

CERN-PH-EP-2012-050 LHCb-PAPER-2011-028 February 23, 2013

## Determination of the sign of the decay width difference in the  $B^0_s$  system

The LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij<sup>38</sup>, C. Abellan Beteta<sup>33,n</sup>, B. Adeva<sup>34</sup>, M. Adinolfi<sup>43</sup>, C. Adrover<sup>6</sup>, A. Affolder<sup>49</sup>, Z. Ajaltouni<sup>5</sup>, J. Albrecht<sup>35</sup>, F. Alessio<sup>35</sup>, M. Alexander<sup>48</sup>, G. Alkhazov<sup>27</sup>, P. Alvarez Cartelle<sup>34</sup>, A.A. Alves Jr<sup>22</sup>, S. Amato<sup>2</sup>, Y. Amhis<sup>36</sup>, J. Anderson<sup>37</sup>, R.B. Appleby<sup>51</sup>, O. Aquines Gutierrez<sup>10</sup>, F. Archilli<sup>18,35</sup>, L. Arrabito<sup>55</sup>, A. Artamonov <sup>32</sup>, M. Artuso<sup>53,35</sup>, E. Aslanides<sup>6</sup>, G. Auriemma<sup>22,m</sup>, S. Bachmann<sup>11</sup>, J.J. Back<sup>45</sup>, D.S. Bailey<sup>51</sup>, V. Balagura<sup>28,35</sup>, W. Baldini<sup>16</sup>, R.J. Barlow<sup>51</sup>, C. Barschel<sup>35</sup>, S. Barsuk<sup>7</sup>, W. Barter<sup>44</sup>, A. Bates<sup>48</sup>, C. Bauer<sup>10</sup>, Th. Bauer<sup>38</sup>, A. Bay<sup>36</sup>, I. Bediaga<sup>1</sup>, S. Belogurov<sup>28</sup>, K. Belous<sup>32</sup>, I. Belyaev<sup>28</sup>, E. Ben-Haim<sup>8</sup>, M. Benayoun<sup>8</sup>, G. Bencivenni<sup>18</sup>, S. Benson<sup>47</sup>, J. Benton<sup>43</sup>, R. Bernet<sup>37</sup>, M.-O. Bettler<sup>17</sup>, M. van Beuzekom<sup>38</sup>, A. Bien<sup>11</sup>, S. Bifani<sup>12</sup>, T. Bird<sup>51</sup>, A. Bizzeti<sup>17,h</sup>, P.M. Bjørnstad<sup>51</sup>, T. Blake<sup>35</sup>, F. Blanc<sup>36</sup>, C. Blanks<sup>50</sup>, J. Blouw<sup>11</sup>, S. Blusk<sup>53</sup>, A. Bobrov<sup>31</sup>, V. Bocci<sup>22</sup>, A. Bondar<sup>31</sup>, N. Bondar<sup>27</sup>, W. Bonivento<sup>15</sup>, S. Borghi<sup>48,51</sup>, A. Borgia<sup>53</sup>, T.J.V. Bowcock<sup>49</sup>, C. Bozzi<sup>16</sup>, T. Brambach<sup>9</sup>, J. van den Brand<sup>39</sup>, J. Bressieux<sup>36</sup>, D. Brett<sup>51</sup>, M. Britsch<sup>10</sup>, T. Britton<sup>53</sup>, N.H. Brook<sup>43</sup>, H. Brown<sup>49</sup>, K. de Bruyn<sup>38</sup>, A. Büchler-Germann<sup>37</sup>, I. Burducea<sup>26</sup>, A. Bursche<sup>37</sup>, J. Buytaert<sup>35</sup>, S. Cadeddu<sup>15</sup>, O. Callot<sup>7</sup>, M. Calvi<sup>20,j</sup>, M. Calvo Gomez<sup>33,n</sup>, A. Camboni<sup>33</sup>, P. Campana<sup>18,35</sup>, A. Carbone<sup>14</sup>, G. Carboni<sup>21,k</sup>, R. Cardinale<sup>19,i,35</sup>, A. Cardini<sup>15</sup>, L. Carson<sup>50</sup>, K. Carvalho Akiba<sup>2</sup>, G. Casse<sup>49</sup>, M. Cattaneo<sup>35</sup>, Ch. Cauet<sup>9</sup>, M. Charles<sup>52</sup>, Ph. Charpentier<sup>35</sup>, N. Chiapolini<sup>37</sup>, K. Ciba<sup>35</sup>, X. Cid