Pareto function in the low- $p_T$  and high- $p_T$  regions.

The results discussed in the following are for laboratory rapidity  $|y| < 1$ . In all cases, error bars indicate the uncorrelated statistical uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. The fully correlated normalization uncertainty is not shown. For the  $p_T$ spectra, the average transverse momentum, and the ratio of particle yields, the data are compared to AMPT 1.26/2.26 [\[13\]](#page-20-6), EPOS LHC [\[14,](#page-20-7) [15\]](#page-20-8), and HIJING 2.1 [\[11\]](#page-20-4) MC event generators. Numerical results corresponding to the plotted spectra, fit results, as well as their statistical and systematic uncertainties are given in Ref. [\[27\]](#page-21-7).

#### **5.1 Inclusive measurements**

The transverse momentum distributions of positively and negatively charged hadrons (pions, kaons, protons) are shown in Fig. [3,](#page-10-0) along with the results of the fits to the Tsallis-Pareto

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 3: Transverse momentum distributions of identified charged hadrons (pions, kaons, protons, sum of pions and kaons) in the range  $|y| < 1$ , for positively (left) and negatively (right) charged particles. Kaon and proton distributions are scaled as shown in the legends. Fits to Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-9-0) and [\(5\)](#page-9-1) are superimposed. Error bars indicate the uncorrelated statistical uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. The fully correlated normalization uncertainty (not shown) is 3.0%. Dotted lines illustrate the effect of varying the 1/*n* value of the Tsallis-Pareto function by  $\pm 0.1$  above the highest measured  $p_T$  point.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

Figure 4: Transverse momentum distributions of identified charged hadrons (pions, kaons, protons) in the range  $|y| < 1$ , for positively (left) and negatively (right) charged particles. Measured values (same as in Fig. [3\)](#page-10-0) are plotted together with predictions from AMPT, EPOS LHC, and HIJING. Error bars indicate the uncorrelated statistical uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. The fully correlated normalization uncertainty (not shown) is 3.0%.

parametrization (Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-9-0) and [\(5\)](#page-9-1)). The fits are of good quality with  $\chi^2$ /ndf values in the range 0.4–2.8 (Table [2\)](#page-11-0). Figure [4](#page-10-1) presents the data compared to the AMPT, EPOS LHC, and HIJING predictions. EPOS LHC gives a good description, while other generators predict steeper  $p<sub>T</sub>$ distributions than found in data.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Table 2: Fit results (d*N*/d*y*, 1/*n*, and *T*) and goodness-of-fit values for the DS selection shown together with calculated averages ( $\langle dN/dy \rangle$ ,  $\langle p_T \rangle$ ) for charged pions, kaons, and protons. The systematic uncertainty related to the low  $p_T$  extrapolation is small compared to the contributions from other sources and therefore not included in the combined systematic uncertainty of the measurement. Combined uncertainties are given.



Ratios of particle yields as a function of the transverse momentum are plotted in Fig. [5.](#page-11-1) While the  $K/\pi$  ratios are well described by the AMPT simulation, only EPOS LHC is able to predict both  $K/\pi$  and  $p/\pi$  ratios. The ratios of the yields for oppositely charged particles are close to one, as expected for LHC energies at midrapidity.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

Figure 5: Ratios of particle yields as a function of transverse momentum. K/*π* and p/*π* values are shown in the left panel, and opposite-charge ratios are plotted in the right panel. Error bars indicate the uncorrelated statistical uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. In the left panel, curves indicate predictions from AMPT, EPOS LHC, and HIJING.

#### **5.2 Multiplicity dependent measurements**

A study of the dependence on track multiplicity is motivated partly by the intriguing hadron correlations measured in pp and pPb collisions at high track multiplicities [\[28](#page-21-8)[–31\]](#page-21-9), suggesting possible collective effects in "central" pp and pPb collisions at the LHC. At the same time, it was seen that in pp collisions the characteristics of particle production ( $\langle p_T \rangle$ , ratios) at LHC energies are strongly correlated with event particle multiplicity rather than with the center-ofmass energy of the collision [\[8\]](#page-20-1). The strong dependence on multiplicity (or centrality) was also seen in dAu collisions at RHIC [\[6,](#page-20-13) [7\]](#page-20-0). In addition, the multiplicity dependence of particle yield ratios is sensitive to various final-state effects (hadronization, color reconnection, collective flow) implemented in MC models used in collider and cosmic-ray physics [\[32\]](#page-21-10).

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Table 3: Relationship between the number of reconstructed tracks (*N*rec) and the average number of corrected tracks  $(N<sub>tracks</sub>)$  in the region  $|\eta| < 2.4$ , and also with the condition  $p_T > 0.4$  GeV/*c* (used in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-21-11)), in the 19 multiplicity classes considered.



The event multiplicity  $N_{\text{rec}}$  is obtained from the number of reconstructed tracks with  $|\eta| < 2.4$ , where the tracks are reconstructed using the same algorithm as for the identified charged hadrons [\[18\]](#page-20-11). (The multiplicity variable  $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}}$ , used in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-21-11), is obtained from a different track reconstruction configuration and a value of  $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}} = 110$  corresponds roughly to  $N_{\text{rec}}$  = 170.) The event multiplicity was divided into 19 classes, defined in Table [3.](#page-12-0) To facilitate comparisons with models, the corresponding corrected charged particle multiplicity in the same acceptance of  $|\eta| < 2.4$  ( $N_{\text{tracks}}$ ) is also determined. For each multiplicity class, the correction from *N*<sub>rec</sub> to *N*<sub>tracks</sub> uses the efficiency estimated with the HIJING simulation in  $(\eta, p_T)$ bins. The corrected data are then integrated over  $p<sub>T</sub>$ , down to zero yield at  $p<sub>T</sub> = 0$  (with a linear extrapolation below  $p_T = 0.1 \text{ GeV/c}$ . Finally, the integrals for each eta slice are summed. The average corrected charged-particle multiplicity  $\langle N_{\text{tracks}} \rangle$ , and also its values with the condition  $p_{\rm T} > 0.4$  GeV/*c*, are shown in Table [3](#page-12-0) for each event multiplicity class. The value of  $\langle N_{\rm tracks} \rangle$  is used to identify the multiplicity class in Figs. [6](#page-13-0)[–10.](#page-16-0)

Transverse-momentum distributions of identified charged hadrons, normalized such that the fit integral is unity, in selected multiplicity classes for  $|y| < 1$  are shown in Fig. [6](#page-13-0) for pions, kaons, and protons. The distributions of negatively and positively charged particles have been summed. The distributions are fitted with the Tsallis-Pareto parametrization with  $\chi^2$ /ndf values in the range 0.8–4.0 for pions, 0.1–1.1 for kaons, and 0.1–0.7 for protons. For kaons and protons, the parameter *T* increases with multiplicity, while for pions *T* slightly increases and the exponent *n* slightly decreases with multiplicity (not shown).

