

LHCb: status and physics results

Irina Machikhiliyan (LAPP, Annecy) on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

LHCb physics program

- LHCb experiment is dedicated for the heavy-flavour sector studies with main focus on the searches of the physics beyond Standard Model in beauty sector
 - large bb (~300 μb@35.5TeV) and cc (~6. mb@3.5TeV) production cross-sections
 - high luminosity
 - all species of beauty particles are produced
- Search for deviations from SM in known beauty/charm processes due to indirect contributions of new states (mainly via loop diagrams) ← access to higher mass scales
- Main directions of LHCb physics program:
 - Study of CP-violation in B-system
 - Search of new physics via rare B-decays
 - Charm physics
 - Production and spectroscopy

The LHCb detector

- Heavy quark pair production is peaked in the forward region \rightarrow forward single arm spectrometer, solid angle coverage 1.9 < η < 4.9
- Planar detectors, easier to assemble and to maintain
- High occupancy and significant radiation doses
- High background: ~1 of 200 collisions with b-quark, 1 of 10 with c-quark → efficient trigger, also sensitive to many final states, powerful high bandwidth DAQ



LHCb tracking: components

Prerequisites: excellent vertex, IP and momentum resolution, e.g. to study fast B_s^0 -oscillations

Components:

- VErtex LOcator:
 - primary/secondary vertices reconstruction and separation.
 Measurements as close as possible to the beams (8 mm). Movable 42r+42φ measuring silicon sensors, 2048 strips, pitch 37÷98 µm.
 - pile-up system: 4 extra sensors upstream the VELO to disable crossings with multiple interactions
- Silicon tracker: silicon microstrip detectors, ~200 μm pitch, arranged in stations of 4 stereo-layers (0^o, +5^o, - 5^o, 0^o)
 - 2 stations of the Tracker Turicensis upstream the magnet: reconstruction of long lived particles and better resolution to compensate for RICH1 scattering
 - 3 stations of the Inner Tracker downstream the magnet
- Outer Tracker: 3 stations of 4 stereo-layers (0°, +5°, 5°, 0°), coupled with Inner Tracker. Straw tubes ø~5 mm, Ar-CO₂ 70%-30% to ensure fast drift time < 50 ns
- **Dipole magnet** with a peak value of 1.1 Tesla, ∫Bdl ≈ 4 TM

LHCb tracking: selected performance

Resolution for PV: 25 tracks (13.0, 12.5, 68.5) μm
/ MC (10.7, 10.9, 58.1) μm

[LHCBb-TALK-2011-205]

- IP resolution for high Pt: 13 μm (MC 11 μm)
 [LHCBb-TALK-2011-205]
- Momentum resolution: $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ mass resolution $\sigma \approx 12 \text{ MeV/c}^2$, $\Delta p/p = 0.4\%$

[LHCBb-TALK-2011-207]

- Efficiency: from J/ψ→μμ analysis, 96% for long tracks. Agreement with MC and data is within 1%
 [LHCBb-TALK-2011-207]
- **Proper-time resolution**: [LHCb-CONF-2011-049] - from $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ oscillation analysis with J/ $\Psi \phi$ in final
 - state, using prompt $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$
- Effective decay time resolution is 50 fs with 2% systematic error (B_s^0 -oscillation period ~350 fs)



Prompt J/
$$\psi$$

LHCb Preliminary
 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}, L = 337 \text{ pb}^1$
 10^3
 10^4
 10^3
 10^4
 10^3
 10^4
 10^3
 10^4
 10^3
 10^4
 10^3
 10^2
 10^4
 10^3
 10^2
 10^4
 10^3
 10^2
 10^4
 10^3
 10^2
 10^4
 10^3
 10^2
 10^3
 10^2
 10^2
 10^3
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2
 10^2

LHCb PID: RICH system



Selected performance:

[LHCb-TALK-2011-133]

- Cherenkov angle resolution: 1.62 mrad
 RICH1 (gas) / 0.68 mrad RICH2
 (MC: 1.5 mrad / 0.7 mrad)
- Kaon identification efficiency > 90% for pion misidentification < 5% over a large momentum range
 "LHC on the March", 16-18 Nov 2011, IHEP, Protvino, Russia