Vidal<sup>34</sup>, G. Ciezarek<sup>50</sup>, P.E.L. Clarke<sup>47,35</sup> , M. Clemencic<sup>35</sup>, H.V. Cliff<sup>44</sup>, J. Closier<sup>35</sup>, C. Coca<sup>26</sup>, V. Coco<sup>38</sup>, J. Cogan<sup>6</sup>, P. Collins<sup>35</sup>, A. Comerma-Montells<sup>33</sup>, F. Constantin<sup>26</sup>, A. Contu<sup>52</sup>, A. Cook<sup>43</sup>, M. Coombes<sup>43</sup>, G. Corti<sup>35</sup>, B. Couturier<sup>35</sup>, G.A. Cowan<sup>36</sup>, R. Currie<sup>47</sup>, C. D'Ambrosio<sup>35</sup>, P. David<sup>8</sup>, P.N.Y. David<sup>38</sup>, I. De Bonis<sup>4</sup>, S. De Capua<sup>21,k</sup>, M. De Cian<sup>37</sup>, F. De Lorenzi<sup>12</sup>, J.M. De Miranda<sup>1</sup>, L. De Paula<sup>2</sup>, P. De Simone<sup>18</sup>, D. Decamp<sup>4</sup>, M. Deckenhoff<sup>9</sup>, H. Degaudenzi<sup>36,35</sup>, L. Del Buono<sup>8</sup>, C. Deplano<sup>15</sup>, D. Derkach<sup>14,35</sup>, O. Deschamps<sup>5</sup>, F. Dettori<sup>39</sup>, J. Dickens<sup>44</sup>, H. Dijkstra<sup>35</sup>, P. Diniz Batista<sup>1</sup>, F. Domingo Bonal<sup>33,n</sup>, S. Donleavy<sup>49</sup>, F. Dordei<sup>11</sup>, A. Dosil Suárez<sup>34</sup>, D. Dossett<sup>45</sup>, A. Dovbnya<sup>40</sup>, F. Dupertuis<sup>36</sup>, R. Dzhelyadin<sup>32</sup>, A. Dziurda<sup>23</sup>, S. Easo<sup>46</sup>, U. Egede<sup>50</sup>, V. Egorychev<sup>28</sup>, S. Eidelman<sup>31</sup>, D. van Eijk<sup>38</sup>, F. Eisele<sup>11</sup>, S. Eisenhardt<sup>47</sup>, R. Ekelhof<sup>9</sup>, L. Eklund<sup>48</sup>, Ch. Elsasser<sup>37</sup>, D. Elsby<sup>42</sup>, D. Esperante Pereira<sup>34</sup>, A. Falabella<sup>16,e,14</sup>, E. Fanchini<sup>20,j</sup>, C. Färber<sup>11</sup>, G. Fardell<sup>47</sup>, C. Farinelli<sup>38</sup>, S. Farry<sup>12</sup>, V. Fave<sup>36</sup>, V. Fernandez Albor<sup>34</sup>, M. Ferro-Luzzi<sup>35</sup>, S. Filippov<sup>30</sup>, C. Fitzpatrick<sup>47</sup>, M. Fontana<sup>10</sup>, F. Fontanelli<sup>19,i</sup>, R. Forty<sup>35</sup>, O. Francisco<sup>2</sup>, M. Frank<sup>35</sup>, C. Frei<sup>35</sup>, M. Frosini<sup>17,f</sup>, S. Furcas<sup>20</sup>, A. Gallas Torreira<sup>34</sup>, D. Galli<sup>14,c</sup>, M. Gandelman<sup>2</sup>, P. Gandini<sup>52</sup>, Y. Gao<sup>3</sup>, J-C. Garnier<sup>35</sup>, J. Garofoli<sup>53</sup>, J. Garra Tico<sup>44</sup>, L. Garrido<sup>33</sup>, D. Gascon<sup>33</sup>, C. Gaspar<sup>35</sup>, R. Gauld<sup>52</sup>, N. Gauvin<sup>36</sup>, M. Gersabeck<sup>35</sup>, T. Gershon<sup>45,35</sup>, Ph. Ghez<sup>4</sup>, V. Gibson<sup>44</sup>, V.V. Gligorov<sup>35</sup>, C. Göbel<sup>54</sup>, D. Golubkov<sup>28</sup>, A. Golutvin<sup>50,28,35</sup>, A. Gomes<sup>2</sup>, H. Gordon<sup>52</sup>, M. Grabalosa Gándara<sup>33</sup>, R. Graciani Diaz<sup>33</sup>, L.A. Granado Cardoso<sup>35</sup>, E. Graugés<sup>33</sup>, G. Graziani<sup>17</sup>, A. Grecu<sup>26</sup>, E. Greening<sup>52</sup>, S. Gregson<sup>44</sup>, B. Gui<sup>53</sup>, E. Gushchin<sup>30</sup>, Yu. Guz<sup>32</sup>, T. Gys<sup>35</sup>, C. Hadjivasiliou<sup>53</sup>, G. Haefeli<sup>36</sup>, C. Haen<sup>35</sup>, S.C. Haines<sup>44</sup>, T. Hampson<sup>43</sup>, S. Hansmann-Menzemer<sup>11</sup>, R. Harji<sup>50</sup>, N. Harnew<sup>52</sup>, J. Harrison<sup>51</sup>, P.F. Harrison<sup>45</sup>, T. Hartmann<sup>56</sup>, J. He<sup>7</sup>,