The ratios of particle yields are displayed as a function of track multiplicity in Fig. [7.](#page-14-0) The K/*π* and  $p/\pi$  ratios are flat, or slightly rising, as a function of  $\langle N_{\text{tracks}}\rangle$ . While none of the models is able to precisely reproduce the track multiplicity dependence, the best and worst matches to the overall scale are given by EPOS LHC and HIJING, respectively. The ratios of yields of oppositely charged particles are independent of  $\langle N_{\text{tracks}} \rangle$  as shown in the right panel of Fig. [7.](#page-14-0)

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Figure 6: Transverse momentum distributions of charged pions, kaons, and protons, normalized such that the fit integral is unity, in every second multiplicity class ( $\langle N_{\text{tracks}} \rangle$  values are indicated) in the range  $|y| < 1$ , fitted with the Tsallis-Pareto parametrization (solid lines). For better visibility, the result for any given  $\langle N_{\text{tracks}} \rangle$  bin is shifted by 0.3 units with respect to the adjacent bins. Error bars indicate the uncorrelated statistical uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. Dotted lines illustrate the effect of varying the 1/*n* value of the Tsallis-Pareto function by  $\pm 0.1$  above the highest measured  $p_T$  point.

The average transverse momentum  $\langle p_T \rangle$  is shown as a function of multiplicity in Fig. [8.](#page-14-1) As expected from the discrepancies between theory and data shown in Fig. [4,](#page-10-1) EPOS LHC again gives a reasonable description, while the other event generators presented here underpredict the measured values. For the dependence of *T* on multiplicity (not shown), the predictions match the pion data well; the kaon and proton values are much higher than in AMPT or HIJING.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Figure 7: Ratios of particle yields in the range  $|y| < 1$  as a function of the corrected track multiplicity for  $|\eta| < 2.4$ . K/ $\pi$  and  $p/\pi$  values are shown in the left panel, and oppositecharge ratios are plotted in the right panel. Error bars indicate the uncorrelated combined uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. In the left panel, curves indicate predictions from AMPT, EPOS LHC, and HIJING.



Figure 8: Average transverse momentum of identified charged hadrons (pions, kaons, protons) in the range  $|y| < 1$ , as a function of the corrected track multiplicity for  $|\eta| < 2.4$ , computed assuming a Tsallis-Pareto distribution in the unmeasured range. Error bars indicate the uncorrelated combined uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. The fully correlated normalization uncertainty (not shown) is 1.0%. Curves indicate predictions from AMPT, EPOS LHC, and HIJING.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Figure 9: Average transverse momentum of identified charged hadrons (pions, kaons, protons; left panel) and ratios of particle yields (right panel) in the range  $|y| < 1$  as a function of the corrected track multiplicity for  $|\eta|$  < 2.4, for pp collisions (open symbols) at several energies [\[8\]](#page-20-1), and for pPb collisions (filled symbols) at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV. Both  $\langle p_T \rangle$  and yield ratios were computed assuming a Tsallis-Pareto distribution in the unmeasured range. Error bars indicate the uncorrelated combined uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. For  $\langle p_T \rangle$  the fully correlated normalization uncertainty (not shown) is 1.0%. In both plots, lines are drawn to guide the eye (gray solid – pp 0.9 TeV, gray dotted – pp 2.76 TeV, black dash-dotted – pp 7 TeV, colored solid – pPb 5.02 TeV). The ranges of  $\langle p_T \rangle$ , K/ $\pi$  and p/ $\pi$  values  $\frac{1}{2}$  measured by ALICE in various centrality PbPb collisions (see text) at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV [\[33\]](#page-21-12) are indicated with horizontal bands.

#### **5.3 Comparisons to pp and PbPb data**

The comparison with pp data taken at various center-of-mass energies (0.9, 2.76, and 7 TeV) [\[8\]](#page-20-1) is shown in Fig. [9,](#page-15-0) where the dependence of  $\langle p_T \rangle$  and the particle yield ratios (K/*π* and p/*π*) on the track multiplicity is shown. The plots also display the ranges of these values measured by ALICE in PbPb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV for centralities from peripheral (80–90% of the inelastic cross-section) to central (0–5%) [\[33\]](#page-21-12). These ALICE PbPb data cover a much wider range of  $N_{\text{tracks}}$  than is shown in the plot. Although PbPb data are not available at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV for comparison, the evolution of event characteristics from RHIC ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 0.2 TeV, [\[3,](#page-19-1) [4,](#page-19-2) [6\]](#page-20-13)) to LHC energies [\[33\]](#page-21-12) suggests that yield ratios should remain similar, while  $\langle p_T \rangle$  values  $\frac{4}{3}$ , by to ETC energies [35] suggests that yield ratios should remain similar similar values by about 5% when going from  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 2.76 TeV to 5.02 TeV.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

Figure 10: Inverse slope parameters T' from fits of pion, kaon, and proton spectra (both charges) with a form proportional to  $p_T \exp(-m_T/T')$ . Results for a selection of multiplicity classes, with different  $\langle N_{\text{tracks}} \rangle$  as indicated, are plotted for pPb data (left) and for MC event generators AMPT, EPOS LHC, and HIJING (right). The curves are drawn to guide the eye.

For low track multiplicity ( $N<sub>tracks</sub> \lesssim 40$ ), pPb collisions behave very similarly to pp collisions, while at higher multiplicities ( $N_{\text{tracks}} \gtrsim 50$ ) the  $\langle p_T \rangle$  is lower for pPb than in pp. The first observation can be explained since low-multiplicity events are peripheral pPb collisions in which only a few proton-nucleon collisions are present. Events with more particles are indicative of collisions in which the projectile proton strikes the thick disk of the lead nucleus. Interestingly, the pPb curves (Fig. [9,](#page-15-0) left panel) can be reasonably approximated by taking the pp values and multiplying their *N*<sub>tracks</sub> coordinate by a factor of 1.8, for all particle types. In other words, a pPb collision with a given  $N_{\text{tracks}}$  is similar to a pp collision with  $0.55 \times N_{\text{tracks}}$  for produced charged particles in the |*η*| < 2.4 range. Both the highest-multiplicity pp and pPb interactions yield higher  $\langle p_T \rangle$  than seen in central PbPb collisions. While in the PbPb case even the most central collisions possibly contain a mix of soft (lower- $\langle p_T \rangle$ ) and hard (higher- $\langle p_T \rangle$ ) nucleon-nucleon interactions, for pp or pPb collisions the most violent interaction or sequence of interactions are selected.

The transverse momentum spectra could also be successfully fitted  $(\chi^2/\text{ndf})$  in the range 0.7– 1.8) with a functional form proportional to  $p_T \exp(-m_T/T)$ , where T' is called the inverse slope parameter, motivated by the success of Boltzmann-type distributions in nucleus-nucleus collisions [\[34\]](#page-21-13). In the case of pions, the fitted range was restricted to  $m<sub>T</sub> > 0.4$  GeV/*c* in order

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

Figure 11: Average rapidity densities  $\langle dN/dy \rangle$  (left) and average transverse momenta  $\langle p_T \rangle$ (right) as a function of center-of-mass energy for pp [\[8\]](#page-20-1) and pPb collisions, for charge-averaged pions, kaons, and protons. Error bars indicate the uncorrelated combined uncertainties, while boxes show the uncorrelated systematic uncertainties. The curves show parabolic (for  $\langle dN/dy \rangle$  or linear (for  $\langle p_T \rangle$ ) interpolation on a log-log scale. The pp and pPb data are for laboratory rapidity  $|y| < 1$ , which is the same as the center-of-mass rapidity only for the pp data.

to exclude the region where resonance decays would significantly contribute to the measured spectra. The inverse slope parameter as a function of hadron mass is shown in Fig. [10,](#page-16-0) for a selection of event classes, both for pPb data and for MC event generators (AMPT, EPOS LHC, and HIJING). While the data display a linear dependence on mass with a slope that increases with particle multiplicity, the models predict a flat or slowly rising behavior versus mass and only limited changes with track multiplicity. This is to be compared with pp results [\[8\]](#page-20-1), where both data and several tunes of the PYTHIA 6 [\[35\]](#page-22-0) and PYTHIA 8 event generators show features very similar to those in pPb data. A similar trend is also observed in nucleus-nucleus collisions [\[3,](#page-19-1) [6\]](#page-20-13), which is attributed to the effect of radial flow velocity boost [\[1\]](#page-19-0).