 $\pi/K/p$ separation: two RICH detectors with three radiators:

- RICH1 upstream the magnet:
 - Silica aerogel, p 1÷10 GeV/c
 - C₄F₁₀ gas, p up to 70 GeV/c

 RICH2 downstream the magnet: CF₄ gas, up to 100 GeV/c



Particle ID performance of the RICH detectors for different number of PV

LHCb PID: calorimetry



- Electromagnetic part ~2% (π^{0} +e/p + MIP)
- Hadronic part 2.5-3% (e/p + embedded rad. source)

Fast trigger on energetic $e/\gamma/\pi^0/h$, e/hseparation, neutral particles ID Four sub-detectors of interleaved absorber/scintillator design with

- SPD: early e/γ discrimination
- PS: e/π separation

optical fiber readout

- ECAL: $\sigma E/E = (8. \div 10.)\%/VE \oplus 0.9\%$
- HCAL: $\sigma E/E = 69\%/VE \oplus 9\%$

!HCAL is only used in the trigger!



LHCb PID: muon system !



Family of Y-resonances, LHCb-CONF-2011-016



Muon system in LHCb is vital for the provision of fast trigger signal and offline muon identification

Five tracking stations:

- M1 (GEM + MWPC) upstream the Calorimeter System to improve the pt-measurement in the trigger
- M2-M5 (MWPC) interleaved with Fe absorber downstream calorimeter system
- Selected performance:

[LHCb-PROC-2011-039]

- Efficiency is studied with $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ decays, found to be 97.3±1.2% at $p(\mu)>4$ GeV/c, good agreement with MC
- μ/π and μ/K misidentification rates below 1% for $p(\mu)>20GeV/c$

LHCb trigger system



Two-level trigger

- Level-0 trigger: synchronous 40 MHz, hardware-based, signature of b-events – high-pt particles, threshold 1÷3 GeV/c
- High Level Trigger: asynchronous, software on ~1350 processor farm. Interesting final states are selected using flexible inclusive and exclusive criteria to adapt to changing running conditions and to optimize the physics yield

Running conditions:

- First half of 2010: loose criteria (low lumi) Conditions are favorable for hadronic Bdecays and charm studies
- Starting from summer 2010: selection is optimized for b-physics
- Typical overall L0×HLT **efficiencies range** from 30 % (multibody hadronic) – 90% (dimuons)

[LHCb-TALK-2011-108]

LHCb in 2011

LHCb Integrated Luminosity at 3.5 TeV in 2011



Integrated LHCb Efficiency breakdown in 2011



- LHCb should operate at lower luminosity L than LHC is capable to provide to keep occupancies at reasonably low level (reconstruction, rad. damage etc) → **lumi leveling** by controlling the bunch overlap.
 - Present settings (@3.5 TeV, ~half of nominal bunches): L=3.5x10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹ with average number of interactions μ<=1.5/event
 - TDR settings (@7TeV): L=2.x10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹ with μ = 0.4/event
- For LHCb data taking-2011 is over. Statistics recorded: 38 pb⁻¹ (2010) + 1.107 fb⁻¹ (2011) with average efficiency 91% (2011)





Selected physics results

bb and cc cross-sections

Beauty production (2)

Values, extrapolated to full polar angle:

- $\sigma(pp \rightarrow bbX) = 288 \pm 4 \pm 44 \,\mu b$ via fraction of J/ Ψ from b, using (2.9+12.2) nb⁻¹ (2010) [*Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1645]
- $-\sigma(pp \rightarrow bbX) = 284 \pm 20 \pm 49 \,\mu b$ via decays

of b hadrons into final states containing a D^o and a muon, using 5.2 pb⁻¹ (2010) [Physics Letters B 694 (2010) 209–216] Good agreement with theory predictions

Charm production (1)

- $\sigma(pp \rightarrow ccX) = 6.10 \pm 0.93 mb$ via decays of D^0, D^+, D^{*+}, D_s^+ , using 1.81 nb⁻¹ (2010) [LHCb-CONF-2010-013]