V. Heijne<sup>38</sup>, K. Hennessy<sup>49</sup>, P. Henrard<sup>5</sup>, J.A. Hernando Morata<sup>34</sup>, E. van Herwijnen<sup>35</sup>, E. Hicks<sup>49</sup>, K. Holubyev<sup>11</sup>, P. Hopchev<sup>4</sup>, W. Hulsbergen<sup>38</sup>, P. Hunt<sup>52</sup>, T. Huse<sup>49</sup>, R.S. Huston<sup>12</sup>, D. Hutchcroft<sup>49</sup>, D. Hynds<sup>48</sup>, V. Iakovenko<sup>41</sup>, P. Ilten<sup>12</sup>, J. Imong<sup>43</sup>, R. Jacobsson<sup>35</sup>, A. Jaeger<sup>11</sup>, M. Jahjah Hussein<sup>5</sup>, E. Jans<sup>38</sup>, F. Jansen<sup>38</sup>, P. Jaton<sup>36</sup>, B. Jean-Marie<sup>7</sup>, F. Jing<sup>3</sup>, M. John<sup>52</sup>, D. Johnson<sup>52</sup>, C.R. Jones<sup>44</sup>, B. Jost<sup>35</sup>, M. Kaballo<sup>9</sup>, S. Kandybei<sup>40</sup>, M. Karacson<sup>35</sup>, T.M. Karbach<sup>9</sup>, J. Keaveney<sup>12</sup>, I.R. Kenyon<sup>42</sup>, U. Kerzel<sup>35</sup>, T. Ketel<sup>39</sup>, A. Keune<sup>36</sup>, B. Khanji<sup>6</sup>, Y.M. Kim<sup>47</sup>, M. Knecht<sup>36</sup>, R.F. Koopman<sup>39</sup>, P. Koppenburg<sup>38</sup>, M. Korolev<sup>29</sup>, A. Kozlinskiy<sup>38</sup>, L. Kravchuk<sup>30</sup>, K. Kreplin<sup>11</sup>, M. Kreps<sup>45</sup>, G. Krocker<sup>11</sup>, P. Krokovny<sup>11</sup>, F. Kruse<sup>9</sup>, K. Kruzelecki<sup>35</sup>, M. Kucharczyk<sup>20,23,35,j</sup>, T. Kvaratskheliya<sup>28,35</sup>, V.N. La Thi<sup>36</sup>, D. Lacarrere<sup>35</sup>, G. Lafferty<sup>51</sup>, A. Lai<sup>15</sup>, D. Lambert<sup>47</sup>, R.W. Lambert<sup>39</sup>, E. Lanciotti<sup>35</sup>, G. Lanfranchi<sup>18</sup>, C. Langenbruch<sup>11</sup>, T. Latham<sup>45</sup>, C. Lazzeroni<sup>42</sup>, R. Le Gac<sup>6</sup>, J. van Leerdam<sup>38</sup>, J.-P. Lees<sup>4</sup>, R. Lefèvre<sup>5</sup>, A. Leflat<sup>29,35</sup>, J. Lefrançois<sup>7</sup>, O. Leroy<sup>6</sup>, T. Lesiak<sup>23</sup>, L. Li<sup>3</sup>, L. Li Gioi<sup>5</sup>, M. Lieng<sup>9</sup>, M. Liles<sup>49</sup>, R. Lindner<sup>35</sup>, C. Linn<sup>11</sup>, B. Liu<sup>3</sup>, G. Liu<sup>35</sup>, J. von Loeben<sup>20</sup>, J.H. Lopes<sup>2</sup>, E. Lopez Asamar<sup>33</sup>, N. Lopez-March<sup>36</sup>, H. Lu<sup>3</sup>, J. Luisier<sup>36</sup>, A. Mac Raighne<sup>48</sup>, F. Machefert<sup>7</sup>, I.V. Machikhiliyan<sup>4,28</sup>, F. Maciuc<sup>10</sup>, O. Maev<sup>27,35</sup>, J. Magnin<sup>1</sup>, S. Malde<sup>52</sup>, R.M.D. Mamunur<sup>35</sup>, G. Manca<sup>15,d</sup>, G. Mancinelli<sup>6</sup>, N. Mangiafave<sup>44</sup>, U. Marconi<sup>14</sup>, R. Märki<sup>36</sup>, J. Marks<sup>11</sup>, G. Martellotti<sup>22</sup>, A. Martens<sup>8</sup>, L. Martin<sup>52</sup>, A. Martín Sánchez<sup>7</sup>, D. Martinez Santos<sup>35</sup>, A. Massafferri<sup>1</sup>, Z. Mathe<sup>12</sup>, C. Matteuzzi<sup>20</sup>, M. Matveev<sup>27</sup>, E. Maurice<sup>6</sup>, B. Maynard<sup>53</sup>, A. Mazurov<sup>16,30,35</sup>, G. McGregor<sup>51</sup>, R. McNulty<sup>12</sup>, M. Meissner<sup>11</sup>, M. Merk<sup>38</sup>, J. Merkel<sup>9</sup>, R. Messi<sup>21,k</sup>, S. Miglioranzi<sup>35</sup>, D.A. Milanes<sup>13</sup>, M.-N. Minard<sup>4</sup>, J. Molina Rodriguez<sup>54</sup>, S. Monteil<sup>5</sup>, D. Moran<sup>12</sup>, P. Morawski<sup>23</sup>, R. Mountain<sup>53</sup>, I. Mous<sup>38</sup>, F. Muheim<sup>47</sup>, K. Müller<sup>37</sup>, R. Muresan<sup>26</sup>, B. Muryn<sup>24</sup>, B. Muster<sup>36</sup>, M. Musy<sup>33</sup>, J. Mylroie-Smith<sup>49</sup>, P. Naik<sup>43</sup>, T. Nakada<sup>36</sup>, R. Nandakumar<sup>46</sup>, I. Nasteva<sup>1</sup>, M. Nedos<sup>9</sup>, M. Needham<sup>47</sup>, N. Neufeld<sup>35</sup>, A.D. Nguyen<sup>36</sup>, C. Nguyen-Mau<sup>36,</sup><sup>o</sup>, M. Nicol<sup>7</sup>, V. Niess<sup>5</sup>, N. Nikitin<sup>29</sup>, A. Nomerotski<sup>52,35</sup>, A. Novoselov<sup>32</sup>, A. Oblakowska-Mucha<sup>24</sup>, V. Obraztsov<sup>32</sup>, S. Oggero<sup>38</sup>, S. Ogilvy<sup>48</sup>, O. Okhrimenko<sup>41</sup>, R. Oldeman<sup>15,d,35</sup>, M. Orlandea<sup>26</sup>, J.M. Otalora Goicochea<sup>2</sup>, P. Owen<sup>50</sup>, K. Pal<sup>53</sup>, J. Palacios<sup>37</sup>, A. Palano<sup>13,b</sup>, M. Palutan<sup>18</sup>, J. Panman<sup>35</sup>, A. Papanestis<sup>46</sup>, M. Pappagallo<sup>48</sup>, C. Parkes<sup>51</sup>, C.J. Parkinson<sup>50</sup>, G. Passaleva<sup>17</sup>, G.D. Patel<sup>49</sup>, M. Patel<sup>50</sup>, S.K. Paterson<sup>50</sup>, G.N. Patrick<sup>46</sup>, C. Patrignani<sup>19,*i*</sup>, C. Pavel-Nicorescu<sup>26</sup>, A. Pazos Alvarez<sup>34</sup>, A. Pellegrino<sup>38</sup>, G. Penso<sup>22,*l*</sup>, M. Pepe Altarelli<sup>35</sup>, S. Perazzini<sup>14,c</sup>, D.L. Perego<sup>20,j</sup>, E. Perez Trigo<sup>34</sup>, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo<sup>33</sup>, P. Perret<sup>5</sup>, M. Perrin-Terrin<sup>6</sup>, G. Pessina<sup>20</sup>, A. Petrella<sup>16,35</sup>, A. Petrolini<sup>19,*i*</sup>, A. Phan<sup>53</sup>, E. Picatoste