Average rapidity densities  $\langle dN/dy \rangle$  and average transverse momenta  $\langle p_T \rangle$  of charge-averaged pions, kaons, and protons as a function of center-of-mass energy are shown in Fig. [11](#page-17-0) for pp and pPb collisions, both corrected to the DS selection. To allow comparison at the pPb enand pro comsions, both corrected to the D5 selection. To allow comparison at the pro en-<br>ergy, a parabolic (linear) interpolation of the pp collision values at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ , 2.76, and 7 TeV is shown for  $dN/dy$  ( $\langle p_T \rangle$ ). The rapidity densities are generally about three times greater than in pp interactions at the same energy, while the average transverse momentum increases by about 20%, 10%, and 30% for pions, kaons, and protons, respectively. The factor of three difference in the yields for pPb as compared to pp can be compared with the estimated number of projectile collisions  $N_{\text{coll}}/2 = 3.5 \pm 0.3$  or with the number of nucleons participating in the collision  $N_{part}/2 = 4.0 \pm 0.3$ , based on the ratio of preliminary pPb and pp cross-section measurements, that have proven to be good scaling variables in proton-nucleus collisions at lower energies [\[36\]](#page-22-1).

## **6 Conclusions**

Measurements of identified charged hadron spectra produced in pPb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV have been presented, normalized to events with simultaneous hadronic activity at pseudorapidities −5 < *η* < −3 and 3 < *η* < 5. Charged pions, kaons, and protons were identified from the energy deposited in the silicon tracker and other track information. In the present analysis, the yield and spectra of identified hadrons for laboratory rapidity  $|y| < 1$  have been studied as a function of the event charged particle multiplicity in the range |*η*| < 2.4. The  $p<sub>T</sub>$  spectra are well described by fits with the Tsallis-Pareto parametrization. The ratios of the yields of oppositely charged particles are close to one, as expected at mid-rapidity for collisions of this energy. The average  $p<sub>T</sub>$  is found to increase with particle mass and the event multiplicity. These results are valid under the assumption that the particle yield distributions follow the Tsallis-Pareto function in the unmeasured  $p<sub>T</sub>$  regions.

The results can be used to further constrain models of hadron production and contribute to the understanding of basic non-perturbative dynamics in hadron collisions. The EPOS LHC event generator reproduces several features of the measured distributions, a significant improvement from the previous version, attributed to a new viscous hydrodynamic treatment of the produced particles. Other studied generators (AMPT, HIJING) predict steeper  $p<sub>T</sub>$  distributions and much smaller  $\langle p_T \rangle$  than found in data, as well as substantial deviations in the p/ $\pi$ ratios.

Combined with similar results from pp collisions, the track multiplicity dependence of the average transverse momentum and particle ratios indicate that particle production at LHC energies is strongly correlated with event particle multiplicity in both pp and pPb interactions. For low track multiplicity, pPb collisions appear similar to pp collisions. At high multiplicities, the average  $p_T$  of particles from pPb collisions with a charged particle multiplicity of  $N_{\text{tracks}}$  (in  $|\eta|$  < 2.4) is similar to that for pp collisions with 0.55  $\times$  *N*<sub>tracks</sub>. Both the highest-multiplicity pp and pPb interactions yield higher  $\langle p_T \rangle$  than seen in central PbPb collisions.

## **Acknowledgments**

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science and Research and the Austrian Science Fund; the Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique, and Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek; the Brazilian Funding Agencies (CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP); the Bulgarian Ministry of Education, Youth and Science; CERN; the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ministry of Science and Technology, and National Natural Science Foundation of China; the Colombian Funding Agency (COLCIENCIAS); the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sport; the Research Promotion Foundation, Cyprus; the Ministry of Education and Research, Recurrent financing contract SF0690030s09 and European Regional Development Fund, Estonia; the Academy of Finland, Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture, and Helsinki Institute of Physics; the Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules / CNRS, and Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux Énergies Alternatives / CEA, France; the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, and Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft Deutscher Forschungszentren, Germany; the General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Greece; the National Scientific Research Foundation, and National Office for Research and Technology, Hungary; the Department of Atomic Energy and the Department of Science and Technology, India; the Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Iran; the Science Foundation, Ireland; the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy; the Korean Ministry of Education, Science and Technology and the World Class University program of NRF, Republic of Korea; the Lithuanian Academy of Sciences; the Mexican Funding Agencies (CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI); the Ministry of Science and Innovation, New Zealand; the Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission; the Ministry of Science and Higher Education and the National Science Centre, Poland; the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal; JINR (Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, Ukraine, Uzbekistan); the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, the Federal Agency of Atomic Energy of the Russian Federation, Russian Academy of Sciences, and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research; the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of Serbia; the Secretaría de Estado de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación and Programa Consolider-Ingenio 2010, Spain; the Swiss Funding Agencies (ETH Board, ETH Zurich, PSI, SNF, UniZH, Canton Zurich, and SER); the National Science Council, Taipei; the Thailand Center of Excellence in Physics, the Institute for the Promotion of Teaching Science and Technology of Thailand and the National Science and Technology Development Agency of Thailand; the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey, and Turkish Atomic Energy Authority; the Science and Technology Facilities Council, UK; the US Department of Energy, and the US National Science Foundation.

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation a la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA- ` Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); the HOMING PLUS programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced by EU, Regional Development Fund; and the Thalis and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF.