About 20 times the value of the *bb*-cross-section





Reconstructed mass peaks $D^+/D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi(K^+K^-)\pi^+$

Measurement of the ratio of b-hadron production fractions

- $f_q \equiv B(b \rightarrow B_q)$, q=u,d,s the fraction of neutral B-mesons amongst all weakly-decaying bottom hadrons.
- Precise knowledge is important for any absolute branching ratio measurements in B_s^0 sector
- Three measurements from LHCb:
 - $f_s / (f_u + f_d) = 0.134 \pm 0.004_{-0.010}^{+0.011}$ via semileptonic decays of bhadrons, identified by the detection of a muon and a charmed hadron, using 3 pb⁻¹ (2010), [LHCb-CONF-2011-028]
 - − $f_s / f_d = 0.250 \pm 0.024^{stat} \pm 0.017^{sys} \pm 0.017^{theor}$ via branching fraction ratio $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+ / B^0 \to D^- K^+$, using 35 pb⁻¹ [arXiv:1106.4435]
 - $f_s / f_d = 0.256 \pm 0.014^{stat} \pm 0.019^{sys} \pm 0.026^{theor}$ via branching fraction ratio $B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+ / B^0 \to D^- \pi^+$, using 35 pb⁻¹ [arXiv:1106.4435]
- Combined value [LHCb-CONF-2011-034]

 $f_s / f_d = 0.267 \, {}^{+0.021}_{-0.020}$ assuming $f_u \equiv f_d$

Good agreement with LEP and Tevatron: $\langle f_s / f_d \rangle_{LEP, Tevatron} = 0.271 \pm 0.027$ No dependence on pt and rapidity has been observed

 $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu\mu$ are highly suppressed in the SM: $B(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-9}$ $B(B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = (0.10 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-9}$ Any significant enhancement will mean non-SM contribution

Tevatron results (95% C.L.):

- **D0, 6.1 fb⁻¹** $B(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 5.1 \bullet 10^{-8}$

- CDF (prelim), 7 fb⁻¹ $B(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 6 \bullet 10^{-9}$ $B(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 4.0 \bullet 10^{-8}$

HC on the March", 16-18 Nov 2011, IHEP, Protvino, Russia



CDF(prelim), 7 fb⁻¹:

the excess of events over background, compatible with: $B(B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.8^{+1.1}_{-0.9}) \bullet 10^{-8}$

Searches for $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow \mu \mu$ in LHCb

- each selected event is given the probability to be signal or background according two variables:
 - Invariant mass of di-muon pair (6 bins)
 - Output of Boosted Decision Tree combining 9 topological and kinematical observables (4 bins):
 - B^o-meson lifetime
 - B^o -meson impact parameter
 - B^o -meson transverse momentum
 - minimum impact parameter significance for muons
 - minimum distance between muon tracks
 - the isolation of the two muons wrt any other track
 - minimum pt of two muons
 - the cosine of the polarization angle between the muon momentum in the B^o -rest frame and the vector perpendicular to the B^o momentum and the beam axis
 - Bs isolation criterion
- Parameters are selected on the basis of Monte Carlo
- BDT-response is calibrated on real data using $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow h^+h^-$ for the signal and $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ from sidebands for the background





Probability of signal and background events in bins of BDT



Distribution of selected dimuon events in the invariant mass vs BDT plane.

Normalization: total number of b-measons is calculated using channels with well known branching ratios $B^+ \rightarrow J/\Psi K^+, B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi(KK)$,

 $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and measured by LHCb fraction f_s / f_d

- Invariant mass calibration:
 - Position: from $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-, B^0_s \rightarrow K^+ K^-$

- resolution: linear interpolation between the measured resolution of charmonium and bottomonium resonances decaying to two muons $M(B_s^0) = (5358.0 \pm 1.0) MeV / c^2 \qquad \sigma(B_s^0) = (24.6 \pm 0.2^{stat} \pm 1.0^{sys}) MeV / c^2$

 $M(B^{0}) = (5272.0 \pm 1.0) MeV / c^{2} \qquad \sigma(B^{0}) = (24.3 \pm 0.2^{stat} \pm 1.0^{sys}) MeV / c^{2}$



• LHCb results (2)

- 37 pb⁻¹ (2010), [PLB 699 (2011) 330–340] $B(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 4.3(5.6) \cdot 10^{-8}$ at 90%(95%) C.L. $B(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 1.2(1.5) \cdot 10^{-8}$ at 90%(95%) C.L.