Olloqui<sup>33</sup>, B. Pie Valls<sup>33</sup>, B. Pietrzyk<sup>4</sup>, T. Pilař<sup>45</sup>, D. Pinci<sup>22</sup>, R. Plackett<sup>48</sup>, S. Playfer<sup>47</sup>, M. Plo Casasus<sup>34</sup>, G. Polok<sup>23</sup>, A. Poluektov<sup>45,31</sup>, E. Polycarpo<sup>2</sup>, D. Popov<sup>10</sup>, B. Popovici<sup>26</sup>, C. Potterat<sup>33</sup>, A. Powell<sup>52</sup>, J. Prisciandaro<sup>36</sup>, V. Pugatch<sup>41</sup>, A. Puig Navarro<sup>33</sup>, W. Qian<sup>53</sup>, J.H. Rademacker<sup>43</sup>, B. Rakotomiaramanana<sup>36</sup>, M.S. Rangel<sup>2</sup>, I. Raniuk<sup>40</sup>, G. Raven<sup>39</sup>, S. Redford<sup>52</sup>, M.M. Reid<sup>45</sup>, A.C. dos Reis<sup>1</sup>, S. Ricciardi<sup>46</sup>, A. Richards<sup>50</sup>, K. Rinnert<sup>49</sup>, D.A. Roa Romero<sup>5</sup>, P. Robbe<sup>7</sup>, E. Rodrigues<sup>48,51</sup>, F. Rodrigues<sup>2</sup>, P. Rodriguez Perez<sup>34</sup>, G.J. Rogers<sup>44</sup>, S. Roiser<sup>35</sup>, V. Romanovsky<sup>32</sup>, M. Rosello<sup>33,n</sup>, J. Rouvinet<sup>36</sup>, T. Ruf<sup>35</sup>, H. Ruiz<sup>33</sup>, G. Sabatino<sup>21,k</sup>, J.J. Saborido Silva<sup>34</sup>, N. Sagidova<sup>27</sup>, P. Sail<sup>48</sup>, B. Saitta<sup>15,d</sup>, C. Salzmann<sup>37</sup>, M. Sannino<sup>19,*i*</sup>, R. Santacesaria<sup>22</sup>, C. Santamarina Rios<sup>34</sup>, R. Santinelli<sup>35</sup>, E. Santovetti<sup>21,k</sup>, M. Sapunov<sup>6</sup>, A. Sarti<sup>18,*l*</sup>, C. Satriano<sup>22,*m*</sup>, A. Satta<sup>21</sup>, M. Savrie<sup>16,e</sup>, D. Savrina<sup>28</sup>, P. Schaack<sup>50</sup>, M. Schiller<sup>39</sup>, S. Schleich<sup>9</sup>, M. Schlupp<sup>9</sup>, M. Schmelling<sup>10</sup>, B. Schmidt<sup>35</sup>, O. Schneider<sup>36</sup>, A. Schopper<sup>35</sup>, M.-H. Schune<sup>7</sup>, R. Schwemmer<sup>35</sup>, B. Sciascia<sup>18</sup>, A. Sciubba<sup>18,*l*</sup>, M. Seco<sup>34</sup>, A. Semennikov<sup>28</sup>, K. Senderowska<sup>24</sup>, I. Sepp<sup>50</sup>, N. Serra<sup>37</sup>, J. Serrano<sup>6</sup>, P. Seyfert<sup>11</sup>, M. Shapkin<sup>32</sup>, I. Shapoval<sup>40,35</sup>, P. Shatalov<sup>28</sup>, Y. Shcheglov<sup>27</sup>, T. Shears<sup>49</sup>, L. Shekhtman<sup>31</sup>, O. Shevchenko<sup>40</sup>, V. Shevchenko<sup>28</sup>, A. Shires<sup>50</sup>, R. Silva Coutinho<sup>45</sup>, T. Skwarnicki<sup>53</sup>, N.A. Smith<sup>49</sup>, E. Smith<sup>52,46</sup>, K. Sobczak<sup>5</sup>, F.J.P. Soler<sup>48</sup>, A. Solomin<sup>43</sup>, F. Soomro<sup>18,35</sup>, B. Souza De Paula<sup>2</sup>, B. Spaan<sup>9</sup>, A. Sparkes<sup>47</sup>, P. Spradlin<sup>48</sup>, F. Stagni<sup>35</sup>, S. Stahl<sup>11</sup>, O. Steinkamp<sup>37</sup>, S. Stoica<sup>26</sup>, S. Stone<sup>53,35</sup>, B. Storaci<sup>38</sup>, M. Straticiuc<sup>26</sup>, U. Straumann<sup>37</sup>, V.K. Subbiah<sup>35</sup>, S. Swientek<sup>9</sup>, M. Szczekowski<sup>25</sup>, P. Szczypka<sup>36</sup>, T. Szumlak<sup>24</sup>, S. T'Jampens<sup>4</sup>, E. Teodorescu<sup>26</sup>, F. Teubert<sup>35</sup>, C. Thomas<sup>52</sup>, E. Thomas<sup>35</sup>, J. van Tilburg<sup>11</sup>, V. Tisserand<sup>4</sup>, M. Tobin<sup>37</sup>, S. Topp-Joergensen<sup>52</sup>, N. Torr<sup>52</sup>, E. Tournefier<sup>4,50</sup>, S. Tourneur<sup>36</sup>, M.T. Tran<sup>36</sup>, A. Tsaregorodtsev<sup>6</sup>, N. Tuning<sup>38</sup>, M. Ubeda Garcia<sup>35</sup>, A. Ukleja<sup>25</sup>, P. Urquijo<sup>53</sup>, U. Uwer<sup>11</sup>, V. Vagnoni<sup>14</sup>, G. Valenti<sup>14</sup>, R. Vazquez Gomez<sup>33</sup>, P. Vazquez Regueiro<sup>34</sup>, S. Vecchi<sup>16</sup>, J.J. Velthuis<sup>43</sup>, M. Veltri<sup>17,9</sup>, B. Viaud<sup>7</sup>, I. Videau<sup>7</sup>, D. Vieira<sup>2</sup>, X. Vilasis-Cardona<sup>33,n</sup>, J. Visniakov<sup>34</sup>, A. Vollhardt<sup>37</sup>, D. Volyanskyy<sup>10</sup>, D. Voong<sup>43</sup>, A. Vorobyev<sup>27</sup>, H. Voss<sup>10</sup>, S. Wandernoth<sup>11</sup>, J. Wang<sup>53</sup>, D.R. Ward<sup>44</sup>, N.K. Watson<sup>42</sup>, A.D. Webber<sup>51</sup>, D. Websdale<sup>50</sup>, M. Whitehead<sup>45</sup>, D. Wiedner<sup>11</sup>, L. Wiggers<sup>38</sup>, G. Wilkinson<sup>52</sup>, M.P. Williams<sup>45,46</sup>, M. Williams<sup>50</sup>, F.F. Wilson<sup>46</sup>, J. Wishahi<sup>9</sup>, M. Witek<sup>23</sup>, W. Witzeling<sup>35</sup>, S.A. Wotton<sup>44</sup>, K. Wyllie<sup>35</sup>, Y. Xie<sup>47</sup>, F. Xing<sup>52</sup>, Z. Xing<sup>53</sup>, Z. Yang<sup>3</sup>, R. Young<sup>47</sup>, O. Yushchenko<sup>32</sup>, M. Zangoli<sup>14</sup>, M. Zavertyaev<sup>10,a</sup>, F. Zhang<sup>3</sup>, L. Zhang<sup>53</sup>, W.C. Zhang<sup>12</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>3</sup>, A. Zhelezov<sup>11</sup>, L. Zhong<sup>3</sup>, A. Zvyagin<sup>35</sup>.