## **References**

- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[1] E. Schnedermann, J. Sollfrank, and U. W. Heinz, "Thermal phenomenology of hadrons from 200 *A* GeV S+S collisions", *Phys. Rev. C* **48** (1993) 2462, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.48.2462](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.48.2462), [arXiv:nucl-th/9307020](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/nucl-th/9307020).
- [2] P. Huovinen and P. V. Ruuskanen, "Hydrodynamic Models for Heavy Ion Collisions", *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **56** (2006) 163, [doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.54.070103.181236](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.54.070103.181236), [arXiv:nucl-th/0605008](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0605008).
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[3] PHENIX Collaboration, "Identified charged particle spectra and yields in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 200 GeV", *Phys. Rev. C* **69** (2004) 034909, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.69.034909](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.034909), [arXiv:nucl-ex/0307022](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/nucl-ex/0307022).
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[4] BRAHMS Collaboration, "Centrality dependent particle production at *y* = 0 and *y* ∼ 1 in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 200 GeV", *Phys. Rev. C* 72 (2005) 014908, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.72.014908](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.014908), [arXiv:nucl-ex/0503010](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/nucl-ex/0503010).
- [5] PHOBOS Collaboration, "Identified hadron transverse momentum spectra in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 62.4 GeV", *Phys. Rev. C* 75 (2007) 024910, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.75.024910](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.024910), [arXiv:nucl-ex/0610001](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/nucl-ex/0610001).
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>[6] STAR Collaboration, "Systematic measurements of identified particle spectra in *pp*, *d*+Au and Au+Au collisions at the STAR detector", *Phys. Rev. C* **79** (2009) 034909, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.79.034909](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.034909), [arXiv:0808.2041](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/0808.2041).
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[7] PHENIX Collaboration, "Spectra and ratios of identified particles in Au+Au and *d*+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 200 GeV", (2013). [arXiv:1304.3410](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1304.3410). Submitted to Phys. Rev. C.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[8] CMS Collaboration, "Study of the inclusive production of charged pions, kaons, and  $\alpha$  conaboration, Study of the inclusive production of charged pions, kaons, and protons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ , 2.76, and 7 TeV", *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 2164, [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2164-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2164-1), [arXiv:1207.4724](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1207.4724).
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[9] ALICE Collaboration, "Multiplicity Dependence of Pion, Kaon, Proton and Lambda  $P_{\text{rad}}$  Conaboration, *Muttiplicity Dependence of 1 fort, Kaon, 1 folon and Production in p–Pb Collisions at*  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* (2013) [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.020), [arXiv:1307.6796](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1307.6796).
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[10] CMS Collaboration, "The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC", *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, [doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004).
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[11] W.-T. Deng, X.-N. Wang, and R. Xu, "Hadron production in p+p, p+Pb, and Pb+Pb collisions with the HIJING 2.0 model at energies available at the CERN Large Hadron Collider", *Phys. Rev. C* **83** (2011) 014915, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.83.014915](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.014915), [arXiv:1008.1841](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1008.1841).
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[12] R. Xu, W.-T. Deng, and X.-N. Wang, "Nuclear modification of high- $p_T$  hadron spectra in p+A collisions at LHC", *Phys. Rev. C* **86** (2012) 051901, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.86.051901](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.051901), [arXiv:1204.1998](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1204.1998).
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[13] Z. W. Lin, "Current status and further improvements of a multi-phase transport (AMPT) model", *Indian J. Phys.* **85** (2011) 837, [doi:10.1007/s12648-011-0086-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12648-011-0086-7).
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>[14] K. Werner, F.-M. Liu, and T. Pierog, "Parton ladder splitting and the rapidity dependence of transverse momentum spectra in deuteron-gold collisions at RHIC", *Phys. Rev. C* **74** (2006) 044902, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.74.044902](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.74.044902), [arXiv:hep-ph/0506232](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0506232).
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>[15] T. Pierog et al., "EPOS LHC : test of collective hadronization with LHC data", (2013). [arXiv:1306.0121](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1306.0121).
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[16] CMS Collaboration, "Transverse momentum and pseudorapidity distributions of  $\alpha$  conaporation, Transverse momentum and pseudorapidity distributions charged hadrons in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$  and 2.36 TeV", *JHEP* 02 (2010) 041, [doi:10.1007/JHEP02\(2010\)041](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)041), [arXiv:1002.0621](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1002.0621).
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>[17] S. Agostinelli et al., "Geant4—a simulation toolkit", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, [doi:10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>[18] F. Siklér, "Low  $p_T$  Hadronic Physics with CMS", *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **16** (2007) 1819, [doi:10.1142/S0218301307007052](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218301307007052), [arXiv:physics/0702193](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/physics/0702193).
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>[19] CMS Collaboration, "Transverse-momentum and pseudorapidity distributions of charged hadrons in pp collisions at <sup>√</sup> *s* = 7 TeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 022002, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.022002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.022002), [arXiv:1005.3299](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1005.3299).
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[20] F. Siklér, "Study of clustering methods to improve primary vertex finding for collider detectors", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **621** (2010) 526, [doi:10.1016/j.nima.2010.04.058](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.04.058), [arXiv:0911.2767](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/0911.2767).
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[21] F. Siklér, "A parametrisation of the energy loss distributions of charged particles and its applications for silicon detectors", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **691** (2012) 16, [doi:10.1016/j.nima.2012.06.064](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2012.06.064), [arXiv:1111.3213](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1111.3213).
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[22] Particle Data Group, J. Beringer et al., "Review of Particle Physics", *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 010001, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001).
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>[23] W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling, "Numerical Recipes: The Art of Scientific Computing". Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 2007.
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>[24] CMS Collaboration, "Strange particle production in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 0.9 and 7 TeV", *JHEP* **05** (2011) 064, [doi:10.1007/JHEP05\(2011\)064](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2011)064), [arXiv:1102.4282](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1102.4282).
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>[25] C. Tsallis, "Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics", *J. Stat. Phys.* **52** (1988) 479, [doi:10.1007/BF01016429](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01016429).
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>[26] T. S. Biró, G. Purcsel, and K. Ürmössy, "Non-extensive approach to quark matter", Eur. *Phys. J. A* **40** (2009) 325, [doi:10.1140/epja/i2009-10806-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2009-10806-6), [arXiv:0812.2104](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/0812.2104).
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>[27] [https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsHIN12016#Data) [PhysicsResultsHIN12016#Data](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsHIN12016#Data), 2014.
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>[28] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of long-range near-side angular correlations in proton-proton collisions at the LHC", *JHEP* **09** (2010) 091, [doi:10.1007/JHEP09\(2010\)091](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2010)091), [arXiv:1009.4122](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1009.4122).
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>[29] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of long-range near-side angular correlations in proton-lead collisions at the LHC", *Phys. Lett. B* **718** (2013) 795, [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.025](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.025), [arXiv:1210.5482](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1210.5482).
- [30] ALICE Collaboration, "Long-range angular correlations on the near and away side in  $P$ Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 5.02 TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* **719** (2013) 29, [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012), [arXiv:1212.2001](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1212.2001).
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>[31] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of Associated Near-side and Away-side Long-range Correlations in <sup>√</sup> *sNN*=5.02 TeV Proton-lead Collisions with the ATLAS Detector", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 182302, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182302](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182302), [arXiv:1212.5198](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1212.5198).
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>[32] D. d'Enterria et al., "Constraints from the first LHC data on hadronic event generators for ultra-high energy cosmic-ray physics", *Astropart. Phys.* **35** (2011) 98, [doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2011.05.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2011.05.002), [arXiv:1101.5596](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1101.5596).
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>[33] ALICE Collaboration, "Centrality dependence of *π*, K, p production in Pb-Pb collisions at √  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  = 2.76 TeV", *Phys. Rev. C* 88 (2013) 044910, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.88.044910](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.044910), [arXiv:1303.0737](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1303.0737).
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>[34] P. Braun-Munzinger, D. Magestro, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, "Hadron production in Au–Au collisions at RHIC", *Phys. Lett. B* **518** (2001) 41, [doi:10.1016/S0370-2693\(01\)01069-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01069-3), [arXiv:hep-ph/0105229](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0105229).
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[35] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, "PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual", *JHEP* 05 (2006) 026, [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026), [arXiv:hep-ph/0603175](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0603175).
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[36] J. E. Elias et al., "Experimental study of multiparticle production in hadron-nucleus interactions at high energy", *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 13, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.22.13](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.22.13).