- 300 pb⁻¹ (2011), [LHCb-CONF-2011-037] $B(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 1.3(1.6) \bullet 10^{-8}$ at 90%(95%) C.L. $B(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 4.2(5.1) \bullet 10^{-9}$ at 90%(95%) C.L.

– combined limits, [LHCb-CONF-2011-037]

 $B(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)(2010 / 11) < 1.2(1.5) \bullet 10^{-8}$ at 90%(95%) C.L.

Combined LHCb + CMS

[LHCb-CONF-2011-047, CMS PAS BPH-11-019]

 $B(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 0.9(1.08) \bullet 10^{-8}$ at 90%(95%) C.L.

An enhancement of the branching ratio by more than 3.4 times the Standard Model prediction is excluded at 95% C.L.



The observed (solid curve) and expected (dotted curve) CLs values, for background only (top) and background plus SM signal (bottom). Green: ±10 interval



New physics can manifest via new particles in loop-order diagrams and seen via analysis of angular distributions

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Gamma}{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta_\ell \,\mathrm{d} q^2} = \frac{3}{4} F_L (1 - \cos^2 \theta_\ell) + \frac{3}{8} (1 - F_L) (1 + \cos^2 \theta_\ell) + A_{FB} \cos \theta_\ell$$

See next slide

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Gamma}{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta_K \,\mathrm{d} q^2} = \frac{3}{2} F_L \cos^2 \theta_K + \frac{3}{4} (1 - F_L) (1 - \cos^2 \theta_K)$$

Observables measured in LHCb (6 bins in $1 < dq^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2$): $-A_{FB}(q^2) = \frac{N(\cos \theta_l > 0) - N(\cos \theta_l < 0)}{N(\cos \theta_l > 0) + N(\cos \theta_l < 0)}$ the forward-backward asymmetry of the dimuon system in the µµrest frame

- F_L the fraction of longitudinal polarization of the K^{*0}
- Differential branching crosssection dB/dq² (normalized with respect to the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ decay rate to cancel systematics)



- q^2 Invariant mass squared of the dimuon system $q^2 = m_{\mu^+\mu^-}^2$.
- θ_{ℓ} Angle between the direction of the μ^- in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ rest frame and the direction of the $\mu^+\mu^$ in the \overline{B}_d rest frame.
- θ_K Angle between the kaon in the \overline{K}^{*0} rest frame and the \overline{K}^{*0} in the \overline{B}_d rest frame.
 - ϕ Angle between planes defined by $\mu^- \mu^+$ and the $K\pi$ in the \overline{B}_d frame.

- signal selection: output of BDT
 - constructed on the basis of B-kinematics, B-vertex quality, daughter track quality, impact parameter and kaon, pion and muon particle identification. Calibrated on $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$
- regions around $B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{*0}$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)K^{*0}$ are removed
- fits of mass spectra to extract observables are validated with MC and $B^0 \rightarrow J / \Psi K^{*0}$





LHCb results, 309 pb⁻¹ (2011), [LHCb-CONF-2011-038] are in good agreement with SM, Babar and Belle LHCb:

 $A_{FB} = -0.10^{+0.14}_{-0.14} \pm 0.05$ $F_L = 0.57^{+0.11}_{-0.10} \pm 0.03$ $\frac{dB}{dq^2} = (0.39 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02) \bullet 10^{-7}$ **Theory prediction:**

$$A_{FB} = -0.04^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$$
$$F_L = 0.74^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$$
$$\frac{dB}{dq^2} = (0.50^{+0.11}_{-0.10}) \bullet 10^{-7}$$