 $1$ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

 $^{4}LAPP,$  Université de Savoie, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy-Le-Vieux, France

<sup>5</sup>Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France

 $6$  CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France

 $^7LAL,$  Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France

<sup>8</sup>LPNHE, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France

 $9$ Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

 $10$ Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany

 $11$ Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

 $12$  School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

<sup>13</sup>Sezione INFN di Bari, Bari, Italy

<sup>14</sup>Sezione INFN di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

<sup>15</sup>Sezione INFN di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

<sup>16</sup>Sezione INFN di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

- <sup>17</sup>Sezione INFN di Firenze, Firenze, Italy
- <sup>18</sup>Laboratori Nazionali dell'INFN di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

<sup>19</sup>Sezione INFN di Genova, Genova, Italy

<sup>20</sup>Sezione INFN di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy

<sup>21</sup>Sezione INFN di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

<sup>22</sup>Sezione INFN di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

<sup>23</sup> Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland

 $24$ AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków, Poland

<sup>25</sup>Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>26</sup> Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

<sup>27</sup>Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI), Gatchina, Russia

<sup>28</sup> Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia

<sup>29</sup>Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia

<sup>30</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAN), Moscow, Russia

<sup>31</sup> Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS) and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

<sup>32</sup>Institute for High Energy Physics (IHEP), Protvino, Russia

<sup>33</sup>Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>34</sup>Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>35</sup>European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

 ${}^{36}Ecole$  Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>37</sup> Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>38</sup>Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>39</sup> Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>40</sup>NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine

<sup>41</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine

<sup>42</sup>University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

<sup>43</sup>H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

<sup>44</sup>Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>45</sup>Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

<sup>46</sup>STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

<sup>47</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

<sup>48</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

<sup>49</sup>Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

<sup>50</sup>Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

51 School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

<sup>52</sup>Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

<sup>53</sup>Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States

 $^{54}$ Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to  $^2$ 

<sup>55</sup>CC-IN2P3, CNRS/IN2P3, Lyon-Villeurbanne, France, associated member

 $56$ Physikalisches Institut, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, associated to  $^{11}$ 

<sup>a</sup>P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia

- $b$ Università di Bari, Bari, Italy
- $c$ Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- $d$ Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy
- $e$ Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
- $f$ Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy
- $g$ Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy
- $h$ Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy
- $i$ Università di Genova, Genova, Italy
- <sup>j</sup> Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy
- $k$ Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
- $<sup>l</sup> Università di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy$ </sup>
- $<sup>m</sup> Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy$ </sup>
- <sup>n</sup>LIFAELS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>o</sup>Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Viet Nam

The interference between the  $K^+K^-$  S-wave and P-wave amplitudes in  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi K^+K^-$  decays with the  $K^+K^-$  pairs in the region around the  $\phi(1020)$  resonance is used to determine the variation of the difference of the strong phase between these amplitudes as a function of  $K^+K^-$  invariant mass. Combined with the results from our CP asymmetry measurement in  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$  decays, we conclude that the  $B_s^0$  mass eigenstate that is almost  $CP = +1$  is lighter and decays faster than the mass eigenstate that is almost  $CP = -1$ . This determines the sign of the decay width difference  $\Delta\Gamma_s \equiv \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H$  to be positive. Our result also resolves the ambiguity in the past measurements of the CP violating phase  $\phi_s$  to be close to zero rather than  $\pi$ . These conclusions are in agreement with the Standard Model expectations.

## Published on Physical Review Letters

The decay time distributions of  $B_s^0$  mesons decaying into the  $J/\psi\phi$  final state have been used to measure the parameters  $\phi_s$  and  $\Delta\Gamma_s \equiv \Gamma_{\rm L} - \Gamma_{\rm H}$  of the  $B^0_s$  system [\[1–](#page-6-0) 3. Here  $\phi_s$  is the CP violating phase equal to the phase difference between the amplitude for the direct decay and the amplitude for the decay after oscillation.  $\Gamma_{\text{L}}$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{H}}$ are the decay widths of the light and heavy  $B_s^0$  mass eigenstates, respectively. The most precise results, presented recently by the LHCb experiment [\[3\]](#page-6-1),

$$
\phi_s = 0.15 \pm 0.18 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.06 \text{ (syst) rad,}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta\Gamma_s = 0.123 \pm 0.029 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.011 \text{ (syst) ps}^{-1},
$$
 (1)

show no evidence of CP violation yet, indicating that CP violation is rather small in the  $B_s^0$  system. There is clear evidence for the decay width difference  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  being nonzero. It must be noted that there exists another solution

$$
\phi_s = 2.99 \pm 0.18 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.06 \text{ (syst) rad,}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta\Gamma_s = -0.123 \pm 0.029 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.011 \text{ (syst) ps}^{-1},
$$
\n(2)

arising from the fact that the time dependent differential decay rates are invariant under the transformation  $(\phi_s, \Delta\Gamma_s) \leftrightarrow (\pi - \phi_s, -\Delta\Gamma_s)$  together with an appropriate transformation for the strong phases. In the absence of CP violation,  $\sin \phi_s = 0$ , i.e.  $\phi_s = 0$  or  $\phi_s = \pi$ , the two mass eigenstates also become CP eigenstates with  $CP = +1$  and  $CP = -1$ , according to the relationship between  $B_s^0$  mass eigenstates and  $C\!P$  eigenstates given in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-6-2). They can be identified by the decays into final states which are CP eigenstates. In  $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^$ decays, the final state is a superposition of  $\mathbb{CP} = +1$  and  $CP = -1$  for the  $K^+K^-$  pair in the P-wave configuration and  $CP = -1$  for the  $K^+K^-$  pair in the S-wave configuration. Higher order partial waves are neglected. These decays have different angular distributions of the final state particles and are distinguishable.