## <span id="page-24-0"></span>**A The CMS Collaboration**

### **Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia**

S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

## Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan<sup>1</sup>, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth<sup>1</sup>, V.M. Ghete, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler<sup>1</sup>, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer<sup>1</sup>, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady<sup>2</sup>, B. Rahbaran, C. Rohringer, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz<sup>1</sup>

**National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus**

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

### **Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium**

S. Alderweireldt, M. Bansal, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, S. Luyckx, L. Mucibello, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, Z. Staykova, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

### **Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium**

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, A. Kalogeropoulos, J. Keaveney, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Villella

### **Universit´e Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium**

C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, L. Favart, A.P.R. Gay, T. Hreus, A. Leonard, ´ P.E. Marage, A. Mohammadi, L. Perniè, T. Reis, T. Seva, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang

## **Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium**

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, S. Dildick, G. Garcia, B. Klein, J. Lellouch, A. Marinov, J. Mccartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, M. Sigamani, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, S. Walsh, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

## **Universit´e Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium**

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi<sup>3</sup>, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco<sup>4</sup>, J. Hollar, P. Jez, V. Lemaitre, J. Liao, O. Militaru, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, A. Pin, K. Piotrzkowski, A. Popov<sup>5</sup>, M. Selvaggi, J.M. Vizan Garcia

## **Universit´e de Mons, Mons, Belgium**

N. Beliy, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

## **Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil**

G.A. Alves, M. Correa Martins Junior, T. Martins, M.E. Pol, M.H.G. Souza

## **Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil**

W.L. Aldá Júnior, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato<sup>6</sup>, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, M. Malek, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote<sup>6</sup>, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista <sup>a</sup>, Universidade Federal do ABC <sup>b</sup>, São Paulo, Brazil C.A. Bernardes<sup>b</sup>, F.A. Dias<sup>a,7</sup>, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei<sup>a</sup>, E.M. Gregores<sup>b</sup>, C. Lagana<sup>a</sup>, P.G. Mercadante*<sup>b</sup>* , S.F. Novaes*<sup>a</sup>* , Sandra S. Padula*<sup>a</sup>*

**Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria** V. Genchev<sup>2</sup>, P. Iaydjiev<sup>2</sup>, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

#### **University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria**

A. Dimitrov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

### **Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China**

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, X. Meng, J. Tao, J. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Xiao, M. Xu

**State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China** C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Y. Guo, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, H. Teng, D. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Zou

#### **Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia**

C. Avila, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

**Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia** N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, R. Plestina<sup>8</sup>, D. Polic, I. Puljak

**University of Split, Split, Croatia** Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

**Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia** V. Brigljevic, S. Duric, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, S. Morovic, L. Tikvica

#### **University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus**

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

**Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic**

M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

**Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt**

A.A. Abdelalim<sup>9</sup>, Y. Assran<sup>10</sup>, S. Elgammal<sup>9</sup>, A. Ellithi Kamel<sup>11</sup>, M.A. Mahmoud<sup>12</sup>, A. Radi<sup>13,14</sup>

**National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia** M. Kadastik, M. Muntel, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, L. Rebane, A. Tiko ¨

**Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland** P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

#### **Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland**

J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

**Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland** T. Tuuva

#### **DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France**

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, L. Millischer, A. Nayak, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov

**Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France**

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, L. Benhabib, M. Bluj<sup>15</sup>, P. Busson, C. Charlot, N. Daci, T. Dahms, M. Dalchenko, L. Dobrzynski, A. Florent, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Haguenauer, P. Mine,´ C. Mironov, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, A. Zabi

### Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute **Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France**

J.-L. Agram<sup>16</sup>, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte<sup>16</sup>, F. Drouhin<sup>16</sup>, J.-C. Fontaine<sup>16</sup>, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, P. Juillot, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

## **Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France**

S. Gadrat

## Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique **Nucl´eaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France**

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, G. Boudoul, S. Brochet, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret

## **Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia**

Z. Tsamalaidze<sup>17</sup>

## **RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany**

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, B. Calpas, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, N. Heracleous, O. Hindrichs, K. Klein, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov<sup>5</sup>

#### **RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany**

M. Ata, J. Caudron, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, H. Pieta, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, J. Steggemann, D. Teyssier, S. Thuer, M. Weber ¨

## **RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany**

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flugge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, ¨ B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, J. Lingemann<sup>2</sup>, A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

#### **Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany**

M. Aldaya Martin, I. Asin, N. Bartosik, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, M. Bergholz<sup>18</sup>, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, S. Choudhury, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, G. Flucke, A. Geiser, I. Glushkov, P. Gunnellini, S. Habib, J. Hauk, G. Hellwig, D. Horton, H. Jung, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, C. Kleinwort, H. Kluge, M. Krämer, D. Krücker, E. Kuznetsova, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann<sup>18</sup>, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, O. Novgorodova, F. Nowak, J. Olzem, H. Perrey, A. Petrukhin, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, C. Riedl, E. Ron, M.Ö. Sahin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, R. Schmidt<sup>18</sup>, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, N. Sen, M. Stein, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

### **University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany**

V. Blobel, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, U. Gebbert, M. Gorner, M. Gosselink, J. Haller, ¨ K. Heine, R.S. Höing, G. Kaussen, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, J. Lange, I. Marchesini, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Schröder, T. Schum, M. Seidel, J. Sibille<sup>19</sup>, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, J. Thomsen, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen

#### **Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany**

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, M. Guthoff<sup>2</sup>, F. Hartmann<sup>2</sup>, T. Hauth<sup>2</sup>, H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, U. Husemann, I. Katkov<sup>5</sup>, J.R. Komaragiri, A. Kornmayer<sup>2</sup>, P. Lobelle Pardo, D. Martschei, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, A. Nürnberg, O. Oberst, J. Ott, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, S. Röcker, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, M. Zeise

#### **Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece**

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Markou, C. Markou, E. Ntomari

### **University of Athens, Athens, Greece**

L. Gouskos, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris

## **University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece**

X. Aslanoglou, I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas

### **KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary**

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath<sup>20</sup>, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi<sup>21</sup>, A.J. Zsigmond

**Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary** N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

## **University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary**

J. Karancsi, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

**National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India** S.K. Swain<sup>22</sup>

#### **Panjab University, Chandigarh, India**

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, M. Kaur, M.Z. Mehta, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, L.K. Saini, A. Sharma, J.B. Singh

#### **University of Delhi, Delhi, India**

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, P. Saxena, V. Sharma, R.K. Shivpuri

### **Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India**

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, A. Modak, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan

#### **Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India**

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty<sup>2</sup>, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

## **Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - EHEP, Mumbai, India**

T. Aziz, R.M. Chatterjee, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait<sup>23</sup>, A. Gurtu<sup>24</sup>, G. Kole, S. Kumar, M. Maity25, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage<sup>26</sup>

## **Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India**

S. Banerjee, S. Dugad

## **Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran**

H. Arfaei, H. Bakhshiansohi, S.M. Etesami<sup>27</sup>, A. Fahim<sup>28</sup>, A. Jafari, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh<sup>29</sup>, M. Zeinali

## **University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland**

M. Grunewald

## **INFN Sezione di Bari** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Bari** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Politecnico di Bari** *<sup>c</sup>* **, Bari, Italy**