- Radiative penguin decays
 - branching ratios
 - isospin asymmetry: strong sensitivity to new physics effects
 - photon polarization:
 - in the SM photons are ~100% polarized

World average (BABAR, BELLE, CLEO) $B(B^{0} \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma)(HFAG) = (4.33 \pm 0.15) \bullet 10^{-5}$ $B(B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \phi\gamma)(HFAG) = (5.7^{+2.1}_{-1.8}) \bullet 10^{-5}$ $\frac{B(B^{0} \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma)}{B(B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \phi\gamma)} = 0.7 \pm 0.3$

"LHC on the March", 16-18 Nov 2011, IHEP, Protvino, Russia



$$B(B^{0} \to K^{*0}\gamma)(NNLO) = (4.3 \pm 1.4) \bullet 10^{-5}$$

$$B(B_{s}^{0} \to \phi\gamma)(NNL0) = (4.3 \pm 1.4) \bullet 10^{-5}$$

$$\frac{B(B^{0} \to K^{*0}\gamma)}{B(B_{s}^{0} \to \phi\gamma)} = 1.0 \pm 0.2$$

21

• LHCb preliminary, using 340 pb⁻¹(2011), [LHCb-CONF-2011-055] $\frac{B(B^{0} \to K^{*0}\gamma)}{B(B^{0}_{s} \to \phi\gamma)} = 1.52 \pm 0.14^{stat} \pm 0.10^{sys} \pm 0.12^{f_{s}/f_{d}}$

within 1.6 standard deviations with the theory prediction

Statistics of ~1÷2 fb⁻¹ is required to access other observables



Direct CP-violation in B/Bs system via charmless decays

sensitive probe to CKM-matrix and good test for new physics

$$A_{CP}(B^0 \to K\pi) = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B}^0 \to K^-\pi^+) - \Gamma(B^0 \to K^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma(\overline{B}^0 \to K^-\pi^+) + \Gamma(B^0 \to K^+\pi^-)} A_{CP}(B_s^0 \to K\pi) = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B}_s^0 \to \pi^-K^+) - \Gamma(B_s^0 \to \pi^+K^-)}{\Gamma(\overline{B}_s^0 \to \pi^-K^+) + \Gamma(B_s^0 \to \pi^+K^-)}$$



 $K^+\pi^-$ and $K^-\pi^+$ invariant mass spectra, event selection adopted for the best sensitivity on $A_{CP}(B^0 \to K\pi)$



 π^+ K⁻ and π^- K⁺ invariant mass spectra, event selection adopted for the best sensitivity on $A_{CP}(B_s^0 \to K\pi)$

LHCb, 320 pb⁻¹ (2011),
 [LHCb-CONF-2011-042]

 $A_{CP}(B^0 \to K\pi) = -0.088 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.008$

The best measurement in the world, good agreement with current world average $A_{CP}(B^0 \rightarrow K\pi)(\text{HFAG}) = -0.098^{+0.012}_{-0.011}$

 LHCb, 320 pb⁻¹ (2011), [LHCb-CONF-2011-042]

 $A_{CP}(B_s^0 \to K\pi) = 0.27 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02$

good agreement with CDF $A_{CP}(B_s^0 \rightarrow K\pi)(CDF) = 0.39 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08$ 23

Time dependent CP-violation in Bs system via mixing



- Measure CP violation through interference of decays with and without mixing: CP violating phase $\phi_s = \phi_{MIX} 2\phi_{DIR}$
- New physics can provide extra contribution to ϕ_s
- "Golden mode" for B_s^0 system is the decay $\overline{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$
 - SM: ϕ_s is small and precisely known $\phi_s \approx -2\beta_s = -2 \arg \left(-\frac{V_{ts}V_{tb}^*}{V_{cs}V_{cb}^*}\right) = -0.036 \pm 0.002 rad$
 - Experimentally: studied by CDF and D0, most precise result (D0): $\phi_s^{J/\Psi\phi}(D0) = -0.56^{+0.36}_{-0.32}$

Fime dependent CP-violation in Bs system via mixing

LHCb: presently the measurement of ϕ_s in two channels (other promising decays are under study)