Solution I is close to the case  $\phi_s = 0$  and leads to the light (heavy) mass eigenstate being almost aligned with the  $\mathbb{CP} = +1$  ( $\mathbb{CP} = -1$ ) state. Similarly, solution II is close to the case  $\phi_s = \pi$  and leads to the heavy (light) mass eigenstate being almost aligned with the  $\mathbb{CP} = +1$  $(CP = -1)$  state. In Fig. 2 of Ref. [\[3\]](#page-6-1), a fit to the observed decay time distribution shows that it can be well described by a superposition of two exponential functions

corresponding to  $\mathbb{CP} = +1$  and  $\mathbb{CP} = -1$ , compatible with no CP violation [\[3\]](#page-6-1). In this fit the lifetime of the decay to the  $\mathbb{CP} = +1$  final state is found to be smaller than that of the decay to  $\mathbb{CP} = -1$ . Thus the mass eigenstate that is predominantly CP even decays faster than the CP odd state. For solution I, we find  $\Delta\Gamma_s > 0$ , i.e.  $\Gamma_L > \Gamma_H$ , and for solution II,  $\Delta \Gamma_s < 0$ , i.e.  $\Gamma_L <$  $\Gamma_{\rm H}$ . In order to determine if the decay width difference  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  is positive or negative, it is necessary to resolve the ambiguity between the two solutions.

Since each solution corresponds to a different set of strong phases, one may attempt to resolve the ambiguity by using the strong phases either as predicted by factorisation or as measured in  $B^0 \to J/\psi K^{*0}$  decays. Unfortunately these two possibilities lead to opposite answers [\[5\]](#page-6-3). A direct experimental resolution of the ambiguity is therefore desirable.

In this Letter, we resolve this ambiguity using the decay  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi K^+ K^-$  with  $J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ . The total decay amplitude is a coherent sum of S-wave and P-wave contributions. The phase of the P-wave amplitude, which can be described by a spin-1 Breit-Wigner function of the invariant mass of the  $K^+K^-$  pair, denoted by  $m_{KK}$ , rises rapidly through the  $\phi(1020)$  mass region. On the other hand, the phase of the S-wave amplitude should vary relatively slowly for either an  $f_0(980)$  contribution or a nonresonant contribution. As a result, the phase difference between the S-wave and P-wave amplitudes falls rapidly with increasing  $m_{KK}$ . By measuring this phase difference as a function of  $m_{KK}$  and taking the solution with a decreasing trend around the  $\phi(1020)$  mass as the physical solution, the sign of  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  is determined and the ambiguity in  $\phi_s$  is resolved [\[6\]](#page-6-4). This is similar to the way the BaBar collaboration measured the sign of  $\cos 2\beta$  using the decay  $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0_s \pi^0$  [\[7\]](#page-6-5), where  $2\beta$  is the weak phase characterizing mixing-induced CP asymmetry in this decay.

The analysis is based on the same data sample as used in Ref. [\[3\]](#page-6-1), which corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 0.37 fb<sup>-1</sup> of pp collisions collected by the LHCb experiment at the Large Hadron Collider at the centre of experiment at the Large riadion Conder at the centre of mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV. The LHCb detector is a forward spectrometer and is described in detail in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-6-6).

The trigger, event selection criteria and analysis method are very similar to those in Ref. [\[3\]](#page-6-1), and here we discuss only the differences. The fraction of  $K^+K^-$  S-wave contribution measured within  $\pm 12$  MeV of the nominal  $\phi(1020)$  mass is  $0.042 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.018$  [\[3\]](#page-6-1). (We adopt units such that  $c = 1$  and  $\hbar = 1$ .) The S-wave fraction depends on the mass range taken around the  $\phi(1020)$ . The result of Ref. [\[3\]](#page-6-1) is consistent with the CDF limit on the S-wave fraction of less than 6% at 95% CL (in the range 1009–1028 MeV) [\[2\]](#page-6-7), smaller than the DØ result of  $(12 \pm 3)\%$  (in 1010–1030 MeV) [\[9\]](#page-6-8) and consistent with phenomenological expectations [\[10\]](#page-6-9). In order to apply the ambiguity resolution method described above, the range of  $m_{KK}$  is extended to 988–1050 MeV. Fig-ure [1](#page-4-0) shows the  $\mu^+\mu^-K^+K^-$  mass distribution where the mass of the  $\mu^+\mu^-$  pair is constrained to the nominal  $J/\psi$  mass. We perform an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the invariant mass distribution of the selected  $B_s^0$ candidates. The probability density function (PDF) for the signal  $B_s^0$  invariant mass  $m_{J/\psi K}$  is modelled by two Gaussian functions with a common mean. The fraction of the wide Gaussian and its width relative to that of the narrow Gaussian are fixed to values obtained from simulated events. A linear function describes the  $m_{J/\psi K K}$ distribution of the background, which is dominated by combinatorial background.

This analysis uses the sWeight technique [\[11\]](#page-6-10) for background subtraction. The signal weight, denoted by  $W_s(m_{J/\psi KK})$ , is obtained using  $m_{J/\psi KK}$  as the discriminating variable. The correlations between  $m_{J/\psi K}$  and other variables used in the analysis, including  $m_{KK}$ , decay time t and the angular variables  $\Omega$  defined in Ref. [\[3\]](#page-6-1), are found to be negligible for both the signal and background components in the data. Figure [2](#page-4-1) shows the  $m_{KK}$  distribution where the background is subtracted statistically using the sWeight technique. The range of  $m_{KK}$  is divided into four intervals: 988–1008, 1008–1020, 1020–1032 and 1032–1050 MeV. Table [I](#page-4-2) gives the number of  $B_s^0$  signal and background candidates in each interval.

<span id="page-4-2"></span>TABLE I. Numbers of signal and background events in the  $m_{J/\psi K K}$  range of 5200–5550 MeV and statistical power per signal event in four intervals of  $m_{KK}$ .

	$k m_{KK}$ interval (MeV)	$N_{\text{sig}:k}$	$N_{\text{bkg}:k}$	$W_{p:k}$
	988-1008		$251 \pm 21   1675 \pm 43   0.700$	
$2^{\circ}$	1008-1020	$4569 \pm 70   2002 \pm 49   0.952$		
-3	1020–1032	$ 3952 \pm 66 2244 \pm 51 0.938$		
	1032-1050		$726 \pm 34 \mid 3442 \pm 62 \mid 0.764$	

In this analysis we perform an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the data using the sFit method [\[12\]](#page-6-11), an extension of the sWeight technique, that simplifies fitting in the presence of background. In this method, it is only necessary to model the signal PDF, as background

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Invariant mass distribution for  $B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- K^+ K^$ candidates, with the mass of the  $\mu^+\mu^-$  pair constrained to the nominal  $J/\psi$  mass. The result of the fit is shown with signal (dashed curve) and combinatorial background (dotted curve) components and their sum (solid curve).

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

FIG. 2. Background subtracted  $K^+K^-$  invariant mass distribution for  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi K^+ K^-$  candidates. The vertical dotted lines separate the four intervals.

is cancelled statistically using the signal weights.

The parameters of the  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi K^+ K^-$  decay time distribution are estimated from a simultaneous fit to the four intervals of  $m_{KK}$  by maximizing the log-likelihood function

$$
\ln L(\mathbf{\Theta}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{\Theta}_{\mathbf{S}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} W_{p;k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} W_{s}(m_{J/\psi KK;i}) \times \ln P_{sig}(t_i, \Omega_i, q_i, \omega_i; \mathbf{\Theta}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{\Theta}_{\mathbf{S}}),
$$

where  $N_k = N_{\text{sig};k} + N_{\text{bkg};k}$  is the number of candidates in the  $m_{J/\psi K}$  range of 5200–5550 MeV for the kth interval.  $\Theta_{\rm P}$  represents the physics parameters independent of  $m_{KK}$ , including  $\phi_s$ ,  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  and the magnitudes and phases of the P-wave amplitudes. Note that the

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

FIG. 3. Distribution of (a)  $K^+K^-$  S-wave signal events, and (b)  $K^+K^-$  P-wave signal events, both in four invariant mass intervals. In (b), the distribution of simulated  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$ events in the four intervals assuming the same total number of P-wave events is also shown (dashed lines). Note the interference between the  $K^+K^-$  S-wave and P-wave amplitudes integrated over the angular variables has vanishing contribution in these distributions.

P-wave amplitudes for different polarizations share the same dependence on  $m_{KK}$ .  $\Theta_{\rm S}$  denotes the values of the  $m_{KK}$ -dependent parameters averaged over each interval, namely the average fraction of S-wave contribution for the kth interval,  $F_{S;k}$ , and the average phase difference between the S-wave amplitude and the perpendicular Pwave amplitude for the kth interval,  $\delta_{S\perp;k}$ .  $P_{sig}$  is the signal PDF of the decay time t, angular variables  $\Omega$ , initial flavour tag q and the mistag probability  $\omega$ . It is based on the theoretical differential decay rates [\[6\]](#page-6-4) and includes experimental effects such as decay time resolution and acceptance, angular acceptance and imperfect identification of the initial flavour of the  $B_s^0$  particle, as described in Ref. [\[3\]](#page-6-1). The factors  $W_{p;k}$  account for loss of statistical precision in parameter estimation due to background dilution and are necessary to obtain the correct error coverage. Their values are given in Table [I.](#page-4-2)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>TABLE II. Results from a simultaneous fit of the four intervals of  $m_{KK}$ , where the uncertainties are statistical only. Only parameters which are needed for the ambiguity resolution are shown.

Parameter	Solution I	Solution II
$\phi_s$ (rad)	$0.167 \pm 0.175$	$2.975 \pm 0.175$
$\Delta\Gamma$ ( ps <sup>-</sup>	$0.120 \pm 0.028$	$-0.120 \pm 0.028$
$F_{\rm S,1}$	$0.283 \pm 0.113$	$0.283 \pm 0.113$
$F_{\rm S,2}$	$0.061 \pm 0.022$	$0.061 + 0.022$
$F_{\rm S;3}$	$0.044 \pm 0.022$	$0.044 \pm 0.022$
$F_{\rm S;4}$	$0.269 \pm 0.067$	$0.269 \pm 0.067$
$\delta_{S\perp;1}$ (rad)	2.68	0.46 0.35
$\delta_{S\perp;2}$ (rad)	0.22	2.92
$\delta_{S\perp:3}$ (rad)	$-0.11$ -018	3.25
$\delta_{S\perp;4}$ (rad)	በ 28 $-0.97$	0.43 4.11 0.28

<span id="page-5-2"></span>

FIG. 4. Measured phase differences between S-wave and perpendicular P-wave amplitudes in four intervals of  $m_{KK}$  for solution I (full blue circles) and solution II (full black squares). The asymmetric error bars correspond to  $\Delta \ln L = -0.5$  (solid lines) and  $\Delta \ln L = -2$  (dash-dotted lines).

The fit results for  $\phi_s$ ,  $\Delta\Gamma_s$ ,  $F_{\mathbf{S};k}$  and  $\delta_{\mathbf{S} \perp;k}$  are given in Table [II.](#page-5-0) Figure [3](#page-5-1) shows the estimated  $K^+K^-$  S-wave and P-wave contributions in the four  $m_{KK}$  intervals. The shape of the measured P-wave  $m_{KK}$  distribution is in good agreement with that of  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$  events simulated using a spin-1 relativistic Breit-Wigner function for the  $\phi(1020)$  amplitude. In Fig. [4,](#page-5-2) the phase difference between the S-wave and the perpendicular P-wave amplitude is plotted in four  $m_{KK}$  intervals for solution I and solution II.

Figure [4](#page-5-2) shows a clear decreasing trend of the phase difference between the S-wave and P-wave amplitudes in the  $\phi(1020)$  mass region for solution I, as expected for the physical solution. To estimate the significance of the result, we perform an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the data by parameterizing the phase difference

 $\delta_{S\perp;k}$  as a linear function of the average  $m_{KK}$  value in the kth interval. This leads to a slope of  $-0.050_{-0.020}^{+0.013}$ rad/MeV for solution I and the opposite sign for solution II, where the uncertainties are statistical only. The difference of the  $\ln L$  value between this fit and a fit in which the slope is fixed to be zero is 11.0. Hence, the negative trend of solution I has a significance of 4.7 standard deviations. Therefore, we conclude that solution I, which has  $\Delta\Gamma_s > 0$ , is the physical solution. The trend of solution I is also qualitatively consistent with that of the phase difference between the  $K^+K^-$  S-wave and P-wave amplitudes versus  $m_{KK}$  measured in the decay  $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$  by the BaBar collaboration [\[13\]](#page-6-12).

Several possible sources of systematic uncertainty on the phase variation versus  $m_{KK}$  have been considered. A possible background from decays with similar final states such as  $B^0 \to J/\psi K^{*0}$  could have a small effect. From simulation, the contamination to the signal from such decays is estimated to be 1.1% in the  $m_{KK}$  range of 988–1050 MeV. We add a 2.2% contribution of simulated  $B^0 \to J/\psi K^{*0}$  events to the data and repeat the analysis. The largest observed change is a shift of  $\delta_{S+4}$ by 0.06 rad, which is only 20% of its statistical uncertainty and has negligible effect on the slope of  $\delta_{S\perp}$  versus  $m_{KK}$ . The effect of neglecting the variation of the values of  $F_S$  and  $\delta_{S\perp}$  in each  $m_{KK}$  interval is determined to change the significance of the negative trend of solution I by less than 0.1 standard deviations. We also repeat the analysis for different  $m_{KK}$  ranges, different ways of dividing the  $m_{KK}$  range, or different shapes of the signal and background  $m_{J/\psi K}$  distributions. The significance of the negative trend of solution I is not affected. To measure precisely the S-wave line shape and determine its resonance structure, more data are needed. However, the results presented here do not depend on such detailed knowledge.

In conclusion the analysis of the strong interaction phase shift resolves the ambiguity between solution I and solution II. Values of  $\phi_s$  close to zero and positive  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  are preferred. It follows that in the  $B_s^0$  system, the mass eigenstate that is almost CP even is lighter and decays faster than the state that is almost CP odd. This is in agreement with the Standard Model expectations (e.g., [\[14\]](#page-6-13)). It is also interesting to note that this situation is similar to that in the neutral kaon system.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at CERN and at the LHCb institutes, and acknowledge support from the National Agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); CERN; NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, HGF and MPG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); FOM and NWO (The Netherlands); SCSR (Poland); ANCS (Romania); MinES of Russia and Rosatom (Russia); MICINN, XuntaGal and GENCAT (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NAS Ukraine (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); NSF (USA). We also acknowledge the support received from the ERC under FP7 and the Region Auvergne.

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[1] DØ collaboration, V. M. Abazov et al., Measurement of the CP violating phase  $\phi_s^{J/\psi \phi}$  using the flavor-tagged decay  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$  in 8 fb<sup>-1</sup> of pp̄ collisions, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.032006) D85 [\(2012\) 032006,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.032006) [arXiv:1109.3166](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1109.3166).
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[2] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., Measurement of the CP violating phase  $\beta_s$  in  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$  decays with the CDF II Detector, [arXiv:1112.1726](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1112.1726).
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[3] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the CP-violating phase  $\phi_s$  in the decay  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$ , [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.101803) Rev. Lett. 108 [\(2012\) 101803,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.101803) [arXiv:1112.3183](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1112.3183).
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[4] I. Dunietz, R. Fleischer, and U. Nierste, In pursuit of new physics with  $B_s^0$  decays, Phys. Rev. **D63** [\(2001\) 114015,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.114015) [arXiv:hep-ph/0012219](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0012219).
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[5] S. Nandi and U. Nierste, Resolving the sign ambiguity in  $\Delta\Gamma_s$  with  $B_s^0 \to D_s K$ , Phys. Rev. **D77** [\(2008\) 054010,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.054010) [arXiv:0801.0143](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/0801.0143).
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[6] Y. Xie, P. Clarke, G. Cowan, and F. Muheim, Determination of  $2\beta_s$  in  $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\, K^+K^-$  decays in the presence of a  $K^+K^-$  S-wave contribution, JHEP 09 [\(2009\) 074,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/074) [arXiv:0908.3627](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/0908.3627).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[7] BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Ambiguityfree measurement of cos 2β: time-integrated and timedependent angular analyses of  $B \to J/\psi K \pi$ , [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.032005) D71 [\(2005\) 032005,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.032005) [arXiv:hep-ex/0411016](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ex/0411016).
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[8] LHCb collaboration, J. Alves, A. Augusto et al., The  $LHCb$  Detector at the  $LHC$ , JINST 3 [\(2008\) S08005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08005)
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[9] DØ collaboration, V. M. Abazov et al., Measurement of the relative branching ratio of  $B^0_s$   $\rightarrow$  J/ $\psi$  fo to  $B^0_s$   $\rightarrow$  $J/\psi \phi$ , Phys. Rev. D85 [\(2012\) 011103,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.011103) [arXiv:1110.4272](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1110.4272).
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[10] S. Stone and L. Zhang, S-waves and the measurement of  $CP$  violating phases in  $B_s^0$  decays, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.074024) **D79** (2009) [074024,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.074024) [arXiv:0812.2832](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/0812.2832).
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[11] M. Pivk and F. R. Le Diberder, sPlot: a statistical tool to unfold data distributions, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2005.08.106) A555 [\(2005\) 356,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2005.08.106) [arXiv:physics/0402083](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/0402083).
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[12] Y. Xie, sFit: a method for background subtraction in maximum likelihood fit, [arXiv:0905.0724](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/0905.0724).
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>[13] BaBar collaboration, P. del Amo Sanchez et al., Dalitz plot analysis of  $D_s^+ \to K^+ K^- \pi^+$ , [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.052001) **D83** (2011) [052001,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.052001) [arXiv:1011.4190](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1011.4190).
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>[14] A. Lenz et al., Anatomy of new physics in  $B\overline{B}$  mixing, Phys. Rev. D83 [\(2011\) 036004,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.036004) [arXiv:1008.1593](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/1008.1593).