M. Abbrescia*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , L. Barbone*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , C. Calabria*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , S.S. Chhibra*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , A. Colaleo*<sup>a</sup>* , D. Creanza*a*,*<sup>c</sup>* , N. De Filippis<sup>a,c</sup>, M. De Palma<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Fiore<sup>a</sup>, G. Iaselli<sup>a,c</sup>, G. Maggi<sup>a,c</sup>, M. Maggi<sup>a</sup>, B. Marangelli<sup>a,b</sup>, S. My<sup>a,*c*</sup>, S. Nuzzo<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Pacifico<sup>a</sup>, A. Pompili<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Pugliese<sup>a,*c*</sup>, G. Selvaggi<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Silvestris<sup>a</sup>, G. Singh*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , R. Venditti*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , P. Verwilligen*<sup>a</sup>* , G. Zito*<sup>a</sup>*

## **INFN Sezione di Bologna** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Bologna** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Bologna, Italy**

G. Abbiendi<sup>a</sup>, A.C. Benvenuti<sup>a</sup>, D. Bonacorsi<sup>a,*b*</sup>, S. Braibant-Giacomelli<sup>a,*b*</sup>, L. Brigliadori<sup>a,*b*</sup>, R. Campanini<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Capiluppi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Castro<sup>a,b</sup>, F.R. Cavallo<sup>a</sup>, G. Codispoti<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Cuffiani<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Dallavalle<sup>a</sup>, F. Fabbri<sup>a</sup>, A. Fanfani<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Fasanella<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Giacomelli<sup>a</sup>, C. Grandi<sup>a</sup>, L. Guiducci<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Marcellini<sup>a</sup>, G. Masetti<sup>a</sup>, M. Meneghelli<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Montanari<sup>a</sup>, F.L. Navarria<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Odorici<sup>a</sup>, A. Perrotta<sup>a</sup>, F. Primavera<sup>a,*b*</sup>, A.M. Rossi<sup>a,*b*</sup>, T. Rovelli<sup>a,*b*</sup>, G.P. Siroli<sup>a,*b*</sup>, N. Tosi<sup>a,*b*</sup>, R. Travaglini*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

## **INFN Sezione di Catania** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Catania** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Catania, Italy**

S. Albergo*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , M. Chiorboli*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , S. Costa*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , F. Giordano*a*,2, R. Potenza*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , A. Tricomi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , C. Tuve*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

## **INFN Sezione di Firenze** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Firenze** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Firenze, Italy**

G. Barbagli*<sup>a</sup>* , V. Ciulli*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , C. Civinini*<sup>a</sup>* , R. D'Alessandro*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , E. Focardi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , S. Frosali*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , E. Gallo*<sup>a</sup>* , S. Gonzi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , V. Gori*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , P. Lenzi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , M. Meschini*<sup>a</sup>* , S. Paoletti*<sup>a</sup>* , G. Sguazzoni*<sup>a</sup>* , A. Tropiano*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

**INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy**

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

**INFN Sezione di Genova** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Genova** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Genova, Italy**

P. Fabbricatore*<sup>a</sup>* , R. Musenich*<sup>a</sup>* , S. Tosi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

## **INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Milano-Bicocca** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Milano, Italy**

A. Benaglia*<sup>a</sup>* , F. De Guio*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , M.E. Dinardo, S. Fiorendi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , S. Gennai*<sup>a</sup>* , A. Ghezzi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , P. Govoni, M.T. Lucchini<sup>2</sup>, S. Malvezzi<sup>a</sup>, R.A. Manzoni<sup>a,b,2</sup>, A. Martelli<sup>a,b,2</sup>, D. Menasce<sup>a</sup>, L. Moroni<sup>a</sup>, M. Paganoni*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , D. Pedrini*<sup>a</sup>* , S. Ragazzi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , N. Redaelli*<sup>a</sup>* , T. Tabarelli de Fatis*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

## INFN Sezione di Napoli <sup>a</sup>, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' <sup>b</sup>, Università della **Basilicata (Potenza)** *<sup>c</sup>* **, Universit`a G. Marconi (Roma)** *<sup>d</sup>* **, Napoli, Italy**

S. Buontempo<sup>a</sup>, N. Cavallo<sup>a,*c*</sup>, A. De Cosa<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Fabozzi<sup>a,c</sup>, A.O.M. Iorio<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Lista<sup>a</sup>, S. Meola*a*,*d*,2, M. Merola*<sup>a</sup>* , P. Paolucci*a*,2

## INFN Sezione di Padova <sup>a</sup>, Università di Padova <sup>b</sup>, Università di Trento (Trento) <sup>c</sup>, Padova, **Italy**

P. Azzi<sup>a</sup>, N. Bacchetta<sup>a</sup>, D. Bisello<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Branca<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Carlin<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Checchia<sup>a</sup>, T. Dorigo<sup>a</sup>, U. Dosselli<sup>a</sup>, M. Galanti<sup>a,b,2</sup>, F. Gasparini<sup>a,b</sup>, U. Gasparini<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Giubilato<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Gonella<sup>a</sup>, A. Gozzelino<sup>a</sup>, K. Kanishchev<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Lacaprara<sup>a</sup>, I. Lazzizzera<sup>a,c</sup>, M. Margoni<sup>a,b</sup>, A.T. Meneguzzo<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Montecassiano<sup>a</sup>, M. Passaseo<sup>a</sup>, J. Pazzini<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Pozzobon<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Ronchese<sup>a,*b*</sup>, F. Simonetto<sup>a,*b*</sup>, E. Torassa<sup>a</sup>, M. Tosi<sup>a,*b*</sup>, S. Vanini<sup>a,*b*</sup>, P. Zotto<sup>a,*b*</sup>, A. Zucchetta<sup>a,*b*</sup>, G. Zumerle*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

## **INFN Sezione di Pavia** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Pavia** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Pavia, Italy**

M. Gabusi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , S.P. Ratti*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , C. Riccardi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , P. Vitulo*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

## **INFN Sezione di Perugia** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Perugia** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Perugia, Italy**

M. Biasini<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Bilei<sup>a</sup>, L. Fanò<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Lariccia<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Mantovani<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Menichelli<sup>a</sup>, A. Nappi*a*,*b*† , F. Romeo*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , A. Saha*<sup>a</sup>* , A. Santocchia*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , A. Spiezia*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

**INFN Sezione di Pisa** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Pisa** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa** *<sup>c</sup>* **, Pisa, Italy** K. Androsov<sup>a,30</sup>, P. Azzurri<sup>a</sup>, G. Bagliesi<sup>a</sup>, J. Bernardini<sup>a</sup>, T. Boccali<sup>a</sup>, G. Broccolo<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Castaldi<sup>a</sup>, M.A. Ciocci*<sup>a</sup>* , R.T. D'Agnolo*a*,*c*,2, R. Dell'Orso*<sup>a</sup>* , F. Fiori*a*,*<sup>c</sup>* , L. Foa` *a*,*c* , A. Giassi*<sup>a</sup>* , M.T. Grippo*a*,30 , A. Kraan<sup>a</sup>, F. Ligabue<sup>a,c</sup>, T. Lomtadze<sup>a</sup>, L. Martini<sup>a,30</sup>, A. Messineo<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Palla<sup>a</sup>, A. Rizzi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Savoy-Navarro<sup>a,31</sup>, A.T. Serban<sup>a</sup>, P. Spagnolo<sup>a</sup>, P. Squillacioti<sup>a</sup>, R. Tenchini<sup>a</sup>, G. Tonelli<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Venturi*<sup>a</sup>* , P.G. Verdini*<sup>a</sup>* , C. Vernieri*a*,*<sup>c</sup>*