 $\overline{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow J / \Psi \phi$ Vector-vector final state,

almost pure P-wave,

angular analysis is required





$\delta_{||} \leftrightarrow -\delta_{||}, \delta_{\perp} \leftrightarrow \pi - \delta_{\perp}$ where δ are phases of angular amplitudes A

It is possible to resolve this two-fold ambiguity by measuring the phase of the S-wave contribution as function of invariant KK-mass (Y. Xie et al., JHEP 0909:074, (2009)) ← to be done

"LHC on the March", 16-18 Nov 2011, IHEP, Protvino, Russia

Time dependent CP-violation in Bs system via mixing: angular analysis for J/Ψφ

P and S-wave contributions are separated including information on decay angles. Unbinned maximum likelihood fit with the following set of parameters:

- average B_s^0 -decay width Γ_s
- the decay width difference between B_s^0 mass eigenstates $\Delta \Gamma_s$
- oscillation frequency $\Delta m_s = 17.725 \pm 0.041 \pm 0.026 \text{ps}^{-1}$ (LHCb measurement LHCb-CONF-2011-50)
- Phase ϕ_s
- $A_0(t), A_{\parallel}(t), A_{\perp}(t)$ three complex angular amplitudes at t=0, P-wave
- $A_s(t)$ one complex angular amplitude at t=0, S-wave

The decay rates are invariant under the simultaneous transformation:

 $\phi_s \leftrightarrow \pi - \phi_s, \Delta \Gamma_s \leftrightarrow -\Delta \Gamma_s$



Time dependent CP-violation in Bs system via mixing: flavour tagging

Determine the initial flavour state of signal B-meson (b or anti-b).



Detailed description and performance optimization: in LHCb-CONF-2011-003

- Two methods are developed for LHCb:
 - Same Side Tagging: using other s-quark, which accompanies signal b-quark; identified as Kaon ← work in progess, to be used (in this analysis) in 2012
 - Opposite Side Tagging: via other b-quark using high pt muons, electrons, kaons and the net charge of an inclusively reconstructed secondary vertex, all finally combined

Time dependent CP-violation in Bs system via mixing: flavour tagging

Flavour tagging for $\overline{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$ and $\overline{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow J/\Psi f_{0}(980)$ is optimized and calibrated using well known $B^{+} \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{+}$ and $B^{-} \rightarrow J/\Psi K^{-}$ decays as well as $\overline{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \mu^{-} \overline{\nu}$ (for cross-checks)

Effective tagging efficiency $Q = \varepsilon D^2$, where

- ε efficiency to obtain a tagging decision
- D=(1-2ω) experimental dilution
- ω mistag probability

 $\overline{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi \qquad \overline{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow J/\Psi f_{0}(980)$ $\epsilon = (24.9\pm0.5)\% \qquad \epsilon = (25.6\pm1.3)\%$ $D = 0.277\pm0.006\pm0.016 \qquad D = 0.289$ $Q = (1.91\pm0.23)\% \qquad Q = 2.13\%$

Time dependent CP-violation in Bs system via mixing: results I (J/Ψφ)

- Other important factors: decay time resolution (50 fs, estimated from prompt J/Ψ, see above), various acceptance-related effects (estimated/corrected on the basis of MC)
- $\overline{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$ results using 337 pb⁻¹, [LHCb-CONF-2011-049]

$$\phi_s^{J/\Psi\phi} = 0.13 \pm 0.18^{stat} \pm 0.07^{sys} rad$$

$$\Gamma_s = 0.656 \pm 0.009^{stat} \pm 0.008^{sys} ps^{-1}$$

$$\Delta\Gamma_s = 0.123 \pm 0.029^{stat} \pm 0.011^{sys} ps^{-1}$$



The world's most precise measurement of ϕ_s and Γ_s The first direct evidence for a non-zero value for $\Delta\Gamma_s$ Work in progress!

Time dependent CP-violation in Bs system via mixing: results II

- $\overline{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow J / \Psi f_{0}(980)$ results using 337 pb⁻¹, [LHCb-CONF-2011-051]
- CP-odd final state, not possible to determine Γ_s and $\Delta \Gamma_s$ simultaneously $\overline{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi f_0$
 - Γ_s from $\overline{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J / \Psi \phi$ analysis
 - $\phi_s^{J/\Psi f_0} = -0.45^{+0.45}_{-0.57} rad$ $\Delta \Gamma_s = 0.128^{+0.057}_{-0.043} ps^{-1}$
 - Both Γ_s and $\Delta \Gamma_s$ from $\overline{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi$ $\phi_s^{J/\Psi f_0} = -0.44 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.02 \, rad$
- Combination of both channels,

[LHCb-CONF-2011-056]

$$\phi_s = -0.03 \pm 0.16^{stat} \pm 0.07^{sys} rad$$

O determinel and ΔI ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.2} ^{0.3} ^{0.4} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.5} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.3} ^{0.4} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.3} ^{0.4} ^{0.1} ^{0.2} ^{0.1} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.2} ^{0.3} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.5} ^{0.4} ^{0.5} ^{0.}



LHCb data are well consistent. So far - no evidence for new physics

- Charm sector: search for time integrated CPasymmetry in D⁰→h⁺h⁻ decays
- Charm sector: up to now no evidence for CPviolation has been found
- Three types of CP-violation:
 - in mixing, different rate of $D^0 \rightarrow \overline{D}^0$ and $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow D^0$
 - in decay: amplitudes of process and its conjugate differ
 - in interference: between mixing and decay diagrams



Direct component SM: depends on final state, expected to be O(10⁻³) or less

 Physics beyond SM can contribute to both direct and indirect parts up to O(%)

Charm sector: search for time integrated CPasymmetry in D^o→h⁺h⁻ decays

• LHCb: the measurement of the difference in integrated CP asymmetries between $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$. If D^0 is reconstructed as a part of $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ decay chain, than:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{RAW}(f)^{*} &= \frac{N(D^{*+} \to D^{0}(f)\pi^{+}) - N(D^{*-} \to \overline{D}^{0}(\overline{f})\pi^{-})}{N(D^{*+} \to D^{0}(f)\pi^{+}) + N(D^{*-} \to \overline{D}^{0}(\overline{f})\pi^{-})} \\ A_{RAW}(f)^{*} &= A_{CP}(f) + A_{D}(f) + A_{D}(\pi_{soft}) + A_{P}(D^{*+}) \\ A_{CP}(f) &\approx a_{CP}^{dir}(f) + \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\tau} a_{CP}^{indir} \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{CP}(f)$ is intrinsic physics CP asymmetry, $A_D(f)$ is the asymmetry for selecting $D^0 \rightarrow f$, $A_D(\pi_{soft})$ is the asymmetry for selecting the soft pion in D^{*+} decay, $A_P(D^{*+})$ is the production asymmetries for prompt D^{*+} ,

<t> - is the average proper time in the sample used, τ – true D^o lifetime

• Difference $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{RAW} (K^+ K^-)^* - A_{RAW} (\pi^+ \pi^-)^*$ cancels all systematics as well as sensitive (almost) only to the direct CPV-component: $\Delta A_{CP} = [a_{CP}^{dir} (K^+ K^-) - a_{CP}^{dir} (\pi^+ \pi^-)] + \frac{\Delta < t >}{-----} a_{CP}^{indir}$

Charm sector: search for time integrated CPasymmetry in D^o→h⁺h⁻ decays

 LHCb preliminary result, using 620 pb⁻¹ of 2011:

 $\Delta A_{CP} = [-0.82 \pm 0.21^{stat} \pm 0.11^{sys}]\%$

The significance is 3.5σ .

Already world's most sensitive search for CP-violation in singly-Cabibbo-suppressed charm decays. Another ~500 pb⁻¹ are to be analyzed

 Contribution of indirect CP-violation mostly cancels:

$$\frac{\Delta < t >}{=} = \frac{< t_{KK} > - < t_{\pi\pi} >}{=} = (9.8 \pm 0.9)\%$$

 \mathcal{T}

Charm sector: search for time integrated CPasymmetry in D^o→h⁺h⁻ decays



HFAG world-average values, taking into account LHCb lifetime acceptance and neglecting correlations in world-average values:

$$\Delta A_{CP} = \Delta a_{CP}^{dir} + \frac{\Delta < t >}{\tau} a_{CP}^{indir} = (-0.45 \pm 0.27)\%$$

LHCb value is ~1σ away

More details on analysis: <u>HCP2011</u> presentation "Search for CP violation in twobody charm decays at LHCb" by Mat Charles (14/11/2011)

Electroweak sector: W and Z production

- W/Z cross-sections: up to 10% uncertainty in theoretical predictions mostly coming from parton distribution functions.
 LHCb can help to constrain PDFs
- Present LHCb measurements (2)



(pt(lepton)>20, 2.<η(lept)<4.5, 60<M(Z)<120 GeV)

37.1 pb⁻¹ (2010) 37.5 pb⁻¹ (2010) + 210 pb⁻¹ (2011) [LHCb-CONF-2011-039] [LHCb-CONF-2011-041]

$$Z \to \tau\tau \to (\mu\nu\nu)(\mu\nu\nu)$$
$$Z \to \tau\tau \to (\mu\nu\nu)(e\nu\nu)$$

"LHC on the March", 16-18 Nov 2011, IHEP, Protvino, Russia

 $\rightarrow \mu \nu$

 $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Electroweak sector: W and Z production

Z→µ⁺µ⁻ $\sigma(Z \to \mu\mu) = 74.9 \pm 1.6^{stat} \pm 3.8^{sys} \pm 2.6^{lumi} pb$ $Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^ \sigma(Z \to \tau\tau, e\mu) = 79 \pm 9^{stat} \pm 8^{sys} \pm 4^{lumi} pb$ $\sigma(Z \to \tau\tau, \mu\mu) = 89 \pm 15^{stat} \pm 10^{sys} \pm 5^{lumi} pb$ $\sigma(Z \to \tau\tau, comb) = 82 \pm 8^{stat} \pm 7^{sys} \pm 4^{lumi} pb$ W→µv $\sigma_{W^+}(+) = 808 \pm 7^{stat} \pm 28^{sys} \pm 28^{lumi} pb$ $\sigma_{W^{-}}(-) = 634 \pm 7^{stat} \pm 21^{sys} \pm 22^{lumi} pb$ $\sigma_{W^+}(+)/\sigma_{W^-}(-) = 1.28 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$ **Combined:** $\frac{\Gamma(Z \to \tau\tau)}{\Gamma(Z \to \mu\mu)} = 1.09 \pm 0.17$ $\sigma_{W^+}(+)/\sigma_{W^-}(-) = 1.28 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$ $(\sigma_{W^+}(+) + \sigma_{W^-}(-)) / \sigma_Z = 19.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.8$



- Mutual consistence
- Consistence with NNLO predictions
- Ratio $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \tau \tau)/\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \mu \mu)$ is consistent with lepton universality
- Inclusion of LHCb W-asymmetry data in PDFs already caused slight reduction of uncertainty in the large x-region: from 18% to 13%
- [M. Ubiali, <u>Assessment of the impact of LHC W lepton</u> <u>asymmetry data on PDF fits</u>, 04/05/11, Working Group on Electroweak precision measurements at LHC]

Conclusions

- LHCb has been successfully running during 2010-2011 period with very good detector and trigger performance collecting more than 1. fb⁻¹ of physics data in 2011
- Using only 2010 + ~1/3 of 2011 statistics LHCb obtained the results competitive with Tevatron and B-factories and also did several world's most precise measurements. So far results are in agreement with SM.
- With full statistics processed it will be possible to improve the accuracy of current measurements and to access other interesting processes / observables
- LHCb physics program includes a lot of interesting topics which were not discussed in this presentation:
 - Tomorrow: bb quarkonia production at LHCb
 - Tomorrow: B_c -production at LHCb
 - For the rest: please visit LHCb web-portal http://lhcb.web.cern.ch/lhcb/