## **INFN Sezione di Roma** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Roma** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Roma, Italy**

L. Barone<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Cavallari<sup>a</sup>, D. Del Re<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Diemoz<sup>a</sup>, M. Grassi<sup>a,b,2</sup>, E. Longo<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Margaroli<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Meridiani<sup>a</sup>, F. Micheli<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Nourbakhsh<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Organtini<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Paramatti<sup>a</sup>, S. Rahatlou<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Rovelli32, L. Soffi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>*

## INFN Sezione di Torino <sup>a</sup>, Università di Torino <sup>b</sup>, Università del Piemonte Orientale (No**vara)** *<sup>c</sup>* **, Torino, Italy**

N. Amapane*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , R. Arcidiacono*a*,*<sup>c</sup>* , S. Argiro*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , M. Arneodo*a*,*<sup>c</sup>* , R. Bellan*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , C. Biino*<sup>a</sup>* , N. Cartiglia<sup>a</sup>, S. Casasso<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Costa<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Demaria<sup>a</sup>, C. Mariotti<sup>a</sup>, S. Maselli<sup>a</sup>, G. Mazza<sup>a</sup>, E. Migliore<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Monaco<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Musich<sup>a</sup>, M.M. Obertino<sup>a,c</sup>, N. Pastrone<sup>a</sup>, M. Pelliccioni<sup>a,2</sup>, A. Potenza<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Romero<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Ruspa<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Sacchi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Solano<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Staiano<sup>a</sup>, U. Tamponi<sup>a</sup>

### **INFN Sezione di Trieste** *<sup>a</sup>* **, Universit`a di Trieste** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Trieste, Italy**

S. Belforte*<sup>a</sup>* , V. Candelise*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , M. Casarsa*<sup>a</sup>* , F. Cossutti*a*,2, G. Della Ricca*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , B. Gobbo*<sup>a</sup>* , C. La Licata*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , M. Marone*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , D. Montanino*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , A. Penzo*<sup>a</sup>* , A. Schizzi*a*,*<sup>b</sup>* , A. Zanetti*<sup>a</sup>*

**Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea**

S. Chang, T.Y. Kim, S.K. Nam

**Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea** D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J.E. Kim, D.J. Kong, Y.D. Oh, H. Park, D.C. Son

## **Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea**

J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

**Korea University, Seoul, Korea** S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, T.J. Kim, K.S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

**University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea** M. Choi, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

**Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea** Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, M.S. Kim, E. Kwon, B. Lee, J. Lee, S. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

**Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania**

I. Grigelionis, A. Juodagalvis

## **Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico**

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz<sup>33</sup>, R. Lopez-Fernandez, J. Mart´ınez-Ortega, A. Sanchez-Hernandez, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas

## **Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

### **Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico** H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

**Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico** E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda, M.A. Reyes-Santos

**University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand** D. Krofcheck

## **University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand**

A.J. Bell, P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, S. Reucroft, H. Silverwood

### **National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan**

M. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, J. Butt, H.R. Hoorani, S. Khalid, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib

### **National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland**

H. Bialkowska, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Gorski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska- ´ Rybinska, M. Szleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski

**Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland** G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, W. Wolszczak

#### Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

N. Almeida, P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro*,* F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas<sup>2</sup>, J. Varela, P. Vischia

#### **Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia**

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, G. Kozlov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, A. Zarubin

#### **Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia**

S. Evstyukhin, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

#### **Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia**

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

#### **Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia**

V. Epshteyn, M. Erofeeva, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, A. Spiridonov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

#### **P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia**

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

### **Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia**

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, V. Korotkikh, I. Lokhtin, A. Markina, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev, I. Vardanyan

## **State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia**

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

## **University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia**

P. Adzic<sup>34</sup>, M. Djordjevic, M. Ekmedzic, D. Krpic<sup>34</sup>, J. Milosevic

## Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), **Madrid, Spain**

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas $^2$ , N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, E. Navarro De Martino, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, M.S. Soares, C. Willmott

#### **Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain**

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz

#### **Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain**

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias, J. Piedra Gomez

### Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, S.H. Chuang, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, A. Graziano, C. Jorda, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

#### **CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland**

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, J.F. Benitez, C. Bernet<sup>8</sup>, G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, O. Bondu, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, S. Colafranceschi<sup>35</sup>, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, S. Di Guida, M. Dobson, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, J. Eugster, W. Funk, G. Georgiou, M. Giffels, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, M. Giunta, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, S. Gowdy, R. Guida, J. Hammer, M. Hansen, P. Harris, C. Hartl, A. Hinzmann, V. Innocente, P. Janot, E. Karavakis, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, Y.-J. Lee, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, M. Malberti, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, R. Moser, M. Mulders, P. Musella, E. Nesvold, L. Orsini, E. Palencia Cortezon, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, M. Plagge, L. Quertenmont, A. Racz, W. Reece, G. Rolandi<sup>36</sup>, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, F. Santanastasio, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, I. Segoni, S. Sekmen, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas<sup>37</sup>, D. Spiga, M. Stoye, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres<sup>21</sup>, J.R. Vlimant, H.K. Wöhri, S.D. Worm<sup>38</sup>, W.D. Zeuner

#### **Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland**

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, K. Gabathuler, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

#### **Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland**

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, P. Eller, K. Freudenreich, C. Grab, D. Hits, P. Lecomte, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, D. Meister, N. Mohr, F. Moortgat, C. Nägeli<sup>39</sup>, P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, L. Pape, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, L. Sala, A.K. Sanchez, A. Starodumov<sup>40</sup>, B. Stieger, M. Takahashi, L. Tauscher<sup>†</sup>, A. Thea, K. Theofilatos, D. Treille, C. Urscheler, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber

#### Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler<sup>41</sup>, V. Chiochia, C. Favaro, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Kilminster, B. Millan Mejias, P. Otiougova, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, S. Taroni, S. Tupputi, M. Verzetti

## **National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan**

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, C. Ferro, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

## **National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan**

P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.- S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, X. Shi, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, M. Wang

### **Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand**

B. Asavapibhop, N. Suwonjandee

### **Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey**

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci<sup>42</sup>, S. Cerci<sup>43</sup>, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut<sup>44</sup>, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk<sup>42</sup>, A. Polatoz, K. Sogut<sup>45</sup>, D. Sunar Cerci<sup>43</sup>, B. Tali<sup>43</sup>, H. Topakli<sup>42</sup>, M. Vergili

#### **Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey**

I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, G. Karapinar<sup>46</sup>, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

#### **Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey**

E. Gülmez, B. Isildak $^{47}$ , M. Kaya $^{48}$ , O. Kaya $^{48}$ , S. Ozkorucuklu $^{49}$ , N. Sonmez $^{50}$ 

**Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey**

H. Bahtiyar<sup>51</sup>, E. Barlas, K. Cankocak, Y.O. Günaydin<sup>52</sup>, F.I. Vardarlı, M. Yücel

## **National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine** L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

#### **University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom**

J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, S. Metson, D.M. Newbold<sup>38</sup>, K. Nirunpong, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

#### **Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom**

A. Belyaev<sup>53</sup>, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, B.C. Radburn-Smith, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley

## **Imperial College, London, United Kingdom**

R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, M. Kenzie, R. Lane, R. Lucas<sup>38</sup>, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, B. Mathias, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko<sup>40</sup>, J. Pela,

M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi<sup>54</sup>, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, C. Seez, P. Sharp<sup>†</sup>, A. Sparrow, A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, N. Wardle, T. Whyntie

#### **Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom**

M. Chadwick, J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

#### **Baylor University, Waco, USA**

J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A. Kasmi, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

#### **The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA**

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

#### **Boston University, Boston, USA**

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, J. St. John, L. Sulak

#### **Brown University, Providence, USA**

J. Alimena, S. Bhattacharya, G. Christopher, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer

#### **University of California, Davis, Davis, USA**

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, R. Houtz, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander, T. Miceli, D. Pellett, F. Ricci-Tam, B. Rutherford, M. Searle, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

#### **University of California, Los Angeles, USA**

V. Andreev, D. Cline, R. Cousins, S. Erhan, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, M. Felcini, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, G. Rakness, P. Schlein† , E. Takasugi, P. Traczyk, V. Valuev, M. Weber

#### **University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA**

J. Babb, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, P. Jandir, H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, H. Nguyen, S. Paramesvaran, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, R. Wilken, S. Wimpenny

#### **University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA**

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, D. Evans, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, G. Petrucciani, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech<sup>55</sup>, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

#### **University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA**

D. Barge, C. Campagnari, M. D'Alfonso, T. Danielson, K. Flowers, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, J. Incandela, C. Justus, P. Kalavase, D. Kovalskyi, V. Krutelyov, S. Lowette, R. Magana Villalba, ˜ N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, J. Ribnik, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West

### **California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA**

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, D. Kcira, Y. Ma, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Rogan, M. Spiropulu, V. Timciuc, J. Veverka, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, Y. Yang, R.Y. Zhu

#### **Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA**

V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, Y.F. Liu, M. Paulini, J. Russ, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

#### **University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA**

J.P. Cumalat, B.R. Drell, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

#### **Cornell University, Ithaca, USA**

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, N. Eggert, L.K. Gibbons, W. Hopkins, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

#### **Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA**

D. Winn

#### **Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA**

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, O. Gutsche, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, K. Kaadze, B. Klima, S. Kunori, S. Kwan, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko<sup>56</sup>, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev, N. Ratnikova, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang, J.C. Yun

#### **University of Florida, Gainesville, USA**

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, M. Chen, T. Cheng, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, D. Dobur, A. Drozdetskiy, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Hugon, B. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenovic<sup>57</sup>, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, R. Remington, A. Rinkevicius, N. Skhirtladze, M. Snowball, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

#### **Florida International University, Miami, USA**

V. Gaultney, S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

#### **Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA**

T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, S.V. Gleyzer, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

#### **Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA**

M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

#### **University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA**

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, J. Callner, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, P. Kurt, F. Lacroix, D.H. Moon, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, D. Strom, P. Turner, N. Varelas

#### **The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA**

U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak<sup>51</sup>, B. Bilki<sup>58</sup>, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, F. Duru, S. Griffiths, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya59, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, C.R. Newsom, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok<sup>51</sup>, S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin<sup>60</sup>, K. Yi

#### **Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA**

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, G. Giurgiu, A.V. Gritsan, G. Hu, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Swartz, A. Whitbeck

#### **The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA**

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, R.P. Kenny III, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, J.S. Wood

#### **Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA**

A.F. Barfuss, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

#### **Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA**

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

#### **University of Maryland, College Park, USA**

A. Baden, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Peterman, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

#### **Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA**

A. Apyan, G. Bauer, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, L. Di Matteo, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, Y. Kim, M. Klute, Y.S. Lai, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, S. Nahn, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stockli, K. Sumorok, D. Velicanu, ¨ R. Wolf, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, Y. Yilmaz, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti, V. Zhukova

#### **University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA**

B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, G. Franzoni, A. Gude, J. Haupt, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, M. Sasseville, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

#### **University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA**

J.G. Acosta, L.M. Cremaldi, R. Kroeger, S. Oliveros, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders, D. Summers

#### **University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA**

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, M. Eads, R. Gonzalez Suarez, J. Keller, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, S. Malik, F. Meier, G.R. Snow

#### **State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA**

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, S. Jain, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio, Z. Wan

#### **Northeastern University, Boston, USA**

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, A. Massironi, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang

#### **Northwestern University, Evanston, USA**

A. Anastassov, K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, L. Lusito, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Velasco, S. Won

#### **University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA**

D. Berry, A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, J. Kolb, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf

#### **The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA**

L. Antonelli, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, G. Smith, C. Vuosalo, B.L. Winer, H. Wolfe

#### **Princeton University, Princeton, USA**

E. Berry, P. Elmer, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, J. Hegeman, A. Hunt, P. Jindal, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan,

D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, A. Raval, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, S.C. Zenz, A. Zuranski

#### **University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA**

E. Brownson, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

#### **Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA**

E. Alagoz, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, A. Everett, Z. Hu, M. Jones, K. Jung, O. Koybasi, M. Kress, N. Leonardo, D. Lopes Pegna, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, M. Vidal Marono, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

#### **Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA**

S. Guragain, N. Parashar

#### **Rice University, Houston, USA**

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

#### **University of Rochester, Rochester, USA**

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, G. Petrillo, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

#### **The Rockefeller University, New York, USA**

A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian

#### **Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA**

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, V. Rekovic, J. Robles, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, C. Seitz, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

#### **University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA**

G. Cerizza, M. Hollingsworth, K. Rose, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

#### **Texas A&M University, College Station, USA**

O. Bouhali<sup>61</sup>, R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon<sup>62</sup>, V. Khotilovich, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback

#### **Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA**

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dudero, C. Jeong, K. Kovitanggoon, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, I. Volobouev

#### **Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA**

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

#### **University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA**

M.W. Arenton, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood

#### **Wayne State University, Detroit, USA**

S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, A. Sakharov

#### **University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA**

D.A. Belknap, L. Borrello, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, E. Friis, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, M.U. Mozer, I. Ojalvo, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, I. Ross, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, J. Swanson

- †: Deceased
- 1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
- 2: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 3: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
- 4: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
- 5: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 6: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
- 7: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 8: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
- 9: Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt
- 10: Also at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt
- 11: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
- 12: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
- 13: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 14: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 15: Also at National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
- 16: Also at Universite de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France ´
- 17: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 18: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 19: Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
- 20: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 21: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- 22: Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research EHEP, Mumbai, India
- 23: Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research HECR, Mumbai, India
- 24: Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- 25: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 26: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka
- 27: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 28: Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

29: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

- 30: Also at Universita degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy `
- 31: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
- 32: Also at INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy
- 33: Also at Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico
- 34: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 35: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
- 36: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
- 37: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 38: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- 39: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
- 40: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
- 41: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland

42: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey

43: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey

44: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey

45: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey

46: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey

47: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey

48: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey

49: Also at Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey

50: Also at Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

51: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey

52: Also at Kahramanmaras Sütcü Imam University, Kahramanmaras, Turkey

53: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

54: Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Universita di Perugia, Perugia, Italy `

55: Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA

56: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

57: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

58: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA

59: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey

60: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

61: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar

62: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea